

FINANCIAL INFORMATION STATEMENT

REFERENDA REQUIRED FOR ADOPTION AND AMENDMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMPREHENSIVE LAND USE PLANS, #05-18

Florida law requires each local government to adopt a comprehensive plan. Comprehensive plans may be amended at least twice per year, as provided by law. The proposed constitutional amendment requires local governments to conduct a vote, by referendum, before adopting any new comprehensive plan or amendments to the comprehensive plan. The vote would be conducted after preparation of the plan or amendment by the local planning agency and consideration by the governing body.

Based on information provided through public workshops and collected through staff research, the Financial Impact Estimating Conference determined that local governments which adopt plans or propose comprehensive plan amendments will incur additional costs related to the referendum requirement. Nevertheless, the amendment's impact on local government expenditures cannot be estimated precisely. The financial impact statement is developed from the following considerations:

- Presenting additional issues to the voters entails increased costs. Those costs exist regardless of the method by which local governments choose to conduct the vote - special or general election, whether by mail ballot or at the polls. Given the large volume of amendments adopted between 2001 and 2005 (an average of 7,878 amendments and 1,062 packages per year) and the number of new incorporations (nine new incorporations since April, 2000), it is highly improbable that special elections can be avoided.
- To this point, the survey responses from local government planners and county supervisors indicate the majority of local governments anticipate the volume of *proposed* plan amendments would remain the same. Therefore, any fiscal impact related to increased or decreased volume of amendments would be insignificant. Further, while fewer proposed amendments may be adopted, the costs of the referenda to get to this outcome still exist.
- The proposed constitutional amendment does not specify how local governments would present amendments on the ballot, or how often referenda will occur. At a minimum, general election costs will be increased by additional expenditures for printing ballots, and noticing and advertising the ballot information. Costs to conduct a special election are even greater.
- Based on past experience, the minimum cost per special election for an average-sized county ranges from \$143,300 to \$287,700; for an average-sized city from \$10,500 to \$22,000. Assuming every Florida voter is impacted by a single special election, costs would range between \$10 million and \$20 million. If the least-cost method (mail ballot) is selected, and assuming special elections affect just 25% of the voters, the cost would likely be in excess of \$2.4 million.
- The impact on state government expenditures will be insignificant.
- State and local revenue sources will not be increased or decreased directly.

FINANCIAL IMPACT STATEMENT

The amendment's impact on local government expenditures cannot be estimated precisely. Local governments will incur additional costs due to the requirement to conduct referenda in order to adopt comprehensive plans or amendments thereto. The amount of such costs depends upon the frequency, timing and method of the referenda, and includes the costs of ballot preparation, election administration, and associated expenses. The impact on state government expenditures will be insignificant.

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. Proposed Amendment

Ballot Title:

Referenda Required for Adoption and Amendment of Local Government Comprehensive Land Use Plans

Ballot Summary:

Establishes that before a local government may adopt a new comprehensive land use plan, or amend a comprehensive land use plan, the proposed plan or amendment shall be subject to vote of the electors of the local government by referendum, following preparation by the local planning agency, consideration by the governing body and notice. Provides definitions.

Full Text of Amendment:

BE IT ENACTED BY THE PEOPLE OF FLORIDA THAT:

Article II, Section 7. Natural resources and scenic beauty of the Florida Constitution is amended to add the following subsection:

Public participation in local government comprehensive land use planning benefits the conservation and protection of Florida's natural resources and scenic beauty, and the long-term quality of life of Floridians. Therefore, before a local government may adopt a new comprehensive land use plan, or amend a comprehensive land use plan, such proposed plan or plan amendment shall be subject to vote of the electors of the local government by referendum, following preparation by the local planning agency, consideration by the governing body as provided by general law, and notice thereof in a local newspaper of general circulation. Notice and referendum will be as provided by general law. This amendment shall become effective immediately upon approval by the electors of Florida.

For purposes of this subsection:

- 1. "Local government" means a county or municipality.*
- 2. "Local government comprehensive land use plan" means a plan to guide and control future land development in an area under the jurisdiction of a local government.*
- 3. "Local planning agency" means the agency of a local government that is responsible for the preparation of a comprehensive land use plan and plan amendments after public notice and hearings and for making recommendations to the governing body of the local government regarding the adoption or amendment of a comprehensive land use plan.*
- 4. "Governing body" means the board of county commissioners of a county, the commission or council of a municipality, or the chief elected governing body of a county or municipality, however designated.*

B. Effect of Proposed Amendment

Currently, local government comprehensive plans and plan amendments are approved by local elected officials representing the citizens of their respective county or municipality, after review by the Department of Community Affairs (DCA). This proposed amendment would require the comprehensive plans and any subsequent amendments to the plan to be approved by the citizens through an election prior to adoption.

Background

In 1985, the Florida Legislature passed the Local Government Comprehensive Planning and Land Development Regulation Act (Chapter 163, Part II, Florida Statutes), commonly referred to as the Growth Management Act. This act requires all local governments to adopt comprehensive land use plans and implement those plans through land development regulations and development orders. The Department of Community Affairs (DCA) is designated as the lead oversight agency, responsible for reviewing comprehensive plans and amendments thereto to determine consistency with State law.

Since the enactment of the Growth Management Act, all local governments have adopted a comprehensive plan, except for recently incorporated municipalities. Of note, there have been nine new incorporations since April, 2000. Section 163.3167(4), F.S., provides that a new municipality must adopt a comprehensive plan within three years of incorporation.

The Act requires all local governments to periodically assess the implementation of their plan and submit an Evaluation and Appraisal Report to DCA. According to the Act, local governments may adopt amendments to their comprehensive plan no more than twice per calendar year, with some exceptions. Plan amendments fall into the following categories:

- **Small-scale amendments** – These are land use amendments adopted pursuant to Section 163.3187(1)(c), Florida Statutes. Small-scale amendments are usually 10 acres or less, **and** are exempt from the twice-per year limitation. DCA is statutorily prohibited from reviewing these amendments for compliance. According to DCA, there have been an average of 755 adopted small scale amendments annually over the last five calendar years.
- **Large scale amendments** – These are land use amendments that are not defined as small scale amendments. DCA reviews these amendments for compliance with state law. Within an established time frame, the Department issues an Objections, Recommendations and Comments (ORC) report that identifies areas of the proposed plan or proposed amendment that are inconsistent with the provisions of Chapter 163, Part II, Florida Statutes. According to DCA, they have received an average of 10,050 adopted amendments annually over the last five calendar years.
- **Evaluation and Appraisal Reports (EAR)** – Every seven years, each local government must submit an EAR to DCA. DCA reviews the report and amendment(s) for compliance with state law. Within an established time frame, the Department issues a sufficiency report that identifies areas of the EAR that are inconsistent with the provisions of Chapter 163, Part II, Florida Statutes. The local government must submit EAR-based amendments to implement the EAR report. The EAR-based amendments must be adopted within 18 months after the report is determined to be sufficient.

The Comprehensive Plan Amendment Process

The local government holds workshops to discuss possible proposed amendments with the public. The local government then advertises and holds a Local Planning Agency hearing to transmit the proposed amendment to the Local Governing Body. The Local Governing Body then advertises a hearing to transmit the proposed amendment to DCA for review. DCA has 60 days from submittal of a complete package to issue its Objections, Recommendation and Comments Report (ORC). The local government has 60 days (for large scale amendments) or 120 days (for Evaluation and Appraisal Report (EAR) based amendments) from the date the ORC is received to adopt the amendment, adopt the amendment with changes, or determine that it will not adopt the amendment. The local government advertises and adopts the amendment, and returns the plan amendment to DCA for compliance review. DCA has 45 days from receipt of the complete package or 20 days (if the package qualifies for expedited review) to review and issue the compliance determination (notice of intent) by publication in the local newspaper of the local government. An affected party has 21 days from the date of publication to challenge the DCA compliance determination pursuant to Chapter 120, F.S. The plan amendment does not become effective until 21 days after publication of the notice (if no challenge is filed) or until DCA issues a final order determining the adopted plan amendment to be in compliance, or the Administration Commission issues a final order determining the adopted amendment to be in compliance in accordance with Section 163.3184(10), F.S.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

Section 100.371, Florida Statutes, requires the Financial Impact Estimating Conference to "...complete an analysis and financial impact statement to be placed on the ballot of the estimated increase or decrease in any revenue or costs to state or local governments resulting from the proposed initiative."

In determining the fiscal impact of the proposed amendment, the Financial Impact Estimating Conference (Conference) held several public workshops in July 2004, August 2004, February 2006, March 2006, July 2007, and October 2008

In addition to testimony and materials provided at the various workshops, the Conference requested and received survey data from city and county officials and supervisors of elections. Survey data from city and county officials and supervisors of elections was received in 2004. The Conference determined that requesting additional data from city and county officials would not provide any new or significantly different information for consideration when analyzing the 2006 constitutional amendment. However, relevant information from the supervisors of elections and the Department of State was updated.

III.

A. FISCAL ANALYSIS

The fiscal impact summary for this proposed amendment is based on the information provided through multiple public workshops; presentations by Florida Hometown Democracy, the Florida League of Cities, the Department of State, and the Department of Community Affairs; surveys and questionnaires from state agencies, the sixty-seven Supervisor of Elections, and city and county planners; independent research by staff; written material presented to the Conference; and discussion among the FIEC principals and other professional staff.

The Conference analyzed the cost data from a variety of sources in an effort to establish a specific dollar amount or at least develop an expected dollar range in which the fiscal impact may lie. The following data was reviewed and evaluated in determining the fiscal impact of this amendment:

Data

Number of registered voters (as of June 30, 2005) ¹	10,501,148																		
Number of elections (special, general or combinations thereof)	indeterminate																		
Number/percentage of referenda held by ballots & polling place	indeterminate																		
Average cost of advertising, public meetings, education, etc.	indeterminate																		
Other costs (legal, printing, equipment, staff, etc)	indeterminate																		
Cost of statewide special election in 2005 ²	\$19,396,503																		
Number of municipal incorporations since April 1, 2000 ³	9																		
Number of adopted amendments and adopted packages: ⁴																			
	<table border="0"> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>2001</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>2002</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>2003</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>2004</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>2005</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Number of adopted amendments</td> <td style="text-align: right;">10,787</td> <td style="text-align: right;">8,972</td> <td style="text-align: right;">4,437</td> <td style="text-align: right;">9,158</td> <td style="text-align: right;">6,037</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Number of adopted packages</td> <td style="text-align: right;">945</td> <td style="text-align: right;">1,008</td> <td style="text-align: right;">1,067</td> <td style="text-align: right;">1,093</td> <td style="text-align: right;">1,201</td> </tr> </table>		<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	Number of adopted amendments	10,787	8,972	4,437	9,158	6,037	Number of adopted packages	945	1,008	1,067	1,093	1,201
	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>														
Number of adopted amendments	10,787	8,972	4,437	9,158	6,037														
Number of adopted packages	945	1,008	1,067	1,093	1,201														

Estimated Fiscal Impact of Voting via Mail Ballots

The Supervisors of Elections have indicated that the average cost per voter for mail ballots is approximately \$.92, assuming that the voter pays for return postage. If every voter was impacted by a referendum requiring mail ballots, the estimated cost is as follows:

<u>Registered Voters</u>	<u>Multiplied by</u>	<u>Cost per Mail out</u>	<u>Estimated Cost</u>
10,501,148	X	.92	= \$9,661,056

This calculation does not include expenditures for advertising, public meetings and education. These costs are indeterminate. It is also noted that the cost of enabling every voter to vote one time by mail ballot is less than the cost of a single statewide special election (\$19,396,503). This cost differential also appears to hold at the local level. Therefore, the minimum cost of holding non-election cycle referendums affecting just 25% of the voters in Florida within a two-year period would likely be in excess of \$2.4 million.

¹ Data provided by the Department of State, Division of Election’s Certification of Florida-registered Voters
² Survey of all 67 counties, provided by the Department of State, Office of Legislative Affairs
³ Data provided by the Legislative Office of Economic and Demographic Research
⁴ Comprehensive Plan Processing Data provided by the Department of Community Affairs

Costs Per Referendum

The amendment requires that the plan or plan amendment “shall be subject to vote of the electors of the local government.” Therefore, every amendment to a county’s comprehensive plan would be submitted to all registered voters in the county. If held as a special election, the costs for a medium-sized county would likely range from \$56,000 to \$288,000 per referendum, with the largest county topping out at \$2 million.

	COST	
	MAILOUT	PHYSICAL SITE
Florida Population (4.2007)		
18,680,367		
Number of Counties:		
67		
Mailout Referendum Cost Per Voter:		
0.92		
Physical Location Cost Per Voter:		
1.85		
County Registration Average:		
155,729	\$ 143,271	\$ 287,645
County Registration Median:		
60,709	\$ 55,852	\$ 112,135
County Registration Max:		
1,090,053	\$ 1,002,849	\$ 2,013,420

Every amendment to a city’s comprehensive plan would be submitted to the registered voters in the municipality. If held as a special election, the costs for a medium-sized city would likely range from \$2,700 to \$21,000 per referendum, with the largest city topping out at \$330,000.

	COST	
	MAILOUT	PHYSICAL SITE
Florida Population (4.2007)		
18,680,367		
Share Incorporated		
51%		
Number of Cities (excluding Duval):		
411		
Mailout Referendum Cost Per Voter:		
0.92		
Physical Location Cost Per Voter:		
1.85		
Municipal Registration Average:		
11,423	\$ 10,509	\$ 21,099
Municipal Registration Median:		
2,961	\$ 2,724	\$ 5,469
Municipal Registration Max:		
178,743	\$ 164,444	\$ 330,153

The costs per special election calculated above are minimum costs. Neither the mail-out nor physical site election costs include expenditures such as advertising and notification.

Although there would be additional costs associated with plans and amendments considered as part of a general election, those costs cannot be determined. However, they would be less than the costs associated with a special election.

Summary

Based on information provided through public workshops and collected through staff research, the Financial Impact Estimating Conference principals determined that local governments cumulatively will incur additional costs related to the referendum requirement. Nevertheless, the fiscal impact cannot be estimated precisely. The financial impact statement was developed from the information on the previous pages and the following considerations.

- Based on the information above, presenting additional issues to the voters entails increased costs. Those costs exist regardless of the method by which local governments choose to conduct the vote - special or general election, whether by mail ballot or at the polls. Given the large volume of amendments adopted between 2001 and 2005 (an average of 7,878 amendments and 1,062 packages per year) and the number of new incorporations (nine new incorporations since April, 2000), it is highly improbable that special elections can be avoided.
- The proposed constitutional amendment does not specify how or if local governments would group amendments on the ballot or the specifics of the process that would be used for approval of each amendment. Survey responses from local government planners and county supervisors vary regarding how amendments might be grouped and presented to the voters. At a minimum, costs will include printing ballots, and such costs will vary depending on the election method selected. Additionally, costs will likely include noticing and advertising the ballot information.
- The survey responses indicate the majority of local governments anticipate the volume of proposed plan amendments would remain the same. Therefore, any fiscal impact related to increased or decreased volume of amendments would be insignificant.
- The impact on state government expenditures will be insignificant under current law. While implementation of this amendment will not require statutory changes, some changes may be desirable. In addition, the Department of Community Affairs must amend its procedural rule.
- There are no state or local revenue sources that will be increased or decreased directly as a result of this amendment. Should behavior change such that fewer amendments result in economic development activity, then revenues may be indirectly suppressed. Conversely, if the proportion of approved amendments leads to planned developments that are more sustainable, revenues may be indirectly increased. Moreover, secondary effects on land values and taxable development activity are highly speculative and may lead to both increasing and decreasing revenue impacts over time. None of these impacts can be estimated with any degree of certainty.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. **State and Local Government Revenues:** There are no state or local revenue sources that will be increased or decreased directly as a result of this amendment. Should behavior change such that fewer amendments result in economic development activity, then revenues may be indirectly suppressed. Conversely, if the proportion of approved amendments leads to planned developments that are more sustainable, revenues may be indirectly increased. Moreover, secondary effects on land values and taxable development activity are highly speculative and may lead to both increasing and decreasing revenue impacts over time. None of these impacts can be estimated with any degree of certainty.
2. **State and Local Government Expenditures:** The direct impact of this amendment on local government expenditures cannot be estimated precisely. Over each two year election cycle, local governments cumulatively will incur additional costs. Costs will vary depending on the processes employed by cities and counties in obtaining approval for plan amendments. The direct impact on state government expenditures will be insignificant.

1. Do you interpret the reference in the proposed constitutional amendment to a local government's "comprehensive land use plan" to mean the Local Government Comprehensive Planning and Land Development Regulation Act, required by Chapter 163, Florida Statutes?

Municipality	County	YES	NO	If NO, how to you interpret this reference?
Alachua	Alachua			
Alford	Jackson			
Altamonte Springs	Seminole			
Altha	Calhoun			
Anna Maria	Manatee			
Apalachicola	Franklin			
Apopka	Orange			
Arcadia	De Soto			
Archer	Alachua			
Astatula	Lake			
Atlantic Beach	Duval	X		
Atlantis	Palm Beach			
Auburndale	Polk	X		
Aventura	Miami-Dade			
Avon Park	Highlands	X		
Bal Harbour	Miami-Dade	X		
Baldwin	Duval			
Bartow	Polk	X		
Bascom	Jackson			
Bay Harbor Islands	Miami-Dade			
Bay Lake	Orange	X		
Bell	Gilchrist			
Belle Glade	Palm Beach			
Belle Isle	Orange			
Belleair	Pinellas			
Belleair Beach	Pinellas	X		
Belleair Bluffs	Pinellas			
Belleair Shore	Pinellas			
Bellevue	Marion	X		
Beverly Beach	Flagler			

1. Do you interpret the reference in the proposed constitutional amendment to a local government's "comprehensive land use plan" to mean the Local Government Comprehensive Planning and Land Development Regulation Act, required by Chapter 163, Florida Statutes?

