	Salaries	of Electe	d Coun	ity	Constit	uti	onal C	Officers	ar	nd Scho	ool	Distric	ct Offic	ials	s Pursu	an	t to th	e Salar	y F	ormula	in	Chapt	er 145,	, FI	orida S	tatı	ites	
									Ala	achua Co	bun	ty: Fisc	cal Year	s 19	985-86 to	20)14-15											
	Clerk of Cir	cuit Court,	Property																									
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervi	sor	of Electi	ions			Sh	eriff			County	Cor	nmissio	ners		School	Sup	erintend	lent		School	Boar	d Meml	bers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	GChg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	1	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 131,673	\$ 439	0.3%	\$	112,589	\$	383	0.3%	\$	140,612	\$	463	0.3%	\$	73,305	\$	277	0.4%	\$	131,673	\$	439	0.3%	\$	36,324	\$	120	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 131,234	\$ 4,670	3.7%	\$	112,205	\$	3,990	3.7%	\$	140,149	\$	4,990	3.7%	\$	73,028	\$	2,584	3.7%	\$	131,234	\$	4,670	3.7%	\$	36,204	\$	1,289	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 126,564	\$0	0.0%	\$	108,216	\$	0	0.0%	\$	135,159	\$	0	0.0%	\$	70,444	\$	0	0.0%	\$	126,564	\$	0	0.0%	\$	34,916	\$	0	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 126,564	\$ (574)	-0.5%	\$	108,216	\$	(546)	-0.5%	\$	135,159	\$	(574)	-0.4%	\$	70,444	\$	(546)	-0.8%	\$	126,564	\$	(574)	-0.5%	\$	34,916	\$	(152)	-0.4%
2010-11	\$ 127,137		0.2%		108,762	\$	236	0.2%		,		248	0.2%	\$	70,990	\$	236	0.3%	\$,	\$	3,091	2.5%	\$	35,067		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 126,889		0.2%	\$	108,526	\$	297	0.3%	•	135,485	•	312	0.2%	\$	70,754	\$	297	0.4%	\$	124,046		See no	otes	\$	35,002		See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 126,577		0.3%	•	108,229	\$	351	0.3%	· ·	135,173		392	0.3%	\$	70,457	\$	309	0.4%	\$		\$	383	0.3%	\$	34,919	\$	103	0.3%
2007-08	\$ 126,195		0.2%	· ·	107,878	\$	184	0.2%	•	134,781	•	193	0.1%	\$	70,148	\$	184	0.3%	\$	126,195	\$	193	0.2%	\$	34,816		-	-
2006-07	\$ 126,002	. ,	3.7%	· ·	107,694	\$	3,874	3.7%		134,588	\$	4,787	3.7%	\$	69,964	\$	2,612	3.9%	\$	126,002	\$	4,500	3.7%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 121,502	\$ 5,123	4.4%	\$	103,821	\$	4,406	4.4%	•	129,801	\$	5,453	4.4%	\$	67,352	\$	2,956	4.6%	\$	121,502	\$	5,123	4.4%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 116,379	\$ 1,754	1.5%	\$	99,415	\$	1,514	1.5%		124,348	\$	1,863	1.5%	\$	64,396	\$	1,034	1.6%	\$			-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 114,625	\$ 2,574	2.3%	\$	97,901	\$	2,231	2.3%	•	122,485	\$	2,729	2.3%	\$	63,362	\$	1,554	2.5%		-	ee r	notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 112,051	\$ 3,067	2.8%	\$	95,670	\$	2,646	2.8%	· ·	119,757	\$	3,259	2.8%	\$	61,808	\$	1,804	3.0%		112,051	\$	3,067	2.8%		-		otes	
2001-02	\$ 108,984	\$ 3,205	3.0%	\$	93,023	\$	2,745	3.0%	· ·	116,498	\$	3,419	3.0%	\$	60,004	\$	1,802	3.1%	\$	108,984	\$	3,205	3.0%	\$	30,085		884	3.0%
2000-01	\$ 105,779	\$ 3,151	3.1%	\$	90,279	\$	2,715	3.1%		113,079	\$	3,351	3.1%	\$	58,202	\$	1,838	3.3%	\$	105,779	\$	3,151	3.1%	\$	29,201	\$	867	3.1%
1999-00	\$ 102,628	\$ 3,783	3.8%	\$	87,564	\$	3,244	3.8%			\$	4,033	3.8%	\$	56,364	\$	2,145	4.0%	\$	102,628	\$	3,783	3.8%	\$	28,334		1,042	3.8%
1998-99	\$ 98,846	\$ 5,117	5.5%	\$	84,320	\$	4,394	5.5%	· ·		\$	5,451	5.4%	\$	54,219	\$	2,925	5.7%	\$	98,846	\$	5,117	5.5%	\$	27,292		1,409	5.4%
1997-98	\$ 93,729	\$ 4,664	5.2%	\$	79,926	\$	4,001	5.3%	•	100,245	\$	4,973	5.2%	\$	51,294	\$	2,750	5.7%	\$		\$	4,664	5.2%	\$	25,883		1,293	5.3%
1996-97	\$ 89,065	\$ 5,127	6.1%	\$	75,925	\$	4,402	6.2%	\$	95,272	\$	5,461	6.1%	\$	48,544	\$	3,170	7.0%	\$	89,065	\$	5,127	6.1%	\$,		1,429	6.2%
1995-96	\$ 83,938	\$ 3,501	4.4%	\$	71,523	\$	3,006	4.4%	\$	89,811	\$	3,730	4.3%	\$	45,374	\$	2,160	5.0%	\$	83,938	\$	3,501	4.4%	\$	23,161	\$	975	4.4%
1994-95	\$ 80,437	\$ 4,599	6.1%	\$	68,517	\$	3,947	6.1%	\$	86,081	\$	4,900	6.0%	\$	43,214	\$	2,820	7.0%	\$	80,437		-	-	\$	22,186		-	-
1993-94	\$ 75,838	\$ 3,002	4.1%	\$	64,570	\$	2,572	4.1%	\$	81,181	\$	3,204	4.1%	\$	40,394	\$	1,784	4.6%	•	-		notes	0.00/	-			otes	0.00/
1992-93	\$ 72,836	\$ 140	0.2%	\$	61,998	\$	133	0.2%	\$	77,977	\$	139	0.2%	\$	38,610	\$	240	0.6%	\$,	\$	-	0.0%	\$	20,027		-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 72,696	\$ 2,884	4.1%	\$	61,865	\$	2,422	4.1%	\$	77,838	\$	3,110	4.2%	\$	38,370	\$	1,145	3.1%	\$	72,696	\$	2,884	4.1%	\$	20,027		781	4.1%
1990-91	\$ 69,812	\$ 2,976	4.5%	\$	59,443	\$	2,558	4.5%	\$	74,728	\$	3,171	4.4%	\$	37,225	\$	1,854	5.2%	\$	69,812	\$	2,976	4.5%	\$	19,246		830	4.5%
1989-90	\$ 66,836	\$ 3,221	5.1%	\$	56,885	\$	2,759	5.1%	\$	1	\$	7,942	12.5%	\$	35,371	\$	1,920	5.7%	\$,	\$	3,221	5.1%	\$	18,416		895	5.1%
1988-89	\$ 63,615	\$ 7,541	13.4%	\$	54,126	\$	7,076	15.0%	\$	63,615		3,250	5.4%	\$	33,451	\$	1,940	6.2%	\$		\$	3,250	5.4%	\$	1-		904	5.4%
1987-88	\$ 56,074	\$ 2,827	5.3%	\$	47,050	\$	2,390	5.4%	\$	60,365	\$	3,031	5.3%	\$	31,511	\$	1,776	6.0%	\$		\$	3,031	5.3%	\$	16,617		841	5.3%
1986-87	\$ 53,247	\$ 3,706	7.5%	\$	44,660	\$	3,134	7.5%	\$	57,334	\$	3,974	7.4%	\$	29,735	\$	2,327	8.5%	\$	57,334	\$	3,974	7.4%	\$	15,776	\$	1,103	7.5%
1985-86	\$ 49,541	-	-	\$	41,526		-	-	\$	53,360		-	-	\$	27,408		-	-	\$	53,360		-	-	\$	14,673		-	-

Colorise of Floated County Constitutional Officers and Coloral District Officials Durawant to the Colory Formula in Chanter 14F. Florida Statuto

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members: therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	Sa	laries	Of E	lecte	d Cour	nty	Constit	uti	onal C	officers		nd Scho								e Salar	y⊦	ormula	In	Chapt	ter 145	, FI	orida S	tatu	ites	
											B	aker Cou	Int	y: Fisca	al Years	198	85-86 to	20 [.]	14-15											
		erk of Cir			• •																									
Fiscal		Appraise	-						of Elect				-	neriff					mmissio					perintend			School			
Year	5	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	••	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$	99,472		250	0.3%	\$	81,922		204	0.2%		108,412		274	0.3%	\$	30,931	\$	66	0.2%	\$	99,472	\$	250	0.3%	\$	26,328		66	0.3%
2013-14	\$	99,222	\$	3,562	3.7%	\$	81,718	\$	2,934	3.7%	\$	108,137	\$	3,882	3.7%	\$	30,866	\$	1,110	3.7%	\$		\$	3,562	3.7%	\$	26,262	\$	943	3.7%
2012-13	\$	95,660		(61)	-0.1%	\$		\$	(58)	-0.1%	\$	104,255	\$	(61)	-0.1%	\$	29,756	\$	(58)	-0.2%	\$	95,660	\$	(61)	-0.1%	\$	25,319		(16)	-0.1%
2011-12	\$	95,720		392	0.4%	\$	78,841	\$	373	0.5%	\$	104,316	\$	392	0.4%	\$	======	\$	373	1.3%	\$	95,720	\$	392	0.4%	\$	25,335	\$	104	0.4%
2010-11	\$	95,328		3	0.0%	\$	78,468		3	0.0%	\$	103,924	\$	3	0.0%	\$	-, -	\$	3	0.0%	\$	95,328	\$	1,994	2.1%	\$	25,231		See no	otes
2009-10	\$	95,326		87	0.1%	\$	78,465	\$	82	0.1%	•	103,921	•	87	0.1%	\$,	\$	82	0.3%	\$	93,334		See no		\$	25,230		See no	
2008-09	\$	95,239		304	0.3%	\$	78,383	\$	276	0.4%	· ·	103,835		313	0.3%	\$.,	\$	222	0.8%	\$	95,239	\$	304	0.3%	\$	25,207	\$	80	0.3%
2007-08	\$	94,935		337	0.4%	\$	78,107	•	321	0.4%		103,521		337	0.3%	\$.,	\$	322	1.1%	\$	94,935	\$	337	0.4%	\$	25,127		-	-
2006-07	\$	94,598		3,161	3.5%	\$	77,786		2,599	3.5%	· ·	103,184		3,448	3.5%	\$	28,811	\$	961	3.5%	\$	94,598	\$	3,161	3.5%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$,	\$	3,809	4.3%	\$	- , -	\$	3,155	4.4%	\$,		4,139	4.3%	\$,	\$	1,272	4.8%	\$.,	\$	3,809	4.3%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$	87,628		1,317	1.5%	\$	72,032	\$	1,097	1.5%	\$	95,597		1,426	1.5%	\$		\$	474	1.8%	\$	87,628		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$		\$	1,816	2.1%	\$	70,935	\$	1,509	2.2%	\$	94,171	\$	1,970	2.1%	\$,	\$	630	2.5%				notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$	84,495		2,186	2.7%	\$	69,426	\$	1,808	2.7%	\$	92,201	\$	2,378	2.6%	\$	- /	\$	715	2.9%	\$	84,495	\$	2,186	2.7%		-	See n		
2001-02	\$	82,309		2,454	3.1%	\$	67,619	_	2,029	3.1%	\$	89,823	\$	2,668	3.1%	\$,	\$	806	3.4%	\$	82,309	\$	2,454	3.1%	\$	21,785		649	3.1%
2000-01	\$	79,855		2,383	3.1%	\$	65,589	\$	1,983	3.1%	\$	87,155	\$	2,583	3.1%	\$	23,953	\$	845	3.7%	\$	79,855	\$	2,383	3.1%	\$	21,136		631	3.1%
1999-00	\$	77,472		2,727	3.6%	\$	63,606	\$	2,239	3.6%	\$	84,572	\$	2,977	3.6%	\$	23,108	\$	812	3.6%	\$	77,472	\$	2,727	3.6%	\$	20,505		722	3.6%
1998-99	\$	74,745	•	3,752	5.3%	\$	61,367	\$	3,094	5.3%	\$	81,595	\$	4,087	5.3%	\$	22,296	\$	1,188	5.6%	\$	74,745	\$	3,752	5.3%	\$	19,783		993	5.3%
1997-98	\$	70,993		3,457	5.1%	\$	58,273	\$	2,851	5.1%	\$	77,508	\$	3,764	5.1%	\$	21,108	\$	1,094	5.5%	\$	70,993	\$	3,457	5.1%	\$	18,790	•	915	5.1%
1996-97	\$	67,536		3,770	5.9%	\$	55,422		3,110	5.9%	\$	73,744	\$	4,105	5.9%	\$	20,014	\$	1,200	6.4%	\$	67,536	\$	3,770	5.9%	\$	17,875	•	997	5.9%
1995-96	\$	63,766		2,523	4.1%	\$	- /-		2,075	4.1%	\$	69,639		2,752	4.1%	\$	18,814	\$	768	4.3%	\$	63,766	\$	2,523	4.1%	\$	16,878	\$	668	4.1%
1994-95	\$	61,243		3,349	5.8%	\$	50,237	\$	2,757	5.8%	\$	66,887	\$	3,651	5.8%	\$	18,046	\$	1,036	6.1%	\$	61,243		-	-	\$	16,210		-	-
1993-94	\$	57,894		2,222	4.0%	\$	47,480	\$	1,829	4.0%	\$	63,236	\$	2,422	4.0%	\$	17,010	\$	685	4.2%		-		notes		L	-	See n	otes	
1992-93	\$	55,672		80	0.1%	\$	45,651		76	0.2%	\$	60,814	· ·	81	0.1%	\$.,	\$	77	0.5%	\$	55,592	\$	-	0.0%	\$	14,714		-	0.0%
1991-92	\$	55,592		2,287	4.3%	\$	45,575	\$	1,853	4.2%	\$	60,733	\$	2,513	4.3%	\$	16,248	\$	561	3.6%	\$	55,592	\$	2,287	4.3%	\$	14,714	•	605	4.3%
1990-91	\$	53,305		2,221	4.3%	\$	43,722	\$	1,838	4.4%	\$	58,220	\$	2,414	4.3%	\$	15,687	\$	733	4.9%	\$	53,305	\$	2,221	4.3%	\$	14,109		588	4.3%
1989-90	\$	51,084	\$	2,376	4.9%	\$	41,884	\$	1,956	4.9%	\$	55,806	\$	7,098	14.6%	\$	14,954	\$	731	5.1%	\$	51,084	\$	2,376	4.9%	\$	- / -	\$	629	4.9%
1988-89	\$	48,708	\$	6,724	16.0%	\$	39,928	\$	6,297	18.7%	\$	48,708	\$	2,433	5.3%	\$	/ -	\$	772	5.7%	\$	48,708	\$	2,433	5.3%	\$	12,892		644	5.3%
1987-88	\$	41,984	\$	2,067	5.2%	\$	/		1,667	5.2%	\$,		2,272	5.2%	\$,	\$	709	5.6%	\$	46,275	· ·	2,272	5.2%	\$	12,248		601	5.2%
1986-87	\$	39,917	\$	2,649	7.1%	\$	31,964	\$	2,127	7.1%	\$	44,003	\$	2,916	7.1%	\$	12,742	\$	869	7.3%	\$.,	\$	2,916	7.1%	\$	11,647	\$	772	7.1%
1985-86	\$	37,268		-	-	\$	29,837		-	-	\$	41,087		-	-	\$	11,873		-	-	\$	41,087		-	-	\$	10,875	<u> </u>	-	-

Solarios of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Purcuant to the Solary Formula in Chanter 145. Elected Statutes

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members: therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	Salaries of	of Electe	d Coun	nty	Constit	utiona	Officers	s and Scho	ool	Distri	ct Offic	ials	s Pursu	an	t to the	e Salary	y F	ormula	in	Chapt	er 145,	, Flé	orida S	tati	utes	
								Bay Cou	nty:	Fisca	I Years 1	98	5-86 to 2	014	I-15											
	Clerk of Circ	cuit Court, I	Property																							
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervi	sor of El	ections		She	eriff			County (Cor	nmissio	ners		School	Sup	perintenc	lent		School	Boa	rd Meml	bers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	:	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 125,087	\$ 391	0.3%	\$	106,316	\$ 3		\$ 134,027	\$	416	0.3%	\$	64,467	\$	265	0.4%	\$	125,087	\$	391	0.3%	\$	34,405	\$	110	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 124,695	\$ 4,485	3.7%	\$	105,978	\$ 3,8	3 3.7%	\$ 133,611	\$	4,804	3.7%	\$	64,202	\$	2,324	3.8%	\$	124,695	\$	4,485	3.7%	\$	34,295	\$	1,234	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 120,211		0.0%	\$,		4 0.0%	\$ 128,807	\$	46	0.0%	\$	61,879	\$	78	0.1%	\$	120,211	\$	46	0.0%	\$	33,061	\$	15	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 120,165	\$ (76)		\$	- /		3) -0.1%	\$ 128,761	\$	(76)	-0.1%	\$	61,800	\$	(131)	-0.2%	\$	120,165	\$	(76)	-0.1%	\$	33,046	\$	(24)	-0 .1%
2010-11	\$ 120,241	•	0.0%	\$	- ,		6 0.0%	\$ 128,837	\$	27	0.0%	\$	61,931	\$	47	0.1%		120,241	\$	2,609	2.2%	\$	33,071		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 120,214	•	0.2%	\$	- /	\$ 1		\$ 128,810		181	0.1%	\$		\$	309	0.5%		117,633		See no		\$	33,062		See no	
2008-09	\$ 120,033	•	0.3%	\$	- /	\$ 3		\$ 128,629		368	0.3%	\$		\$	457	0.7%		120,033	\$	359	0.3%	\$	33,005	\$	108	0.3%
2007-08	t	\$ 406	0.3%	\$	- /	\$ 3		\$ 128,260	•	406	0.3%	\$	- / -	\$	697	1.2%	•	119,674	\$	406	0.3%	\$	32,896		-	-
2006-07	\$ 119,268	. ,	3.8%	\$		\$ 3,7		\$ 127,855		4,617	3.7%	\$,	\$	2,605	4.5%		119,268	\$	4,329	3.8%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 114,939	. ,	4.5%	\$.,	\$ 4,2		\$ 123,238	\$	5,260	4.5%	\$		\$	2,916	5.3%		114,939	\$	4,930	4.5%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 110,009	. ,	1.6%	\$	93,348	\$ 1,5		\$ 117,978		1,877	1.6%	\$,	\$	1,197	2.2%	\$	110,009		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 108,241	. ,	2.2%	\$	91,821	\$ 1,9		\$ 116,101		2,459	2.2%	\$,	\$	1,366	2.6%			See I	notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 105,937	\$ 2,829	2.7%	\$	89,847	\$ 2,4		\$ 113,643		3,020	2.7%	\$	52,337	\$	1,635	3.2%		105,937	\$	2,829	2.7%		-		notes	
2001-02	\$ 103,108	\$ 2,770	2.8%	\$	87,427	\$ 2,3		\$ 110,622	•	2,984	2.8%	\$	50,702	\$	1,150	2.3%	\$	103,108	\$	2,770	2.8%	\$	28,274	•	752	2.7%
2000-01	\$ 100,338	. ,	3.1%	\$	85,097	\$ 2,5		\$ 107,638	\$	3,177	3.0%	\$,	\$	1,754	3.7%	\$	100,338	\$	2,977	3.1%	\$	27,522		827	3.1%
1999-00	• • • • • •	\$ 3,678	3.9%	\$	82,548	\$ 3,1		\$ 104,461	\$	3,928	3.9%	\$,	\$	2,111	4.6%	\$	97,361	\$	3,678	3.9%	\$	26,695		1,019	4.0%
1998-99	\$ 93,683	\$ 4,769	5.4%	\$	79,403	\$ 4,0		\$ 100,533	\$	5,104	5.3%	\$	-,	\$	2,568	6.0%	\$	93,683	\$	4,769	5.4%	\$	-,		1,316	5.4%
1997-98	+ •••,•••	\$ 4,434	5.2%	\$		\$ 3,7		\$ 95,429	\$	4,742	5.2%	\$	-, -	\$	2,435	6.0%	\$	88,914	\$	4,434	5.2%	\$	1	\$	1,225	5.3%
1996-97	\$ 84,480	\$ 4,770	6.0%	\$	71,559	\$ 4,0		\$ 90,687	\$	5,104	6.0%	\$,	\$	2,558	6.7%	\$	84,480	\$	4,770	6.0%	\$	23,135		1,315	6.0%
1995-96	\$ 79,710	. ,	4.3%	\$	- / -	\$ 2,7		\$ 85,583	\$	3,495	4.3%	\$, .	\$	1,756	4.8%	\$	79,710	\$	3,265	4.3%	\$	21,820	\$	901	4.3%
1994-95	\$ 76,445	, ,	5.9%	\$	÷ .,	\$ 3,6		\$ 82,088	\$	4,570	5.9%	\$		\$	2,256	6.6%	\$	76,445		-	-	\$	20,919		-	-
1993-94	÷ :=,::÷	\$ 2,888	4.2%	\$	61,082	\$ 2,4		\$ 77,518	\$	3,088	4.1%	\$	- /	\$	1,586	4.9%				notes		L_			notes	
1992-93	\$ 69,288		0.1%	\$	58,619		6 0.2%	\$ 74,430	\$	101	0.1%	\$	- ,	\$	174	0.5%	\$	69,187	\$	-	0.0%	\$	18,913		-	0.0%
1991-92	+	\$ 2,512	3.8%	\$	58,523	\$ 2,0		\$ 74,329	\$	2,739	3.8%	\$	32,354	\$	507	1.6%	\$	69,187	\$	2,512	3.8%	\$	18,913		663	3.6%
1990-91	+	\$ 2,782	4.4%	\$	56,455	\$ 2,3		\$ 71,590	\$	2,975	4.3%	\$		\$	1,520	5.0%	\$	66,675	\$	2,782	4.4%	\$	18,250	•	768	4.4%
1989-90	\$ 63,893	\$ 3,097	5.1%	\$	54,083	\$ 2,6		\$ 68,615	\$	7,819	12.9%	\$		\$	1,708	6.0%	\$	63,893	\$	3,097	5.1%	\$	17,482		856	5.1%
1988-89	,,	\$ 7,440	13.9%	\$	51,441	\$ 6,9		\$ 60,796	\$	3,150	5.5%	\$		\$	1,769	6.6%	\$	60,796	\$	3,150	5.5%	\$	16,626		872	5.5%
1987-88	+	\$ 2,836	5.6%	\$,	\$ 2,3		\$ 57,646	\$	3,040	5.6%	\$		\$	1,791	7.1%	\$	57,646	\$	3,040	5.6%	\$	15,754		843	5.7%
1986-87		\$ 3,618	7.7%	\$	1	\$ 3,0	0 7.8%	\$ 54,606	\$	3,885	7.7%	\$	- /	\$	2,176	9.5%	\$	54,606	\$	3,885	7.7%	\$	1-	\$	1,075	7.8%
1985-86	\$ 46,902	-	-	\$	39,012	-	-	\$ 50,721		-	-	\$	22,883		-	-	\$	50,721		-	-	\$	13,836		-	-

Colorise of Floated County Constitutional Officers and Coloral District Officials Durawant to the Colory Formula in Chanter 44F. Florida Statutor

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members: therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	Sal	aries	ot E	lecte	d Coui	nty	Constit	uti	onal C											e Salar	уŀ	ormula	In	Chapt	er 145	, FI	orida S	tatu	ites	
											Bra	adford Co	oui	nty: Fise	cal Year	s 19	985-86 to	o 2	014-15											
					Property																									
Fiscal		ppraise					Supervi						_	neriff					mmissio			School	_				School			
Year	Sa	alary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$0	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$	99,585	\$	262	0.3%	\$	82,029	\$	215	0.3%	\$	108,525	\$	286	0.3%	\$	31,039	\$	77	0.2%	\$	99,585	\$	262	0.3%	\$	26,358	\$	69	0.3%
2013-14	\$	99,323	\$	3,104	3.2%	\$	81,814	\$	2,497	3.1%	\$	108,238	\$	3,423	3.3%	\$	30,962	\$	674	2.2%	\$	99,323	\$	3,104	3.2%	\$	26,288	\$	822	3.2%
2012-13		96,219		46	0.0%	\$	79,316		44	0.1%	· ·	- /	· ·	46	0.0%	\$		\$	44	0.1%	\$	96,219	\$	46	0.0%	\$	25,467		12	0.0%
2011-12		96,173		(182)	-0.2%	\$	79,273		(173)	-0.2%	· ·	- /	· ·	(182)	-0.2%	\$	30,245	\$	(173)	-0.6%	\$	96,173	\$	(182)	-0.2%	\$	25,455	\$	(48)	-0.2%
2010-11	•	96,355	•	8	0.0%	\$	79,446		8	0.0%	•	- /	•	8	0.0%	\$, .	\$	8	0.0%	\$	96,355	\$	1,937	2.1%	\$	25,503		See no	
2009-10		96,347	· ·	2	0.0%	\$	79,438	\$	2	0.0%	•	104,943	•	2	0.0%	\$, .	\$	1	0.0%	\$	94,418		See no		\$	25,501		See no	
2008-09		96,345	•	268	0.3%	\$	79,436	\$	242	0.3%		104,941		278	0.3%	\$,	\$	188	0.6%	\$,	\$	268	0.3%	\$	25,500	\$	71	0.3%
2007-08	•	96,077	•	138	0.1%	\$,	\$	132	0.2%		104,663		138	0.1%	\$	30,221	\$	132	0.4%	\$	96,077	\$	138	0.1%	\$	25,429		-	-
2006-07		95,939	•	3,326	3.6%	\$	79,063	\$	2,756	3.6%		,		3,613	3.6%	\$	30,088	\$	1,118	3.9%	\$	95,939	\$	3,326	3.6%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	•	92,613	•	3,913	4.4%	\$	76,307	\$	3,253	4.5%	•	/-	•	4,243	4.4%	\$,	\$	1,371	5.0%	\$	92,613	\$	3,913	4.4%		N/A		-	-
2004-05		88,700	· ·	1,350	1.5%	\$	73,054	\$	1,129	1.6%	\$	96,669	\$	1,459	1.5%	\$,	\$	506	1.9%	\$	88,700		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04		87,350	•	1,838	2.1%	\$	71,924	\$	1,530	2.2%	\$	95,210	\$	1,992	2.1%	\$,	\$	651	2.5%		-		notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	•	85,512	\$	2,124	2.5%	\$	70,394	\$	1,748	2.5%	\$	93,218	\$	2,316	2.5%	\$	-,	\$	655	2.5%	\$	85,512	\$	2,124	2.5%		-	See n		
2001-02	•	83,388	\$	2,541	3.1%	\$	68,646	\$	2,113	3.2%	\$	90,902		2,756	3.1%	\$	25,787	\$	889	3.6%	\$	83,388	\$	2,541	3.1%	\$	22,071	•	673	3.1%
2000-01	•	80,846	\$	2,250	2.9%	\$	66,533	\$	1,856	2.9%	\$	88,146	\$	2,449	2.9%	\$	24,897	\$	718	3.0%	\$	80,846	\$	2,250	2.9%	\$	21,398	•	595	2.9%
1999-00		78,596	\$	2,799	3.7%	\$	64,677	\$	2,308	3.7%	\$	85,697	\$	3,050	3.7%	\$	24,179	\$	881	3.8%	\$	78,596	\$	2,799	3.7%	\$	20,803		741	3.7%
1998-99		75,797	\$	3,760	5.2%	\$	62,369	\$	3,102	5.2%	\$	82,647	\$	4,094	5.2%	\$	23,298	\$	1,195	5.4%	\$	75,797	\$	3,760	5.2%	\$	20,062		995	5.2%
1997-98		,	\$	3,555	5.2%	\$	59,267	\$	2,944	5.2%	\$	78,553	\$	3,863	5.2%	\$	22,103	\$	1,188	5.7%	\$	72,037	\$	3,555	5.2%	\$	19,067	•	941	5.2%
1996-97		68,482	\$	3,722	5.7%	\$	56,323	\$	3,065	5.8%	\$	74,690	\$	4,058	5.7%	\$	20,915	\$	1,155	5.8%	\$	68,482	\$	3,722	5.7%	\$	18,126		986	5.8%
1995-96	•	64,760	•	2,716	4.4%	\$	53,258	\$	2,258	4.4%	\$	70,632		2,944	4.3%	\$	19,760	\$	951	5.1%	\$	64,760	\$	2,716	4.4%	\$	17,140	\$	718	4.4%
1994-95		62,044	· ·	3,369	5.7%	\$	51,000	\$	2,776	5.8%	\$	67,688		3,671	5.7%	\$	18,809	\$	1,055	5.9%	\$	62,044		-	-	\$	16,422		-	-
1993-94		58,675	•	2,262	4.0%	\$	48,224	\$	1,867	4.0%	\$	64,017		2,462	4.0%	\$,	\$	723	4.2%		-		notes		L	-	ee n	otes	
1992-93		56,413	\$	44	0.1%	\$	46,357	\$	42	0.1%	\$	61,555	\$	45	0.1%	\$	17,031	\$	43	0.3%	\$	56,369	\$	-	0.0%	\$	14,919	•	-	0.0%
1991-92		56,369	\$	2,061	3.8%	\$	46,315	\$	1,638	3.7%	\$	61,510	\$	2,287	3.9%	\$	16,988	\$	346	2.1%	\$	56,369	\$	2,061	3.8%	\$	14,919		545	3.8%
1990-91		54,308		2,230	4.3%	\$	44,677	\$	1,846	4.3%	\$	59,223	\$	2,423	4.3%	\$,=	\$	741	4.7%	\$	54,308	\$	2,230	4.3%	\$	14,374		590	4.3%
1989-90		52,078	\$	2,397	4.8%	\$	42,831	\$	1,976	4.8%	\$	56,800	\$	7,119	14.3%	\$		\$	752	5.0%	\$		\$	2,397	4.8%	\$	13,784		635	4.8%
1988-89	•	- /	\$	6,781	15.8%	\$	40,855	\$	6,352	18.4%	\$	49,681	\$	2,491	5.3%	\$., .	\$	826	5.8%	\$	- /	\$	2,491	5.3%	\$	13,149		659	5.3%
1987-88		42,900	\$	2,050	5.0%	\$	34,503	\$	1,650	5.0%	\$	47,190	\$	2,253	5.0%	\$	14,323	\$	692	5.1%	\$,	\$	2,253	5.0%	\$	12,490		596	5.0%
1986-87		40,850	\$	2,730	7.2%	\$	32,853	\$	2,205	7.2%	\$	44,937	\$	2,998	7.1%	\$	13,631	\$	947	7.5%	\$	44,937	\$	2,998	7.1%	\$	11,894	\$	794	7.2%
1985-86	\$	38,120		-	-	\$	30,648		-	-	\$	41,939		-	-	\$	12,684		-	-	\$	41,939		-	-	\$	11,100		-	-

Solarias of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Burguent to the Solary Formula in Chapter 145. Elected Statutes

