## REVENUE ESTIMATING CONFERENCE

Revenue Source: Ad Valorem
Issue: Disabled Ex-servicemember Exemption
Bill Number(s): SB1004 \& HB727

## ( Entire Bill

Partial Bill:
Sponsor(s): Senators Torres \& Pizzo and Representatives Amesty \& Chambliss
Month/Year Impact Begins: January 2025 (SB), July 2024 (HB)
Date(s) Conference Reviewed: January 26, 2024

## Section 1: Narrative

a. Current Law: Section 196.24, Florida Statutes, provides a property tax exemption of $\$ 5,000$ from all ad valorem taxation for disabled ex-servicemembers and their surviving spouses.
b. Proposed Change: The bill changes the tax exemption from $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 10,000$.

## Section 2: Description of Data and Sources

2023 Millage and Taxes Levied Report, 2023 Final Data Book published by Property Tax Oversight
Results of the Ad Valorem Estimating Conference, January 5, 2023
2023 Final NAL Real Property Tax Roll

## Section 3: Methodology (Include Assumptions and Attach Details)

All parcels receiving this exemption on the 2023 final real property tax roll are identified. Properties receiving a $\$ 5,000$ exemption today have new school and non-school taxable values calculated as either the old taxable value minus $\$ 5,000$ or zero, whichever is greater. Properties receiving a $\$ 10,000$ exemption today (two qualifying owners) have new school and nonschool taxable values calculated as either the old taxable value minus $\$ 10,000$ or zero, whichever is greater. Other values of exemption are excluded from the analysis as it is assumed they would not change with the bill. The school and non-school taxable values are summed across parcels to arrive at the impact on taxable value had the bill been in place for the 2023 roll year. The high analysis grows this value forward using the homestead growth rate of taxable value from the most recent ad valorem estimating conference, while the low analysis grows this value forward using the expected Save Our Homes cap from the same conference. Aggregate school and non-school millage rates are applied to arrive at the impact. While more than $99 \%$ of these properties are homesteads, that is not a requirement of the exemption, so the middle uses the total taxable value growth rate.

The Senate bill indicates that it first applies to the 2025 ad valorem tax roll and, as such, has a zero cash impact for Fiscal Year 2024-25. The House bill, however, only provides an effective date of July 1, 2024. This provides time for ex-servicemembers and their surviving spouses time to challenge their exemptions before the VAB based on what would be the current law at the time they receive their property tax bill. The challenge rate and subsequent success rate is unknown, leading to a negative indeterminate cash impact in the first year.

Section 4: Proposed Fiscal Impact

| SB1004 | High |  | Middle |  | Low |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring |
| $2024-25$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$(13.3 \mathrm{M})$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$(12.9 \mathrm{M})$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$(12.4 \mathrm{M})$ |
| $2025-26$ | $\$(14.6 \mathrm{M})$ | $\$(14.6 \mathrm{M})$ | $\$(14.0 \mathrm{M})$ | $\$(14.0 \mathrm{M})$ | $\$(12.8 \mathrm{M})$ | $\$(12.8 \mathrm{M})$ |
| $2026-27$ | $\$(15.8 \mathrm{M})$ | $\$(15.8 \mathrm{M})$ | $\$(14.9 \mathrm{M})$ | $\$(14.9 \mathrm{M})$ | $\$(13.0 \mathrm{M})$ | $\$(13.0 \mathrm{M})$ |
| $2027-28$ | $\$(17.1 \mathrm{M})$ | $\$(17.1 \mathrm{M})$ | $\$(15.8 \mathrm{M})$ | $\$(15.8 \mathrm{M})$ | $\$(13.3 \mathrm{M})$ | $\$(13.3 \mathrm{M})$ |
| $2028-29$ | $\$(18.3 \mathrm{M})$ | $\$(18.3 \mathrm{M})$ | $\$(16.7 \mathrm{M})$ | $\$(16.7 \mathrm{M})$ | $\$(13.6 \mathrm{M})$ | $\$(13.6 \mathrm{M})$ |


| HB727 | High |  | Middle |  | Low |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring |
| $2024-25$ | $(* *)$ | $\$(13.3 \mathrm{M})$ | $(* *)$ | $\$(12.9 \mathrm{M})$ | $\left(^{* *}\right)$ | $\$(12.4 \mathrm{M})$ |
| $2025-26$ | $\$(14.6 \mathrm{M})$ | $\$(14.6 \mathrm{M})$ | $\$(14.0 \mathrm{M})$ | $\$(14.0 \mathrm{M})$ | $\$(12.8 \mathrm{M})$ | $\$(12.8 \mathrm{M})$ |
| $2026-27$ | $\$(15.8 \mathrm{M})$ | $\$(15.8 \mathrm{M})$ | $\$(14.9 \mathrm{M})$ | $\$(14.9 \mathrm{M})$ | $\$(13.0 \mathrm{M})$ | $\$(13.0 \mathrm{M})$ |
| $2027-28$ | $\$(17.1 \mathrm{M})$ | $\$(17.1 \mathrm{M})$ | $\$(15.8 \mathrm{M})$ | $\$(15.8 \mathrm{M})$ | $\$(13.3 \mathrm{M})$ | $\$(13.3 \mathrm{M})$ |
| $2028-29$ | $\$(18.3 \mathrm{M})$ | $\$(18.3 \mathrm{M})$ | $\$(16.7 \mathrm{M})$ | $\$(16.7 \mathrm{M})$ | $\$(13.6 \mathrm{M})$ | $\$(13.6 \mathrm{M})$ |

Revenue Source: Ad Valorem
Issue: Disabled Ex-servicemember Exemption
Bill Number(s): SB1004 \& HB727
Revenue Distribution: Ad Valorem

Section 5: Consensus Estimate (Adopted: 01/26/2024): The Conference adopted the middle estimate.
SB1004

|  | School |  | Non-School |  | Total Local/Other |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring |
| $2024-25$ | 0.0 | $(4.8)$ | 0.0 | $(8.1)$ | 0.0 | $(12.9)$ |
| $2025-26$ | $(5.2)$ | $(5.2)$ | $(8.8)$ | $(8.8)$ | $(14.0)$ | $(14.0)$ |
| $2026-27$ | $(5.5)$ | $(5.5)$ | $(9.4)$ | $(9.4)$ | $(14.9)$ | $(14.9)$ |
| $2027-28$ | $(5.9)$ | $(5.9)$ | $(10.0)$ | $(10.0)$ | $(15.8)$ | $(15.8)$ |
| $2028-29$ | $(6.2)$ | $(6.2)$ | $(10.5)$ | $(10.5)$ | $(16.7)$ | $(16.7)$ |


|  | GR |  | Trust |  | Local/Other |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring |
| $2024-25$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $(12.9)$ | 0.0 | $(12.9)$ |
| $2025-26$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $(14.0)$ | $(14.0)$ | $(14.0)$ | $(14.0)$ |
| $2026-27$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $(14.9)$ | $(14.9)$ | $(14.9)$ | $(14.9)$ |
| $2027-28$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $(15.8)$ | $(15.8)$ | $(15.8)$ | $(15.8)$ |
| $2028-29$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $(16.7)$ | $(16.7)$ | $(16.7)$ | $(16.7)$ |

HB727

|  | School |  | Non-School |  | Total Local/Other |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring |
| $2024-25$ | $\left({ }^{* *}\right)$ | $(4.8)$ | $\left({ }^{* *}\right)$ | $(8.1)$ | $(* *)$ | $(12.9)$ |
| $2025-26$ | $(5.2)$ | $(5.2)$ | $(8.8)$ | $(8.8)$ | $(14.0)$ | $(14.0)$ |
| $2026-27$ | $(5.5)$ | $(5.5)$ | $(9.4)$ | $(9.4)$ | $(14.9)$ | $(14.9)$ |
| $2027-28$ | $(5.9)$ | $(5.9)$ | $(10.0)$ | $(10.0)$ | $(15.8)$ | $(15.8)$ |
| $2028-29$ | $(6.2)$ | $(6.2)$ | $(10.5)$ | $(10.5)$ | $(16.7)$ | $(16.7)$ |


|  | GR |  | Trust |  | Local/Other |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring |
| $2024-25$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $(* *)$ | $(12.9)$ | $(* *)$ | $(12.9)$ |
| $2025-26$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $(14.0)$ | $(14.0)$ | $(14.0)$ | $(14.0)$ |
| $2026-27$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $(14.9)$ | $(14.9)$ | $(14.9)$ | $(14.9)$ |
| $2027-28$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $(15.8)$ | $(15.8)$ | $(15.8)$ | $(15.8)$ |
| $2028-29$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $(16.7)$ | $(16.7)$ | $(16.7)$ | $(16.7)$ |


