Tax: Miami-Dade County Lake Belt Mitigation Fee and Water Treatment Plant Upgrade Fee

Issues: Fee Reduction
Bill Number(s): CS/HB 359

☐ Entire Bill ☐ Partial Bill:

Sponsor(s): Representative M. Diaz, Jr. / Senator Garcia

Month/Year Impact Begins: July 1, 2015 Date of Analysis: February 26, 2015

Section 1: Narrative

a. Current Law: According to the most recent House Bill Analysis dated 2/20/2015, the Miami-Dade County Lake Belt Area encompasses 77.5 square miles of environmentally sensitive land at the western edge of the Miami-Dade County urban area. The Lake Belt contains deposits of limestone and sand suitable for production of construction aggregates, cement, and road base materials. In 1992, the Florida Legislature recognized the importance of the Lake Belt and established the Lake Belt Committee. Under current law, the mining companies operating in the Lake Belt Area and certain sections of two separate townships must pay a combination of fees based on the number of tons of limestone or sand extracted and sold from the area. Pursuant to s. 373.41492, F.S., two separate fees are currently imposed, and the fee proceeds are used to conduct wetland mitigation activities, fund seepage mitigation projects, and under certain circumstances, fund water treatment plant upgrades.

Mitigation Fee

A 45 cents per ton mitigation fee is imposed to provide a funding source for conducting mitigation activities that are appropriate to offset the loss of value and functions of wetlands as the result of mining activities. Such mitigation may include the purchase, enhancement, restoration, and management of wetlands and uplands in the Everglades watershed, the purchase of mitigation credit from a permitted mitigation bank, and any structural modifications to the existing drainage system to enhance the hydrology of the Miami-Dade County Lake Belt Area or the Everglades watershed. Funds may also be used to reimburse other funding sources, including the Save Our Rivers Land Acquisition Program, the Internal Improvement Trust Fund, the South Florida Water Management District and Miami-Dade County, for the purchase of lands that were acquired in areas appropriate for mitigation due to rock mining and to reimburse governmental agencies that exchanged land under s. 373.4149, F.S., for mitigation due to rock mining. The mitigation fee proceeds, less administrative costs, are transferred by the Department of Revenue to the South Florida Water Management District and deposited into the Lake Belt Mitigation Trust Fund. Since the mitigation fee's enactment in 1999, fee collections, to date, have totaled approximately \$84.7 million.

Water Treatment Plant Upgrade Fee

A 15 cents per ton water treatment plant upgrade fee is also imposed. The fee proceeds, less administrative costs, are transferred to the South Florida Water Management District and deposited into the Lake Belt Mitigation Trust Fund until either:

1) a total of \$20 million is deposited into the trust fund; or 2) the quarterly pathogen sampling conducted as a condition of the permits issued by the Department of Environmental Protection for rock mining activities in the Miami-Dade County Lake Belt Area demonstrates that the water in any quarry lake in the vicinity of the Northwest Wellfield would be classified as being in Bin 2 or higher as defined in the Environment Protection Agency's Long Term 2 Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule. Upon the earliest occurrence of either of these criteria, the fee proceeds, less administrative costs, are transferred by the Department of Revenue to a trust fund established by Miami-Dade County.

The upgrade fee proceeds deposited into the South Florida Water Management District's Lake Belt Mitigation Trust Fund are used solely to pay for seepage mitigation projects, including groundwater or surface water management structures designed to improve wetland habitat and approved by the Lake Belt Mitigation Committee. Any fee proceeds, which are transmitted to the trust fund established by Miami-Dade County, are used solely to upgrade a water treatment plant that treats water coming from the Northwest Wellfield in Miami-Dade County and include those works necessary to treat or filter a surface water source or supply or both. Since the upgrade fee's enactment in 2007, fee collections, to date, have totaled approximately \$32.2 million.

Prior to July 1, 2012, the upgrade fee proceeds were used solely to upgrade a water treatment plant that treats water coming from the Northwest Wellfield in Miami-County. Chapter 2012-107, L.O.F., (i.e., CS/HB 377) provided the current statutory language authorizing the upgrade fee proceeds to be first transferred to the South Florida Water Management District for deposit into the Lake Belt Mitigation Trust Fund to fund seepage mitigation projects. As previously mentioned, the proceeds are re-directed to Miami-Dade County once either a total of \$20 million is deposited into the trust fund, or the quarterly pathogen sampling demonstrates that the water in any quarry lake in the vicinity of the Northwest Wellfield would be classified as being in Bin 2 or higher. Prior to July 1, 2012, upgrade fee proceeds transferred to Miami-Dade County totaled approximately \$19.8

Tax: Miami-Dade County Lake Belt Mitigation Fee and Water Treatment Plant Upgrade Fee

Issues: Fee Reduction
Bill Number(s): CS/HB 359

million. Beginning July 1, 2012 through February 2015, transfers to the South Florida Water Management District have totaled approximately \$12.4 million.

Under current law, the Department of Revenue is authorized to deduct for administrative costs. The amount deducted may not exceed 3 percent of total revenue collections and may equal only those administrative costs reasonably attributable to the fees. The Department is not currently deducting for administrative costs.

b. Proposed Change: Section 2 of the bill amends s. 373.41492, F.S., to make changes to both the mitigation fee and the water treatment plant upgrade fee.

First, the bill reduces the mitigation fee from 45 cents per ton to 25 cents per ton beginning January 1, 2016, to 15 cents per ton beginning January 1, 2017, and to 5 cents per ton beginning January 1, 2018 and thereafter. Additionally, the bill allows the mitigation fee proceeds to also be used to conduct water quality monitoring to ensure the protection of water resources within the Lake Belt Area.

Second, the bill, effective July 1, 2015, rescinds the current 15 cents per ton water treatment plant upgrade (WTPU) fee and replaces it with a 5 cents per ton environmentally endangered lands (EEL) fee. The EEL fee proceeds are transferred to Miami-Dade County and used solely for the acquisition, preservation, enhancement, restoration, conservation, and maintenance of wetland and threatened forest communities located in Miami-Dade County – not just within or near the Lake Belt Area. However, the bill provides that if the Department of Environmental Protection determines that mining activities have, directly or indirectly, resulted in pathogens contaminating certain groundwater wellfields, then the proceeds of the EEL fee must first be used to upgrade a water treatment plant.

Section 2: Description of Data and Sources

Monthly mitigation fee and water treatment plant upgrade fee collections data furnished by the Department of Revenue.

Section 3: Methodology (Include Assumptions and Attach Details)

Three alternative methodologies are provided, and the same methodologies are used for both the mitigation fee (see Table 1) and environmentally endangered lands fee (see Table 2).

Alternative #1 (Low)

This methodology grows the 2013-14 limerock and sand extractions by the annual growth rates in the phosphate production in order to forecast mitigation fee and EEL fees for the 2015-16 through 2019-20 period.

Alternative #2 (Middle)

This methodology grows the 2013-14 limerock and sand extractions by the growth rate in the July-Dec 2013-14 to 2014-15 extractions of limerock and sand in order to forecast mitigation fee and EEL fees for the 2015-16 through 2019-20 period.

Alternative #3 (High)

This methodology grows the 2013-14 limerock and sand extractions by the annual growth rates in the solid minerals tax collections (excluding phosphate & heavy minerals) in order to forecast mitigation fee and EEL fees for the 2015-16 through 2019-20 period.

Tax: Miami-Dade County Lake Belt Mitigation Fee and Water Treatment Plant Upgrade Fee

Issues: Fee Reduction
Bill Number(s): CS/HB 359

Section 4: Proposed Fiscal Impact

Mitigation Fee (see Table 1)

Local/Other Impact	Hi	igh	Mic	ddle	Lo	w
	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring
2015-16						
South FL WMD	(\$3.2m)	(\$3.2m)	(\$3.1m)	(\$12.6m)	(\$3.1m)	(\$3.1m)
2016-17						
South FL WMD	(\$8.0m)	(\$8.0m)	(\$7.8m)	(\$12.5m)	(\$7.8m)	(\$7.8m)
2017-18						
South FL WMD	(\$11.1m)	(\$11.1m)	(\$10.9m)	(\$12.4m)	(\$10.7m)	(\$10.7m)
2018-19						
South FL WMD	(\$12.5m)	(\$12.5m)	(\$12.4m)	(\$12.4m)	(\$12.0m)	(\$12.0m)
2019-20						
South FL WMD	(\$12.3m)	(\$12.3m)	(\$12.3m)	(\$12.3m)	(\$11.7m)	(\$11.7m)

Environmentally Endangered Lands Fee (see Table 2)

Local/Other Impact	H	igh	Mic	ddle	Lo)W
	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring
2015-16						
South FL WMD	(\$4.7m)	(\$4.7m)	(\$4.7m)	(\$4.7m)	(\$4.7m)	(\$4.7m)
Miami-Dade County-EEL Fee	\$1.6m	\$1.6m	\$1.6m	\$1.6m	\$1.6m	\$1.6m
2016-17						
South FL WMD	(\$2.4m)	(\$2.4m)	(\$2.3m)	(\$2.3m)	(\$2.3m)	(\$2.3m)
Miami-Dade County-WTPU Fee	(\$2.4m)	(\$2.4m)	(\$2.3m)	(\$2.3m)	(\$2.3m)	(\$2.3m)
Miami-Dade County-EEL Fee	\$1.6m	\$1.6m	\$1.6m	\$1.6m	\$1.6m	\$1.6m
2017-18						
South FL WMD	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Miami-Dade County-WTPU Fee	(\$4.7m)	(\$4.7m)	(\$4.7m)	(\$4.7m)	(\$4.6m)	(\$4.6m)
Miami-Dade County-EEL Fee	\$1.6m	\$1.6m	\$1.6m	\$1.6m	\$1.5m	\$1.5m
2018-19						
South FL WMD	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Miami-Dade County-WTPU Fee	(\$4.7m)	(\$4.7m)	(\$4.6m)	(\$4.6m)	(\$4.5m)	(\$4.5m)
Miami-Dade County-EEL Fee	\$1.6m	\$1.6m	\$1.5m	\$1.5m	\$1.5m	\$1.5m
2019-20						
South FL WMD	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Miami-Dade County-WTPU Fee	(\$4.6m)	(\$4.6m)	(\$4.6m)	(\$4.6m)	(\$4.4m)	(\$4.4m)
Miami-Dade County-EEL Fee	\$1.5m	\$1.5m	\$1.5m	\$1.5m	\$1.5m	\$1.5m

Note: Based on average monthly distributions to the South FL WMD to date, it is assumed here that the water treatment plant upgrade fee distributions would reach the \$20 million total by mid-year 2016-17. Prior to 2016-17, the forecasted revenue loss is to the South FL WMD. In 2016-17, the forecasted revenue loss split evenly between the South FL WMD and Miami-Dade County. Thereafter, the forecasted revenue loss is to Miami-Dade County.