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members: therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	Salaries o	f Electec	I Count	ty (Constitu	ıtio	onal O	fficers	ar	nd Scho	ol	Distrie	ct Offic	ial	s Pursı	Iar	nt to th	e Salai	ry Formul	a ir	n Chap	oter 14	5, F	lorida	Sta	tutes	
									Bre	evard Co	un	ty: Fisc	al Years	s 19	985-86 to	o 2	014-15										
	Clerk of Cire	cuit Court,	Property																								
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	llector		Supervis	sor	of Elect	ions			Sh	neriff			County	Cor	nmissio	ners	School	Sup	perintend	dent		School	Boar	d Mem	bers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	4 4	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 145,181	\$ 455	0.3%	\$	125,454	\$	399	0.3%	\$	154,120	\$	480	0.3%	\$	86,170	\$	293	0.3%	\$ 145,181	\$	455	0.3%	\$	39,898	\$	125	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 144,725	\$ 5,200	3.7%	\$	125,055	\$	4,494	3.7%	\$,.	•	5,520	3.7%	\$	85,878	\$	3,089	3.7%	\$ 144,725	\$	5,200	3.7%	\$, .	\$	1,429	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 139,525		0.0%	\$	120,560	\$	37	0.0%	_	- 1		39	0.0%	\$. ,	\$	37	0.0%	\$ 139,525		39	0.0%	\$	38,344	\$	10	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 139,486	,		\$	120,523	\$	(251)	-0.2%	•	- 1	•	(264)	-0.2%	\$	82,751	\$	(251)	-0.3%	\$ 139,486		(264)	-0.2%	\$	38,334	\$	(70)	
2010-11	\$ 139,750	,		•		\$	(11)	0.0%	·	148,346		(12)	0.0%	\$		\$	(11)	0.0%	\$ 139,750	\$	2,871	2.1%	\$	38,404	ļ	See no	
2009-10	\$ 139,762		0.1%		120,786	\$	85	0.1%		148,358		89	0.1%	\$,.	\$	84	0.1%	\$ 136,880		See no		\$	38,407	µ	See no	
2008-09	\$ 139,673		0.2%	•	120,701	\$	318	0.3%	•	148,269	•	357	0.2%	\$		\$	276	0.3%	\$ 139,673		348	0.2%	\$	38,383	\$	94	0.2%
2007-08	\$ 139,325		0.2%		120,384	\$	225	0.2%		147,912		236	0.2%	\$	82,653	\$	226	0.3%	\$ 139,325	\$	236	0.2%	\$	38,290	J	-	
2006-07	\$ 139,089	. ,	3.6%	\$		\$	4,227	3.6%		147,675		5,157	3.6%	\$	82,428	\$	2,965	3.7%	\$ 139,089	\$	4,870	3.6%	<u> </u>	N/A	,	-	-
2005-06	\$ 134,219		4.4%		,	\$	4,868	4.4%	•	142,518	÷	5,939	4.3%	\$	79,463	\$	3,418	4.5%	\$ 134,219	\$	5,609	4.4%	<u> </u>	N/A	J	-	-
2004-05	\$ 128,610	. ,	1.6%	•	111,063	\$	1,779	1.6%		,	\$	2,142	1.6%	\$	76,045	\$	1,299	1.7%	\$ 128,610		-	-	<u> </u>	N/A	,	-	-
2003-04	\$ 126,578	. ,	2.1%	\$	109,284	\$	2,306	2.2%	_	- ,		2,807	2.1%	\$	74,746	\$	1,629	2.2%			notes		<u> </u>	N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 123,925	. ,	2.7%	\$	106,978	\$	2,820	2.7%		131,631	\$	3,441	2.7%	\$	73,117	\$	1,978	2.8%	\$ 123,925	\$	3,250	2.7%	 			notes	
2001-02	\$ 120,675	\$ 3,471	3.0%	\$	104,158	\$	2,998	3.0%	•	- /	\$	3,686	3.0%	\$	71,139	\$	2,056	3.0%	\$ 120,675	\$	3,471	3.0%	\$	33,177	•	954	3.0%
2000-01	\$ 117,204		3.0%	\$	101,160	\$	2,919	3.0%		124,504	-	3,565	2.9%	\$	69,083	\$	2,041	3.0%	\$ 117,204	\$	3,365	3.0%	\$	32,223		924	3.0%
1999-00	\$ 113,839	\$ 4,142	3.8%	\$	98,241	\$	3,586	3.8%		,	\$	4,392	3.8%	\$	67,042	\$	2,488	3.9%	\$ 113,839	\$	4,142	3.8%	\$	- ,		1,137	3.8%
1998-99	\$ 109,697	, .	5.3%	\$	0.,000	\$	4,742	5.3%		,	÷	5,816	5.3%	\$	64,554	\$	3,273	5.3%	\$ 109,697	•	5,482	5.3%	\$	30,162		1,506	5.3%
1997-98	\$ 104,215	. ,	5.0%	\$,	\$	4,326	5.1%	•	110,731	\$	5,314	5.0%	\$	61,281	\$	2,973	5.1%	\$ 104,215	\$	5,006	5.0%	\$	28,656		1,376	5.0%
1996-97	\$ 99,209	\$ 5,479	5.8%	\$		\$	4,738	5.9%	•	,	•	5,814	5.8%	\$	58,308	\$	3,266	5.9%	\$ 99,209	\$	5,479	5.8%	\$	27,280	•	1,505	5.8%
1995-96	\$ 93,730	. ,	4.2%	\$,	\$	3,278	4.2%	\$	99,603		4,015	4.2%	л (55,042	\$	2,272	4.3%	\$ 93,730	\$	3,786	4.2%	\$	25,775	\$	1,040	4.2%
1994-95	\$ 89,944	\$ 4,934	5.8%	\$	77,571	\$	4,266	5.8%	\$	95,588	\$	5,236	5.8%	\$	52,770	\$	2,940	5.9%	\$ 89,944		-	-	\$	24,735		-	-
1993-94	\$ 85,010	. ,	4.0%	\$	73,305	\$	2,854	4.1%	\$	90,352		3,499	4.0%	,	49,830	\$	1,973	4.1%			notes	0.00/	-			notes	0.00/
1992-93	\$ 81,711		0.2%	\$ \$	70,451 70.299	\$ ¢	152 3.020	0.2% 4.5%	\$	86,853	÷	160 3.737	0.2% 4.5%	\$	47,857	\$	152	0.3%	\$ 81,552 \$ 81,552	\$ ¢	-	0.0% 4.5%	\$	22,431 22.431		- 966	0.0%
1991-92 1990-91	\$ 81,522		4.5% 4.8%		70,299 67,279	¢ Þ	3,020	4.5%	\$ \$	86,693	\$	3,737	4.5%	9	47,705 45,681	\$	2,024 2,256	4.4% 5.2%	\$ 81,552 \$ 78,041	\$ \$	3,511 3,554		\$	22,431	\$	966 973	4.5% 4.7%
	\$ 78,041	. ,		\$,	¢			Ŧ	82,956	-	,		¢		\$,			¢		4.8%	\$				
1989-90	\$ 74,487	\$ 3,924	5.6%	\$	64,172 60,743	¢ Þ	3,429	5.6%	\$	79,208	\$	8,645	12.3% 5.8%	9	43,425	\$	2,486	6.1% 6.3%	\$ 74,487	\$ \$	3,924	5.6%	\$	20,492		1,074	5.5%
1988-89 1987-88	\$ 70,563 \$ 62.410	\$ 8,153 \$ 3,504	13.1% 5.9%	\$	60,743 53.084	\$	7,659	14.4% 6.1%	\$	70,563 66.700		3,863	5.8% 5.9%	\$	40,939 38.521	\$	2,418	6.5%	\$ 70,563 \$ 66,700	\$	3,863	5.8% 5.9%	ֆ Տ	19,418 18.360		1,058 1.014	5.8% 5.8%
	\$ 62,410 \$ 58,906			\$,	\$ \$			\$		÷			9		\$	2,342		,	\$ \$	3,707		ֆ Տ		•		
1986-87		\$ 4,324	7.9%	\$	00,010	¢	3,722	8.0%	\$	62,993	\$	4,592	7.9%	9	36,179	\$	2,815	8.4%	+	Э	4,592	7.9%	\$	17,346	\$	1,260	7.8%
1985-86	\$ 54,582	-	-	Þ	46,327		-	-	Þ	58,401		-	-	Þ	33,364		-	-	\$ 58,401	I	-	-	Þ	16,086		-	

Colonian of Elected County Constitutional Officers and Colonal District Officials Dynavort to the Colony Formula in Chanter 44F. Florida Statutor

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Broward County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2014-15

									DIC	ward Co	Jui	ILY: FISC	cal rear	SI	902-00 [0 2	014-15									
	Clerk of Circ	cuit Court,	Property																							
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Co	llector		Supervi			tions			Sł	neriff			County	Col	mmissio	ners	School	Sup	perinten	dent	School			bers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	••	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	67	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 168,635	\$ 688	0.4%	\$	148,427	\$	633	0.4%	\$	177,575	\$	712	0.4%	\$	95,782	\$	259	0.3%	\$ 168,635	\$	688	0.4%	\$ 42,570	\$	115	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 167,948	\$ 6,317	3.9%	\$	147,794	\$	5,594	3.9%	•	176,863	•	6,637	3.9%	\$	95,523	\$	3,426	3.7%	\$ 167,948	\$	6,317	3.9%	\$ 42,455	\$	1,523	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 161,630		0.1%	\$	142,200	\$	83	0.1%		,		83	0.0%	\$	92,097	\$	-	0.0%	+,	\$	83	0.1%	\$,		-	0.0%
	\$ 161,547		0.0%	\$,	\$	51	0.0%		170,143	•	51	0.0%	\$	92,097	•	-	0.0%	\$ 161,547	\$	51	0.0%	\$,	\$	-	0.0%
2010-11	\$ 161,495	\$ (222	-0.1%	\$		\$	(222)	-0.2%	\$	170,091	\$	(222)	-0. 1%	\$	92,097	\$	-	0.0%	\$ 161,495	\$	2,897	1.8%	\$ 40,932		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 161,718	\$ (117	-0.1%	\$	142,287	\$	(117)	-0. 1%	\$	170,313	\$	(117)	-0.1%	\$	92,097	•	1	0.0%	\$ 158,598		See no		\$ 40,002		See no	
2008-09	\$ 161,835		0.2%	\$	142,404	\$	362	0.3%	\$	170,430	\$	392	0.2%	\$	92,096	\$	101	0.1%	\$ 161,835	\$	383	0.2%	\$ 40,932	\$	45	0.1%
	\$ 161,452		0.1%	· ·	142,043		198	0.1%		170,038	•	197	0.1%	\$	91,995	•	(1)	0.0%	\$ 161,452	·	197	0.1%	\$,		-	-
	\$ 161,254	,	3.6%	\$,	•	5,026	3.7%	•	169,841	•	5,963	3.6%	\$	91,996	\$	3,077	3.5%	\$ 161,254	•	5,675	3.6%	N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 155,579	\$ 6,561	4.4%	\$	136,819	\$	5,815	4.4%	\$	163,878	\$	6,891	4.4%	\$	88,919	\$	3,536	4.1%	\$ 155,579	\$	6,561	4.4%	N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 149,018	. ,	1.7%	\$	131,004	\$	2,234	1.7%	•		\$	2,590	1.7%	\$	85,383	\$	1,171	1.4%	\$ 149,018		-	-	N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 146,537		2.2%	\$	128,769	\$	2,806	2.2%		154,396	\$	3,308	2.2%	\$	84,213	\$	1,651	2.0%		See	notes		N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 143,382	. ,	2.8%	\$	125,963	\$	3,517	2.9%		,	\$	4,142	2.8%	\$	82,562	\$	2,053	2.6%	\$ 143,382	\$	3,951	2.8%			notes	
2001-02	\$ 139,432	,	4.4%	\$	122,446	\$	5,341	4.6%	-	,	\$	6,040	4.3%	\$	80,509	\$	2,298	2.9%	\$ 139,432	\$	5,826	4.4%	\$ 35,782	•	1,021	2.9%
	\$ 133,606	, ,	3.1%	\$,	\$	3,600	3.2%	\$	140,905	\$	4,251	3.1%	\$	78,211	\$	2,139	2.8%	\$ 133,606	\$	4,052	3.1%	\$ 34,760	•	950	2.8%
1999-00	\$ 129,544		4.0%	\$,	\$	4,483	4.1%		,	\$	5,297	4.0%	\$	76,072	\$	2,679	3.7%	\$ 129,554	\$	5,047	4.1%	\$ 33,810		1,191	3.7%
1998-99	\$ 124,507	. ,	5.5%	\$	109,022	\$	5,711	5.5%		,	\$	6,801	5.5%	\$	73,393	\$	3,582	5.1%	\$ 124,507	\$	6,467	5.5%	\$ 32,619		1,592	5.1%
1997-98	\$ 118,040		5.3%	\$	103,311	\$	5,215	5.3%		124,556	\$	6,219	5.3%	\$	69,811	\$	3,299	5.0%	\$ 118,040	\$	5,911	5.3%	\$ 31,027		1,466	5.0%
1996-97	\$ 112,129	. ,	6.0%	\$	98,096	\$	5,560	6.0%		,	\$	6,652	6.0%	\$	66,512	\$	3,588	5.7%	*;;	\$	6,317	6.0%	\$ 29,561	\$	1,595	5.7%
1995-96	\$ 105,812		4.3%	\$		\$	3,852	4.3%	•	1	\$	4,600	4.3%	\$	62,924	\$	2,454	4.1%	÷	\$	4,371	4.3%	\$ 27,966	\$	1,091	4.1%
1994-95	\$ 101,441	. ,	5.9%	\$	88,684	\$	4,981	6.0%		- 1	\$	5,964	5.9%	\$	60,470	\$	3,234	5.7%	\$ 101,441		-	-	\$ 26,875		-	-
1993-94	\$ 95,778	, .	4.1%	\$		\$	3,296	4.1%	\$	101,121	\$	3,950	4.1%	\$	57,236	\$	2,148	3.9%	-		notes				notes	
1992-93	\$ 92,030		0.2%	\$	80,407	\$	224	0.3%	\$	97,171	\$	224	0.2%	\$	55,088	\$	-	0.0%	\$ 91,806	\$	-	0.0%	\$ 24,484	•	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 91,806	\$ 4,166	4.8%	\$	80,183	\$	3,653	4.8%	\$	96,947	\$	4,392	4.7%	\$	55,088	\$	2,426	4.6%	\$ 91,806	\$	4,166	4.8%	\$ 24,484	•	1,079	4.6%
1990-91	\$ 87,640	. ,	4.4%	\$	76,530	\$	3,275	4.5%	\$	92,555	\$	3,905	4.4%	\$	52,662	\$	2,075	4.1%	÷	\$	3,712	4.4%	\$ 23,405		922	4.1%
1989-90	\$ 83,928	, ,	5.1%	\$		\$	3,611	5.2%	\$		\$	8,818	11. 0 %	\$	50,587	\$	2,300	4.8%	\$ 83,928	\$	4,096	5.1%	\$ 22,483	•	1,022	4.8%
1988-89	\$ 79,832		11.7%	\$	69,644	\$	7,895	12.8%	\$	79,832	\$	4,095	5.4%	\$	48,287	\$	2,320	5.0%	\$ 79,832	\$	4,095	5.4%	\$, -	\$	1,031	5.0%
1987-88	\$ 71,447	. ,	5.3%	\$	61,749	\$	3,129	5.3%	\$	- , -	\$	3,793	5.3%	\$	45,967	\$	2,184	5.0%	\$ 75,737	\$	3,793	5.3%	\$ 20,430	•	971	5.0%
1986-87	\$ 67,857	\$ 4,610	7.3%	\$	58,620	\$	4,005	7.3%	\$,	\$	4,877	7.3%	\$	43,783	\$	2,865	7.0%	\$ 71,944	\$	4,877	7.3%	\$ 19,459	\$	1,273	7.0%
1985-86	\$ 63,247	-	-	\$	54,615		-	-	\$	67,067		-	-	\$	40,918		-	-	\$ 67,067		-	-	\$ 18,186		-	-

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	alalle	5 01	Elected	Coun	LY C	Jonstitu	un	Shar O		lhoun Co							le Sala	ı y ı	ormu	am	Chap	JIEF 14	э, г	lonua	Jla	lules	
	Clerk of	Circ	uit Court, I	Property																							
Fiscal	Appr	liser	& Tax Col	lector		Supervi	sor	of Elect	ions		Sh	neriff		County	Col	mmissio	ners		School	Supe	erintene	dent		School I	Boar	d Meml	bers
Year	Salar	'	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	63	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.	 Salary	44	6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 95,3	62	\$ 251	0.3%	\$	78,007	\$	205	0.3%	\$ 104,302	\$	276	0.3%	\$ 27,017	\$	67	0.2%	\$	95,362	\$	251	0.3%	\$	25,241	\$	67	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 95,1	11	\$ 3,397	3.7%	\$	77,802	\$	2,777	3.7%	\$ 104,026	\$	3,717	3.7%	\$ 26,950	\$	953	3.7%	\$	95,111	\$	3,397	3.7%	\$	25,174	\$	899	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 91,7	14	\$19	0.0%	\$	75,026	\$	18	0.0%	\$ 100,309	\$	19	0.0%	\$ 25,997	\$	18	0.1%	\$	91,714	\$	19	0.0%	\$	24,275	\$	5	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 91,6	94	\$8	0.0%	\$	75,007	\$	7	0.0%	\$ 100,290	\$	8	0.0%	\$ 25,979	\$	7	0.0%	\$	91,694	\$	8	0.0%	\$	24,270	\$	2	0.0%
2010-11	\$ 91,6	87	\$94	0.1%	\$	75,000	\$	89	0.1%	\$ 100,282	\$	94	0.1%	\$ 25,972	\$	89	0.3%	\$	91,687	\$	1,873	2.1%	\$	24,268	1	See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 91,5	93	\$ (53)	-0 .1%	\$	74,910	\$	(51)	-0.1%	\$ 100,189	\$	(53)	-0.1%	\$ 25,882	\$	(51)	-0.2%	\$	89,813		See no	otes	\$	24,243	1	See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 91,6	46	\$218	0.2%	\$	74,961	\$	194	0.3%	\$ 100,242	\$	227	0.2%	\$ 25,933	\$	140	0.5%	\$	91,646	\$	218	0.2%	\$	24,257	\$	58	0.2%
2007-08	\$ 91,4	28	\$53	0.1%	\$	74,767	•	51	0. 1%	\$ 100,014	\$	53	0.1%	\$ 25,793	\$	51	0.2%	\$	91,428	\$	53	0.1%	\$	24,199	1	-	-
2006-07	\$ 91,3	75	\$ 3,160	3.6%	\$	74,717	\$	2,598	3.6%	\$ 99,961	\$	3,447	3.6%	\$ 25,742	\$	960	3.9%	\$	91,375	\$	3,160	3.6%		N/A	1	-	-
2005-06	\$ 88,2	15	\$ 3,559	4.2%	\$	72,119	\$	2,917	4.2%	\$ 96,514	\$	3,889	4.2%	\$ 24,782	\$	1,034	4.4%	\$	88,215	\$	3,559	4.2%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 84,6	56	\$ 1,222	1.5%	\$	69,202	\$	1,007	1.5%	\$ 92,625	\$	1,331	1.5%	\$ 23,748	\$	384	1.6%	\$	84,656		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 83,4	34	\$ 1,681	2.1%	\$	68,195	\$	1,380	2.1%	\$ 91,294	\$	1,835	2.1%	\$ 23,364	\$	501	2.2%		S	See n	otes			N/A	1	-	-
2002-03	. ,	53	. ,	2.6%	\$	66,815	\$	1,676	2.6%	\$ 89,459	\$	2,240	2.6%	\$,	\$	584	2.6%	\$	81,753	\$	2,049	2.6%		-	See n		
2001-02	\$ 79,7	05	\$ 1,974	2.5%	\$	65,138	\$	1,573	2.5%	\$ 87,219	\$	2,189	2.6%	\$ 22,279	\$	349	1.6%	\$	79,705	\$	1,974	2.5%	\$	21,096	\$	523	2.5%
2000-01	\$ 77,7	30	\$ 2,271	3.0%	\$	63,566	\$	1,877	3.0%	\$ 85,030	\$	2,471	3.0%	\$ 21,930	\$	738	3.5%	\$	77,730	\$	2,271	3.0%	\$	20,574	\$	601	3.0%
1999-00	\$ 75,4		\$ 2,836	3.9%	\$	61,689	\$	2,343	3.9%	\$ 82,559	\$	3,086	3.9%	\$ 21,192	\$	917	4.5%	\$	75,459		2,836	3.9%	\$	19,973		751	3.9%
1998-99	\$ 72,6	23	\$ 3,635	5.3%	\$	59,346	\$	2,983	5.3%	\$ 79,473	\$	3,969	5.3%	\$ 20,275	\$	1,076	5.6%	\$	72,623	\$	3,635	5.3%	\$	19,222	•	962	5.3%
1997-98	\$ 68,9		\$ 3,381	5.2%	\$	56,363	\$	2,778	5.2%	\$ 75,504	\$	3,689	5.1%	\$ 19,199	\$	1,022	5.6%	\$	68,988		3,381	5.2%	\$	18,260		895	5.2%
1996-97	\$ 65,6	-	\$ 3,632	5.9%	\$,	\$	2,979	5.9%	\$,	\$	3,967	5.8%	\$ 18,177	\$	1,069	6.2%	\$			3,632	5.9%	\$	17,365	•	961	5.9%
1995-96	\$ 61,9		\$ 2,435	4.1%	\$	50,606	\$	1,991	4.1%	\$ 	\$	2,664	4.1%	\$ 17,108	\$	685	4.2%	\$	61,975	\$	2,435	4.1%	\$	16,404	\$	645	4.1%
1994-95	\$ 59,5	40	\$ 3,114	5.5%	\$	48,615	\$	2,533	5.5%	\$ 65,184	\$	3,416	5.5%	\$ 16,423	\$	811	5.2%	\$	59,540		-	-	\$	15,759	L	-	-
1993-94	\$ 56,4		\$ 2,236	4.1%	\$	46,082	\$	1,842	4.2%	\$ 61,768	\$	2,437	4.1%	\$,	\$	699	4.7%				otes			-	See n	otes	
1992-93		90		0.1%	\$	44,240	\$	38	0.1%	\$ 59,331	\$	39	0.1%	\$ 14,913	\$	37	0.2%	\$	54,151		-	0.0%	\$	14,333		-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 54,1		1 1	4.5%	\$	44,202	\$	1,902	4.5%	\$, -	\$	2,564	4.5%	\$,	\$	610	4.3%	\$	- / -		2,338	4.5%	\$	14,333		619	4.5%
1990-91	\$ 51,8		\$ 2,204	4.4%	\$	42,300	\$	1,821	4.5%	\$ 56,728	\$	2,398	4.4%	\$ 14,266	\$	717	5.3%	\$	51,813		2,204	4.4%	\$	13,714		583	4.4%
1989-90	\$ 49,6		\$ 2,362	5.0%	\$	40,479	\$	1,942	5.0%	\$ 54,330	\$	7,083	15.0%	\$ 13,549	\$	763	6.0%	\$	- /		2,362	5.0%	\$	13,131		663	5.3%
1988-89	\$ 47,2		\$ 6,561	16.1%	\$	38,537	\$	6,143	19.0%	\$,	\$	2,271	5.0%	\$,	\$	616	5.1%	\$,		2,271	5.0%	\$	12,468		600	5.1%
1987-88	\$ 40,6		\$ 1,965	5.1%	\$	32,394	\$	1,569	5.1%	\$ 44,976		2,168	5.1%	\$ 12,170	\$	639	5.5%	\$	44,976		2,168	5.1%	\$	11,868	•	598	5.3%
1986-87	\$ 38,7		\$ 2,559	7.1%	\$	30,825	\$	2,041	7.1%	\$ 42,808	\$	2,827	7.1%	\$ 11,531	\$	804	7.5%	\$	42,808	\$	2,827	7.1%	\$	11,270	\$	764	7.3%
1985-86	\$ 36,1	62	-	-	\$	28,784		-	-	\$ 39,981		-	-	\$ 10,727		-	-	\$	39,981		-	-	\$	10,506	L	-	-

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chanter 145. Florida Statutes

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

:	Salaries of	r Elected	Count	y (Constitu	utio	nal O												ry Fo	ormula	ain	Chap	oter 14:), F	lorida	Sta	tutes	
								0	Cha	rlotte Co	bur	nty: Fis	cal Year	rs 1	985-86 t	to 2	2014-15											
	Clerk of Circ																											
Fiscal		r & Tax Co			Supervi						-	eriff					mmissio			School				<u> </u>	School			
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.		lary	\$ C		% Chg.		Salary		Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 124,396		0.3%		,		320	0.3%		133,335		397	0.3%	\$		\$	233	0.4%		24,396	\$	373	0.3%	\$	34,185		104	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 124,023	. ,	4.0%		105,338		4,074	4.0%		132,938		5,079	4.0%	\$,	\$,	4.6%		,		,759	4.0%	\$,	\$	1,321	4.0%
2012-13	\$ 119,264		0.0%		101,264		50	0.0%		127,859	· ·	52	0.0%	\$		\$	89	0.1%		19,264		52	0.0%	\$	32,760		17	0.1%
2011-12	\$ 119,212				- /		(560)	-0.6%		127,807		(588)	-0.5%	\$,	\$	(/	-1.6%		19,212		(588)	-0.5%	\$	32,744	\$	(187)	-0.6%
2010-11	\$ 119,800	,			- /	•	(33)	0.0%		128,396		(35)	0.0%	\$	61,175	\$	(60)	-0.1%		19,800		,488	2.1%	\$	32,931	<u> </u>	See no	
2009-10	\$ 119,835		0.1%		,		123	0.1%		128,431	· ·	129	0.1%	\$	0.,200	\$	221	0.4%		17,312		See no		\$	32,942	<u> </u>	See no	
2008-09	\$ 119,706		0.5%		,		548	0.5%		128,301		599	0.5%	\$	- /-	\$	852	1.4%		19,706		590	0.5%	\$	32,901	\$	182	0.6%
2007-08	\$ 119,116		0.6%	•	- / -	•	641	0.6%		127,702		673	0.5%	\$, .	\$	1,156	2.0%		19,116		673	0.6%	\$	32,719	<u> </u>	-	-
2006-07	\$ 118,443	. ,	3.2%	\$,		3,069	3.2%		127,029		3,942	3.2%	\$		\$	1,448	2.5%		18,443		,655	3.2%	<u> </u>	N/A	<u> </u>	-	-
2005-06	\$ 114,788	\$ 5,061	4.6%	\$	97,426	•	4,347	4.7%	\$	123,087	\$	5,391	4.6%	\$		\$	3,141	5.8%	\$ 1 [·]	14,788	\$ 5	,062	4.6%	<u> </u>	N/A	<u> </u>	-	-
2004-05	\$ 109,727	. ,	1.7%	\$	93,079	•	1,601	1.8%		117,696		1,955	1.7%	\$	- /	\$,	2.5%	\$ 10	09,727	-		-	<u> </u>	N/A	<u> </u>	-	-
2003-04	\$ 107,881	. ,	2.4%	\$	91,478		2,155	2.4%		115,741	\$	2,649	2.3%	\$,	\$	1,693	3.3%		-	iee no	tes		<u> </u>	N/A	<u> </u>	-	-
2002-03	\$ 105,386	\$ 2,897	2.8%	\$	89,322	\$	2,485	2.9%	\$	113,092	\$	3,089	2.8%	\$	51,393	\$	1,752	3.5%	\$ 10	05,386	\$ 2	,897	2.8%	<u> </u>	-		otes	
2001-02	\$ 102,489	\$ 3,369	3.4%	\$	86,838		2,901	3.5%	\$	110,003	\$	3,583	3.4%	\$	49,641	\$	2,176	4.6%	\$ 10	02,489	\$ 3	,369	3.4%	\$	28,077	\$	942	3.5%
2000-01	\$ 99,120	\$ 2,987	3.1%	\$	83,937	\$	2,559	3.1%	\$	106,420	\$	3,187	3.1%	\$	47,465	\$	1,773	3.9%	\$	99,120	\$ 2	,987	3.1%	\$	27,135	\$	830	3.2%
1999-00	\$ 96,133	\$ 3,587	3.9%	\$	81,378	\$	3,057	3.9%	\$	103,233	\$	3,837	3.9%	\$	45,692	\$	1,954	4.5%	\$	96,133	\$ 3	587	3.9%	\$	26,305	\$	990	3.9%
1998-99	\$ 92,546	\$ 4,666	5.3%	\$	78,321	\$	3,965	5.3%	\$	99,396	\$	5,000	5.3%	\$	43,738	\$	2,391	5.8%	\$	92,546	\$ 4	,666	5.3%	\$	25,315	\$	1,283	5.3%
1997-98	\$ 87,880	\$ 4,295	5.1%	\$	74,356	\$	3,649	5.2%	\$	94,396	\$	4,603	5.1%	\$	41,347	\$	2,197	5.6%	\$	87,880	\$ 4	,295	5.1%	\$	24,032	\$	1,181	5.2%
1996-97	\$ 83,585	\$ 4,712	6.0%	\$	70,707	\$	4,008	6.0%	\$	89,793	\$	5,047	6.0%	\$	39,150	\$	2,459	6.7%	\$	83,585	\$ 4	,712	6.0%	\$	22,851	\$	1,297	6.0%
1995-96	\$ 78,873	\$ 3,301	4.4%	\$	66,699	\$	2,815	4.4%	\$	84,746	\$	3,530	4.3%	\$	36,691	\$	1,817	5.2%	\$	78,873	\$ 3	,301	4.4%	\$	21,554	\$	912	4.4%
1994-95	\$ 75,572	\$ 4,242	5.9%	\$	63,884	\$	3,608	6.0%	\$	81,216	\$	4,544	5.9%	\$	34,874	\$	2,210	6.8%	\$ 7	75,572	-		-	\$	20,642	1	-	-
1993-94	\$ 71,330	\$ 2,878	4.2%	\$	60,276	\$	2,454	4.2%	\$	76,672	\$	3,079	4.2%	\$	32,664	\$	1,570	5.0%		S	iee no	tes		1	S	iee r	otes	
1992-93	\$ 68,452	\$ 295	0.4%	\$	57,822	\$	280	0.5%	\$	73,593	\$	294	0.4%	\$	31,094	\$	505	1.7%	\$ (68,157	\$	-	0.0%	\$	18,586	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 68,157	\$ 3,792	5.9%	\$	57,542	\$	3,287	6.1%	\$	73,299	\$	4,018	5.8%	\$	30,589	\$	2,613	9.3%	\$	68,157	\$ 3	5,792	5.9%	\$	18,586	\$	1,063	6.1%
1990-91	\$ 64,365	\$ 3,354	5.5%	\$	54,255	\$	2,917	5.7%	\$	69,281	\$	3,548	5.4%	\$	27,976	\$	1,881	7.2%	\$ (64,365	\$ 3	,354	5.5%	\$	17,523	\$	907	5.5%
1989-90	\$ 61,011	\$ 3,478	6.0%	\$	51,338	\$	3,004	6.2%	\$	65,733	\$	8,200	14.3%	\$	26,095	\$	1,858	7.7%	\$ (61,011	\$ 3	,478	6.0%	\$	16,616	\$	942	6.0%
1988-89	\$ 57,533	\$ 7,731	15.5%	\$	48,334	\$	7,258	17.7%	\$	57,533	\$	3,441	6.4%	\$	24,237	\$	1,809	8.1%	\$!	57,533	\$ 3	,441	6.4%	\$	15,674	\$	932	6.3%
1987-88	\$ 49,802	\$ 2,917	6.2%	\$	41,076	\$	2,476	6.4%	\$	54,092	\$	3,121	6.1%	\$	22,428	\$	1,590	7.6%	\$!	54,092	\$ 3	,121	6.1%	\$	14,742	\$	846	6.1%
1986-87	\$ 46,885	\$ 3,574	8.3%	\$	38,600	\$	3,007	8.4%	\$	50,971	\$	3,841	8.1%	\$	20,838	\$	1,845	9.7%	\$!	50,971	\$ 3	,841	8.1%	\$	13,896	\$	1,043	8.1%
1985-86	\$ 43,311	-	-	\$	35,593		-	-	\$	47,130		-	-	\$	18,993		-	-	\$ 4	47,130	-		-	\$	12,853	i	-	-

Selected of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Burguent to the Salary Formula in Chapter 14F. Elected Statutes

