#### REVENUE ESTIMATING CONFERENCE

Tax: Local Taxes and Fees

**Issue**: Prohibits local governments from requiring notices, applications, approvals, permits, fees, or mitigation for the pruning, trimming, or removal of trees on residential property if a property owner obtains specified documentation and prohibits local governments from requiring property owners to replant such trees.

Bill Number(s): CS/HB 1159 (ordered enrolled on April 26, 2019)

	Entire Bill
х	Partial Bill:

Sponsor(s): State Affairs Committee and Representative La Rosa

Month/Year Impact Begins: July 1, 2019

Date of Analysis: May 22, 2019

### **Section 1: Narrative**

### a. Current Law:

### Local Tree Pruning, Trimming, and Removal Regulations

According to a recent House staff analysis, local governments may have tree ordinances that specify the species that must be used in a given area depending on the land use, require a permit prior to trimming or removing trees, or protect certain trees because they are considered an important community resource.<sup>1</sup>

The staff analysis cites several examples of local tree ordinances. In Broward County the removal of any historical tree without first obtaining approval from the Board of County Commissioners is prohibited. Additionally, the removal of any tree without first obtaining a tree removal license from the county's Environmental Protection and Growth Management Department is prohibited. Furthermore, municipalities within Broward County may adopt and enforce their own tree preservation regulations in addition to Broward County's tree regulations. County tree regulations may be suspended during emergency conditions caused by hurricanes or other natural disasters by the county administrator.<sup>2</sup>

Orange County requires a permit or authorization to remove a protected tree unless an exemption or exception applies. The law does not restrict tree trimming or maintenance but encourages property owners to practice proper trimming habits that avoid the need for "severe" trimming of any tree. Permits are not required for trees located on single-family residential lots equal to or less than two acres with an occupied residential dwelling. Permitting requirements are waived during county-declared emergencies.<sup>3</sup>

#### Mangrove Trimming and Preservation Act

According to the same House staff analysis, Florida has three native species of mangrove trees growing along its coastline, which can be harmed or killed if not trimmed properly. The "1996 Mangrove Trimming and Preservation Act" requires the Department of Environment Protection (DEP) to regulate the trimming and alteration of mangroves statewide, except where DEP has delegated its authority to local governments that meet certain requirements and request such delegation. The Act prohibits mangrove trimming or alteration without a permit issued by DEP or a delegated local government, unless the trimming or alteration falls within certain exceptions.

**b. Proposed Change**: The legislation creates s. 163.045, F.S., as follows:

163.045 Tree pruning, trimming, or removal on residential property.—

(1) A local government may not require a notice, application, approval, permit, fee, or mitigation for the pruning, trimming, or removal of a tree on residential property if the property owner obtains documentation from an arborist certified by the International Society of Arboriculture or a Florida licensed landscape architect that the tree presents a danger to persons or property.

(2) A local government may not require a property owner to replant a tree that was pruned, trimmed, or removed in accordance with this section.

(3) This section does not apply to the exercise of specifically delegated authority for mangrove protection pursuant to ss. 403.9321-403.9333.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See House of Representatives Staff Analysis for CS/HB 1159 Private Property Rights, April 18, 2019, available at <a href="https://www.myfloridahouse.gov/Sections/Documents/loaddoc.aspx?FileName=h1159e.SAC.DOCX&DocumentType=Analysis&BillNumber=1159&Session=2019">https://www.myfloridahouse.gov/Sections/Documents/loaddoc.aspx?FileName=h1159e.SAC.DOCX&DocumentType=Analysis&BillNumber=1159&Session=2019</a> (last visited May 1, 2019).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ch.27, art. XIV, ss. 404, 405, 406, and 407; Broward County Code of Ordinances.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Ch.15, Art. VIII, ss. 278, 279(a), 279(b), 283, and 301(e); Orange County Code of Ordinances.

#### **REVENUE ESTIMATING CONFERENCE**

Tax: Local Taxes and Fees

**Issue**: Prohibits local governments from requiring notices, applications, approvals, permits, fees, or mitigation for the pruning, trimming, or removal of trees on residential property if a property owner obtains specified documentation and prohibits local governments from requiring property owners to replant such trees.

Bill Number(s): CS/HB 1159 (ordered enrolled on April 26, 2019)

### **Section 2: Description of Data and Sources**

Results of EDR survey of county and municipal governments.

Reported Local Government AFR Revenues: Acct. 329.000 Other Permits, Fees, and Special Assessments.

Reported Local Government AFR Revenues: Acct. 354.000 Fines – Local Ordinance Violations.

### Section 3: Methodology (Include Assumptions and Attach Details)

See attached spreadsheet.