List of Affected Trust Funds:

Local Trust Funds

Tax: Miami-Dade County Lake Belt Mitigation Fee and Water Treatment Plant Upgrade Fee

Issues: Fee Reduction
Bill Number(s): CS/HB 359

Section 5: Consensus Estimate (Adopted: 02/26/2015): The Conference adopted the middle estimates.

	GR		Tr	ust	Local	/Other	Other To	
	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring
2015-16	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(6.2)	(15.7)	(6.2)	(15.7)
2016-17	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(10.9)	(15.6)	(10.9)	(15.6)
2017-18	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(14.0)	(15.5)	(14.0)	(15.5)
2018-19	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(15.5)	(15.5)	(15.5)	(15.5)
2019-20	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(15.4)	(15.6)	(15.4)	(15.6)

	Α	В	C	D	F	F	G	Н	l ı	ı.	K	ı	М	N
1	,,		Ü			·	_	able 1	· · · · · ·		, , ,			
2					•	S/HB 359 I	Miami-Dad	o County I	ako Bolt A	roa				
\vdash	Januar Bad		Current M	lingtion Foo							. Tan affaat:		2017. and 60	05 man Tan
	issue: Rea	actions in the	e Current Mi	tigation Fee	Rate of \$0.4					716; \$0.15 pe	r Ton, effecti	ve January 1,	2017; and \$0	.us per 1 on,
3						effecti	ve January 1	l, 2018, and t	hereafter					
4				To	ns of Limero	k and Sand E	xtracted in St	tate Fiscal Ye	ar Based on <i>A</i>	Applicable Fee	Rate			
5	Month	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
6	July	3,685,590	2,283,943	1,710,637	1,608,293	1,567,483	1,815,907	2,242,568	2,780,118					
7									2,634,963					
8	September	3,945,922	1,920,446	1,508,132	1,573,206	1,802,046	2,587,930	2,610,660	2,576,458					
9	October	2,995,119	2,338,405	1,492,565	1,637,873	1,742,690	2,589,671	2,370,404	2,396,569					
10	November	3,232,246	2,177,093	1,325,458	1,789,566	1,462,964	2,913,982	3,251,408	2,670,767					
	December	3,197,624	1,761,893	1,408,232	1,597,368	1,636,691	2,737,975	2,498,334	2,171,567					
-	January	2,762,184	1,657,695	1,332,438	1,335,220	1,553,630	2,662,451	2,360,801	2,552,081					
	February	2,527,018	1,614,370	1,384,859	1,349,597	1,817,425	3,057,165	2,561,010						
	March	2,598,824	1,558,194	1,279,259	1,418,833	1,568,688	2,701,220	2,697,380						
15		2,464,642	2,142,410	1,821,345	1,760,742	1,854,313	2,826,230	2,848,130		ļ				
16	_	2,399,388	1,214,263	1,604,888	1,577,611	1,733,521	2,868,890	2,990,143						
	June	2,319,884	1,563,207	1,566,971	1,751,605	2,072,869	2,414,221	2,862,776						
	Total	35,829,208	22,450,094	17,864,066	19,063,936	20,399,154	31,298,003	31,639,292	17,782,522	-	-	-	-	-
	% Change		-37.3%	-20.4%	6.7%	7.0%	53.4%	1.1%						
20														
21														
			•	duction Thrοι	igh the Forec	ast Period								
		duction (Tons)	 DOR Spreads 	heet, Row 16					20.775			20.125		19.125
	% Change									-1.1%		-1.8%		-2.5%
	Extractions (To									31,296,628	31,220,481	30,649,374	29,887,899	29,126,424
		raction Total: 2								A (2		A (112 11)		120,884,179
	Cumulative Ne	Local: 2015-16	to 2019-20											\$ (45,267,793)
30														
		% Change in	July-Dec 201	3-14 to 2014-	15 Extractions	s of Limerock	and Sand Thi	rough the For	ecast Period					
	% Change									-0.6%		-0.6%		-0.6%
	Extractions (To									31,456,276	31,274,318	31,093,413	30,913,555	30,734,737
		raction Total: 2								A (2	A (=	* (124,016,024
		o South FL Wa		nt District						\$ (3,145,628)	\$ (7,818,580)	\$ (10,882,695)	\$ (12,365,422)	
	Cumulative Ne	Local: 2015-16	to 2019-20							1			1	\$ (46,506,219)
37														
	39 High: Apply % Change in Solid Minerals Tax Collections Through the Forecast Period													
						als - DOR Sprea		:1	\$ 0.740	\$ 0.740	\$ 0.750	\$ 0.740	\$ 0.730	\$ 0.720
	% Change	LAN CONECTIONS	· (Ψ IVIII.) EXCIUC	mig i nospiiate	a neavy willer	ais - DON SPIE	aasneet, NOW 3		υ./40	0.0%	1.4%	-1.3%	-1.4%	\$ 0.720 -1.4%
	Extractions (To	ns)								31,639,292	32,066,850	31,639,292	31,211,734	30,784,176
	4 Revenue Loss to South FL Water Management District \$ (3,163,929) \$ (8,016,713) \$ (11,073,752) \$ (12,484,694) \$ (12,313,670)													
46														
47														
						by dividing the mo					,			
											nue collections an	d may equal only th	he those administra	tive costs
	3) The Department of Revenue is authorized pursuant to s. 373.41492(3), F.S., to deduct for administrative costs. The amount deducted may not exceed 3 percent of total revenue collections and may equal only the those administrative costs reasonably attributable to the fees; however, the Department is not currently deducting for administrative costs.													

	Α	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N	0
1						0/110 050 1		ble 2						
2	_							County La						_
3	Issu	ie: Rescissio	on of the \$0.1	15 Per Ton W			-	-				entally Endan	gered Lands	s Fee
<u>4</u>	Month	2007-08	2008-09	Tons 2009-10	of Limerock a	and Sand Ext 2011-12	racted in Stat	e Fiscal Year I	3ased on \$0.7 2014-15	15 Per Ton Fe 2015-16	e Rate 2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
	July	3,685,590	2,283,943	1,710,637	1,608,293	1,567,483	1,815,907	2,242,568	2,780,118	2013-10	2010-17	2017-10	2010-19	2019-20
	August	3,700,767	2,218,174	1,429,282	1,664,022	1,586,834	2,122,359	2,345,680	2,634,963					
8	September	3,945,922	1,920,446	1,508,132	1,573,206	1,802,046	2,587,930	2,610,660	2,576,458					
9	October	2,995,119	2,338,405	1,492,565	1,637,873	1,742,690	2,589,671	2,370,404	2,396,569					
10	November	3,232,246	2,177,093	1,325,458	1,789,566	1,462,964	2,913,982	3,251,408	2,670,767					
12	December January	3,197,624 2,762,184	1,761,893 1,657,695	1,408,232 1,332,438	1,597,368 1,335,220	1,636,691 1,553,630	2,737,975 2,662,451	2,498,334 2,360,801	2,171,567 2,552,081					
13	February	2,527,018	1,614,370	1,384,859	1,349,597	1,817,425	3,057,165	2,561,010	2,002,001					
_	March	2,598,824	1,558,194	1,279,259	1,418,833	1,568,688	2,701,220	2,697,380						
	April	2,464,642	2,142,410	1,821,345	1,760,742	1,854,313	2,826,230	2,848,130						
16	May	2,399,388	1,214,263	1,604,888	1,577,611	1,733,521	2,868,890	2,990,143						
17 18	June Total	2,319,884 35,829,208	1,563,207 22,450,094	1,566,971 17,864,066	1,751,605 19,063,936	2,072,869 20,399,154	2,414,221 31,298,003	2,862,776 31,639,292	17,782,522					
19	% Change	35,629,206	-37.3%	-20.4%	6.7%	7.0%	53.4%	1.1%	17,762,322	-	-	-	-	
20	70 Orlange	I	01.070	20.470	0.1 70	1.070	00.470	1.170		l	l	ı	ı	l
21														
22	Low: Apply %	Change in P	hosphate Pro	duction Throu	igh the Forec	ast Period								
		duction (Tons)	- DOR Spreads	sheet, Row 16					20.775	20.550				19.12
24	% Change									-1.1%	-0.2%			-2.5%
	Extractions (To		2015 16 to 2010	20						31,296,628	31,220,481	30,649,374	29,887,899	29,126,424 120,884,179
										\$				
28												\$ (4,597,406)	\$ (4,483,185)	\$ (4,368,964
29											\$ 1,532,469		\$ 1,456,321	
30														
31	Cumulative Net Local: 2015-16 to 2019-20 \$ (12,088,41:													
33	4													
	Middle: Apply	/ % Change in	July-Dec 201	13-14 to 2014-	15 Extractions	of Limerock	and Sand Th	rough the For	ecast Period					
35	% Change	,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,					g		-0.6%	-0.6%	-0.6%	-0.6%	-0.6%
	Extractions (To	ons)								31,456,276	31,274,319			30,734,737
		traction Total: 2												124,016,024
				ter Managemen		15 Per Ton				\$ (4,718,441)	\$ (2,345,574)		7	\$ -
39 40				County @ \$0.15 unty @ \$0.05 Pe						\$ 1.572.814		\$ (4,664,012) \$ 1,554,671		\$ (4,610,211 \$ 1,536,737
	Net Local	OI EEE I GE TO II	mami-baue oo	unty @ \$0.05 i t	51 1011							\$ (3,109,341)		
		t Local: 2015-16	6 to 2019-20							+ (0,110,020)	+ (•,·=·,·=)	+ (0,100,011)	+ (0,000,000)	\$ (12,401,602
43														
44		, 61		-										
				Tax Collectio								IA 07:0	l	
	<u>Solid Minerals</u> % Change	Tax Collection	s (\$ Mil.) exclud	ding Phosphate	& Heavy Mine	als - DOR Spre	eadsheet, Row	31	\$ 0.740	\$ 0.740 0.0%	\$ 0.750 1.4%		\$ 0.730 -1.4%	\$ 0.720 -1.4%
	% Change Extractions (To	ons)								31,639,292	32,066,850			30.784.176
										125,702,053				
50	50 Revenue Loss of WTPU Fee to South FL Water Management District @ \$0.15 Per Ton \$ (2,405,014) \$ - \$ - \$									\$ -				
52									\$ 1,539,209					
										\$ (3,078,418) \$ (12,570,205)				
55	- amaiative Ne		J .O EU 13-20							1	I .	1	1	- (12,010,200
56														
57	7 Notes:													
58				eatment plant upg						0 P				
59				ted (i.e., Rows 6-1 ant to s. 373.4149								and may says! -	unly the these site	ninietrative cost-
60								nount deducted m	ay not exceed 3	percent of total fe	venue collections	s and may equal 0	my the those adn	mistrative costs
	reasonably attributable to the fees; however, the Department is not currently deducting for administrative costs.													