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	Salaries of	of Electe	d Coun	ty	Constit	uti	onal C	Officers	aı	nd Scho	ool	Distric	ct Offic	ial	s Pursu	an	t to the	e Salar	уF	ormula	in	Chapt	er 145,	, FI	orida S	tatı	utes	
									С	itrus Cou	unt	y: Fisca	al Years	19	85-86 to	20	14-15											
	Clerk of Cire	cuit Court, I	Property																									
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervi	sor	of Electi	ions			Sh	neriff			County	Co	nmissio	ners		School	Sup	perintend	lent		School I	Boar	rd Memb	bers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 121,807	\$ 303	0.2%	\$	103,193	\$	254	0.2%		/	•	327	0.3%	\$	58,846	\$	113	0.2%	\$	/	\$	303	0.2%	\$	33,364	\$	82	0.2%
2013-14	\$ 121,505	\$ 4,337	3.7%	\$	102,940	\$	3,672	3.7%		/ -		4,657	3.7%	\$	58,733	\$	2,071	3.7%	\$	/	\$	4,337	3.7%	\$	33,282	\$	1,187	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 117,168	1 1.17	0.0%	\$	99,268	\$	(29)	0.0%		125,764		(30)	0.0%	\$	56,662	\$	(52)	-0. 1%	\$,	\$	(30)	0.0%	\$		\$	(10)	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 117,198			\$	99,296	\$	(140)	-0 .1%	· ·	125,794		(148)	-0.1%	\$	/	\$	(253)	-0.4%	\$,	\$	(148)	-0.1%	\$		\$	(47)	-0.1%
2010-11	\$ 117,345		0.1%	\$	99,437	\$	58	0.1%		125,941		61	0.0%	\$	56,967	\$	104	0.2%	\$,	\$	2,609	2.3%	\$	32,152		See no	
2009-10	\$ 117,285		0.2%	\$	99,379	\$	197	0.2%	•	125,880	•	207	0.2%	\$	56,862	\$	354	0.6%	\$,		See no		\$	32,132		See no	
2008-09	\$ 117,078		0.4%	\$	99,182	\$	454	0.5%		125,673	·	500	0.4%	\$,	\$	683	1.2%	\$,	\$	491	0.4%	\$	0-,000	\$	150	0.5%
2007-08	\$ 116,587	•	0.4%	\$, .	\$	419	0.4%	•	125,173	•	440	0.4%	\$		\$	756	1.4%	\$	- ,	\$	440	0.4%	\$	31,917		-	-
2006-07	\$ 116,147	, ,	3.8%	\$	98,308	\$	3,636	3.8%	•	,	\$	4,537	3.8%	\$	55,069	\$	2,468	4.7%	\$	-,	\$	4,250	3.8%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 111,897	. ,	4.5%	\$	94,672	\$	4,078	4.5%		- /	\$	5,109	4.4%	\$	52,601	\$	2,657	5.3%		,	\$	4,779	4.5%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 107,118	· / ·	1.7%	\$	90,594	\$	1,504	1.7%	•	- 1	•	1,852	1.6%	\$	49,944	\$	1,156	2.4%	\$	107,118		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 105,375	\$ 2,310	2.2%	\$	89,091	\$	1,979	2.3%		113,234	\$	2,464	2.2%	\$	48,789	\$	1,375	2.9%		-	iee I	notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 103,065	\$ 2,787	2.8%	\$	87,112	\$	2,380	2.8%	•	110,771	\$	2,979	2.8%	\$	47,414	\$	1,563	3.4%	\$		\$	2,787	2.8%		-		notes	
2001-02	\$ 100,278	\$ 3,154	3.2%	\$	84,732	\$	2,696	3.3%	•	107,792	•	3,368	3.2%	\$	45,850	\$	1,807	4.1%	\$	100,278	\$	3,154	3.2%	\$	27,375	•	874	3.3%
2000-01	\$ 97,124	\$ 2,876	3.1%	\$	82,036	\$	2,453	3.1%	\$	104,424	· ·	3,076	3.0%	\$	44,043	\$	1,581	3.7%	\$	97,124	\$	2,876	3.1%	\$	26,502	\$	795	3.1%
1999-00	\$ 94,248	\$ 3,528	3.9%	\$	79,583	\$	3,001	3.9%		- /		3,778	3.9%	\$	42,462	\$	1,854	4.6%	\$	94,248	\$	3,528	3.9%	\$	20,101	\$	971	3.9%
1998-99	\$ 90,720	\$ 4,598	5.3%	\$	76,582	\$	3,900	5.4%	\$	97,570	\$	4,932	5.3%	\$	40,608	\$	2,274	5.9%	\$	90,720	\$	4,598	5.3%	\$	= :,: = =	\$	1,262	5.4%
1997-98	\$ 86,122	\$ 4,258	5.2%	\$	72,682	\$	3,614	5.2%	\$	92,638	\$	4,566	5.2%	\$	38,334	\$	2,134	5.9%	\$	86,122	\$	4,258	5.2%	\$,	\$	1,169	5.2%
1996-97	\$ 81,864	\$ 4,609	6.0%	\$	69,068	\$	3,910	6.0%	\$	88,072	•	4,944	5.9%	\$	36,200	\$	2,283	6.7%	\$	81,864	\$	4,609	6.0%	\$,	\$	1,264	6.0%
1995-96	\$ 77,255	\$ 3,155	4.3%	\$	65,158	\$	2,676	4.3%	\$	83,128	·	3,384	4.2%	\$	33,917	\$	1,566	4.8%	\$	77,255	\$	3,155	4.3%	\$	21,041	\$	866	4.3%
1994-95	\$ 74,100	\$ 4,238	6.1%	\$	62,482	\$	3,604	6.1%	\$	79,744	·	4,540	6.0%	\$	32,351	\$	2,035	6.7%	\$	74,100		-	-	\$	20,175		-	-
1993-94	\$ 69,862	\$ 3,040	4.5%	\$	58,878	\$	2,608	4.6%	\$	75,204	·	3,241	4.5%	\$	30,316	\$	1,536	5.3%				notes		L			notes	
1992-93	\$ 66,822	\$ 370	0.6%	\$	56,270	\$	352	0.6%	\$	71,963	\$	370	0.5%	\$	28,780	\$	352	1.2%	\$	66,452	\$	-	0.0%	\$	18,098		-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 66,452	\$ 3,229	5.1%	\$	55,918	\$	2,750	5.2%	\$	71,593	\$	3,454	5.1%	\$	28,428	\$	1,540	5.7%	\$	66,452	\$	3,229	5.1%	\$	18,098		877	5.1%
1990-91	\$ 63,223	\$ 3,231	5.4%	\$	53,168	\$	2,801	5.6%	\$	68,139	\$	3,426	5.3%	\$	26,888	\$	1,764	7.0%	\$	63,223	\$	3,231	5.4%	\$,==:	\$	875	5.4%
1989-90	\$ 59,992	\$ 3,320	5.9%	\$	50,367	\$	2,853	6.0%	\$	64,713	\$	8,041	14.2%	\$	25,124	\$	1,706	7.3%	\$	59,992	\$	3,320	5.9%	\$		\$	900	5.8%
1988-89	\$ 56,672	\$ 7,603	15.5%	\$	47,514	\$	7,136	17.7%	\$	56,672	\$	3,313	6.2%	\$	23,418	\$	1,688	7.8%	\$	56,672	\$	3,313	6.2%	\$,	\$	898	6.2%
1987-88	\$ 49,069	\$ 2,944	6.4%	\$	40,378	\$	2,501	6.6%	\$	53,359	•	3,147	6.3%	\$	21,730	\$	1,616	8.0%	\$	53,359	\$	3,147	6.3%	\$	14,548		853	6.2%
1986-87	\$ 46,125	\$ 3,430	8.0%	\$	37,877	\$	2,871	8.2%	\$	50,212	\$	3,698	8.0%	\$	20,114	\$	1,708	9.3%	\$	50,212	\$	3,698	8.0%	\$		\$	1,005	7.9%
1985-86	\$ 42,695	-	-	\$	35,006		-	-	\$	46,514		-	-	\$	18,406		-	-	\$	46,514		-	-	\$	12,690		-	-

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members: therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	Salaries of	of Electe	d Coun	ıty	Constit	utiona	I Offic	ers	and Scho	ol	Distrie	ct Offic	ials	s Pursu	an	t to the	e Salar	уF	Formula	in	Chapt	er 145	, FI∕	orida S	tatı	utes	
									Clay Cou	nty	/: Fisca	I Years	198	5-86 to 2	201	4-15											
	Clerk of Circ	cuit Court, I	Property																								
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervi	sor of E	ections			Sh	eriff			County	Со	nmissio	ners		School	Sup	erintenc	lent		School	Boar	rd Memb	bers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Ch	. % C	hg.	Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.	;	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 127,654	\$ 432	0.3%	\$	108,762	\$ 3	76 0.3	%	\$ 136,594	\$	456	0.3%	\$	68,869	\$	334	0.5%	\$	127,654	\$	432	0.3%	\$	35,220	\$	123	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 127,223	\$ 4,663	3.8%	\$	108,385	\$ 3,9	32 3.8	%	\$ 136,138	\$	4,982	3.8%	\$	68,535	\$	2,629	4.0%	\$	127,223	\$	4,663	3.8%	\$	35,097	\$	1,290	3.8%
2012-13	\$ 122,560	\$ 30	0.0%	\$	104,403	\$	28 0.0	%	\$ 131,156	\$	30	0.0%	\$	65,906	\$	51	0.1%	\$	122,560	\$	30	0.0%	\$	33,807	\$	9	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 122,530	\$ 608	0.5%	\$			79 0.6	i%	\$ 131,126	\$	608	0.5%	\$	65,855	\$	1,042	1.6%	\$	1	\$	608	0.5%	\$	33,797	\$	193	0.6%
2010-11	\$ 121,923		0.0%	\$	103,796		4 0.0	%	\$ 130,518	\$	4	0.0%	\$	64,813	\$	7	0.0%	\$	121,923	\$	2,499	2.1%	\$	33,604	<u> </u>	See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 121,918		0.0%	\$, .	•	54 0.1		\$ 130,514	\$	57	0.0%	\$	64,806	\$	97	0.1%	\$	119,424		See no		\$	33,603	<u> </u>	See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 121,861	• • • • •	0.8%	\$, .		05 0.9		\$ 130,457	•	974	0.8%	\$	- /	\$	1,496	2.4%	\$	1	\$	965	0.8%	\$	33,585	\$	301	0.9%
2007-08	\$ 120,896		0.6%	\$. ,	•	3 0.7		\$ 129,482	\$	780	0.6%	\$	63,213	\$	1,338	2.2%	\$	120,896	\$	780	0.6%	\$	33,284	<u> </u>	-	-
2006-07	\$ 120,116	\$ 4,656	4.0%	\$	102,089	\$ 4,0	23 4.1	%	\$ 128,703	\$	4,944	4.0%	\$	61,875	\$	3,166	5.4%	\$	120,116	\$	4,656	4.0%		N/A	<u> </u>	-	-
2005-06	\$ 115,460	. ,	4.8%	\$	98,066	\$ 4,6	06 4.9	%	\$ 123,759	\$	5,663	4.8%	\$	58,709	\$	3,606	6.5%	\$	115,460	\$	5,333	4.8%		N/A	<u> </u>	-	-
2004-05	\$ 110,127	\$ 2,110	2.0%	\$	93,460	\$ 1,8	53 2.0	%	\$ 118,096	\$	2,219	1.9%	\$	55,103	\$	1,784	3.3%	\$	110,127		-	-		N/A	<u> </u>	-	-
2003-04	\$ 108,017	, , .	2.7%	\$	91,607	\$ 2,4	3 2.7	%	\$ 115,877	\$	2,952	2.6%	\$	53,318	\$	2,211	4.3%		-	See I	notes			N/A	<u> </u>	-	-
2002-03	\$ 105,219	, ,	2.7%	\$	89,163	\$ 2,3	-	%	\$ 112,925	\$	2,998	2.7%	\$	51,107	\$	1,597	3.2%	\$	105,219	\$	2,807	2.7%		-		notes	
2001-02	\$ 102,413	. ,	3.1%	\$	86,765	\$ 2,5			\$ 109,927	\$	3,246	3.0%	\$		\$	1,598	3.3%	\$	102,413	\$	3,032	3.1%	\$	28,053		835	3.1%
2000-01	+	\$ 3,170	3.3%	\$	84,185	\$ 2,7			\$ 106,681	\$	3,370	3.3%	\$	47,912	\$	2,086	4.6%	\$	99,381	\$	3,170	3.3%	\$	27,218		888	3.4%
1999-00	¢ •••;=::	\$ 3,954	4.3%	\$	•.,.•=	\$ 3,4			\$ 103,311	\$	4,204	4.2%	\$,	\$	2,584	6.0%	\$	96,211	\$	3,954	4.3%	\$,		1,107	4.4%
1998-99	\$ 92,257	\$ 4,706	5.4%	\$	78,045	\$ 4,0			\$ 99,107	\$	5,040	5.4%	\$	- 1	\$	2,459	6.0%	\$	92,257	\$	4,706	5.4%	\$	25,223	•	1,295	5.4%
1997-98	+	\$ 4,490	5.4%	\$	74,043	\$ 3,8			\$ 94,067	\$	4,798	5.4%	\$	40,783	\$	2,530	6.6%	\$	87,551	\$	4,490	5.4%	\$	23,928		1,243	5.5%
1996-97	\$ 83,061	\$ 4,709	6.0%	\$	70,208	\$ 4,0	6.1	%	\$ 89,269	\$	5,045	6.0%	\$	38,253	\$	2,456	6.9%	\$	83,061	\$	4,709	6.0%	\$	22,685	\$	1,296	6.1%
1995-96	\$ 78,352	. ,	4.3%	\$	66,202	\$ 2,7	73 4.4	%	\$ 84,224	\$	3,486	4.3%	\$	35,797	\$	1,742	5.1%	\$	78,352	\$	3,258	4.3%	\$	21,389	\$	898	4.4%
1994-95	\$ 75,094	\$ 4,118	5.8%	\$	63,429	\$ 3,4			\$ 80,738	\$	4,420	5.8%	\$	0.,000	\$	1,997	6.2%	\$	75,094		-	-	\$	20,491	<u> </u>	-	-
1993-94	\$ 70,976	\$ 2,998	4.4%	\$	59,939	\$ 2,5			\$ 76,318	\$	3,198	4.4%	\$,	\$	1,775	5.9%		-		notes					notes	
1992-93	\$ 67,978	•	0.2%	\$	57,371		34 0.2		\$ 73,120	\$	142	0.2%	\$	30,283	\$	243	0.8%	\$	67,837	\$	-	0.0%	\$	18,485	•	-	0.0%
1991-92	+	\$ 3,184	4.9%	\$	57,237	\$ 2,7			\$ 72,978	\$	3,410	4.9%	\$		\$	1,659	5.8%	\$	67,837	\$	3,184	4.9%	\$	18,485	\$	877	5.0%
1990-91	\$ 64,653	\$ 2,830	4.6%	\$	54,529	\$ 2,4			\$ 69,568	\$	3,023	4.5%	\$	28,381	\$	1,513	5.6%	\$	64,653	\$	2,830	4.6%	\$	17,608	\$	777	4.6%
1989-90	\$ 61,823	\$ 3,331	5.7%	\$	52,112	\$ 2,8			\$ 66,545	\$	8,053	13.8%	\$	- /	\$	1,717	6.8%	\$	61,823	\$	3,331	5.7%	\$	- /	\$	903	5.7%
1988-89	+ •••,••=	\$ 7,844	15.5%	\$		\$ 7,3			\$ 58,492	\$	3,554	6.5%	\$	- / -	\$	1,917	8.3%	\$	58,492	\$	3,554	6.5%	\$	15,928		962	6.4%
1987-88	\$ 50,648	\$ 2,919	6.1%	\$	41,882	\$ 2,4	78 6.3	%	\$ 54,938	\$	3,123	6.0%	\$	23,234	\$	1,592	7.4%	\$	54,938	\$	3,123	6.0%	\$	14,966	\$	847	6.0%
1986-87	÷,.=•	\$ 3,750	8.5%	\$	39,404	\$ 3,1	75 8.8	%	\$ 51,815	\$	4,017	8.4%	\$	21,642	\$	2,014	10.3%	\$	51,815	\$	4,017	8.4%	\$	14,119	\$	1,090	8.4%
1985-86	\$ 43,979	-	-	\$	36,229	-	-		\$ 47,798		-	-	\$	19,628		-	-	\$	47,798		-	-	\$	13,029	<u> </u>	-	-

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-2034, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	Salaries of	of Electe	d Coun	nty	Constit	utio	onal O	officers	ar	nd Scho	ool	Distric	t Offic	ials	s Pursu	an	t to the	e Salar	уF	ormula	in	Chapt	er 145,	Fl	orida S	tatı	ites	
									С	ollier Co	unt	ty: Fisca	al Years	19	85-86 to	20	14-15											
	Clerk of Circ	cuit Court, I	Property																									
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervi	sor o	of Electi	ons			Sh	neriff			County	Co	mmissio	ners		School	Sup	erintend	lent		School	Boar	d Meml	pers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	67	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	••	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 137,416	\$ 627	0.5%	\$	118,058	\$	562	0.5%	\$	146,356	\$	651	0.4%	\$	78,775	\$	456	0.6%	\$	137,416	\$	627	0.5%	\$	37,843	\$	170	0.5%
2013-14	\$ 136,789	\$ 5,297	4.0%	\$	117,496	\$	4,586	4.1%	\$	145,704	\$	5,617	4.0%	\$	78,319	\$	3,181	4.2%	\$	136,789	\$	5,297	4.0%	\$	37,673	\$	1,455	4.0%
2012-13	\$ 131,492	\$ 146	0.1%	\$	112,910	\$	139	0.1%	\$	140,088	\$	146	0.1%	\$	75,138	\$	139	0.2%	\$	131,492	\$	146	0.1%	\$	36,219	\$	39	0.1%
2011-12	\$ 131,346	\$ (742)	-0.6%	\$	112,771	\$	(707)	-0.6%	\$	139,942	\$	(742)	-0.5%	\$	74,999	\$	(707)	-0.9%	\$	131,346	\$	(742)	-0.6%	\$	36,180	\$	(196)	-0.5%
2010-11	\$ 132,088	\$ 11	0.0%	\$	113,477	\$	11	0.0%	\$	140,684	\$	11	0.0%	\$	75,705	\$	11	0.0%	\$	132,088	\$	2,590	2.0%	\$	36,376		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 132,077	\$ (64)	0.0%	\$	113,466	\$	(61)	-0.1%	\$	140,672	\$	(64)	0.0%	\$	75,694	\$	(61)	-0.1%	\$	129,498		See no	otes	\$	36,373		See no	otes
2008-09	,	\$ 609	0.5%	\$	113,527	\$	566	0.5%	\$	140,736	\$	618	0.4%	\$	-,	\$	525	0.7%	\$	- /	\$	609	0.5%	\$	36,390	\$	163	0.4%
2007-08	\$ 131,532		0.4%	\$,	\$	543	0.5%	\$	140,118	\$	570	0.4%	\$		\$	543	0.7%	\$	- ,	\$	570	0.4%	\$	36,228		-	-
2006-07	\$ 130,962	. ,	4.1%	\$	112,418	\$	4,447	4.1%	\$	139,548	\$	5,389	4.0%	\$	74,688	\$	3,186	4.5%	\$	130,962	\$	5,102	4.1%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 125,860	\$ 5,825	4.9%	\$	107,971	\$	5,075	4.9%	\$	134,159	\$	6,155	4.8%	\$	71,502	\$	3,624	5.3%	\$	125,860	\$	5,825	4.9%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 120,035	. ,	2.2%	\$	102,896	\$	2,253	2.2%	•	128,004	\$	2,640	2.1%	\$	67,878	\$	1,773	2.7%	\$	120,035		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 117,505	\$ 3,053	2.7%	\$	100,643	\$	2,687	2.7%	\$	125,365	\$	3,207	2.6%	\$	66,105	\$	2,010	3.1%		-	iee r	notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 114,452	\$ 3,584	3.2%	\$	97,956	\$	3,139	3.3%	\$	122,157	\$	3,776	3.2%	\$	64,095	\$	2,297	3.7%	\$	114,452	\$	3,584	3.2%		-		otes	
2001-02	\$ 110,867	\$ 4,900	4.6%	\$	94,817	\$	4,359	4.8%	· ·	118,382	\$	5,115	4.5%	\$	61,798	\$	3,417	5.9%	\$	110,867	\$	4,900	4.6%	\$	30,583	\$	1,332	4.6%
2000-01	\$ 105,967	\$ 3,409	3.3%	\$	90,458	\$	2,960	3.4%	\$	113,267	\$	3,609	3.3%	\$	58,381	\$	2,083	3.7%	\$	105,967	\$	3,409	3.3%	\$	- / -	\$	935	3.3%
1999-00	\$ 102,558	\$ 4,129	4.2%	\$	87,498	\$	3,574	4.3%	· ·	109,658	\$	4,379	4.2%	\$		\$	2,475	4.6%	\$	102,558	\$	4,129	4.2%	\$		\$	1,134	4.2%
1998-99	\$ 98,429	\$ 5,372	5.8%	\$		\$	4,637	5.8%	\$	105,279	\$	5,706	5.7%	\$	53,823	\$	3,600	7.2%	\$	98,429	\$	5,372	5.8%	\$		\$	1,507	5.9%
1997-98	+	\$ 4,905	5.6%	\$,	\$	4,231	5.6%	\$	99,573	\$	5,213	5.5%	\$	50,223	\$	3,243	6.9%	\$	93,057	\$	4,905	5.6%	\$	25,675		1,374	5.7%
1996-97	. ,	\$ 5,193	6.3%	\$	75,056	\$	4,466	6.3%	\$	94,360	\$	5,528	6.2%	\$	46,980	\$	3,285	7.5%	\$	88,152	\$	5,193	6.3%	\$	24,301	\$	1,450	6.3%
1995-96	\$ 82,959	\$ 3,650	4.6%	\$	70,590	\$	3,147	4.7%	\$	88,832	\$	3,879	4.6%	\$,	\$	2,415	5.9%	\$	82,959	\$	3,650	4.6%	\$,	\$	1,023	4.7%
1994-95	÷,	\$ 4,652	6.2%	\$	67,443	\$	3,998	6.3%	\$	84,953	\$	4,954	6.2%	\$	41,280	\$	2,911	7.6%	\$	79,309		-	-	\$	21,828		-	-
1993-94	. ,	\$ 3,246	4.5%	\$	63,445	\$	2,805	4.6%	\$	79,999	\$	3,447	4.5%	\$	38,369	\$	2,202	6.1%		-		notes					otes	
1992-93	\$ 71,411	\$ 611	0.9%	\$	60,640	\$	581	1. 0 %	\$	76,552	\$	610	0.8%	\$	36,167	\$	1,047	3.0%	\$	70,800	\$	-	0.0%	\$	19,425		-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 70,800	\$ 3,571	5.3%	\$	60,059	\$	3,077	5.4%	\$	75,942	\$	3,798	5.3%	\$	35,120	\$	2,324	7.1%	\$	70,800	\$	3,571	5.3%	\$	19,425		999	5.4%
1990-91	\$ 67,229	\$ 3,258	5.1%	\$	56,982	\$	2,825	5.2%	\$	72,144	\$	3,452	5.0%	\$	32,796	\$	2,336	7.7%	\$	67,229	\$	3,258	5.1%	\$	18,426		919	5.2%
1989-90	÷	\$ 3,346	5.5%	\$,	\$	2,879	5.6%	\$	68,692	\$	8,067	13.3%	\$		\$	2,135	7.5%	\$	63,971	\$	3,346	5.5%	\$	17,507		935	5.6%
1988-89		\$ 7,521	14.2%	\$	- / -	\$	7,057	16.0%	\$	60,625	\$	3,231	5.6%	\$	- 1	\$	1,907	7.2%	\$	60,625	\$	3,231	5.6%	\$	16,572		897	5.7%
1987-88	\$ 53,104	\$ 2,803	5.6%	\$	44,221	\$	2,367	5.7%	\$	57,394	\$	3,006	5.5%	\$	- / -	\$	1,734	7.0%	\$	57,394	\$	3,006	5.5%	\$	15,675	\$	834	5.6%
1986-87	\$ 50,301	\$ 3,578	7.7%	\$	41,854	\$	3,011	7.8%	\$	54,388	\$	3,845	7.6%	\$	1	\$	2,106	9.3%	\$	54,388	\$	3,845	7.6%	\$	1-	\$	1,062	7.7%
1985-86	\$ 46,723	-	-	\$	38,843		-	-	\$	50,543		-	-	\$	22,578		-	-	\$	50,543		-	-	\$	13,779		-	-

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-2034, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	Salaries	of Electe	d Coun	ity	Constit	uti	onal C	officers	a	nd Scho	ol	Distric	ct Offic	als	s Pursu	an	t to the	e Salar	уŀ	ormula	In	Chapt	ter 145	, FI	orida S	tatu	ites	
								(Col	lumbia C	ou	nty: Fis	cal Year	's 1	985-86 t	o 2	014-15											
	Clerk of Cir																											
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervi							eriff			County							perintenc			School			
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 108,561		0.2%	\$	90,577	\$	184	0.2%	\$	117,500	\$	254	0.2%	\$	42,780	\$	55	0.1%	\$	108,561	\$	230	0.2%	\$	29,619		63	0.2%
2013-14	\$ 108,331	\$ 3,937	3.8%	\$	90,393	\$	3,291	3.8%	\$	117,246	\$	4,257	3.8%	\$	42,725	\$	1,582	3.8%	\$	108,331	\$	3,937	3.8%	\$	29,556	\$	1,074	3.8%
2012-13	\$ 104,394			\$,		(1)	0.0%		112,989		(1)	0.0%	\$, -	\$	(1)	0.0%		104,394	\$	(1)	0.0%	\$	28,482		(0)	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 104,394		0.3%	\$	0.9.0=	\$	276	0.3%	\$	112,990	\$	289	0.3%	\$	41,144	\$	276	0.7%	\$	104,394	\$	289	0.3%	\$	28,482	\$	77	0.3%
2010-11	\$ 104,105		0.1%	\$	00,01	\$	71	0.1%		112,701		74	0.1%	\$,	\$	71	0.2%	•	104,105	\$	2,345	2.3%	\$	28,405	<u> </u>	See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 104,031	\$ 194	0.2%	\$	86,756	\$	184	0.2%	\$	112,627	\$	194	0.2%	\$	40,798	\$	184	0.5%	\$	101,761		See no	otes	\$	28,386	<u> </u>	See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 103,837		0.6%	\$		\$	545	0.6%	\$	112,433	\$	596	0.5%	\$	40,614	\$	495	1.2%		103,837	\$	587	0.6%	\$	28,335	\$	156	0.6%
2007-08	\$ 103,251		0.5%	\$	86,027	\$	507	0.6%		111,837	•	533	0.5%	\$	-, -	\$	508	1.3%	\$,	\$	533	0.5%	\$	28,178	<u> </u>	-	-
2006-07	\$ 102,718		3.7%	\$	85,519	\$	3,100	3.8%		111,304	\$	3,974	3.7%	\$	39,611	\$	1,565	4.1%	\$	102,718	\$	3,687	3.7%		N/A	<u> </u>	-	-
2005-06	\$ 99,031	\$ 4,312	4.6%	\$	82,419	\$	3,633	4.6%	\$	107,330	\$	4,642	4.5%	\$	38,046	\$	1,868	5.2%	\$	99,031	\$	4,312	4.6%		N/A	<u> </u>	-	-
2004-05	\$ 94,719	• •	1.5%	\$	78,786	\$	1,196	1.5%	\$	102,688	\$	1,530	1.5%	\$, .	\$	612	1.7%	\$	94,719		-	-		N/A	<u> </u>	-	-
2003-04	\$ 93,298	\$ 2,131	2.3%	\$	77,589	\$	1,808	2.4%	\$	101,158	\$	2,285	2.3%	\$	35,565	\$	985	2.8%		-	See I	notes			N/A	<u> </u>	-	-
2002-03	\$ 91,168	. ,	2.7%	\$	75,781	\$	2,003	2.7%	\$	98,874	\$	2,583	2.7%	\$	34,581	\$	979	2.9%	\$	91,168	\$	2,392	2.7%		-	See n		
2001-02	\$ 88,776	\$ 2,534	2.9%	\$	73,778	\$	2,106	2.9%	\$	96,290	\$	2,749	2.9%	\$	33,602	\$	959	2.9%	\$	88,776	\$	2,534	2.9%	\$	24,241		692	2.9%
2000-01	\$ 86,242	· /···	3.1%	\$	71,672	\$	2,193	3.2%	\$	93,542	\$	2,803	3.1%	\$	32,643	\$	1,125	3.6%	\$	••,= ·=	\$	2,603	3.1%	\$	23,549	•	709	3.1%
1999-00	\$ 83,639	\$ 3,291	4.1%	\$	69,479	\$	2,776	4.2%	\$	90,739	\$	3,541	4.1%	\$	31,518	\$	1,440	4.8%	\$	83,639	\$	3,291	4.1%	\$	22,840		896	4.1%
1998-99	\$ 80,348	. , .	5.4%	\$	66,703	\$	3,464	5.5%	\$	87,198	\$	4,475	5.4%	\$	30,078	\$	1,676	5.9%	\$	80,348	\$	4,140	5.4%	\$	7-		1,129	5.4%
1997-98	\$ 76,208	\$ 4,008	5.6%	\$	63,239	\$	3,375	5.6%	\$	82,723	\$	4,315	5.5%	\$	28,402	\$	1,729	6.5%	\$	76,208	\$	4,008	5.6%	\$	20,815	\$	1,091	5.5%
1996-97	\$ 72,200	\$ 2,004	2.9%	\$	59,864	\$	1,428	2.4%	\$	78,408	\$	2,339	3.1%	\$	26,673	\$	1,735	7.0%	\$	72,200	\$	2,004	2.9%	\$	19,724	\$	1,146	6.2%
1995-96	\$ 70,196	\$ 3,259	4.9%	\$	58,436	\$	2,776	5.0%	\$	76,069	\$	3,488	4.8%	\$	24,938	\$	1,470	6.3%	\$	70,196	\$	3,259	4.9%	\$	18,578	\$	862	4.9%
1994-95	\$ 66,937	\$ 3,828	6.1%	\$	55,660	\$	3,213	6.1%	\$	72,581	\$	4,130	6.0%	\$	23,468	\$	1,491	6.8%	\$	66,937		-	-	\$	17,716	L	-	-
1993-94	\$ 63,109	\$ 2,688	4.4%	\$	52,447	\$	2,273	4.5%	\$	68,451	\$	2,889	4.4%	\$	21,977	\$	1,129	5.4%		-	See	notes			-	See n	otes	
1992-93	\$ 60,421	•	0.3%	\$	50,174	\$	169	0.3%	\$	65,562	\$	177	0.3%	\$	20,848	\$	169	0.8%	\$	60,244	\$	-	0.0%	\$	15,944		-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 60,244	\$ 2,480	4.3%	\$	50,005	\$	2,037	4.2%	\$	65,385	\$	2,706	4.3%	\$	20,679	\$	746	3.7%	\$,	\$	2,480	4.3%	\$	15,944		656	4.3%
1990-91	\$ 57,764	1 /	4.6%	\$	47,968	\$	2,149	4.7%	\$	62,679	\$	2,742	4.6%	\$	- /	\$	1,045	5.5%	\$	57,764	\$	2,549	4.6%	\$	- /		674	4.6%
1989-90	\$ 55,215	. ,	4.9%	\$	45,819	\$	2,166	5.0%	\$	59,937	\$	7,318	13.9%	\$	18,888	\$	940	5.2%	\$		\$	2,596	4.9%	\$,		688	4.9%
1988-89	\$ 52,619		15.3%	\$	43,653	\$	6,554	17.7%	\$	- /	\$	2,703	5.4%	\$	17,948	\$	1,030	6.1%	\$	0=,0.0	\$	2,703	5.4%	\$	13,926		715	5.4%
1987-88	\$ 45,626	\$ 2,330	5.4%	\$	37,099	\$	1,917	5.4%	\$	49,916	\$	2,534	5.3%	\$	16,918	\$	958	6.0%	\$	49,916	\$	2,534	5.3%	\$	13,211	\$	670	5.3%
1986-87	\$ 43,296	\$ 2,943	7.3%	\$	35,182	\$	2,406	7.3%	\$	47,382	\$	3,210	7.3%	\$	15,960	\$	1,249	8.5%	\$	47,382	\$	3,210	7.3%	\$	12,541	\$	850	7.3%
1985-86	\$ 40,353	-	-	\$	32,776		-	-	\$	44,172		-	-	\$	14,711		-	-	\$	44,172		-	-	\$	11,691	i	-	-

Solarias of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Burguent to the Solary Formula in Chapter 145. Elected Statutes

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members: therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes DeSoto County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2014-15