### Section 4: Proposed Fiscal Impact (Millions)

## Alternative 1: 10% of Local Tree Ordinance-Related Fee & Fine Revenue Derived from Trees on Residential Property Certified to Present a Danger to Persons or Property

	Hi	igh	Mic	ddle	Low			
	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring		
2019-20	(\$0.7)	(\$0.7)	(\$0.4)	(\$0.4)	(\$0.2)	(\$0.2)		
2020-21	(\$0.8)	(\$0.8) (\$0.8)		(\$0.4)	(\$0.2)	(\$0.2)		
2021-22	(\$0.9)	(\$0.9)	(\$0.4)	(\$0.4)	(\$0.2)	(\$0.2)		
2022-23	(\$1.0)	(\$1.0)	(\$0.5)	(\$0.5)	(\$0.3)	(\$0.3)		
2023-24	(\$1.0)	(\$1.0)	(\$0.5)	(\$0.5)	(\$0.3)	(\$0.3)		

## Alternative 2: 25% of Local Tree Ordinance-Related Fee & Fine Revenue Derived from Trees on Residential Property Certified to Present a Danger to Persons or Property

	Н	igh	Mid	ddle	Low			
	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring		
2019-20	(\$1.8)	(\$1.8)	(\$0.9)	(\$0.9)	(\$0.5)	(\$0.5)		
2020-21	(\$2.0) (\$2.0)		(\$1.0)	(\$1.0)	(\$0.5)	(\$0.5)		
2021-22	(\$2.2)	(\$2.2)	(\$1.1)	(\$1.1)	(\$0.6)	(\$0.6)		
2022-23	(\$2.4)	(\$2.4)	(\$1.2)	(\$1.2)	(\$0.6)	(\$0.6)		
2023-24	(\$2.6)	(\$2.6)	(\$1.3)	(\$1.3)	(\$0.7)	(\$0.7)		

# Alternative 3: 50% of Local Tree Ordinance-Related Fee & Fine Revenue Derived from Trees on Residential Property Certified to Present a Danger to Persons or Property

	Н	igh	Mic	ldle	Low			
	Cash	Recurring	ing Cash Recurring		Cash	Recurring		
2019-20	(\$3.6)	(\$3.6)	(\$1.8)	(\$1.8)	(\$1.0)	(\$1.0)		
2020-21	(\$4.0)	(\$4.0) (\$4.0)		(\$2.0)	(\$1.1)	(\$1.1)		
2021-22	(\$4.3)	(\$4.3)	(\$2.2)	(\$2.2)	(\$1.2)	(\$1.2)		
2022-23	(\$4.8)	(\$4.8)	(\$2.4)	(\$2.4)	(\$1.3)	(\$1.3)		
2023-24	(\$5.2) (\$5.2)		(\$2.6)	(\$2.6)	(\$1.4)	(\$1.4)		

List of Affected Trust Funds: Local funds

### **REVENUE ESTIMATING CONFERENCE**

Tax: Local Taxes and Fees

**Issue**: Prohibits local governments from requiring notices, applications, approvals, permits, fees, or mitigation for the pruning, trimming, or removal of trees on residential property if a property owner obtains specified documentation and prohibits local governments from requiring property owners to replant such trees.

Bill Number(s): CS/HB 1159 (ordered enrolled on April 26, 2019)

Section 5: Consensus Estimate (Adopted: 05/22/2019): The Conference adopted the high of the Alternative 1 Scenario.

	(	GR	Tr	ust	Local	/Other	Total		
	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring	
2019-20	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(0.7)	(0.7)	(0.7)	(0.7)	
2020-21	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(8.0)	(8.0)	(0.8)	(0.8)	
2021-22	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(0.9)	(0.9)	(0.9)	(0.9)	
2022-23	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(1.0)	(1.0)	(1.0)	(1.0)	
2023-24	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(1.0)	(1.0)	(1.0)	(1.0)	