193 Office of Economic and Demographic Research February 25, 2015

Tax: Sales and Use Tax

Issue: July 4th Sales Tax Holiday **Bill Number(s)**: Proposed Language

☑ Entire Bill☑ Partial Bill:Sponsor(s):

Month/Year Impact Begins: UBL Date of Analysis: February 25, 2015

Section 1: Narrative

a. Current Law: There is currently no sales tax holiday on the sale of firearms, ammunition, camping tents or fishing supplies on the Fourth of July.

b. Proposed Change: Exempts firearms (rifles, shotguns, spearfish guns, crossbows, and bows), ammunition for firearms, camping tents, and fishing supplies (rods, reels, bait, and fishing tackle) from sales tax for one day on the 4th of July, 2015.

Section 2: Description of Data and Sources

Impact analysis for 02/20/2015 Conference – HB251 BLS Consumer Expenditure Data 2012 American Camper Report FWC License and Trust Fund Data US Fish and Wildlife

Section 3: Methodology (Include Assumptions and Attach Details)

See attached.

Section 4: Proposed Fiscal Impact

	Н	igh	Mic	ddle	Low		
	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring	
2015-16	(\$4.4m)		(\$2.9m)		(\$1.5m)		
2016-17							
2017-18							
2018-19							
2019-20							

List of affected Trust Funds: Sales and Use Tax

Section 5: Consensus Estimate (Adopted: 02/26/2015): The Conference adopted the middle estimate.

	GR		Tru	st	Revenue	Revenue Sharing		alf Cent
	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring
2015-16	(2.6)	0.0	(Insignificant)	0.0	(0.1)	0.0	(0.2)	0.0
2016-17	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2017-18	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2018-19	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2019-20	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

	Local C	ption	Total	Local	Total		
	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring	
2015-16	(0.2)	0.0	(0.5)	0.0	(3.1)	0.0	
2016-17	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
2017-18	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
2018-19	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
2019-20	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

Estimate of Firearms and Ammunition Purchases from Impact for HB251 (2015)

2014 Florida

Rifles \$ 103,698,807 Shotguns \$ 25,391,158

Ammunition \$ 85,422,495

2013 (Cons. Non- 2014 (Cons.

Outdoor Foundation 2012 Camping Equipment Sales FL Share Florida Sales Durable) Non-Durable)

Tents and Shelters \$ 223,384,259 6.2% \$ 13,827,486 \$ 14,435,895 \$ 15,179,344

2011 National Survey of Fishing, Hunting and Wildlife - Associated Recreation (U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service)

Fishing Expenditures (US), Equipment 2011 \$ 6,100,000,000

Florida is #1 in nation by angler expenditures and non-resident fishing destination - saltwater anglers (2011 USFWS Survey)

Florida Share of Retail Sales 10.4% ASAfishing.org: 2011 Retail Sales Florida (\$4,953,493,028)/US (\$47,697,532,293)

2014 (Cons. Non-

2011 Durable)

Florida Fishing Equipment \$ 633,498,339 \$ 723,945,688

	2014 Annual Licenses (FWC)		Rep	lace every 5 years
Crossbows	6,482	\$799	\$	1,035,824
Archery Licenses (Compound Bows (\$319) and Recurve, Longbows (\$199))	22,697	\$259	Ś	1,175,705
ana necarro, <u>-</u> 01.800 no (4-207)	22,037	Q233	\$	2,211,528

2014 Annual Florida Sales

Rifles \$ 103,698,807 Shotguns \$ 25,391,158

Ammunition for firearms \$ 85,422,495

Camping Tents \$ 15,179,344
Fishing Equipment \$ 723,945,688

Crossbows and Bows \$ 2,211,528

Total \$ 955,849,020

Seasonality - Number of Licenses Sold 2014 FWC Licenses

Jul-14	CY 2014	
1,699	110,389	1.5%

Saltwater, Freshwater, Sportsman Licenses

Jul-14	CY 2014	
232.654	1.701.338	13.7%

2014 Annual Florida Sales (July)

Middle 14 Day \$ 49,078,922 \$ 2,944,735 High 21 Day \$ 73,618,383 \$ 4,417,103

				· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
	\$	3,335,605	Нι	unting Sales July (Rifles, Shotguns, Ammo, Crossbows and Bows)						
	\$	98,997,883	Fis	ishing Sales July (Fishing Equipment)						
	\$	1,264,945	Ca	mping Sales Ju	ıly (1	/12)				
	\$	103,598,433								
				nsumer Non-D	urab	ole	Per D	ay (/31 days)		
2015 Growth		\$	108,674,756		6%	\$	3,505,637			
Low 7 Day		\$	24,539,461	\$	1,472,368					

Number of FWC Licenses issued betwee July 2013 and July 2014 grew 36.2%.

Tax: Beverage Tax **Issue**: Pear Cider

Bill Number(s): Proposed Language

☑ Entire Bill☑ Partial Bill:Sponsor(s):

Month/Year Impact Begins: July 1, 2015 Date of Analysis: February 26, 2015

Section 1: Narrative a. Current Law:

Apple cider with an alcohol content of between 0.5% and 7.0% is taxed at a rate of \$0.89 per gallon. With the exception of the excise tax rate, cider is treated as wine pursuant to s. 564.06 (4), F.S. Wine is defined in s. 564.01, F.S. as "all beverages made from fresh fruits, berries, or grapes, either by natural fermentation or by natural fermentation with brandy added, in the manner required by the laws and regulations of the United States, and includes all sparkling wines, champagnes, combination of the aforesaid beverages, vermouths, and like products." Wine with an alcohol content of between 0.5% and 17.259% is taxed at a rate of \$2.25 per gallon, and wine with more than 17.259% alcohol is taxed at \$3.00 per gallon. According to the Department of Business and Professional Regulation (DBPR), a cider that is not made with apples would default to the \$2.25 per gallon tax rate. The following table provides a summary of tax rates for alcoholic beverages in Florida.

<u>Type</u>	Percent Alcohol	<u>Tax Rate</u>
Beer/malt beverage	>= 0.5%	\$0.48/gallon (bulk) or \$0.06/pint
Cider	0.5% - 7.0%	\$0.89/gallon
Wine	0.5% - 17.259%	\$2.25/gallon
Wine Coolers	1.0% - 6.0%	\$2.25/gallon
Wine	>17.259%	\$3.00/gallon
Wine (sparkling)	not specified	\$3.50/gallon
Liquor	17.259% - 55.78%	\$6.50/gallon
	>55.78%	\$9.53/gallon

Source: Chapters 563, 564, 565, Florida Statutes.

b. Proposed Change:

The proposed language specifies that pear cider with an alcohol content of between 0.5% and 7.0% would also be taxed at \$0.89 per gallon, along with the apple cider.

Section 2: Description of Data and Sources

Chapters 563, 564, & 565, Florida Statutes.

Alcoholic Beverage Data - Gallons and Tax Revenues - DBPR

Beverage Tax Scholarship Credits Data - DBPR

Beverage Consumption and Tax Forecasts – Dec 2014 General Revenue Estimating Conference

Phone and e-mail conversations with Michael Martinez, Attorney at DBPR

Internet research relating to the cider industry, trends, and laws

Tax: Beverage Tax **Issue**: Pear Cider

Bill Number(s): Proposed Language

Section 3: Methodology (Include Assumptions and Attach Details)

Pear cider is currently being taxed at \$2.25 per gallon, and since the proposed language provides that it will be taxed at \$0.89 per gallon, there would be a negative fiscal impact due to the difference in tax revenues that would be collected at the different tax rates. The low estimate assumes that cider remains 3.8% of total wine consumption, and that pear cider makes up 5% of the cider market. The middle estimate assumes that cider increases to 7% of total wine consumption and that pear cider makes up 10% of the cider market. Based on recent historical data, news articles, and SEC filings, it appears that the market for cider is experiencing significant growth, and is likely to continue to trend upwards.

Section 4: Proposed Fiscal Impact

	High		Mid	ddle	Low	
	Cash	Recurring	Cash Recurring		Cash	Recurring
2015-16			(0.6)	(0.7)	(0.2)	(0.2)
2016-17			(0.8)	(0.8)	(0.2)	(0.2)
2017-18			(0.8)	(0.8)	(0.2)	(0.2)
2018-19			(0.8)	(0.8)	(0.2)	(0.2)
2019-20			(0.8)	(0.8)	(0.2)	(0.2)

List of affected Trust Funds:

Section 5: Consensus Estimate (Adopted: 02/26/2015): The Conference adopted an average of the low and the middle.

	GR		Tr	ust	Local/Other		Total	
	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring
2015-16	(0.5)	(0.5)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(0.5)	(0.5)
2016-17	(0.5)	(0.5)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(0.5)	(0.5)
2017-18	(0.6)	(0.6)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(0.6)	(0.6)
2018-19	(0.6)	(0.6)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(0.6)	(0.6)
2019-20	(0.6)	(0.6)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(0.6)	(0.6)

Cider and Wine - Gallons

		Ciaci ai	a trine canons		
			Total Gallons		Cider as a portion
	Gallons of Cider	growth rate	of Wine (incl. Cider)	growth rate	of Total Wine
2005-06	440,081	17.6%	54,942,085	3.5%	0.80%
2006-07	477,274	8.5%	57,280,406	4.3%	0.83%
2007-08	527,201	10.5%	58,193,618	1.6%	0.91%
2008-09	550,222	4.4%	57,718,344	-0.8%	0.95%
2009-10	592,617	7.7%	59,495,546	3.1%	1.00%
2010-11	705,015	19.0%	62,305,375	4.7%	1.13%
2011-12	937,597	33.0%	65,642,358	5.4%	1.43%
2012-13	1,640,478	75.0%	66,775,220	1.7%	2.46%
2013-14	2,723,171	66.0%	71,058,402	6.4%	3.83%
2014-15	2,867,499	5.3%	74,824,497	5.3%	3.83%
2015-16	2,950,656	2.9%	76,994,408	2.9%	3.83%
2016-17	3,039,176	3.0%	79,304,240	3.0%	3.83%
2017-18	3,118,195	2.6%	81,366,150	2.6%	3.83%
2018-19	3,199,268	2.6%	83,481,670	2.6%	3.83%
2019-20	3,269,651	2.2%	85,318,267	2.2%	3.83%
		Cider and	Wine - Revenues		
	Cider		Total Wine		Cider as a portion
	Tax Revenue	growth rate	tax Revenue	growth rate	of Total Wine
2005-06	382,311	17.6%	123,095,745	3.4%	0.3%
2006-07	414,622	8.5%	128,363,019	4.3%	0.3%
2007-08	457,995	10.5%	130,270,465	1.5%	0.4%
2008-09	477,994	4.4%	129,104,106	-0.9%	0.4%
2009-10	514,823	7.7%	133,092,800	3.1%	0.4%
2010-11	612,467	19.0%	139,386,686	4.7%	0.4%
2011-12	814,518	33.0%	146,819,548	5.3%	0.6%
2012-13	1,425,131	75.0%	148,407,238	1.1%	1.0%
2013-14	2,365,697	66.0%	156,972,843	5.8%	1.5%
2014-15	2,491,079	5.3%	165,292,403	5.3%	1.5%
2015-16	2,563,321	2.9%	170,085,883	2.9%	1.5%
2016-17	2,640,220	3.0%	175,188,460	3.0%	1.5%
2017-18	2,708,866	2.6%	179,743,359	2.6%	1.5%
2018-19	2,779,297	2.6%	184,416,687	2.6%	1.5%
2019-20	2,840,441	2.2%	188,473,854	2.2%	1.5%
		Estimat	ed Impact - Low		
	Estimated Gallons	Revenues	Revenues	difference/	adjusted for
	of Pear Cider	\$2.25/gallon	\$0.89/gallon	<u>impact</u>	scholarship credits
2015-16	163,925	360,017	<u>30.037ganon</u> 142,407	(217,610)	(195,849)
2016-17	168,843	370,817	146,679	(224,139)	(201,725)
2017-18	173,233	380,459	150,493	(229,966)	(206,970)
2018-19	177,737	390,351	154,405	(235,945)	(212,351)
2010 13	177,737	330,331	157,705	(233,373)	(212,331)