Municipality	County	YES	NO	If NO, how to you interpret this reference?
Biscayne Park	Miami-Dade			
Blountstown	Calhoun			
Boca Raton	Palm Beach			
Bonifay	Holmes			
Bonita Springs	Lee			
Bowling Green	Hardee			
Boynton Beach	Palm Beach	X		
Bradenton	Manatee	X		
Bradenton Beach	Manatee			
Branford	Suwannee			
Briny Breezes	Palm Beach			
Bristol	Liberty			
Bronson	Levy	X		
Brooker	Bradford			
Brooksville	Herrando	X		
Bunnell	Flagler			
Bushnell	Sumter			
Callahan	Nassau	X		
Callaway	Bay	X		
Campbellton	Jackson	X		
Cape Canaveral	Brevard	X		
Cape Coral	Lee			
Carrabelle	Franklin			
Caryville	Washington			
Casselberry	Seminole		X	Any land use change to the city's FLUM. Does not include any land use change due to annexations.
Cedar Grove	Bay			
Cedar Key	Levy			
Center Hill	Sumter			
Century	Escambia			

1. Do you interpret the reference in the proposed constitutional amendment to a local government's "comprehensive land use plan" to mean the Local Government Comprehensive Planning and Land Development Regulation Act, required by Chapter 163, Florida Statutes?

Municipality	County	YES	NO	If NO, how to you interpret this reference?
Chattahoochee	Gadsden	X		
Chiefland	Levy	X		
Chipley	Washington			
Cinco Bayou	Okaloosa	X		
Clearwater	Pinellas			
Clermont	Lake			
Clewiston	Hendry			
Cloud Lake	Paln Beach			
Cocoa	Brevard	X		
Cocoa Beach	Brevard	X		but only to comp. plans, not land development regs.
Coconut Creek	Broward			
Coleman	Sumter			
Cooper City	Broward	X		
Coral Gables	Miami-Dade			
Coral Springs	Broward			
Cottondale	Jackson			
Crescent City	Puham	X		
Crestview	Okaloosa	X		
Cross City	Dixie			
Crystal River	Citrus			
Dade City	Pasco			
Dania Beach	Broward			
Davenport	Polk			
Davie	Broward	X		
Daytona Beach	Volusia			
Daytona Beach Shores	Volusia			
DeBarry	Volusia			
Deerfield Beach	Broward		X	Future Land Use Element of 163 Comp. Plan
DeFuniak Springs	Walton	X		
Deland	Volusia	X		

1. Do you interpret the reference in the proposed constitutional amendment to a local government's "comprehensive land use plan" to mean the Local Government Comprehensive Planning and Land Development Regulation Act, required by Chapter 163, Florida Statutes?

Municipality	County	YES	NO	If NO, how to you interpret this reference?
Delray Beach	Palm Beach	X		
Deltona	Volusia			
Destin	Ocalaosa		X	Could refer to the Land Use Element only. It is vague and sloppy language.
Dundee	Polk			
Dunedin	Pinellas			
Dunnellon	Marion	X		
Eagle Lake	Polk			
Eatonville	Orange	X		
Ebro	Washington			
Edgewater	Volusia			
Edgewater Gulf Beach **	Bay			
Edgewood	Orange			
EI Portal	Miami-Dade			
Esto	Holmes			
Eustis	Lake	X		
Everglades	Collier			
Fanning Springs	Gilchrist/Levy			
Fellsmere	Indian River			
Fernandina Beach	Nassau			
Flagler Beach	Flagler/Volusia			
Florida City	Miami-Dade			
Fort Lauderdale	Broward			
Fort Meade	Polk			
Fort Myers	Lee			
Fort Myers Beach	Lee			
Fort Pierce	Saint Lucie			
Fort Walton Beach	Ocalaosa			
Fort White	Columbia			
Freeport	Walton	X		
Frostproof	Polk			

1. Do you interpret the reference in the proposed constitutional amendment to a local government's "comprehensive land use plan" to mean the Local Government Comprehensive Planning and Land Development Regulation Act, required by Chapter 163, Florida Statutes?

Municipality	County	YES	NO	If NO, how to you interpret this reference?
Fruitland Park	Lake			
Gainesville	Alachua			
Glen Ridge	Palm Beach	X		
Glen Saint Mary	Baker			
Golden Beach	Miami-Dade			
Golf	Palm Beach			
Golfview **	Palm Beach			
Graceville	Jackson			
Grand Ridge	Jackson			
Green Cove Springs	Clay			
Greenacres	Palm Beach			
Greensboro	Gadsden			
Greenville	Madison			
Greenwood	Jackson			
Gretna	Gadsden			
Groveland	Lake	X		
Gulf Breeze	Santa Rosa	X		
Gulf Stream	Palm Beach			
Gulfport	Pinellas			
Hacienda Village **	Broward			
Haines City	Polk			
Hallandale Beach	Broward		X	A Comp. Land Use Plan is something that municipal gov'ts are req'd to create and maintain under F.S. 163.3177 and 163.3178.
Hampton	Bradford			
Hastings	Saint Johns			
Havana	Gadsden			
Haverhill	Palm Beach		X	The act is the legislation that requires the comp plan, they are different.
Hawthorne	Alachua			
Hiialeah	Miami-Dade	X		
Hiialeah Gardens	Miami-Dade			

1. Do you interpret the reference in the proposed constitutional amendment to a local government's "comprehensive land use plan" to mean the Local Government Comprehensive Planning and Land Development Regulation Act, required by Chapter 163, Florida Statutes?

Municipality	County	YES	NO	If NO, how to you interpret this reference?
High Springs	Alachua			
Highland Beach	Palm Beach			
Highland Park	Polk			
Hillcrest Heights	Polk			
Hilliard	Nassau			
Hillsboro Beach	Broward			
Holly Hill	Volusia			
Hollywood	Broward			
Holmes Beach	Manatee			
Homestead	Miami-Dade			
Horseshoe Beach	Dixie			
Howey-in-the-Hills	Lake	x		
Hypoluxo	Palm Beach	X		
Indialantic	Brevard			
Indian Creek	Miami-Dade			
Indian Harbour Beach	Brevard			
Indian River Shores	Indian River			
Indian Rocks Beach	Pinellas			
Indian Shores	Pinellas			
Inglis	Levy			
Interlachen	Putnam	X		
Inverness	Citrus	X		
Islamorada	Monroe			
Islandia	Miami-Dade			
Jacksonville	Duval	X		
Jacksonville Beach	Duval			
Jacob City	Jackson			
Jasper	Hamilton			
Jay	Santa Rosa			
Jennings	Hamilton			

1. Do you interpret the reference in the proposed constitutional amendment to a local government's "comprehensive land use plan" to mean the Local Government Comprehensive Planning and Land Development Regulation Act, required by Chapter 163, Florida Statutes?

Municipality	County	YES	NO	If NO, how do you interpret this reference?
Juno Beach	Palm Beach			
Jupiter	Palm Beach	X		
Jupiter Inlet Colony	Palm Beach			
Jupiter Island	Martin			
Kenneth City	Pinellas			
Key Biscayne	Miami-Dade			
Key Colony Beach	Monroe			
Key West	Monroe			
Keystone Heights	Clay			
Kissimmee	Osceola	X		
La Belle	Hendry			
LaCrosse	Alachua			
Lady Lake	Lake			
Lake Alfred	Polk			
Lake Buena Vista	Orange	X		
Lake Butler	Union			
Lake City	Columbia			
Lake Clarke Shores	Palm Beach			
Lake Hamilton	Polk			
Lake Helen	Volusia			
Lake Mary	Seminole			
Lake Park	Palm Beach	X		
Lake Placid	Highlands			
Lake Wales	Polk			
Lake Worth	Palm Beach	X		
Lakeland	Polk			
Lantana	Palm Beach			
Largo	Pinellas			
Lauderdale Lakes	Broward			
Lauderdale-by-the-Sea	Broward			

1. Do you interpret the reference in the proposed constitutional amendment to a local government's "comprehensive land use plan" to mean the Local Government Comprehensive Planning and Land Development Regulation Act, required by Chapter 163, Florida Statutes?

Municipality	County	YES	NO	If NO, how to you interpret this reference?
Lauderhill	Broward			
Laurel Hill	Okaloosa	X		
Lawley	Bradford			
Layton	Monroe			
Lazy Lake	Broward			
Lee	Madison			
Leesburg	Lake			
Lighthouse Point	Broward			
Live Oak	Suwannee			
Long Beach Resort **	Bay			
Longboat Key	Manatee/Sarasota			
Longwood	Seminole			
Lynn Haven	Bay			
Macclenny	Baker	X		
Madeira Beach	Pinellas			
Madison	Madison			
Maitland	Orange			
Malabar	Brevard	X		
Malone	Jackson			
Manalapan	Palm Beach			
Mangonia Park	Palm Beach	X		
Marathon	Monroe			
Marco Island	Collier	X		
Margate	Broward			
Marlana	Jackson	X		
Marineland	Flagler/Saint Johns			
Mary Esther	Okaloosa	X		
Mascotte	Lake			
Mayo	Lafayette			
McIntosh	Marion			

1. Do you interpret the reference in the proposed constitutional amendment to a local government's "comprehensive land use plan" to mean the Local Government Comprehensive Planning and Land Development Regulation Act, required by Chapter 163, Florida Statutes?

Municipality	County	YES	NO	If NO, how to you interpret this reference?
Medley	Miami-Dade			
Melbourne	Brevard	X		
Melbourne Beach	Brevard			
Melbourne Village	Brevard			
Mexico Beach	Bay			
Miami	Miami-Dade			
Miami Beach	Miami-Dade		X	The Future Land Use Map and all elements of the City's adopted Comp. Plan
Miami Gardens	Miami-Dade	X		
Miami Shores	Miami-Dade	X		
Miami Springs	Miami-Dade			
Micanopy	Alachua			
Midway	Gadsden			
Milton	Santa Rosa			
Minneola	Lake			
Miramar	Broward			
Monticello	Jefferson	X		
Montverde	Lake			
Moore Haven	Glades			
Mount Dora	Lake			
Mulberry	Polk			
Munson Island **	Monroe			
Naples	Collier			
Neptune Beach	Duval			
New Port Richey	Pasco			
New Smyrna Beach	Volusia			
Newberry	Alachua			
Niceville	Okaloosa	X		
Noma	Holmes			
North Bay	Miami-Dade			
North Lauderdale	Broward			

1. Do you interpret the reference in the proposed constitutional amendment to a local government's "comprehensive land use plan" to mean the Local Government Comprehensive Planning and Land Development Regulation Act, required by Chapter 163, Florida Statutes?

Municipality	County	YES	NO	If NO, how to you interpret this reference?
North Miami	Miami-Dade			
North Miami Beach	Miami-Dade	X		
North Palm Beach	Palm Beach			
North Port	Sarasota	X		
North Redington Beach	Pineellas		X	Land Use Element of Comp. Plan
Oak Hill	Volusia			
Oakland	Orange			
Oakland Park	Broward	X		
Ocala	Marion			
Ocean Breeze Park	Martin			
Ocean Ridge	Palm Beach			
Ocoee	Orange	X		
Okeechobee	Okeechobee			
Oldsmar	Pineellas	X		
Opa-locka	Miami-Dade			
Orange City	Volusia			
Orange Park	Clay			
Orchid	Indian River			
Orlando	Orange			
Ormond Beach	Volusia	X		
Otter Creek	Levy			
Oviedo	Seminole			
Pahokee	Palm Beach			
Painters Hill **	Flagler			
Palatka	Putnam			
Palm Bay	Brevard	X		
Palm Beach	Palm Beach			
Palm Beach Gardens	Palm Beach		X	FLU Map amendments only
Palm Beach Shores	Palm Beach			
Palm Coast	Flagler			

1. Do you interpret the reference in the proposed constitutional amendment to a local government's "comprehensive land use plan" to mean the Local Government Comprehensive Planning and Land Development Regulation Act, required by Chapter 163, Florida Statutes?

Municipality	County	YES	NO	If NO, how to you interpret this reference?
Palm Shores	Brevard			
Palm Springs	Palm Beach	X		
Palmetto	Manatee			
Panama City	Bay			
Panama City Beach	Bay			
Parker	Bay			
Parkland	Broward	X		
Paxton	Walton			
Pembroke Park	Broward			
Pembroke Pines	Broward	X		
Penney Farms	Clay	X		
Pensuoco **	Miami-Dade			
Pensacola	Escambia			
Perry	Taylor			
Pierson	Volusia			
Pinecrest	Miami-Dade			
Pinellas Park	Pinellas	X		
Plant City	Hillsborough			
Plantation	Broward	X		
Polk City	Polk	X		
Pomona Park	Putnam			
Pompano Beach	Broward	X		
Ponce de Leon	Holmes			
Ponce Inlet	Volusia			
Port Orange	Volusia			
Port Richey	Pasco			
Port Saint Joe	Gulf			
Port Saint Lucie	Saint Lucie			
Punta Gorda	Charlotte			
Quincy	Gadsden			

1. Do you interpret the reference in the proposed constitutional amendment to a local government's "comprehensive land use plan" to mean the Local Government Comprehensive Planning and Land Development Regulation Act, required by Chapter 163, Florida Statutes?

Municipality	County	YES	NO	If NO, how to you interpret this reference?
Raiford	Union			
Reddick	Marion			
Redington Beach	Pinellas			
Redington Shores	Pinellas	X		
Riviera Beach	Palm Beach	X		
Rockledge	Brevard			
Royal Palm Beach	Palm Beach	X		
Safety Harbor	Pinellas			
Saint Augustine	Saint Johns	X		
Saint Augustine Beach	Saint Johns	X		
Saint Cloud	Osceola	X		
Saint Leo	Pasco			
Saint Lucie Village	Saint Lucie			
Saint Marks	Wakulla			
Saint Petersburg	Pinellas	X		
Saint Petersburg Beach	Pinellas			
San Antonio	Pasco	?		Do not have a City Planner
Sanford	Seminole	X		
Sanibel	Lee			
Sarasota	Sarasota	X		
Satellite Beach	Brevard			
Sea Ranch Lakes	Broward	X		
Sebastian	Indian River		X	
Sebring	Highlands			
Seminole	Pinellas			
Sewall's Point	Marin			
Shalimar	Okaloosa			
Sneads	Jackson			
Sopchoppy	Wakulla			
South Bay	Palm Beach			

1. Do you interpret the reference in the proposed constitutional amendment to a local government's "comprehensive land use plan" to mean the Local Government Comprehensive Planning and Land Development Regulation Act, required by Chapter 163, Florida Statutes?

Municipality	County	YES	NO	If NO, how to you interpret this reference?
South Daytona	Volusia	X		
South Miami	Miami-Dade	X		
South Palm Beach	Palm Beach			
South Pasadena	Pinellas			
Springfield	Bay			
Starke	Bradford			
Stuart	Martin			
Sunny Isles Beach	Miami-Dade			
Sunrise	Broward			
Surfside	Miami-Dade	X		
Sweetwater	Miami-Dade			
Tallahassee	Leon			
Tamarac	Broward	X		
Tampa	Hillsborough			
Tarpon Springs	Pinellas			
Tavares	Lake	X		
Temple Terrace	Hillsborough			
Tequesta	Palm Beach	X		
Titusville	Brevard			
Treasure Island	Pinellas			
Trenton	Gilchrist			
Umatilla	Lake			
Valparaiso	Okaloosa			
Venice	Sarasota			
Vernon	Washington			
Vero Beach	Indian River			
Virginia Gardens	Miami-Dade			
Waldo	Alachua			
Ward Ridge **	Gulf			
Wauchula	Hardee			

1. Do you interpret the reference in the proposed constitutional amendment to a local government's "comprehensive land use plan" to mean the Local Government Comprehensive Planning and Land Development Regulation Act, required by Chapter 163, Florida Statutes?