								DeS	Soto Co	un	ty: Fisc	al Years	5 19	85-86 to	20)14-15										
	Clerk of Ci	rcuit Court,	Property																							
Fiscal	Apprais	er & Tax Co	llector	Supervi	sor of	Elections				Sh	eriff			County	Coi	mmissio	ners	School	Sup	erintenc	lent		School	Boar	d Memi	bers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Cł	g. % C	chg.	S	alary	97	GChg.	% Chg.		Salary	97	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 101,982	\$ 262	0.3%	\$ 84,312	\$	215 0.3	3%	\$ 1	110,921	\$	287	0.3%	\$	33,322	\$	77	0.2%	\$ 101,982	\$	262	0.3%	\$	26,992	\$	69	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 101,719	\$ 3,552	3.6%	\$ 84,096	\$ 2	924 3.6	5%	\$ 1	110,635	\$	3,871	3.6%	\$	33,244	\$	1,100	3.4%	\$ 101,719	\$	3,552	3.6%	\$	26,922	\$	940	3.6%
2012-13	\$ 98,168	\$ (50) -0.1%	\$ 81,172	\$	(47) -0.	1%	\$ 1	106,764	\$	(50)	0.0%	\$	32,144	\$	(47)	-0 .1%	\$ 98,168	\$	(50)	-0.1%	\$	25,982	\$	(13)	-0.1%
2011-12	\$ 98,218	\$ 23	0.0%	\$ 81,220	\$	21 0.0)%	\$ 1	106,813	\$	23	0.0%	\$	32,191	\$	21	0.1%	\$ 98,218	\$	23	0.0%	\$	25,995	\$	6	0.0%
2010-11	\$ 98,195	\$ 98	0.1%	\$ 81,198	\$	94 0.1	1%	\$ 1	106,791	\$	98	0.1%	\$	32,170	\$	94	0.3%	\$ 98,195	\$	2,220	2.3%	\$	25,989	See	notes	
2009-10	\$ 98,097	\$ 163	0.2%	\$ 81,105	\$	155 0.2	2%	\$ 1	106,692	\$	163	0.2%	\$	32,076	\$	155	0.5%	\$ 95,975	See	e notes		\$	25,963	See	notes	
2008-09	\$ 97,934	\$ 371	0.4%	\$ 80,949	\$	340 0.4	1%	\$ 1	106,529	\$	381	0.4%	\$	31,921	\$	286	0.9%	\$ 97,934	\$	371	0.4%	\$	25,920	\$	98	0.4%
2007-08	\$ 97,562	\$ 179	0.2%	\$ 80,609	\$	170 0.2	2%	\$ 1	106,149	\$	179	0.2%	\$	31,635	\$	171	0.5%	\$ 97,562	\$	179	0.2%	\$	25,822		-	-
2006-07	\$ 97,384	\$ 2,791		\$ 80,439	\$ 2	246 2.9	9%	\$ 1	105,970	\$	3,077	3.0%	\$	31,464	\$	608	2.0%	\$ 97,384	\$	2,790	2.9%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 94,593			\$ 78,193		221 4.3		\$ 1	102,893	\$	4,209	4.3%	\$	30,856	\$	1,338	4.5%	\$ 94,593	\$	3,879	4.3%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 90,715	\$ 1,513	1.7%	\$ 74,972	\$ 1	285 1.7	7%	\$	98,684	\$	1,623	1.7%	\$	29,518	\$	662	2.3%	\$ 90,715		-	-	1	N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 89,202	\$ 1,766	2.0%	\$ 73,688	\$ 1	461 2.0)%	\$	97,061	\$	1,920	2.0%	\$	28,856	\$	583	2.1%	S	See r	notes		1	N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 87,435	\$ 2,323		\$ 72,226	\$ 1	937 2.8	3%	\$	95,141	\$	2,514	2.7%	\$	28,274	\$	845	3.1%	\$ 87,435	\$	2,323	2.7%		-	See n	otes	
2001-02	\$ 85,112	, .		\$ 70,289		990 4.4	1%	\$	92,627	\$	3,677	4.1%	\$	27,429	\$	1,766	6.9%	\$ 85,112	\$	3,462	4.2%	\$,-	\$	916	4.2%
2000-01	\$ 81,650	\$ 2,369	3.0%	\$ 67,299		970 3.0)%	\$	88,950	\$	2,569	3.0%	\$	25,663	\$	831	3.3%	\$ 81,650	\$	2,369	3.0%	\$	21,611	\$	627	3.0%
1999-00	\$ 79,281	\$ 2,972	3.9%	\$ 65,329	\$ 2	473 3.9	9%	\$	86,381	\$	3,222	3.9%	\$	24,832	\$	1,047	4.4%	\$ 79,281	\$	2,972	3.9%	\$	20,984	\$	787	3.9%
1998-99	\$ 76,309	\$ 3,848	5.3%	\$ 62,856	\$ 3	185 5.3	3%	\$	83,159	\$	4,183	5.3%	\$	23,785	\$	1,279	5.7%	\$ 76,309	\$	3,848	5.3%	\$	20,197	\$	1,018	5.3%
1997-98	\$ 72,461	\$ 3,443	5.0%	\$ 59,671	\$ 2	838 5.0)%	\$	78,976	\$	3,750	5.0%	\$	22,506	\$	1,080	5.0%	\$ 72,461	\$	3,443	5.0%	\$	19,179	\$	912	5.0%
1996-97	\$ 69,018	\$ 3,807	5.8%	\$ 56,833	\$ 3	145 5.9	9%	\$	75,226	\$	4,142	5.8%	\$	21,426	\$	1,236	6.1%	\$ 69,018	\$	3,807	5.8%	\$	18,267	\$	1,007	5.8%
1995-96	\$ 65,211	\$ 2,712	4.3%	\$ 53,688	\$ 2	255 4.4	1%	\$	71,084	\$	2,941	4.3%	\$	20,190	\$	948	4.9%	\$ 65,211	\$	2,712	4.3%	\$	17,260	\$	718	4.3%
1994-95	\$ 62,499	\$ 3,469	5.9%	\$ 51,433	\$ 2	871 5.9	9%	\$	68,143	\$	3,771	5.9%	\$	19,242	\$	1,150	6.4%	\$ 62,499		-	-	\$	16,542		-	-
1993-94	\$ 59,030	\$ 2,272	4.0%	\$ 48,562	\$ 1	877 4.0)%	\$	64,372	\$	2,473	4.0%	\$	18,092	\$	733	4.2%	S	See r	notes		1	S	See n	otes	
1992-93	\$ 56,758	\$ 129	0.2%	\$ 46,685	\$	123 0.3	3%	\$	61,899	\$	129	0.2%	\$	17,359	\$	123	0.7%	\$ 56,629	\$	-	0.0%	\$	14,988	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 56,629	\$ 2,418	4.5%	\$ 46,562	\$ 1	978 4.4	1%	\$	61,770	\$	2,644	4.5%	\$	17,236	\$	686	4.1%	\$ 56,629	\$	2,418	4.5%	\$	14,988	\$	640	4.5%
1990-91	\$ 54,211	\$ 2,288	4.4%	\$ 44,584	\$ 1	902 4.5	5%	\$	59,126	\$	2,482	4.4%	\$	16,550	\$	798	5.1%	\$ 54,211	\$	2,288	4.4%	\$	14,348	\$	605	4.4%
1989-90	\$ 51,923	\$ 2,450	5.0%	\$ 42,682	\$ 2	025 5.0)%	\$	56,644	\$	7,171	14.5%	\$	15,752	\$	801	5.4%	\$ 51,923	\$	2,450	5.0%	\$	13,743	\$	649	5.0%
1988-89	\$ 49,473	\$ 6,764	15.8%	\$ 40,657	\$ 6	336 18.	5%	\$	49,473	\$	2,474	5.3%	\$	14,951	\$	810	5.7%	\$ 49,473	\$	2,474	5.3%	\$	13,094	\$	654	5.3%
1987-88	\$ 42,709	\$ 2,139	5.3%	\$ 34,321	\$ 1	734 5.3	3%	\$	46,999	\$	2,342	5.2%	\$	14,141	\$	776	5.8%	\$ 46,999	\$	2,342	5.2%	\$	12,440	\$	620	5.2%
1986-87	\$ 40,570	\$ 2,718	7.2%	\$ 32,587	\$ 2	194 7.2	2%	\$	44,657	\$	2,986	7.2%	\$	13,365	\$	936	7.5%	\$ 44,657	\$	2,986	7.2%	\$	11,820	\$	791	7.2%
1985-86	\$ 37,852	-	-	\$ 30,393	-		-	\$	41,671		-	-	\$	12,429		-	-	\$ 41,671		-	-	\$	11,029	1	-	-
																										-

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	Sa	laries	of Elec	ted	Coun	ty	Constit	uti	onal C	officers		nd Scho								e Salar	уŀ	ormula	in (Chapt	er 145,	, FI	orida S	tatu	ites	
											_	Dixie Cou	nty	y: Fisca	I Years	198	5-86 to 2	201	4-15											
		erk of Cir																												
Fiscal		Appraise							of Elect				-	eriff					nmissio			School					School			
Year	S	Salary	\$ Chg	. '	% Chg.		Salary		Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	5	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$	95,913		18	0.3%	\$	78,532		201	0.3%		104,852		272	0.3%	\$	27,541	\$	63	0.2%	\$	95,913		248	0.3%	\$	25,386		66	0.3%
2013-14	\$	95,665	\$ 3,40)3	3.7%	\$	78,330	\$	2,783	3.7%	\$	104,580	\$	3,723	3.7%	\$	27,478	\$	959	3.6%	\$	95,665	\$	3,403	3.7%	\$	25,321		901	3.7%
2012-13	\$	92,262		12)	0.0%	\$	75,547		(11)	0.0%	· ·	100,857	<u> </u>	(12)	0.0%	\$,	\$	(11)	0.0%	\$	92,262	\$	(12)	0.0%	\$	24,420		(3)	0.0%
2011-12	\$	92,274		65	0.1%	\$	75,559		62	0.1%	\$			65	0.1%	\$	26,531	\$	62	0.2%	\$	92,274	\$	65	0.1%	\$	24,423	\$	17	0.1%
2010-11	\$	92,209		33	0.1%	\$	75,497		79	0.1%		100,805	· ·	83	0.1%	\$		\$	79	0.3%	\$	92,209	\$	1,975	2.2%	\$	24,406	L	See no	
2009-10	\$	92,126		51	0.1%	\$	75,418		48	0.1%	\$	100,721	\$	51	0.1%	\$		\$	48	0.2%	\$	90,234		See no		\$	24,384		See no	otes
2008-09	\$	92,075		13	0.2%	\$	75,370		123	0.2%		100,671		153	0.2%	\$	- / -	\$	69	0.3%	\$	92,075	\$	143	0.2%	\$	24,371	\$	38	0.2%
2007-08	\$	91,932		96	0.1%	\$	75,247		91	0.1%		100,518		96	0.1%	\$	-, -	\$	92	0.4%	\$	91,932		96	0.1%	\$	24,333		-	-
2006-07	\$	91,836	. ,		3.6%	\$	75,156	\$	2,647	3.7%	\$	100,423	· ·	3,499	3.6%	\$	26,181	\$	1,009	4.0%	\$	91,836		3,211	3.6%	<u> </u>	N/A	L	-	-
2005-06	\$	88,625	\$ 3,59	96	4.2%	\$	72,509	\$	2,951	4.2%	\$	96,924	\$	3,926	4.2%	\$	25,172	\$	1,069	4.4%	\$	88,625	\$	3,596	4.2%	<u> </u>	N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$	85,029	. ,		1.5%	\$	69,558	\$	1,018	1.5%	\$	92,998	\$	1,342	1.5%	\$,	\$	395	1.7%	\$	85,029		-	-	<u> </u>	N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$	83,796	\$ 1,75		2.1%	\$	68,540	\$	1,453	2.2%	\$	91,656	\$	1,912	2.1%	\$	-,	\$	575	2.5%		-		otes		<u> </u>	N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$	82,038			2.6%	\$	67,086	\$	1,730	2.6%	\$	89,744	\$	2,297	2.6%	\$	- / -	\$	638	2.8%	\$	82,038	•	2,105	2.6%	<u> </u>	-	See n		
2001-02	\$	79,933	. ,		3.1%	\$	65,356	\$	1,957	3.1%	\$. ,	\$	2,592	3.1%	\$,	\$	733	3.4%	\$	79,933		2,377	3.1%	\$	21,157	•	629	3.1%
2000-01	\$	77,555	\$ 2,19	96	2.9%	\$	63,399	\$	1,805	2.9%	\$	84,855	\$	2,396	2.9%	\$	21,763	\$	667	3.2%	\$	77,555	\$	2,196	2.9%	\$	20,528	\$	582	2.9%
1999-00	\$	75,359	\$ 2,69		3.7%	\$	61,594	\$	2,208	3.7%	\$	82,459	\$	2,944	3.7%	\$	21,096	\$	781	3.8%	\$	75,359	\$	2,694	3.7%	\$	19,946		713	3.7%
1998-99	\$	72,665	. ,		5.3%	\$	59,386	\$	3,000	5.3%	\$		\$	3,987	5.3%	\$	- ,	\$	1,093	5.7%	\$	72,665		3,653	5.3%	\$	19,233		967	5.3%
1997-98	\$,.		5.0%	\$	56,386	\$	2,706	5.0%	\$	75,528	\$	3,613	5.0%	\$	19,222	\$	950	5.2%	\$	69,012	\$	3,305	5.0%	\$	18,266	\$	874	5.0%
1996-97	\$, .	. ,		5.8%	\$	53,680	\$	2,952	5.8%	\$	71,915	\$	3,939	5.8%	\$	18,272	\$	1,041	6.0%	\$	65,707	\$	3,603	5.8%	\$	17,392		954	5.8%
1995-96	\$	62,104	. ,		4.2%	\$	50,728	\$	2,046	4.2%	\$	67,976	\$	2,722	4.2%	\$	17,231	\$	741	4.5%	\$	62,104	\$	2,494	4.2%	\$	16,438	\$	660	4.2%
1994-95	\$,	\$ 3,36	64	6.0%	\$	48,682	\$	2,771	6.0%	\$	65,254	\$	3,666	6.0%	\$	16,490	\$	1,049	6.8%	\$	59,610		-	-	\$	15,778		-	-
1993-94	\$	56,246	\$ 2,18		4.0%	\$	45,911	\$	1,797	4.1%	\$.,	\$	2,388	4.0%	\$	15,441	\$	653	4.4%		-		otes		<u> </u>		See n	otes	
1992-93	\$	54,058	\$ (1	10)	0.0%	\$	44,114	\$	(10)	0.0%	\$	59,200	\$	(10)	0.0%	\$	14,788	\$	(10)	-0.1%	\$	54,068	\$	-	0.0%	\$	14,311	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$	54,068	\$ 2,33	35	4.5%	\$	44,124	\$	1,900	4.5%	\$	59,210	\$	2,562	4.5%	\$	14,798	\$	609	4.3%	\$	54,068	\$	2,335	4.5%	\$	14,311	\$	618	4.5%
1990-91	\$	51,733	\$ 2,14	16	4.3%	\$	42,224	\$	1,766	4.4%	\$	56,648	\$	2,340	4.3%	\$	14,189	\$	661	4.9%	\$		\$	2,146	4.3%	\$	13,693	\$	568	4.3%
1989-90	\$	49,587	\$ 2,31	15	4.9%	\$	40,458	\$	1,897	4.9%	\$	54,308	\$	7,036	14.9%	\$	13,528	\$	695	5.4%	\$	49,587	\$	2,315	4.9%	\$	13,125	\$	631	5.1%
1988-89	\$	47,272	\$ 6,61	17	16.3%	\$	38,561	\$	6,196	19.1%	\$	47,272	\$	2,327	5.2%	\$	12,833	\$	722	6.0%	\$	47,272	\$	2,327	5.2%	\$	12,494	\$	659	5.6%
1987-88	\$	40,655	\$ 1,98	30	5.1%	\$	32,365	\$	1,584	5.1%	\$	44,945	\$	2,183	5.1%	\$	12,111	\$	667	5.8%	\$	44,945	\$	2,183	5.1%	\$	11,835	\$	613	5.5%
1986-87	\$	38,675	\$ 2,54	12	7.0%	\$	30,781	\$	2,025	7.0%	\$	42,762	\$	2,810	7.0%	\$	11,444	\$	771	7.2%	\$	42,762	\$	2,810	7.0%	\$	11,222	\$	747	7.1%
1985-86	\$	36,133	-		-	\$	28,756		-	-	\$	39,952		-	-	\$	10,673		-	-	\$	39,952		-	-	\$	10,475		-	-

Solarios of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Purcuant to the Solary Formula in Chanter 145. Elected Statutes

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members: therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	Salaries of	of Electe	d Coun	ity	Constit	tuti	onal O	officers	a	nd Scho	0	Distric	ct Offic	ials	s Pursu	an	nt to the	e Salar	Уŀ	ormula	In	Chapt	ter 145	, FI	orida S	tati	utes	
									D	uval Cou	unt	y: Fisca	al Years	198	5-86 to :	20 ⁻	14-15											
	Clerk of Cire	cuit Court,	Property																									
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Co	llector		Supervi	sor	of Electi	ions			Sh	eriff			County	Co	mmissio	ners		School	Sup	erintend	dent		School	Boa	rd Meml	bers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	4	GChg.	% Chg.		Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 152,504	\$ 554	0.4%	\$	132,428	\$	493	0.4%	\$	161,443	\$	578	0.4%	\$	93,144	\$	387	0.4%	\$	152,504	\$	554	0.4%	\$	41,836	\$	151	0.4%
2013-14	\$ 151,949	\$ 5,560	3.8%	\$	131,934	\$	4,837	3.8%	\$	160,865	\$	5,880	3.8%	\$	92,757	\$	3,432	3.8%	\$	151,949	\$	5,560	3.8%	\$	41,686	\$	1,524	3.8%
2012-13	\$ 146,389	\$ 7	0.0%	\$	127,098	\$	7	0.0%	\$	154,985	\$	7	0.0%	\$	89,326	\$	7	0.0%	\$	146,389	\$	7	0.0%	\$	40,161	\$	2	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 146,382	\$ (779)	-0.5%	\$	127,091	\$	(742)	-0.6%	\$	154,978	\$	(779)	-0.5%	\$	89,319	\$	(742)	-0.8%	\$	146,382	\$	(779)	-0.5%	\$	40,160	\$	(206)	-0.5%
2010-11	\$ 147,161	\$ (96)	-0.1%	\$	127,833	\$	(91)	-0.1%	\$	155,757	\$	(96)	-0.1%	\$	90,061	\$	(91)	-0.1%	\$	147,161	\$	3,006	2.1%	\$	40,366		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 147,257	\$ 159	0.1%	\$	127,924	\$	152	0.1%	\$	155,853	\$	159	0.1%	\$	90,152	\$	151	0.2%	\$	144,156		See no	otes	\$	40,391		See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 147,097			\$	127,772	\$	516	0.4%	\$	155,693	\$	565	0.4%	\$,	\$	474	0.5%	\$	147,097	\$	556	0.4%	\$	40,349	\$	149	0.4%
2007-08	\$ 146,542		0.3%	\$,	\$	368	0.3%		155,128	•	387	0.2%	\$,	\$	369	0.4%	\$	- / -	\$	387	0.3%	\$	40,200		-	-
2006-07	\$ 146,155	\$ 5,317	3.8%	\$	126,888	\$	4,652	3.8%	\$	154,741	\$	5,604	3.8%	\$	89,157	\$	3,390	4.0%	\$	146,155	\$	5,317	3.8%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 140,838	\$ 5,883	4.4%	\$	122,236	\$	5,130	4.4%	\$	149,137	\$	6,213	4.3%	\$	85,767	\$	3,680	4.5%	\$	140,838	\$	5,883	4.4%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 134,955	\$ 2,182	1.6%	\$	117,106	\$	1,921	1.7%	\$	142,924	\$	2,291	1.6%	\$	82,087	\$	1,441	1.8%	\$	134,955		-	-		N/A		-	-
	\$ 132,773		2.2%	\$	115,184	\$	2,542	2.3%		-,		3,055	2.2%	\$	80,646	\$	1,865	2.4%			See r	notes		L	N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 129,872	1 - 1 -	2.8%	\$	112,643	\$	3,070	2.8%	•	- /	\$	3,703	2.8%	\$	78,781	\$	2,228	2.9%	\$	129,872	\$	3,512	2.8%	L			notes	
2001-02	\$ 126,361	\$ 3,900	3.2%	\$	109,573	\$	3,407	3.2%	· ·		\$	4,114	3.2%	\$	76,553	\$	2,464	3.3%	\$		\$	3,900	3.2%	\$	34,682		1,068	3.2%
	\$ 122,461	. ,	3.0%	\$	106,166	\$	3,056	3.0%	· ·	129,760	\$	3,709	2.9%	\$	74,089	\$	2,179	3.0%	\$	122,461	\$	3,510	3.0%	\$	33,615		962	2.9%
	\$ 118,951		3.8%	\$	103,110	\$	3,832	3.9%		- /	\$	4,650	3.8%	\$	71,910	\$	2,733	4.0%	\$	118,951	\$	4,400	3.8%	\$	32,653		1,206	3.8%
	\$ 114,551	. ,	5.3%	\$	99,278	\$	5,048	5.4%	· ·	121,401	\$	6,137	5.3%	\$	69,177	\$	3,579	5.5%	\$,	\$	5,803	5.3%	\$	31,447		1,591	5.3%
	\$ 108,748	. ,	5.1%	\$	÷.,====	\$	4,603	5.1%		115,264		5,604	5.1%	\$	65,598	\$	3,249	5.2%	\$	108,748	\$	5,296	5.1%	\$	29,856	•	1,453	5.1%
1996-97	\$ 103,452	. ,	5.8%	\$	89,627	\$	4,943	5.8%	· ·		\$	6,030	5.8%	\$	62,349	\$	3,472	5.9%	\$	103,452	\$	5,695	5.8%	\$	28,403		1,562	5.8%
1995-96	\$ 97,757	. ,	4.2%	\$	84,684	\$	3,423	4.2%			÷	4,168	4.2%	\$	58,877	\$	2,417	4.3%	\$.,	\$	3,939	4.2%	\$	26,841	\$	1,080	4.2%
1994-95	\$ 93,818	\$ 5,125	5.8%	\$	81,261	\$	4,448	5.8%	\$	99,462	\$	5,427	5.8%	\$	56,460	\$	3,122	5.9%	\$	93,818		-	-	\$	25,761		-	<u> </u>
1993-94	\$ 88,693	. ,	4.1%	\$	76,813	\$	3,029	4.1%	\$	94,035		3,683	4.1%	\$	53,338	\$	2,148	4.2%				notes		L			notes	
1992-93	\$ 85,211		0.1%	\$	73,784	\$	106	0.1%	\$	90,352	· ·	110	0.1%	\$	51,190	\$	105	0.2%	\$	85,100	·	-	0.0%	\$	23,371	•	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 85,100	1 1/2 2	4.4%	\$	73,678	\$	3,089	4.4%	\$	90,242	\$	3,811	4.4%	\$	51,085	\$	2,094	4.3%	\$		\$	3,584	4.4%	\$	- / -	\$	986	4.4%
1990-91	÷ .,	\$ 3,322	4.2%	\$	70,589	\$	2,886	4.3%	\$	86,431	\$	3,515	4.2%	\$	48,991	\$	2,035	4.3%	\$	81,516	\$	3,322	4.2%	\$	22,385		911	4.2%
1989-90	÷,	\$ 3,700	5.0%	\$	67,703	\$	3,216	5.0%	\$	82,916	\$	8,422	11.3%	\$	46,956	\$	2,273	5.1%	\$,	\$	3,700	5.0%	\$	21,474		1,015	5.0%
1988-89	\$ 74,494		12.1%	\$	• •,• ••	\$	7,577	13.3%	\$	74,494	•	3,776	5.3%	\$	44,683	\$	1	5.5%	\$,	\$	3,776	5.3%	\$	20,459		1,035	5.3%
1987-88	\$ 66,427		5.4%	\$	56,910	\$	2,914	5.4%	\$	70,718	\$	3,581	5.3%	\$	42,348	\$	2,222	5.5%	\$		\$	3,581	5.3%	\$	19,424		982	5.3%
1986-87	\$ 63,051	\$ 4,301	7.3%	\$	53,996	\$	3,700	7.4%	\$	67,137	\$	4,568	7.3%	\$	40,126	\$	2,793	7.5%	\$	67,137	\$	4,568	7.3%	\$	18,442	\$	1,253	7.3%
1985-86	\$ 58,750	-	-	\$	50,296		-	-	\$	62,569		-	-	\$	37,333		-	-	\$	62,569		-	-	\$	17,189		-	-

Solarias of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Burguent to the Solary Formula in Chapter 145. Elected Statutes

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members: therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Escambia County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2014-15

								=SC	ambia C	ou	nty: Fis	cal Yea	rs 1	985-86 t	0 2	014-15										
	Clerk of Cir	cuit Court,	Property																							
Fiscal	Appraise	er & Tax Co	llector	Supervi	sor	of Elect	ions			Sh	neriff			County	Col	nmissio	ners	School	Sup	erintend	dent		School	Boa	rd Meml	bers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	GChg.	% Chg.		Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	4	GChg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 135,234	\$ 474	0.4%	\$ 115,980	\$	416	0.4%	\$	144,174	\$	498	0.3%	\$	76,697	\$	310	0.4%	\$ 135,234	\$	474	0.4%	\$	37,266	\$	129	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 134,760	\$ 4,849	3.7%	\$ 115,564	\$	4,160	3.7%	\$	143,676	\$	5,169	3.7%	\$	76,387	\$	2,755	3.7%	\$ 134,760	\$	4,849	3.7%	\$	37,137	\$	1,336	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 129,911	\$ 106	0.1%	\$ 111,404	\$	101	0.1%	\$	138,507	\$	106	0.1%	\$	73,632	\$	101	0.1%	\$ 129,911	\$	106	0.1%	\$	35,801	\$	28	0.1%
2011-12	\$ 129,805	\$ (990) -0.8%	\$ 111,303	\$	(943)	-0.8%	\$	138,401	\$	(990)	-0.7%	\$	73,531	\$	(943)	-1.3%	\$ 129,805	\$	(990)	-0.8%	\$	35,773	\$	(262)	-0.7%
2010-11	\$ 130,795	\$ (32) 0.0%	\$ 112,246	\$	(31)	0.0%	\$	139,391	\$	(32)	0.0%	\$	74,474	\$	(31)	0.0%	\$ 130,795	\$	2,693	2.1%	\$	36,035		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 130,828	\$ 111	0.1%	\$ 112,277	\$	105	0.1%	\$	139,423	\$	111	0.1%	\$	74,505	\$	105	0.1%	\$ 128,103		See no	otes	\$	36,043		See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 130,717	•	0.2%	\$ 112,172	\$	254	0.2%	\$	139,313	\$	290	0.2%	\$	74,400	\$	212	0.3%	\$ 130,717	\$	281	0.2%	\$	36,014	\$	76	0.2%
2007-08	\$ 130,436	•	0.3%	\$ 111,918	\$	368	0.3%	•	139,022	•	386	0.3%	\$	74,188	\$	369	0.5%	\$ 130,436	\$	387	0.3%	\$	35,938		-	-
2006-07	\$ 130,050	• , •	3.3%	\$ 111,550	\$	3,517	3.3%	\$	138,636	\$	4,412	3.3%	\$	73,819	\$	2,255	3.2%	\$ 130,050	\$	4,125	3.3%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 125,925	\$ 5,242	4.3%	\$ 108,033	\$	4,519	4.4%	\$	134,224	\$	5,572	4.3%	\$	71,564	\$	3,069	4.5%	\$ 125,925	\$	5,241	4.3%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 120,683	\$ 1,880	1.6%	\$ 103,514	\$	1,634	1.6%	\$	128,652	\$	1,989	1.6%	\$	68,495	\$	1,154	1.7%	\$ 120,683		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 118,803	. ,	2.1%	\$ - /	\$	2,150	2.2%	•	126,663	\$	2,643	2.1%	\$	67,341	\$	1,473	2.2%		iee r	notes		L	N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 116,314	,.	2.7%	\$ 99,730	\$	2,603	2.7%	\$	124,020	\$	3,214	2.7%	\$	65,869	\$	1,761		\$ 	\$	3,022	2.7%	L	-		notes	
2001-02	\$ 113,293	. ,	2.6%	\$ <i>.,</i>	\$	2,397	2.5%	•	- 1	\$	3,054	2.6%	\$	64,107	\$	1,455	2.3%	\$,====	\$	2,840	2.6%	\$	31,224		787	2.6%
2000-01	\$ 110,453	. ,	3.1%	\$ 94,730	\$	2,867	3.1%	\$	117,752	\$	3,511	3.1%	\$	62,653	\$	1,990	3.3%	\$ 110,453	\$	3,311	3.1%	\$	30,437	•	909	3.1%
1999-00	\$ 107,142	\$ 4,031	3.9%	\$ 91,863	\$	3,481	3.9%	\$	114,242	\$	4,281	3.9%	\$	60,663	\$	2,382	4.1%	\$ 107,142	\$	4,031	3.9%	\$	29,528	\$	1,108	3.9%
1998-99	\$ 103,110	\$ 5,268	5.4%	\$ 88,381	\$	4,538	5.4%	\$	109,960	\$	5,602	5.4%	\$	58,281	\$	3,070	5.6%	\$ 103,110	\$	5,268	5.4%	\$	28,420	\$	1,450	5.4%
1997-98	\$ 97,842	\$ 4,790	5.1%	\$ 83,843	\$	4,120	5.2%	\$	104,358	\$	5,098	5.1%	\$	55,211	\$	2,767	5.3%	\$ 97,842	\$	4,790	5.1%	\$	26,970	\$	1,318	5.1%
1996-97	\$ 93,052	\$ 5,270	6.0%	\$ 79,723	\$	4,539	6.0%	\$	99,260	\$	5,605	6.0%	\$	52,444	\$	3,067	6.2%	\$ 93,052	\$	5,270	6.0%	\$	25,652	\$	1,450	6.0%
1995-96	\$ 87,782	\$ 3,634	4.3%	\$ - , -	\$	3,133	4.3%	\$	93,655	\$	3,863	4.3%	\$	49,377	\$	2,127	4.5%	\$ 87,782	\$	3,634	4.3%	\$	24,202	\$	1,000	4.3%
1994-95	\$ 84,148	\$ 4,672	5.9%	\$ 72,051	\$	4,016	5.9%	\$	89,792	\$	4,974	5.9%	\$	47,250	\$	2,690	6.0%	\$ 84,148		-	-	\$	23,202		-	-
1993-94	\$ 79,476	\$ 3,086	4.0%	\$ 68,035	\$	2,653	4.1%	\$	84,818	\$	3,287	4.0%	\$	44,560	\$	1,771	4.1%	S	iee r	notes			S	iee r	notes	
1992-93	\$ 76,390	\$89	0.1%	\$ 65,382	\$	84	0.1%	\$	81,531	\$	89	0.1%	\$	42,789	\$	85	0.2%	\$ 76,301	\$	-	0.0%	\$	21,043	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 76,301	\$ 2,527	3.4%	\$ 65,298	\$	2,082	3.3%	\$	81,442	\$	2,753	3.5%	\$	42,704	\$	1,086	2.6%	\$ 76,301	\$	2,527	3.4%	\$	21,043	\$	707	3.5%
1990-91	\$ 73,774	\$ 3,011	4.3%	\$ 63,216	\$	2,590	4.3%	\$	78,689	\$	3,205	4.2%	\$	41,618	\$	1,740	4.4%	\$ 73,774	\$	3,011	4.3%	\$	20,336	\$	829	4.2%
1989-90	\$ 70,763	\$ 3,354	5.0%	\$ 60,626	\$	2,887	5.0%	\$	75,484	\$	8,075	1 2.0%	\$	39,878	\$	1,943	5.1%	\$ 70,763	\$	3,354	5.0%	\$	19,507	\$	923	5.0%
1988-89	\$ 67,409	\$ 7,703	12.9%	\$ 57,739	\$	7,230	14.3%	\$	67,409	\$	3,413	5.3%	\$	37,935	\$	1,988	5.5%	\$ 67,409	\$	3,413	5.3%	\$	18,584	\$	939	5.3%
1987-88	\$ 59,706	\$ 3,091	5.5%	\$ 50,509	\$	2,642	5.5%	\$	63,996	\$	3,294	5.4%	\$	35,947	\$	1,950	5.7%	\$ 63,996	\$	3,294	5.4%	\$	17,645	\$	905	5.4%
1986-87	\$ 56,615	\$ 3,933	7.5%	\$ 47,867	\$	3,350	7.5%	\$	60,702	\$	4,201	7.4%	\$	33,997	\$	2,443	7.7%	\$ 60,702	\$	4,201	7.4%	\$	16,740	\$	1,156	7.4%
1985-86	\$ 52,682	-	-	\$ 44,517		-	-	\$	56,501		-	-	\$	31,554		-	-	\$ 56,501		-	-	\$	15,584	1	-	-
							•														•			-		