|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2023 Aggregate Millage Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | School | 5.9923 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Non-School | 10.3792 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 2023 Roll Stats | All Parcels | Only \$5k or \$10k |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Parcels with Exemption 35 | 158,115 | 157,288 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Total Value of Exemption | \$ 817,981,970 | \$ 798,325,000 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | School Taxes Exempted | \$ 4,901,593 | \$ 4,783,803 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | Non-School Taxes Exempted | \$ 8,489,998 | \$ 8,285,975 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Forecast | Homestead Taxable Value | Homestead TV Growth Rate | Total Taxable Value | Total TV Growth Rate | SOH Rate |  |
| 12 | 2023 | \$ 987,167,627,773 |  | \$ 2,922,616,867,844 |  |  |  |
| 13 | 2024 | \$ 1,088,436,226,735 | 10.3\% | \$ 3,129,749,047,177 | 7.1\% | 3.0\% |  |
| 14 | 2025 | \$ 1,189,636,988,417 | 9.3\% | \$ 3,376,154,653,535 | 7.9\% | 2.5\% |  |
| 15 | 2026 | \$ 1,289,015,819,625 | 8.4\% | \$ 3,604,169,536,672 | 6.8\% | 1.9\% |  |
| 16 | 2027 | \$ 1,395,067,500,524 | 8.2\% | \$ 3,831,537,599,604 | 6.3\% | 2.5\% |  |
| 17 | 2028 | \$ 1,495,685,554,662 | 7.2\% | \$ 4,046,932,679,463 | 5.6\% | 2.1\% |  |
| 18 | 2029 | \$ 1,595,601,813,663 | 6.7\% | \$ 4,261,084,289,685 | 5.3\% | 2.2\% |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  | High |  | Low |  | Low |  |
| 21 | Impact | School Taxable Value | Non-School Taxable Value | School Taxable Value | Non-School Taxable Value | School Taxable Value | Non-School Taxable Value |
| 22 | 2023 | \$ $(748,253,099)$ | \$ $(732,216,816)$ | \$ $\quad(748,253,099)$ | \$ $(732,216,816)$ | \$ $(748,253,099)$ | \$ $(732,216,816)$ |
| 23 | 2024 | \$ (825,012,649) | \$ (807,331,284) | \$ $\quad(801,283,415)$ | \$ (784,110,606) | \$ (770,700,692) | \$ (754,183,320) |
| 24 | 2025 | \$ (901,720,780) | \$ $(882,395,435)$ | \$ $\quad(864,368,577)$ | \$ $(845,843,750)$ | \$ $\quad(789,738,345)$ | \$ (772,812,965) |
| 25 | 2026 | \$ (977,047,924) | \$ (956,108,195) | \$ $\quad(922,745,316)$ | \$ (902,969,380) | \$ (804,733,096) | \$ $(787,486,355)$ |
| 26 | 2027 | \$ (1,057,432,954) | \$ (1,034,770,443) | \$ $\quad(980,956,455)$ | \$ (959,932,960) | \$ $\quad(825,240,190)$ | \$ $(807,553,948)$ |
| 27 | 2028 | \$ (1,133,699,404) | \$ (1,109,402,379) | \$ $\quad(1,036,102,252)$ | \$ (1,013,896,893) | \$ $\quad(842,834,432)$ | \$ $(824,771,117)$ |
| 28 | 2029 | \$ (1,209,433,908) | \$ (1,183,513,769) | \$ $\quad(1,090,929,694)$ | \$ (1,067,549,293) | \$ $(861,306,935)$ | \$ $(842,847,724)$ |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | Impact on School |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 |  | High |  | Middle |  | Low |  |
| 32 |  | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring |
| 33 | 2024-25 | \$(4.9 M) | \$(4.9 M) | \$(4.8 M) | \$(4.8 M) | \$(4.6 M) | \$(4.6 M) |
| 34 | 2025-26 | \$(5.4 M) | \$(5.4 M) | \$(5.2 M) | \$(5.2 M) | \$(4.7 M) | \$(4.7 M) |
| 35 | 2026-27 | \$(5.9 M) | \$(5.9 M) | \$(5.5 M) | \$(5.5 M) | \$(4.8 M) | \$(4.8 M) |
| 36 | 2027-28 | \$(6.3 M) | \$(6.3 M) | \$(5.9 M) | \$(5.9 M) | \$(4.9 M) | \$(4.9 M) |
| 37 | 2028-29 | \$(6.8 M) | \$(6.8 M) | \$(6.2 M) | \$(6.2 M) | \$(5.1 M) | \$(5.1 M) |
| 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39 | Impact on Non-School |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 |  | High |  | Middle |  | Low |  |
| 41 |  | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring |
| 42 | 2024-25 | \$(8.4 M) | \$(8.4 M) | \$(8.1 M) | \$(8.1 M) | \$(7.8 M) | \$(7.8 M) |
| 43 | 2025-26 | \$(9.2 M) | \$(9.2 M) | \$(8.8 M) | \$(8.8 M) | \$(8.0 M) | \$(8.0 M) |
| 44 | 2026-27 | \$(9.9 M) | \$(9.9 M) | \$(9.4 M) | \$(9.4 M) | \$(8.2 M) | \$(8.2 M) |
| 45 | 2027-28 | \$(10.7 M) | \$(10.7 M) | \$(10.0 M) | \$(10.0 M) | \$(8.4 M) | \$(8.4 M) |
| 46 | 2028-29 | \$(11.5 M) | \$(11.5 M) | \$(10.5 M) | \$(10.5 M) | \$(8.6 M) | \$(8.6 M) |
| 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 | Total Impact |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49 |  | High |  | Middle |  | Low |  |
| 50 |  | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring |
| 51 | 2024-25 | \$(13.3 M) | \$(13.3 M) | \$(12.9 M) | \$(12.9 M) | \$(12.4 M) | \$(12.4 M) |
| 52 | 2025-26 | \$(14.6 M) | \$(14.6 M) | \$(14.0 M) | \$(14.0 M) | \$(12.8 M) | \$(12.8 M) |
| 53 | 2026-27 | \$(15.8 M) | \$(15.8 M) | \$(14.9 M) | \$(14.9 M) | \$(13.0 M) | \$(13.0 M) |
| 54 | 2027-28 | \$(17.1 M) | \$(17.1 M) | \$(15.8 M) | \$(15.8 M) | \$(13.3 M) | \$(13.3 M) |
| 55 | 2028-29 | \$(18.3 M) | \$(18.3 M) | \$(16.7 M) | \$(16.7 M) | \$(13.6 M) | \$(13.6 M) |

Revenue Source: Ad Valorem
Issue: Affordable Housing Accessory Dwelling Units
Bill Number(s): HB1299

## (. Entire Bill

Partial Bill:
Sponsor(s): Representative Cross
Month/Year Impact Begins: January 2025
Date(s) Conference Reviewed: January 26, 2024

## Section 1: Narrative

a. Current Law: Section 196.1979, Florida Statutes, provides counties and municipalities the option to provide certain ad valorem exemptions on affordable housing within multifamily projects with 50 or more units.
b. Proposed Change: 196.1979 is amended such that accessory dwelling units used to provide affordable housing would also receive up to a 100 percent exemption.
163.31771(2)(a) defines an accessory dwelling unit as: "an ancillary or secondary living unit, that has a separate kitchen, bathroom, and sleeping area, existing either within the same structure, or on the same lot, as the primary dwelling unit."

## Section 2: Description of Data and Sources

2023 Millage and Taxes Levied Report, 2023 Final Data Book published by Property Tax Oversight
Results of the Ad Valorem Estimating Conference, January 5, 2023
2023 Final NAL Real Property Tax Roll

## Section 3: Methodology (Include Assumptions and Attach Details)

The attached workpapers attempt to identify the impact of this bill if all local governments adopted the exemption at the maximum value. The analysis focuses on the use codes where accessory dwelling units are expected to exist: Single Family Residential, Mobile Homes, and Agricultural Properties. Vacant Residential and Multi-Family with Fewer than 10 Units are also available in the workpapers for consideration. Of those properties, only those with one or more residential unit are considered.

Based on an analysis of the change in just value due to new construction for parcels that, after the construction, qualified for the partial exemption for living quarters of parents or grandparents in 193.703, it is found that the average value of such additional living quarter is approximately 35 percent of the total parcel's just value. The analysis assumes that 10 percent of properties with 2 or more residential units will participate in the affordable housing exemption and that 2.5 percent of those with 1 residential unit will participate. Using the 2023 aggregate millage rates and the total residential just value growth rate from the latest Ad Valorem Estimating Conference, the total impact reaches approximately $\$ 781$ million by Fiscal Year 2028-29.

Section 2 of the bill makes clear that these changes first impact the 2025 tax roll. The exemption is at the discretion of the local governments, both in adoption and value. The low assumes no local governments choose to implement this exemption. The middle assumes either none or some will implement the exemption. The high assumes at least one local government implements the exemption.

Section 4: Proposed Fiscal Impact

|  | High |  | Middle |  | Low |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring |
| $2024-25$ | $\$ 0$ | $\left({ }^{* *}\right)$ | $\$ 0$ | $\left(0 /^{* *}\right)$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| $2025-26$ | $\left({ }^{* *}\right)$ | $\left({ }^{* *}\right)$ | $\left(0 /{ }^{* *}\right)$ | $\left(0 /^{* *}\right)$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| $2026-27$ | $\left({ }^{* *}\right)$ | $\left({ }^{* *}\right)$ | $\left(0 /{ }^{* *}\right)$ | $\left(0 /^{* *}\right)$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| $2027-28$ | $\left({ }^{* *}\right)$ | $\left({ }^{* *}\right)$ | $\left(0 /{ }^{* *}\right)$ | $\left(0 /^{* *}\right)$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| $2028-29$ | $(* *)$ | $\left({ }^{* *}\right)$ | $\left(0 /{ }^{* *}\right)$ | $\left(0 /{ }^{* *}\right)$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |

Revenue Distribution: Ad Valorem

Revenue Source: Ad Valorem
Issue: Affordable Housing Accessory Dwelling Units
Bill Number(s): HB1299
Section 5: Consensus Estimate (Adopted: 01/26/2024): The Conference adopted a zero/negative indeterminate impact because the exemption is granted at the discretion of the local jurisdiction. If all jurisdictions fully implement the exemption at its maximum value, the impact could be $\mathbf{- \$ 4 7 0 . 1 m}$ recurring in Fiscal Year 2028-29, assuming the average just value share of the accessory building is $20 \%$ of the residential unit. This impact could be higher if the average just value share of the accessory dwelling unit is greater than $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ or the participation rates are greater than assumed in the analysis.

|  | GR |  | Trust |  | Local/Other |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring |
| 2024-25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0/(**) | 0.0 | 0/(**) |
| 2025-26 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0/(**) | 0/(**) | 0/(**) | 0/(**) |
| 2026-27 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0/(**) | 0/(**) | 0/(**) | 0/(**) |
| 2027-28 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0/(**) | 0/(**) | 0/(**) | 0/(**) |
| 2028-29 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0/(**) | 0/(**) | 0/(**) | 0/(**) |