Municipality	County	YES	NO	If NO, how to you interpret this reference?
Wausau	Washington	X		
Webster	Sumter			
Weeki Wachee	Hernando			
Welaka	Putnam			
Wellington	Palm Beach			
West Melbourne	Brevard	X		
West Miami	Miami-Dade			
West Palm Beach	Palm Beach			
West Panama City Beach **	Bay			
Weston	Broward			
Westville	Holmes			
Wewahitchka	Gulf			
White Springs	Hamilton	X		
Wildwood	Sumter			
Williston	Levy			
Wilton Manors	Broward			
Windermere	Orange	X		
Winter Garden	Orange	X		
Winter Haven	Polk			
Winter Park	Orange	X		
Winter Springs	Seminole			
Worthington Springs	Union			
Yankeetown	Levy			
Zephyrhills	Pasco			
Zolfo Springs	Hardee			
Totals		95	9	

YES	NO
95	9

2. How many proposed plan amendments did your local government consider and approve last year?

Municipality	County	Number of Plan Amendments Submitted:	Number of Plan Amendments Considered:	Number of Plan Amendments Approved:	(A.) How many proposed comprehensive plan amendments are considered outside of the twice a year comprehensive plan amendment provisions.	Comments
Alachua	Alachua					
Alford	Jackson					
Altamonte Springs	Seminole					
Altira	Calhoun					
Anna Maria	Manatee					
Apalachicola	Franklin					
Apopka	Orange					
Arcadia	De Soto					
Archer	Alachua					
Astatula	Lake					
Atlantic Beach	Duval	2	2	0	less than 5	
Atlantis	Palm Beach					
Auburndale	Polk	1	1	1	5 to 10	
Aventura	Miami-Dade					
Avon Park	Highlands	3	3	3	3	
Bal Harbour	Miami-Dade	0	0	0	0	
Baldwin	Duval					
Barrow	Polk	8	8	7	4	
Bascom	Jackson					
Bay Harbor Islands	Miami-Dade					
Bay Lake	Orange	0	1	0	Depends on needs of property owners	
Bell	Gilchrist					
Belle Glade	Palm Beach					
Belle Isle	Orange					
Belleair	Pinellas					
Belleair Beach	Pinellas	0	0	0		
Belleair Bluffs	Pinellas					

2. How many proposed plan amendments did your local government consider and approve last year?

Municipality	County	Number of Plan Amendments Submitted:	Number of Plan Amendments Considered:	Number of Plan Amendments Approved:	(A.) How many proposed comprehensive plan amendments are considered outside of the twice a year comprehensive plan amendment provisions.	Comments
Belleair Shore	Pinellas					
Belleview	Marion	20	20	18		all small scale
Beverly Beach	Flagler					
Biscayne Park	Miami-Dade					
Blountstown	Calhoun					
Boca Raton	Palm Beach					
Bonifay	Holmes					
Bonita Springs	Lee					
Bowling Green	Hardee					
Boynton Beach	Palm Beach	12	12	12	7	
Bradenton	Manatee	11	11	9	7	
Bradenton Beach	Manatee					
Branford	Suwannee					
Briny Breezes	Palm Beach					
Bristol	Liberty					
Bronson	Levy			5		
Brooker	Bradford					
Brooksville	Hernando	1	1	1	10-May	
Bunnell	Flagler					
Bushnell	Sumter					
Callahan	Nassau	1	1	1	0	
Callaway	Bay	8	5	1	1	
Campbellton	Jackson		X		1	
Cape Canaveral	Brevard	0	0	0	none	
Cape Coral	Lee					
Carrabelle	Franklin					
Carville	Washington					
Casselberry	Seminole	3	3	3	0	

2. How many proposed plan amendments did your local government consider and approve last year?

Municipality	County	Number of Plan Amendments Submitted:	Number of Plan Amendments Considered:	Number of Plan Amendments Approved:	(A.) How many proposed comprehensive plan amendments are considered outside of the twice a year comprehensive plan amendment provisions.	Comments
Cedar Grove	Bay					
Cedar Key	Levy					
Center Hill	Sumter					
Century	Escambia					
Chattahoochee	Gadsden	0	0	0		
Chiefland	Levy	4	4	4	0	
Chipley	Washington					
Cinco Bayou	Okaloosa	1	1	1	none	
Clearwater	Pinellas					
Clermont	Lake					
Clewiston	Hendry					
Cloud Lake	Palm Beach					
Cocoa	Brevard	13	13	13	5	
Cocoa Beach	Brevard	1	1	1	None	
Coconut Creek	Broward					
Coleman	Sumter					
Cooper City	Broward	1	1	1	0	
Coral Gables	Miami-Dade					
Coral Springs	Broward					
Cottondale	Jackson					
Crescent City	Putnam		1			
Crestview	Okaloosa	12	12	6	6	
Cross City	Dixie					
Crystal River	Citrus					
Dade City	Pasco					
Dania Beach	Broward					
Davenport	Polk					
Davie	Broward	4	5	3	1	

2. How many proposed plan amendments did your local government consider and approve last year?

Municipality	County	Number of Plan Amendments Submitted:	Number of Plan Amendments Considered:	Number of Plan Amendments Approved:	(A.) How many proposed comprehensive plan amendments are considered outside of the twice a year comprehensive plan amendment provisions.	Comments
Daytona Beach	Volusia					
Daytona Beach Shores	Volusia					
DeBary	Volusia					
Deerfield Beach	Broward	2	2	1	0	
DeFuniak Springs	Walton	0			0	
Deland	Volusia	5	5	4	3 to 5 small scale	
Delray Beach	Palm Beach	2	2	2		
Deltona	Volusia					
Destin	Ocala	1	1			
Dundee	Polk					
Dunedin	Pinellas					
Dunnellon	Marion	2	2	1	none	
Eagle Lake	Polk					
Eatonville	Orange	3	3	3		
Ebro	Washington					
Edgewater	Volusia					
Edgewater Gulf Beach **	Bay					
Edgewood	Orange					
El Portal	Miami-Dade					
Esto	Holmes					
Eustis	Lake	10 (approx.)	10 (approx.)	10 (approx.)	15	
Everglades	Collier					
Fanning Springs	Gilchrist/Levy					
Fellsmere	Indian River					
Fernandina Beach	Nassau					
Flagler Beach	Flagler/Volusia					
Florida City	Miami-Dade					
Fort Lauderdale	Broward					

2. How many proposed plan amendments did your local government consider and approve last year?

Municipality	County	Number of Plan Amendments Submitted:	Number of Plan Amendments Considered:	Number of Plan Amendments Approved:	(A.) How many proposed comprehensive plan amendments are considered outside of the twice a year comprehensive plan amendment provisions.	Comments
Fort Meade	Polk					
Fort Myers	Lee					
Fort Myers Beach	Lee					
Fort Pierce	Saint Lucie					
Fort Walton Beach	Okaloosa					
Fort White	Columbia					
Freeport	Walton	15	15	15	13	
Frostproof	Polk					
Fruittland Park	Lake					
Gainesville	Alachua					
Glen Ridge	Palm Beach	1		1	None	
Glen Saint Mary	Baker					
Golden Beach	Miami-Dade					
Golf	Palm Beach					
Golfview **	Palm Beach					
Graceville	Jackson					
Grand Ridge	Jackson					
Green Cove Springs	Clay					
Greenacres	Palm Beach					
Greensboro	Gadsden					
Greenville	Madison					
Greenwood	Jackson					
Gretna	Gadsden					
Groveland	Lake	10 large/ 13 small	10 large/ 13 small	10 large/ 13 small	13	
Gulf Breeze	Santa Rosa	2	2	2	1 or 2	
Gulf Stream	Palm Beach					
Gulfport	Pinellas					
Hacienda Village **	Broward					

2. How many proposed plan amendments did your local government consider and approve last year?

Municipality	County	Number of Plan Amendments Submitted:	Number of Plan Amendments Considered:	Number of Plan Amendments Approved:	(A.) How many proposed comprehensive plan amendments are considered outside of the twice a year comprehensive plan amendment provisions.	Comments
Haines City	Polk					
Hallandale Beach	Broward	3	3	3	2 to 3	
Hampton	Bradford					
Hastings	Saint Johns					
Havana	Gadsden					
Haverhill	Palm Beach	2		2	1	
Hawthorne	Alachua					
Hialeah	Miami-Dade	8	8	4	0	
Hialeah Gardens	Miami-Dade					
High Springs	Alachua					
Highland Beach	Palm Beach					
Highland Park	Polk					
Hillcrest Heights	Polk					
Hilliard	Nassau					
Hillsboro Beach	Broward					
Holly Hill	Volusia					
Hollywood	Broward					
Holmes Beach	Manatee					
Homestead	Miami-Dade					
Horseshoe Beach	Dixie					
Howey-in-the-Hills	Lake	2	2	2	1	
Hypoluxo	Palm Beach	1	1	1	none	
Indialantic	Brevard					
Indian Creek	Miami-Dade					
Indian Harbour Beach	Brevard					
Indian River Shores	Indian River					
Indian Rocks Beach	Pinellas					
Indian Shores	Pinellas					

2. How many proposed plan amendments did your local government consider and approve last year?

Municipality	County	Number of Plan Amendments Submitted:	Number of Plan Amendments Considered:	Number of Plan Amendments Approved:	(A.) How many proposed amendments are considered outside of the twice a year comprehensive plan amendment provisions.	Comments
Inglis	Levy					
Interlachen	Putnam	2	2	2	0	
Inverness	Citrus	1	1	1	1	
Islamorada	Monroe					
Islandia	Miami-Dade					
Jacksonville	Duval	120 (includes staff disapprovals which were withdrawn)	100	92	66	
Jacksonville Beach	Duval					
Jacob City	Jackson					
Jasper	Hamilton					
Jay	Santa Rosa					
Jennings	Hamilton					
Juno Beach	Palm Beach					
Jupiter	Palm Beach	19	17	16	5	
Jupiter Inlet Colony	Palm Beach					
Jupiter Island	Martin					
Kenneth City	Pinellas					
Key Biscayne	Miami-Dade					
Key Colony Beach	Monroe					
Key West	Monroe					
Keystone Heights	Clay					
Kissimmee	Osceola	15	12	12	In 2003, all were small scale and outside the 2x per yr. limit	
La Belle	Hendry					
LaCrosse	Alachua					
Lady Lake	Lake					
Lake Alfred	Polk					

2. How many proposed plan amendments did your local government consider and approve last year?

Municipality	County	Number of Plan Amendments Submitted:	Number of Plan Amendments Considered:	Number of Plan Amendments Approved:	(A.) How many proposed comprehensive plan amendments are considered outside of the twice a year comprehensive plan amendment provisions.	Comments
Lake Buena Vista	Orange	0	1	0	Depends on needs of property owners	
Lake Butler	Union					
Lake City	Columbia					
Lake Clarke Shores	Palm Beach					
Lake Hamilton	Polk					
Lake Helen	Volusia					
Lake Mary	Seminole					
Lake Park	Palm Beach	1	1	0	Could be as high as 10-15, but comp plan needs revision and approval from the State of Florida before these can be submitted and considered.	
Lake Placid	Highlands					
Lake Wales	Polk					
Lake Worth	Palm Beach	1	1	1	0	
Lakeland	Polk					
Lantana	Palm Beach					
Largo	Pinellas					
Lauderdale Lakes	Broward					
Lauderdale-by-the-Sea	Broward					
Lauderhill	Broward					
Laurel Hill	Okaloosa	1		1	None	
Lawley	Bradford					
Layton	Monroe					
Lazy Lake	Broward					
Lee	Madison					
Leesburg	Lake					
Lighthouse Point	Broward					

2. How many proposed plan amendments did your local government consider and approve last year?

Municipality	County	Number of Plan Amendments Submitted:	Number of Plan Amendments Considered:	Number of Plan Amendments Approved:	(A.) How many proposed comprehensive plan amendments are considered outside of the twice a year comprehensive plan amendment provisions.	Comments
Live Oak	Suwannee					
Long Beach Resort **	Bay					
Longboat Key	Manatee/Sarasota					
Longwood	Seminole					
Lynn Haven	Bay					
Maccleenny	Baker	3	3	3	most typically 1 acre size parcels	
Madeira Beach	Pinellas					
Madison	Madison					
Maitland	Orange					
Malabar	Brevard	0	0	0		
Malone	Jackson					
Manalapan	Palm Beach					
Mangonia Park	Palm Beach	0	0	0	0	
Marathon	Monroe					
Marco Island	Collier	8	10	8		
Margate	Broward					
Marianna	Jackson	2	6	2	4	
MarineLand	Flagler/Saint Johns					
Mary Esther	Okaloosa	1	0	0	none	
Mascotte	Lake					
Mayo	Lafayette					
McIntosh	Marion					
Medley	Miami-Dade					
Melbourne	Brevard	15	13	13	11	
Melbourne Beach	Brevard					
Melbourne Village	Brevard					
Mexico Beach	Bay					

2. How many proposed plan amendments did your local government consider and approve last year?

Municipality	County	Number of Plan Amendments Submitted:	Number of Plan Amendments Considered:	Number of Plan Amendments Approved:	(A.) How many proposed comprehensive plan amendments are considered outside of the twice a year comprehensive plan amendment provisions.	Comments
Miami	Miami-Dade					
Miami Beach	Miami-Dade	2	2	1	Ear based amendments, small scale amendments	
Miami Gardens	Miami-Dade	4	4	4	0	
Miami Shores	Miami-Dade	0	0	0	0	
Miami Springs	Miami-Dade					
Micanopy	Alachua					
Midway	Gadsden					
Milton	Santa Rosa					
Minneola	Lake					
Miramar	Broward					
Monticello	Jefferson	0			0	
Montverde	Lake					
Moore Haven	Glades					
Mount Dora	Lake					
Mulberry	Polk					
Munson Island **	Monroe					
Naples	Collier					
Neptune Beach	Duval					
New Port Richey	Pasco					
New Smyrna Beach	Volusia					
Newberry	Alachua					
Niceville	Okaloosa	3	3	3	2	In 2004 (thus far), 10 submitted, 10 considered, 9 approved, 8 considered outside 2 per/yr provision.
Norma	Holmes					

2. How many proposed plan amendments did your local government consider and approve last year?

Municipality	County	Number of Plan Amendments Submitted:	Number of Plan Amendments Considered:	Number of Plan Amendments Approved:	(A.) How many proposed comprehensive plan amendments are considered outside of the twice a year comprehensive plan amendment provisions.	Comments
North Bay	Miami-Dade					
North Lauderdale	Broward					
North Miami	Miami-Dade					
North Miami Beach	Miami-Dade					
North Palm Beach	Palm Beach	2	2	2	none	
North Port	Sarasota	12	12	10	1	
North Redington Beach	Pinellas	0	0	0	0	
Oak Hill	Volusia					
Oakland	Orange					
Oakland Park	Broward	3	3	1	1	
Ocala	Marion					
Ocean Breeze Park	Martin					
Ocean Ridge	Palm Beach					
Ocoee	Orange	10	8	1	8	
Okeechobee	Okeechobee					
Oldsmar	Pinellas	10	10	9	7	
Opa-locka	Miami-Dade					
Orange City	Volusia					
Orange Park	Clay					
Orchid	Indian River					
Orlando	Orange					
Ormond Beach	Volusia	10	10	10	4	
Otter Creek	Levy					
Oviedo	Seminole					
Pahokee	Palm Beach					
Painters Hill **	Flagler					
Palatka	Putnam					
Palm Bay	Brevard	19	18	15	3 to 6 per year	

2. How many proposed plan amendments did your local government consider and approve last year?

Municipality	County	Number of Plan Amendments Submitted:	Number of Plan Amendments Considered:	Number of Plan Amendments Approved:	(A.) How many proposed comprehensive plan amendments are considered outside of the twice a year comprehensive plan amendment provisions.	Comments
Palm Beach	Palm Beach					
Palm Beach Gardens	Palm Beach	9	7	7	4 Small-scale amendments	
Palm Beach Shores	Palm Beach					
Palm Coast	Flagler					
Palm Shores	Brevard					
Palm Springs	Palm Beach				18 (2 large scale, 16 small scale)	2 large scale amends, staff initiated, 16 small scale amends
Palmetto	Manatee					
Panama City	Bay					
Panama City Beach	Bay					
Parker	Bay					
Parkland	Broward	1	1	1	n/a	
Paxton	Walton					
Pembroke Park	Broward					
Pembroke Pines	Broward	5	5	0	1	
Penney Farms	Clay	1	1	0		
Pennsuco **	Miami-Dade					
Pensacola	Escambia					
Perry	Taylor					
Pierson	Volusia					
Pincrest	Miami-Dade					
Pinellas Park	Pinellas	9	9	9	8	
Plant City	Hillsborough					
Plantation	Broward	4	4	4	0	
Polk City	Polk	16	16	15	10+	
Pomona Park	Putnam					
Pompano Beach	Broward	0	0	1	0	
Ponce de Leon	Holmes					

2. How many proposed plan amendments did your local government consider and approve last year?

Municipality	County	Number of Plan Amendments Submitted:	Number of Plan Amendments Considered:	Number of Plan Amendments Approved:	(A.) How many proposed comprehensive plan amendments are considered outside of the twice a year comprehensive plan amendment provisions.	Comments
Ponce Inlet	Volusia					
Port Orange	Volusia					
Port Richey	Pasco					
Port Saint Joe	Gulf					
Port Saint Lucie	Saint Lucie					
Punta Gorda	Charlotte					
Quincy	Gadsden					
Raiford	Union					
Reddick	Marion					
Redington Beach	Pinellas					
Redington Shores	Pinellas	0				
Riviera Beach	Palm Beach	3	3	3	approx. 2	
Rockledge	Brevard					
Royal Palm Beach	Palm Beach	7	6	6	4	
Safety Harbor	Pinellas					
Saint Augustine	Saint Johns	3	3	3	3 last year	
Saint Augustine Beach	Saint Johns		7	7	0	
Saint Cloud	Osceola	10	10	10	7	
Saint Leo	Pasco					
Saint Lucie Village	Saint Lucie					
Saint Marks	Wakulla	1		1		
Saint Petersburg	Pinellas	20	19	18	3	
Saint Petersburg Beach	Pinellas					
San Antonio	Pasco	1	1	1	none	
Sanford	Seminole	17	17	17	15	
Sanibel	Lee					
Sarasota	Sarasota	12	12	10	none	
Satellite Beach	Brevard					

2. How many proposed plan amendments did your local government consider and approve last year?

Municipality	County	Number of Plan Amendments Submitted:	Number of Plan Amendments Considered:	Number of Plan Amendments Approved:	(A.) How many proposed comprehensive plan amendments are considered outside of the twice a year comprehensive plan amendment provisions.	Comments
Sea Ranch Lakes	Broward	0	0	0	none	
Sebastian	Indian River	7	7	5	0	
Sebring	Highlands					
Seminole	Pinellas					
Sewall's Point	Martin					
Shalimar	Okaloosa					
Sneads	Jackson					
Sopchoppy	Wakulla					
South Bay	Palm Beach					
South Daytona	Volusia	6	6	6	4 to 6 small scale	
South Miami	Miami-Dade	0			varies per year	
South Palm Beach	Palm Beach					
South Pasadena	Pinellas					
Springfield	Bay					
Starke	Bradford					
Stuart	Martin					
Sunny Isles Beach	Miami-Dade					
Sunrise	Broward					
Surfside	Miami-Dade	1	1	1	0	
Sweetwater	Miami-Dade					
Tallahassee	Leon					
Tamarac	Broward	3	3	3	3	
Tampa	Hillsborough					
Tarpon Springs	Pinellas					
Tavares	Lake	15	15	15	11	
Temple Terrace	Hillsborough					
Tequesta	Palm Beach	2		2	0	
Titusville	Brevard					

2. How many proposed plan amendments did your local government consider and approve last year?

Municipality	County	Number of Plan Amendments Submitted:	Number of Plan Amendments Considered:	Number of Plan Amendments Approved:	(A.) How many proposed comprehensive plan amendments are considered outside of the twice a year comprehensive plan amendment provisions.	Comments
Treasure Island	Pinellas					
Trenton	Gilchrist					
Umatilla	Lake					
Valparaiso	Okaloosa					
Venice	Sarasota					
Vernon	Washington					
Vero Beach	Indian River					
Virginia Gardens	Miami-Dade					
Waldo	Alachua					
Ward Ridge **	Gulf					
Wauchula	Hardee					
Wausau	Washington	0	0	0	0	
Webster	Sumter					
Weeki Wachee	Herrando					
Welaka	Putnam					
Wellington	Palm Beach					
West Melbourne	Brevard	8	8	7	6	
West Miami	Miami-Dade					
West Palm Beach	Palm Beach					
West Panama City Beach **	Bay					
Weston	Broward					
Westville	Holmes					
Wewahitchka	Gulf					
White Springs	Hamilton	2	2	1	0	
Wildwood	Sumter					
Williston	Levy					
Wilton Manors	Broward					
Windermere	Orange	1	1	1	none	

2. How many proposed plan amendments did your local government consider and approve last year?

Municipality	County	Number of Plan Amendments Submitted:	Number of Plan Amendments Considered:	Number of Plan Amendments Approved:	(A.) How many proposed comprehensive plan amendments are considered outside of the twice a year comprehensive plan amendment provisions.	Comments
Winter Garden	Orange	16	6	6		
Winter Haven	Polk					
Winter Park	Orange	12	12	10	all small scale future land use map amend.	
Winter Springs	Seminole					
Worthington Springs	Union					
Yankeetown	Levy					
Zephyrhills	Pasco					
Zolfo Springs	Hardee					

3. If the proposed constitutional amendment is approved, would you anticipate the volume of proposed plan amendments to increase, decrease, or remain the same?