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-2034, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	Salaries (of Electe	d Coun	ity	Constit	uti	onal C	Officers	a	nd Scho	ol	Distric	ct Offic	ials	s Pursu	lan	t to th	e Salar	уF	ormula	in	Chapt	er 145,	, Fl⁄	orida S [.]	tatu	ites	
									FI	agler Co	unt	ty: Fisc	al Years	19	85-86 to	20	14-15											
	Clerk of Cir	cuit Court,	Property																									
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervi	sor	of Elect	ions			Sh	eriff			County	Col	mmissio	ners		School	Sup	erintend	lent		School I	Boar	d Meml	bers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	4	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	4	6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 116,701	\$ 499	0.4%	\$	98,330	\$	440	0.4%	\$	125,641	\$	523	0.4%	\$	50,533	\$	311	0.6%	\$	116,701	\$	499	0.4%	\$	31,774	\$	134	0.4%
2013-14	\$ 116,203	\$ 4,405	3.9%	\$	97,890	\$	3,737	4.0%	\$	125,118	\$	4,724	3.9%	\$	50,222	\$	2,027	4.2%	\$	116,203	\$	4,405	3.9%	\$	31,640	\$	1,198	3.9%
2012-13	\$ 111,798	\$ 141	0.1%	\$	94,153	\$	134	0.1%	\$	120,394	\$	141	0.1%	\$	48,195	\$	134	0.3%	\$	111,798	\$	141	0.1%	\$	30,442	\$	37	0.1%
2011-12	\$ 111,657	\$ 205	0.2%	\$	94,019	\$	195	0.2%	\$	120,253	\$	205	0.2%	\$	48,061	\$	195	0.4%	\$	111,657	\$	205	0.2%	\$	30,405	\$	54	0.2%
2010-11	\$ 111,452	1 (1)		\$	93,824	\$	(150)	-0.2%	\$	120,048	\$	(158)	-0.1%	\$	47,866	\$	(150)	-0.3%	\$	111,452	\$	2,567	2.4%	\$	30,351		See no	
2009-10	\$ 111,610		0.5%	\$	93,974	\$	478	0.5%	\$	120,206	\$	502	0.4%	\$	48,016	\$	478	1.0%	\$	108,886		See no	otes	\$	30,392		See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 111,108	, , -	1.2%	\$	93,496	\$	1,205	1.3%	•	119,704	•	1,289	1.1%	\$	47,538	\$	1,154	2.5%	\$	111,108	· ·	1,279	1.2%	\$	30,260	\$	340	1.1%
2007-08	\$ 109,829	. ,	2.5%	\$	92,291	\$	2,565	2.9%	\$	118,415	\$	2,693	2.3%	\$	46,384	\$	2,565	5.9%	\$	109,829	\$	2,693	2.5%	\$	29,920	-	-	-
2006-07	\$ 107,136	\$ 5,807	5.7%	\$	89,727	\$	5,119	6.0%	\$	115,722	\$	6,094	5.6%	\$	43,819	\$	3,584	8.9%	\$	107,136	\$	5,807	5.7%		N/A	-	-	-
2005-06	\$ 101,329	\$ 5,976	6.3%	\$	84,608	\$	5,219	6.6%	\$	109,628	\$	6,306	6.1%	\$	40,235	\$	3,454	9.4%	\$	101,329	\$	5,976	6.3%		N/A	-	-	-
2004-05	\$ 95,353	. ,	2.6%	\$	79,389	\$	2,156	2.8%	\$	103,322	\$	2,538	2.5%	\$	36,781	\$	1,572	4.5%	\$	95,353		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 92,924	\$ 2,682	3.0%	\$	77,233	\$	2,334	3.1%	\$	100,784	\$	2,836	2.9%	\$	35,209	\$	1,510	4.5%				notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 90,242		0.2%	\$	74,899	\$	(119)	-0.2%	\$	97,948	\$	355	0.4%	\$	33,699	\$	1,540	4.8%	\$	90,242		164	0.2%		-	ee n		
2001-02	\$ 90,078		4.2%	\$	75,018	\$	3,188	4.4%	\$	97,593	\$	3,885	4.1%	\$	32,159	\$	1,965	6.5%	\$	90,078	\$	3,670	4.2%	\$	23,840		971	4.2%
2000-01	\$ 86,408	\$ 2,996	3.6%	\$	71,830	\$	2,567	3.7%	\$	93,708	\$	3,196	3.5%	\$	30,194	\$	1,428	5.0%	\$		\$	2,996	3.6%	\$	22,869		793	3.6%
1999-00	\$ 83,412	\$ 3,516	4.4%	\$	69,263	\$	2,990	4.5%	\$	90,512	\$	3,766	4.3%	\$	28,766	\$	1,564	5.7%	\$	83,412	\$	3,516	4.4%	\$	22,076		930	4.4%
1998-99	\$ 79,896	\$ 4,421	5.9%	\$	66,273	\$	3,732	6.0%	\$	86,746	\$	4,756	5.8%	\$	27,202	\$	1,825	7.2%	\$	79,896	\$	4,421	5.9%	\$	21,146		1,170	5.9%
1997-98	\$ 75,475	. ,	5.7%	\$	62,541	\$	3,411	5.8%	\$	81,990	\$	4,353	5.6%	\$	25,377	\$	1,655	7.0%	\$	75,475	\$	4,046	5.7%	\$	19,976		1,071	5.7%
1996-97	\$ 71,429	\$ 4,229	6.3%	\$	59,130	\$	3,548	6.4%	\$	77,637	\$	4,564	6.2%	\$	23,722	\$	1,638	7.4%	\$	71,429	\$	4,229	6.3%	\$	18,905		1,119	6.3%
1995-96	\$ 67,200	\$ 2,990	4.7%	\$	55,582	\$	2,520	4.7%	\$	73,073	\$	3,219	4.6%	\$	22,084	\$	1,213	5.8%	\$	67,200	\$	2,990	4.7%	\$	17,786	\$	792	4.7%
1994-95	\$ 64,210	\$ 3,743	6.2%	\$	53,062	\$	3,132	6.3%	\$	69,854	\$	4,045	6.1%	\$	20,871	\$	1,411	7.3%	\$	64,210		-	-	\$	16,994		-	-
1993-94	\$ 60,467	\$ 2,566	4.4%	\$	49,930	\$	2,156	4.5%	\$	65,809	\$	2,766	4.4%	\$	19,460	\$	1,012	5.5%				notes		L-	-	ee n	otes	
1992-93	\$ 57,901	\$ 340	0.6%	\$	47,774	\$	324	0.7%	\$	63,043		340	0.5%	\$	18,448	\$	324	1.8%	\$	57,561		-	0.0%	\$	15,235		-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 57,561	\$ 3,418	6.3%	\$	47,450	\$	2,930	6.6%	\$	62,703	\$	3,645	6.2%	\$	18,124	\$	1,639	9.9%	\$	- /	\$	3,418	6.3%	\$	15,235		905	6.3%
1990-91	\$ 54,143	\$ 2,573	5.0%	\$	44,520	\$	2,173	5.1%	\$	59,058	\$	2,766	4.9%	\$	16,485	\$	1,068	6.9%	\$	54,143		2,573	5.0%	\$	14,330		680	5.0%
1989-90	\$ 51,570	\$ 2,714	5.6%	\$	42,347	\$	2,277	5.7%	\$	56,292	\$	7,436	15.2%	\$	15,417	\$	1,053	7.3%	\$.,	\$	2,714	5.6%	\$	13,650		719	5.6%
1988-89	\$ 48,856	\$ 6,920	16.5%	\$	40,070	\$	6,485	19.3%	\$	48,856	\$	2,630	5.7%	\$	14,364	\$	960	7.2%	\$,	\$	2,630	5.7%	\$	1	\$	696	5.7%
1987-88	\$ 41,936	\$ 2,213	5.6%	\$		\$	1,805	5.7%	\$	46,226	\$	2,416	5.5%	\$	13,404	\$	846	6.7%	\$	46,226	\$	2,416	5.5%	\$	12,235		639	5.5%
1986-87	\$ 39,723	\$ 2,776	7.5%	\$	31,780	\$	2,248	7.6%	\$	43,810	\$	3,044	7.5%	\$	12,558	\$	990	8.6%	\$	43,810	\$	3,044	7.5%	\$	11,596	\$	806	7.5%
1985-86	\$ 36,947	-	-	\$	29,532		-	-	\$	40,766		-	-	\$	11,568		-	-	\$	40,766		-	-	\$	10,790		-	-

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members: therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Eranklin County: Eiscal Years 1985-86 to 2014-15

										Fra	inklin Co	bun	ity: Fiso	cal Year	s 19	985-86 to	o 20	014-15									
	Cl	erk of Cir	cuit	Court, F	Property																						
Fiscal		Appraise	er & `	Tax Coll	ector	Supervi	isor	of Elect	ions			Sh	eriff			County	Col	mmissio	ners	School	Sup	erintenc	lent	School	Boar	d Mem	bers
Year		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	44	GChg.	% Chg.		Salary	67	GChg.	% Chg.		Salary	67	6 Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$	94,337	\$	266	0.3%	\$ 77,031	\$	219	0.3%	\$	103,276	\$	290	0.3%	\$	26,041	\$	81	0.3%	\$ 94,337	\$	266	0.3%	\$ 24,969	\$	70	0.3%
2013-14	\$	94,071	\$	3,375	3.7%	\$ 76,812	\$	2,756	3.7%	\$	102,986	\$	3,695	3.7%	\$	25,960	\$	932	3.7%	\$ 94,071	\$	3,375	3.7%	\$ 24,899	\$	893	3.7%
2012-13	\$	90,696		(7)		\$ 74,056	\$	(7)	0.0%	\$		\$	(7)	0.0%	\$	25,028	\$	(7)	0.0%	\$,	\$	(7)	0.0%	\$ 24,006	\$	(2)	0.0%
2011-12	\$	90,703	\$	(279)	-0.3%	\$ 74,063	\$	(266)	-0.4%	\$	99,299	\$	(279)	-0.3%	\$	25,035	\$	(266)	-1.0%	\$ 90,703	\$	(279)	-0.3%	\$ 24,008	\$	(74)	-0.3%
2010-11	\$	90,982	\$	27	0.0%	\$ 74,328	\$	25	0.0%	\$	99,577	\$	27	0.0%	\$	25,300	\$	25	0.1%	\$ 90,982	\$	1,872	2.1%	\$ 24,081		See no	otes
2009-10	\$	90,955	\$	27	0.0%	\$ 74,303	\$	26	0.0%	\$		\$	27	0.0%	\$	25,275	\$	25	0.1%	\$ 89,109		See no		\$ 24,074		See no	
2008-09	\$		\$	207	0.2%	\$ 74,277	\$	184	0.2%	\$		\$	217	0.2%	\$	25,249	\$	130	0.5%	\$ 	\$	207	0.2%	\$ 24,067	\$	55	0.2%
2007-08	\$,	\$	344	0.4%	\$ 74,094	\$	328	0.4%	\$		\$	344	0.3%	\$	25,120	\$	328	1.3%	\$ 	\$	344	0.4%	\$ 24,012		-	-
2006-07	\$		\$	3,083	3.5%	\$ 73,766	\$	2,525	3.5%	\$		\$	3,370	3.5%	\$	24,791	\$	887	3.7%	\$ 	\$	3,083	3.5%	N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$		\$	3,522	4.2%	\$ 71,241	\$	2,881	4.2%	\$	95,593	\$	3,852	4.2%	\$	23,904	\$	998	4.4%	\$.,	\$	3,522	4.2%	N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$	83,772	\$	1,242	1.5%	\$ 68,360	\$	1,027	1.5%	\$	91,741	\$	1,352	1.5%	\$	22,906	\$	404	1.8%	\$ 83,772		-	-	N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$	82,529	\$	1,318	1.6%	\$ 67,333	\$	1,035	1.6%	\$	90,389	\$	1,472	1.7%	\$	22,502	\$	156	0.7%		see r	notes		N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$	- 1	\$	2,059	2.6%	\$ 66,299	\$	1,686	2.6%	\$	88,917	\$	2,251	2.6%	\$	22,346	\$	593	2.7%	\$ J . J	\$	2,059	2.6%	-		notes	
2001-02	\$,	\$	2,310	3.0%	\$ 64,612	\$	1,893	3.0%	\$	86,666	\$	2,525	3.0%	\$	21,753	\$	669	3.2%	\$,	\$	2,310	3.0%	\$ 20,950		611	3.0%
2000-01	\$	76,842	•	2,137	2.9%	\$ 62,720	\$	1,749	2.9%	\$	84,142	\$	2,337	2.9%	\$	21,084	\$	611	3.0%	\$,=	\$	2,137	2.9%	\$ 20,339		566	2.9%
1999-00	\$	74,705	·	2,693	3.7%	\$ 60,971	\$	2,207	3.8%	\$	81,805	\$	2,943	3.7%	\$	20,473	\$	780	4.0%	\$,	\$	2,693	3.7%	\$ 19,773		713	3.7%
1998-99	\$	72,012	\$	3,543	5.2%	\$ 58,764	\$	2,895	5.2%	\$	78,862	\$	3,878	5.2%	\$	19,693	\$	989	5.3%	\$ 72,012	\$	3,543	5.2%	\$ 19,060	\$	937	5.2%
1997-98	\$	68,469	\$	3,270	5.0%	\$ 55,869	\$	2,672	5.0%	\$	74,984	\$	3,577	5.0%	\$	18,704	\$	915	5.1%	\$ 68,469	\$	3,270	5.0%	\$ 	\$	866	5.0%
1996-97	\$	65,199	\$	3,570	5.8%	\$ 53,197	\$	2,921	5.8%	\$	71,407	\$	3,905	5.8%	\$	17,789	\$	1,011	6.0%	\$,	\$	3,570	5.8%	\$ 17,257	\$	946	5.8%
1995-96	\$.,	\$	2,450	4.1%	\$ 50,276	\$	2,005	4.2%	\$	67,502	\$	2,679	4.1%	\$	16,778	\$	743	4.6%	\$ 	\$	2,450	4.1%	\$ - , -	\$	685	4.4%
1994-95	\$		\$	3,246	5.8%	\$ 48,271	\$	2,659	5.8%	\$	- ,	\$	3,548	5.8%	\$	16,035	\$	1,013	6.7%	\$ 59,179		-	-	\$ 15,626		-	-
1993-94	\$	55,933	•	2,128	4.0%	\$ 45,612	\$	1,739	4.0%	\$	- , -	\$	2,328	3.9%	\$	15,022	\$	618	4.3%	-		notes				notes	
1992-93	\$	53,805	\$	49	0.1%	\$ 43,873	\$	46	0.1%	\$	58,947	\$	49	0.1%	\$	14,404	\$	93	0.6%	\$ 53,756	\$	-	0.0%	\$ 14,071	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$	53,756	\$	2,420	4.7%	\$ 43,827	\$	1,981	4.7%	\$	58,898	\$	2,647	4.7%	\$	14,311	\$	732	5.4%	\$ 53,756	\$	2,420	4.7%	\$,	\$	676	5.0%
1990-91	\$	51,336	\$	2,032	4.1%	\$ 41,846	\$	1,657	4.1%	\$	56,251	\$	2,226	4.1%	\$	13,579	\$	552	4.2%	\$ 51,336	\$	2,032	4.1%	\$ 13,395	\$	538	4.2%
1989-90	\$	49,304	\$	2,257	4.8%	\$ 40,189	\$	1,842	4.8%	\$	54,025	\$	6,978	14.8%	\$	13,027	\$	621	5.0%	\$,	\$	2,257	4.8%	\$ 12,857	\$	600	4.9%
1988-89	\$	47,047	\$	6,557	16.2%	\$ 38,347	\$	6,139	19.1%	\$	47,047	\$	2,266	5.1%	\$	12,406	\$	608	5.2%	\$ 47,047	\$	2,266	5.1%	\$ 12,257	\$	596	5.1%
1987-88	\$	40,490	\$	1,937	5.0%	\$ 32,208	\$	1,543	5.0%	\$	44,781	\$	2,142	5.0%	\$	11,798	\$	588	5.2%	\$ 44,781	\$	2,142	5.0%	\$ 11,661	\$	569	5.1%
1986-87	\$	38,553	\$	2,545	7.1%	\$ 30,665	\$	2,027	7.1%	\$,	\$	2,812	7.1%	\$	11,210	\$	775	7.4%	\$,	\$	2,812	7.1%	\$ 11,092	\$	749	7.2%
1985-86	\$	36,008		-	-	\$ 28,638		-	-	\$	39,827		-	-	\$	10,435		-	-	\$ 39,827		-	-	\$ 10,343		-	-
				-		 							-											 			

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Gadsden County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2014-15 Clerk of Circuit Court, Property

	Clerk	of Circ	cuit Cour	t, Pro	perty																						
Fiscal	App	oraise	r & Tax C	ollect	tor	Supervi	sor	of Elect	ions			Sh	neriff		County	Со	mmissio	ners		School	Sup	perintenc	lent	School	Boa	rd Meml	pers
Year	Sala	ry	\$ Chg.	%	Chg.	Salary	44	6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	 Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 106	,414	\$ 31	5 0	0.3%	\$ 88,533	\$	266	0.3%	\$	115,354	\$	340	0.3%	\$ 37,543	\$	128	0.3%	\$	106,414	\$	315	0.3%	\$ 28,164	\$	83	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 106	,098	\$ 3,58	2 3	3.5%	\$ 88,267	\$	2,953	3.5%	\$	115,014	\$	3,901	3.5%	\$ 37,415	\$	1,129	3.1%	\$	106,098	\$	3,582	3.5%	\$ 28,080	\$	948	3.5%
2012-13	\$ 102	,517	\$ 58	4 0	0.6%	\$ 85,314	\$	556	0.7%	\$	111,113	\$	584	0.5%	\$ 36,286	\$	556	1.6%	\$	102,517	\$	584	0.6%	\$ 27,133	\$	154	0.6%
2011-12	\$ 101	,933	\$ 2,04	8 2	2.0%	\$ 84,758	\$	1,950	2.4%	\$	110,529	\$	2,048	1.9%	\$ 35,730	\$	(1,120)	-3.0%	\$	101,933	\$	2,048	2.0%	\$ 26,978	\$	(310)	-1.1%
2010-11	\$ 99	,886	\$ (14	6) -(0.1%	\$ 82,808	\$	(139)	-0.2%	\$	108,481	\$	(146)	-0 .1%	\$ 36,850	\$	(139)	-0.4%	\$	99,886	\$	(959)	-1.0%	\$ 27,288		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 100	,031	\$ (2,87	1) -2	2.8%	\$ 82,947	\$	(2,735)	-3.2%	\$	108,627	\$	(2,871)	-2.6%	\$ 36,989	\$	335	0.9%	\$	100,844		See no	otes	\$ 27,327		See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 102	,902	\$ 50	0 0	0.5%	\$ 85,682	\$	463	0.5%	\$	111,498	\$	510	0.5%	\$ 36,654	\$	409	1.1%	\$	102,902	\$	500	0.5%	\$ 27,235	\$	132	0.5%
2007-08	\$ 102	,402	\$ 15	4 0	0.2%	\$ 85,218	\$	147	0.2%	\$	110,988	\$	154	0.1%	\$ 36,244	\$	147	0.4%	\$	102,402	\$	154	0.2%	\$ 27,102		-	-
2006-07	\$ 102	,248	\$ 3,68	6 3	3.7%	\$ 85,072	\$	3,099	3.8%	\$	110,834	\$	3,973	3.7%	\$ 36,097	\$	1,461	4.2%	\$	102,248	\$	3,686	3.7%	N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 98	,562	\$ 4,02	9 4	4.3%	\$ 81,973	\$	3,364	4.3%	\$	106,861	\$	4,358	4.3%	\$ 34,636	\$	1,481	4.5%	\$	98,562	\$	4,029	4.3%	N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 94	,533	\$ 1,46	7 1	1.6%	\$ 78,609	\$	1,240	1.6%	\$	102,503	\$	1,576	1.6%	\$ 33,155	\$	617	1 .9%	\$	94,533		-	-	N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 93	,066	\$ 2,00	5 2	2.2%	\$ 77,369	\$	1,689	2.2%	\$	100,926	\$	2,159	2.2%	\$ 32,537	\$	810	2.6%		S	See	notes		N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$91	,061	\$ 2,32	0 2	2.6%	\$ 75,680	\$	1,935	2.6%	\$	98,767	\$	2,512	2.6%	\$ 31,727	\$	842	2.7%	\$	91,061	\$	2,320	2.6%	S	See r	notes	
2001-02	\$88	,741	\$ 3,60	2 4	4.2%	\$ 73,745	\$	3,123	4.4%	\$	96,255	\$	3,817	4.1%	\$ 30,885	\$	(707)	-2.2%	\$	88,741	\$	3,602	4.2%	\$ 23,487	\$	230	1.0%
2000-01	\$ 85	,139	\$ 2,46	9 3	3.0%	\$ 70,622	\$	2,065	3.0%	\$	92,439	\$	2,668	3.0%	\$ 31,593	\$	998	3.3%	\$	85,139	\$	2,469	3.0%	\$ 23,257	\$	673	3.0%
1999-00	\$ 82	,670	\$ 57	8 0	0.7%	\$ 68,557	\$	192	0.3%	\$	89,770	\$	828	0.9%	\$ 30,595	\$	1,302	4.4%	\$	82,670	\$	578	0.7%	\$ 22,584	\$	857	3.9%
1998-99	\$ 82	,093	\$ 4,84	2 6	6.3%	\$ 68,365	\$	4,132	6.4%	\$	88,943	\$	5,176	6.2%	\$ 29,294	\$	2,225	8.2%	\$	82,093	\$	4,842	6.3%	\$ 21,727	\$	1,281	6.3%
1997-98	\$77	,251	\$ 4,02	1 5	5.5%	\$ 64,233	\$	3,388	5.6%	\$	83,767	\$	4,329	5.4%	\$ 27,069	\$	1,632	6.4%	\$	77,251	\$	4,021	5.5%	\$ 20,446	\$	1,064	5.5%
1996-97	\$ 73	,230	\$ 3,92	4 5	5.7%	\$ 60,845	\$	3,257	5.7%	\$	79,438	\$	4,259	5.7%	\$ 25,437	\$	1,347	5.6%	\$	73,230	\$	3,924	5.7%	\$ 19,382	\$	1,039	5.7%
1995-96	\$ 69	,306	\$ 3,04	4 4	4.6%	\$ 57,588	\$	2,571	4.7%	\$	75,179	\$	3,274	4.6%	\$ 24,090	\$	1,265	5.5%	\$	69,306	\$	3,044	4.6%	\$ 18,343	\$	806	4.6%
1994-95	\$ 66	,262	\$ 3,69	7 5	5.9%	\$ 55,017	\$	3,089	5.9%	\$	71,905	\$	3,998	5.9%	\$ 22,825	\$	1,367	6.4%	\$	66,262		-	-	\$ 17,537		-	-
1993-94	\$ 62	,565	\$ 2,40	2 4	4.0%	\$ 51,928	\$	2,000	4.0%	\$	67,907	\$	2,603	4.0%	\$ 21,458	\$	856	4.2%		S	See	notes		S	See r	notes	
1992-93	\$ 60	,163	\$ 21	0 0	0.4%	\$ 49,928	\$	200	0.4%	\$	65,304	\$	210	0.3%	\$ 20,602	\$	200	1 .0%	\$	59,953	\$	-	0.0%	\$ 15,868	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 59	,953	\$ 1,80	5 3	3.1%	\$ 49,728	\$	1,394	2.9%	\$	65,094	\$	2,031	3.2%	\$ 20,402	\$	103	0.5%	\$	59,953	\$	1,805	3.1%	\$ 15,868	\$	478	3.1%
1990-91	\$ 58	,148	\$ 2,16	3 3	3.9%	\$ 48,334	\$	1,783	3.8%	\$	63,063	\$	2,357	3.9%	\$ 20,299	\$	678	3.5%	\$	58,148	\$	2,163	3.9%	\$ 15,390	\$	573	3.9%
1989-90	\$ 55	,985	\$ 2,57	5 4	4.8%	\$ 46,551	\$	2,144	4.8%	\$	60,706	\$	7,296	13.7%	\$ 19,621	\$	920	4.9%	\$	55,985	\$	2,575	4.8%	\$ 14,817	\$	681	4.8%
1988-89	\$ 53	,410	\$ 6,93	0 1	4.9%	\$ 44,407	\$	6,495	17.1%	\$	53,410	\$	2,640	5.2%	\$ 18,701	\$	969	5.5%	\$	53,410	\$	2,640	5.2%	\$ 14,136	\$	699	5.2%
1987-88	\$ 46	,480	\$ 2,33	2 5	5.3%	\$ 37,912	\$	1,918	5.3%	\$	50,770	\$	2,536	5.3%	\$ 17,732	\$	960	5.7%	\$	50,770	\$	2,536	5.3%	\$ 13,437	\$	671	5.3%
1986-87	\$ 44	,148	\$ 3,04	2 7	7.4%	\$ 35,994	\$	2,501	7.5%	\$	48,234	\$	3,309	7.4%	\$ 16,772	\$	1,243	8.0%	\$	48,234	\$	3,309	7.4%	\$ 12,766	\$	876	7.4%
1985-86	\$ 41	,106	•		-	\$ 33,493		-	-	\$	44,925		-	-	\$ 15,529		-	-	\$	44,925		-	-	\$ 11,890		-	-
										-									-								

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-2034, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	Sal	anes		ciec	Cour	ity	Constit	uu	onarc			ia Scho								e Salai	уг	ormula		Chapt	ler 145	Г	onua S	เลเน	les	
						-					Gil	christ Co	bur	nty: Fisc	cal Year	s 19	985-86 to	o 20	014-15											
					roperty																									
Fiscal		ppraise					Supervi						-	neriff			County							erintenc			School			
Year	Sa	alary	\$ Ch	g.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ C	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15		96,120		238	0.2%	\$	78,728	\$	192	0.2%	\$	105,059	\$	262	0.3%	\$	27,738	\$	54	0.2%	\$		\$	238	0.2%	\$	25,441	\$	63	0.2%
2013-14		95,881		427	3.7%	\$	- /	\$	2,805	3.7%		,	\$	3,747	3.7%	\$	1	\$	982	3.7%	\$		\$	3,427	3.7%	\$	25,378	\$	907	3.7%
2012-13		92,454		14	0.0%	\$		\$	14	0.0%		101,050	<u> </u>	14	0.0%	\$	26,703		14	0.1%	\$	<i>i</i> ,	\$	14	0.0%	\$	24,471	\$	4	0.0%
2011-12		92,440		146)	-0.2%	\$	75,717		(139)	-0.2%		101,036	<u> </u>	(146)	-0.1%	\$	26,689		(139)	-0.5%	\$,	\$	(146)	-0.2%	\$	24,467	\$	(39)	-0.2%
2010-11		92,587		44	0.0%	\$	75,857	· ·	42	0.1%	-	101,182		44	0.0%	\$	26,829		42	0.2%	\$	92,587	\$	1,943	2.1%	\$	24,506	L	See no	tes
2009-10	\$	92,542		49	0.1%	\$	75,815		47	0.1%	\$	101,138	\$	49	0.0%	\$	26,787	\$	46	0.2%	\$	90,644		See no		\$	24,494	L	See no	tes
2008-09		92,494		231	0.3%	\$	75,768	· ·	207	0.3%		101,089	· ·	241	0.2%	\$	-, -	\$	153	0.6%	\$	92,494		231	0.3%	\$	24,481	\$	61	0.3%
2007-08	\$	92,262		154	0.2%	\$.,	\$	147	0.2%	\$	100,848	\$	154	0.2%	\$	26,587	\$	148	0.6%	\$	92,262	\$	154	0.2%	\$	24,420	L	-	-
2006-07		92,108		180	3.6%	\$	- /	\$	2,617	3.6%	\$	100,694	\$	3,467	3.6%	\$	-, -	\$	979	3.8%	\$	92,108	\$	3,180	3.6%		N/A	L	-	-
2005-06	\$ 8	88,928	\$3,	651	4.3%	\$	72,797	\$	3,004	4.3%	\$	97,227	\$	3,981	4.3%	\$	25,461	\$	1,122	4.6%	\$	88,928	\$	3,651	4.3%		N/A	L	-	-
2004-05	\$ 8	85,277	\$1,	315	1.6%	\$	69,793	\$	1,095	1.6%	\$	93,246	\$	1,424	1.6%	\$	24,339	\$	472	2.0%	\$	85,277		-	-		N/A	L	-	-
2003-04	\$ 8	83,962	\$1,	739	2.1%	\$	68,698	\$	1,436	2.1%	\$	91,822	\$	1,893	2.1%	\$	23,867	\$	557	2.4%		S	See I	notes			N/A	L	-	-
2002-03		82,223		118	2.6%	\$	67,262	\$	1,743	2.7%	\$	89,929	\$	2,310	2.6%	\$	23,310		650	2.9%	\$	- / -	\$	2,118	2.6%			See no		
2001-02		80,105	· /	569	3.3%	\$	65,519	\$	2,139	3.4%	\$		\$	2,784	3.3%	\$	22,660		916	4.2%	\$	80,105	\$	2,569	3.3%	\$	21,202		680	3.3%
2000-01	\$ 7	77,536	,	191	2.9%	\$	63,380	\$	1,801	2.9%	\$	84,835	\$	2,391	2.9%	\$	21,744	\$	662	3.1%	\$	77,536	\$	2,191	2.9%	\$	20,522	\$	580	2.9%
1999-00		75,344	,	810	3.9%	\$	61,579	\$	2,318	3.9%	\$	82,444	\$	3,060	3.9%	\$	21,082	\$	891	4.4%	\$	75,344	\$	2,810	3.9%	\$	19,942	\$	744	3.9%
1998-99		72,534		633	5.3%	\$	59,262	\$	2,981	5.3%	\$	79,384	\$	3,967	5.3%	\$	20,191	\$	1,074	5.6%	\$	72,534	\$	3,633	5.3%	\$			962	5.3%
1997-98	•	68,901		317	5.1%	\$	56,281	\$	2,718	5.1%	\$	75,417	\$	3,625	5.0%	\$	19,117		962	5.3%	\$	68,901	\$	3,317	5.1%	\$	18,237		878	5.1%
1996-97	\$	65,584	\$3,	618	5.8%	\$	53,563	\$	2,966	5.9%	\$	71,792	\$	3,953	5.8%	\$	18,155	\$	1,055	6.2%	\$	65,584	\$	3,618	5.8%	\$	17,359	\$	958	5.8%
1995-96	\$	61,966	\$2,	586	4.4%	\$	50,597	\$	2,135	4.4%	\$	67,839	\$	2,816	4.3%	\$	17,100	\$	829	5.1%	\$	61,966	\$	2,586	4.4%	\$	16,401	\$	684	4.4%
1994-95	\$	59,380	\$3,	281	5.8%	\$	48,462	\$	2,692	5.9%	\$	65,023	\$	3,582	5.8%	\$	16,271	\$	971	6.3%	\$	59,380		-	-	\$	15,717		-	-
1993-94	\$	56,099	\$2,	147	4.0%	\$	45,770	\$	1,757	4.0%	\$	61,441	\$	2,347	4.0%	\$	15,300	\$	616	4.2%		S	See I	notes			S	See no	otes	
1992-93	\$	53,952	\$	61	0.1%	\$	44,013	\$	58	0.1%	\$	59,094	\$	61	0.1%	\$	14,684	\$	116	0.8%	\$	53,891	\$	-	0.0%	\$	14,213	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$!	53,891	\$2,	734	5.3%	\$	43,955	\$	2,279	5.5%	\$	59,033	\$	2,961	5.3%	\$	14,568	\$	1,329	10.0%	\$	53,891	\$	2,734	5.3%	\$	14,213	\$	1,007	7.6%
1990-91	\$	51,157	\$2,	067	4.2%	\$	41,676	\$	1,691	4.2%	\$	56,072	\$	2,260	4.2%	\$	13,239	\$	619	4.9%	\$	51,157	\$	2,067	4.2%	\$	13,206	\$	575	4.6%
1989-90	\$ 4	49,090	\$2,	286	4.9%	\$	39,985	\$	1,870	4.9%	\$	53,812	\$	7,008	15.0%	\$	12,620	\$	678	5.7%	\$	49,090	\$	2,286	4.9%	\$	12,631	\$	632	5.3%
1988-89	\$ 4	46,804	\$6,	543	16.3%	\$	38,115	\$	6,125	19.1%	\$	46,804	\$	2,253	5.1%	\$	11,942	\$	582	5.1%	\$	46,804	\$	2,253	5.1%	\$	11,999	\$	581	5.1%
1987-88	\$ 4	40,261	\$1,	923	5.0%	\$	31,990	\$	1,529	5.0%	\$	44,551	\$	2,126	5.0%	\$	11,360	\$	558	5.2%	\$	44,551	\$	2,126	5.0%	\$	11,418	\$	552	5.1%
1986-87	\$ 3	38,338	\$2,	505	7.0%	\$	30,461	\$	1,990	7.0%	\$	42,425	\$	2,773	7.0%	\$	10,802	\$	700	6.9%	\$	42,425	\$	2,773	7.0%	\$	10,866	\$	708	7.0%
1985-86	\$ 3	35,833	-		-	\$	28,471		-	-	\$	39,652		-	-	\$	10,102		-	-	\$	39,652		-	-	\$	10,158	1	-	-
								_					_					_												

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chanter 145. Florida Statutes