|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2023 Aggregate Millage Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | School | 5.9923 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Non-School | 10.3792 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Assumptions - Properties with 1 Residential Unit | 7,853,730 Parcels |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Just Value Share of Accessory Dwelling |  | 35\% |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Include Vacant Residential | - | 0\% |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | Include Single Family | 5,644,259 | 100\% |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | Include Mobile Homes | 411,078 | 100\% |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | Include Multi-family fewer than 10 units | - | 0\% |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Include Agricultural Properties | 56,121 | 100\% |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Participation Rate |  | 2.5\% |  |  |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | Assumptions - Properties with 2+ Residential Units | 244,151 Parcels |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | Just Value Share of Accessory Dwelling |  | 35\% |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | Include Vacant Residential | - | 0\% |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | Include Single Family | 42,850 | 100\% |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | Include Mobile Homes | 8,017 | 100\% |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | Include Multi-family fewer than 10 units | - | 0\% |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | Include Agricultural Properties | 8,301 | 100\% |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | Participation Rate |  | 10.0\% |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  | School TV | Non-School TV | School Impact | Non-School Impact |  |  |
| 24 | Single Residential Unit | \$ (21,010,588,117) | \$ $(20,143,844,198)$ | \$ $\quad(125,901,747)$ | \$ (209,076,988) |  |  |
| 25 | 2+ Residential Units | \$ $(17,521,944,257)$ | \$ $\quad(17,127,325,383)$ | \$ $(104,996,747)$ | \$ $(177,767,936)$ |  |  |
| 26 | Total | \$ (38,532,532,374) | \$ (37,271,169,581) | \$ (230,898,494) | \$ (386,844,923) |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | Roll Year | Homestead JV | Non-Homestead Res JV | Residential JV | Growth Rate |  |  |
| 29 | 2023 | \$ 2,152,749,683,894 | \$ 1,233,675,218,598 | \$ 3,386,424,902,492 |  |  |  |
| 30 | 2024 | \$ 2,266,966,593,850 | \$ 1,276,229,652,171 | \$ 3,543,196,246,021 | 4.6\% |  |  |
| 31 | 2025 | \$ 2,382,010,696,457 | \$ 1,322,140,102,406 | \$ 3,704,150,798,863 | 4.5\% |  |  |
| 32 | 2026 | \$ 2,510,321,311,991 | \$ 1,375,622,677,337 | \$ 3,885,943,989,328 | 4.9\% |  |  |
| 33 | 2027 | \$ 2,648,974,378,373 | \$ 1,434,837,245,638 | \$ 4,083,811,624,011 | 5.1\% |  |  |
| 34 | 2028 | \$ 2,786,390,848,868 | \$ 1,494,000,022,364 | \$ 4,280,390,871,232 | 4.8\% |  |  |
| 35 | 2029 | \$ 2,922,872,023,031 | \$ 1,554,612,201,010 | \$ 4,477,484,224,041 | 4.6\% |  |  |
| 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 | Implementation | High | Middle | Low |  |  |  |
| 38 | 2024 | 100.0\% | 25.0\% | 10.0\% |  |  |  |
| 39 | 2025 | 0.0\% | 25.0\% | 10.0\% |  |  |  |
| 40 | 2026 | 0.0\% | 25.0\% | 20.0\% |  |  |  |
| 41 | 2027 | 0.0\% | 25.0\% | 20.0\% |  |  |  |
| 42 | 2028 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 40.0\% |  |  |  |
| 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 44 | Impact on School |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 |  | High |  | Middle |  | Low |  |
| 46 |  | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring |
| 47 | 2024-25 | \$(241.6 M) | \$(291.9 M) | \$(60.4 M) | \$(291.9 M) | \$(24.2 M) | \$(291.9 M) |
| 48 | 2025-26 | \$(252.6 M) | \$(291.9 M) | \$(126.3 M) | \$(291.9 M) | \$(50.5 M) | \$(291.9 M) |
| 49 | 2026-27 | \$(265.0 M) | \$(291.9 M) | \$(198.7 M) | \$(291.9 M) | \$(106.0 M) | \$(291.9 M) |
| 50 | 2027-28 | \$(278.4 M) | \$(291.9 M) | \$(278.4 M) | \$(291.9 M) | \$(167.1 M) | \$(291.9 M) |
| 51 | 2028-29 | \$(291.9 M) | \$(291.9 M) | \$(291.9 M) | \$(291.9 M) | \$(291.9 M) | \$(291.9 M) |
| 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 53 | Impact on Non-School |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 54 |  | High |  | Middle |  | Low |  |
| 55 |  | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring |
| 56 | 2024-25 | \$(404.8 M) | \$(489.0 M) | \$(101.2 M) | \$(489.0 M) | \$(40.5 M) | \$(489.0 M) |
| 57 | 2025-26 | \$(423.1 M) | \$(489.0 M) | \$(211.6 M) | \$(489.0 M) | \$(84.6 M) | \$(489.0 M) |
| 58 | 2026-27 | \$(443.9 M) | \$(489.0 M) | \$(332.9 M) | \$(489.0 M) | \$(177.6 M) | \$(489.0 M) |
| 59 | 2027-28 | \$(466.5 M) | \$(489.0 M) | \$(466.5 M) | \$(489.0 M) | \$(279.9 M) | \$(489.0 M) |
| 60 | 2028-29 | \$(489.0 M) | \$(489.0 M) | \$(489.0 M) | \$(489.0 M) | \$(489.0 M) | \$(489.0 M) |
| 61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 62 | Total Impact |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 63 |  | High |  | Middle |  | Low |  |
| 64 |  | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring |
| 65 | 2024-25 | \$(646.3 M) | \$(780.8 M) | \$(161.6 M) | \$(780.8 M) | \$(64.6 M) | \$(780.8 M) |
| 66 | 2025-26 | \$(675.7 M) | \$(780.8 M) | \$(337.9 M) | \$(780.8 M) | \$(135.1 M) | \$(780.8 M) |
| 67 | 2026-27 | \$(708.9 M) | \$(780.8 M) | \$(531.6 M) | \$(780.8 M) | \$(283.5 M) | \$(780.8 M) |
| 68 | 2027-28 | \$(745.0 M) | \$(780.8 M) | \$(745.0 M) | \$(780.8 M) | \$(447.0 M) | \$(780.8 M) |
| 69 | 2028-29 | \$(780.8 M) | \$(780.8 M) | \$(780.8 M) | \$(780.8 M) | \$(780.8 M) | \$(780.8 M) |

Revenue Source: Corporate Income Tax
Issue: Piggyback
Bill Number(s): Proposed Language
(.) Entire Bill
$\square$ Partial Bill:
Sponsor(s): N/A
Month/Year Impact Begins: July 1, 2024
Date(s) Conference Reviewed: January 26, 2024

## Section 1: Narrative

a. Current Law: In computing Florida's Corporate Income Tax, federal taxable income starts as the base. Federal taxable income is calculated using the U.S. Internal Revenue Code in effect on January 1, 2023
b. Proposed Change: The proposed language updates f.s. 220.03 (2) (c) to include all U.S. I.R.C. codes in effect on January 1, 2024.

## Section 2: Description of Data and Sources

General Explanation Of Tax Legislation Enacted In The 117th Congress, published by The Joint Committee on Taxation, part of The United States Congress

## Section 3: Methodology (Include Assumptions and Attach Details)

Review of the above referenced publication did not reveal any federal law changes that would impact the Florida CIT base.

## Section 4: Proposed Fiscal Impact

|  | High |  | Middle |  | Low |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring |
| $2023-24$ |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| $2024-25$ |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| $2025-26$ |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| $2026-27$ |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| $2027-28$ |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |

## Revenue Distribution:

Section 5: Consensus Estimate (Adopted: 01/26/2024): The Conference adopted the proposed estimate.

|  | GR |  | Trust |  | Local/Other |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring |
| $2024-25$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $2025-26$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $2026-27$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $2027-28$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $2028-29$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

## REVENUE ESTIMATING CONFERENCE

Revenue Source: Documentary Stamp Tax
Issue: Exemption for Alarm System Contractors
Bill Number(s): Proposed Language
x Entire Bill
Partial Bill:
Sponsor(s):
Month/Year Impact Begins: July 1 ${ }^{\text {st }}, 2024$
Date(s) Conference Reviewed: January 26 ${ }^{\text {th }}$, 2024

## Section 1: Narrative

a. Current Law: Notes given as payment for alarm systems are taxable at the Documentary Stamp Notes Tax Rate of 35 ¢ per $\$ 100$
b. Proposed Change: The proposed language exempts non-interest-bearing notes from the documentary stamp tax so long as they are less than $\$ 3,500$ and made as payment to an alarm systems contractor in connection with the sale of an alarm system, using the definitions of "alarm system contractor" and "alarm system" from s.489.505 F.S.

## Section 2: Description of Data and Sources

DOR Return Data

## Section 3: Methodology (Include Assumptions and Attach Details)

The impact to this bill from the 2023 session incorrectly states the Documentary Stamp Notes Tax Rate as being 35 ¢ per $\$ 1,000$ instead of per $\$ 100$. Correcting for this necessitates some change in methodology, especially given the overall confidence in the adopted impact (based on confidential discussions with industry members).

Assumptions:

- NAICS 561621: Security System Services (except Locksmiths) will contain the bulk of eligible entities.
- NAICS 238210: Electrical and Other Wiring Installation Contractions will contain a small number of eligible entities.
- The $\$ 3,500$ cap is irrelevant as there is no limit to the number of such notes that can be used to finance a single alarm system project.
- This payment system is in effect in all the major industry players and is assumed to be mirrored by the smaller players.

For the High and Middle, we started by pulling all entities in our two given NAICS (561621 and 238210) from our tax return database.

1. We then take all entities (by business partner, a unique registration identifier) in that pool and include all their other locations from the sales tax data. This is our method of estimating alarm system installation from "non-primary" entities.
2. Using this new expanded pool of businesses, we sum reported gross sales by pool section, one section for each given NAICS and one section for all the estimated non-primary activity.
For the Low, we use a newer methodology, similar to the one used for the impact on Non-residential Pest Control Services.
3. We no longer need to estimate the level of non-primary activity, we can directly measure that from the returns. We pull business partners that claim our target NAICS codes as non-primary business activities and sum gross sales. To keep consistent and comparable with the old impact, we summarize all non-primary activity together in one group.
From here on, all three impacts follow the same steps.
4. We assign Qualification Rates to each section, for each impact. Qualification Rates are the percent of sales in each section capable of being packaged into a note. For the 2023 impact, much discussion was given to the qualification rates. The overall sentiment was that they were too high but produced a reasonable estimate. After correcting for the erroneous rate, we can lower the qualification rates while keeping the impact within our expected range.
a. The qualification rate in the middle is derived in last years impact. Keeping the 2023 adopted impact constant, while correcting the tax rate, creates the proposed qualification rates.
b. The low uses the qualification rates from the middle.
c. The High is left as an exercise. It shows the adopted qualification rates and corrects the tax rate.
5. Arriving at the impact from here is arithmetic:
a. Dividing by the $\$ 3,500$ cap gives up the number of notes equivalent to the qualifying gross sales.
b. $\$ 12.25$ is the Notes Tax due on a $\$ 3,500$ note.

$$
\text { Year } 1 \text { Impact }=\frac{\text { FY23 Gross Sales } \times \text { Qualification Rate }}{\$ 3,500 \text { Cap }} \times \$ 12.25
$$

6. The year 1 impact is grown by the Forecasted Docstamp growth rate from the January 2024 GR Conference.
7. First year cash is $51 / 52$ recurring to account for the 1 -week lag in collection.

Revenue Source: Documentary Stamp Tax
Issue: Exemption for Alarm System Contractors
Bill Number(s): Proposed Language
Section 4: Proposed Fiscal Impact

|  | High |  | Middle |  | Low |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring |
| $2024-25$ | $\$(17.15) \mathrm{M}$ | $\$(17.48) \mathrm{M}$ | $\$(1.72) \mathrm{M}$ | $\$(1.76) \mathrm{M}$ | $\$(1.61) \mathrm{M}$ | $\$(1.64) \mathrm{M}$ |
| $2025-26$ | $\$(18.24) \mathrm{M}$ | $\$(18.24) \mathrm{M}$ | $\$(1.83) \mathrm{M}$ | $\$(1.83) \mathrm{M}$ | $\$(1.71) \mathrm{M}$ | $\$(1.71) \mathrm{M}$ |
| $2026-27$ | $\$(18.82) \mathrm{M}$ | $\$(18.82) \mathrm{M}$ | $\$(1.89) \mathrm{M}$ | $\$(1.89) \mathrm{M}$ | $\$(1.77) \mathrm{M}$ | $\$(1.77) \mathrm{M}$ |
| $2027-28$ | $\$(19.40) \mathrm{M}$ | $\$(19.40) \mathrm{M}$ | $\$(1.95) \mathrm{M}$ | $\$(1.95) \mathrm{M}$ | $\$(1.82) \mathrm{M}$ | $\$(1.82) \mathrm{M}$ |
| $2028-29$ | $\$(19.98) \mathrm{M}$ | $\$(19.98) \mathrm{M}$ | $\$(2.01) \mathrm{M}$ | $\$(2.01) \mathrm{M}$ | $\$(1.88) \mathrm{M}$ | $\$(1.88) \mathrm{M}$ |

Revenue Distribution: Documentary Stamp Tax

Section 5: Consensus Estimate (Adopted: 01/26/2024): The Conference adopted the middle estimate.