Municipality	County	Increase	Decrease	Remain the Same	(a.) If you anticipate the volume of plan amendments to increase or decrease, by what percent do you anticipate the volume to change?	Comment
Alachua	Alachua					
Alford	Jackson					
Allamonte Springs	Seminole					
Altha	Calhoun					
Anna Maria	Manatee					
Apalachicola	Franklin					
Apopka	Orange					
Arcadia	De Soto					
Archer	Alachua					
Astatula	Lake					
Atlantic Beach	Duval			X	0%	
Atlantis	Palm Beach					
Auburndale	Polk		X		50% decrease	
Aventura	Miami-Dade					
Avon Park	Highlands			X	n/a	
Bal Harbour	Miami-Dade			X	n/a	
Baldwin	Duval					
Barrow	Polk			X		
Bascom	Jackson					
Bay Harbor Islands	Miami-Dade					
Bay Lake	Orange			X	N/A	
Bell	Gilchrist					
Belle Glade	Palm Beach					
Belle Isle	Orange					
Belleair	Pinellas					
Belleair Beach	Pinellas			X		
Belleair Bluffs	Pinellas					
Belleair Shore	Pinellas					
Belleview	Marion		X		** Illegible	
Beverly Beach	Flagler					
Biscayne Park	Miami-Dade					
Blountstown	Calhoun					

3. If the proposed constitutional amendment is approved, would you anticipate the volume of proposed plan amendments to increase, decrease, or remain the same?

Municipality	County	Increase	Decrease	Remain the Same	(a) If you anticipate the volume of plan amendments to increase or decrease, by what percent do you anticipate the volume to change?	Comment
Boca Raton	Palm Beach					
Bonifay	Holmes					
Bonita Springs	Lee					
Bowling Green	Hardee					
Boynton Beach	Palm Beach		X		50% (small scale)	
Bradenton	Manatee		X		50%	
Bradenton Beach	Manatee					
Branford	Suwannee					
Briny Breezes	Palm Beach					
Bristol	Liberty					
Bronson	Levy	X			10%	
Brooker	Bradford					
Brooksville	Hernando			X	n/a	
Bunnell	Flagler					
Bushnell	Sumter					
Callahan	Nassau			X	n/a	
Callaway	Bay					
Campbellton	Jackson			X		
Cape Canaveral	Brevard			X		
Cape Coral	Lee					
Carrabelle	Franklin					
Caryville	Washington					
Casselberry	Seminole					Can't speculate
Cedar Grove	Bay					
Cedar Key	Levy					
Center Hill	Sumter					
Century	Escambia					
Chattahoochee	Gadsden			X		

3. If the proposed constitutional amendment is approved, would you anticipate the volume of proposed plan amendments to increase, decrease, or remain the same?

Municipality	County	Increase	Decrease	Remain the Same	(a.) If you anticipate the volume of plan amendments to increase or decrease, by what percent do you anticipate the volume to change?	Comment
Chiefland	Levy			X		
Chipley	Washington					
Cinco Bayou	Okaloosa			X	n/a	
Clearwater	Pinellas					
Clermont	Lake					
Clewiston	Hendry					
Cloud Lake	Palm Beach					
Cocoa	Brevard		X		50%	
Cocoa Beach	Brevard			X	None, but I wonder why you think a gov't officials could have an objective answer to such a ?	
Coconut Creek	Broward					
Coleman	Suwannee					
Cooper City	Broward		X		30%	
Coral Gables	Miami-Dade					
Coral Springs	Broward					
Cottondale	Jackson					
Crescent City	Putnam		X		85%	
Crestview	Okaloosa		X		20%	
Cross City	Dixie					
Crystal River	Citrus					
Dade City	Pasco					
Dania Beach	Broward					
Davenport	Polk					
Davie	Broward		X - don't know for sure		50%	
Daytona Beach	Volusia					
Daytona Beach Shores	Volusia					
DeBary	Volusia					
Deerfield Beach	Broward			X		
DeFuntak Springs	Walton			X	Several large and small scale amend being prepared at this time	

3. If the proposed constitutional amendment is approved, would you anticipate the volume of proposed plan amendments to increase, decrease, or remain the same?

Municipality	County	Increase	Decrease	Remain the Same	(a) If you anticipate the volume of plan amendments to increase or decrease, by what percent do you anticipate the volume to change?	Comment
Deland	Volusia		X		50%	
Delray Beach	Palm Beach		X		50% - Due to added processing costs which would be passed onto applicant	
Deltona	Volusia					
Destin	Okaloosa		X		50 to 80%	
Dundee	Polk					
Dunedin	Pinellas					
Dunnellon	Marion			X	0%	
Eagle Lake	Polk					
Eatonville	Orange		X		100%	
Ebro	Washington					
Edgewater	Volusia					
Edgewater Gulf Beach **	Bay					
Edgewood	Orange					
El Portal	Miami-Dade					
Esto	Holmes					
Eustis	Lake	?	?	?	unknown	
Everglades	Collier					
Fanning Springs	Gilchrist/Levy					
Fellsmere	Indian River					
Fernandina Beach	Nassau					
Flagler Beach	Flagler/Volusia					
Florida City	Miami-Dade					
Fort Lauderdale	Broward					
Fort Meade	Polk					
Fort Myers	Lee					
Fort Myers Beach	Lee					
Fort Pierce	Saint Lucie					
Fort Walton Beach	Okaloosa					
Fort White	Columbia					
Freeport	Walton		X		at least 50%	

3. If the proposed constitutional amendment is approved, would you anticipate the volume of proposed plan amendments to increase, decrease, or remain the same?

Municipality	County	Increase	Decrease	Remain the Same	(a) If you anticipate the volume of plan amendments to increase or decrease, by what percent do you anticipate the volume to change?	Comment
Frostproof	Polk					
Fruitland Park	Lake					
Gainesville	Alachua					
Glen Ridge	Palm Beach			X	N/A	
Glen Saint Mary	Baker					
Golden Beach	Miami-Dade					
Golf	Palm Beach					
Golfview **	Palm Beach					
Graceville	Jackson					
Grand Ridge	Jackson					
Green Cove Springs	Clay					
Greenacres	Palm Beach					
Greensboro	Gadsden					
Greenville	Madison					
Greenwood	Jackson					
Gretna	Gadsden					
Groveland	Lake		X		90%	
Gulf Breeze	Santa Rosa			X		
Gulf Stream	Palm Beach					
Gulfport	Pinellas					
Hacienda Village **	Broward					
Haines City	Polk					
Hallandale Beach	Broward		X		75%	
Hampton	Bradford					
Hastings	Saint Johns					
Havana	Gadsden					
Haverhill	Palm Beach		X		50%	
Hawthorne	Alachua					
Hiialeah	Miami-Dade			X	n/a	
Hiialeah Gardens	Miami-Dade					
High Springs	Alachua					
Highland Beach	Palm Beach					

3. If the proposed constitutional amendment is approved, would you anticipate the volume of proposed plan amendments to increase, decrease, or remain the same?

Municipality	County	Increase	Decrease	Remain the Same	(a) If you anticipate the volume of plan amendments to increase or decrease, by what percent do you anticipate the volume to change?	Comment
Highland Park	Polk					
Hillcrest Heights	Polk					
Hilliard	Nassau					
Hillsboro Beach	Broward					
Holly Hill	Volusia	X				
Hollywood	Broward					
Holmes Beach	Manatee					
Homestead	Miami-Dade					
Horseshoe Beach	Dixie					
Howey-in-the-Hills	Lake		X		perhaps 50%	
Hypoluxo	Palm Beach			X		
Indianalantic	Brevard					
Indian Creek	Miami-Dade					
Indian Harbour Beach	Brevard					
Indian River Shores	Indian River					
Indian Rocks Beach	Pinellas					
Indian Shores	Pinellas					
Inglis	Levy					
Interlachen	Putnam	X			100%	
Inverness	Citrus			X		
Islamorada	Monroe					
Islandia	Miami-Dade					
Jacksonville	Duval	X			20% to 30%	
Jacksonville Beach	Duval					
Jacob City	Jackson					
Jasper	Hamilton					
Jay	Santa Rosa					
Jennings	Hamilton					
Juno Beach	Palm Beach					
Jupiter	Palm Beach			X		
Jupiter Inlet Colony	Palm Beach					
Jupiter Island	Martin					

3. If the proposed constitutional amendment is approved, would you anticipate the volume of proposed plan amendments to increase, decrease, or remain the same?

Municipality	County	Increase	Decrease	Remain the Same	(a.) If you anticipate the volume of plan amendments to increase or decrease, by what percent do you anticipate the volume to change?	Comment
Kenneth City	Pinellas					
Key Biscayne	Miami-Dade					
Key Colony Beach	Monroe					
Key West	Monroe					
Keystone Heights	Clay					
Kissimmee	Osceola		X		10% - 15%	
La Belle	Hendry					
LaCrosse	Alachua					
Lady Lake	Lake					
Lake Alfred	Polk					
Lake Buena Vista	Orange			X	N/A	
Lake Butler	Union					
Lake City	Columbia					
Lake Clarke Shores	Palm Beach					
Lake Hamilton	Polk					
Lake Helen	Volusia					
Lake Mary	Seminole					
Lake Park	Palm Beach			X		
Lake Placid	Highlands					
Lake Wales	Polk					
Lake Worth	Palm Beach		X		Cannot determine the decrease.	
Lakeland	Polk					
Lantana	Palm Beach					
Largo	Pinellas					
Lauderdale Lakes	Broward					
Lauderdale-by-the-Sea	Broward					
Lauderhill	Broward					
Laurel Hill	Okaloosa		X			A referendum vote w/b too expensive for us, except during our regular elections every 2 yrs.
Lawtey	Bradford					
Layton	Monroe					

3. If the proposed constitutional amendment is approved, would you anticipate the volume of proposed plan amendments to increase, decrease, or remain the same?

Municipality	County	Increase	Decrease	Remain the Same	(a.) If you anticipate the volume of plan amendments to increase or decrease, by what percent do you anticipate the volume to change?	Comment
Lazy Lake	Broward					
Lee	Madison					
Leesburg	Lake					
Lighthouse Point	Broward					
Live Oak	Suwannee					
Long Beach Resort **	Bay					
Longboat Key	Manatee/Sarasota					
Longwood	Seminole					
Lynn Haven	Bay					
Maccleddy	Baker		X		at least 50%	
Madeira Beach	Pineellas					
Madison	Madison					
Maitland	Orange					
Malabar	Brevard		X		50%	
Malone	Jackson					
Manalapan	Palm Beach					
Mangonia Park	Palm Beach			X	0%	
Marathon	Monroe					
Marco Island	Collier		X		50%	
Margate	Broward					
Marianna	Jackson		X		100%	
Marineland	Flagler/Saint Johns					
Mary Esther	Okaloosa			X		
Mascotte	Lake					
Mayo	Lafayette					
McIntosh	Marion					
Medley	Miami-Dade					
Melbourne	Brevard		X		25%	
Melbourne Beach	Brevard					
Melbourne Village	Brevard					
Mexico Beach	Bay					
Miami	Miami-Dade					

3. If the proposed constitutional amendment is approved, would you anticipate the volume of proposed plan amendments to increase, decrease, or remain the same?

Municipality	County	Increase	Decrease	Remain the Same	(a) If you anticipate the volume of plan amendments to increase or decrease, by what percent do you anticipate the volume to change?	Comment
Miami Beach	Miami-Dade		X		75%	
Miami Gardens	Miami-Dade			X		
Miami Shores	Miami-Dade			X		
Miami Springs	Miami-Dade					
Micanopy	Alachua					
Midway	Gadsden					
Milton	Santa Rosa					
Minneola	Lake					
Miramar	Broward					
Monticello	Jefferson			X		
Montverde	Lake					
Moore Haven	Glades					
Mount Dora	Lake					
Mulberry	Polk					
Munson Island **	Monroe					
Naples	Collier					
Neptune Beach	Duval					
New Port Richey	Pasco			X		
New Smyrna Beach	Volusia					
Newberry	Alachua					
Niceville	Okaloosa			X		
Noma	Holmes					
North Bay	Miami-Dade					
North Lauderdale	Broward					
North Miami	Miami-Dade					
North Miami Beach	Miami-Dade					
North Palm Beach	Palm Beach			X	n/a	
North Port	Sarasota	X			10%	
North Redington Beach	Pinellas			X		
Oak Hill	Volusia					
Oakland	Orange					
Oakland Park	Broward			X	n/a	

3. If the proposed constitutional amendment is approved, would you anticipate the volume of proposed plan amendments to increase, decrease, or remain the same?

Municipality	County	Increase	Decrease	Remain the Same	(a) If you anticipate the volume of plan amendments to increase or decrease, by what percent do you anticipate the volume to change?	Comment
Ocala	Marion					
Ocean Breeze Park	Martin					
Ocean Ridge	Palm Beach					
Ocoee	Orange			X	n/a	
Okeechobee	Okeechobee					
Oldsmar	Pinellas			X		
Opa-locka	Miami-Dade					
Orange City	Volusia					
Orange Park	Clay					
Orchid	Indian River					
Orlando	Orange					
Ormond Beach	Volusia		X		between 50 - 75%	
Otter Creek	Levy					
Oviedo	Seminole					
Pahokee	Palm Beach					
Painters Hill **	Flagler					
Palatka	Putnam					
Palm Bay	Brevard		X		30%	
Palm Beach	Palm Beach					
Palm Beach Gardens	Palm Beach			X		
Palm Beach Shores	Palm Beach					
Palm Coast	Flagler					
Palm Shores	Brevard					
Palm Springs	Palm Beach		X		significantly	
Palmetto	Manatee					
Panama City	Bay					
Panama City Beach	Bay					
Parker	Bay					
Parkland	Broward		X		minimum, given lkd. # of amend processed	
Paxton	Walton					
Pembroke Park	Broward					

3. If the proposed constitutional amendment is approved, would you anticipate the volume of proposed plan amendments to increase, decrease, or remain the same?

Municipality	County	Increase	Decrease	Remain the Same	(a) If you anticipate the volume of plan amendments to increase or decrease, by what percent do you anticipate the volume to change?	Co. ment
Pembroke Pines	Broward		X	X	20% Remain same	
Penney Farms	Clay					
Pensuoco **	Miami-Dade					
Pensacola	Escambia					
Perry	Taylor					
Pierson	Volusia					
Pincrest	Miami-Dade					
Pinellas Park	Pinellas		X		45%	
Plant City	Hillsborough					
Plantation	Broward			X	0%	
Polk City	Polk		X		90%	
Pomona Park	Putnam					
Pompano Beach	Broward	X			5-6 per yr. %	Even w/o const. amend. The # is increasing. We had 4 in 2004.
Ponce de Leon	Holmes					
Ponce Inlet	Volusia					
Port Orange	Volusia					
Port Richey	Pasco					
Port Saint Joe	Gulf					
Port Saint Lucie	Saint Lucie					
Punta Gorda	Charlotte					
Quincy	Gadsden					
Raiford	Union					
Reddick	Marion					
Redington Beach	Pinellas					
Redington Shores	Pinellas			X		
Riviera Beach	Palm Beach		X		65%	
Rockledge	Brevard					
Royal Palm Beach	Palm Beach		X		40%	
Safety Harbor	Pinellas					
Saint Augustine	Saint Johns			X		

3. If the proposed constitutional amendment is approved, would you anticipate the volume of proposed plan amendments to increase, decrease, or remain the same?