Assumes current forecast growth rates and cider remains 3.8% of total wine Assumes pear cider is 5% of total cider market

398,938

181,647

2019-20

Assumes 10% of revenue would be tax credits, consistent with FY2013-14 scholarship credit data for wine distributors Revenue calculations have been adjusted for dealer collection allowances

157,802

(241,136)

(217,022)

Cider and Wine - Gallons

		Ciuei aii	id Wille - Gallolis		
			Total Gallons		Cider as a portion
	Gallons of Cider	growth rate	of Wine (incl. Cider)	growth rate	of Total Wine
2005-06	440,081	17.6%	54,942,085	3.5%	0.80%
2006-07	477,274	8.5%	57,280,406	4.3%	0.83%
2007-08	527,201	10.5%	58,193,618	1.6%	0.91%
2008-09	550,222	4.4%	57,718,344	-0.8%	0.95%
2009-10	592,617	7.7%	59,495,546	3.1%	1.00%
2010-11	705,015	19.0%	62,305,375	4.7%	1.13%
2011-12	937,597	33.0%	65,642,358	5.4%	1.43%
2012-13	1,640,478	75.0%	66,775,220	1.7%	2.46%
2013-14	2,723,171	66.0%	71,058,402	6.4%	3.83%
2014-15	3,890,874	42.9%	74,824,497	5.3%	5.20%
2015-16	5,004,636	28.6%	76,994,408	2.9%	6.50%
2016-17	5,551,297	10.9%	79,304,240	3.0%	7.00%
2017-18	5,695,630	2.6%	81,366,150	2.6%	7.00%
2018-19	5,843,717	2.6%	83,481,670	2.6%	7.00%
2019-20	5,972,279	2.2%	85,318,267	2.2%	7.00%
	, ,		, ,		
		Cider and	Wine - Revenues		
	Cider		Total Wine		Cider as a portion
	Tax Revenue	growth rate	tax Revenue	growth rate	of Total Wine
2005-06	382,311	17.6%	123,095,745	3.4%	0.3%
2006-07	414,622	8.5%	128,363,019	4.3%	0.3%
2007-08	457,995	10.5%	130,270,465	1.5%	0.4%
2008-09	477,994	4.4%	129,104,106	-0.9%	0.4%
2009-10	514,823	7.7%	133,092,800	3.1%	0.4%
2010-11	612,467	19.0%	139,386,686	4.7%	0.4%
2011-12	814,518	33.0%	146,819,548	5.3%	0.6%
2012-13	1,425,131	75.0%	148,407,238	1.1%	1.0%
2013-14	2,365,697	66.0%	156,972,843	5.8%	1.5%
2014-15	3,380,115	42.9%	165,292,403	5.3%	2.0%
2015-16	4,347,673	28.6%	170,085,883	2.9%	2.6%
2016-17	4,822,573	10.9%	175,188,460	3.0%	2.8%
2017-18	4,947,959	2.6%	179,743,359	2.6%	2.8%
2018-19	5,076,606	2.6%	184,416,687	2.6%	2.8%
2019-20	5,188,292	2.2%	188,473,854	2.2%	2.8%
		Estimata	dimmost Middle		
		Estimate	d Impact - Middle		
	Estimated Gallons	Revenues	Revenues	difference/	adjusted for
	of Pear Cider	\$2.25/gallon	\$0.89/gallon	<u>impact</u>	scholarship credits
2015-16	588,781	1,293,095	511,491	(781,604)	(703,444)
2016-17	653,094	1,434,341	567,361	(866,979)	(780,281)
2017-18	670,074	1,471,634	582,113	(889,521)	(800,569)
2018-19	687,496	1,509,896	597,248	(912,648)	(821,383)
2010 20	702 624	1 5 4 2 1 4 4	640 207	(000 707)	(020 454)

Assumes current forecast growth rates and cider's share of total wine increases

1,543,114

Assumes pear cider is 10% of total cider market

702,621

2019-20

Assumes 10% of revenue would be tax credits, consistent with FY2013-14 scholarship credit data for wine distributors. Revenue calculations have been adjusted for dealer collection allowances

610,387

(932,727)

(839,454)

Tax: Aviation Fuel

Issue: Tax Exemption Repeal Bill Number(s): HB 595/ SB 722

☑ Entire Bill☑ Partial Bill:

Sponsor(s): Rep. Moraitis/ Sen. Flores **Month/Year Impact Begins**: August 2015

Date of Analysis: 2/17/201

Section 1: Narrative

a. **Current Law**: The tax rate for aviation fuel, kerosene and aviation gasoline is 6.9 cents per gallon. Any licensed wholesaler or terminal supplier that delivers aviation fuel to an air carrier offering transcontinental jest service and that, after January 1, 1996, increases the air carrier's Florida workforce by more than 1000 percent and by 250 of more full time equivalent employee positions, may receive a credit or refund as the ultimate vender of the aviation fuel for the 6.9 cents excise tax previously paid.

b. **Proposed Change**: Reduces the rate of tax on aviation fuel, kerosene and aviation gasoline to 5.4 cents per gallon. Eliminates the credit or refund for licensed wholesalers or terminal suppliers that deliver aviation fuel to an air carrier offering transcontinental jest service and that, after January 1, 1996, increased the air carrier's Florida workforce by more than 1000 percent and by 250 of more full time equivalent employee positions.

Section 2: Description of Data and Sources

Historic aviation fuel tax collections, refund and credit data for 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014

Section 3: Methodology (Include Assumptions and Attach Details)

Performed a simulation using Calendar Year 2012, 2013 and 2014 data to determine the collections that would have occurred had the proposed language been in place over these periods. 2012, 2013 and 2014 were used because 2010 and 2011 had significant refunds for the 206.9835 credit. It is not known how much of these refunds were associated with prior period activity. All relevant amounts were converted to gallons using the current 6.9% tax rate. Refunds that would likely be taken by those entities that currently are totally exempt were estimated using Reemployment Tax data. After adjusting for both current and anticipated exemptions, the amount of revenues that would have been collected during these two periods in the absence of the s.206.9825 Exemption and at the proposed rate.

Section 4: Proposed Fiscal Impact

	High		Mic	ddle	Low	
	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring
2015-16	\$1.5 M	\$1.5 M	\$.1 M	\$.1 M	(\$1.5M)	(\$1.5M)
2016-17	\$1.5 M	\$1.5 M	\$.1 M	\$.1 M	(\$1.5M)	(\$1.5M)
2017-18	\$1.5 M	\$1.5 M	\$.1 M	\$.1 M	(\$1.5M)	(\$1.5M)
2018-19	\$1.5 M	\$1.5 M	\$.1 M	\$.1 M	(\$1.5M)	(\$1.5M)
2019-20	\$1.5 M	\$1.5 M	\$.1 M	\$.1 M	(\$1.5M)	(\$1.5M)

List of affected Trust Funds:

Motor Fuel Group

Section 5: Consensus Estimate (Adopted: 02/26/2015): The Conference assumed shifting market shares as a result of the tax rate changes to adopt the middle.

	GR		Tr	Trust Local		/Other	Total	
	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring
2015-16	Insignificant	Insignificant	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
2016-17	Insignificant	Insignificant	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
2017-18	Insignificant	Insignificant	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
2018-19	Insignificant	Insignificant	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
2019-20	Insignificant	Insignificant	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1

Tax: Aviation Fuel

Issue: Tax Exemption Repeal Bill Number(s): HB 595/ SB 722

☑ Entire Bill☑ Partial Bill:

Sponsor(s): Rep. Moraitis/ Sen. Flores **Month/Year Impact Begins**: August 2015

Date of Analysis: 2/17/201

Section 1: Narrative

a. Current Law: The tax rate for aviation fuel, kerosene and aviation gasoline is 6.9 cents per gallon. Any licensed wholesaler or terminal supplier that delivers aviation fuel to an air carrier offering transcontinental jest service and that, after January 1, 1996, increases the air carrier's Florida workforce by more than 1000 percent and by 250 of more full time equivalent employee positions, may receive a credit or refund as the ultimate vender of the aviation fuel for the 6.9 cents excise tax previously paid.

b. Proposed Change: Reduces the rate of tax on aviation fuel, kerosene and aviation gasoline to 5.4 cents per gallon. Eliminates the credit or refund for licensed wholesalers or terminal suppliers that deliver aviation fuel to an air carrier offering transcontinental jest service and that, after January 1, 1996, increased the air carrier's Florida workforce by more than 1000 percent and by 250 of more full time equivalent employee positions.

Section 2: Description of Data and Sources

Historic aviation fuel tax collections, refund and credit data for 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014

Section 3: Methodology (Include Assumptions and Attach Details)

Performed a simulation using Calendar Year 2012, 2013 and 2014 data to determine the collections that would have occurred had the proposed language been in place over these periods. 2012, 2013 and 2014 were used because 2010 and 2011 had significant refunds for the 206.9835 credit. It is not known how much of these refunds were associated with prior period activity. All relevant amounts were converted to gallons using the current 6.9% tax rate. Refunds that would likely be taken by those entities that currently are totally exempt were estimated using Reemployment Tax data. After adjusting for both current and anticipated exemptions, the amount of revenues that would have been collected during these two periods in the absence of the s.206.9825 Exemption and at the proposed rate.

Section 4: Proposed Fiscal Impact

	High		Mic	ddle	Low	
	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring
2015-16	\$1.5 M	\$1.5 M	\$.1 M	\$.1 M	(\$1.5M)	(\$1.5M)
2016-17	\$1.5 M	\$1.5 M	\$.1 M	\$.1 M	(\$1.5M)	(\$1.5M)
2017-18	\$1.5 M	\$1.5 M	\$.1 M	\$.1 M	(\$1.5M)	(\$1.5M)
2018-19	\$1.5 M	\$1.5 M	\$.1 M	\$.1 M	(\$1.5M)	(\$1.5M)
2019-20	\$1.5 M	\$1.5 M	\$.1 M	\$.1 M	(\$1.5M)	(\$1.5M)

List of affected Trust Funds:

Motor Fuel Group

Section 5: Consensus Estimate (Adopted: 02/26/2015): The Conference assumed shifting market shares as a result of the tax rate changes to adopt the middle.