	А	В	С	D	Е	F		G	Н	l ı	1	K	1
H-				_	utu Diahta	'		<u> </u>		'	,	K	
1	Fiscal Impact A	naiysis oi CS/	ир 1123 - Ь	rivate Prope	rty Kignts								
	Issue: Prohibits Loc	al Governments	from Requiring	a Permit, Appli	cation, Notice,	Fee, Approval,	, or M	itigation fo	r the Pruning	g, Trimming,	or Removal	of a Tree on R	esidential
2	Property That Has B		-					_		-			
3	Troperty matrias 2		Tresent a Bang		rioperty								
1	I. EDR Survey of Co	unty and Munici	nal Gayarnman	+c									
4	i. EDR Survey of Co	unity and widinci	pai Governinen	ıs			_						
								and/or Fine					
			2018 Population					venue in FY					
5	County		Estimate	Local Ordinance?	Local Fee?	Local Fine?		2017-18	Comment				
6	Brevard		583,563	Yes	No	No	\$	-					pated fiscal impact.
7	Broward		1,897,976	No info	No info	No info	\$		Legislation will I	ikely have no fisc	cal impact to the	e county.	
8	Calhoun		15,093	No	No	No	\$						
9	Citrus		145,721	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$	52,000					
10	Franklin		12,009	No	No	No	\$						
11	Glades		13,002	No	No	No	\$	-					
	Hillsborough	1	1,408,864	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$	262,912					
13	Indian River		151,825	Yes	No	No	\$	-	Current ordinan	ce already provid	des such an exe	mption so no antici	pated fiscal impact.
	Marion		353,898	No	No	No	\$	-					
-	Martin		155,556	No	No	No	\$	-					
	Osceola		352,496	No	No	No	\$	-					
	Pinellas		970,532	Yes	Yes	Yes		No info	Fee and fine rev	enues are not rea	adily available.		
18	Polk		673,028	No	No	No	\$	-					
19	Sarasota		417,442	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$	435,435					
20	St. Johns		238,742	Yes	No	No	\$	-	Current ordinan	ce already provid	des such an exe	mption so no antici	pated fiscal impact.
21	St. Lucie		302,432	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$	41,100					
22	Sumter		124,935	No	No	No	\$	-					
23	Suwannee		44,879	No	No	No	\$	-					
24	Total Survey		7,861,993				\$	791,447					
25	Statewide County Pop. Le	ess Duval	19,887,707										
26	Survey % of Statewide		40%										
27													
28	<b>Estimated Statewide Cou</b>	nty Fiscal Impact			\$ 2,002,045	Methodology: Ex	trapola	ited survey res	sponders' fiscal i	mpact / populati	ion ratio to sta	tewide county pop	ulation (less Duval).
29													
30													
							Fee	and/or Fine					
			2018 Population				Re	venue in FY					
31	Municipality	<b>Respective County</b>	Estimate	Local Ordinance?	Local Fee?	Local Fine?		2017-18	Comment				
32	Alachua	Alachua	10,155	Yes	No	No	\$	-					
33	Belle Glade	Palm Beach	17,589	No	No	No	\$	-					
34	Belleair	Pinellas	3,977	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$	20,723					
35	Blountstown	Calhoun	2,498	No	No	No	\$	-					
	Campbellton	Jackson	215	No	No	No	\$	-					
37	Casselberry	Seminole	29,778	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$	-	Current ordinan	ce already provid	des such an exe	mption so no antici	pated fiscal impact.
38	Center Hill	Sumter	1,101	No	No	No	\$	-					
39	Cloud Lake	Palm Beach	137	No	No	No	\$	-					
	Coral Springs	Broward	128,757	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$	180					
	Deerfield Beach	Broward	78,573	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$	3,070					
	Dunedin	Pinellas	37,054	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$	60,000					
	Fort Lauderdale	Broward	182,827	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$	279,789					
	Fort Walton Beach	Okaloosa	20,830	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$	86					
	Frostproof	Polk	3,130	No	No	No	\$	-					
	Glen Ridge	Palm Beach	223	No	No	No	\$	_					
	Golf	Palm Beach	257	No	No	No	\$						
	Gulfport	Pinellas	12,544	Yes	No	Yes	\$	300					
40	Gunport	i iiiciius	12,344	1 53	140	1 53	ب	300				I.	