|  | GR |  | Trust |  | Local/Other |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring |
| $2024-25$ | $(0.7)$ | $(0.8)$ | $(1.0)$ | $(1.0)$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | $(1.7)$ | $(1.8)$ |
| $2025-26$ | $(1.0)$ | $(1.0)$ | $(0.8)$ | $(0.8)$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | $(1.8)$ | $(1.8)$ |
| $2026-27$ | $(1.0)$ | $(1.0)$ | $(0.9)$ | $(0.9)$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | $(1.9)$ | $(1.9)$ |
| $2027-28$ | $(1.0)$ | $(1.0)$ | $(0.9)$ | $(0.9)$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | $(1.9)$ | $(1.9)$ |
| $2028-29$ | $(1.1)$ | $(1.1)$ | $(0.9)$ | $(0.9)$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | $(2.0)$ | $(2.0)$ |



|  | I | J | K | L | M | N | O | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | This section is necessary to correct an error in tax rate from last year's impact. After correcting the rate, the below qulification rates arrive at the adopted impact. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Inputs From Sales Tax Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | NAICS |  | Description |  |  | 2021 Entities | 2021 Gross Sales |  |
| 5 | $561621$ |  | Security Systems Services (except Locksmiths) |  |  | 1,286 | \$ | 3,551,748,306 |
| 6 | $238210$ |  | Electrical and Other Wiring Installation Contractors |  |  | 711 | \$ | 1,967,269,224 |
| 7 | Other |  | Other Associated NAICS Dragged into the Analysis |  |  | 312 | \$ | 1,194,788,774 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | Qualification Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | NAICS |  | Adopted Equivalent |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 561621 |  | 9.04\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 238210 |  | 0.70\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | Other |  | 7.13\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | Sales Pulled in by Qualification Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 2021 Gross Sales * Qualification Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | NAICS |  | Adopted Equivalent |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 561621 |  | \$ | 320,962,071.43 |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  | 13,827,091.43 |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | Other |  | \$ | 85,176,248.57 |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | Number of Equivalent Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | Sales Pulled in by Qualification Rates / $\$ 3,500$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | NAICS |  | Adopted Equivalent |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | 561621238210 |  | 91,703.45 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 |  |  | 3,950.60 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | 238210 |  | 24,336.07 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | Year 1 Docstamp Impact |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | Number of Equivalent Notes * $\$ 12.25$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | NAICS |  | Feb 2023 Adopted |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | 561621 |  | \$ 1,123,367.25 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | 238210 |  | \$ 48,394.82 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 | Other |  | \$ 298,116.87 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 |  |  | \$ | 1,469,878.94 |  |  |  |  |
| 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39 |  |  | Adopted First | Year Feb 2023 |  |  |  |  |
| 40 |  |  | Cash | Recurring |  |  |  |  |
| 41 |  |  | \$ (1.47) | \$ (1.47) |  |  |  |  |
| 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Q | R |  | S | T | U | V |  | W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | For the middle, we present a new methodology, following the same process as adopted for Non-residential Pest Control Services earlier in the session. While the old methodology estimates "non-primary" activity, we can now measure it directly. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Inputs From Sales Tax Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | NAICS | 2023 Entities | 2023 Gross Sales |  | Apportionment |  |  | Measured Sales |  |
| 5 | 561621 | 1,379 | \$ | 4,311,479,592 |  | 100.0\% |  | \$ | 4,311,479,592 |
| 6 | 561621 Non-Primary | 2,113 | \$ | 14,833,714,288 |  | 5.0\% |  | \$ | 741,685,714 |
| 7 | 238210 | 1,192 | \$ | 2,659,268,503 |  | 100.0\% |  | \$ | 2,659,268,503 |
| 8 | 238210 Non-Primary | 1,149 |  | 2,081,396,209 |  | 5.0\% |  | \$ | 104,069,810 |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | Qualification Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | NAICS | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 561621 | 9.04\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 238210 | 0.70\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | Non-Primary | 7.13\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | Sales Pulled in by Qualification Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 2021 Gross Sales * Qualification Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | NAICS | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 561621 \$ \$ 389,616,972.14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 238210 \\ & \text { Non-Primary } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}\$ & 18,690,857.50 \\ \$ & 60,293,739.26\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | Number of Equivalent Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | Sales Pulled in by Qualification Rates / $\$ 3,500$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | NAICS | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 |  | 561621 111,319.13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | 238210 | 5,340.24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | Non-Primary | 17,226.78 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | Year 1 Docstamp Impact |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | Number of Equivalent Notes * $\$ 12.25$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | NAICS | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | $\begin{aligned} & 561621 \\ & 238210 \end{aligned}$ | \$ 1,363,659.40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 |  | \$ 65,418.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 |  | \$ 211,028.09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 | Non-Primary | \$ 1,640,105.49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39 |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 |  | Cash | Recurring |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 |  | \$ (1.61) | \$ | (1.64) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42 |  | \$ (1.71) | \$ | (1.71) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43 |  | \$ (1.77) | \$ | (1.77) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44 |  | \$ (1.82) | \$ | (1.82) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 |  | \$ (1.87) | \$ | (1.87) |  |  |  |  |  |

## REVENUE ESTIMATING CONFERENCE

Revenue Source: Documentary Stamp Tax
Issue: Exemption of Non-Mortage Related Notes
Bill Number(s): Proposed Language
x Entire Bill
Partial Bill:
Sponsor(s): NA
Month/Year Impact Begins: July 1 ${ }^{\text {st }}, 2024$
Date(s) Conference Reviewed: January 26 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2024$

## Section 1: Narrative

a. Current Law: Promissory note, non-negotiable notes, and written obligations to pay money are all taxed at the notes tax rate established in F.S. 201.08
b. Proposed Change: Exempts promissory notes, non-negotiable notes, and written obligations to pay money from the notes tax. Defines and exempts digital payment or financing platforms.

## Section 2: Description of Data and Sources

DOR Return Data
January 2024 General Revenue Conference Package

## Section 3: Methodology (Include Assumptions and Attach Details)

We start by assembling a Notes Tax base. Documentary Stamp Tax collections come to the department via three vectors: The Clerk of the Court, The DR-225 Unrecorded Documents Return, and the DR-228 Unrecorded Documents Return for Unregistered Taxpayers. While the Clerk of the Court remittances separate the Notes and Deeds Tax liabilities, the two returns do not, so we need a way to remove all Deeds Tax from their liabilities. Fortunately, we can make an easy assumption: As there exists strong incentive to record a deed transfer, we can assume almost all tax on unrecorded documents is Notes Tax. Functionally, we assume $99 \%$ to recognize the possibility that some Deeds Tax may be in there, but it's a negligible amount.

This assumption, in conjunction with our data, give us total Notes Tax Collections, and with it, the total Notes Tax Base (found by dividing the collections by the rate of $35 ¢$ per $\$ 100$ ). Our next step then is to remove the Notes Tax collected from mortgages, as mortgages are the only remaining subject of the notes tax under this language. We do this by using the Intangibles $C$ Tax, of which we assume $99 \%$ is collected on mortgages. We can calculate the value of the mortgage market for a given year by backing out the Intangibles C rate from collections and calculating the tax base, then multiplying that base by $99 \%$. We then subtract this value (the assumed value of the mortgage market) from the tax base for the Notes Tax, and the remainder is the Notes Tax base being exempted by this language.

From there, we re-apply the rate and grow for our impact. As there is not a clean growth rate for this series, we present a series of choices. The Total Docstamp Growth Rates from the GR conference are very influenced by the housing market, which is irrelevant to this impact. A lot of activity being presented are car loans, so the new light vehicles registration growth rate is presented (and used in the presented section 4). We also present population as being a safe choice.

First year cash is $51 / 52$ recurring to account for the 1 -week lag in collection.

## Section 4: Proposed Fiscal Impact

|  | High |  | Middle |  | Low |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring |
| $2024-25$ |  |  | $(523.9 \mathrm{M})$ | $(534.2 \mathrm{M})$ |  |  |
| $2025-26$ |  |  | $(546.5 \mathrm{M})$ | $(546.5 \mathrm{M})$ |  |  |
| $2026-27$ |  |  | $(547.5 \mathrm{M})$ | $(547.5 \mathrm{M})$ |  |  |
| $2027-28$ |  |  | $(543.1 \mathrm{M})$ | $(543.1 \mathrm{M})$ |  |  |
| $2028-29$ |  |  | $(540.7 \mathrm{M})$ | $(540.7 \mathrm{M})$ |  |  |

Revenue Distribution: Documentary Stamp Tax

Revenue Source: Documentary Stamp Tax
Issue: Exemption of Non-Mortage Related Notes
Bill Number(s): Proposed Language

Section 5: Consensus Estimate (Adopted: 01/26/2024): The Conference adopted the proposed estimate.

|  | GR |  | Trust |  | Local/Other |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring |
| $2024-25$ | $(217.9)$ | $(222.2)$ | $(306.0)$ | $(312.0)$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | $(523.9)$ | $(534.2)$ |
| $2025-26$ | $(244.2)$ | $(244.2)$ | $(302.4)$ | $(302.4)$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | $(546.6)$ | $(546.6)$ |
| $2026-27$ | $(260.2)$ | $(260.2)$ | $(287.3)$ | $(287.3)$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | $(547.5)$ | $(547.5)$ |
| $2027-28$ | $(274.0)$ | $(274.0)$ | $(269.1)$ | $(269.1)$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | $(543.1)$ | $(543.1)$ |
| $2028-29$ | $(288.6)$ | $(288.6)$ | $(252.1)$ | $(252.1)$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | $(540.7)$ | $(540.7)$ |



|  | I | J | K | L | M | N | 0 | P | Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 <br> 3 <br> 4 <br> 6 <br> 8 | As the language does not exempt the Notes Tax on mortgages, we need a way to remove mortgage activity from the Notes Tax base. We do this via the Intangibles C Tax. As it is almost exclusively incurred against recorded mortgages, we can say it "overlaps" with the Notes Tax on mortgages, and remove the overlap from the tax base. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Documentary Stamp Notes Tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  | Intangibles C Tax |  |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| 31 | Exempted By This Language |  |  |  |  | Assumed 1\% of IntC Activity |  |  |  |
| 32 |  |  |  |  | ortg |  |  |  |  |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## REVENUE ESTIMATING CONFERENCE

Revenue Source: Sales and Use Tax
Issue: Repeal of the Entertainment Sales Tax Exemption Program
Bill Number(s): Proposed Language

Entire Bill
Partial Bill:
Sponsor(s):
Month/Year Impact Begins: July 1, 2024
Date(s) Conference Reviewed: January 26, 2024

## Section 1: Narrative

Current Law: Florida Department of Commerce Office of Film and Entertainment (OFE) and the Department of Revenue (DOR) are responsible for administering the Entertainment Industry Sales Tax Exemption (tax exemption) program.

The tax exemption is available to production companies engaged in this state in the production of motion pictures, made-for-TV motion pictures, television series, commercial advertising, music videos, or sound recordings. This program offers sales and use tax exemptions on:

- Fabrication labor used in set design and construction for qualified motion pictures;
- Motion picture or video equipment and sound recording equipment that is purchased or leased for use in this state for certain entertainment production activities;
- Sales of master tapes, records, films, or video tapes;
- Leases or rentals of real property used as an integral part of the performance of qualified motion picture production services.