	GR		Tr	rust Local,		/Other	Total	
	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring
2015-16	Insignificant	Insignificant	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
2016-17	Insignificant	Insignificant	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
2017-18	Insignificant	Insignificant	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
2018-19	Insignificant	Insignificant	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
2019-20	Insignificant	Insignificant	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1

		Refunds Sec	ction 206.9825
	Credits - Section 206.9825	Refunds (DI	R-26)
	Total	Total	
2010	\$9,506,33	.9 \$	11,553,767.67
2011	\$14,465,10)4 \$	2,740,144.84
2012	\$21,176,0	'3 \$	-
2013	\$21,475,63	57 \$	-
2014	\$18,471,29	1 \$	-

Applied Period	Taxable Imports (+)	Taxable Sales (Terminal) (+)	Total Credit (-)	Total Refunds (-)	Total Tax Collected (Actual)
2010	\$49,687	\$72,664,595	\$11,005,982	\$19,925,834	\$41,782,465
2011	\$27,622	\$77,652,652	\$16,154,316	\$8,425,182	\$53,100,775
2012	\$27,540	\$94,213,113	\$26,791,718	\$6,583,579	\$60,865,356
2013	\$32,079	\$90,338,099	\$26,934,367	\$6,221,996	\$57,213,816
2014	\$136,928	\$79,726,225	\$21,101,470	\$9,643,331	\$49,118,353

			Assumed Refund (206.9855) (-) for those previously receiving Credit under		
Applied Period	Total Tax Collected (Actual) (+)	Refund-Credit (206.9825) (+)	206.9825	Total Tax Collected (Adjusted)	Admin Cost
2010	\$41,782,465	\$21,060,087	\$3,079,623	\$59,762,929	
2011	\$53,100,775	\$17,205,249	\$3,617,420	\$66,688,604	
2012	\$60,865,356	\$21,176,073	\$3,563,132	\$78,478,298	\$266,878
2013	\$57,213,816	\$21,475,637	\$3,629,998	\$75,059,455	\$266,878
2014	\$49,118,353	\$17,182,522	\$2,473,040	\$63,827,835	

Simulation of Calendar Year 2012 and 2013 as if proposed law were in place

	Aviation Fuel Collections (including Admin Costs)
2012	\$60,865,356
2013	\$57,213,816
2014	\$49,118,353
	Converted to gallons by dividing by .069
2012	\$882,106,609
2013	\$829,185,734
2014	\$711,860,186
	206.9825 Credit Dollar Amounts
2012	\$21,176,073
2013	\$21,475,637
2014	1 7 - 7-
	206.9825 Credit Converted to Gallon Equivalent by dividing
	by .069
2012	\$306,899,612
2013	\$311,241,112
2014	\$249,022,061
	Amounts refunded (does not include any amounts related
	to 206.9825)
2012	\$6,583,579

2013	\$6,221,996
2014	\$9,643,331
	Amounts Refunded Converted to Gallon Equivalent by
	dividing by .069
2012	\$95,414,184
2013	\$90,173,855
2014	\$139,758,413
	Total adjusted gallons (prior to credits and refunds)
2012	\$1,284,420,405
2013	\$1,230,600,701
2014	\$1,100,640,660
	Less: Gallon equivalent for existing refunds
2012	\$95,414,184
2013	\$90,173,855
2014	\$139,758,413
	Less: Gallon Equivalent for New Refunds
2012	\$51,639,587
2013	\$52,608,664
2014	\$35,841,160
	Total Taxable Gallons that contibute to Aviation Fuel
	Revenue after elimination of 206.9825 Credit
2012	\$1,137,366,633
2013	\$1,087,818,182
2014	\$925,041,087
	Collections at New Tax Rate of .054
2012	\$61,417,798
2013	\$58,742,182
2014	\$49,952,219
	Change from Historic Collections
2012	\$552,442
2013	\$1,528,366
2014	\$833,866

Tax:	Insurance	Premium Tax
Issu	e: FIGA Ass	essments
Bill	Number(s):	HB 557/SB 836
x	Entire Bill	

Partial Bill:
Sponsor(s):

Month/Year Impact Begins: July 1, 2015

Date of Analysis: 2/25/2015

Section 1: Narrative

a. Current Law: Emergency assessments are not premium and are not subject to premium tax, to any fees, or to any commissions. An insurer is liable for all emergency assessments that the insurer collects and shall treat the failure of an insured to pay and emergency assessment as a failure to pay the premium. An insurer is not liable for uncollectable emergency assessments.

b. Proposed Change: Creates (i) under subsection 3 of section 631.57: Assessments levied under this subsection are not premium and are not subject to the premium tax, to any fees, or to any commissions. An insurer is liable for any emergency assessments that the insurer collects and shall treat the failure of an insured to pay and emergency assessment as a failure to pay the premium. An insurer is not liable for uncollectable emergency assessments.

Section 2: Description of Data and Sources

FIGA Assessment Data

Section 3: Methodology (Include Assumptions and Attach Details)

The low methodology assumes zero assessments within the forecast window. The middle methodology assumes one assessment within the forecast window. The high methodology assumes two assessments within the forecast window.

Section 4: Proposed Fiscal Impact

	Н	igh	Mid	ddle	Low		
	Cash Recurring		Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring	
2015-16	(\$2.3m)		(\$2.3m)		\$0.0		
2016-17	\$0.0		\$0.0		\$0.0		
2017-18	\$0.0		\$0.0		\$0.0		
2018-19	\$0.0		\$0.0		\$0.0		
2019-20	(\$2.3m)		\$0.0		\$0.0		

List of affected Trust Funds:

Section 5: Consensus Estimate (Adopted: 02/26/2015): The Conference adopted a negative indeterminate impact. The assessments occur on an irregular basis, happening eight times between 1994 and 2014. The largest amount of tax collected on an assessment in that period was \$6.0m, with an average of \$2.1m per assessment.

	G	iR		Trust	Loca	I/Other	Total		
	Cash Recurring		Recurring Cash Recurring		Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring	
2015-16	(Indeterminate)	(Indeterminate)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(Indeterminate)	(Indeterminate)	
2016-17	(Indeterminate)	(Indeterminate)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(Indeterminate)	(Indeterminate)	
2017-18	(Indeterminate)	(Indeterminate)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(Indeterminate)	(Indeterminate)	
2018-19	(Indeterminate)	(Indeterminate)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(Indeterminate)	(Indeterminate)	
2019-20	(Indeterminate)	(Indeterminate)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(Indeterminate)	(Indeterminate)	

FIGA All Other Account Assessment Percentage

	<u>Regular</u>	Emergency	<u>Total</u>	Regular	Emergency	Reg * 0.0175
1971	1.667%		1.667%	392,671		\$6,872
1972						\$0
1973						\$0
1974						\$0
1975	0.333%		0.333%	2,183,902		\$38,218
1976						\$0
1977						\$0
1978	1.000%		1.000%	9,381,212		\$164,171
1979						\$0
1980						\$0
1981						\$0
1982	0.500%		0.500%	7,242,170		\$126,738
1983						\$0
1984	1.000%		1.000%	16,545,490		\$289,546
1985						\$0
1986	1.000%		1.000%	25,813,221		\$451,731
1987	1.000%		1.000%	32,560,959		\$569,817
1988						\$0
1989						\$0
1990	0.250%		0.250%	8,818,718		\$154,328
1991	0.500%		0.500%	17,962,689		\$314,347
1992	2.000%		2.000%	70,088,082		\$1,226,541
1993	2.000%	2.000%	4.000%	68,696,513	67,789,215	\$1,202,189
1994	0.750%	2.000%	2.750%	30,165,241	85,905,042	\$527,892
1995		2.000%	2.000%		101,409,892	\$0
1996	0.125%	2.000%	2.125%	6,446,792	112,228,609	\$112,819
1997	0.125%	2.000%	2.125%	7,115,086	7,010,806	\$124,514
1998						\$0
1999						\$0
2000						\$0
2001	1.000%		1.000%	65,994,741		\$1,154,908
2002						\$0 \$0
2003						\$0 \$0
2004						\$0 \$0
2005 2006	2.000%	2.000%	4.000%	234,898,443	234,898,443	\$0 \$4,110,723
2007	2.000%	2.000%	2.000%	341,001,511	234,030,443	\$5,967,526
2007	2.000%		2.00076	341,001,311		\$3, 3 07,320 \$0
2009	0.800%		0.800%	124,490,778		\$2,178,589
2010	0.00070		0.00070	12 1, 130,770		\$0
2011						\$0 \$0
2012	0.900%		0.900%	137,042,984		\$2,398,252
2013				, . ,		\$0
2014						\$0
TOTAL:			-	1,206,841,203	609,242,007	
			_			

Tax: Ad Valorem

Issue: Save Our Homes "Recapture" Modification with 3rd Limitation

Bill Number(s): Draft Bill

☑ Entire Bill☑ Partial Bill:Sponsor(s): N/A

Month/Year Impact Begins: January 1, 2017 for tax bills issued November 2017

Date of Analysis: 2/26/2015

Section 1: Narrative

a. Current Law: Article VII, section 4(d) of the Florida Constitution provides in part:

- (d) All persons entitled to a homestead exemption under Section 6 of this Article shall have their homestead assessed at just value as of January 1 of the year following the effective date of this amendment. This assessment shall change only as provided in this subsection.
 - (1) Assessments subject to this subsection shall be changed annually on January 1st of each year; but those changes in assessments shall not exceed the lower of the following:
 - a. Three percent (3%) of the assessment for the prior year.
 - b. The percent change in the Consumer Price Index for all urban consumers, U.S. City Average, all items 1967=100, or successor reports for the preceding calendar year as initially reported by the United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.
 - (2) No assessment shall exceed just value.
 - (3) After any change of ownership, as provided by general law, homestead property shall be assessed at just value as of January 1 of the following year, unless the provisions of paragraph (8) apply. Thereafter, the homestead shall be assessed as provided in this subsection.
 - (4) New homestead property shall be assessed at just value as of January 1st of the year following the establishment of the homestead, unless the provisions of paragraph (8) apply. That assessment shall only change as provided in this subsection.
 - (5) Changes, additions, reductions, or improvements to homestead property shall be assessed as provided for by general law; provided, however, after the adjustment for any change, addition, reduction, or improvement, the property shall be assessed as provided in this subsection.
 - (6) In the event of a termination of homestead status, the property shall be assessed as provided by general law.