	А	В	С	D	E	F		G	Н	1		J	К	L
1	Fiscal Impact A				erty Rights									
	Issue: Prohibits Lo	cal Governments	from Requiring	a Permit Annl	ication Notice	Fee Annroval	orl	Mitigation fo	r the Prunin	g Trimr	ning	or Remov	al of a Tree on F	Posidential
			-			rcc, Approvai	, 01 1	viitigation io	, the raini	S,	6,	or itelliov	ai oi a iicc oii i	Coluctitia
2	Property That Has	been Certified to	Present a Dange	r to Persons o	roperty				Г	1				
3		0 16 1	465											
	Hampton	Bradford	465	No	No	No	\$							
-	Havana	Gadsden	1,800	No	No	No	\$							
	Horseshoe Beach	Dixie	171	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$		11-1-41111					
	Indialantic	Brevard	2,842	No info	No info	No info	\$		Legislation will	nave no fi	scai imp	act to the to	wn.	
_	Jacob City	Jackson	242	No	No	No	\$	40.000						
	Key West	Monroe	24,509	Yes	No	Yes	\$	40,000						
	Kissimmee Lake Park	Osceola Palm Beach	72,369 8,829	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes	\$	11,000 500						
	Lake Wales	Polk	15,791		No	Yes Yes	\$	500						
	Lake Worth	Palm Beach	38,257	Yes Yes	Yes	Yes	\$	2,500						+
	Lantana	Palm Beach	11,397	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$	2,500						+
_	Lantana	Pinellas	83,526	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$	10,000						+
	Largo Laurel Hill	Okaloosa	551	No	No	No	\$	10,000						+
_	Maitland	Orange	18,612	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$	7,000						+
_	Mary Esther	Okaloosa	3,971	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$	1,000						+
	McIntosh	Marion	453	Yes	No	Yes	\$	100						+
_	Melbourne Village	Brevard	673	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$	1,570						+
	Miami	Miami-Dade	481,333	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$	27,896						+
-	Micanopy	Alachua	605	No	No	No	\$	27,050						
	Naples	Collier	20,344	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$	65,075						
	New Port Richey	Pasco	15,863	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ś	6,040						
	Ocean Ridge	Palm Beach	1,827	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$							
	Orange Park	Clay	8,630	Yes	Yes	No	\$							+
	Orchid	Indian River	423	No info	No info	No info	\$		Legislation will	have no o	r verv li	ttle fiscal imr	pact to the town.	-
-	Palm Springs	Palm Beach	23,448	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$		zegisiation um	1		Title Hodai Hill		-
-	Penney Farms	Clay	766	No	No	No	Ś	_						+
	Pinellas Park	Pinellas	53,144	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$	6,292						
	Plant City	Hillsborough	38,938	Yes	No	Yes	\$							
	Plantation	Broward	89,595	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$	72,818						
-	Ponce Inlet	Volusia	3,111	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$	•	No current fee	for remov	al of tre	es that prese	ent a danger to perso	ons to property.
79	Port St. Lucie	St. Lucie	185,843	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$	35						T
80	Punta Gorda	Charlotte	19,487	Yes	No	No	\$	-						
81	Safety Harbor	Pinellas	17,470	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$	45,685						1
	Sanford	Seminole	59,033	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$	-						
83	Sarasota	Sarasota	55,832	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$	60,000						1
84	Sebastian	Indian River	24,630	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$	5,875						
85	Sopchoppy	Wakulla	473	No	No	No	\$	-						
86	St. Augustine Beach	St. Johns	6,713	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$	2,500						
	St. Leo	Pasco	1,319	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$							
88	St. Petersburg	Pinellas	266,076	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$	84,370						
89	Surfside	Miami-Dade	5,934	Yes	No	No	\$		Town is subject	to Miam	i-Dade (	County's land	Iscape ordinance.	
90	Umatilla	Lake	4,081	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$	1,170						
	Vernon	Washington	751	No	No	No	\$							
92	Weston	Broward	66,972	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$	59,183						
93	Winter Haven	Polk	42,828	No	No	No	\$	-						
-	Yankeetown	Levy	504	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$	850						
	Zolfo Springs	Hardee	1,796	No	No	No	\$	-						
	Total Survey		2,311,872				\$	875,607						
97	Statewide Municipal		10,556,970											