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	Salarie	es o	f Electe	d Cour	nty	Constit	tuti	onal C	officers	ar	nd Scho	ol	Distric	ct Offic	als	s Pursu	lan	nt to the	e Salar	y⊦	ormula	in	Chapt	ter 145	FI	orida S	tatu	ites	
										GI	ades Co	unt	ty: Fisc	al Years	19	85-86 to	20)14-15											
			uit Court, F																										
Fiscal	Appra	iser	& Tax Coll	lector		Supervi	sor	of Electi	ions			Sh	eriff			County	Co	mmissio			School	Supe	erintenc	dent		School	Boar	d Memb	oers
Year	Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 94,7	04 \$	5 252	0.3%	\$	1	\$	205	0.3%	\$	103,644	\$	276	0.3%	\$	26,390	\$	67	0.3%	\$	94,704	\$	252	0.3%	\$	25,067	\$	67	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 94,4	52 \$	5 3,342	3.7%	\$	77,175		2,725	3.7%	\$	103,368	\$	3,662	3.7%	\$	26,323	\$	901	3.5%	\$	94,452	\$	3,342	3.7%	\$	25,000	\$	885	3.7%
2012-13	. ,	10 \$	· · · ·	0.0%	\$	74,451		(22)	0.0%	\$	99,706		(23)	0.0%	\$	- /	\$	(22)	-0.1%	\$	91,110		(23)	0.0%	\$	24,115		(6)	0.0%
2011-12	. ,	33 \$	-	0.6%	\$	74,473		483	0.7%	\$	99,729		507	0.5%	\$	25,444	\$	483	1.9%	\$	91,133	\$	507	0.6%	\$	24,121	\$	134	0.6%
2010-11	. ,	26 \$		0.0%	\$	73,990		(4)	0.0%	\$	99,222	•	(4)	0.0%	\$,	\$	(4)	0.0%	\$	90,626	\$	1,894	2.1%	\$	23,987		See no	
2009-10		30 \$	-	0.1%	\$	73,993		83	0.1%	\$	99,226	•	87	0.1%	\$	1	\$	82	0.3%	\$	88,732		See no		\$	23,988		See no	
2008-09	,	43 \$		0.2%	\$	73,911		161	0.2%	\$	99,139		192	0.2%	\$	1	\$	107	0.4%	\$	90,543	\$	183	0.2%	\$	23,965	\$	48	0.2%
2007-08	. ,	50 \$		0.0%	\$	73,750		20	0.0%	\$	98,946		20	0.0%	\$,	\$	20	0.1%	\$,	\$	21	0.0%	\$	23,917		-	-
2006-07	• • • • • •	40 \$		3.5%	\$	-,	\$	2,464	3.5%	\$	98,926	•	3,307	3.5%	\$,	\$	827	3.5%	\$	90,340		3,020	3.5%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 87,3		/	4.1%	\$,	\$	2,835	4.1%	\$	95,619		3,804	4.1%	\$	23,929	\$	953	4.1%	\$	87,320	\$	3,473	4.1%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	. ,	46 \$,	1.4%	\$, .		956	1.4%	\$	91,815	•	1,278	1.4%	\$,	\$	333	1.5%	\$	83,846		-	-		N/A	<u> </u>	-	-
2003-04	,	78 \$,	2.0%	\$	67,474		1,337	2.0%	\$	90,537	\$	1,790	2.0%	\$,	\$	458	2.1%			See n				N/A	<u> </u>	-	-
2002-03	\$ 81,0			2.6%	\$	66,138	\$	1,654	2.6%	\$	88,748	\$	2,217	2.6%	\$,	\$	561	2.6%	\$	81,042		2,025	2.6%		-	See n		
2001-02	• • • • •	17 \$,	3.2%	\$	64,483	\$	2,026	3.2%	\$		\$	2,664	3.2%	\$	21,624	\$	837	4.0%	\$	79,017		2,450	3.2%	\$	20,915	•	677	3.3%
2000-01	,	67 \$,	2.8%	\$	62,458	\$	1,706	2.8%	\$	83,867	\$	2,292	2.8%	\$	20,787	\$	564	2.8%	\$	76,567		2,092	2.8%	\$	20,237		551	2.8%
1999-00	. ,	75 \$,	3.7%	\$	60,751	\$	2,195	3.7%	\$	81,575	\$	2,931	3.7%	\$	20,222	\$	823	4.2%	\$	74,475		2,681	3.7%	\$	19,686		755	4.0%
1998-99	\$ 71,7			5.2%	\$	58,556		2,912	5.2%	\$	78,644	\$	3,896	5.2%	\$	19,399	\$	1,056	5.8%	\$	71,794		3,561	5.2%	\$	- /	\$	985	5.5%
1997-98	. ,	33 \$,	5.3%	\$	55,644	\$	2,821	5.3%	\$,	\$	3,733	5.3%	\$	18,343	\$	1,249	7.3%	\$	68,233		3,426	5.3%	\$	17,946		1,060	6.3%
1996-97	\$ 64,8			5.8%	\$	52,823	\$	2,888	5.8%	\$	71,015		3,872	5.8%	\$	17,094	\$	1,000	6.2%	\$	64,807		3,537	5.8%	\$	16,886	•	954	6.0%
1995-96	\$ 61,2			4.1%	\$	49,935	\$	1,967	4.1%	\$	67,143		2,639	4.1%	\$	16,094	\$	667	4.3%	\$	61,270	\$	2,410	4.1%	\$	15,932	\$	643	4.2%
1994-95	,	60 \$,	5.7%	\$	47,968	\$	2,591	5.7%	\$	64,504	\$	3,476	5.7%	\$	15,427	\$	876	6.0%	\$	58,860		-	-	\$	15,289	<u> </u>	-	-
1993-94	\$ 55,6			4.0%	\$	45,377	•	1,742	4.0%	\$	61,028	\$	2,332	4.0%	\$	14,551	\$	624	4.5%			See n	otes			-	See n	otes	
1992-93	. ,	55 \$	-	0.1%	\$	43,635		61	0.1%	\$	58,696	· ·	63	0.1%	\$	- / -	\$	122	0.9%	\$	53,491		-	0.0%	\$	13,790		-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 53,4		1-	4.5%	\$		\$	1,888	4.5%	\$	58,633	\$	2,551	4.5%	\$	13,805	\$	547	4.1%	\$			2,324	4.5%	\$	13,790		573	4.3%
1990-91	\$ 51,1			4.2%	\$	41,686	\$	1,689	4.2%	\$	56,082	\$	2,258	4.2%	\$	13,258	\$	614	4.9%	\$	51,167		2,064	4.2%	\$	13,217		572	4.5%
1989-90	1 - 1	03 9	,	4.8%	\$	39,997	\$	1,840	4.8%	\$	53,824	\$	6,976	14.9%	\$	12,644	\$	618	5.1%	\$	49,103		2,255	4.8%	\$	12,645		599	5.0%
1988-89	\$ 46,8			16.3%	\$	38,157	\$	6,157	19.2%	\$,	\$	2,286	5.1%	\$,	\$	644	5.7%	\$	46,848		2,286	5.1%	\$	12,046		616	5.4%
1987-88	\$ 40,2		1-	5.1%	\$,	\$	1,552	5.1%	\$	1	\$	2,151	5.1%	\$	1	\$	605	5.6%	\$	44,562		2,151	5.1%	\$	11,430		579	5.3%
1986-87	. ,	25 \$	\$ 2,555	7.1%	\$	30,448	\$	2,037	7.2%	\$	42,411	\$	2,822	7.1%	\$	10,777	\$	796	8.0%	\$,	\$	2,822	7.1%	\$	- /	\$	760	7.5%
1985-86	\$ 35,7	70	-	-	\$	28,411		-	-	\$	39,589		-	-	\$	9,981	<u> </u>	-	-	\$	39,589		-	-	\$	10,091	<u> </u>	-	-

Solarios of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Purcuant to the Solary Formula in Chanter 145. Elected Statutes

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members: therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	Sa	laries	lecte	a Cour	ity	Constit	uτι	onarC	micers										e Salar	уг	ormula	IN	Cnapt	er 145	, FI	orida S	tatu	tes	
											Gulf Cou	nty	: Fisca	Years 1	98	5-86 to 2	201	4-15							_				
		rk of Cir	· · · ·	• •												_	_					_							
Fiscal		Appraise						of Elect					eriff					ommissio			School	-				School	-		
Year		alary	 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	1	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.	_	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	_	Salary		Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	•	95,860	\$ 326	0.3%	\$	78,481	\$	276	0.4%			\$	350	0.3%	\$	27,491	\$		0.5%	\$	95,860	\$	326	0.3%	\$		•	86	0.3%
2013-14	\$	95,534	3,464	3.8%	\$	78,206	\$	2,841	3.8%	· ·	104,449	- ·	3,784	3.8%	\$	27,354	\$,	3.9%	\$		\$	3,464	3.8%	\$	25,286		917	3.8%
2012-13	\$	92,070	(24)	0.0%	\$	75,364	\$	(23)	0.0%	· ·	100,665	- ·	(24)	0.0%	\$	26,336	\$	(23)	-0.1%	\$	92,070	\$	(24)	0.0%	\$	24,369		(6)	0.0%
2011-12	\$	92,093	(301)	-0.3%	\$	75,387	\$	(287)	-0.4%		100,689		(301)	-0.3%	\$	26,359	\$	(287)	-1.1%	\$	92,093	\$	(301)	-0.3%	\$	24,375	\$	(80)	-0.3%
2010-11	\$	92,395	\$ (40)	0.0%	\$	75,674		(38)	-0 .1%	\$	100,991	\$	(40)	0.0%	\$	26,646	\$	(38)	-0.1%	\$	92,395	\$	1,843	2.0%	\$	24,455		See no	otes
2009-10	\$	92,435	\$ 35	0.0%	\$	75,713		34	0.0%	\$	101,031	\$	35	0.0%	\$	26,684	\$	33	0.1%	\$	90,552		See no		\$	24,466		See no	
2008-09	\$	92,400	200	0.2%	\$	75,679		177	0.2%	\$	100,995	\$	209	0.2%	\$	26,651	\$	123	0.5%	\$	92,400	\$	200	0.2%	\$	24,456	\$	53	0.2%
2007-08	\$	92,200	\$ 9	0.0%	\$	75,502	\$	8	0.0%	\$	100,786	\$	9	0.0%	\$	26,528	\$	9	0.0%	\$,	\$	9	0.0%	\$	24,404		-	-
2006-07	\$	92,191	\$ 3,179	3.6%	\$		\$	2,616	3.6%	\$	100,777	\$	3,466	3.6%	\$	- ,	\$		3.8%	\$		\$	3,179	3.6%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$	89,012	\$ 3,706	4.3%	\$	72,878	\$	3,057	4.4%	\$	97,311	\$	4,036	4.3%	\$	25,541	\$,	4.8%	\$	89,012	\$	3,706	4.3%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$	85,306	\$ 1,291	1.5%	\$	69,821	\$	1,073	1.6%	\$	93,275	\$	1,400	1.5%	\$	24,367	\$	450	1.9%	\$	85,306		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$	84,015	\$ 1,719	2.1%	\$	68,748	\$	1,416	2.1%	\$	91,875	\$	1,873	2.1%	\$	23,917	\$	538	2.3%		S	See r	notes			N/A		-	•
2002-03	\$	82,296	\$ 2,503	3.1%	\$	67,332	\$	2,109	3.2%	\$	90,002	\$	2,695	3.1%	\$	23,379	\$	1,016	4.5%	\$	82,296	\$	2,503	3.1%		-	See no	otes	
2001-02	\$	79,793	\$ 1,985	2.6%	\$	65,223	\$	1,583	2.5%	\$	87,307	\$	2,199	2.6%	\$	22,363	\$	359	1.6%	\$	79,793	\$	1,985	2.6%	\$	21,120	\$	525	2.6%
2000-01	\$	77,809	\$ 2,166	2.9%	\$	63,640	\$	1,777	2.9%	\$	85,108	\$	2,366	2.9%	\$	22,004	\$	638	3.0%	\$	77,809	\$	2,166	2.9%	\$	20,595	\$	573	2.9%
1999-00	\$	75,642	\$ 2,704	3.7%	\$	61,863	\$	2,217	3.7%	\$	82,742	\$	2,954	3.7%	\$	21,366	\$	791	3.8%	\$	75,642	\$	2,704	3.7%	\$	20,021	\$	716	3.7%
1998-99	\$	72,938	\$ 3,696	5.3%	\$	59,646	\$	3,040	5.4%	\$	79,788	\$	4,030	5.3%	\$	20,575	\$	1,134	5.8%	\$	72,938	\$	3,696	5.3%	\$	19,305	\$	978	5.3%
1997-98	\$	69,242	\$ 3,336	5.1%	\$	56,606	\$	2,737	5.1%	\$	75,758	\$	3,644	5.1%	\$	19,441	\$	979	5.3%	\$	69,242	\$	3,336	5.1%	\$	18,327	\$	883	5.1%
1996-97	\$	65,906	\$ 3,557	5.7%	\$	53,869	\$	2,907	5.7%	\$	72,114	\$	3,892	5.7%	\$	18,462	\$	998	5.7%	\$	65,906	\$	3,557	5.7%	\$	17,444	\$	941	5.7%
1995-96	\$	62,349	\$ 2,616	4.4%	\$	50,962	\$	2,163	4.4%	\$	68,222	\$	2,845	4.4%	\$	17,464	\$	856	5.2%	\$	62,349	\$	2,616	4.4%	\$	16,503	\$	693	4.4%
1994-95	\$	59,733	\$ 3,333	5.9%	\$	48,799	\$	2,742	6.0%	\$	65,377	\$	3,635	5.9%	\$	16,608	\$	1,021	6.6%	\$	59,733		-	-	\$	15,810		-	-
1993-94	\$	56,400	\$ 2,141	3.9%	\$	46,057	\$	1,751	4.0%	\$	61,742	\$	2,341	3.9%	\$	15,587	\$	608	4.1%		S	iee r	notes			S	See no	otes	
1992-93	\$	54,259	\$ 13	0.0%	\$	44,306	\$	13	0.0%	\$	59,401	\$	14	0.0%	\$	14,979	\$	13	0.1%	\$	54,246	\$	-	0.0%	\$	14,358	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$	54,246	\$ 2,195	4.2%	\$	44,293	\$	1,766	4.2%	\$	59,387	\$	2,421	4.2%	\$	14,966	\$	473	3.3%	\$	54,246	\$	2,195	4.2%	\$	14,358	\$	581	4.2%
1990-91	\$	52,051	\$ 2,108	4.2%	\$	42,527	\$	1,730	4.2%	\$	56,966	\$	2,301	4.2%	\$	14,493	\$	626	4.5%	\$	52,051	\$	2,108	4.2%	\$	13,777	\$	558	4.2%
1989-90	\$	49,943	\$ 2,311	4.9%	\$	40,797	\$	1,893	4.9%	\$	54,665	\$	7,033	14.8%	\$	13,867	\$	668	5.1%	\$	49,943	\$	2,311	4.9%	\$	13,219	\$	611	4.8%
1988-89	\$	47,632	\$ 6,645	16.2%	\$	38,904	\$	6,223	19.0%	\$	47,632	\$	2,355	5.2%	\$	13,199	\$	699	5.6%	\$	47,632	\$	2,355	5.2%	\$	12,608	\$	624	5.2%
1987-88	\$	40,987	\$ 1,995	5.1%	\$	32,681	\$	1,598	5.1%	\$	45,277	\$	2,199	5.1%	\$	12,500	\$	639	5.4%	\$	45,277	\$	2,199	5.1%	\$	11,984	\$	582	5.1%
1986-87	\$	38,992	\$ 2,580	7.1%	\$	31,083	\$	2,061	7.1%	\$	43,078	\$	2,847	7.1%	\$	11,861	\$	803	7.3%	\$	43,078	\$	2,847	7.1%	\$	11,402	\$	753	7.1%
1985-86	\$	36,412	-	-	\$	29,022		-	-	\$	40,231		-	-	\$	11,058	1	-	-	\$	40,231		-	-	\$	10,649		-	-

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145. Florida Statutes

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members: therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	Sa	laries of	of El	ected	l Coun	ity	Constit	uti	onal C	Officers	ar	nd Scho	ol	Distric	ct Offici	ials	s Pursu	an	t to the	e Salary	y F	ormula	in (Chapt	er 145,	Fl	orida S	tatu	ites	
											Haı	nilton Co	our	nty: Fise	cal Year	s 19	985-86 te	o 2	014-15											
	Cle	rk of Cire	cuit Co	ourt, P	roperty																									
Fiscal	4	Appraise			ector		Supervi			ions			-	eriff					nmissio			School	_		lent		School	Boar	d Mem	
Year	S	alary	\$ C	hg.	% Chg.		Salary		Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ 0	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$	95,324		148	0.2%	\$	77,971	\$	106	0.1%		104,264		172	0.2%	\$	26,981	\$	(32)	-0.1%	\$	95,324	\$	148	0.2%	\$	25,231	\$	39	0.2%
2013-14	\$	95,176	\$ 3	3,443	3.8%	\$	77,865	\$	2,821	3.8%	\$	104,091	\$	3,763	3.8%	\$	27,013	\$	997	3.8%	\$	95,176	\$	3,443	3.8%	\$	25,191	\$	911	3.8%
2012-13		91,733		(18)	0.0%	\$	75,044	\$	(17)	0.0%	\$	100,328	\$	(18)	0.0%	\$	- /	\$	(17)	-0.1%	\$	91,733		(18)	0.0%	\$	24,280		(5)	0.0%
2011-12	•	91,750	*	5	0.0%	\$		\$	5	0.0%	\$	100,346	\$	5	0.0%	\$	26,032	\$	5	0.0%	\$	91,750		5	0.0%	\$	24,285	\$	1	0.0%
2010-11		91,745		1	0.0%	\$		\$	1	0.0%	\$	100,341	\$	1	0.0%	\$	26,027	\$	1	0.0%	\$	91,745	\$	1,860	2.1%	\$	24,283		See no	otes
2009-10	\$	91,744	\$	24	0.0%	\$	75,054	•	23	0.0%	\$	100,340	\$	24	0.0%	\$	26,026	\$	23	0.1%	\$	89,885		See no	tes	\$	24,283		See no	otes
2008-09		91,720		161	0.2%	\$	75,031		140	0.2%		100,315		171	0.2%	\$.,	\$	86	0.3%	\$	91,720	\$	161	0.2%	\$	24,277	\$	43	0.2%
2007-08	•	91,558		64	0.1%	\$	74,891	•	61	0.1%	•	100,145	•	64	0.1%	\$	- / -	\$	62	0.2%	\$	91,558		64	0.1%	\$	24,234		-	-
2006-07		91,494		3,063	3.5%	\$	74,830	\$	2,506	3.5%	\$	100,081	\$	3,351	3.5%	\$	25,855	\$	868	3.5%	\$	91,494	\$	3,064	3.5%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$	88,431		3,600	4.2%	\$	1-	\$	2,955	4.3%	\$	96,730	\$	3,930	4.2%	\$	24,987	\$	1,073	4.5%	\$	88,431	\$	3,600	4.2%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$	84,831	\$ 1	1,192	1.4%	\$	69,369	\$	979	1.4%	\$	92,800	\$	1,302	1.4%	\$	23,914	\$	356	1.5%	\$	84,831		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04		83,639		,695	2.1%	\$	68,390	\$	1,394	2.1%	\$.,	\$	1,849	2.1%	\$	- /	\$	515	2.2%		-	iee no				N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$	81,943	\$ 2	2,152	2.7%	\$	66,996	\$	1,774	2.7%	\$		\$	2,343	2.7%	\$	23,043	\$	681	3.0%	\$	81,943		2,152	2.7%		-	See n	otes	
2001-02	\$	79,792		1,991	2.6%	\$	65,222	\$	1,588	2.5%	\$	87,306	\$	2,205	2.6%	\$	22,362	\$	365	1.7%	\$	79,792		1,991	2.6%	\$	21,120	•	527	2.6%
2000-01	\$	77,801		2,196	2.9%	\$	63,633	\$	1,805	2.9%	\$	85,101	\$	2,396	2.9%	\$	21,997	\$	667	3.1%	\$	77,801	\$	2,196	2.9%	\$	20,593	\$	581	2.9%
1999-00	\$	75,605	\$2	2,768	3.8%	\$	61,828	\$	2,278	3.8%	\$	82,705	\$	3,018	3.8%	\$	21,331	\$	852	4.2%	\$	75,605	\$	2,768	3.8%	\$	20,011	\$	733	3.8%
1998-99	\$	72,837	\$ 3	3,623	5.2%	\$	59,550	\$	2,971	5.3%	\$	79,687	\$	3,957	5.2%	\$	20,479	\$	1,064	5.5%	\$	72,837	\$	3,623	5.2%	\$	19,279	\$	959	5.2%
1997-98	\$	69,214	\$ 3	3,491	5.3%	\$	56,579	\$	2,883	5.4%	\$	75,730	\$	3,799	5.3%	\$	19,415	\$	1,127	6.2%	\$	69,214	\$	3,491	5.3%	\$	18,320	\$	924	5.3%
1996-97	\$	65,723	\$ 3	8,670	5.9%	\$	53,696	\$	3,016	6.0%	\$	71,931	\$	4,006	5.9%	\$	18,288	\$	1,106	6.4%	\$	65,723	\$	3,670	5.9%	\$	17,396	\$	972	5.9%
1995-96	\$	62,053	\$2	2,487	4.2%	\$	50,680	\$	2,040	4.2%	\$	67,925	\$	2,715	4.2%	\$	17,182	\$	733	4.5%	\$	62,053	\$	2,487	4.2%	\$	16,424	\$	658	4.2%
1994-95	\$	59,566	\$ 3	3,199	5.7%	\$	48,640	\$	2,614	5.7%	\$	65,210	\$	3,501	5.7%	\$	16,449	\$	893	5.7%	\$	59,566		-	-	\$	15,766		-	-
1993-94	\$	56,367	\$2	2,220	4.1%	\$	46,026	\$	1,827	4.1%	\$	61,709	\$	2,420	4.1%	\$	15,556	\$	683	4.6%			iee no	otes			S	See n	otes	
1992-93	\$	54,147	\$	12	0.0%	\$	44,199	\$	12	0.0%	\$	59,289	\$	13	0.0%	\$	14,873	\$	12	0.1%	\$	54,135	\$	-	0.0%	\$	14,329	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$	54,135	\$ 2	2,487	4.8%	\$	44,187	\$	2,044	4.9%	\$	59,276	\$	2,713	4.8%	\$	14,861	\$	753	5.3%	\$	54,135	\$	2,487	4.8%	\$	14,329	\$	659	4.8%
1990-91	\$	51,648	\$ 2	2,127	4.3%	\$	42,143	\$	1,747	4.3%	\$	56,563	\$	2,320	4.3%	\$	14,108	\$	667	5.0%	\$	51,648	\$	2,127	4.3%	\$	13,670	\$	583	4.5%
1989-90	\$	49,521	\$ 2	2,336	5.0%	\$	40,396	\$	1,918	5.0%	\$	54,243	\$	7,058	15.0%	\$	13,441	\$	772	6.1%	\$	49,521	\$	2,336	5.0%	\$	13,087	\$	684	5.5%
1988-89	\$	47,185	\$ 6	6,576	16.2%	\$	38,478	\$	6,156	19.0%	\$	47,185	\$	2,286	5.1%	\$	12,669	\$	645	5.4%	\$	47,185	\$	2,286	5.1%	\$	12,403	\$	616	5.2%
1987-88	\$	40,609	\$ 1	,932	5.0%	\$	32,322	\$	1,538	5.0%	\$	44,899	\$	2,135	5.0%	\$	12,024	\$	576	5.0%	\$	44,899	\$	2,135	5.0%	\$	11,787	\$	563	5.0%
1986-87	\$	38,677	\$ 2	2,540	7.0%	\$	30,784	\$	2,023	7.0%	\$	42,764	\$	2,807	7.0%	\$	11,448	\$	767	7.2%	\$	42,764	\$	2,807	7.0%	\$	11,224	\$	744	7.1%
1985-86	\$	36,137	-	-	-	\$	28.761		-	-	\$	39.957		-	-	\$	10.681		-	-	\$	39.957		-	-	\$	10.480		-	-

Colorise of Floated County Constitutional Officers and Coloral District Officials Durawant to the Colory Formula in Chanter 44F. Florida Statutor

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members: therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Hardee County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2014-15

										Ha	ardee Co	un	ty: Fisc	al years	5 19	985-86 to	20	J14-15										
	CI	erk of Cir	cuit	Court, F	Property																							
Fiscal		Appraise	er &	Tax Coll	ector	Superv	isor	of Elect	ions			Sł	neriff			County	Co	mmissio	ners	School	Sup	erintend	dent		School	Boa	rd Memb	bers
Year		Salary	63	6 Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	44	6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$	99,741	\$	243	0.2%	\$ 82,177	\$	197	0.2%	\$	108,680	\$	267	0.2%	\$	31,187	\$	59	0.2%	\$ 99,741	\$	243	0.2%	\$	26,399	\$	64	0.2%
2013-14	\$	99,497	\$	3,604	3.8%	\$ 81,980	\$	2,974	3.8%	\$	108,413	\$	3,923	3.8%	\$	31,128	\$	1,150	3.8%	\$ 99,497	\$	3,604	3.8%	\$	26,334	\$	954	3.8%
2012-13	\$	95,894	\$	(25)	0.0%	\$ 79,007	\$	(24)	0.0%	\$	104,489	\$	(25)	0.0%	\$	29,978	\$	(24)	-0.1%	\$ 95,894	\$	(25)	0.0%	\$	25,381	\$	(7)	0.0%
2011-12	\$	95,919	\$	(194)	-0.2%	\$ 79,031	\$	(185)	-0.2%	\$	104,515	\$	(194)	-0.2%	\$	30,002	\$	(185)	-0.6%	\$ 95,919	\$	(194)	-0.2%	\$	25,387	\$	(51)	-0.2%
2010-11	\$	96,113	\$	137	0.1%	\$ 79,215	\$	130	0.2%	\$	104,709	\$	137	0.1%	\$	30,187	\$	130	0.4%	\$ 96,113	\$	2,180	2.3%	\$	25,439		See no	otes
2009-10	\$	95,976	\$	126	0.1%	\$ 79,085	\$	120	0.2%	\$	104,572	\$	126	0.1%	\$	30,057	\$	120	0.4%	\$ 93,933		See no	otes	\$	25,402		See no	otes
2008-09	\$	95,850	\$	213	0.2%	\$ 78,965	\$	189	0.2%	\$	104,446	\$	222	0.2%	\$	29,937	\$	135	0.5%	\$ 95,850	\$	213	0.2%	\$	25,369	\$	56	0.2%
2007-08	\$	95,638	•	(48)	- 0 .1%	\$ 78,776	\$	(46)	-0.1%	\$	104,224	•	(48)	0.0%	\$	29,802	\$	(45)	-0.2%	\$,	\$	(48)	-0.1%	\$	25,313		-	'
2006-07	\$	95,686	•	3,059	3.3%	\$ 78,822	\$	2,501	3.3%	\$	- /		3,346	3.3%	\$	29,847	\$	863	3.0%	\$,	\$	3,059	3.3%		N/A		-	'
2005-06	\$	92,627	\$	3,799	4.3%	\$ 76,321	\$	3,145	4.3%	\$,	\$	4,129	4.3%	\$	28,984	\$	1,263	4.6%	\$ 	\$	3,799	4.3%		N/A		-	'
2004-05	\$	88,828	\$	1,207	1.4%	\$ 73,176	\$	993	1.4%	\$		\$	1,316	1.4%	\$	27,721	\$	370	1.4%	\$ 88,828		-	-		N/A		-	<u> </u>
2003-04	\$	87,621	•	1,867	2.2%	\$ 72,183	\$	1,557	2.2%	\$	95,481	\$	2,021	2.2%	\$	27,352	\$	678	2.5%	-	See r	notes			N/A		-	<u> </u>
2002-03	\$	85,755	\$	2,128	2.5%	\$ 70,626	\$	1,752	2.5%	\$	93,461	\$	2,319	2.5%	\$	26,673	\$	659	2.5%	\$ 	\$	2,128	2.5%		-	iee r	notes	
2001-02	\$	83,627	\$	3,576	4.5%	\$ 68,874	\$	3,099	4.7%	\$	91,141	\$	3,791	4.3%	\$	26,015	\$	1,875	7.8%	\$ 	\$	3,576	4.5%	\$,	\$	946	4.5%
2000-01	\$	80,051	\$	2,134	2.7%	\$ 65,776	\$	1,746	2.7%	\$	87,350	\$	2,334	2.7%	\$	24,140	\$	608	2.6%	\$ 	\$	2,134	2.7%	\$	21,188		565	2.7%
1999-00	\$	77,916	\$	2,835	3.8%	\$ 64,029	\$	2,341	3.8%	\$	85,017	\$	3,085	3.8%	\$	23,532	\$	915	4.0%	\$,	\$	2,835	3.8%	\$	20,623		750	3.8%
1998-99	\$	75,082	\$	3,647	5.1%	\$ 61,688	\$	2,994	5.1%	\$	81,932	\$	3,981	5.1%	\$	22,617	\$	1,087	5.0%	\$ 75,082	\$	3,647	5.1%	\$	19,872	\$	965	5.1%
1997-98	\$	71,435	•	3,291	4.8%	\$ 58,694	\$	2,693	4.8%	\$	77,951	\$	3,599	4.8%	\$	21,530	\$	937	4.6%	\$,	\$	3,291	4.8%	\$,	\$	871	4.8%
1996-97	\$	68,144	•	3,771	5.9%	\$ 56,001	\$	3,112	5.9%	\$	74,352	\$	4,106	5.8%	\$	20,593	\$	1,201	6.2%	\$,	\$	3,771	5.9%	\$	18,036		998	5.9%
1995-96	\$	64,373	\$	2,599	4.2%	\$ 52,889	\$	2,146	4.2%	\$	-, -	\$	2,828	4.2%	\$	19,392	\$	841	4.5%	\$. ,	\$	2,599	4.2%	\$	17,038	\$	688	4.2%
1994-95	\$		\$	3,499	6.0%	\$ 50,743	\$	2,900	6.1%	\$	67,418	\$	3,801	6.0%	\$	18,551	•	1,178	6.8%	\$ 61,774		-	-	\$	16,350		-	<u> </u>
1993-94	\$	58,275		2,428	4.3%	\$ 47,843	\$	2,025	4.4%	\$	63,617	\$	2,628	4.3%	\$	17,373		881	5.3%		See r	notes					notes	
1992-93	\$	55,847	•	60	0.1%	\$ 45,818	\$	57	0.1%	\$	60,989	\$	60	0.1%	\$	16,492	\$	58	0.4%	\$ 	\$	-	0.0%	\$	14,766	•	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$	55,787	\$	1,868	3.5%	\$ 45,761	\$	1,455	3.3%	\$	60,929	\$	2,095	3.6%	\$	16,434	\$	162	1.0%	\$ 00,101	\$	1,868	3.5%	\$	14,766	\$	495	3.5%
1990-91	\$	53,919		2,207	4.3%	\$ 44,306	\$	1,824	4.3%	\$	58,834	\$	2,400	4.3%	\$	16,272	\$	720	4.6%	\$ 53,919	\$	2,207	4.3%	\$	14,271	\$	584	4.3%
1989-90	\$	51,712	\$	2,374	4.8%	\$ 42,482	\$	1,953	4.8%	\$	56,434	\$	7,096	14.4%	\$	15,552	\$	729	4.9%	\$ 51,712	\$	2,374	4.8%	\$	13,687	\$	628	4.8%
1988-89	\$	49,338	\$	6,705	15.7%	\$ 40,529	\$	6,280	18.3%	\$	49,338	\$	2,415	5.1%	\$	14,823	\$	754	5.4%	\$ 49,338	\$	2,415	5.1%	\$	13,059	\$	639	5.1%
1987-88	\$	42,633	\$	2,128	5.3%	\$ 34,249	\$	1,725	5.3%	\$	46,923	\$	2,332	5.2%	\$	14,069	\$	767	5.8%	\$ 46,923	\$	2,332	5.2%	\$	12,420	\$	618	5.2%
1986-87	\$	40,505	\$	2,700	7.1%	\$ 32,524	\$	2,175	7.2%	\$	44,591	\$	2,966	7.1%	\$	13,302	\$	917	7.4%	\$ 44,591	\$	2,966	7.1%	\$	11,802	\$	785	7.1%
1985-86	\$	37,805		-	-	\$ 30,349		-	-	\$	41,625		-	-	\$	12,385		-	-	\$ 41,625		-	-	\$	11,017		-	
															· · · ·									-				

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-2034, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Hendry County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2014-15