Article VII, section 4(g) and (h) of the Florida Constitution provide:

- (g) For all levies other than school district levies, assessments of residential real property, as defined by general law, which contains nine units or fewer and which is not subject to the assessment limitations set forth in subsections (a) through (d) shall change only as provided in this subsection.
 - (1) Assessments subject to this subsection shall be changed annually on the date of assessment provided by law; but those changes in assessments shall not exceed ten percent (10%) of the assessment for the prior year.
 - (2) No assessment shall exceed just value.
 - (3) After a change of ownership or control, as defined by general law, including any change of ownership of a legal entity that owns the property, such property shall be assessed at just value as of the next assessment date. Thereafter, such property shall be assessed as provided in this subsection.
 - (4) Changes, additions, reductions, or improvements to such property shall be assessed as provided for by general law; however, after the adjustment for any change, addition, reduction, or improvement, the property shall be assessed as provided in this subsection.
- (h) For all levies other than school district levies, assessments of real property that is not subject to the assessment limitations set forth in subsections (a) through (d) and (g) shall change only as provided in this subsection.
 - (1) Assessments subject to this subsection shall be changed annually on the date of assessment provided by law; but those changes in assessments shall not exceed ten percent (10%) of the assessment for the prior year.
 - (2) No assessment shall exceed just value.
 - (3) The legislature must provide that such property shall be assessed at just value as of the next assessment date after a qualifying improvement, as defined by general law, is made to such property. Thereafter, such property shall be assessed as provided in this subsection.
 - (4) The legislature may provide that such property shall be assessed at just value as of the next assessment date after a change of ownership or control, as defined by general law, including any change of ownership of the legal entity that owns the property. Thereafter, such property shall be assessed as provided in this subsection.

Tax: Ad Valorem

Issue: Save Our Homes "Recapture" Modification with 3rd Limitation

Bill Number(s): Draft Bill

(5) Changes, additions, reductions, or improvements to such property shall be assessed as provided for by general law; however, after the adjustment for any change, addition, reduction, or improvement, the property shall be assessed as provided in this subsection.

a. Proposed Change:

Amends Article VII, Section 4(d) to add a new (1)c. that creates a third limitation on the annual assessment increase in addition to the lower of 3% or the annual change in the CPI. The new limitation restricts the annual assessment increase to the growth in just value it the change is greater than or equal to zero.

Amends Article VII, Section 4(d) to add a new (2) that reads:

Except for changes, additions, reductions, or improvements to homestead property assessed as provided in paragraph (6), an assessment may not increase if the just value of the property is less than the just value of the property on the preceding January 1.

Amends Article VII, section 4(g) (1) to add the following language:

Except for changes, additions, reductions, or improvements to property assessed as provided in paragraph (5), an assessment may not increase if the just value of the property is less than the just value of the property on the preceding dare of assessment provided by law.

Amends Article VII, section 4(h) (1) to add the following language:

Except for changes, additions, reductions, or improvements to property assesses as provided in paragraph (4), an assessment may not increase if the just value of the property is less than the just value of the property on the preceding date of assessment provided by law.

Section 2: Description of Data and Sources

2014 Taxrolls

2013 Taxrolls

2012 Taxrolls

2011 Taxrolls

Section 3: Methodology (Include Assumptions and Attach Details)

Two years of taxrolls were matched at the parcel level. (2014 with 2013, 2013 with 2012, and 2012 with 2011). Those parcels with a differential in the prior year were identified for Homestead, residential Nonhomestead, and NonResidential, respectively. Those parcels with zero taxable value were removed from the analysis. The anti-recapture provisions were simulated on the historic rolls and compared to the assessed values under current law. The effect of the change is to convert what would have been taxable values into additional differential and reduced taxable value. In order to estimate middle impact, the amount of value that had zero growth between each pair of matched years was measured. In order to estimate the high impact, the amount of value that had positive growth but grew less than 1% was measured for each paired year.

The upper limit for the amount of recapture that is possible in any given year is equal to the assessed value of the prior year with a differential multiplied by the cap amount. This limit is calculated for the historic period. The actual recapture that occurred is divided by the limit in each of the historic year examined to measure the percent of possible recapture that actually occurred for those parcels with negative Just Value growth.

The current Ad Valorem forecast does not include amounts for assessed value in the prior year with a differential. In order to forecast the assessed value in the prior year that has a differential for future years, the percent of assessed value with a differential was calculated.

To attempt to measure the future impact, the following scenario was developed.

It was assumed that the percent of assessed value in the prior year with a differential was 85% for Homestead properties in each of the forecast years, grew by 2% for Non-homestead residential properties in each forecast year, and grew by 2% for Non-

Tax: Ad Valorem

Issue: Save Our Homes "Recapture" Modification with 3rd Limitation

Bill Number(s): Draft Bill

Residential properties in each forecast year. Also assumed was that the relationship between property with a negative growth, zero growth and less than 1% positive growth was that same as in 2014.

The additional assessed value that would retain a differential under the proposed recapture rule was measured. The impact in the first year (2017) is that year's measured impact for each scenario. The impact for 2018 is the impact of 2017 plus the additional assessed value that would retain a differential for 2018. For 2019, the only impact is to Homestead properties as the 10% limitation on Non-Homestead Residential properties and Non-Residential properties expires after 2018. The 2019 impact for homestead properties is the additional assessed value that would retain a differential plus the impact from 2017 and 2018. These calculated taxable value impacts were multiplied by 7.4334 mills to determine school impact and 10.9369 for school impacts.

For the new third limitation on the assessed value growth, the recapture rules were modeled on the historic rolls for 2012, 2013 and 2014. The recapture that would have been impacted by the third limitation was measured in dollar amounts and as a percent of the total possible recapture that could have occurred in that year. Impact for those parcels with no change was measured separately than those with positive growth less than 3% or CPI. The percent of possible recapture that was affected by the third limitation in each year was then used to forecast the impact in future years.

For the High impact, the percent of recapture that was affected by the third limitation in 2013 was used for both those parcels with zero growth and those parcels with positive growth less than both 3% or change in CPI. For the Middle impact, the percent of recapture that was affected by the third limitation in 2012 was used for those parcels with zero growth and 2014 for those parcels with positive growth less than both 3% or change in CPI. For the Low impact, the percent of recapture that was affected by the third limitation in 2014 was used for those parcels with zero growth and 2012 for those parcels with positive growth less than both 3% or change in CPI.

Additional Assumption – The following provision of Article VII, Section 6(a) of the Florida Constitution is not triggered by this proposed change should it be placed on the ballot and approved by voters: Every person who has the legal or equitable title to real estate and maintains thereon the permanent residence of the owner, or another legally or naturally dependent upon the owner, shall be exempt from taxation thereon, except assessments for special benefits, up to the assessed valuation of twenty-five thousand dollars and, for all levies other than school district levies, on the assessed valuation greater than fifty thousand dollars and up to seventy-five thousand dollars, upon establishment of right thereto in the manner prescribed by law. The real estate may be held by legal or equitable title, by the entireties, jointly, in common, as a condominium, or indirectly by stock ownership or membership representing the owner's or member's proprietary interest in a corporation owning a fee or a leasehold initially in excess of ninety-eight years. The exemption shall not apply with respect to any assessment roll until such roll is first determined to be in compliance with the provisions of section 4 by a state agency designated by general law. **This exemption is repealed on the effective date of any amendment to this Article which provides for the assessment of homestead property at less than just value.**

Tax: Ad Valorem

Issue: Save Our Homes "Recapture" Modification with 3rd Limitation

Bill Number(s): Draft Bill

Section 4: Proposed Fiscal Impact

Total Impact - School

	Hi	gh	Mic	ddle	Low		
	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Cash Recurring		Recurring	
2015-16							
2016-17							
2017-18	(\$15.9 M)	(\$15.9 M)	(\$11.4 M)	(\$11.4 M)	(\$9.3 M)	(\$9.3 M)	
2018-19	(\$50.6 M)	(\$50.6 M)	(\$36.3 M)	(\$36.3 M)	(\$29.7 M)	(\$29.7 M)	
2019-20	(\$87.5 M)	(\$87.5 M)	(\$62.7 M)	(\$62.7 M)	(\$53.2 M)	(\$53.2 M)	

Total Impact - NonSchool

	Hi	gh	M	iddle	Lo	w	
	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring	
2015-16							
2016-17							
2017-18	(\$68.6 M)	(\$23.3 M)	(\$61.5 M)	(\$16.7 M)	(\$56.3 M)	(\$13.7 M)	
2018-19	(\$169.9 M)	(\$74.4 M)	(\$147.7 M)	(\$53.3 M)	(\$133.6 M)	(\$43.6 M)	
2019-20	(\$96.7 M)	(\$96.7 M)	(\$92.3 M)	(\$92.3 M)	(\$75.5 M) (\$75.5		

List of affected Trust Funds: Ad Valorem Group

Section 5: Consensus Estimate (Adopted: 02/27/2015): The Conference adopted the low scenario, with the actual recapture indicated by the 2014 numbers for homestead with respect to the new third Save Our Homes limitation. They chose a decay rate with respect to the differential arising from a prior year for each subsequent year with 10% for homestead, 20% for non-homestead residential, and 30% for non-residential.

	Scho	ool	Non-S	ichool	Total Local/Other			
	Cash Recurring		Cash Recurring		Cash	Recurring		
2015-16	0.0	(87.3)	0.0	(128.5)	0.0	(215.8)		
2016-17	0.0	(87.3)	0.0	(128.5)	0.0	(215.8)		
2017-18	(10.2)	(87.3)	(57.6)	(128.5)	(67.8)	(215.8)		
2018-19	(31.4)	(87.3)	(126.3)	(128.5)	(157.7)	(215.8)		
2019-20	(51.9)	(87.3)	(76.4)	(128.5)	(128.3)	(215.8)		

	A B		С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0
1	•	•	•									•	•		•
2				Appreciation Rates				Total I	Differential - Current F						
									NonHomestead						
3		Homes		onHomestead Resident				omestead	Residential	NonResidential					
4		2011	-5.26	-6.17	-5.47		2011								
5 6 7		2012	-3.21	-2.46	-0.96		2012	\$59,992,000,000	\$5,549,000,000						
6		2013	3.36	4.43	0.43		2013	\$77,431,000,000	\$12,164,000,000						
7		2014	10.17	10.84	2.91		2014	\$133,630,000,000	\$28,677,000,000						
8		2015	6	7.5	4.25		2015	\$164,976,000,000	\$27,340,000,000						
9		2016	5	5.5	3.6		2016	\$192,208,000,000	\$33,086,000,000						
8 9 10 11		2017	4	4.5	2.9		2017	\$209,482,000,000	\$34,957,000,000						
11		2018	3.01	3.5	2.6 2.4		2018	\$214,993,000,000	\$34,427,000,000						
12		2019 2020	3.04 3.04	3.5	2.4		2019	\$222,016,000,000	\$0	\$0					
1.1		2020 2021	3.04												
15		2021	3.04												
16															
12 13 14 15 16		Prior Y	ear Assessed Value	(TV for NonResidential)			Ca	ap Amounts							
H				onHomestead				•	NonHomestead						
18		Homes			NonResidential(TV)		Н		Residential	NonResidential					
19			636,757,000,000	\$423,130,000,000	\$322,113,000,000		2011	1.5	10	10					
20			624,530,000,000	\$419,962,000,000	\$319,483,000,000		2012	3.0	10						
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27		2014 \$	629,658,000,000	\$442,671,000,000	\$324,624,000,000		2013	1.7	10	10					
22		2015 \$	648,864,000,000	\$486,802,000,000	\$338,248,000,000		2014	1.5	10	10					
23		2016 \$	671,596,000,000	\$536,221,000,000	\$354,101,000,000		2015	0.8	10	10					
24		2017 \$	6699,761,000,000	\$567,504,000,000	\$370,525,000,000		2016	0.0	10	10					
25		2018 \$	737,194,000,000	\$598,757,000,000	\$385,519,000,000		2017	1.3	10	10					
26			784,121,000,000	\$630,271,000,000	\$400,592,000,000		2018	2.7	10						
27			834,035,196,490				2019	2.7	N/A	N/A					
28		2021 \$	887,126,743,173				2020	2.5							