				_	-		- 1		T c							
	A	B	(110.4450	ᆚ	D D	_	<u> </u>	F	G	-	Н		ı	J	K	L
$\vdash$	Fiscal Impact An	-			•							Ļ				
	Issue: Prohibits Loca		-	_				Fee, Approval,	or Mitigation fo	or t	the Pruning	z, Tı	rimming, o	or Removal	of a Tree on Re	sidential
2	<b>Property That Has Be</b>	en Certified to	Present a Da	nge	er to Persons o	r Pr	operty									
3				Ĭ												
98	Survey % of Statewide		21.	9%												
99																
-	Estimated Statewide Muni	cipal Fiscal Impact				Ś	3.998.386	Methodology: Ext	rapolated survey re	spo	nders' fiscal i	mpa	ct / populati	on ratio to sta	tewide municipal p	opulation.
101							-,,			Ť			,			
-	II. Select Fee and Fin	e Revenue Coll	ections Reno	rto	d in County and	l M	lunicinal Gov	ernments' Δnn	ual Financial Re	enc	orts (Post-C	Grea	at Rocossi	on)		
103	iii Scicett ce ana tin	e nevenue con			a iii county and		ameipai Got				31 (1 030 )	J. C.	at necessi	J.,		
103		Povonuo A	cct. 329.000				Revenue Ad	cet 254 000								
104			ees, and Special	-								·				
105		•	ments			Fi	ines - Local Ordi	inance Violations			Combine	ed To	otals			
-	FY	Counties	Municipalities	,			Counties	Municipalities			Counties	М	unicipalities			
-	2009-10	\$ 70,216,512	\$ 42,372,88			\$	17,400,767	\$ 58,031,172		\$	87,617,279	_				
-	2010-11	\$ 73,679,230	\$ 59,167,93			\$	13,503,591	\$ 52,592,259		<u> </u>	87,182,821					
-	2011-12	\$ 98,324,282		_		\$	17,334,865			<u> </u>	115,659,147					
	2012-13	\$ 75,739,103		_		\$	19,076,176	\$ 63,679,575		<u> </u>	94,815,279					
	2013-14	\$ 92,645,808				\$	24,161,916	. , ,		<u> </u>	116,807,724	<del></del>				
	2014-15	\$ 103,199,849	\$ 94,565,64			\$	28,233,502	\$ 68,870,080		-	131,433,351					
-	2015-16	\$ 115,768,236	\$ 117,029,68			\$	29,160,463	\$ 70,530,517		-	144,928,699	-				
-	2016-17	\$ 113,756,392	\$ 135,971,26	-		Ś	29,264,782	\$ 69,189,798		<del>-</del>	143,021,174					
115	Data Source: Compiled from Annu	· · · · · ·			and municipalities to the	Dep		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Ť		7 -	,,			
116										$\vdash$						
	III. Projected FY 201	7-18 Evtranolat	ad Statewide	an	d Survey Resn	and	er Fiscal Imr	acts into the E	orecast Period I	l Ici	ng a Comp		nd Annual	Growth Ra	to (CAGR) Calc	ulated from
	Historical Revenue Co	•	ca State Wide		a saivey nespi	Jiiu	ici i iscai iiiip	Jaces into the r	orcease r criou v	03.	iig a comp	Jour	iiu Aiiiiuui	Giowaii ita	ite (CAGII) calci	natea nom
118	mistorical Neverlue Co	Directions								Т		Ι				
	CAGR:	Counties	Municipalities							-						
-	2009-10 to 2016-17	7.3%	10.7%	<b>&gt;</b>												
121	2009-10 to 2010-17		<u> 10.7%</u> GH		MIC	DLE		10	DW .		HIGH		MIDDLE	LOW		
122			d Statewide		50% O				esponders		поп		MIDDLE	LOW		
123	EV	Counties	Municipalities		Counties		/Junicipalities	Counties	Municipalities							
-	2017-18	\$ 2,002,045		_		_	1,999,193		•							
-					~ ±,00±,023		1,000,100	y /31,44/	1 7 0/3,00/							
-	201X-19					\$	2.214.061	\$ 848 835	\$ 969 715							
	2018-19 2019-20	\$ 2,147,214	\$ 4,428,12	22	\$ 1,073,607	_	2,214,061 2,452,022		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	¢	7.206 954	Ś	3.603 477	\$ 1,984 322		
_	2019-20	\$ 2,147,214 \$ 2,302,910	\$ 4,428,12 \$ 4,904,04	22 44	\$ 1,073,607 \$ 1,151,455	\$	2,452,022	\$ 910,385	\$ 1,073,937	\$ \$	7,206,954 7,901.012	+		\$ 1,984,322 \$ 2,165,758		