Municipality	County	Increase	Decrease	Remain the Same	(a.) If you anticipate the volume of plan amendments to increase or decrease, by what percent do you anticipate the volume to change?	Comment
Saint Augustine Beach	Saint Johns			X	n/a	
Saint Cloud	Osceola			X		
Saint Leo	Pasco					
Saint Lucie Village	Saint Lucie					
Saint Marks	Wakulla			X	No.	
Saint Petersburg	Pinellas		X		50% decrease	
Saint Petersburg Beach	Pinellas					
San Antonio	Pasco			X		
Sanford	Seminole			X		
Sanibel	Lee					
Sarasota	Sarasota		X		10% decrease	
Satellite Beach	Brevard					
Sea Ranch Lakes	Broward			X		
Sebastian	Indian River		X		1000%	
Sebring	Highlands					
Seminole	Pinellas					
Sewall's Point	Martin					
Shalimar	Okaloosa					
Sneads	Jackson					
Sopchoppy	Wakulla					
South Bay	Palm Beach					
South Daytona	Volusia		X		75%	
South Miami	Miami-Dade		X		unknown	
South Palm Beach	Palm Beach					
South Pasadena	Pinellas					
Springfield	Bay					
Starke	Bradford					
Stuart	Martin					
Sunny Isles Beach	Miami-Dade					
Sunrise	Broward					
Surfside	Miami-Dade		X		50%	
Sweetwater	Miami-Dade					

3. If the proposed constitutional amendment is approved, would you anticipate the volume of proposed plan amendments to increase, decrease, or remain the same?

Municipality	County	Increase	Decrease	Remain the Same	(a) If you anticipate the volume of plan amendments to increase or decrease, by what percent do you anticipate the volume to change?	Comment
Tallahassee	Leon					
Tamarac	Broward			X	125% increase in 2004	
Tampa	Hillsborough					
Tarpon Springs	Pinellas					
Tavares	Lake		X		maybe 30%, our plan amend are typically done during annexation	
Temple Terrace	Hillsborough					
Tequesta	Palm Beach			X		
Titusville	Brevard					
Treasure Island	Pinellas					
Trenton	Glitchrist					
Umajilla	Lake					
Valparaiso	Okaloosa					
Venice	Sarasota					
Vernon	Washington					
Vero Beach	Indian River					
Virginia Gardens	Miami-Dade					
Waldo	Alachua					
Ward Ridge **	Gulf					
Wauchula	Hardee					
Wausau	Washington			X		
Webster	Sumter					
Weeki Wachee	Hernando					
Welaka	Putnam					
Wellington	Palm Beach					
West Melbourne	Brevard			X	n/a	
West Miami	Miami-Dade					
West Palm Beach	Palm Beach					
West Panama City Beach **	Bay					
Weston	Broward					
Westville	Holmes					

3. If the proposed constitutional amendment is approved, would you anticipate the volume of proposed plan amendments to increase, decrease, or remain the same?

Municipality	County	Increase	Decrease	Remain the Same	(a.) If you anticipate the volume of plan amendments to increase or decrease, by what percent do you anticipate the volume to change?	Co. ment
Wewahitchka	Gulf					
White Springs	Hamilton			X		
Wildwood	Suwannee					
Williston	Levy					
Wilton Manors	Broward					
Windermere	Orange			X		
Winter Garden	Orange		X		10 to 50%	
Winter Haven	Polk					
Winter Park	Orange		X		90%	Will discourage most applications b/c it will take so much longer to get the change and will discourage contracts contingent upon land use changes.
Winter Springs	Seminole					
Worthington Springs	Union					
Yankeetown	Levy					
Zephyrhills	Pasco					
Zolfo Springs	Hardee					
Totals		5	44	53		
		Increase	Decrease	Remain the Same		

4. If the proposed constitutional amendment requiring a referendum vote on each proposed amendment to the local comprehensive plan is approved, how would the proposed amendments be presented to the voters? Please comment on the likelihood or appropriateness of each of the following options.

Municipality	County	a. Plan amendments would be grouped into one referendum ballot	b. Each amendment would require a separate ballot	c. Amendments would be grouped according to the level of complexity or controversy.	Under each of these options how many ballot initiatives would your county anticipate?
Alachua	Alachua				
Alford	Jackson				
Allamonte Springs	Seminole				
Altha	Calhoun				
Anna Maria	Manatee				
Apalachicola	Franklin				
Apopka	Orange				
Arcadia	De Soto				
Archer	Alachua				
Astatula	Lake				
Atlantic Beach	Duval	most likely, although w/b a policy decision for elected officials ✓			less than 5 per yr.
Atlantis	Palm Beach				
Auburndale	Polk		X ✓		
Aventura	Miami-Dade				
Avon Park	Highlands	X ✓			200%
Bal Harbour	Miami-Dade	probably not	probably not	most probably ✓	probably none
Baldwin	Duval				
Barrow	Polk		X ✓		100%
Bascom	Jackson				
Bay Harbor Islands	Miami-Dade				
Bay Lake	Orange	Most appropriate for our community where Disney owns vast majority of land ✓	Not very cost effective or appropriate for our community	Possible but unnecessary b/c of the unique makeup of our community	Do not anticipate the ballot initiative to exceed the comp. plan amendments we currently undertake
Bell	Gilchrist				
Belle Glade	Palm Beach				
Belle Isle	Orange				
Belleair	Pinellas				
Belleair Beach	Pinellas				0%
Belleair Bluffs	Pinellas				
Belleair Shore	Pinellas				
Bellevue	Marion	too general	most likely ✓		?

4. If the proposed constitutional amendment requiring a referendum vote on each proposed amendment to the local comprehensive plan is approved, how would the proposed amendments be presented to the voters? Please comment on the likelihood or appropriateness of each of the following options.

Municipality	County	a. Plan amendments would be grouped into one referendum ballot.	b. Each amendment would require a separate ballot.	c. Amendments would be grouped according to the level of complexity or controversy.	Under each of these options how many ballot initiatives would your county anticipate?
Beverly Beach	Flagler				
Biscayne Park	Miami-Dade				
Blountstown	Calhoun				
Boca Raton	Palm Beach				
Bonifay	Holmes				
Bonita Springs	Lee				
Bowling Green	Hardee				
Boynton Beach	Palm Beach	X			200%
Bradenton	Manatee	most likely ✓		possibly	1 per yr - City. ? about County
Bradenton Beach	Manatee		least likely		
Branford	Suwannee				
Briny Breezes	Palm Beach				
Bristol	Liberty				
Bronson	Levy		X		3-4
Brooker	Bradford				
Brooksville	Hernando		X		5-10 per yr.
Bunnell	Flagler				
Bushnell	Sumter				
Callahan	Nassau	X			
Calloway	Bay	most cost effective, less appropriate ✓ X	most appropriate, but most costly	not appropriate, who determines how they are grouped?	1 to 5 large scale amends per year unknown
Campbellton	Jackson	X			
Cape Canaveral	Brevard	long and confusing for voters	preferred method ✓	could be complex, controversial and confusing	undetermined at this time, would depend on ea. amend.
Cape Coral	Lee				
Carrabelle	Franklin				
Caryville	Washington				
Casselberry	Seminole	depends on number of requests/frequency ✓	"	"	

4. If the proposed constitutional amendment requiring a referendum vote on each proposed amendment to the local comprehensive plan is approved, how would the proposed amendments be presented to the voters? Please comment on the likelihood or appropriateness of each of the following options.

Municipality	County	a. Plan amendments would be grouped into one referendum ballot.	b. Each amendment would require a separate ballot.	c. Amendments would be grouped according to the level of complexity or controversy.	Under each of these options how many ballot initiatives would your county anticipate?
Cedar Grove	Bay				
Cedar Key	Levy				
Center Hill	Sumter				
Century	Escambia				
Chattahoochee	Gadsden	X			Unknown
Chiefland	Levy	Unfair to applicant	Yes	No	6-Apr
Chipley	Washington				
Cinco Bayou	Okaloosa			X	unsure
Clearwater	Pinellas				
Clermont	Lake				
Clewiston	Henry				
Cloud Lake	Palm Beach				
Cocoa	Brevard	No	Maybe	Yes and realistic	No idea
Cocoa Beach	Brevard			I would recommend this approach, although it is a legislative policy decision	Say - 4 per/yr.
Coconut Creek	Broward				
Coleman	Sumter				
Cooper City	Broward	likely	notlikely	possibly	1 Initiative if grouped, 2 if not
Coral Gables	Miami-Dade				
Coral Springs	Broward				
Cotondale	Jackson				
Crescent City	Pulham		X		unknown
Crestview	Okaloosa		X		1 per year
Cross City	Dixie				
Crystal River	Citrus				
Dade City	Pasco				
Dania Beach	Broward				
Davenport	Polk				
Davie	Broward	X			Please direct this question to Broward County
Daytona Beach	Volusia				
Daytona Beach Shores	Volusia				

4. If the proposed constitutional amendment requiring a referendum vote on each proposed amendment to the local comprehensive plan is approved, how would the proposed amendments be presented to the voters? Please comment on the likelihood or appropriateness of each of the following options.

Municipality	County	a. Plan amendments would be grouped into one referendum ballot.	b. Each amendment would require a separate ballot.	c. Amendments would be grouped according to the level of complexity or controversy.	Under each of these options how many ballot initiatives would your county anticipate?
DeBarry	Volusia				
Deerfield Beach	Broward	no	yes	no	1 per amend proposal
DeFuniak Springs	Walton	X			
Deland	Volusia	preferred	too costly	could not group until end of yr, then it would become 1 vote	If I am allowed to decide it will be once for all
Delray Beach	Palm Beach	yes	no	yes	100%
Deltona	Volusia				
Destin	Okaloosa			X	once a year
Durdee	Polk				
Dunedin	Pinellas				
Dunnellon	Marion	too confusing	easier tracking	too confusing	1 to 2 per year
Eagle Lake	Polk				
Eatonville	Orange			X	0 to 1
Ebro	Washington				
Edgewater	Volusia				
Edgewater Gulf Beach **	Bay				
Edgewood	Orange				
El Portal	Miami-Dade				
Estis	Holmes				
Eustis	Lake		X		
Everglades	Collier				
Fanning Springs	Gilchrist/Levy				
Fellsmere	Indian River				
Fernandina Beach	Nassau				
Flagler Beach	Flagler/Volusia				
Florida City	Miami-Dade				
Fort Lauderdale	Broward				
Fort Meade	Polk				
Fort Myers	Lee				
Fort Myers Beach	Lee				
Fort Pierce	Saint Lucia				
Fort Walton Beach	Okaloosa				
Fort White	Columbia				

4. If the proposed constitutional amendment requiring a referendum vote on each proposed amendment to the local comprehensive plan is approved, how would the proposed amendments be presented to the voters? Please comment on the likelihood or appropriateness of each of the following options.

Municipality	County	a. Plan amendments would be grouped into one referendum ballot.	b. Each amendment would require a separate ballot.	c. Amendments would be grouped according to the level of complexity or controversy.	Under each of these options how many ballot initiatives would your county anticipate? Currently, it could be as many as 10 per year.
Freeport	Walton	X ✓			
Frostproof	Polk				
Fruiland Park	Lake				
Gainesville	Alachua				
Glen Ridge	Palm Beach				
Glen Saint Mary	Baker				
Golden Beach	Miami-Dade				
Golf	Palm Beach				
Golfview **	Palm Beach				
Graceville	Jackson				
Grand Ridge	Jackson				
Green Cove Springs	Clay				
Greenacres	Palm Beach				
Greensboro	Gadsden				
Greenville	Madison				
Greenwood	Jackson				
Gretna	Gadsden				
Groveland	Lake	X ✓			4 to 6
Gulf Breeze	Santa Rosa	X ✓			1 per/yr.
Gulf Stream	Palm Beach				
Gulfport	Pinellas				
Hacienda Village **	Broward				
Haines City	Polk				
Hallandale Beach	Broward		X ✓		While requiring referenda for Comp Plan Amends will create additional processes and may drive away development, there is a large interest in community redevelopment.
Hampton	Bradford				
Hastings	Saint Johns				
Havana	Gadsden				

4. If the proposed constitutional amendment requiring a referendum vote on each proposed amendment to the local comprehensive plan is approved, how would the proposed amendments be presented to the voters? Please comment on the likelihood or appropriateness of each of the following options.

Municipality	County	a. Plan amendments would be grouped into one referendum ballot.	b. Each amendment would require a separate ballot.	c. Amendments would be grouped according to the level of complexity or controversy.	Under each of these options how many ballot initiatives would your county anticipate?
Haverhill	Palm Beach	X	✓		1%
Hawthorne	Alachua				No more than 10% of the total number of voters.
Hialeah	Miami-Dade		X	✓	
Hialeah Gardens	Miami-Dade				
High Springs	Alachua				
Highland Beach	Palm Beach				
Highland Park	Polk				
Hillcrest Heights	Polk				
Hilliard	Nassau				
Hillsboro Beach	Broward				
Holly Hill	Volusia				
Hollywood	Broward				
Holmes Beach	Manatee				
Homestead	Miami-Dade				
Horseshoe Beach	Dixie				
Howey-In-the-Hills	Lake			X	✓
Hypoluxo	Palm Beach		OK		
Indianatlantic	Brevard		✓		
Indian Creek	Miami-Dade				
Indian Harbour Beach	Brevard				
Indian River Shores	Indian River				
Indian Rocks Beach	Pinellas				
Indian Shores	Pinellas				
Inglis	Levy				
Interlachen	Putnam	1*	✓		3
Inverness	Citrus	X - most likely	✓		1
Islamorada	Monroe				1 or 2 per yr.
Islandia	Miami-Dade				
Jacksonville	Duval				Not possible, given the # and need for info. Ballot would be hundreds of pages long.
Jacksonville Beach	Duval				

4. If the proposed constitutional amendment requiring a referendum vote on each proposed amendment to the local comprehensive plan is approved, how would the proposed amendments be presented to the voters? Please comment on the likelihood or appropriateness of each of the following options.

Municipality	County	a. Plan amendments would be grouped into one referendum ballot.	b. Each amendment would require a separate ballot.	c. Amendments would be grouped according to the level of complexity or controversy.	Under each of these options how many ballot initiatives would your county anticipate?
Jacob City	Jackson				
Jasper	Hamilton				
Jay	Santa Rosa				
Jennings	Hamilton				
Juno Beach	Palm Beach				
Jupiter	Palm Beach		X		
Jupiter Inlet Colony	Palm Beach		✓		
Jupiter Island	Martin				
Kenneth City	Pinellas				
Key Biscayne	Miami-Dade				
Key Colony Beach	Monroe				
Key West	Monroe				
Keystone Heights	Clay				
Kissimmee	Osceola	Most likely ✓	No, too much trouble	Occasionally, as necessary	2 to 3 per year
La Belle	Hendry				
LaCrosse	Alachua				
Lady Lake	Lake				
Lake Alfred	Polk				
Lake Buena Vista	Orange	Most appropriate for our community where Disney owns vast majority of land.	Not very cost effective or appropriate for our community	Possible but unnecessary b/c of the unique makeup of our community	Do not anticipate the ballot initiative to exceed the comp. plan amendments we currently undertake
Lake Butler	Union				
Lake City	Columbia				
Lake Clarke Shores	Palm Beach				
Lake Hamilton	Polk				
Lake Helen	Volusia				
Lake Mary	Seminole				

23

16

8

COUNTY - PLANNERS

County	Name of Person Preparing Response:	Position Title:	Mailing Address:	City	State	Zip	Office Phone	Fax Number	E-Mail
Alachua	Cathy Rhoden	Planning Director	55 N. Third Street	Macklem	FL	32083	904-259-3354	904-259-5057	planning@bakercountyfl.org
Baker	Wilson Whidden	Bldg. & Zoning Official	P.O. Box 1190	Starke	FL	32091	904-966-6293	904-966-6220	
Brevard	Henry A. Sniezek	Dir. of Planning	115 S. Andrews Ave., Rm. 307	FL Lauderdale	FL	33301	954-357-6895	954-357-6685	hsniezek@broward.org
Calhoun									
Charlotte									
Citrus	Chuck Dixon, AICP	Planning Director	3600 W. Sovereign Path, Suite 140	Lecanta	FL	34461	352-521-5240	352-527-5252	charles.dixon@booc.citrus.fl.us
Clay									
Collier									
Columbia									
De Soto	Billy Keen	Zoning Official	P.O. Box 2610	Cross City	FL	32628	352-498-1235	352-498-1286	office450@bellsouth.net
Duval	Karen K. Thompson, AICP	Chief, Long Range Planning	1190 W. Leonard St	Pensacola	FL	32501	850-595-3464	850-595-3481	karen_thompson@co.escambia.fl.us
Escambia	Walter J. Fulford	Planning & Zoning Dir.	1200 E. Moody Blvd.	Bunnell	FL	32110	386-437-7484		wfulford@co.eco.org
Franklin	Mark Curenion	County Planner	34 Forbes St., Suite 1	Apalachicola	FL	32320	850-853-9783	850-653-9799	
Gadsden	Bruce Ballister	Planning Director	113 E. Jefferson Street	Quincy	FL	850-875-8663	850-875-7280	850-875-7280	ballister@gadsdenco.org
Gilchrist	Ben Churnley	County Planner	209 SE First Street	Trenton	FL	32693	352-463-3127	352-463-318	planner@mall.co.gilchrist.fl.us
Gulf	Alan R. Shatto	Planning & Zoning Dir.	P.O. Box 85	Moore Haven	FL	33471	863-946-0309	863-946-1535	ashatto@gadsdenco.org
Hamilton	David Richardson	Planner	1000 Costin Blvd, Rm. 301	Port St. Joe	FL	32456	850-229-8944	850-229-7873	gulf@ratcom.net
Hardee									
Herndy	Vincent A. Cauntero, AICP	Contract Planning & Zoning Director	Coastal Engineering Consultants 3106 S. Horseshoe Dr.	Naples	FL	34104	239-643-2324, x. 120	239-643-4364	vcauntero@cecfi.com
Highlands									
Hillsborough	Lorraine Dufy	Countywide Planning Team Leader	P.O. Box 1110	Tampa	FL	33601-1110	813-273-3774, x. 360	813-272-6255	lduffy@blancom.org
Holmes									
Indian River	Sasan Rohani, AICP	Chief, Long Range Planning	1840 25th Street	Vero Beach	FL	32960	772-226-1250		strohani@trgov.com
Jackson									
Jefferson	Robert Arredondo	Planning Official	P.O. Box 1069	Monticello	FL	32345	850-342-0223	850-342-0225	bob.arredondo@co.jefferson.fl.us
Lafayette									
Lake	Amy King, AICP, Jeff Richardson, AICP	Chief Planner	P.O. Box 7800	Tavares	FL	32778	352-343-9632	352-343-9595	aking@co.lake.fl.us; jrichardson@co.lake.fl.us
Lee	Paul O'Connor	Dir. of Planning	PO Box 398	Fort Myers	FL	33902	239-479-8309	239-479-3319	poconnor@leegov.com
Leon	Wayne leader	Planning Director	300 S. Adams St.	Tallahassee	FL	32301	850-891-8600		leaderw@talgov.com
Levy	Kathy Winburn	Planning Director	P.O. Box 1373	Bronson	FL	32621	352-486-5405	352-486-5449	levy@ing@tdt.net
Liberty									
Madison	Paula Arnold	County Manager	P.O. Box 539	Madison	FL	32341	850-973-3179	850-973-6880	madisonbooc@earthlink.net
Manatee									
Marion	Dwight D. Ganne	Planning Director	2631 SE 3 Street	Ocala	FL	34471	352-620-3394	352-620-7882	Dwight.Ganne@marioncountyfl.org
Miami-Dade	Subrata Basu	Assistant Director for Planning	1111 NW 1st Street	Miami	FL	33128	305-575-2557	305-372-6076	basus@miamidade.gov
Monroe									
Nassau									
Ocala									
Okaloosa									
Okeechobee									
Orange	Chris Testerman	Manager	201 S. Rosalind Avenue	Orlando	FL	32801	407-836-5600	407-836-5662	Chris.Testerman@OCFL.NET
Oscola	John Hambley	Senior Planner	1 Couthouse Square, Ste. 1400	Kissimmee	FL	34741	407-343-3100	407-343-3086	jham@oscola.org
Palm Beach	Patrick Rutter	Chief Planner	100 Australian Avenue	West Palm Beach	FL	33406	561-233-5359	561-233-5365	prutter@co.palm-beach.fl.us