Proposed Change: An act relating to entertainment industry tax credits; repealing s. 288.1258, F.S., relating to entertainment industry qualified production companies; amending ss. 166.231 and 212.02, F.S.; conforming cross-references; conforming provisions to changes made by the act; amending s. 212.031, F.S.; removing a tax exemption on certain rental and license fees; amending s. 212.06, F.S.; removing a tax exemption on certain fabricated labor; amending s. 212.0602 , F.S.; conforming crossreferences; amending s. 212.08, F.S.; removing a tax exemption for certain equipment; amending ss. 213.053, 12 220.183, 288.0001, 290.0056, 290.007, 624.5105, and 1011.94, F.S.; conforming cross-references; conforming provisions to changes made by the act; providing an effective date.

## Section 2: Description of Data and Sources

OFE sales tax exemption application data for Fiscal Years 2013-14 through 2022-23 and the first two quarters for Fiscal Year 2023-24.

## Section 3: Methodology (Include Assumptions and Attach Details)

The analysis uses ten years of tax exemption data provided by OFE categorized by type of exemption, 90-day certificate or 12-month certificate. The provided data for FY2023-24 purchases was for the first and second quarters; this data was annualized for both the 90-day certificates and the 12-month certificates by taking the four-year average of each quarter and then using these percentages to forecast the year. The High estimate uses the average growth rate for all eleven year's exempted sales (forecasted year included). The middle estimate averages the year's Florida GDP Price Index and the average growth rate for all eleven-year's exempted sales (forecasted year included). The low estimate uses the Florida GDP Price Index as the growth rate for all years.

Revenue Source: Sales and Use Tax
Issue: Repeal of the Entertainment Sales Tax Exemption Program
Bill Number(s): Proposed Language

## Section 4: Proposed Fiscal Impact

|  | High |  | Middle |  | Low |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring |
| $2024-25$ | $\$ 28.6 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\$ 31.2 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\$ 27.8 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\$ 30.3 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\$ 27.0 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\$ 29.4 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| $2025-26$ | $\$ 33.8 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\$ 33.8 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\$ 31.9 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\$ 31.9 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\$ 30.1 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\$ 30.1 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| $2026-27$ | $\$ 36.6 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\$ 36.6 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\$ 33.6 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\$ 33.6 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\$ 30.8 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\$ 30.8 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| $2027-28$ | $\$ 39.7 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\$ 39.7 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\$ 35.4 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\$ 35.4 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\$ 31.4 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\$ 31.4 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| $2028-29$ | $\$ 43.0 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\$ 43.0 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\$ 37.2 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\$ 37.2 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\$ 32.2 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\$ 32.2 \mathrm{~m}$ |

Revenue Distribution: Sales and Use Tax

Section 5: Consensus Estimate (Adopted: 01/26/2024): The Conference adopted the middle estimate.

|  | GR |  | Trust |  | Revenue Sharing |  | Local Half Cent |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring |
| $2024-25$ | 24.6 | 26.8 | Insignificant | Insignificant | 0.8 | 0.9 | 2.4 | 2.6 |
| $2025-26$ | 28.3 | 28.3 | Insignificant | Insignificant | 0.9 | 0.9 | 2.7 | 2.7 |
| $2026-27$ | 29.7 | 29.7 | Insignificant | Insignificant | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2.9 | 2.9 |
| $2027-28$ | 31.3 | 31.3 | Insignificant | Insignificant | 1.1 | 1.1 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| $2028-29$ | 32.9 | 32.9 | Insignificant | Insignificant | 1.1 | 1.1 | 3.2 | 3.2 |


|  | $6 \%$ Sub-Total |  | Add: Local Option |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring |
| $2024-25$ | 27.8 | 30.3 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 31.2 | 34.0 |
| $2025-26$ | 31.9 | 31.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 35.8 | 35.8 |
| $2026-27$ | 33.6 | 33.6 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 37.7 | 37.7 |
| $2027-28$ | 35.4 | 35.4 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 39.7 | 39.7 |
| $2028-29$ | 37.2 | 37.2 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 41.7 | 41.7 |


| Counts |  |  |  | Percent |  |  |  | Exempt Sales Amount |  |  |  | Exempt Sales Percent |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 12 Month | 90 Day |  |  | 12 Month | 90 Day |  | 12 Month 90 Day |  |  |  | 12 Month 90 Day |  |  |  |
| Fiscal Year | Count | Count | Total | Fiscal Year | Percent | Percent | Total | Fiscal Year | Amount | Amount | Total | Fiscal Year | Amount | Amount | Total |
| 2013-14 | 457 | 341 | 798 | 2013-14 | 57\% | 43\% | 100\% | 2013-14 | 281,755,337 | 95,135,319 | 376,890,656 | 2013-14 | 75\% | 25\% | 100\% |
| 2014-15 | 470 | 344 | 814 | 2014-15 | 58\% | 42\% | 100\% | 2014-15 | 301,570,411 | 118,965,620 | 420,536,031 | 2014-15 | 72\% | 28\% | 100\% |
| 2015-16 | 463 | 367 | 830 | 2015-16 | 56\% | 44\% | 100\% | 2015-16 | 294,967,726 | 152,127,660 | 447,095,386 | 2015-16 | 66\% | 34\% | 100\% |
| 2016-17 | 485 | 350 | 835 | 2016-17 | 58\% | 42\% | 100\% | 2016-17 | 290,933,464 | 80,199,189 | 371,132,653 | 2016-17 | 78\% | 22\% | 100\% |
| 2017-18 | 538 | 405 | 943 | 2017-18 | 57\% | 43\% | 100\% | 2017-18 | 276,781,161 | 58,207,540 | 334,988,701 | 2017-18 | 83\% | 17\% | 100\% |
| 2018-19 | 555 | 465 | 1020 | 2018-19 | 54\% | 46\% | 100\% | 2018-19 | 297,826,591 | 55,053,357 | 352,879,948 | 2018-19 | 84\% | 16\% | 100\% |
| 2019-20 | 529 | 332 | 861 | 2019-20 | 61\% | 39\% | 100\% | 2019-20 | 247,792,637 | 67,539,008 | 315,331,645 | 2019-20 | 79\% | 21\% | 100\% |
| 2020-21 | 611 | 343 | 954 | 2020-21 | 64\% | 36\% | 100\% | 2020-21 | 266,763,163 | 70,028,471 | 336,791,634 | 2020-21 | 79\% | 21\% | 100\% |
| 2021-22 | 742 | 466 | 1208 | 2021-22 | 61\% | 39\% | 100\% | 2021-22 | 502,882,540 | 105,140,966 | 608,023,507 | 2021-22 | 83\% | 17\% | 100\% |
| 2022-23 | 666 | 400 | 1066 | 2022-23 | 62\% | 38\% | 100\% | 2022-23 | 469,579,777 | 65,049,690 | 534,629,468 | 2022-23 | 88\% | 12\% | 100\% |
| 2023-24 | 618 | 478 | 1095 | 2023-24 | 56\% | 44\% | 100\% | 2023-24 | 441,642,764 | 38,136,418 | 479,779,182 | 2023-24 | 92\% | 8\% | 100\% |
|  |  |  |  | Average | 59\% | 41\% |  |  |  |  |  | Average | 80\% | 20\% |  |
|  |  |  |  | 5 Year Average | 60\% | 40\% |  |  |  |  |  | 5 Year Average | 88\% | 12\% |  |
|  |  |  |  | 3 Year Average | 60\% | 40\% |  |  |  |  |  | 3 Year Average | 88\% | 12\% |  |


| Exempt Purchases and Exempted SUT |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fiscal Year | Exempt Purchases | SUT Exempted | Growth |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ | $251,755,588$ | $15,105,335$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $299,064,313$ | $17,943,859$ | $19 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $318,608,627$ | $19,116,518$ | $7 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $259,482,666$ | $15,568,960$ | $-19 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $247,599,762$ | $14,855,986$ | $-5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ | $270,400,800$ | $16,227,048$ | $9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9 - 2 0}$ | $31,531,645$ | $18,919,899$ | $17 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | $336,222,234$ | $20,17,334$ | $7 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ | $534,658,466$ | $32,079,508$ | $59 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 2 - 2 3}$ | $534,629,468$ | $32,077,768$ | $0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 3 - 2 4}$ | $479,779,182$ | $28,786,751$ | $-10 \%$ |


| Forecast Variables |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Fiscal Year | Percent Change |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 4 - 2 5}$ | $2.30 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 5 - 2 6}$ | $2.20 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 6 - 2 7}$ | $2.20 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 7 - 2 8}$ | $2.20 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 8 - 2 9}$ | $2.30 \%$ |
| Average Growth | $8.34 \%$ |
| Retention Factor | $100.00 \%$ |


| Average Growth Rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fiscal Year | Exempt Purchases | SUT Exempted |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 4 - 2 5}$ | $519,789,373$ | $31,187,362$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 5 - 2 6}$ | $563,136,131$ | $33,788,168$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 6 - 2 7}$ | $610,07,702$ | 36,655862 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 7}-\mathbf{2 8}$ | $660,975,536$ | $3,658,532$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 8 - 2 9}$ | $716,096,221$ | $42,965,773$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 , 0 7 0 , 0 9 4 , 9 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 4 , 2 0 5 , 6 9 8}$ |


| Blended Growth Rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fiscal Year | Exempt Purchases | SUT Exempted |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 4 - 2 5}$ | $505,301,739$ | $30,318,104$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 5 - 2 6}$ | $531,929,353$ | $31,915,761$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 6 - 2 7}$ | 5599960,149 | $33,57,609$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 7 - 2 8}$ | $589,468,069$ | $3,368,084$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 8 - 2 9}$ | $620,825,686$ | $37,249,541$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 , 8 0 7}, 484,997$ | $\mathbf{1 6 8 , 4 4 9 , 1 0 0}$ |


| Florida GDP Price Index |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fiscal Year | Exempt Purchases | SUT Exempted |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 4 - 2 5}$ | $490,814,104$ | $29,448,846$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 5 - 2 6}$ | $501,612,014$ | $30,096,721$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 6 - 2 7}$ | $552,647,48$ | $30,75,849$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 7 - 2 8}$ | $523,925,723$ | $31,4355,543$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 8 - 2 9}$ | $535,976,014$ | $32,1585,561$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 , 5 6 4 , 9 7 5 , 3 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 3 , 8 9 8}, 520$ |

## REVENUE ESTIMATING CONFERENCE

Revenue Source: Various Taxes \& Fees
Issue: Taxation - Sales Tax - Forwarding Agents
Bill Number(s): Proposed LanguageEntire Bill
Partial Bill:
Sponsor(s): Senator Rodriguez
Month/Year Impact Begins: July 1 ${ }^{\text {st }}, 2024$.
Date(s) Conference Reviewed: January 26 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2024$.