127	2019-20 2020-21	\$ 2,147,214 \$ 2,302,910 \$ 2,469,895	\$ 4,428,12 \$ 4,904,04 \$ 5,431,12	22 44 17	\$ 1,073,607 \$ 1,151,455 \$ 1,234,947	\$ \$	2,452,022 2,715,559	\$ 910,385 \$ 976,397	\$ 1,073,937 \$ 1,189,361	\$ \$ \$	7,901,012	\$	3,950,506	\$ 2,165,758		
127 128	2019-20 2020-21 2021-22	\$ 2,147,214 \$ 2,302,910 \$ 2,469,895 \$ 2,648,988	\$ 4,428,12 \$ 4,904,04 \$ 5,431,12 \$ 6,014,83	22 44 17 39	\$ 1,073,607 \$ 1,151,455 \$ 1,234,947 \$ 1,324,494	\$ \$ \$	2,452,022 2,715,559 3,007,419	\$ 910,385 \$ 976,397 \$ 1,047,196	\$ 1,073,937 \$ 1,189,361 \$ 1,317,190	\$ \$ \$ \$	7,901,012 8,663,826	\$	3,950,506 4,331,913	\$ 2,165,758 \$ 2,364,386		
127 128 129	2019-20 2020-21	\$ 2,147,214 \$ 2,302,910 \$ 2,469,895	\$ 4,428,12 \$ 4,904,04 \$ 5,431,12 \$ 6,014,83 \$ 6,661,29	22 44 17 39	\$ 1,073,607 \$ 1,151,455 \$ 1,234,947 \$ 1,324,494 \$ 1,420,533	\$ \$ \$	2,452,022 2,715,559 3,007,419 3,330,648	\$ 910,385 \$ 976,397 \$ 1,047,196 \$ 1,123,128	\$ 1,073,937 \$ 1,189,361 \$ 1,317,190 \$ 1,458,758	\$	7,901,012 8,663,826 9,502,364	\$	3,950,506 4,331,913 4,751,182	\$ 2,165,758		
127 128 129	2019-20 2020-21 2021-22 2022-23	\$ 2,147,214 \$ 2,302,910 \$ 2,469,895 \$ 2,648,988 \$ 2,841,067	\$ 4,428,12 \$ 4,904,04 \$ 5,431,12 \$ 6,014,83 \$ 6,661,29	22 44 17 39	\$ 1,073,607 \$ 1,151,455 \$ 1,234,947 \$ 1,324,494 \$ 1,420,533	\$ \$ \$	2,452,022 2,715,559 3,007,419	\$ 910,385 \$ 976,397 \$ 1,047,196 \$ 1,123,128	\$ 1,073,937 \$ 1,189,361 \$ 1,317,190 \$ 1,458,758	\$ \$ \$	7,901,012 8,663,826 9,502,364	\$ \$ \$	3,950,506 4,331,913 4,751,182	\$ 2,165,758 \$ 2,364,386 \$ 2,581,886		
127 128 129 130 131	2019-20 2020-21 2021-22 2022-23 2023-24	\$ 2,147,214 \$ 2,302,910 \$ 2,469,895 \$ 2,648,988 \$ 2,841,067 \$ 3,047,074	\$ 4,428,12 \$ 4,904,04 \$ 5,431,12 \$ 6,014,83 \$ 6,661,29 \$ 7,377,23	22 44 17 39	\$ 1,073,607 \$ 1,151,455 \$ 1,234,947 \$ 1,324,494 \$ 1,420,533	\$ \$ \$	2,452,022 2,715,559 3,007,419 3,330,648	\$ 910,385 \$ 976,397 \$ 1,047,196 \$ 1,123,128	\$ 1,073,937 \$ 1,189,361 \$ 1,317,190 \$ 1,458,758	\$ \$ \$	7,901,012 8,663,826 9,502,364	\$ \$ \$	3,950,506 4,331,913 4,751,182	\$ 2,165,758 \$ 2,364,386 \$ 2,581,886		
127 128 129 130 131 132	2019-20 2020-21 2021-22 2022-23	\$ 2,147,214 \$ 2,302,910 \$ 2,469,895 \$ 2,648,988 \$ 2,841,067 \$ 3,047,074	\$ 4,428,12 \$ 4,904,04 \$ 5,431,12 \$ 6,014,83 \$ 6,661,29 \$ 7,377,23	22 44 17 39	\$ 1,073,607 \$ 1,151,455 \$ 1,234,947 \$ 1,324,494 \$ 1,420,533	\$ \$ \$	2,452,022 2,715,559 3,007,419 3,330,648	\$ 910,385 \$ 976,397 \$ 1,047,196 \$ 1,123,128	\$ 1,073,937 \$ 1,189,361 \$ 1,317,190 \$ 1,458,758	\$ \$ \$	7,901,012 8,663,826 9,502,364	\$ \$ \$	3,950,506 4,331,913 4,751,182	\$ 2,165,758 \$ 2,364,386 \$ 2,581,886		
127 128 129 130 131 132 133	2019-20 2020-21 2021-22 2022-23 2023-24 IV. Proposed Fiscal II	\$ 2,147,214 \$ 2,302,910 \$ 2,469,895 \$ 2,648,988 \$ 2,841,067 \$ 3,047,074	\$ 4,428,12 \$ 4,904,04 \$ 5,431,12 \$ 6,014,83 \$ 6,661,29 \$ 7,377,23	22 44 17 39	\$ 1,073,607 \$ 1,151,455 \$ 1,234,947 \$ 1,324,494 \$ 1,420,533	\$ \$ \$	2,452,022 2,715,559 3,007,419 3,330,648	\$ 910,385 \$ 976,397 \$ 1,047,196 \$ 1,123,128	\$ 1,073,937 \$ 1,189,361 \$ 1,317,190 \$ 1,458,758	\$ \$ \$	7,901,012 8,663,826 9,502,364	\$ \$ \$	3,950,506 4,331,913 4,751,182	\$ 2,165,758 \$ 2,364,386 \$ 2,581,886		