County	Name of Person Preparing Response:	Position Title:	Mailing Address:	City	State	ZIP	Office Phone	Fax Number	E-Mail
Pasco									
Pinellas									
Polk									
Putnam									
Saint Johns	Terese Bishop	Planning Director	4020 Lewis Speedway, SA 320	Saint Augustine	FL		904-823-2480	904-823-2498	tbishop@stjohns.fl.us
Saint Lucie									
Santa Rosa	Timothy W. Brown	Planner III	6051 Old Bagdad Highway	Milton	FL	32583	850-981-7078	850-983-9874	tlmb@co.santa-rosa.fl.us
Sarasota									
Seminole									
Sumter									
Suwannee	Ronald Meek	Planning & Zoning Dir.	224 Pine Avenue	Live Oak	FL	32064	386-384-3401	386-382-1032	RonaldM@bocc.suwannee.org
Taylor	Annette Wright	Building and Planning Tech	204 E. Green St.	Perry	FL	32347	850-838-3500	850-838-3501	awright32347@dl.com.net
Union									
Volusia	Ben L. Dyer	Comp. Planning Mgr.	123 W. Indiana Ave, Room 202	DeLand	FL	32720	386-738-5859	386-740-5148	bdyer@co.volusia.fl.us
Wakulla									
Walton									
Washington	Lynda Waller/Randy Parker	Planner/Planning Consultant	1331 S. Blvd	Chipley	FL	32428	850-415-5093	850-415-5094	lwaller@washincopl.com

1. Do you interpret the reference in the proposed constitutional amendment to a local government's "comprehensive land use plan" to mean the Local Government Comprehensive Planning and Land Development Regulation Act, required by Chapter 163, Florida Statutes?

County	YES	NO	If NO, how to you interpret this reference?
Alachua			
Baker	X		
Bay			
Bradford	X		
Brevard			
Broward	X		
Calhoun			
Charlotte			
Citrus	X		
Clay			
Collier			
Columbia			
De Soto			
Dixie	X		
Duval			
Escambia	X		
Flagler	X		
Franklin	X		
Gadsden	X		
Gilchrist	X		
Glades	X		
Gulf	X		
Hamilton			
Hardee			
Hendry	X		
Hernando			
Highlands			
Hillsborough	X		
Holmes			
Indian River	X		

1. Do you interpret the reference in the proposed constitutional amendment to a local government's "comprehensive land use plan" to mean the Local Government Comprehensive Planning and Land Development Regulation Act, required by Chapter 163, Florida Statutes?

County	YES	NO	If NO, how to you interpret this reference?
Jackson			
Jefferson	X		
Lafayette			
Lake	X		
Lee	X		
Leon	X		Could be interpreted to apply only to the FLU of the Comp. Plan or something other than Ch. 163.
Ley	X		
Liberty			
Madison	X		
Manatee			
Marion	X		
Martin			
Miami-Dade	X		
Monroe			
Nassau			
Okaloosa			
Okeechobee			
Orange	X		
Osceola	X		
Palm Beach		X	Limited to comprehensive plan amendments only.
Pasco			
Pinellas			
Polk			
Pulnam			
Saint Johns	X		
Saint Lucie			
Santa Rosa			
Sarasota			
Seminole			
Sumter			

1. Do you interpret the reference in the proposed constitutional amendment to a local government's "comprehensive land use plan" to mean the Local Government Comprehensive Planning and Land Development Regulation Act, required by Chapter 163, Florida Statutes?

County	YES	NO	If NO, how to you interpret this reference?
Suwannee	X		
Taylor	x		
Union			
Volusia	X		
Wakulla			
Walton			
Washington	X		
Totals	30	1	
	YES	NO	

2. How many proposed plan amendments did your local government consider and approve last year?

County	Number of Plan Amendments Submitted:	Number of Plan Amendments Considered:	Number of Plan Amendments Approved:	(A) How many proposed comprehensive plan amendments are considered outside of the twice a year comprehensive plan amendment provisions.
Alachua				
Baker	16	16	13	No large scale.
Bay				
Bradford	25	25	20	None
Brevard				
Broward	18	15	15	7
Calhoun				
Charlotte				
Citrus	26	21	17	19 small scale amendments
Clay				
Collier				
Columbia				
De Soto				
Dixie	0	0	0	
Duval				
Escambia	12	12	12	1 related to SB 1604
Flagler	5	6	4	2 per yr. Small scale
Franklin		13	13	
Gadsden	6	6	5	less than or equal to 1
Gilchrist	7	7	5	6 small scale
Glades	1	1	1	none
Gulf	1	1	1	none
Hamilton				
Hardee				
Hendry	4	5	4	1 per yr (average)
Hernando				
Highlands				
Hillsborough	14	12	12	none in recent history
Holmes				
Indian River	7	7	7	3 of 7 were small scale amendments

2. How many proposed plan amendments did your local government consider and approve last year?

County	Number of Plan Amendments Submitted:	Number of Plan Amendments Considered:	Number of Plan Amendments Approved:	(A) How many proposed comprehensive plan amendments are considered outside of the twice a year comprehensive plan amendment provisions.
Jackson				
Jefferson	1	1	1	1
Lafayette				
Lake	9	7	5	Only small scale amend are considered outside of 2 per/yr comp. plan amend.
Lee	Avg. 9-14 Yr.	same	same	1-2 DRI Amendments; 2 to 3 small scale amendments per year. provision. 4 approved in 2003
Leon	33	32	24	Rarely, DRI requests w/b only amend considered outside normal cycle.
Levy	4	4	3	3 to 4
Liberty				
Madison	3 (small scale)	3	3	0
Manatee				
Marion	26	26	17	Typically plan amendments considered outside of the twice a year comprehensive plan amendment provisions are: Small Scale Amend; Stipulated Settlement Agreements and DRI/FQD associated amend.
Marin				
Miami-Dade	20	20	15+	None in 2003
Monroe				
Nassau				
Okaloosa				
Okeechobee				
Orange	50	30	30	2 DRI's
Osceola	34	31	15, 14 add'l large scale amends were recently sent to DCA. Final adoption is expected before end of 2004.	
Palm Beach	49	41	38	12
Pasco				
Pinellas				

2. How many proposed plan amendments did your local government consider and approve last year?

County	Number of Plan Amendments Submitted:	Number of Plan Amendments Considered:	Number of Plan Amendments Approved:	(A) How many proposed comprehensive plan amendments are considered outside of the twice a year comprehensive plan amendment provisions.
Polk				
Putnam				
Saint Johns	16	16	13	9
Saint Lucie				
Santa Rosa	48	39	25	The 23 small scale amendments, but there were no large scale amendments outside of the twice per year provision.
Sarasota				
Seminole				
Sumter				
Suwannee	0	0	0	none this year
Taylor	1	1	0	none
Union				
Volusia	4	3	3	Small scale amend.: 7 submitted, 6 considered, 5 approved
Wakulla				
Walton				
Washington	6	6	6	5 of the 6 noted above

3. If the proposed constitutional amendment is approved, would you anticipate the volume of proposed plan amendments to increase, decrease, or remain the same?

County	Increase	Decrease	Remain the Same	(a.) If you anticipate the volume of plan amendments to increase or decrease, by what percent do you anticipate the volume to change?
Alachua				
Baker			X	n/a
Bay				
Bradford		X		1 or 10% (not legible)
Brevard				
Broward		X		?
Calhoun				
Charlotte				
Citrus			X	N/A
Clay				
Collier				
Columbia				
De Soto				
Dixie	X			n/a
Duval				
Escambia			X	n/a
Flagler		X		50%
Franklin			X	
Gadsden			X	Decrease in small scale due to probable increase in cost.
Gilchrist		X		-50%
Glades	X			300%
Gulf				50% or more
Hamilton		X		
Hardee				
Hendry			X	
Hernando				
Highlands				
Hillsborough			X	
Holmes				
Indian River			X	
Jackson				
Jefferson			X - unknown	unknown
Lafayette				
Lake			X	

3. If the proposed constitutional amendment is approved, would you anticipate the volume of proposed plan amendments to increase, decrease, or remain the same?

County	Increase	Decrease	Remain the Same	(a.) If you anticipate the volume of plan amendments to increase or decrease, by what percent do you anticipate the volume to change?
Lee		X		Substantially 70 to 80%
Leon		X		50% or more
Levy	X	X		Expect small scale amends to decrease and large scale amends to increase
Liberty				
Madison			X	
Manatee				
Marion			X	
Martin				
Miami-Dade			X	
Monroe				
Nassau				
Okaloosa				
Okeechobee				
Orange			X	
Osceola		X		unknown
Palm Beach			X	n/a
Pasco				
Pinellas				
Polk			X	
Putnam				
Saint Johns			X	
Saint Lucie				
Santa Rosa			X	
Sarasota				
Seminole				
Sumter				
Suwannee	X			10% to 20%
Taylor			X	n/a
Union				
Volusia		X		50%
Wakulla				
Walton				
Washington			X	n/a
Totals	4	10	18	

3. If the proposed constitutional amendment is approved, would you anticipate the volume of proposed plan amendments to increase, decrease, or remain the same?

County	Increase	Decrease	Remain the Same	(a.) If you anticipate the volume of plan amendments to increase or decrease, by what percent do you anticipate the volume to change?
Increase	Increase	Decrease	Remain the Same	
Increase	Increase	Decrease	Remain the Same	

4. If the proposed constitutional amendment requiring a referendum vote on each proposed amendment to the local comprehensive plan is approved, how would the proposed amendments be presented to the voters? Please comment on the likelihood or appropriateness of each of the following options.

County	a. Plan amendments would be grouped into one referendum ballot.	b. Each amendment would require a separate ballot.	c. Amendments would be grouped according to the level of complexity or controversy.	Under each of these options how many ballot initiatives would your county anticipate?
Alachua				
Baker		X ✓		
Bay				
Bradford	X ✓			
Brevard				
Broward	not most appropriate	most appropriate ✓	hard to implement	for a,b,c, a minimum of 2 per yr.
Calhoun				
Charlotte				
Citrus	possible	more likely (large + small scale cycles) ✓	not likely	a) 2/ yr. b) 6/ yr. c) 2 or 6/ yr.
Clay				
Collier				
Columbia				
De Soto				
Dixie		X ✓		n/a
Duval				
Escambia	X ✓			annual
Flagler		X - most likely ✓		5 per yr. b/c our plan needs updating
Franklin		most likely ✓	"complex", "controversial" - too subjective	numerous through the yr.
Gadsden	X ✓			Will the legislature decide what method. The website reference is not
Gilchrist	best due to limited staff ✓	requires more resources than avail.	too many ballots, not enough staff	a) 1 per/yr.; b) 5 per/yr. c) 2 or 3 per/yr.
Glades		would apply to each submittal period ✓	Based upon budget grouping wd. Be most effective. ✓	As many as necessary.
Gulf				1 to none
Hamilton				
Hardee				
Hendry	X ✓			2 per yr.
Hernando				
Highlands				
Hillsborough	unlikely + not appropriate because diverse requests are typically in a plan amend, one ballot would not allo separate consideration of each request	most likely + appropriate each proposal would be considered on its own merit ✓	unlikely + inappropriate because diverse requests are typically in a plan amend, one ballot would not allo separate consideration of each request	a) probably 4; b) 10 to 20; c) probably 8

4. If the proposed constitutional amendment requiring a referendum vote on each proposed amendment to the local comprehensive plan is approved, how would the proposed amendments be presented to the voters? Please comment on the likelihood or appropriateness of each of the following options.

County	a. Plan amendments would be grouped into one referendum ballot.	b. Each amendment would require a separate ballot.	c. Amendments would be grouped according to the level of complexity or controversy.	Under each of these options how many ballot initiatives would your county anticipate?
Holmes				
Indian River		X	✓	5 to 10
Jackson				
Jefferson	X	✓		1 ? unknown
Lafayette				
Lake	X	✓		No more than 2 per/yr, per Ch. 163 requirements for no more than 2 cycles per yr.
Lee	not likely	✓	most probably	
Leon	not likely	✓	possibly, applicants may not want to be grouped together with controversial applications and go individually.	
Levy	most likely	✓	most likely	a) 0; b) 5 to 10 per year; c) 1 to 2
Liberty				unknown
Madison	X	✓		possibly 1 annually
Manatee				
Marion	likely	✓	??	??
Martin				
Miami-Dade	no	✓	yes	no
Monroe				
Nassau				
Okaloosa				
Okeechobee				
Orange			small scale, text/element changes, DRI, large scale	
Osceola	no	✓	yes	no
Palm Beach	Given the twice per year large scale cycle and the quarterly submittal for small scale amendments more than one opportunity per year would need to be provided.	✓	This would potentially make the issues more confusing and limit the chance that equal attention would be paid to all amendments.	This would be an arbitrary decision, which could impact the perception of an amendment.
Pasco				unknown
Pinellas				
Polk				
Putnam				
Saint Johns			X	15-20

4. If the proposed constitutional amendment requiring a referendum vote on each proposed amendment to the local comprehensive plan is approved, how would the proposed amendments be presented to the voters? Please comment on the likelihood or appropriateness of each of the following options.

County	a. Plan amendments would be grouped into one referendum ballot.	b. Each amendment would require a separate ballot.	c. Amendments would be grouped according to the level of complexity or controversy.	Under each of these options how many ballot initiatives would your county anticipate?
Saint Lucie				
Santa Rosa	likely ✓	unlikely	possible	no more than 2 per year.
Sarasota				
Seminole				
Sumter				
Suwannee			X ✓	5 each
Taylor		yes ✓		unknown
Union				
Volusia	X ✓	X ✓		Would recommend 1, 2+ would not be practical in a calendar yr.
Wakulla				
Walton				
Washington	X ✓			WD. not hold more than 2 per yr. due to cost (\$23,000 mln. each)

14

16

3

5. If the proposed constitutional amendment is approved, would you anticipate your local government's planning office workload to increase or decrease?

County	Increase	Decrease	Please identify any anticipated workload changes relation to staffing, public notice, or changes in expenses.
Alachua			
Baker	X		Increase because of extra paper and work expense due to advertising.
Bay			
Bradford		X	
Brevard			
Broward	X		See comments.
Calhoun			
Charlotte			
Citrus	X		Workload would increase. Need more info regarding legal requirements to comment on staffing needs
Clay			
Collier			
Columbia			
De Soto			
Dixie	X		Only have staff of 1, therefore workload will increase
Duval			
Escambia	X		There would be a spike in staff workload annually during ballot initiative time frame. Add'l time for public meeting to educate voters.
Flagler	X		salesmanship will be added to analysis and technical review
Franklin	X		Workload would increase. As well as coordination with Supervisor of Elections Increase, Public Education, Local Meetings, Coordination with Supervisor of Elections
Gadsden	X		Workload increase In terms of scheduling and coordinating, increase in staff training referendums. Substantive planning work may decrease b/c staff recommendation to County Comm not necessary. Role of professional planner may be eliminated or drastically changed.
Gilchrist	X		Will perform as needed although staffing is critically low.
Glades			
Gulf	X		Workload would increase. Staff would have to work w/ Supervisor of Elections to set up election date + ballot at great expense. This will increase overall cost for amends changes to cover the cost of public notices and election expenses.
Hamilton			
Hardee			

5. If the proposed constitutional amendment is approved, would you anticipate your local government's planning office workload to increase or decrease?