## Section 1: Narrative

a. Current Law: A forwarding agent, defined as a person or business whose principal business activity is facilitating the international export of personal property, must apply to the Department of Revenue for a certificate, and provide information including the agent's address, commitment to export, total revenue statements, and income tax returns. A forwarding agent that receives a certificate shall register as a dealer with the Department and maintain records of business transactions and federal returns for the Department's review. The certificate issued expires after five years and requires submission of a new application for renewal. The Department is responsible for providing and updating an online database of active forwarding agents. A dealer who accepts a valid copy of a certificate or relies on the list of agents' names and addresses provided by the Department to ship purchased tangible personal property is not liable for any tax due on sales.
b. Proposed Change: Forwarding agents who are already registered as dealers with the Department do not need to submit a Florida Business Tax application when requesting certification. Additionally, agents must inform the Department within 30 days of any business cessation, address changes, or shifts in principal business activity away from facilitating international exports. Although certificates expire five years after issuance, the Department may not require forwarding agents to reapply for a certificate of address during this period. The Department's website will indicate if an address has received a Florida Certificate of Forwarding Agent Address. Importantly, dealers cannot collect tax on tangible personal property shipped to any certified address listed on the Department's website.

## Section 2: Description of Data and Sources

## Section 3: Methodology (Include Assumptions and Attach Details)

This language provides clarification on the application process for a forwarding agent certification and details the obligation of forwarding agents to reveal substantive changes in business operations to the Department. In addition, the law clarifies that the Department may not require agents to reapply for an address certificate if they already have a valid one. Finally, the changes proposed under the law re-establish the principle that dealers may not collect tax on tangible personal property shipped, given that it is sent to a certified address. While this may result in a substantial cost to the Department, it doesn't appear to have any revenue impact on the State, this is presented as the middle.

## Section 4: Proposed Fiscal Impact

|  | High |  | Middle |  | Low |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring |
| $2024-25$ |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| $2025-26$ |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| $2026-27$ |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| $2027-28$ |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| $2028-29$ |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |

Section 5: Consensus Estimate (Adopted 01/26/2024): The Conference adopted the proposed estimate.

|  | GR |  | Trust |  | Local/Other |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring |
| $2024-25$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $2025-26$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $2026-27$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $2027-28$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $2028-29$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

## REVENUE ESTIMATING CONFERENCE

Revenue Source: Sales and Use Tax
Issue: Gas Ranges and Cooktops Sales Tax Exemption
Bill Number(s): Proposed Language

## $\square$ Entire Bill

$\square$ Partial Bill:

## Sponsor(s)

Month/Year Impact Begins: Effective date: July 1, 2024, impact on sales tax collections begins 8/1/2024
Date(s) Conference Reviewed: January 26, 2024

## Section 1: Narrative

a. Current Law: Under current law in Ch. 212, F.S., gas ranges and cooktops purchased in store or online are subject to the $6 \%$ Sales and Use Tax.
b. Proposed Change: The proposed language exempts from sales tax the retail sales of gas ranges and cooktops when installed in a kitchen for fiscal year 2024-25.

## Definition of the exempt items

For the purposes of this section, the term "gas range and cooktop" means any range and/or cooktop that is installed in a kitchen; includes an oven, a cooktop, or both; and is fueled by combustible gas such as natural gas, propane, butane, liquefied petroleum gas, or other flammable gas. The term does not include outdoor gas grills, camping stoves, or other portable ranges. The language does not specifically exclude dual fuel gas ranges and cooktops, for example gas and electric.

## Section 2: Description of Data and Sources

- EIA
- Florida Natural Gas Number of Residential Consumers - Sales Florida Natural Gas Number of Residential Consumers - Sales (Number of Elements) (eia.gov)
- Florida Natural Gas Number of Commercial Consumers - Sales

Florida Natural Gas Number of Commercial Consumers - Sales (Number of Elements) (eia.gov)

- "Highlights for appliances in U.S. homes by state, 2020"

State Appliances.pdf (eia.gov)

- Commercial Building Energy Consumption Survey (CBECS)

Energy Information Administration (EIA)-Commercial Buildings Energy Consumption Survey (CBECS)

- Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS)
U.S. Energy Information Administration - EIA - Independent Statistics and Analysis
- Gas range and cooktop rebate data provided by the Florida Public Service Commission
- Price data for gas range and cooktops pulled from Katom Restaurant Supply, Inc. and Home Depot using the Web Scraper application


## Section 3: Methodology (Include Assumptions and Attach Details)

To estimate the sales tax exemption impact of gas range and cooktop appliances, Florida's total natural gas sales are shared out to the gas range and cooktop appliance level using (1) gas range and cooktop appliance rebate data provided by the Florida Public Service Commission, (2) EIA's Commercial Building Energy Consumption Survey, and (3) EIA's Residential Energy Consumption Survey.

- Step 1: EIA's total natural gas sales to Florida's residential and commercial consumers was used as the starting basis of this analysis. Actuals were available to FY2022-23. From FY2023-24 to FY2024-25, 3-year averages of the residential and commercial sales actuals were used to estimate either series' sales.
- Step 2: Shares of residential and commercial customer types were calculated, respectively, from 3-year averages of sales data actuals.
- Step 3: Gas range and cooktop rebate data from one of Florida's largest natural gas service providers, People's Gas (PG), was used to estimate the relationship between total natural gas customers and natural gas range and cooktop appliance customers.


## REVENUE ESTIMATING CONFERENCE

Revenue Source: Sales and Use Tax
Issue: Gas Ranges and Cooktops Sales Tax Exemption
Bill Number(s): Proposed Language

- Residential customers: In their "Highlights for appliances in U.S. homes by state, 2020" data release, EIA reported that 630,000 households in Florida had a natural gas cooking appliance. ${ }^{1}$ For that same year, they reported 795,038 total natural gas sales to residential customers. ${ }^{2}$ For FY2020-21, the share of residences with natural gas cooking appliances from total residential natural gas sales was $79.2 \%$. This relationship was shared out to PG's reported 425,000 total customers, estimating that 336,776 of their residential customers have natural gas cooking appliances. PG's share of residential gas range rebates, or known sales, to total residential natural gas customers in FY2020-21 was then calculated from total residential rebates reported for that same year over the estimated number of residential customers with natural gas cooking appliances (11,603/336,776). For FY2020-21, an estimated $3.45 \%$ of PG's residential natural gas customers were natural gas appliance purchasers. $_{\text {g }}$.
- Commercial customers: EIA does not have a reported number of commercial customers with natural gas cooking appliances. However, they periodically publish their Commercial Building Energy Consumption Survey (CBECS) estimating the number of commercial facilities with natural gas and propane cooking capabilities. They also periodically publish their Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) which estimates the number of natural gas and propane cooking appliances per household. Using the most recent data from each survey ( 2020 for RECS and 2018 for CBECS), the share ( $6.57 \%$ ) of commercial facilities with natural gas cooking capabilities to residential gas cooking appliances was taken to estimate the relationship between consumer sectors for gas range and cooktop units. The $6.57 \%$ share was applied to PG's estimated number of residential customers with a natural gas cooking appliance to arrive at the number of commercial customers with a natural gas cooking appliance.
- Step 4: Both the residential and commercial gas range and cooktop rebate-to-customer shares ( $3.45 \%$ and $0.09 \%$, respectively) were applied to EIA's total natural gas sales data (discussed in step 1) to estimate the total number of sales of gas ranges and cooktops per year in Florida. Using the customer-type distribution mentioned in Step 2, residential and commercial sales were then broken out from the calculated total gas range and cooktop sales to better capture the different movements in either consumer series.
- Step 5: Price data for commercial and residential gas range and cooktop units for a low and median price bracket were pulled using the Web Scraper application on Katom Restaurant Supply, Inc. and Home Depot, noting the frequency of gas ranges and cooktops purchased at the different price points. ${ }^{3}$
- For the low and middle sales estimates of both residential and commercial gas range and cooktop units, the low and median prices were used respectively.
- For the high sales estimate of residential and commercial gas range and cooktop units, a high price elasticity of durable goods (-2.55) was used to calculate increased demand due to the reduced price.
- Step 6: Finally, the 6\% sales tax was applied to dollar value sales to estimate the impact of the proposed FY tax exemption on sales of gas ranges for FY 24-25.


## Section 4: Proposed Fiscal Impact:

|  | High |  | Middle |  | Low |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring |
| $2024-25$ | $\$(6.2)$ |  | $\$(5.4)$ |  | $\$(1.4)$ |  |
| $2025-26$ | $\$(0.6)$ |  | $\$(0.5)$ |  | $\$(0.1)$ |  |
| $2026-27$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2027-28$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2028-29$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Revenue Distribution: Sales and Use Tax

[^0]Revenue Source: Sales and Use Tax
Issue: Gas Ranges and Cooktops Sales Tax Exemption
Bill Number(s): Proposed Language

Section 5: Consensus Estimate: (Adopted: 01/26/2024): The Conference adopted the middle estimate.

|  | GR |  | Trust |  | Revenue Sharing |  | Local Half Cent |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring |
| $2024-25$ | $(4.7)$ | 0.0 | (Insignificant) | 0.0 | $(0.2)$ | 0.0 | $(0.5)$ | 0.0 |
| $2025-26$ | $(0.5)$ | 0.0 | (Insignificant) | 0.0 | (Insignificant) | 0.0 | (Insignificant) | 0.0 |
| $2026-27$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $2027-28$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $2028-29$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |


|  | $6 \%$ Sub-Total |  | Add: Local Option |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring |
| $2024-25$ | $(5.4)$ | 0.0 | $(0.6)$ | 0.0 | $(6.0)$ | 0.0 |
| $2025-26$ | $(0.5)$ | 0.0 | $(0.1)$ | 0.0 | $(0.6)$ | 0.0 |
| $2026-27$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $2027-28$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $2028-29$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Gas stove appliances: permanent sales tax exemption impact
1/26/2024
*Estimates are italicized and underlined