127 128 129 130 131 132 133	2019-20 2020-21 2021-22 2022-23 2023-24 IV. Proposed Fiscal II	\$ 2,147,214 \$ 2,302,910 \$ 2,469,895 \$ 2,648,988 \$ 2,841,067 \$ 3,047,074 mpact Alternat	\$ 4,428,12 \$ 4,904,04 \$ 5,431,12 \$ 6,014,83 \$ 6,661,29 \$ 7,377,23	22 44 17 39 97 35	\$ 1,073,607 \$ 1,151,455 \$ 1,234,947 \$ 1,324,494 \$ 1,420,533 \$ 1,523,537	\$ \$ \$ \$	2,452,022 2,715,559 3,007,419 3,330,648 3,688,617	\$ 910,385 \$ 976,397 \$ 1,047,196 \$ 1,123,128	\$ 1,073,937 \$ 1,189,361 \$ 1,317,190 \$ 1,458,758	\$ \$ \$	7,901,012 8,663,826 9,502,364	\$ \$ \$	3,950,506 4,331,913 4,751,182	\$ 2,165,758 \$ 2,364,386 \$ 2,581,886		
127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135	2019-20 2020-21 2021-22 2022-23 2023-24 IV. Proposed Fiscal II Methodologies: High: Sum of statewide fis	\$ 2,147,214 \$ 2,302,910 \$ 2,469,895 \$ 2,648,988 \$ 2,841,067 \$ 3,047,074 mpact Alternat	\$ 4,428,12 \$ 4,904,04 \$ 5,431,12 \$ 6,014,83 \$ 6,661,29 \$ 7,377,23	22 44 17 39 97 35	\$ 1,073,607 \$ 1,151,455 \$ 1,234,947 \$ 1,324,494 \$ 1,420,533 \$ 1,523,537	\$ \$ \$ \$	2,452,022 2,715,559 3,007,419 3,330,648 3,688,617	\$ 910,385 \$ 976,397 \$ 1,047,196 \$ 1,123,128	\$ 1,073,937 \$ 1,189,361 \$ 1,317,190 \$ 1,458,758	\$ \$ \$	7,901,012 8,663,826 9,502,364	\$ \$ \$	3,950,506 4,331,913 4,751,182	\$ 2,165,758 \$ 2,364,386 \$ 2,581,886		
127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136	2019-20 2020-21 2021-22 2022-23 2023-24  IV. Proposed Fiscal II Methodologies: High: Sum of statewide fis Middle: 50% of the High	\$ 2,147,214 \$ 2,302,910 \$ 2,469,895 \$ 2,648,988 \$ 2,841,067 \$ 3,047,074 mpact Alternat	\$ 4,428,12 \$ 4,904,04 \$ 5,431,12 \$ 6,014,83 \$ 6,661,29 \$ 7,377,23 ives	22   444   44   44   44   44   44   44	\$ 1,073,607 \$ 1,151,455 \$ 1,234,947 \$ 1,324,494 \$ 1,420,533 \$ 1,523,537	\$ \$ \$ \$	2,452,022 2,715,559 3,007,419 3,330,648 3,688,617	\$ 910,385 \$ 976,397 \$ 1,047,196 \$ 1,123,128	\$ 1,073,937 \$ 1,189,361 \$ 1,317,190 \$ 1,458,758	\$ \$ \$	7,901,012 8,663,826 9,502,364	\$ \$ \$	3,950,506 4,331,913 4,751,182	\$ 2,165,758 \$ 2,364,386 \$ 2,581,886		
127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136	2019-20 2020-21 2021-22 2022-23 2023-24 IV. Proposed Fiscal II Methodologies: High: Sum of statewide fis	\$ 2,147,214 \$ 2,302,910 \$ 2,469,895 \$ 2,648,988 \$ 2,841,067 \$ 3,047,074 mpact Alternat	\$ 4,428,12 \$ 4,904,04 \$ 5,431,12 \$ 6,014,83 \$ 6,661,29 \$ 7,377,23 ives	22   444   44   44   44   44   44   44	\$ 1,073,607 \$ 1,151,455 \$ 1,234,947 \$ 1,324,494 \$ 1,420,533 \$ 1,523,537	\$ \$ \$ \$	2,452,022 2,715,559 3,007,419 3,330,648 3,688,617	\$ 910,385 \$ 976,397 \$ 1,047,196 \$ 1,123,128	\$ 1,073,937 \$ 1,189,361 \$ 1,317,190 \$ 1,458,758	\$ \$ \$	7,901,012 8,663,826 9,502,364	\$ \$ \$	3,950,506 4,331,913 4,751,182	\$ 2,165,758 \$ 2,364,386 \$ 2,581,886		
127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137	2019-20 2020-21 2021-22 2022-23 2023-24  IV. Proposed Fiscal II Methodologies: High: Sum of statewide fis Middle: 50% of the High	\$ 2,147,214 \$ 2,302,910 \$ 2,469,895 \$ 2,648,988 \$ 2,841,067 \$ 3,047,074 mpact Alternat	\$ 4,428,12 \$ 4,904,04 \$ 5,431,12 \$ 6,014,83 \$ 6,661,29 \$ 7,377,23 ives	22   444   44   44   44   44   44   44	\$ 1,073,607 \$ 1,151,455 \$ 1,234,947 \$ 1,324,494 \$ 1,420,533 \$ 1,523,537	\$ \$ \$ \$	2,452,022 2,715,559 3,007,419 3,330,648 3,688,617	\$ 910,385 \$ 976,397 \$ 1,047,196 \$ 1,123,128	\$ 1,073,937 \$ 1,189,361 \$ 1,317,190 \$ 1,458,758	\$ \$ \$	7,901,012 8,663,826 9,502,364	\$ \$ \$	3,950,506 4,331,913 4,751,182	\$ 2,165,758 \$ 2,364,386 \$ 2,581,886		