County	Increase	Decrease	Please identify any anticipated workload changes relation to staffing, public notice, or changes in expenses.
Hendry	X		Increase in workload regardless of amendment's success. Hendry is beginning to experience the effects of growth speculation by developers and real estate professionals. This results in more reasearch and planning reports from public requests and local boards. This is expected to continue.
Hernando			
Highlands			
Hillsborough	X		Workload would increase significantly . Greater advertising would be needed + close coordination with Supervisor of Elections.
Holmes			
Indian River	X		
Jackson			
Jefferson	X		
Lafayette			
Lake	X		Workload will increase significantly. If all amend. Wnet to ballot including staff recommended amend and small scale amend staff wd have to politic the public for approval which wd include press releases, add'l signage, workshops, etc. Significant costs assoc. w. marketing any changes including those based on necessity.
Lee			Number of amendments would decrease bu the work load to see that necessary amendments got approved by the voters would be enormous. A campaign fund would need to be established and marketing consultants would need to be hired.
Leon			Remain the same. Scope of work would change to preparing ballot initiatives and marketing materials for gov't initiated amends.
Levy	X		significant increase due to costs assoc. w/ public elections, required notice, etc.
Liberty			
Madison	X		
Manatee			
Marion	X		Additional staffing would be necessary as a result. I would also anticipate that additional public notice assoc. w/ the "elections" as well as additional legal notices, presentations, etc., would be required resulting in additional expense.
Martin			
Miami-Dade	X		Preparing election backup info, distributing information, its unknown area.

5. If the proposed constitutional amendment is approved, would you anticipate your local government's planning office workload to increase or decrease?

County	Increase	Decrease	Please identify any anticipated workload changes relation to staffing, public notice, or changes in expenses.
Monroe			
Nassau			
Okaloosa			
Okeechobee			
Orange	X		to process, additional notices, add'l explanations
Osceola	?		Unknown
Palm Beach			This is difficult to anticipate as the current process i.e. review of amendment and preparation of staff report, presentation to Local Planning Agency and County Commission would presumably be modified. Without knowing what the new process would or would not include workload anticipation is impossible to predict.
Pasco			
Pinellas			
Polk			
Putnam			
Saint Johns			If the proposed constitutional amendment passes it is my understanding that proposed amendment are submitted and processed by the county's normal procedures. So I do not anticipate any workload change due to the constitutional amendment.
Saint Lucie			
Santa Rosa	X		Workload would probably increase to put together the wording for the ballot and assisting with the ballot initiative.
Sarasota			
Seminole			
Sumter			
Suwannee	X		Add'l staffing would be needed
Taylor			This is a question that could not be answered accurately until election times and years have been determined by decision makers other than me. I don't foresee any increase in the workload. I anticipate the same procedures will be followed and then wait until the election dates.
Union			

5. If the proposed constitutional amendment is approved, would you anticipate your local government's planning office workload to increase or decrease?

County	Increase	Decrease	Please identify any anticipated workload changes relation to staffing, public notice, or changes in expenses.
Volusia			Anticipate # of amend to decrease, increase in complexity of process. Therefore, workload to remain the same. No changes related to staffing, however, increase in costs for legal staff involvement and preparation of ballot items.
Wakulla			
Walton			
Washington		X	W/d. Affect Supervisor of Elections in all aspects. Funding source unknown.
Totals	22	2	
	Increase	Decrease	

County	YES	NO	How Many?	What Times of the Year?	What type of Election? (Special or Mail)	Comments
Alachua						
Baker						There may be some, but I would only expect a few on critical issues and not more of them once or twice a year.
Bay						
Bradford						
Brevard	maybe necessary to address comp plan amends.					
Broward			min of 2 per yr.		mail not feasible given county's size	
Calhoun						
Charlotte						
Citrus	X		c/b 2 per yr.	would try to take advantage of regular election as much as possible		Currently process 2 large scale amend cycles and 6 small scale amend cycles per yr.
Clay						
Collier						
Columbia						
De Soto						
Dixie	X			anytime	special	
Duval						
Escambia	X				mail would be best, due to low voter interest	This will cause great delay
Flagler	?					

County	YES	NO	How Many?	What Times of the Year?	What type of Election? (Special or Mail)	Comments
Franklin	X					Totally unworkable, uninterested public
Gadsden					This will undermine the Comp. Plan process in Ch. 163. Referenda votes may lack supporting data and analysis and m/b inconsistent with local, regional and state plans. Who will be accountable for these decisions?	This whole concept is suspect and dangerous! Is this how an affluent majority would impose a gas plant or landfill on the "wrong side of the tracks" who pays for the cost? Does the whole county vote, or just the affected district? This amendment stinks!
Glichrist	probably - as plan amend cycles do not coincide with election dates		2 per yr.	April, November	Contact election office for comments.	
Glades						

6. Would additional special elections be needed to address the comprehensive plan amendment approvals?

If so how many, what times of the year, and what type of election (special or mail)?

County	YES	NO	How Many?	What Times of the Year?	What type of Election? (Special or Mail)	Comments
Gulf	X		2 per yr.		Gulf County opposes this process. It infringes on individual's property rights. Present sys. has ample opportunity for public opinion and this will only increase burden to local gov'ts and developers.	
Hamilton						
Hardee						
Hendry						
Hernando						
Highlands						
Hillsborough	X		4 per year			
Holmes						
Indian River	X		2 per yr.	May, November	mail, if possible	
Jackson						
Jefferson	X		Supervisor ?			
Lafayette						
Lake	?					
Lee						Its very hard to answer this question. DRI related amendment might need a special election.
Leon	X		2			

County	YES	NO	How Many?	What Times of the Year?	What type of Election? (Special or Mail)	Comments
6. Would additional special elections be needed to address the comprehensive plan amendment approvals?			If so how many, what times of the year, and what type of election (special or mail)?			
Levy					probably not feasible	no funds for add'l elections, delay for land use elections due to regular election cycle
Liberty						
Madison	possibly					
Manatee						
Marion	X		three to five	various times throughout the year	special elections	
Martin						
Miami-Dade	x		2	April, October		
Monroe						
Nassau						
Okaloosa						
Okaloosa						
Orange	X		See comments	"	"	
Osceola	X - most likely		Unknown			
Palm Beach						To be determined.
Pasco						
Pinellas						
Polk						
Putnam						
Saint Johns						I don't know.
Saint Lucie						

County	YES	NO	How Many?	What Times of the Year?	What type of Election? (Special or Mail)	Comments
Santa Rosa			2	spring and fall	would try to do mail.	Possibly, but I think the County would limit these elections to two (2) times per year. One in the spring and one in the fall. We would look at the possibility of doing mail instead of a special election.
Sarasota						
Seminole						
Sumter		X - would be added to existing elections				
Suwannee						These decisions would have to be considered by the local elected officials and the Supervisor of Elections office. Currently we have elections every two years. I would not have the authority to state if the election schedule would change if this referendum were adopted.
Taylor						
Union					Recommend items be included at general election and only 1/yr.	
Volusia		X				
Wakulla						
Walton						

6. Would additional special elections be needed to address the comprehensive plan amendment approvals?

If so how many, what times of the year, and what type of election (special or mail)?

	6. Would additional special elections be needed to address the comprehensive plan amendment approvals?		If so how many, what times of the year, and what type of election (special or mail)?				
County	YES	NO	How Many?	What Times of the Year?	What type of Election? (Special or Mail)	Comments	
Washington	X		no more than 2 per yr (only have funding for 1 a yr.)		Wd. have to be a special election in yrs. where no gen. election is held.	Great expense w/be incurred to hold election by mail.	
Totals	13	1					
	YES	NO					

County - SUPERVISORS

County	Name of Person Preparing Response	Position Title	Mailing Address	City	State	Zip	Office Phone	Fax Number	E-Mail
Alachua	Beverly Hill	Supervisor of Elections	PO Box 1496	Gainesville	FL	32602	352-374-5292	352-374-5264	bhill@alachua.fl.us
Baker	Nita Crawford	Supervisor of Elections	P.O. Box 505	Macederry	FL	32063	904-259-6339	904-259-2790	election@baker.com
Bay	Mark Anderson	Supervisor of Elections	205 Mosley Drive	Panama City	FL	850-784-6100	850-784-6100	850-784-6141	baysuper@bayvotes.org
Brevard	Terry Vaughan	Supervisor of Elections	945 N. Temple Ave., Ste. C	Starke	FL	32091	904-966-6266	904-966-6165	bradsoc@brevard-co.fl.us
Broward	Fred Galey	Supervisor of Elections	400 South Street, Suite 1F	Trussville	FL	32780	321-284-6739	321-284-6741	fgaley@browardelections.org
Calhoun									
Charlotte	Blanche House	Asst. Supervisor of Elections	410 Taylor Street	Punta Gorda	FL	33950	941-637-2232	941-637-2231	blanche@charlottevotes.com
Citrus									
Clay									
Collier	Jennifer J. Edwards	Supervisor of Elections	3301 Tamiami Trail East	Naples	FL	34112	239-774-8450		supervisorofelections@colliergov.net
Columbia	Jean Lear	Asst. Supervisor of Elections		Lake City	FL		386-798-1027	386-755-7233	election@cecol.com
De Soto									
Dixie	Richard Carberg	Chief Elections Asst.	105 E. Monroe Street	Jacksonville	FL	32202	904-630-1410	904-630-1894	alison_perdue@co.escambia.fl.us
Duval									
Escambia	Alison Perdue	Deputy County Atty.	14 W. Government Street, Rm. 411	Pensacola	FL	32502	850-595-4970	850-595-4979	
Flagler									
Franklin	Doris Gibbs	Supervisor of Elections	33 Market Street, Ste. 100	Apalachicola	FL		850-653-8520	850-653-9092	dorisgd@voterfranklin.com
Gadsden	Shirley Knight	Supervisor of Elections	16 S. Madison Street	Quincy	FL		850-627-9910	850-627-6144	gcsel@lalivnet.com
Gilchrist									
Glades									
Gulf	Linda Griffin	Supervisor of Elections	1000 Cecil G. Costin, Sr. Blvd., Rm. 122	Port St. Joe	FL	32456	850-229-6117	850-229-8975	gulfsoe@qtlcom.net
Harrison									
Hardee									
Hendry	Annie D. Williams	Supervisor of Elections	20 N. Main Street, Rm. 165	Brooksville	FL	34601	352-754-4125	352-754-4425	awilliams@hennandocounty.us
Highlands									
Hillsborough									
Holmes									
Indian River									
Jackson	Sylvia D. Stephens	Supervisor of Elections	2851 Jefferson St., P.O. Box 6046	Martiana	FL	32477	850-482-9652	850-482-9102	icsosel@digitalexp.com
Jefferson	Marty Bishop	Supervisor of Elections	380 W. Dogwood Street	Monticello	FL	32344	850-997-3348	850-997-8958	sbjeferson@aol.com
Lafayette	Lana B. Morgan	Supervisor of Elections	P.O. Box 76	Mayo	FL	32066	386-294-1261	386-294-2164	lbfvettesee@alltel.net
Lake	Emogene W. Sieggall	Supervisor of Elections	P.O. Drawer 457	Tavares	FL	32778	352-343-9734	352-343-3605	elections@co.lake.fl.us
Lee	Sharon L. Harrington	Supervisor of Elections	2480 Thompson Street	Fort Lauderdale	FL	33901	239-339-6301	239-339-6310	leevoie1@qgate.net
Leon	Ion Sancho	Supervisor of Elections	Leon County Courthouse, Ste. 310	Tallahassee	FL		850-488-1350	850-488-1774	ion@mail.co.leon.fl.us
Livy									
Liberty	Marcia Wood	Supervisor of Elections	P.O. Box 597	Bristol	FL	32321	850-643-5228	850-643-5648	vote@libertyelections.com
Madison	Linda T. Howell	Supervisor of Elections	112 E. Pinkney Street	Madison	FL	32340	850-973-6507	850-973-3780	elections@sharinet.net
Manatee	Robert Sweat	Supervisor of Elections	305 15th St. W.	Bradenton	FL	34205	941-741-3823	941-741-3820	bobp@votemanatee.com
Marion	Dee Brown	Supervisor of Elections	P.O. Box 288	Ocala	FL	34478	352-620-3290	352-620-3286	elections@VoteMarion.com
Marlin	Mark Woerner/Constance Kaplan	Planning Div. Super. / Supervisor of Elections	2700 NW 87th Avenue	Miami	FL	33172	305-499-8504	305-499-8501	ckaplan@miamidade.gov
Miami-Dade									
Monroe									
Nassau	Pat Hollam	Supervisor of Elections	1804 Lewis Turner Blvd., Ste. 404	Fl. Walton Beach	FL	32547	850-651-7272	850-651-7275	phollam@co.okaloosa.fl.us
Okaloosa									
Okeechobee	Bill Cowles	Supervisor of Elections	PO BOX 562001	Orlando	FL	32886	407-836-2070		bill@oc elections.com

County	Name of Person Preparing Response:	Position Title:	Mailing Address:	City	State	ZIP	Office Phone	Fax Number	E-Mail
Pinellas									
Polk									
Putnam									
Saint Johns	Terese Bishop	Planning Director	4020 Lewis Speedway, SA 320	Saint Augustine	FL		904-823-2480	904-823-2498	tbishop@stjohns.fl.us
Saint Lucie									
Santa Rosa	Timothy W. Brown	Planner III	6051 Old Bagdad Highway	Milton	FL	32583	850-981-7078	850-983-9874	tlmb@co.santa-rosa.fl.us
Sarasota									
Seminole									
Sumter									
Suwannee	Ronald Meek	Planning & Zoning Dir.	224 Pine Avenue	Live Oak	FL	32064	386-384-3401	386-382-1032	RonaldM@bocc.suwannee.org
Taylor	Annette Wright	Building and Planning Tech	204 E. Green St.	Perry	FL	32347	850-838-3500	850-838-3501	awright32347@dl.com.net
Union									
Volusia	Ben L. Dyer	Comp. Planning Mgr.	123 W. Indiana Ave, Room 202	DeLand	FL	32720	386-738-5959	386-740-5148	bdyer@co.volusia.fl.us
Wakulla									
Walton									
Washington	Lynda Waller/Randy Parker	Planner/Planning Consultant	1331 S. Blvd	Chipley	FL	32428	850-415-5093	850-415-5094	lwaller@washincopl.com

1. Do you interpret the reference in the proposed constitutional amendment to a local government's "comprehensive land use plan" to mean the Local Government Comprehensive Planning and Land Development Regulation Act, required by Chapter 163, Florida Statutes?

County	YES	NO	If NO, how to you interpret this reference?
Alachua			
Baker	X		
Bay			
Bradford	X		
Brevard			
Broward	X		
Calhoun			
Charlotte			
Citrus	X		
Clay			
Collier			
Columbia			
De Soto			
Dixie	X		
Duval			
Escambia	X		
Flagler	X		
Franklin	X		
Gadsden	X		
Gilchrist	X		
Glades	X		
Gulf	X		
Hamilton			
Hardee			
Hendry	X		
Hernando			
Highlands			
Hillsborough	X		
Holmes			
Indian River	X		

1. Do you interpret the reference in the proposed constitutional amendment to a local government's "comprehensive land use plan" to mean the Local Government Comprehensive Planning and Land Development Regulation Act, required by Chapter 163, Florida Statutes?

County	YES	NO	If NO, how to you interpret this reference?
Jackson			
Jefferson	X		
Lafayette			
Lake	X		
Lee	X		
Leon	X		Could be interpreted to apply only to the FLU of the Comp. Plan or something other than Ch. 163.
Ley	X		
Liberty			
Madison	X		
Manatee			
Marion	X		
Martin			
Miami-Dade	X		
Monroe			
Nassau			
Okaloosa			
Okeechobee			
Orange	X		
Osceola	X		
Palm Beach		X	Limited to comprehensive plan amendments only.
Pasco			
Pinellas			
Polk			
Pulnam			
Saint Johns	X		
Saint Lucie			
Santa Rosa			
Sarasota			
Seminole			
Sumter			

1. Do you interpret the reference in the proposed constitutional amendment to a local government's "comprehensive land use plan" to mean the Local Government Comprehensive Planning and Land Development Regulation Act, required by Chapter 163, Florida Statutes?