											He	endry Co	un	ty: Fisc	al years	; 19	85-86 to	20	014-15									
	Clerk o	f Circ	uit Court,	Prope	rty																							
Fiscal	Арр	aiser	& Tax Co	llector			Supervi	sor	of Elect	ions			Sł	neriff			County	Co	mmissio	ners	School	Sup	erintenc	dent	School	Boa	rd Meml	oers
Year	Salar	у	\$ Chg.	% Cł	hg.	S	Salary	\$	6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	••	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 103,	135	\$ 171	0.2	%	\$	85,410	\$	128	0.2%	\$	112,075	\$	195	0.2%	\$	34,420	\$	(10)	0.0%	\$ 103,135	\$	171	0.2%	\$ 27,297	\$	45	0.2%
2013-14	\$ 102,	964	\$ 3,443	3.5	%	\$	85,282	\$	2,820	3.4%	\$	111,880	\$	3,763	3.5%	\$	34,430	\$	997	3.0%	\$ 102,964	\$	3,443	3.5%	\$ 27,252	\$	911	3.5%
2012-13	\$ 99,	522	\$ (75) -0.1	%	\$	82,462	\$	(71)	-0.1%	\$	108,117	\$	(75)	-0.1%	\$	33,434	\$	(71)	-0.2%	\$ 99,522	\$	(75)	-0.1%	\$ 26,340	\$	(20)	-0.1%
2011-12	\$ 99,	597	\$ (703) -0.7	%	\$	82,533	\$	(669)	-0.8%	\$	108,192	\$	(703)	-0.6%	\$	33,505	\$	(669)	-2.0%	\$ 99,597	\$	(703)	-0.7%	\$ 26,360	\$	(186)	-0.7%
2010-11	\$ 100,	299	•			\$	83,202	\$	32	0.0%	\$	108,895	\$	34	0.0%	\$	34,174	\$	32	0.1%	\$ 100,299	\$	2,534	2.6%	\$ 26,546		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 100,	266	\$ 505	0.5	%	\$	83,170	\$	481	0.6%	\$	108,861	\$	505	0.5%	\$	34,142	\$	481	1.4%	\$ 97,765		See no	otes	\$ 26,537		See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 99,	761	\$ 423	0.4	%	\$	82,689	\$	389	0.5%	\$	108,356	\$	432	0.4%	\$	33,661	\$	335	1.0%	\$ 99,761	\$	423	0.4%	\$ 26,403	\$	112	0.4%
2007-08	\$ 99,	338	•			\$	82,300	\$	92	0.1%	\$	107,924	\$	96	0.1%	\$	33,326	\$	92	0.3%	\$ 99,338	\$	96	0.1%	\$ 26,292		-	-
2006-07	\$ 99,	242	,		%	\$	82,208	\$	3,040	3.8%	\$	107,828	\$	3,912	3.8%	\$	33,234	\$	1,403	4.4%	\$,	\$	3,625	3.8%	N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 95,	617	\$ 4,066	4.4	%	\$	79,168	\$	3,399	4.5%	\$	103,916	\$	4,396	4.4%	\$	31,831	\$	1,517	5.0%	\$ 95,617	\$	4,066	4.4%	N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$91,	551	\$ 1,360	1.59	%	\$	75,769	\$	1,139	1.5%	\$	99,520	\$	1,470	1.5%	\$	30,314	\$	516	1.7%	\$ 91,551		-	-	N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 90,	-	\$ 1,725			\$	74,630	\$	1,422	1.9%	\$	98,051	\$	1,879	2.0%	\$	29,798	\$	543	1.9%	-	ee r	notes		N/A		-	-
2002-03	• • • • • • •	466	, , .	2.6		\$	73,208	\$	1,845	2.6%	\$	96,172	\$	2,417	2.6%	\$	29,255	\$	752	2.6%	\$,	\$	2,226	2.6%	-		notes	
2001-02	,	240	. ,	4.99		\$	71,363	\$	3,512	5.2%	\$	93,754	\$	4,225	4.7%	\$	28,503	\$	2,289	8.7%	\$ 	\$	4,011	4.9%	\$ 22,825		1,061	4.9%
2000-01	\$ 82,	229	\$ 2,299	2.99	%	\$	67,850	\$	1,903	2.9%	\$	89,529	\$	2,499	2.9%	\$	26,214	\$	765	3.0%	\$ 82,229	\$	2,299	2.9%	\$ 21,764	\$	608	2.9%
1999-00	\$ 79,	930	\$ 2,829	3.79	%	\$	65,947	\$	2,336	3.7%	\$	87,030	\$	3,079	3.7%	\$	25,450	\$	910	3.7%	\$ 79,930	\$	2,829	3.7%	\$ 21,155	\$	749	3.7%
1998-99	\$77,	101	\$ 3,800	5.29	%	\$	63,611	\$	3,140	5.2%	\$	83,951	\$	4,134	5.2%	\$	24,540	\$	1,233	5.3%	\$ 77,101	\$	3,800	5.2%	\$ 20,407	\$	1,006	5.2%
1997-98	\$ 73,	301	\$ 3,618	5.29	%	\$	60,471	\$	3,004	5.2%	\$	79,817	\$	3,926	5.2%	\$	23,307	\$	1,248	5.7%	\$ 73,301	\$	3,618	5.2%	\$ 19,401	\$	958	5.2%
1996-97	\$ 69,	683	\$ 3,938	6.0	%	\$	57,467	\$	3,270	6.0%	\$	75,891	\$	4,273	6.0%	\$	22,059	\$	1,360	6.6%	\$,	\$	3,938	6.0%	\$ 18,443	\$	1,042	6.0%
1995-96	\$ 65,	745	\$ 2,696	4.3	%	\$	54,197	\$	2,240	4.3%	\$	71,618	\$	2,925	4.3%	\$	20,699	\$	933	4.7%	\$ 65,745	\$	2,696	4.3%	\$ 17,401	\$	713	4.3%
1994-95	\$ 63,	049	\$ 3,415	5.79	%	\$	51,957	\$	2,820	5.7%	\$	68,693	\$	3,717	5.7%	\$	19,766	\$	1,099	5.9%	\$ 63,049		-	-	\$ 16,688		-	-
1993-94	\$ 59,	634	\$ 2,356	4.19	%	\$	49,137	\$	1,957	4.1%	\$	64,976	\$	2,557	4.1%	\$	18,667	\$	813	4.6%	S	See r	notes		S	See r	notes	
1992-93	\$ 57,	278	\$281	0.5	%	\$	47,180	\$	267	0.6%	\$	62,419	\$	281	0.5%	\$	17,854	\$	268	1.5%	\$ 56,997	\$	-	0.0%	\$ 15,086	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 56,	997	\$ 2,443	4.5	%	\$	46,913	\$	2,002	4.5%	\$	62,138	\$	2,669	4.5%	\$	17,586	\$	710	4.2%	\$ 56,997	\$	2,443	4.5%	\$ 15,086	\$	647	4.5%
1990-91	\$ 54,	554	\$ 2,270	4.39	%	\$	44,911	\$	1,885	4.4%	\$	59,469	\$	2,464	4.3%	\$	16,876	\$	780	4.8%	\$ 54,554	\$	2,270	4.3%	\$ 14,439	\$	601	4.3%
1989-90	\$ 52,	284	\$ 2,527	5.19	%	\$	43,026	\$	2,098	5.1%	\$	57,005	\$	7,248	14.6%	\$	16,096	\$	874	5.7%	\$ 52,284	\$	2,527	5.1%	\$ 13,838	\$	669	5.1%
1988-89	\$ 49,	757	\$ 6,852	16.0)%	\$	40,928	\$	6,420	18.6%	\$	49,757	\$	2,561	5.4%	\$	15,222	\$	894	6.2%	\$ 49,757	\$	2,561	5.4%	\$ 13,169	\$	677	5.4%
1987-88	\$ 42,	905	\$ 2,161	5.39	%	\$	34,508	\$	1,757	5.4%	\$	47,196	\$	2,366	5.3%	\$	14,328	\$	798	5.9%	\$ 47,196	\$	2,366	5.3%	\$ 12,492	\$	626	5.3%
1986-87	\$ 40,	744	\$ 2,815	7.49	%	\$	32,751	\$	2,284	7.5%	\$	44,830	\$	3,082	7.4%	\$	13,530	\$	1,027	8.2%	\$ 44,830	\$	3,082	7.4%	\$ 11,866	\$	816	7.4%
1985-86	\$ 37,	929	-	-	1	\$	30,467		-	-	\$	41,748		-	-	\$	12,503		-	-	\$ 41,748		-	-	\$ 11,050		-	-
	•																											

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-2004-2004 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	Salaries of	of Electe	d Coun	ıty	Constit	utiona	Officers	s a	nd Scho	ol	Distri	ct Offic	ials	s Pursu	an	t to the	e Salary	y F	ormula	i in	Chapt	er 145,	, Fle	orida S	tatı	utes	
								He	rnando C	oui	nty: Fis	cal Year	's 1	985-86 t	o 2	014-15											
	Clerk of Circ	cuit Court,	Property																								
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervi	sor of El	ctions			Sh	eriff			County	Cor	nmissio	ners		School	Sup	perintenc	lent		School I	Boa	rd Memł	bers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 125,527	\$ 418	0.3%	\$	106,736	\$ 30	4 0.3%	\$	134,467	\$	442	0.3%	\$	65,223	\$	311	0.5%	\$	125,527	\$	418	0.3%	\$	34,545	\$	118	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 125,109	\$ 4,490	3.7%	\$	106,372	\$ 3,8	8 3.7%	\$	134,025	\$	4,810	3.7%	\$	64,912	\$	2,333	3.7%	\$	125,109	\$	4,490	3.7%	\$	34,426	\$	1,236	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 120,619		0.0%	\$	102,555			\$	129,215	\$	32	0.0%	\$	0=,0:0	\$	55	0.1%		120,619	\$	32	0.0%	\$			10	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 120,587		0.7%	\$	102,524		1 0.8%	\$	129,183	\$	831	0.6%	\$	62,523	\$	1,424	2.3%		120,587	\$	831	0.7%	\$	33,180	\$	264	0.8%
2010-11	\$ 119,756		0.0%	\$	101,733		4 0.0%	\$	128,352	\$	15	0.0%	\$.,	\$	26	0.0%		119,756	\$	2,696	2.3%	\$	32,917		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 119,741		0.2%	•	.,	•			128,337		292	0.2%	\$	- /-	\$	500	0.8%		117,060		See no		\$	32,912		See no	
2008-09	\$ 119,449		0.6%	\$.,				128,045		697	0.5%	\$,	\$	1,021	1.7%		,	\$	688	0.6%	\$	32,819	\$	213	0.7%
2007-08	\$ 118,761		0.6%	\$,			•	127,347		666	0.5%	\$		\$	1,144	2.0%		,	\$	667	0.6%	\$	32,607		-	-
2006-07	\$ 118,094	. ,	4.0%	\$, .	\$ 3,9			126,681	\$	4,815	4.0%	\$,	\$	2,945	5.3%		118,094	\$	4,528	4.0%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 113,567	. ,	4.6%	\$	96,263	\$ 4,2		•	1	\$	5,298	4.5%	\$,	\$	2,980	5.7%		113,567	\$	4,968	4.6%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 108,599	. ,	1.8%	\$	92,004	\$ 1,6		•	116,568	\$	2,009	1.8%	\$,	\$	1,425	2.8%	\$	108,599		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 106,699	, ,	2.3%	\$	90,352	\$ 2,1		•	114,558		2,604	2.3%	\$	- /	\$	1,615	3.3%			See I	notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 104,249	. ,	2.7%	\$	88,239	\$ 2,3			111,955	÷.	2,968	2.7%	\$	49,443	\$	1,545	3.2%	•	104,249	\$	2,776	2.7%	L_	-		notes	
2001-02	\$ 101,472	,	3.3%	\$	85,870	• /		•	,	\$	3,422	3.2%	\$	47,898	\$	1,901	4.1%	\$	101,472	\$	3,208	3.3%	\$	27,755		891	3.3%
2000-01	\$ 98,264	. ,	3.0%	\$		\$ 2,4			105,564	\$	3,099	3.0%	\$	45,997	\$	1,621	3.7%	\$	98,264	\$	2,899	3.0%	\$	26,863		802	3.1%
1999-00	\$ 95,365		3.9%	\$	80,647	\$ 3,07		\$	- /	\$	3,857	3.9%	\$,	\$	1,990	4.7%	\$	95,365	\$	3,607	3.9%	\$	26,062		997	4.0%
1998-99	¥ • • • • • •	\$ 4,655	5.3%	\$		\$ 3,9		\$,	\$	4,989	5.3%	\$,	\$	2,372	5.9%	\$	91,758	\$	4,655	5.3%	\$	25,065		1,279	5.4%
1997-98	\$ 87,103	, , .	5.2%	\$		\$ 3,6		\$		÷.	4,583	5.1%	\$	- ,	\$	2,162	5.7%	\$	87,103	\$	4,275	5.2%	\$	23,786		1,175	5.2%
1996-97		\$ 4,690	6.0%	\$	69,986	\$ 3,98		\$,	\$	5,025	6.0%	\$. ,	\$	2,423	6.8%	\$	82,828	\$	4,690	6.0%	\$,-	\$	1,290	6.1%
1995-96	\$ 78,138		4.4%	\$	65,999	\$ 2,78		\$	- /-	\$	3,500	4.3%	\$,	\$	1,765	5.2%	\$	78,138	\$	3,271	4.4%	\$	1-	\$	903	4.4%
1994-95	¥,	\$ 4,243	6.0%	\$	÷÷,=·=	\$ 3,60		\$		\$	4,545	6.0%	\$		\$	2,210	7.0%	\$	74,867		-	-	\$	20,418	<u> </u>	-	-
1993-94	• • • • •	\$ 2,890	4.3%	\$	59,604	\$ 2,40		\$	- 1	\$	3,090	4.2%	\$	- ,	\$	1,591	5.3%		-		notes		<u> </u>			notes	
1992-93	\$ 67,734		0.3%	\$	57,139			\$,		211	0.3%	\$,	\$	361	1.2%	\$	67,524	\$	-	0.0%	\$	18,385		-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 67,524		7.0%	\$	56,939	\$ 3,9		\$	1	\$	4,668	6.9%	\$		\$	2,750	10.3%	\$	67,524	\$	4,442	7.0%	\$	18,385		1,202	7.0%
1990-91	\$ 63,082		5.2%	\$	53,032	\$ 2,70		\$		\$	3,326	5.1%	\$	==;:==	\$	1,669	6.7%	\$	63,082	\$	3,132	5.2%	\$	17,183		848	5.2%
1989-90	+	\$ 3,568	6.3%	\$	50,327	\$ 3,0		\$	- /-	\$	8,289	14.7%	\$	==,==	\$	1,943	8.4%	\$	59,950	\$	3,568	6.3%	\$	16,335		965	6.3%
1988-89		\$ 7,780	16.0%	\$	47,237	\$ 7,3		\$	/	\$	3,490	6.6%	\$	- /	\$	1,856	8.7%	\$	56,382	\$	3,490	6.6%	\$	15,370		946	6.6%
1987-88	÷,	\$ 3,033	6.7%	\$		\$ 2,5		\$,		3,236	6.5%	\$,	\$	1,700	8.7%	\$	52,892		3,236	6.5%	\$	14,424		877	6.5%
1986-87	\$ 45,569	\$ 3,646	8.7%	\$	- /-	\$ 3,07	6 9.0%	\$		\$	3,914	8.6%	\$	- /	\$	1,914	10.8%	\$,	\$	3,914	8.6%	\$	13,547	\$	1,062	8.5%
1985-86	\$ 41,923	-	-	\$	34,271	-	-	\$	45,742		-	-	\$	17,671		-	-	\$	45,742		-	-	\$	12,485	L	-	

Colorise of Floated County Constitutional Officers and Coloral District Officials Durawant to the Colory Formula in Chanter 44F. Florida Statutor

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members: therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	Salaries (of Electe	d Coun	ity	Constit	ution	al O	fficers	ar	nd Scho	ol	Distric	ct Offic	ials	s Pursu	an	t to the	e Salar	y F	ormula	ı in	Chapt	ter 145	, Fl⁄	orida S	tatı	ıtes	
								F	lig	hlands C	ou	nty: Fis	scal Yea	rs 1	985-86 t	o 2	014-15											
	Clerk of Cire	cuit Court,	Property																									
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervis	sor of I	lection	ons			Sh	eriff			County	Cor	nmissio	ners		School	Sup	erintenc	dent		School	Boar	d Mem	bers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Ch	g.	% Chg.		Salary	4 7	GChg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 117,036	\$ 353	0.3%	\$	98,649	\$	302	0.3%	\$	125,976	\$	378	0.3%	\$	50,852	\$	173	0.3%	\$	117,036	\$	353	0.3%	\$	31,863	\$	96	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 116,683	\$ 4,248	3.8%	\$	98,347	\$3,	587	3.8%	\$	125,598	\$	4,567	3.8%	\$	50,679	\$	1,877	3.8%	\$	116,683	\$	4,248	3.8%	\$	31,767	\$	1,156	3.8%
2012-13	\$ 112,435			\$	94,760		(18)	0.0%	\$	121,031	\$	(19)	0.0%	\$		\$	(18)	0.0%	\$	112,435	\$	(19)	0.0%	\$	30,611	\$	(5)	
2011-12	\$ 112,454			\$	÷.,	\$ (228)	-0.2%		121,050		(239)	-0.2%	\$	48,820	\$	(228)	-0.5%		112,454	\$	(239)	-0.2%	\$	30,616	\$	(63)	-0.2%
2010-11	\$ 112,693	\$ (96)	-0.1%	\$	95,006	\$	(92)	-0.1%	\$	121,289	\$	(96)	-0.1%	\$	49,048	\$	(109)	-0.2%	\$	112,693	\$	2,504	2.3%	\$	30,679		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 112,789		0.3%	\$	95,098	\$	334	0.4%	\$	121,385	\$	351	0.3%	\$	49,156	\$	351	0.7%	\$	110,190		See no		\$	30,706		See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 112,438		0.6%	\$	94,763	\$	608	0.6%		121,034	•	662	0.6%	\$	48,805	\$	558	1.2%	\$	112,438	\$	653	0.6%	\$	30,612	\$	174	0.6%
2007-08	\$ 111,785		0.7%	\$.,		788	0.8%		120,372		827	0.7%	\$	48,248	\$	788	1.7%		111,785	\$	827	0.7%	\$	30,438	L	-	-
2006-07	\$ 110,958	. ,	3.8%	\$	93,367	\$3,	154	3.8%	\$	119,545	\$	4,347	3.8%	\$	47,459	\$	1,919	4.2%	\$	110,958	\$	4,059	3.8%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 106,899	\$ 4,648	4.5%	\$	89,913	\$3,	954	4.6%	\$	115,198	\$	4,978	4.5%	\$	45,540	\$	2,190	5.1%	\$	106,899	\$	4,649	4.5%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 102,251	. ,	1.7%	\$	85,959	. ,	183	1.8%	\$	110,220	\$	1,830	1.7%	\$	43,350	\$	899	2.1%	\$	102,251		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 100,529	. ,	2.2%	\$	84,476		338	2.2%	\$	108,389	\$	2,315	2.2%	\$	42,452	\$	1,014	2.4%			See r	notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 98,368	\$ 2,637	2.8%	\$	82,638		237	2.8%	\$	106,074	\$	2,828	2.7%	\$	41,438	\$	1,212	3.0%	\$	98,368	\$	2,637	2.8%		-	See n		
2001-02	\$ 95,731	\$ 4,096	4.5%	\$	80,402	,	593	4.7%	\$	103,245	\$	4,310	4.4%	\$	40,226	\$	2,446	6.5%	\$	95,731	\$	4,096	4.5%	\$	26,082	•	1,105	4.4%
2000-01	\$ 91,635	. ,	3.0%	\$	76,809		240	3.0%	\$	98,935	\$	2,852	3.0%	\$	37,780	\$	1,172	3.2%	\$	91,635	\$	2,652	3.0%	\$	24,977	•	722	3.0%
1999-00	\$ 88,983	\$ 3,323	3.9%	\$	74,569	. ,	306	3.9%	\$	96,083	\$	3,573	3.9%	\$	36,607	\$	1,470	4.2%	\$	88,983	\$	3,323	3.9%	\$	24,255		904	3.9%
1998-99	+;	\$ 4,481	5.5%	\$	71,763	. ,	789	5.6%	\$	92,510	\$	4,816	5.5%	\$	35,138	\$	2,002	6.0%	\$	85,660	\$	4,481	5.5%	\$	23,351		1,219	5.5%
1997-98	, .	\$ 3,972	5.1%	\$	•.,•		342	5.2%	\$	87,694	\$	4,280	5.1%	\$	33,136	\$	1,695	5.4%	\$	81,179	\$	3,972	5.1%	\$	1 -	•	1,082	5.1%
1996-97	\$ 77,207	. ,	6.1%	\$. ,	723	6.1%	\$	83,414	\$	4,748	6.0%	\$	31,441	\$	1,932	6.5%	\$	77,207	\$	4,414	6.1%	\$	21,050		1,202	6.1%
1995-96	\$ 72,793	. ,	4.7%	\$			304	4.8%	\$	78,666	\$	3,517	4.7%	\$	29,509	\$	1,580	5.7%	\$	72,793	\$	3,288	4.7%	\$	19,848	\$	893	4.7%
1994-95	\$ 69,505	\$ 3,885	5.9%	\$	58,105		267	6.0%	\$	75,149	\$	4,187	5.9%	\$	27,929	\$	1,653	6.3%	\$	69,505		-	-	\$	18,955		-	-
1993-94	\$ 65,620	\$ 2,702	4.3%	\$	54,838		286	4.3%	\$	70,962	\$	2,902	4.3%	\$	26,276	\$	1,213	4.8%				notes					otes	
1992-93	\$ 62,918	\$ 335	0.5%	\$	52,552	\$	319	0.6%	\$	68,060	\$	336	0.5%	\$	25,063	\$	320	1.3%	\$	62,583	\$	-	0.0%	\$	17,073	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 62,583		4.4%	\$	52,233	. ,	208	4.4%	\$	67,724	\$	2,885	4.4%	\$	24,743	\$	998	4.2%	\$	62,583	\$	2,660	4.4%	\$	17,073		726	4.4%
1990-91	\$ 59,923		4.8%	\$	50,025	. ,	337	4.9%	\$	64,839	\$	2,939	4.7%	\$	23,745	\$	1,301	5.8%	\$	59,923	\$	2,744	4.8%	\$	16,347		746	4.8%
1989-90	4 0 1 1 1	\$ 2,984	5.5%	\$	47,688	. ,	534	5.6%	\$	61,900	\$	7,705	14.2%	\$	22,444	\$	1,386	6.6%	\$.,	\$	2,984	5.5%	\$	- 1	\$	811	5.5%
1988-89	\$ 54,195		15.6%	\$	45,154	1 . /	370	17.9%	\$	54,195	\$	3,034	5.9%	\$	21,058	\$	1,422	7.2%	\$	54,195	\$	3,034	5.9%	\$	14,790		824	5.9%
1987-88	\$ 46,870	\$ 2,477	5.6%	\$	38,284	\$2,	057	5.7%	\$	51,161	\$	2,681	5.5%	\$	19,636	\$	1,171	6.3%	\$	51,161	\$	2,681	5.5%	\$	13,966		730	5.5%
1986-87	\$ 44,393	\$ 3,150	7.6%	\$	36,227	\$2,	504	7.7%	\$	48,480	\$	3,418	7.6%	\$	18,465	\$	1,442	8.5%	\$	48,480	\$	3,418	7.6%	\$	13,236	\$	931	7.6%
1985-86	\$ 41,243	-	-	\$	33,623	-		-	\$	45,062		-	-	\$	17,023		-	-	\$	45,062		-	-	\$	12,305	L	-	-

Colorise of Floated County Constitutional Officers and Coloral District Officials Durawant to the Colory Formula in Chanter 44F. Florida Statutor

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members: therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	Salaries of	of Electe	d Cour	nty	Constit	uti	onal C	Officers	s ar	nd Scho	ol	Distrie	ct Offic	ials	s Pursu	an	t to the	e Salar	уF	ormula	i in	Chapt	ter 145	, Fl⁄	orida S	tatı	utes	
								Hi	llst	borough	Со	unty: F	iscal Ye	ars	1985-86	to	2014-1	5										
	Clerk of Circ	cuit Court, I	Property																									
Fiscal	Appraiser	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervi	sor	of Electi	ions			Sh	eriff			County	Coi	nmissio	ners		School	Sup	perintenc	dent		School	Boar	rd Meml	bers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	~	6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	4	6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 159,980	\$ 778	0.5%	\$	139,772	\$	723	0.5%	\$	168,919	\$	802	0.5%	\$	95,782	\$	259	0.3%	\$	159,980	\$	778	0.5%	\$	42,570	\$	115	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 159,202	\$ 5,991	3.9%	\$	139,049	\$	5,268	3.9%	\$	168,118	\$	6,311	3.9%	\$	95,523	\$	3,426	3.7%	\$	159,202	\$	5,991	3.9%	\$	42,455	\$	1,523	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 153,211		0.1%	\$	/-	\$	159	0.1%		- /		159	0.1%	\$	- /	\$	-	0.0%	\$	153,211	\$	159	0.1%	\$	40,932		-	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 153,052		0.3%	\$	/ -	\$	529	0.4%	\$	161,648	\$	529	0.3%	\$		\$	-	0.0%	\$	153,052	\$	529	0.3%	\$	40,932	\$	-	0.0%
2010-11	\$ 152,523	,		\$		\$	(60)	0.0%	•	- / -	•	(60)	0.0%	\$	- /	\$	-	0.0%	\$	152,523	\$	3,116	2.1%	\$	40,932		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 152,582		0.1%	\$,.	\$	127	0.1%	\$	161,178	\$	127	0.1%	\$,	\$	1	0.0%	\$	149,407		See no		\$	40,932	<u> </u>	See no	
2008-09	\$ 152,456		0.4%	\$		\$	611	0.5%	•	161,051	•	642	0.4%	\$	- ,	\$	101	0.1%	\$	152,456	\$	633	0.4%	\$,=	\$	45	0.1%
2007-08	\$ 151,823		0.4%	\$	- /		536	0.4%		160,409		536	0.3%	\$	- ,	\$	(1)	0.0%	\$	151,823	\$	536	0.4%	\$	40,887	<u> </u>	-	-
2006-07	\$ 151,287		3.7%	\$	- /	\$	4,776	3.8%	_	159,873	-	5,712	3.7%	\$	- ,	\$	3,077	3.5%	\$	151,287	\$	5,425	3.7%	<u> </u>	N/A	ļ	-	-
2005-06	\$ 145,862	. ,	4.5%	\$, -		5,492	4.5%		154,161		6,568	4.4%	\$		\$	3,536	4.1%	\$	145,862	\$	6,238	4.5%	L	N/A	 	-	-
2004-05	\$ 139,624	. ,	1.7%	\$	1	\$	2,026	1.7%	•	1	•	2,382	1.6%	\$,	\$	1,171	1.4%	\$	139,624		-	-	L	N/A	 	-	-
2003-04	\$ 137,351	. ,	2.3%		119,584	\$	2,765	2.4%	•	145,211	\$	3,268	2.3%	\$	÷.,=.÷	\$	1,651	2.0%			See	notes		L	N/A	<u> </u>	-	-
2002-03	\$ 134,238	. ,	2.9%	\$	- /	\$	3,309	2.9%		1	\$	3,935	2.9%	\$	82,562	\$	2,072	2.6%	\$	134,238	\$	3,743	2.9%	L	-		notes	
2001-02	\$ 130,495	\$ 4,299	3.4%		- /	\$	3,787	3.5%	•		\$	4,514	3.4%	\$	80,490	\$	2,844	3.7%	\$	130,495	\$	4,299	3.4%	\$	35,777	•	1,173	3.4%
2000-01	\$ 126,196	. ,	3.2%	\$, -	\$	3,427	3.2%		133,495	\$	4,098	3.2%	\$,	\$	2,549	3.4%	\$	126,196	\$	3,899	3.2%	\$	34,603		1,065	3.2%
1999-00	\$ 122,297		3.9%	\$,	\$	3,965	3.9%		129,397	\$	4,790	3.8%	\$	75,097	\$	2,866	4.0%	\$	122,297	\$	4,540	3.9%	\$,	\$	1,243	3.8%
1998-99	\$ 117,758	. ,	5.4%	\$	102,331	\$	5,271	5.4%		1	\$	6,373	5.4%	\$	72,231	\$	3,803	5.6%		117,758	\$	6,038	5.4%	\$	32,296	\$	1,653	5.4%
1997-98	\$ 111,720	. ,	5.2%	\$	97,060	\$	4,853	5.3%	•	118,235	\$	5,867	5.2%	\$, -	\$	3,500	5.4%	\$	111,720	\$	5,560	5.2%	\$	30,643	•	1,522	5.2%
1996-97	\$ 106,160	. ,	5.9%	\$		\$	5,167	5.9%		112,368	\$	6,265	5.9%	\$	- /	\$	3,695	6.0%	\$	106,160	\$	5,929	5.9%	\$	- 1	\$	1,625	5.9%
1995-96		\$ 4,092	4.3%	\$		\$	3,568	4.3%	•	,	\$	4,320	4.2%	\$	- ,	\$	2,562	4.4%	\$	100,231	\$	4,092	4.3%	\$	27,496	\$	1,121	4.3%
1994-95	\$ 96,139	. ,	5.8%	\$		\$	4,619	5.9%		- /		5,605	5.8%	\$		\$	3,292	5.9%	\$	96,139		-	-	\$	26,375		-	-
1993-94	\$ 90,836	\$ 3,548	4.1%	\$	78,853	\$ ¢	3,092	4.1%	\$	96,178		3,749	4.1%	\$,	\$	2,211	4.2%	*			notes	0.00/	-	_		notes	0.00/
1992-93	\$ 87,288		0.1%	\$	75,761	م	111	0.1%	\$	92,429		117	0.1%	\$		\$	112	0.2%	\$	87,171	\$	-	0.0%	\$	23,919		-	0.0%
1991-92	Ţ Ţ	\$ 3,755	4.5%	\$	75,650	\$	3,251	4.5%	\$	92,312	\$	3,981	4.5%	\$,	\$	2,255	4.4%	\$	87,171	\$	3,755	4.5%	\$	23,919		1,031	4.5%
1990-91	\$ 83,416	. ,	4.3%	\$	72,399	\$	3,028	4.4%	\$	88,331	\$	3,664	4.3%	\$	50,801	\$	2,177	4.5%	\$	83,416	\$	3,470	4.3%	\$	22,888		951	4.3%
1989-90	÷,	\$ 3,906	5.1%	\$	69,371	\$	3,411	5.2%	\$	84,667	\$	8,627	11.3%	\$	- / -	\$	2,468	5.3%	\$	79,946	\$	3,906	5.1%	\$	21,937		1,069	5.1%
1988-89	÷,	\$ 8,223	12.1%	\$		\$	7,726	13.3%	\$	76,040	\$	3,933	5.5%	\$	- /	\$	2,485	5.7%	\$	76,040	\$	3,933	5.5%	\$	- /		1,076	5.4%
1987-88	\$ 67,817	\$ 3,490	5.4%	\$	58,234	\$	3,023	5.5%	\$	72,107	\$	3,694	5.4%	\$	- / -	\$	2,330	5.6%	\$	72,107	\$	3,694	5.4%	¢	19,792	\$	1,012	5.4%
1986-87	<i> </i>	\$ 4,467	7.5%	\$	••,=••	\$	3,857	7.5%	\$	68,413	\$	4,734	7.4%	\$	1-	\$	2,950	7.7%	\$	68,413	\$	4,734	7.4%	\$	18,780	\$	1,297	7.4%
1985-86	\$ 59,860	-	-	\$	51,354	l	-	-	\$	63,679	l	-	-	Þ	38,391		-	-	\$	63,679		-	-	\$	17,483	<u> </u>	-	-

Colorise of Floated County Constitutional Officers and Coloral District Officials Durawant to the Colory Formula in Chanter 14F. Florida Statuto