210

	Α	В	С	D	Е	Е	G		Н	1	j	K	1	М	N	0	
29	^	ь	C	, and the second	- 1	'	,	2021	2.5	'		K	- 1	141	- 14		-
30									2.0								
31																	
32																	
30 31 32 33		Pr	ior Year Assessed Value	with a differential				9/	6 Prior Year Assessed Value	with a differential							
33		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ioi icui rissesseu vuiu	with a differential				,	orrior real hosessed value	Homestead - Zero	Homestead with growth						
34		Н	omestead 1	NonHomestead Resident N	IonResidential(TV)			н	lomestead	growth	less than cap	NonHomestead Re	NonResidential(TV)			
35		2012	\$264,951,000,000	\$45,171,368,683	\$40,047,688,351			2012	41.61%	8		10.68%	12.43%	,			
36		2013	\$242,713,000,000	\$53,679,459,846	\$46,015,160,759			2013	38.86%			12.78%	14.40%				
37		2014	\$382,687,000,000	\$102,033,867,086	\$51,837,536,116			2014	60.78%			23.05%	15.97%				
38		2015	\$576,016,000,000	\$208,229,823,876	\$65,636,542,239			2015	88.77%			42.78%	19.40%				
39		2016	\$570,856,600,000	\$240,093,248,371	\$75,794,814,291			2016	85.00%			44.78%	21.40%				
40		2017	\$594,796,850,000	\$265,450,308,867	\$86,720,848,079			2017	85.00%			46.78%	23.40%				
41		2018	\$626,614,900,000	\$292,044,056,847	\$97,940,552,406			2018	85.00%			48.78%	25.40%				
42		2019	\$666,502,850,000	\$320,020,447,721	\$109,781,666,570			2019	85.00%			50.78%	27.40%				
43		2020	\$708,929,917,017					2020	85.00%								
44		2021	\$754,057,731,697					2021	85.00%								
45																	
46																	
47			tal Possible Recapture														
48				IonHomestead Resident N					•		would have been affected by	•					
49		2012	\$7,948,530,000	\$4,517,136,868	\$4,004,768,835			2012	49.90%	4.21%			10.12%				
50		2013	\$4,126,121,000	\$5,367,945,985	\$4,601,516,076			2013	32.83%	4.61%			13.86%				
51		2014	\$5,740,305,000	\$10,203,386,709	\$5,183,753,612			2014	10.43%	1.67%	5.58%		13.57%				
52		2015	\$4,608,128,000	\$20,822,982,388	\$6,563,654,224			2015	10.43%			10.26%	13.57%				
53		2016	\$0	\$24,009,324,837	\$7,579,481,429			2016	10.43%			10.26%	13.57%				
54		2017	\$7,732,359,050	\$26,545,030,887	\$8,672,084,808			2017	10.43%			10.26%	13.57%				
55		2018	\$16,918,602,300	\$29,204,405,685	\$9,794,055,241			2018	10.43%			10.26%	13.57%				
56		2019 2020	\$17,995,576,950	\$0	\$0			2019	10.43%			10.26%	13.57%				
50		2020 2021	\$17,723,247,925 \$18,851,443,292														- 1
34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59		2021	¥10,031,443,292						Recapture	Reconture sh	ove JV Growth Rate						
33									Homestead - negative	Homestead - Zero	Homestead with growth	NonHomestead					
									growth	growth	less than cap		NonResidential				
61								2012	\$3,966,182,096	\$334,307,012	·		\$405,364,621				
62								2012	\$1,354,703,651	\$190,341,437			\$637,860,373				
63								2013	\$598,880,973	\$95,971,012			\$703,187,060				
64								2015	\$480,761,942	Ψ33,3.1,01 2	ψ323, 714,133	\$2,136,851,322	\$890,373,475				
65								2016	\$0				\$1,028,172,568				
66								2017	\$806,710,220				\$1,176,386,510				
67								2018	\$1,765,102,901				\$1,328,584,155				
60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68								2019	\$1,877,462,719			\$0	\$0				
69								2020	\$1,849,050,871			, -	, -				

	Α	В	С	D	Е	T c	G	Н	1 1	1	ν		M	N	C	,
70	А	Impact - AV limited to		D	L	'	2021	\$1,966,754,502	ı	J	K	L	IVI	IN		
70		impact - Av illilited to	14 BIOMIII				2021	\$1,900,734,302								
71 72																
72		High - 2013 percent of	f possible recapture ap	plied to future rolls												
									Homestead - Zero	Homestead with growth	Percent of Possibl	•				
73									growth	less than cap	would have been	affected by new				
74							2012		\$334,307,012	\$237,407,581	4.21%	2.99%				
75							2013		\$190,341,437	\$424,719,764	4.61%	10.29%				
76							2014		\$95,971,012	\$320,474,795	1.67%	5.58%				
77							2015		\$212,576,826	\$474,334,862	4.61%					
78							2016		\$0	\$0						
70							2017		\$356,700,236	\$795,925,692	4.61%					
79																
80							2018		\$780,469,374	\$1,741,506,072	4.61%					
81							2019		\$830,151,122	\$1,852,363,805	4.61%	10.29%				
82																
83																
84		Middle - 2012 percent	for zero growth parce	els and 2014 for parcels w	ith growth less than	the cap										
73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85																
П									Homestead - Zero	Homestead with growth	Percent of Possibl	le Recapture that				
86									growth	less than cap	would have been					
86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96							2012		\$334,307,012	\$237,407,581	4.21%					
99							2012		\$190,341,437	\$424,719,764	4.61%					
00											1.67%	5.58%				
89							2014		\$95,971,012	\$320,474,795						
90							2015		\$193,813,133	\$257,266,622	4.21%					
91							2016		\$0	\$0	4.21%					
92							2017		\$325,215,084	\$431,688,940	4.21%	5.58%				
93							2018		\$711,579,044	\$944,546,606	4.21%	5.58%				
94							2019		\$756,875,493	\$1,004,672,894	4.21%	5.58%				
95																
96		Low - 2014 percent for	r zero growth parcels a	and 2012 for parcels with	growth less than the	e cap										
97					0											
H									Homestead - Zero	Homestead with growth	Percent of Possibl	le Recanture that				
08									growth	less than cap	would have been					
00							2012		•	\$237,407,581	4.21%					
100									\$334,307,012							
100							2013		\$190,341,437	\$424,719,764	4.61%					
101							2014		\$95,971,012	\$320,474,795	1.67%					
102							2015		\$76,955,738	\$257,266,622	1.67%	5.58%				
103							2016		\$0	\$0	1.67%	5.58%				
104							2017		\$129,130,396	\$431,688,940	1.67%	5.58%				
98 99 100 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120							2018		\$282,540,658	\$944,546,606	1.67%	5.58%				
106							2019		\$300,526,135	\$1,004,672,894	1.67%	5.58%				
107							2020		\$295,978,240	\$989,469,069	1.67%	5.58%				
108							2021		\$314,819,103	\$1,052,454,952	1.67%	5.58%				
109									. ,,	. , , - ,						
110						Incorporate	s decay rate for prior	year Homestead Different	tial of 10%			1				
111			Homestand Asses	ssed Value Impact parcels	with growth loss th		prior	•		with postive growth less that	an CPI or 3%					
112			High	Middle	Low	1011 2010			Middle	Low	an Ci i Oi 3/0					
112		2047	Ü			-		High								
113		2017	\$356,700,236	\$325,215,084	\$129,130,396			\$795,925,692	\$431,688,940	\$431,688,940						
114		2018	\$1,101,499,586	\$1,004,272,620	\$398,758,015			\$2,457,839,195	\$1,333,066,652	\$1,333,066,652						
115		2019	\$1,821,500,749	\$1,660,720,850	\$659,408,349			\$4,064,419,080	\$2,204,432,880	\$2,204,432,880						
116		2020			\$888,283,580					\$2,969,573,461						
117		2021			\$1,109,407,112	2				\$3,708,799,747						
118																
119																
120																

Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	
			I V-l I + I	وحاله حجوا والمرووية والمارو				-1		- CDI 20/			
			Value Impact parcels v	•					with postive growth less tha				
	Tax Impact	High	Mid	dle	Low		Hi			_OW			
	School	2017	\$2,651,496	\$2,417,454	\$959,878		2017	\$5,916,434	\$3,208,917	\$3,208,917			
	7.4334	2018	\$8,187,887	\$7,465,160	\$2,964,128		2018	\$18,270,102	\$9,909,218	\$9,909,218			
		2019	\$13,539,944	\$12,344,802	\$4,901,646		2019	\$30,212,453	\$16,386,431	\$16,386,431			
		2020			\$6,602,967					\$22,074,027			
		2021			\$8,246,667					\$27,568,992			
										. , ,			
	Tax Impact												
	NonSchool	2017	\$3,901,195	\$3,556,845	\$1,412,286		2017	\$8,704,960	\$4,721,339	\$4,721,339			
	10.9369	2018	\$12,046,991	\$10,983,629	\$4,361,177		2018	\$26,881,141	\$14,579,617	\$14,579,617			
		2019	\$19,921,572	\$18,163,138	\$7,211,883		2019	\$44,452,145	\$24,109,662	\$24,109,662			
		2020		. , ,	\$9,715,069			. , ,	, , ,	\$32,477,928			
		2021			\$12,133,475					\$40,562,772			