County	YES	NO	If NO, how to you interpret this reference?
Suwannee	X		
Taylor	x		
Union			
Volusia	X		
Wakulla			
Walton			
Washington	X		
Totals	30	1	
	YES	NO	

2. How many proposed plan amendments did your local government consider and approve last year?

County	Number of Plan Amendments Submitted:	Number of Plan Amendments Considered:	Number of Plan Amendments Approved:	(A) How many proposed comprehensive plan amendments are considered outside of the twice a year comprehensive plan amendment provisions.
Alachua				
Baker	16	16	13	No large scale.
Bay				
Bradford	25	25	20	None
Brevard				
Broward	18	15	15	7
Calhoun				
Charlotte				
Citrus	26	21	17	19 small scale amendments
Clay				
Collier				
Columbia				
De Soto				
Dixie	0	0	0	
Duval				
Escambia	12	12	12	1 related to SB 1604
Flagler	5	6	4	2 per yr. Small scale
Franklin		13	13	
Gadsden	6	6	5	less than or equal to 1
Gilchrist	7	7	5	6 small scale
Glades	1	1	1	none
Gulf	1	1	1	none
Hamilton				
Hardee				
Hendry	4	5	4	1 per yr (average)
Hernando				
Highlands				
Hillsborough	14	12	12	none in recent history
Holmes				
Indian River	7	7	7	3 of 7 were small scale amendments

2. How many proposed plan amendments did your local government consider and approve last year?

County	Number of Plan Amendments Submitted:	Number of Plan Amendments Considered:	Number of Plan Amendments Approved:	(A) How many proposed comprehensive plan amendments are considered outside of the twice a year comprehensive plan amendment provisions.
Jackson				
Jefferson	1	1	1	1
Lafayette				
Lake	9	7	5	Only small scale amend are considered outside of 2 per/yr comp. plan amend.
Lee	Avg. 9-14 Yr.	same	same	1-2 DRI Amendments; 2 to 3 small scale amendments per year. provision. 4 approved in 2003
Leon	33	32	24	Rarely, DRI requests w/b only amend considered outside normal cycle.
Levy	4	4	3	3 to 4
Liberty				
Madison	3 (small scale)	3	3	0
Manatee				
Marion	26	26	17	Typically plan amendments considered outside of the twice a year comprehensive plan amendment provisions are: Small Scale Amend; Stipulated Settlement Agreements and DRI/FQD associated amend.
Martin				
Miami-Dade	20	20	15+	None in 2003
Monroe				
Nassau				
Okaloosa				
Okeechobee				
Orange	50	30	30	2 DRI's
Osceola	34	31	15, 14 add'l large scale amends were recently sent to DCA. Final adoption is expected before end of 2004.	
Palm Beach	49	41	38	12
Pasco				
Pinellas				

2. How many proposed plan amendments did your local government consider and approve last year?

County	Number of Plan Amendments Submitted:	Number of Plan Amendments Considered:	Number of Plan Amendments Approved:	(A) How many proposed comprehensive plan amendments are considered outside of the twice a year comprehensive plan amendment provisions.
Polk				
Putnam				
Saint Johns	16	16	13	9
Saint Lucie				
Santa Rosa	48	39	25	The 23 small scale amendments, but there were no large scale amendments outside of the twice per year provision.
Sarasota				
Seminole				
Sumter				
Suwannee	0	0	0	none this year
Taylor	1	1	0	none
Union				
Volusia	4	3	3	Small scale amend.: 7 submitted, 6 considered, 5 approved
Wakulla				
Walton				
Washington	6	6	6	5 of the 6 noted above

3. If the proposed constitutional amendment is approved, would you anticipate the volume of proposed plan amendments to increase, decrease, or remain the same?

County	Increase	Decrease	Remain the Same	(a.) If you anticipate the volume of plan amendments to increase or decrease, by what percent do you anticipate the volume to change?
Alachua				
Baker			X	n/a
Bay				
Bradford		X		1 or 10% (not legible)
Brevard				
Broward		X		?
Calhoun				
Charlotte				
Citrus			X	N/A
Clay				
Collier			X	
Columbia				
De Soto				
Dixie	X			n/a
Duval				
Escambia			X	n/a
Flagler		X		50%
Franklin			X	
Gadsden			X	Decrease in small scale due to probable increase in cost.
Gilchrist		X		-50%
Glades	X			300%
Gulf				50% or more
Hamilton		X		
Hardee				
Hendry			X	
Hernando				
Highlands				
Hillsborough			X	
Holmes				
Indian River			X	
Jackson				
Jefferson			X - unknown	unknown
Lafayette				
Lake			X	

3. If the proposed constitutional amendment is approved, would you anticipate the volume of proposed plan amendments to increase, decrease, or remain the same?

County	Increase	Decrease	Remain the Same	(a.) If you anticipate the volume of plan amendments to increase or decrease, by what percent do you anticipate the volume to change?
Lee		X		Substantially 70 to 80%
Leon		X		50% or more
Levy	X	X		Expect small scale amends to decrease and large scale amends to increase
Liberty				
Madison			X	
Manatee				
Marion			X	
Martin				
Miami-Dade			X	
Monroe				
Nassau				
Okaloosa				
Okeechobee				
Orange			X	
Osceola		X		unknown
Palm Beach			X	n/a
Pasco				
Pinellas				
Polk			X	
Putnam				
Saint Johns			X	
Saint Lucie				
Santa Rosa			X	
Sarasota				
Seminole				
Sumter				
Suwannee	X			10% to 20%
Taylor			X	n/a
Union				
Volusia		X		50%
Wakulla				
Walton				
Washington			X	n/a
Totals	4	10	18	

3. If the proposed constitutional amendment is approved, would you anticipate the volume of proposed plan amendments to increase, decrease, or remain the same?

County	Increase	Decrease	Remain the Same	(a.) If you anticipate the volume of plan amendments to increase or decrease, by what percent do you anticipate the volume to change?
Increase	Increase	Decrease	Remain the Same	
Increase	Increase	Decrease	Remain the Same	

4. If the proposed constitutional amendment requiring a referendum vote on each proposed amendment to the local comprehensive plan is approved, how would the proposed amendments be presented to the voters? Please comment on the likelihood or appropriateness of each of the following options.

County	a. Plan amendments would be grouped into one referendum ballot.	b. Each amendment would require a separate ballot.	c. Amendments would be grouped according to the level of complexity or controversy.	Under each of these options how many ballot initiatives would your county anticipate?
Alachua				
Baker		X ✓		
Bay				
Bradford	X ✓			
Brevard				
Broward	not most appropriate	most appropriate ✓	hard to implement	for a,b,c, a minimum of 2 per yr.
Calhoun				
Charlotte				
Citrus	possible	more likely (large + small scale cycles) ✓	not likely	a) 2/ yr. b) 6/ yr. c) 2 or 6/ yr.
Clay				
Collier				
Columbia				
De Soto				
Dixie		X ✓		n/a
Duval				
Escambia	X ✓			annual
Flagler		X - most likely ✓		5 per yr. b/c our plan needs updating
Franklin		most likely ✓	"complex", "controversial" - too subjective	numerous through the yr.
Gadsden	X ✓			Will the legislature decide what method. The website reference is not
Gilchrist	best due to limited staff ✓	requires more resources than avail.	too many ballots, not enough staff	a) 1 per/yr.; b) 5 per/yr. c) 2 or 3 per/yr.
Glades		would apply to each submittal period ✓	Based upon budget grouping wd. Be most effective. ✓	As many as necessary.
Gulf				1 to none
Hamilton				
Hardee				
Hendry	X ✓			2 per yr.
Hernando				
Highlands				
Hillsborough	unlikely + not appropriate because diverse requests are typically in a plan amend, one ballot would not allow separate consideration of each request	most likely + appropriate each proposal would be considered on its own merit ✓	unlikely + inappropriate because diverse requests are typically in a plan amend, one ballot would not allow separate consideration of each request	a) probably 4; b) 10 to 20; c) probably 8

4. If the proposed constitutional amendment requiring a referendum vote on each proposed amendment to the local comprehensive plan is approved, how would the proposed amendments be presented to the voters? Please comment on the likelihood or appropriateness of each of the following options.

County	a. Plan amendments would be grouped into one referendum ballot.	b. Each amendment would require a separate ballot.	c. Amendments would be grouped according to the level of complexity or controversy.	Under each of these options how many ballot initiatives would your county anticipate?
Holmes				
Indian River		X	✓	5 to 10
Jackson	X	✓		
Jefferson		unknown		1 ? unknown
Lafayette				
Lake	X	✓		No more than 2 per/yr, per Ch. 163 requirements for no more than 2 cycles per yr.
Lee	not likely	most probably	✓ not likely	
Leon	not likely	most likely	✓ possibly, applicants may not want to be grouped together with controversial applications and go individually.	a) 0; b) 5 to 10 per year; c) 1 to 2
Levy	most likely	✓		unknown
Liberty				
Madison	X	✓		possibly 1 annually
Manatee				
Marion	likely	✓	??	??
Martin				
Miami-Dade	no	yes	✓ no	
Monroe				
Nassau				
Okaloosa				
Okeechobee				
Orange			small scale, text/element changes, DRI, large scale	
Osceola	no	yes	✓ no	unknown
Palm Beach	Given the twice per year large scale cycle and the quarterly submittal for small scale amendments more than one opportunity per year would need to be provided.	✓	This would potentially make the issues more confusing and limit the chance that equal attention would be paid to all amendments.	unknown
Pasco				
Pinellas				
Polk				
Putnam				
Saint Johns		X	✓	15-20

4. If the proposed constitutional amendment requiring a referendum vote on each proposed amendment to the local comprehensive plan is approved, how would the proposed amendments be presented to the voters? Please comment on the likelihood or appropriateness of each of the following options.

County	a. Plan amendments would be grouped into one referendum ballot.	b. Each amendment would require a separate ballot.	c. Amendments would be grouped according to the level of complexity or controversy.	Under each of these options how many ballot initiatives would your county anticipate?
Saint Lucie				
Santa Rosa	likely ✓	unlikely	possible	no more than 2 per year.
Sarasota				
Seminole				
Sumter				
Suwannee			X ✓	5 each
Taylor		yes ✓		unknown
Union				
Volusia	X ✓	X ✓		Would recommend 1, 2+ would not be practical in a calendar yr.
Wakulla				
Walton				
Washington	X ✓			WD. not hold more than 2 per yr. due to cost (\$23,000 mln. each)

14

16

3

5. If the proposed constitutional amendment is approved, would you anticipate your local government's planning office workload to increase or decrease?

County	Increase	Decrease	Please identify any anticipated workload changes relation to staffing, public notice, or changes in expenses.
Alachua			
Baker	X		Increase because of extra paper and work expense due to advertising.
Bay			
Bradford		X	
Brevard			
Broward	X		See comments.
Calhoun			
Charlotte			
Citrus	X		Workload would increase. Need more info regarding legal requirements to comment on staffing needs
Clay			
Collier			
Columbia			
De Soto			
Dixie	X		Only have staff of 1, therefore workload will increase
Duval			
Escambia	X		There would be a spike in staff workload annually during ballot initiative time frame. Add'l time for public meeting to educate voters.
Flagler	X		salesmanship will be added to analysis and technical review
Franklin	X		Workload would increase. As well as coordination with Supervisor of Elections Increase, Public Education, Local Meetings, Coordination with Supervisor of Elections
Gadsden	X		Workload increase In terms of scheduling and coordinating, increase in staff training referendums. Substantive planning work may decrease b/c staff recommendation to County Comm not necessary. Role of professional planner may be eliminated or drastically changed.
Gilchrist	X		Will perform as needed although staffing is critically low.
Glades			
Gulf	X		Workload would increase. Staff would have to work w/ Supervisor of Elections to set up election date + ballot at great expense. This will increase overall cost for amends changes to cover the cost of public notices and election expenses.
Hamilton			
Hardee			

5. If the proposed constitutional amendment is approved, would you anticipate your local government's planning office workload to increase or decrease?

County	Increase	Decrease	Please identify any anticipated workload changes relation to staffing, public notice, or changes in expenses.
Hendry	X		Increase in workload regardless of amendment's success. Hendry is beginning to experience the effects of growth speculation by developers and real estate professionals. This results in more research and planning reports from public requests and local boards. This is expected to continue.
Hernando			
Highlands			
Hillsborough	X		Workload would increase significantly. Greater advertising would be needed + close coordination with Supervisor of Elections.
Holmes			
Indian River	X		
Jackson			
Jefferson	X		
Lafayette			
Lake	X		Workload will increase significantly. If all amend. Wnet to ballot including staff recommended amend and small scale amend staff wd have to politic the public for approval which wd include press releases, add'l signage, workshops, etc. Significant costs assoc. w. marketing any changes including those based on necessity.
Lee			Number of amendments would decrease by the work load to see that necessary amendments got approved by the voters would be enormous. A campaign fund would need to be established and marketing consultants would need to be hired.
Leon			Remain the same. Scope of work would change to preparing ballot initiatives and marketing materials for gov't initiated amends.
Levy	X		significant increase due to costs assoc. w/ public elections, required notice, etc.
Liberty			
Madison	X		
Manatee			
Marion	X		Additional staffing would be necessary as a result. I would also anticipate that additional public notice assoc. w/ the "elections" as well as additional legal notices, presentations, etc., would be required resulting in additional expense.
Martin			
Miami-Dade	X		Preparing election backup info, distributing information, its unknown area.

5. If the proposed constitutional amendment is approved, would you anticipate your local government's planning office workload to increase or decrease?

County	Increase	Decrease	Please identify any anticipated workload changes relation to staffing, public notice, or changes in expenses.
Monroe			
Nassau			
Okaloosa			
Okeechobee			
Orange	X		to process, additional notices, add'l explanations
Osceola	?		Unknown
Palm Beach			This is difficult to anticipate as the current process i.e. review of amendment and preparation of staff report, presentation to Local Planning Agency and County Commission would presumably be modified. Without knowing what the new process would or would not include workload anticipation is impossible to predict.
Pasco			
Pinellas			
Polk			
Putnam			
Saint Johns			If the proposed constitutional amendment passes it is my understanding that proposed amendment are submitted and processed by the county's normal procedures. So I do not anticipate any workload change due to the constitutional amendment.
Saint Lucie			
Santa Rosa	X		Workload would probably increase to put together the wording for the ballot and assisting with the ballot initiative.
Sarasota			
Seminole			
Sumter			
Suwannee	X		Add'l staffing would be needed
Taylor			This is a question that could not be answered accurately until election times and years have been determined by decision makers other than me. I don't foresee any increase in the workload. I anticipate the same procedures will be followed and then wait until the election dates.
Union			

5. If the proposed constitutional amendment is approved, would you anticipate your local government's planning office workload to increase or decrease?

County	Increase	Decrease	Please identify any anticipated workload changes relation to staffing, public notice, or changes in expenses.
Volusia			Anticipate # of amend to decrease, increase in complexity of process. Therefore, workload to remain the same. No changes related to staffing, however, increase in costs for legal staff involvement and preparation of ballot items.
Wakulla			
Walton			
Washington		X	W/d. Affect Supervisor of Elections in all aspects. Funding source unknown.
Totals	22	2	
	Increase	Decrease	

County	YES	NO	How Many?	What Times of the Year?	What type of Election? (Special or Mail)	Comments
Alachua						
Baker						There may be some, but I would only expect a few on critical issues and not more of them once or twice a year.
Bay						
Bradford						
Brevard	maybe necessary to address comp plan amends.					
Broward			min of 2 per yr.		mail not feasible given county's size	
Calhoun						
Charlotte						
Citrus	X		c/b 2 per yr.	would try to take advantage of regular election as much as possible		Currently process 2 large scale amend cycles and 6 small scale amend cycles per yr.
Clay						
Collier						
Columbia						
De Soto						
Dixie	X			anytime	special	
Duval						
Escambia	X				mail would be best, due to low voter interest	This will cause great delay
Flagler	?					

County	YES	NO	How Many?	What Times of the Year?	What type of Election? (Special or Mail)	Comments
Franklin	X					Totally unworkable, uninterested public
Gadsden					This will undermine the Comp. Plan process in Ch. 163. Referenda votes may lack supporting data and analysis and m/b inconsistent with local, regional and state plans. Who will be accountable for these decisions?	This whole concept is suspect and dangerous! Is this how an affluent majority would impose a gas plant or landfill on the "wrong side of the tracks" who pays for the cost? Does the whole county vote, or just the affected district? This amendment stinks!
Gilchrist	probably - as plan amend cycles do not coincide with election dates		2 per yr.	April, November	Contact election office for comments.	
Glades						

6. Would additional special elections be needed to address the comprehensive plan amendment approvals?

If so how many, what times of the year, and what type of election (special or mail)?

County	YES	NO	How Many?	What Times of the Year?	What type of Election? (Special or Mail)	Comments
Gulf	X		2 per yr.		Gulf County opposes this process. It infringes on individual's property rights. Present sys. has ample opportunity for public opinion and this will only increase burden to local gov'ts and developers.	
Hamilton						
Hardee						
Hendry						
Hernando						
Highlands						
Hillsborough	X		4 per year			
Holmes						
Indian River	X		2 per yr.	May, November	mail, if possible	
Jackson						
Jefferson	X		Supervisor ?			
Lafayette						
Lake	?					
Lee						Its very hard to answer this question. DRI related amendment might need a special election.
Leon	X		2			

County	YES	NO	How Many?	What Times of the Year?	What type of Election? (Special or Mail)	Comments
6. Would additional special elections be needed to address the comprehensive plan amendment approvals?			If so how many, what times of the year, and what type of election (special or mail)?			
Levy					probably not feasible	no funds for add'l elections, delay for land use elections due to regular election cycle
Liberty						
Madison	possibly					
Manatee						
Marion	X		three to five	various times throughout the year	special elections	
Martin						
Miami-Dade	x		2	April, October		
Monroe						
Nassau						
Okaloosa						
Okaloosa						
Orange	X		See comments	"	"	
Osceola	X - most likely		Unknown			
Palm Beach						To be determined.
Pasco						
Pinellas						
Polk						
Putnam						
Saint Johns						I don't know.
Saint Lucie						

County	YES	NO	How Many?	What Times of the Year?	What type of Election? (Special or Mail)	Comments
Santa Rosa			2	spring and fall	would try to do mail.	Possibly, but I think the County would limit these elections to two (2) times per year. One in the spring and one in the fall. We would look at the possibility of doing mail instead of a special election.
Sarasota						
Seminole						
Sumter		X - would be added to existing elections				
Suwannee						These decisions would have to be considered by the local elected officials and the Supervisor of Elections office. Currently we have elections every two years. I would not have the authority to state if the election schedule would change if this referendum were adopted.
Taylor						
Union					Recommend items be included at general election and only 1/yr.	
Volusia		X				
Wakulla						
Walton						

6. Would additional special elections be needed to address the comprehensive plan amendment approvals?

If so how many, what times of the year, and what type of election (special or mail)?

	6. Would additional special elections be needed to address the comprehensive plan amendment approvals?		If so how many, what times of the year, and what type of election (special or mail)?				
County	YES	NO	How Many?	What Times of the Year?	What type of Election? (Special or Mail)	Comments	
Washington	X		no more than 2 per yr (only have funding for 1 a yr.)		Wd. have to be a special election in yrs. where no gen. election is held.	Great expense w/be incurred to hold election by mail.	
Totals	13	1					
	YES	NO					