Estimated Sales (\$) of gas stoves at low, middle, high price points
Estimated Sales Tax (6\%) Exemption Impact for Gas Stoves at low, middle, high price points

| Customer type |  | Low estimate |  | Middle estimate |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { High estimate } \\ & \hline \$ 285,696,263 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential | FY20-21 | \$ | 20,193,876 | \$ | 65,891,676 |  |
|  | FY21-22 | \$ | 20,951,676 | \$ | 68,364,342 | \$ 296,417,365 |
|  | FY22-23 | \$ | 21,844,099 | \$ | 71,276,276 | \$ 309,043,068 |
|  | FY23-24 | \$ | 22,699,652 | \$ | 74,067,904 | \$ $321,147,143$ |
|  | FY24-25 | \$ | 23,588,729 | \$ | 76,968,924 | \$ 333,725,520 |
|  | FY25-26 | \$ | 24,512,646 | \$ | 79,983,621 | \$ $346,796,790$ |
|  | FY26-27 | \$ | 25,472,768 | \$ | 83,116,453 | \$ 360,380,269 |
|  | FY27-28 | \$ | 26,470,513 | \$ | 86,372,048 | \$ 374,496,034 |
| Commercial | FY20-21 | \$ | 1,611,450 | \$ | 17,778,012 | \$ 32,678,525 |
|  | FY21-22 | \$ | 1,671,921 | \$ | 18,445,153 | \$ 33,904,827 |
|  | FY22-23 | \$ | 1,743,136 | \$ | 19,230,813 | \$ 35,348,981 |
|  | FY23-24 | \$ | 1,811,408 | \$ | 19,984,012 | \$ 36,733,470 |
|  | FY24-25 | \$ | 1,882,355 | \$ | 20,766,727 | \$ 38,172,210 |
|  | FY25-26 | \$ | 1,956,083 | \$ | 21,580,112 | \$ 39,667,329 |
|  | FY26-27 | \$ | 2,032,700 | \$ | 22,425,371 | \$ 41,221,035 |
|  | FY27-28 | \$ | 2,112,319 | \$ | 23,303,752 | \$ 42,835,625 |
| Total | FY20-21 | \$ | 21,805,326 | \$ | 83,669,688 | \$ 318,374,788 |
|  | FY21-22 | \$ | 22,623,597 | \$ | 86,809,495 | \$ 330,322,192 |
|  | FY22-23 | \$ | 23,587,235 | \$ | 90,507,089 | \$ 344,392,049 |
|  | FY23-24 | \$ | 24,511,060 | \$ | 94,051,917 | \$ 357,880,612 |
|  | FY24-25 | \$ | 25,471,085 | \$ | 97,735,650 | \$ 371,897,730 |
|  | FY25-26 | \$ | 26,468,729 | \$ | 101,563,734 | \$ $386,464,119$ |
|  | FY26-27 | \$ | 27,505,467 | \$ | 105,541,824 | \$ 401,601,305 |
|  | FY27-28 | \$ | 28,582,831 | \$ | 109,675,800 | \$ 417,331,660 |


| Customer type | 6\% | Low estimate |  | Middle estimate |  | High estimate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential | FY20-21 |  | 211,632.57 | \$ | 3,953,501 | \$ | 17,141,776 |
|  | FY21-22 | \$ | 1,257,101 | \$ | 4,101,861 | \$ | 17,785,042 |
|  | FY22-23 | \$ | 1,310,646 | \$ | 4,276,577 | \$ | 18,542,584 |
|  | FY23-24 | \$ | 1,361,979 | \$ | 4,444,074 | \$ | 19,268,829 |
|  | FY24-25 | \$ | 1,415,324 | \$ | 4,618,135 | \$ | 20,023,531 |
|  | FY25-26 | \$ | 1,470,759 | \$ | 4,799,017 | \$ | 20,807,807 |
|  | FY26-27 | \$ | 1,528,366 | \$ | 4,986,987 | \$ | 21,622,816 |
|  | FY27-28 | \$ | 1,588,231 | \$ | 5,182,323 | \$ | 22,469,762 |
| Commercial | FY20-21 | \$ | 96,687 | \$ | 1,066,681 | \$ | 1,960,712 |
|  | FY21-22 | \$ | 100,315 | \$ | 1,106,709 | \$ | 2,034,290 |
|  | FY22-23 | \$ | 104,588 | \$ | 1,153,849 | \$ | 2,120,939 |
|  | FY23-24 | \$ | 108,684 | \$ | 1,199,041 | \$ | 2,204,008 |
|  | FY24-25 | \$ | 112,941 | \$ | 1,246,004 | \$ | 2,290,333 |
|  | FY25-26 | \$ | 117,365 | \$ | 1,294,807 | \$ | 2,380,040 |
|  | FY26-27 | \$ | 121,962 | \$ | 1,345,522 | \$ | 2,473,262 |
|  | FY27-28 | \$ | 126,739 | \$ | 1,398,225 | \$ | 2,570,138 |
| Total | FY20-21 | \$ | 1,308,320 | \$ | 5,020,181 | \$ | 19,102,487 |
|  | FY21-22 | \$ | 1,357,416 | \$ | 5,208,570 | \$ | 19,819,332 |
|  | FY22-23 | \$ | 1,415,234 | \$ | 5,430,425 | \$ | 20,663,523 |
|  | FY23-24 | \$ | 1,470,664 | \$ | 5,643,115 | \$ | 21,472,837 |
|  | FY24-25 | \$ | 1,528,265 | \$ | 5,864,139 | \$ | 22,313,864 |
|  | FY25-26 | \$ | 1,588,124 | \$ | 6,093,824 | \$ | 23,187,847 |
|  | FY26-27 | \$ | 1,650,328 | \$ | 6,332,509 | \$ | 24,096,078 |
|  | FY27-28 | \$ | 1,714,970 | \$ | 6,580,548 | \$ | 25,039,900 |



## REVENUE ESTIMATING CONFERENCE

Revenue Source: Sales and Use Tax
Issue: Bullion
Bill Number(s): HB697

Entire Bill
Partial Bill: Sections 1, 2
Sponsor(s): Representatives Bankson; LaMarca; Borrero; Caruso; Holcomb
Month/Year Impact Begins: July 1, 2024
Date(s) Conference Reviewed: January 19, 2024

## Section 1: Narrative

## Current Law:

Section 212.05 , F.S., provides the legislative intent that all sales of tangible personal property in Florida are subject to sales tax, unless specifically exempt by Chapter 212, F.S. Section $212.02(19)$, F.S., defines tangible personal property to include "personal property which may be seen, weighed, measured, or touched or is in any manner perceptible to the senses." The definition excludes "stocks, bonds, notes, insurance, or other obligations or securities or pari-mutuel tickets sold or issued under the racing laws of the state."

Rule 12A-1.0371(2), F.A.C., provides that the sale, use, consumption, or storage for use of bullion in Florida is subject to sales tax. The rule defines bullion to mean "gold, silver, or platinum in the form of bars, ingots, or plates, normally sold by weight."

Section $212.08(7)(w w)$, F.S., provides a partial exemption for bullion when the sales price exceeds $\$ 500$. The sale of gold, silver, or platinum bullion, or any combination thereof, in a single transaction, is exempt when the total sales price of such bullion exceeds \$500.

## Proposed Change:

Creates s. 17.45, F.S. Section 1 is created to read: 17.45 Gold and silver as legal tender; state bullion depositories; gold and silver electronic currency.
Section 1 (1)(b) defines bullion as refined precious metal in any shape or form with uniform content and purity, including, but not limited to coins, rounds, bars, ingots, and any other products which is:

1. Stamped or imprinted with the weight and purity of the precious metal that it contains; and
2. Valued primarily based on its metal content and not on its form and function.

Section 1(1)(e) defines "Electronic Currency" as a representation of actual gold and silver, specie, and bullion held in a depository account, which may be transferred by electronic instruction. Such representation must reflect the exact units of physical gold and silver, specie, or bullion in the depository account in its fraction troy ounce measurement as provided in this section.
Section $1(1)(f)$ defines "Legal tender" as a recognized medium of exchange that is authorized by the United States Congress or by any state pursuant to S. 8 or s. 10, Art. I of the United States Constitution, respectively, for the payment of debts, public charges, taxes or dues.
Section 1(1)(h) defines "Specie" as bullion fabricated into products of uniform shape, size, design, content, weight and purity which are suitable for or customarily used as currency, as a medium of exchange, or as the medium for purchase, sale, storage, transfer, or delivery of precious metals in retail or wholesale transactions.
Section 1(2)(a)(1) considers the following as legal tender

1. Specie legal tender; and
2. Electronic currency

Section 1(2)(b) Bullion may not be characterized as personal property for taxation or regulatory purposes.
Section 1(2)(c) The purchase or sale of any type or form of bullion does not give rise to any tax liability
Section 1(2)(d) The exchange of one type or form of legal tender for another type or form of legal tender does not give rise to any tax liability.

## Section 2: Description of Data and Sources

Impact analysis of HB 221 completed on 3/31/99
2023 Florida Sales Tax Handbook
DOR Sales of large national gold bullion companies 2001-2024
Gold Rush 2024: Florida's Bold Move to Upend the Financial System with HB 697! - State Spot Light
fisc note hb2285 00 0000.pdf (kslegislature.com)
https://billstatus.Is.state.ms.us/2023/pdf/history/SB/SB2862.xml
https://www.jmbullion.com/tax/florida/

## REVENUE ESTIMATING CONFERENCE

Revenue Source: Sales and Use Tax
Issue: Bullion
Bill Number(s): HB697
https://blog.tenthamendmentcenter.com/2023/12/new-hampshire-bill-would-treat-gold-and-silver-as-money/
https://wyoleg.gov/2023/Fiscal/HB0103.pdf
https://docs.legis.wisconsin.gov/2023/related/fe/ab29/ab29 dor.pdf
https://pub.njleg.state.nj.us/Bills/2022/S2000/1825 E1.PDF
https://legislature.vermont.gov/Documents/2024/Docs/BILLS/H-0295/H-0295\ As\ Introduced.pdf
Gold Market Primer: Market size and structure | World Gold Council
https://www.gold.org/goldhub/research/gold-demand-trends/gold-demand-trends-full-year-2021/16712
https://www.gold.org/goldhub/research/gold-demand-trends/gold-demand-trends-full-year-2022
https://ir.amark.com/_assets/ 76a74ba2c4e5805c4d199e9f061181ed/amark/db/1087/9869/pdf/A-Mark+Investor+Presentation+-
+December+2023.pdf

## Section 3: Methodology (Include Assumptions and Attach Details)

There will be a negative impact to Sales tax. There is limited data prior to 2021 in regards to sales tax paid, this is most likely due to several factors, one being the passage of SB50 in 2021, that required a marketplace seller outside of the state to remit sales tax. This estimate also takes into account that U.S. legal tender is already exempt from sales tax.

Step 1: Estimate Florida's share of the gold and silver bullion market. Sources show that in 2021, the U.S. investors bought 117 metric tonnes of gold bars and coins. First, the analysis converts tonnes to troy ounces. One metric tonne is equal to 32,151 troy ounces. The analysis then multiplies 117 by troy ounces to get the total troy ounces purchased in the United States. To get the amount of silver purchased, the analysis uses data from one of the largest bullion dealers in the U.S. They show on their annual report the number of ounces sold for gold and silver sold in 2022. They show they sold 629 K gold ounces and 23.7 million ounces of silver, which means they sold $97.41 \%$ more silver than gold ounces. This gets to an estimated U.S. amount of 3.8 million ounces of gold purchased and 141.4 million ounces of silver.

Step 2: Take the estimated amount of gold and silver bars and coins purchased in the U.S. The analysis then applies Florida's population percentage of the U.S. to get Florida's estimated amount purchased. Florida's population is $6.75 \%$ of the total U.S. population. Next Florida's share is multiplied it by the average closing price of gold and silver per ounce for 2021. Gold's average price in 2021 was $\$ 1,789.89$ and silver was $\$ 25.14$. This results in the total estimated value of bars and coins purchased in Florida in 2021 to $\$ 454.6$ million in gold and $\$ 240.1$ million in silver.