	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	ı	J	К	L
1	Fiscal Impact An	alysis of CS/	HB 1159 - P	rivate Prope	rty Rights							
	Issue: Prohibits Loca	I Governments	from Requiring	a Permit, Appli	ication, Notice,	Fee, Approval, o	or Mitigation fo	r the Pruning	g, Trimming,	or Removal	of a Tree on Ro	esidential
2	Property That Has Be					, ,	Ü	•	<i>.</i>			
3	.,,											
140	Alternative 1:	10%	of Local Tree Ord	inance-Related Fe	es & Fine Revenue	Derived from Tre	es on Residential	<b>Property Certif</b>	ied to Present a	Danger to P	ersons or Propert	y
141												
142		Hi			ddle	Lo						
	State FY	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring					
	2019-20	\$ (720,695)		. , , ,			. , , ,					
	2020-21	\$ (790,101)										
	2021-22	\$ (866,383)										
	2022-23 2023-24	\$ (950,236) \$ (1,042,431)	. , ,	,		. , ,						
148	2023-24	\$ (1,042,431)	\$ (1,042,431)	\$ (521,215)	\$ (521,215)	\$ (282,011)	\$ (282,011)					
150	Alternative 2:	25%	of Local Tree Ord	inance-Related Fe	 es & Fine Revenue	Derived from Tre	es on Residential	Property Certif	ied to Present :	Danger to P	ersons or Propert	v
151	Alternative 2.	23/0	or Local free ord	mance-Related re	es & Tille Revellue	Derived from the	es on Residential		led to Fresent a	Danger to F	ersons or Propert	, y 
152		Hi	gh	Mid	ddle	Lo	w					
153	State FY	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring					
154	2019-20	\$ (1,801,738)	\$ (1,801,738)	\$ (900,869)	\$ (900,869)	\$ (496,080)	\$ (496,080)					
155	2020-21	\$ (1,975,253)	\$ (1,975,253)	\$ (987,626)	\$ (987,626)	\$ (541,439)	\$ (541,439)					
	2021-22	\$ (2,165,957)	\$ (2,165,957)		. , , ,		, , ,					
	2022-23	1 ( // /	\$ (2,375,591)		, , ,	. , ,	. , ,					
	2023-24	\$ (2,606,077)	\$ (2,606,077)	\$ (1,303,039)	\$ (1,303,039)	\$ (705,027)	\$ (705,027)					
159												
160	Alt	F00/	- ( ) ( 7 0 1	Balata d Es	0 5'	D		<u> </u>	 			
161 162	Alternative 3:	50%	of Local Tree Ord	inance-Related Fe	es & Fine Revenue	Derived from Tre	es on Residential	Property Certif	led to Present a	Danger to P	ersons or Propert	SY
163		Hi	gh	Mid	l ddle	Lo	•					
	State FY	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring					
_	2019-20	\$ (3,603,477)										
_	2020-21	\$ (3,950,506)	. , . ,									
	2021-22	\$ (4,331,913)	. , , ,			. , , , ,	. , , , ,					
168	2022-23	\$ (4,751,182)	\$ (4,751,182)	\$ (2,375,591)	\$ (2,375,591)	\$ (1,290,943)	\$ (1,290,943)					
169	2023-24	\$ (5,212,154)	\$ (5,212,154)	\$ (2,606,077)	\$ (2,606,077)	\$ (1,410,054)	\$ (1,410,054)					