213

	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0
139															
140		_		estead Assessed Value Ir	•			NonHomestead Resid		•				dential Assessed Valu	
141			duced JV	Zero Change to JV	JV grew < 1%		204		Zero Change to JV	•		2042	Reduced JV	Zero Change to JV	Ü
142		2012		8.43%			2012		31.05%			2012		55.63%	7.75%
143		2013		14.05%			2013		47.07%			2013		98.42%	33.38%
144		2014		16.03%	20.89%		2014		64.72%	16.20%		2014		85.75%	22.83%
146		Perce	nt of Value for those	parcels that wither had	zero change in Just value	or that Just \	/alue grew by less	than 1% that were included	in Final Estimate Assu	med to be able to have JV red	duced in order fo	or Recapture Rules t	o apply		
140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151 152 153 154 155 156 157 158		2014		0.00%	6 0.00%				5.00%	5.00%				10.00%	10.00%
149			Hom	estand Assessed Value Is	maat			Nan Lamastand Dasid	lantial Assessed Value	Impact			NanDasi	dontial Assessed Valu	a Immast
150		Do	нот duced JV	estead Assessed Value Ir Zero Change to JV	Tipact JV grew < 1%			NonHomestead Resid Reduced JV	Zero Change to JV	•			Reduced JV	dential Assessed Valu Zero Change to JV	
151		2017	\$806,710,220	Ü	-		2017		\$88,153,234	-		2017		-	\$26,851,745
152		2017	\$2,491,142,099				2018		\$167,507,322			2017			\$49,121,971
154		2019	\$4,119,490,608		·		2019		\$107,307,322			2019			\$49,121,971
155		2020	\$5,549,332,027		γ		2013	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ÇÜ	γo		2013	, Jo	Ç0	70
156		2021	\$6,930,746,616												
157			+ -,,,												
158			Hom	estead Assessed Value Ir	mpact			NonHomestead Resid	lential Assessed Value	Impact			NonResi	dential Assessed Valu	e Impact
159 Tax	Impact	Re	duced JV	Zero Change to JV	JV grew < 1%			Reduced JV	Zero Change to JV	JV grew < 1%			Reduced JV	Zero Change to JV	JV grew < 1%
160 Sch	nool	2017	\$5,996,600	\$(\$0		2017	\$0	\$0	\$0		2017	\$0	\$0	\$0
161	7.4334	2018	\$18,517,656	\$0	\$0)	2018	\$0	\$0	\$0		2018	\$0	\$0	\$0
162 163 164		2019	\$30,621,821	\$0	\$0)	2019	\$0	\$0	\$0		2019	\$0	\$0	\$0
163		2020	\$41,250,405												
		2021	\$51,519,012												
165 Tax															
166 No		2017	\$8,822,909				2017		\$964,123			2017			\$293,675
167	10.9369	2018	\$27,245,372				2018	\$56,611,466	\$1,832,011			2018			\$537,242
168		2019	\$45,054,457		\$0)	2019	\$0	\$0	\$0		2019	\$0	\$0	\$0
169		2020	\$60,692,489												
170		2021	\$75,800,883												
167 168 169 170 171															
172															

214

I A I	В	С	D	E	Г с	G	н І	+ 1	К	ı	М	N	0
		growth having no chang		L	<u>'</u>	G		<u>'</u>	K	L	IVI	IN	
	Homestead - Sch		e III / ISSESSEU Vuide					Homestead - Non -School					
175	Tromesteda Son	High	Mide	dle	Lo	ow		High		M	liddle	Lo	w
176	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring		Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring
177 2015-16	0	(\$51,519,012)	0	(\$51,519,012)	0	(\$51,519,012)	2015-16	0	(\$75,800,883)	0	(\$75,800,883)	Cusii	(\$75,800,883)
178 2016-17	0	(\$51,519,012)	0	(\$51,519,012)	0	(\$51,519,012)	2016-17	0	(\$75,800,883)	0	(\$75,800,883)		(\$75,800,883)
179 2017-18	(\$5,996,600)	(\$51,519,012)	(\$5,996,600)	(\$51,519,012)	(\$5,996,600)	(\$51,519,012)	2017-18	(\$8,822,909)	(\$75,800,883)	(\$8,822,909)	(\$75,800,883)	(\$8,822,909)	(\$75,800,883)
180 2018-19	(\$18,517,656)	(\$51,519,012)	(\$18,517,656)	(\$51,519,012)	(\$18,517,656)	(\$51,519,012)	2018-19	(\$27,245,372)	(\$75,800,883)	(\$27,245,372)	(\$75,800,883)	(\$27,245,372)	(\$75,800,883)
181 2019-20	(\$30,621,821)	(\$51,519,012)	(\$30,621,821)	(\$51,519,012)	(\$30,621,821)	(\$51,519,012)	2019-20	(\$45,054,457)	(\$75,800,883)	(\$45,054,457)	(\$75,800,883)	(\$45,054,457)	(\$75,800,883)
182	(+00)011/011/	(+//	(+==/==/==/	(+///	(+==/===/===/	(+,,,		(+ 10,00 1,101)	(+: =/===/===/	(+ 10,00 1,101)	(+:0,000,000)	(+ 10/00 1/101)	(+:=)===)
183								Non-Homestead Resident	al- Non-School				
184								High		M	liddle	Lo	w
185								Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring
186							2015-16		· ·				0
187							2016-17						
188							2017-18	(\$30,998,132)		(\$30,756,754)		(\$29,792,630)	
189							2018-19	(\$58,902,139)	1	(\$58,443,477)		(\$56,611,466)	
190							2019-20	(\$30,302,133)		(\$30,443,477)		(\$30,011,400)	
191							2013 20		I .	l .			
192								Non-Residential- Non-Sch	ool				
93								High		M	iddle	Lo	w
194								Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring
195							2015-16						
196							2016-17						
197							2017-18	(\$14,263,016)		(\$13,969,341)		(\$12,866,022)	
198							2018-19	(\$26,092,437)		(\$25,555,195)		(\$23,536,807)	
199							2019-20	(\$20,032,437)		(723,333,133)		(\$25,550,007)	
255							2013 20						
200													
200 201													
200 201 202								Total Non-Scho	ol Impact	-			
200 201 202 203								Total Non-Scho	ol Impact	- M	liddle	Lo	w
200 201 202 203 204									ol Impact Recurring	M Cash	liddle Recurring	Lo Cash	w Recurring
200 201 202 203 204 205							2015-16	High		l			
200 201 202 203 204 205							2015-16 2016-17	High	Recurring	l	Recurring		Recurring
200 201 202 203 204 205 206								High	Recurring (\$75,800,883)	l	Recurring (\$75,800,883)		Recurring (\$75,800,883)
200 201 202 203 204 205 206 207 208							2016-17	High Cash	Recurring (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883)	Cash	Recurring (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883)	Cash	Recurring (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883)
200 201 202 203 204 205 206 207 208 209							2016-17 2017-18	High Cash (\$54,084,057)	Recurring (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883)	Cash (\$53,549,004)	Recurring (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883)	Cash (\$51,481,561)	Recurring (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883)
							2016-17 2017-18 2018-19	(\$54,084,057) (\$112,239,948)	Recurring (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883)	Cash (\$53,549,004) (\$111,244,043)	Recurring (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883)	Cash (\$51,481,561) (\$107,393,645)	Recurring (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883)
210							2016-17 2017-18 2018-19	(\$54,084,057) (\$112,239,948)	Recurring (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883)	Cash (\$53,549,004) (\$111,244,043)	Recurring (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883)	Cash (\$51,481,561) (\$107,393,645)	Recurring (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883)
210 211 Total Impact	Total S:	chool Impact					2016-17 2017-18 2018-19	(\$54,084,057) (\$112,239,948)	Recurring (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883)	Cash (\$53,549,004) (\$111,244,043)	Recurring (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883)	Cash (\$51,481,561) (\$107,393,645)	Recurring (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883)
210 211 Total Impact 212	Total S:	chool Impact High	Mid	dle	Lo	ow.	2016-17 2017-18 2018-19	(\$54,084,057) (\$112,239,948) (\$45,054,457)	Recurring (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883)	(\$53,549,004) (\$111,244,043) (\$45,054,457)	Recurring (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883)	Cash (\$51,481,561) (\$107,393,645)	Recurring (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883)
210 211 Total Impact 212 213	Total S		Mid Cash	dle Recurring	Lc Cash	ow Recurring	2016-17 2017-18 2018-19	(\$54,084,057) (\$112,239,948) (\$45,054,457)	Recurring (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883)	(\$53,549,004) (\$111,244,043) (\$45,054,457)	Recurring (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883)	Cash (\$51,481,561) (\$107,393,645) (\$45,054,457)	Recurring (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883)
210 211 Total Impact 212 213 214		High					2016-17 2017-18 2018-19	(\$54,084,057) (\$112,239,948) (\$45,054,457)	Recurring (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883)	(\$53,549,004) (\$111,244,043) (\$45,054,457)	Recurring (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883)	Cash (\$51,481,561) (\$107,393,645) (\$45,054,457)	Recurring (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883)
210 211 Total Impact 212 213 214 215 2015-16	Cash	High	Cash		Cash	Recurring	2016-17 2017-18 2018-19 2019-20	(\$54,084,057) (\$112,239,948) (\$45,054,457) Total Non-Scho High Cash	Recurring (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883)	(\$53,549,004) (\$111,244,043) (\$45,054,457) M Cash	Recurring (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883)	Cash (\$51,481,561) (\$107,393,645) (\$45,054,457) Lo Cash	Recurring (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) w
210 211 Total Impact 212 213 214 215 2015-16 216 2016-17	Cash 0	High	Cash 0		Cash 0	Recurring (\$87,334,671)	2016-17 2017-18 2018-19 2019-20	(\$54,084,057) (\$112,239,948) (\$45,054,457) Total Non-Scho High Cash 0	Recurring (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883)	(\$53,549,004) (\$111,244,043) (\$45,054,457) M Cash	Recurring (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883)	Cash (\$51,481,561) (\$107,393,645) (\$45,054,457) Lo Cash 0	Recurring (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) w Recurring (\$128,497,129)
184 185 186 187 188 189 190 191 191 192 193 194 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 201 202 203 204 205 206 207 208 209 211 Total Impact 212 213 214 215 2015-16 216 2016-17 217 2017-18	Cash 0	High Recurring	Cash 0 0	Recurring	Cash 0 0	Recurring (\$87,334,671) (\$87,334,671)	2016-17 2017-18 2018-19 2019-20 2015-16 2016-17	(\$54,084,057) (\$112,239,948) (\$45,054,457) Total Non-Scho High Cash 0	Recurring (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) Ol Impact Recurring	(\$53,549,004) (\$111,244,043) (\$45,054,457) M Cash 0	Recurring (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883)	Cash (\$51,481,561) (\$107,393,645) (\$45,054,457) Lo Cash 0	Recurring (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) W Recurring (\$128,497,129) (\$128,497,129)
210 211 Total Impact 212 213 214 215 2015-16 216 2016-17 217 2017-18	Cash 0 0 (\$14,564,529)	High Recurring (\$14,564,529)	Cash 0 0 (\$11,622,970)	Recurring (\$11,622,970)	Cash 0 0 (\$10,165,394)	Recurring (\$87,334,671) (\$87,334,671) (\$87,334,671)	2016-17 2017-18 2018-19 2019-20 2015-16 2016-17 2017-18	(\$54,084,057) (\$112,239,948) (\$45,054,457) Total Non-Scho High Cash 0 0 (\$66,690,211)	Recurring (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) Ol Impact Recurring (\$21,429,064)	Cash (\$53,549,004) (\$111,244,043) (\$45,054,457) M Cash 0 0 (\$61,827,187)	Recurring (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883)	Cash (\$51,481,561) (\$107,393,645) (\$45,054,457) Lo Cash 0 0 (\$57,615,186)	Recurring (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) (\$75,800,883) W Recurring (\$128,497,129) (\$128,497,129) (\$128,497,129)