Step 3: Remove investment coins from the equation. These are already exempt from sales tax since the vast majority are legal tender, which do not apply. Total worldwide gold bar and coin demand worldwide was 1,095 tonnes in 2021, with bars being 804 tonnes, or $73.42 \%$, and coins being 291 tonnes, or $26.58 \%$. The analysis then multiplies the total estimated sales for gold and silver by $73.42 \%$ to get the estimated sales of just gold and silver bars in Florida. For gold that total is $\$ 333.8$ million and $\$ 176.3$ million for a total of $\$ 510.0$ million. Next, sources show that demand for gold bars increased $2 \%$ in 2022 , the analysis applies the $2 \%$ growth to the Florida estimated total in 2021 to get an estimate of 259 K ounces of gold purchased and 9.7 million ounces of silver purchased in 2022. The analysis then multiplies these figures by their respective average price in 2022. The analysis then applies the $73.42 \%$ to get the total market for just bars. This gives an estimate of $\$ 342.7$ million for gold and $\$ 155.6$ million for silver for a total estimate of $\$ 498.3$ million.

To get to the estimated impact, the analysis takes $10 \%$ of the $\$ 342.7$ million in gold and to get the estimate for sales tax if there were no exemptions. This results in $\$ 29.9$ million. To get to the low, middle and high estimates, data provided from the Department of Revenue shows that on average 5\% of gross sales are taxable. For the low estimate, calculate $5 \%$ of the $\$ 29.9$ million to get to a negative impact of $(\$ 1.5)$ million in cash in FY 2024-25 and grow it in the out years using sales tax liability growth rates. For the middle estimate, add $50 \%$ to the low estimate in an attempt to capture any differences in the estimated total market size and account for businesses and sales not captured in our sample data. This brings the middle estimate to \$(2.3) million for FY 202425 , again grown by the sales tax liability growth rate. The high estimate is double the low estimate, which again captures any differences in the estimated total market as well most businesses that would deal in gold and silver bullion sales. The high estimate is $\$(3.1)$ in cash for FY 2024-25 and grown by the sales tax liability growth rate.

Revenue Source: Sales and Use Tax
Issue: Bullion
Bill Number(s): HB697
Section 4: Proposed Fiscal Impact

|  | High |  | Middle |  | Low |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring |
| $2024-25$ | $\$(2.8)$ | $\$(3.1)$ | $\$(2.1)$ | $\$(2.3)$ | $\$(1.4)$ | $\$(1.5)$ |
| $2025-26$ | $\$(3.1)$ | $\$(3.1)$ | $\$(2.3)$ | $\$(2.3)$ | $\$(1.6)$ | $\$(1.6)$ |
| $2026-27$ | $\$(3.2)$ | $\$(3.2)$ | $\$(2.4)$ | $\$(2.4)$ | $\$(1.6)$ | $\$(1.6)$ |
| $2027-28$ | $\$(3.3)$ | $\$(3.3)$ | $\$(2.5)$ | $\$(2.5)$ | $\$(1.6)$ | $\$(1.6)$ |
| $2028-29$ | $\$(3.4)$ | $\$(3.4)$ | $\$(2.5)$ | $\$(2.5)$ | $\$(1.7)$ | $\$(1.7)$ |

Revenue Distribution: Sales and Use Tax

Section 5: Consensus Estimate (Adopted: 01/26/2024): The Conference adopted a modified estimate that assumed that 10\% of gold and $90 \%$ of silver sold in Florida would not be exempt as legal tender. Taking these respective shares of the estimates for the total Florida market forms a new base of potentially taxable sales; however, a large number of these sales are already exempt due to their bundled and unbundled sales price. The Conference assumed $17.5 \%$ of the potentially taxable sales are attributed to activity that is currently taxable and would now become exempt.

|  | GR |  | Trust |  | Revenue Sharing |  | Local Half Cent |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring |
| $2024-25$ | $(1.5)$ | $(1.6)$ | (Insignificant) | (Insignificant) | $(0.1)$ | $(0.1)$ | $(0.1)$ | $(0.1)$ |
| $2025-26$ | $(1.6)$ | $(1.6)$ | (Insignificant) | (Insignificant) | $(0.1)$ | $(0.1)$ | $(0.2)$ | $(0.2)$ |
| $2026-27$ | $(1.6)$ | $(1.6)$ | (Insignificant) | (Insignificant) | $(0.1)$ | $(0.1)$ | $(0.2)$ | $(0.2)$ |
| $2027-28$ | $(1.7)$ | $(1.7)$ | (Insignificant) | (Insignificant) | $(0.1)$ | $(0.1)$ | $(0.2)$ | $(0.2)$ |
| $2028-29$ | $(1.7)$ | $(1.7)$ | (Insignificant) | (Insignificant) | $(0.1)$ | $(0.1)$ | $(0.2)$ | $(0.2)$ |


|  | $6 \%$ Sub-Total |  | Add: Local Option |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring | Cash | Recurring |
| $2024-25$ | $(1.7)$ | $(1.8)$ | $(0.2)$ | $(0.2)$ | $(1.9)$ | $(2.0)$ |
| $2025-26$ | $(1.9)$ | $(1.9)$ | $(0.2)$ | $(0.2)$ | $(2.1)$ | $(2.1)$ |
| $2026-27$ | $(1.9)$ | $(1.9)$ | $(0.2)$ | $(0.2)$ | $(2.1)$ | $(2.1)$ |
| $2027-28$ | $(2.0)$ | $(2.0)$ | $(0.2)$ | $(0.2)$ | $(2.2)$ | $(2.2)$ |
| $2028-29$ | $(2.0)$ | $(2.0)$ | $(0.2)$ | $(0.2)$ | $(2.2)$ | $(2.2)$ |


|  | Tonne | Troy Ounces |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Tonne is equal to 35,274 ounces | 1 | 32,151 |  |
| High Impact | Gold | Silver | Total |
| US Gold Ounces Multiplied by 117 tonnes to get total |  |  |  |
| ounces Gold. Silver is a percentage of sales | 3,761,632 | 141,440,948 | 145,202,580 |
| Multiply Florida \% of U.S. Population to get amount of ounces purchased in Florida | 253,955 | 9,548,941 |  |
| Average Price of Gold/Silver per ounce in 2021 | \$1,790 | \$25 |  |
| Price of Gold/Silver multiplied by Ounces Purchased in |  |  |  |
| Florida | \$454,551,066 | \$240,060,372 |  |
| Multiplied by $73.42 \%$ to get number \% of sales in bars | \$333,752,564 | \$176,263,506 |  |
| Total estimated sales in Florida of Gold and Silver in |  |  |  |
| 2021 | \$510,016,070 |  |  |
| Multiply total Sales by sales tax of 6\% | \$20,025,154 | \$10,575,810 | \$30,600,964 |
| Average price of Gold/Silver per ounce in 2022 | \$1,802 | \$22 |  |
| Demand for Gold bars grew 2\% in 2022 to take |  |  |  |
| Florida's \% and grow by 2\% | 259,034 | 9,739,920 |  |
| Take new demand in D27 and E27 and multiply it by |  |  |  |
| average price of Gold and Silver in 2022 | \$466,745,313.04 | \$211,940,651.30 |  |
| Multiplied by $73.42 \%$ to get number \% of sales in bars | \$342,706,147.66 | \$155,616,697.40 |  |
| Total estimated Florida market in 2022 in terms of sales | \$498,322,845.05 |  |  |
| Total estimated sales multiplied by $6 \%$ to get estimate if all sales were subject to sales tax | \$29,899,370.70 |  |  |
| Data shows that 5\% of gross sales are taxable so this is the low estimate | \$1,494,968.54 |  |  |
| Double low estimate for middle estimate to account |  |  |  |
| for businesses not picked up in data | \$2,242,452.80 |  |  |
| Quadruple low estimate for high estimate to account for businesses not picked up in data | \$2,989,937.07 |  |  |
| High Estimate |  |  |  |
| Assumed estimated current sales tax collections in |  |  |  |
| 2022 | -\$2,989,937 |  |  |
| Grown for 2023 using the sales tax liability growth |  |  |  |
| rate | -\$3,097,575 |  |  |
| Grown for 2024 using the sales tax liability growth |  |  |  |
| rate | -\$3,091,380 |  |  |


| $\underline{2021}$ Bar and Coin Demand in Tonnes |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Gold Bars | 804 | $73.42 \%$ |
| Coins | 291 | $26.58 \%$ |
| World wide tonnes | 1095 | $100.00 \%$ |


|  |  | Gold ounces | Silver Ounces | Total \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Gold Ounces Sold | 629,000 | $2.59 \%$ |  |  |
| Silver Ounces Sold | $23,651,000$ |  |  |  |
| Total Ounces | $24,280,000$ |  | $97.41 \%$ |  |


| US Pop | $334,914,895$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| FL Pop | $22,610,726$ |
|  | $6.75 \%$ |


| $10 \%$ of gold estimated | $90 \%$ of Silver | Total Estimated | $17.5 \%$ of sales | $6 \%$ sales tax |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| sales | estimated sales | Sales | are taxable | rate |


| sales | estimated sales | Sales | are taxable | rate |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| $10 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $17.5 \%$ | $6 \%$ Liability |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 34,270,615$ | $\$ 140,055,028$ | $\$ 174,325,642$ | $\$ 30,506,987$ | $\$ 1,830,419$ | | $\$ 1,830,419$ |
| :--- |


| Middle Impact |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Assumed estimated current sales tax collections in |  |
| 2022 | -\$2,242,453 |
| Grown for 2023 using the sales tax liability growth |  |
|  |  |
| Grown for 2024 using the sales tax liability growth |  |
| rate | -\$2,318,535 |
|  |  |
| Low Impact |  |
| Assumed estimated current sales tax collections in |  |
| 2022 | -\$1,494,969 |
| Grown for 2023 using the sales tax liability growth |  |
| rate | -\$1,548,787 |
| Grown for 2024 using the sales tax liability growth |  |
| rate | -\$1,545,690 |


| 2024-25 | High |  |  |  | Middle |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | Adopted |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cash |  | Recurring |  | Cash |  | Recurring |  | Cash |  | Recurring |  | Cash |  | Recurring |  |
|  | \$ | (2.8) | \$ | (3.1) | \$ | (2.1) | \$ | (2.3) | \$ | (1.4) | \$ | (1.5) | \$ | (1.7) | \$ | (1.8) |
| 2025-26 | \$ | (3.1) | \$ | (3.1) | \$ | (2.3) | \$ | (2.3) | \$ | (1.6) | \$ | (1.6) | \$ | (1.9) | \$ | (1.9) |
| 2026-27 | \$ | (3.2) | \$ | (3.2) | \$ | (2.4) | \$ | (2.4) | \$ | (1.6) | \$ | (1.6) | \$ | (1.9) | \$ | (1.9) |
| 2027-28 | \$ | (3.3) | \$ | (3.3) | \$ | (2.5) | \$ | (2.5) | \$ | (1.6) | \$ | (1.6) | \$ | (2.0) | \$ | (2.0) |
| 2028-29 | \$ | (3.4) | \$ | (3.4) | \$ | (2.5) | \$ | (2.5) | \$ | (1.7) | \$ | (1.7) | \$ | (2.0) | \$ | (2.0) |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ https://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2020/state/pdf/State\%20Appliances.pdf EIA reports their data in fiscal years.
    ${ }^{2}$ Calculated from the historic residential natural gas sales data linked above.
    ${ }^{3}$ Wholesale Restaurant Supplies \& Equipment | KaTom Restaurant Supply; The Home Depot