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members: therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	Salaries	of Electe	d Coun	ity	Constit	uti	onal C	Officers	ar	nd Scho	0	Distric	ct Offic	als	s Pursu	an	t to the	e Salar	уŀ	ormula	in	Chapt	er 145,	, Fl⁄	orida S	tatu	ites	
									Но	Imes Co	un	ty: Fisc	al Years	i 19	85-86 to	20	14-15											
	Clerk of Ci	cuit Court,	Property																									
Fiscal	Appraise	er & Tax Col	lector		Supervi	sor	of Elect				Sh	neriff				Coi	nmissio	ners		School	Sup	perintenc	lent		School I	Boar	d Meml	bers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 97,173	\$ 276	0.3%	\$	79,732	\$	228	0.3%	\$	106,112	\$	300	0.3%	\$	28,742	\$	90	0.3%	\$	97,173	\$	276	0.3%	\$	25,720	\$	73	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 96,897	\$ 3,502	3.7%	\$	79,504	\$	2,877	3.8%	\$	105,813	\$	3,822	3.7%	\$	28,652	\$	1,053	3.8%	\$	96,897	\$	3,502	3.7%	\$	25,647	\$	927	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 93,395	\$ (8)	0.0%	\$	76,627	\$	(8)	0.0%	\$	101,991	\$	(8)	0.0%	\$	27,599	\$	(8)	0.0%	\$	93,395	\$	(8)	0.0%	\$	24,720	\$	(2)	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 93,403		0.0%	\$	76,635	\$	21	0.0%	\$	101,999	\$	23	0.0%	\$,	\$	21	0.1%	\$	93,403	\$	23	0.0%	\$	24,722	\$	6	0.0%
2010-11	+;:	\$ 32		\$		\$	31	0.0%	\$	101,977	\$	32	0.0%	\$		\$	31	0.1%	\$		\$	1,992	2.2%	\$	24,716		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 93,349	\$ 95	0.1%	\$	76,583	\$	90	0.1%	\$	101,944	\$	95	0.1%	\$	27,554	\$	90	0.3%	\$	91,389		See no	otes	\$	24,707		See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 93,254	•	0.1%	\$	76,492	\$	72	0.1%	· ·	101,849	· ·	100	0.1%	\$,	\$	19	0.1%	\$	93,254		90	0.1%	\$,	\$	24	0.1%
2007-08	\$ 93,163	•	0.1%	\$, .=.	\$	105	0.1%	•	101,750		110	0.1%	\$,	\$	105	0.4%	\$	93,163	\$	110	0.1%	\$	24,658	L	-	-
2006-07	\$ 93,053	1 - 7 -	3.5%	\$	76,315	\$	2,595	3.5%	\$	101,640		3,445	3.5%	\$	27,340	\$	957	3.6%	\$	93,053	\$	3,157	3.5%		N/A	L	-	-
2005-06	\$ 89,896	. ,	4.2%	\$	73,720	\$	2,952	4.2%	\$	98,195	\$	3,926	4.2%	\$,	\$	1,070	4.2%	\$	89,896	\$	3,596	4.2%		N/A	L	-	-
2004-05	\$ 86,300	• • •	1.5%	\$	70,768	\$	1,035	1.5%	\$	94,269	\$	1,361	1.5%	\$,	\$	412	1.7%	\$	86,300		-	-		N/A	L	-	-
2003-04	\$ 85,049	• • • • •	2.0%	\$	69,732	\$	1,365	2.0%	\$	92,908	\$	1,819	2.0%	\$	24,901	\$	486	2.0%			See I	notes			N/A	<u> </u>	-	-
2002-03	\$ 83,383	. ,	2.6%	\$	68,367	\$	1,740	2.6%	\$	91,089	\$	2,307	2.6%	\$, -	\$	647	2.7%	\$	83,383	\$	2,116	2.6%		-	See n		
2001-02	\$ 81,268	\$ 2,228	2.8%	\$	66,627	\$	1,815	2.8%	\$	88,782	\$	2,443	2.8%	\$	-, -	\$	591	2.6%	\$	0.1	\$	2,228	2.8%	\$	21,510	•	590	2.8%
2000-01	\$ 79,039	• • •	3.2%	\$	64,812	\$	2,014	3.2%	\$	86,339	\$	2,614	3.1%	\$	- , -	\$	875	3.9%	\$	79,039	\$	2,415	3.2%	\$	20,920	•	639	3.2%
1999-00	\$ 76,625	\$ 2,786	3.8%	\$	62,799	\$	2,295	3.8%	\$	83,725	\$	3,036	3.8%	\$	22,301	\$	869	4.1%	\$	76,625	\$	2,786	3.8%	\$		\$	737	3.8%
1998-99	\$ 73,839	,	5.2%	\$	60,504	\$	2,999	5.2%	\$	80,689	\$	3,986	5.2%	\$	21,433	\$	1,092	5.4%	\$	73,839	\$	3,652	5.2%	\$	19,544	•	967	5.2%
1997-98	\$ 70,187	\$ 3,323	5.0%	\$	57,505	\$	2,723	5.0%	\$	76,703	\$	3,632	5.0%	\$	20,341	\$	967	5.0%	\$	70,187	\$	3,323	5.0%	\$	18,577		879	5.0%
1996-97	\$ 66,864	\$ 3,709	5.9%	\$	54,782	\$	3,052	5.9%	\$	73,071	\$	4,043	5.9%	\$,	\$	1,142	6.3%	\$	66,864	\$	3,709	5.9%	\$	17,698		982	5.9%
1995-96	\$ 63,155	. ,	4.3%	\$	51,730	\$	2,137	4.3%	\$	69,028	\$	2,817	4.3%	\$,	\$	831	4.8%	\$	63,155	\$	2,588	4.3%	\$	16,716	\$	685	4.3%
1994-95	\$ 60,567	\$ 3,268	5.7%	\$	49,593	\$	2,680	5.7%	\$	66,211	\$	3,570	5.7%	\$	17,401	\$	957	5.8%	\$	60,567		-	-	\$	16,031	L	-	-
1993-94	\$ 57,299	. ,	4.0%	\$	46,913	\$	1,795	4.0%	\$	62,641	\$	2,387	4.0%	\$	16,444	\$	652	4.1%		-		notes			-		otes	
1992-93	\$ 55,112	•	0.1%	\$	45,118	· ·	41	0.1%	\$	60,254	\$	43	0.1%	\$	- 1 -	\$	41	0.3%	\$	55,070	•	-	0.0%	\$	14,576		-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 55,070	\$ 2,080	3.9%	\$	45,077	\$	1,655	3.8%	\$	60,211	\$	2,306	4.0%	\$	15,751	\$	364	2.4%	\$		\$	2,080	3.9%	\$	14,576		550	3.9%
1990-91	\$ 52,990	\$ 2,215	4.4%	\$	43,422	\$	1,833	4.4%	\$	57,905	\$	2,409	4.3%	\$	15,387	\$	728	5.0%	\$,	\$	2,215	4.4%	\$	14,026	•	587	4.4%
1989-90	\$ 50,775	\$ 2,418	5.0%	\$	41,589	\$	1,995	5.0%	\$	55,496	\$	7,139	14.8%	\$	14,659	\$	770	5.5%	\$		\$	2,418	5.0%	\$	13,439		640	5.0%
1988-89	\$ 48,357		15.9%	\$	39,594	\$	6,207	18.6%	\$		\$	2,339	5.1%	\$	13,889	\$	683	5.2%	\$	- /	\$	2,339	5.1%	\$	12,799		619	5.1%
1987-88	\$ 41,727	\$ 2,079	5.2%	\$	33,387	\$	1,679	5.3%	\$	46,018	\$	2,284	5.2%	\$	13,206	\$	720	5.8%	\$	46,018	\$	2,284	5.2%	\$	12,180		604	5.2%
1986-87	\$ 39,648	\$ 2,623	7.1%	\$	31,708	\$	2,102	7.1%	\$	43,734	\$	2,890	7.1%	\$	12,486	\$	844	7.2%	\$	43,734	\$	2,890	7.1%	\$	11,576	\$	765	7.1%
1985-86	\$ 37,025	-	-	\$	29,606		-	-	\$	40,844		-	-	\$	11,642		-	-	\$	40,844		-	-	\$	10,811	L	-	-

Solarias of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Burguent to the Solary Formula in Chapter 145. Elected Statutes

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members: therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	Salaries of	of Electe	d Coun	ity	Constit	uti	onal C												уŀ	ormula	in	Chapt	er 145,	, FI	orida S	tatı	utes	
								In	dia	an River (Col	unty: Fi	scal Yea	ars	1985-86	to	2014-1	5										
	Clerk of Circ																											
Fiscal		r & Tax Col					of Electi				-	eriff					mmissio					perintend			School I			
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	:	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 121,703	\$ 345	0.3%	\$	103,094	\$	294	0.3%	\$	130,643	\$	369	0.3%	\$	58,667	\$	186	0.3%	\$	121,703	\$	345	0.3%	\$	33,331	\$	95	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 121,358	\$ 4,433	3.8%	\$	102,800	\$	3,764	3.8%	\$	130,274	\$	4,753	3.8%	\$	58,481	\$	2,236	4.0%	\$	121,358	\$	4,433	3.8%	\$			1,218	3.8%
2012-13	\$ 116,925	\$ 72	0.1%	\$	99,036	\$	68	0.1%	\$	125,520	\$	72	0.1%	\$	56,245	\$	123	0.2%	\$	116,925	\$	72	0.1%	\$	32,018	\$	23	0.1%
2011-12	\$ 116,853	\$ (387)	-0.3%	\$		\$	(369)	-0.4%	\$	125,449	\$	(387)	-0.3%	\$	/ -	\$	(664)	-1.2%	\$	116,853	\$	(387)	-0.3%	\$	31,996	\$	(123)	-0.4%
2010-11	\$ 117,241	\$ (4)	0.0%	\$	99,337	\$	(3)	0.0%	\$	125,836	\$	(4)	0.0%	\$, .	\$	(6)	0.0%	\$	117,241	\$	2,543	2.2%	\$	32,118	i	See no	
2009-10	\$ 117,244		0.2%	\$		\$	196	0.2%	\$	125,840	\$	206	0.2%	\$		\$	352	0.6%	\$	114,698		See no		\$	32,120	i	See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 117,038		0.5%	\$	99,144	•	568	0.6%		125,634		620	0.5%	\$	56,441	\$	889	1.6%	\$,	\$	611	0.5%	\$	32,054	\$	188	0.6%
2007-08	\$ 116,427		0.5%	\$	98,576		532	0.5%		125,013		559	0.4%	\$,	\$	960	1.8%	· ·	116,427	\$	559	0.5%	\$	31,866	L	-	-
2006-07	\$ 115,868	. ,	3.8%	\$	98,043	•	3,596	3.8%		124,455		4,496	3.7%	\$	54,592	\$,	4.6%	\$	- /	\$	4,208	3.8%		N/A	L	-	-
2005-06	\$ 111,660	. ,	4.7%	\$	- /	\$	4,292	4.8%		119,959		5,333	4.7%	\$	52,195	\$	3,041	6.2%	\$,	\$	5,003	4.7%		N/A	i	-	-
2004-05	\$ 106,657	. ,	1.7%	\$	90,155	•	1,519	1.7%		114,626		1,869	1.7%	\$	49,154	\$	1,183	2.5%	\$,		-	-		N/A	L	-	-
2003-04	\$ 104,897	, ,	2.2%	\$	88,636	\$	1,960	2.3%	•	112,757	•	2,444	2.2%	\$	47,970	\$	1,342	2.9%			See i	notes			N/A	<u> </u>	-	-
2002-03	\$ 102,607	. ,	2.8%	\$	86,675	\$	2,403	2.9%		110,313		3,003	2.8%	\$	46,628	\$	1,605	3.6%	\$,	\$	2,812	2.8%		-		otes	
2001-02	\$ 99,795	,	3.3%	\$	84,272	\$	2,698	3.3%	•	107,309	•	3,371	3.2%	\$	45,023	\$	1,812	4.2%	\$	99,795	\$	3,156	3.3%	\$	27,222	•	875	3.3%
2000-01	\$ 96,639	. ,	3.1%	\$	81,574	\$	2,475	3.1%	\$	103,939	\$	3,099	3.1%	\$	43,211	\$	1,621	3.9%	\$	96,639	\$	2,899	3.1%	\$	26,348		802	3.1%
1999-00	\$ 93,740	. ,	3.9%	\$	79,099	\$	2,956	3.9%	\$,		3,730	3.8%	\$	41,590	\$	1,771	4.4%	\$	93,740	\$	3,480	3.9%	\$	25,546		956	3.9%
1998-99	\$ 90,260	. ,	5.4%	\$	76,143	\$	3,902	5.4%	\$	97,110	\$	4,934	5.4%	\$	39,819	\$	2,278	6.1%	\$	90,260	\$	4,600	5.4%	\$	24,589	\$	1,261	5.4%
1997-98	\$ 85,660	\$ 4,200	5.2%	\$	72,241	\$	3,558	5.2%	\$	92,176	\$	4,508	5.1%	\$	37,541	\$	2,033	5.7%	\$	85,660	\$	4,200	5.2%	\$	-,		1,151	5.2%
1996-97	÷ •••	\$ 4,869	6.4%	\$	68,683	\$	4,157	6.4%	\$,	\$	5,204	6.3%	\$	35,508	\$	2,383	7.2%	\$	81,460	\$	4,869	6.4%	\$,		1,323	6.3%
1995-96	\$ 76,591	\$ 3,287	4.5%	\$	64,526	\$	2,803	4.5%	\$	82,464	\$	3,516	4.5%	\$	33,125	\$	1,577	5.0%	\$	76,591	\$	3,287	4.5%	\$	20,854	\$	893	4.5%
1994-95	\$ 73,304	. ,	6.0%	\$	61,723	· ·	3,537	6.1%	\$	78,948		4,471	6.0%	\$	31,548	\$	1,924	6.5%	\$	73,304		-	-	\$	19,961	<u> </u>	-	-
1993-94	\$ 69,135	. ,	4.3%	\$	58,186	\$	2,428	4.4%	\$	74,477	\$	3,052	4.3%	\$	29,624	\$,	4.8%		-		notes			-		otes	
1992-93	\$ 66,284	\$ 342	0.5%	\$	55,758	\$	326	0.6%	\$	71,425	\$	342	0.5%	\$	28,268	\$	326	1.2%	\$	65,942	\$	-	0.0%	\$	17,963	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 65,942	\$ 2,732	4.3%	\$	55,432	\$	2,278	4.3%	\$	71,083	\$	2,958	4.3%	\$	27,942	\$	1,067	4.0%	\$	65,942	\$	2,732	4.3%	\$	17,963	\$	746	4.3%
1990-91	\$ 63,210	\$ 3,038	5.0%	\$	53,154	\$	2,615	5.2%	\$	68,125	\$	3,232	5.0%	\$	26,875	\$	1,580	6.2%	\$	63,210	\$	3,038	5.0%	\$	17,217	\$	823	5.0%
1989-90	\$ 60,172	\$ 3,276	5.8%	\$	50,539	\$	2,813	5.9%	\$	64,893	\$	7,997	14.1%	\$	25,295	\$	1,665	7.0%	\$	60,172	\$	3,276	5.8%	\$	16,394	\$	889	5.7%
1988-89	\$ 56,896	\$ 7,473	15.1%	\$	47,726	\$	7,011	17.2%	\$	56,896	\$	3,183	5.9%	\$	23,630	\$	1,563	7.1%	\$	56,896	\$	3,183	5.9%	\$	15,505	\$	863	5.9%
1987-88	\$ 49,423	\$ 2,787	6.0%	\$	40,715	\$	2,352	6.1%	\$	53,713	\$	2,991	5.9%	\$	22,067	\$	1,466	7.1%	\$	53,713	\$	2,991	5.9%	\$	14,642		812	5.9%
1986-87	\$ 46,636	\$ 3,313	7.6%	\$	38,363	\$	2,759	7.7%	\$	50,722	\$	3,580	7.6%	\$	20,601	\$	1,597	8.4%	\$	50,722	\$	3,580	7.6%	\$	13,830	\$	974	7.6%
1985-86	\$ 43,323	-	-	\$	35,604		-	-	\$	47,142		-	-	\$	19,004		-	-	\$	47,142		-	-	\$	12,856		-	-

Solarios of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Purcuant to the Solary Formula in Chanter 145. Elected Statutes

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members: therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	Salaries	of Electe	d Coun	ity	Constit	tuti	ional C	Officers	s ar	nd Scho	ol	Distric	ct Offic	ials	s Pursu	lan	t to th	e Salar	уF	Formula	in	Chapt	er 145	, Fl	orida S	tatu	tes	
									Jao	ckson Co	bun	nty: Fisc	al Year	s 19	985-86 to	o 20	014-15											
	Clerk of Cir	cuit Court,	Property																									
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervi	sor	of Elect	ions			Sh	eriff			County	Col	mmissio	ners		School	Sup	perintend	lent		School	Boar	d Memk	bers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	44	GChg.	% Chg.		Salary	~	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	4	6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 103,915	\$ (2,966)	-2.8%	\$	86,152	\$	(2,860)	-3.2%	\$	112,854	\$	(2,942)	-2.5%	\$	38,355	\$	195	0.5%	\$	103,915	\$	(2,966)	-2.8%	\$	28,389	\$	101	0.4%
2013-14	\$ 106,881	\$ 3,796	3.7%	\$	89,012	\$	3,157	3.7%	\$	115,797	\$	4,115	3.7%	\$	38,160	\$	1,333	3.6%	\$	106,881	\$	3,796	3.7%	\$	28,287	\$	1,005	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 103,085	\$70	0.1%	\$	85,856	\$	67	0.1%	\$	111,681	\$	70	0.1%	\$	36,828	\$	67	0.2%	\$	103,085	\$	70	0.1%	\$	27,283	\$	19	0.1%
2011-12	\$ 103,015	\$ 2,462	2.4%	\$	85,789	\$	2,344	2.8%	\$	111,611	\$	2,462	2.3%	\$	36,761	\$	(726)	-1.9%	\$	103,015	\$	2,462	2.4%	\$	27,264	\$	(201)	-0.7%
2010-11	\$ 100,554	• • • • •		\$	83,445	\$	(0)	0.0%	\$, .		(1)	0.0%	\$	37,486	\$	(0)		\$,	\$	2,573	2.6%	\$	27,465	<u> </u>	See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 100,554	•	0.6%	\$	83,445	\$	546	0.7%	•	109,150	•	574	0.5%	\$	37,487	\$	546	1.5%	\$	97,981		See no	otes	\$	27,465		See no	otes
2008-09	. ,	\$ 154	0.2%	\$	82,899	\$	133	0.2%	\$		•	163	0.2%	\$	36,941	\$	82	0.2%	\$	99,980	\$	154	0.2%	\$	27,313	\$	42	0.2%
2007-08	\$ 99,827	• (•)•••		\$	82,766	\$	(2,912)	-3.4%	\$		\$	(3,058)	-2.7%	\$	36,858	\$	155	0.4%	\$	/-	\$	(3,058)	-3.0%	\$	27,272	L	-	-
2006-07	\$ 102,885	. ,	3.7%	\$	85,678	\$	3,109	3.8%		,	\$	3,983	3.7%	\$	36,704	\$	1,471	4.2%	\$	102,885	\$	3,696	3.7%		N/A	<u> </u>	-	-
2005-06	\$ 99,189	\$ 3,909	4.1%	\$	82,569	\$	3,248	4.1%	\$	107,488	\$	4,238	4.1%	\$	35,233	\$	1,367	4.0%	\$	99,189	\$	3,908	4.1%		N/A	<u> </u>	-	-
2004-05	\$ 95,280	\$ 1,685	1.8%	\$	79,321	\$	1,448	1.9%	\$	103,250	\$	1,794	1.8%	\$	33,866	\$	825	2.5%	\$	95,280		-	-		N/A	L	-	-
2003-04	\$ 93,596	\$ 1,896	2.1%	\$	77,873	\$	1,585	2.1%	\$	101,456	\$	2,050	2.1%	\$	33,042	\$	706	2.2%		-	See	notes			N/A	L	-	-
2002-03	\$ 91,700	• ,	2.8%	\$	76,288	\$	2,096	2.8%	\$	99,406	\$	2,680	2.8%	\$	32,336	\$	1,003	3.2%	\$		\$	2,489	2.8%	L	-	See no		
2001-02	\$ 89,211	\$ 1,804	2.1%	\$	74,192	\$	1,410	1.9%	\$	96,726	\$	2,018	2.1%	\$	31,333	\$	187	0.6%	\$		\$	1,804	2.1%	\$	23,611		478	2.1%
2000-01	\$ 87,407	\$ 2,337	2.7%	\$	72,782	\$	1,940	2.7%	\$	94,707	\$	2,537	2.8%	\$	31,146	\$	801	2.6%	\$	87,407	\$	2,337	2.7%	\$	23,134		619	2.7%
1999-00	\$ 85,070	\$ 3,069	3.7%	\$	70,842	\$	2,564	3.8%	\$	92,170	\$	3,319	3.7%	\$	30,345	\$	1,138	3.9%	\$	85,070	\$	3,069	3.7%	\$	22,515		812	3.7%
1998-99	\$ 82,002	\$ 4,187	5.4%	\$	68,278	\$	3,508	5.4%	\$	88,852	\$	4,522	5.4%	\$	29,207	\$	1,602	5.8%	\$	82,002	\$	4,187	5.4%	\$	21,703		1,108	5.4%
1997-98	\$ 77,815		5.6%	\$	64,770	\$	3,516	5.7%	\$	84,330	\$	4,463	5.6%	\$	27,605	\$	1,759	6.8%	\$		\$	4,156	5.6%	\$	20,595		1,100	5.6%
1996-97	\$ 73,659	• , -	6.1%	\$	61,254	\$	3,547	6.1%	\$	79,867	\$	4,563	6.1%	\$	25,846	\$	1,637	6.8%	\$	73,659	\$	4,228	6.1%	\$	19,495		1,119	6.1%
1995-96	\$ 69,431	\$ 2,927	4.4%	\$	57,707	\$	2,459	4.5%	\$	75,304	\$	3,156	4.4%	\$	24,209	\$	1,153	5.0%	\$	69,431	\$	2,927	4.4%	\$	18,376	\$	775	4.4%
1994-95	\$ 66,504	\$ 3,918	6.3%	\$	55,248	\$	3,300	6.4%	\$	72,148	\$	4,220	6.2%	\$	23,056	\$	1,578	7.3%	\$	66,504		-	-	\$	17,601	<u> </u>	-	-
1993-94	\$ 62,586	\$ 2,542	4.2%	\$	51,948	\$	2,133	4.3%	\$	67,928	\$	2,743	4.2%	\$	21,478	\$	989	4.8%				notes		L_		See no	otes	
1992-93	\$ 60,044	\$ 39	0.1%	\$	49,815	\$	37	0.1%	\$		\$	39	0.1%	\$	20,489	\$	38	0.2%	\$	60,005	÷	-	0.0%	\$	- 1	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 60,005	\$ 2,021	3.5%	\$	49,778	\$	1,600	3.3%	\$	65,146	\$	2,247	3.6%	\$	20,451	\$	308	1.5%	\$,	\$	2,021	3.5%	\$	- /	\$	535	3.5%
1990-91	\$ 57,984	\$ 2,474	4.5%	\$	48,178	\$	2,079	4.5%	\$	62,899	\$	2,667	4.4%	\$	20,143	\$	974	5.1%	\$	57,984	\$	2,474	4.5%	\$	15,346		654	4.5%
1989-90	\$ 55,510	\$ 2,515	4.7%	\$	46,099	\$	2,088	4.7%	\$	60,232	\$	7,237	13.7%	\$	19,169	\$	864	4.7%	\$	/	\$	2,515	4.7%	\$	14,692		666	4.7%
1988-89	\$ 52,995	\$ 7,095	15.5%	\$	44,011	\$	6,650	17.8%	\$	52,995	\$	2,805	5.6%	\$	18,305	\$	1,125	6.5%	\$,	\$	2,805	5.6%	\$	14,026		742	5.6%
1987-88	\$ 45,900	\$ 2,368	5.4%	\$	37,361	\$	1,954	5.5%	\$	50,190	\$	2,571	5.4%	\$	17,180	\$	994	6.1%	\$	50,190	\$	2,571	5.4%	\$	13,284		681	5.4%
1986-87	\$ 43,532	\$ 2,986	7.4%	\$	35,407	\$	2,448	7.4%	\$	47,619	\$	3,254	7.3%	\$	16,186	\$	1,191	7.9%	\$	47,619	\$	3,254	7.3%	\$	12,603	\$	861	7.3%
1985-86	\$ 40,546	-	-	\$	32,959		-	-	\$	44,365		-	-	\$	14,995		-	-	\$	44,365		-	-	\$	11,742	<u> </u>	-	-

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members: therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes

											Jet	rerson C	ou	nty: Fis	cal Year	'S 1	985-86 t	:0 2	2014-15										
	CI	erk of Cir	cuit	Court, F	Property																								
Fiscal		Appraise	er &	Tax Coll	lector		Supervi	isor	of Elect	tions			Sł	neriff			County	Co	mmissio	ners	School	Sup	erintene	dent		School	Boar	rd Memb	bers
Year		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	44	6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$	95,340	\$	283	0.3%	\$	77,986	\$	235	0.3%	\$	104,279	\$	308	0.3%	\$	26,996	\$	97	0.4%	\$ 95,340	\$	283	0.3%	\$	25,235	\$	75	0.3%
2013-14	\$	95,056	\$	3,349	3.7%	\$	77,751	\$	2,731	3.6%	\$	103,972	\$	3,668	3.7%	\$	26,899	\$	907	3.5%	\$ 95,056	\$	3,349	3.7%	\$	25,160	\$	886	3.7%
2012-13	\$	91,708	\$	(31)	0.0%	\$	75,020	\$	(29)	0.0%	\$	100,303	\$	(31)	0.0%	\$	25,992	\$	(29)	-0 .1%	\$ 91,708	\$	(31)	0.0%	\$	24,273	\$	(8)	0.0%
2011-12	\$	91,738	\$	27	0.0%	\$	75,049	\$	26	0.0%	\$	100,334	\$	27	0.0%	\$	26,021	\$	26	0.1%	\$ 91,738	\$	27	0.0%	\$	24,281	\$	7	0.0%
2010-11	\$	91,711	\$	40	0.0%	\$	75,023	\$	38	0.1%	\$	100,307	\$	40	0.0%	\$	25,995	\$	38	0.1%	\$ 91,711	\$	1,893	2.1%	\$	24,274		See no	otes
2009-10	\$	91,671	\$	20	0.0%	\$	74,985	\$	19	0.0%	\$	100,267	\$	20	0.0%	\$	25,957	\$	18	0.1%	\$ 89,819		See no	otes	\$	24,264		See no	otes
2008-09	\$	91,652	\$	146	0.2%	\$	74,966	\$	126	0.2%	\$	100,247	\$	156	0.2%	\$	25,939	\$	72	0.3%	\$ 91,652	\$	146	0.2%	\$	24,259	\$	39	0.2%
2007-08	\$	91,506	\$	38	0.0%	\$	74,841	\$	36	0.0%	\$	100,092	\$	38	0.0%	\$	25,867	\$	37	0.1%	\$ 91,506	\$	38	0.0%	\$	24,220		-	-
2006-07	\$	91,468	\$	3,112	3.5%	\$	74,805	\$	2,552	3.5%	\$	100,054	\$	3,399	3.5%	\$	25,830	\$	914	3.7%	\$.,	\$	3,112	3.5%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$	88,356	\$	3,666	4.3%	\$	72,253	\$	3,019	4.4%	\$	96,655	\$	3,996	4.3%	\$	24,916	\$	1,136	4.8%	\$ 88,356	\$	3,666	4.3%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$	84,690	\$	1,247	1.5%	\$	69,234	\$	1,031	1.5%	\$	92,659	\$	1,356	1.5%	\$	23,780	\$	408	1.7%	\$ 84,690		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$	83,443	•	1,698	2.1%	\$	68,203	\$	1,397	2.1%	\$	91,303	\$	1,853	2.1%	\$	23,372	•	518	2.3%	-		notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$	81,745	\$	2,072	2.6%	\$	66,807	\$	1,699	2.6%	\$	89,450	\$	2,264	2.6%	\$	22,854	\$	606	2.7%	\$ 81,745	\$	2,072	2.6%				notes	
2001-02	\$	79,672	\$	1,858	2.4%	\$	65,108	\$	1,462	2.3%	\$	87,186	\$	2,072	2.4%	\$	22,248	\$	238	1.1%	\$ - / -	\$	1,858	2.4%	\$	21,088	•	492	2.4%
2000-01	\$	77,814	\$	2,186	2.9%	\$	63,646	\$	1,796	2.9%	\$	85,114	\$	2,386	2.9%	\$	22,010	\$	657	3.1%	\$ 77,814	\$	2,186	2.9%	\$	20,596	\$	579	2.9%
1999-00	\$	75,628	\$	2,720	3.7%	\$	61,850	\$	2,232	3.7%	\$	82,728	\$	2,970	3.7%	\$	21,353	\$	806	3.9%	\$ 75,628	\$	2,720	3.7%	\$	20,017	\$	720	3.7%
1998-99	\$	72,909	\$	3,626	5.2%	\$	59,618	\$	2,973	5.2%	\$	79,759	\$	3,960	5.2%	\$	20,547	\$	1,067	5.5%	\$ 72,909	\$	3,626	5.2%	\$	19,298	\$	960	5.2%
1997-98	\$	69,283	\$	3,322	5.0%	\$	56,645	\$	2,723	5.0%	\$	75,799	\$	3,630	5.0%	\$	19,480	\$	966	5.2%	\$ 69,283	\$	3,322	5.0%	\$	18,338	\$	879	5.0%
1996-97	\$	65,961	\$	3,651	5.9%	\$	53,922	\$	2,998	5.9%	\$	72,169	\$	3,987	5.8%	\$	18,514	\$	1,087	6.2%	\$ 	\$	3,651	5.9%	\$	17,459	\$	967	5.9%
1995-96	\$	62,310	\$	2,451	4.1%	\$	50,924	\$	2,005	4.1%	\$	68,182	\$	2,679	4.1%	\$	17,427	\$	699	4.2%	\$ 62,310	\$	2,451	4.1%	\$	16,492	\$	648	4.1%
1994-95	\$	59,859	\$	3,331	5.9%	\$	48,919	\$	2,740	5.9%	\$	65,503	\$	3,633	5.9%	\$	16,728	\$	1,019	6.5%	\$ 59,859		-	-	\$	15,844		-	-
1993-94	\$	56,528	\$	2,188	4.0%	\$	46,179	\$	1,796	4.0%	\$	61,870	\$	2,388	4.0%	\$	15,709	\$	652	4.3%	S	See r	notes			S	See r	notes	
1992-93	\$	54,340	\$	135	0.2%	\$	44,383	\$	129	0.3%	\$	59,482	\$	135	0.2%	\$	15,057	\$	129	0.9%	\$ 54,205	\$	-	0.0%	\$	14,347	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$	54,205	\$	2,162	4.2%	\$	44,254	\$	1,734	4.1%	\$	59,347	\$	2,389	4.2%	\$	14,928	\$	443	3.1%	\$ 54,205	\$	2,162	4.2%	\$	14,347	\$	572	4.2%
1990-91	\$	52,043	\$	2,099	4.2%	\$	42,520	\$	1,722	4.2%	\$	56,958	\$	2,293	4.2%	\$	14,485	\$	617	4.4%	\$ 52,043	\$	2,099	4.2%	\$	13,775	\$	556	4.2%
1989-90	\$	49,944	\$	2,325	4.9%	\$	40,798	\$	1,906	4.9%	\$	54,665	\$	7,046	14.8%	\$	13,868	\$	682	5.2%	\$ 49,944	\$	2,325	4.9%	\$	13,219	\$	615	4.9%
1988-89	\$	47,619	\$	6,614	16.1%	\$	38,892	\$	6,194	18.9%	\$	47,619	\$	2,324	5.1%	\$	13,186	\$	668	5.3%	\$ 47,619	\$	2,324	5.1%	\$	12,604	\$	615	5.1%
1987-88	\$	41,005	\$	1,972	5.1%	\$	32,698	\$	1,575	5.1%	\$	45,295	\$	2,175	5.0%	\$	12,518	\$	617	5.2%	\$ 45,295	\$	2,175	5.0%	\$	11,989	\$	576	5.0%
1986-87	\$	39,033	\$	2,575	7.1%	\$	31,123	\$	2,057	7.1%	\$	43,120	\$	2,843	7.1%	\$	11,901	\$	799	7.2%	\$ 43,120	\$	2,843	7.1%	\$	11,413	\$	752	7.1%
1985-86	\$	36,458		-	-	\$	29,066		-	-	\$	40,277		-	-	\$	11,102		-	-	\$ 40,277		-	-	\$	10,661		-	-
	•					~		•			·		•					•				•			•				

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes

										Lata	ayette C	ou	nty: Fis	cal Year	S 1	985-86 t	02	014-15									
	CI	erk of Cir	cuit	Court, F	Property																						
Fiscal		Appraise	er &	Tax Coll	lector	Supervi	isor	of Elect	ions			Sh	neriff			County	Со	mmissior	ners	School	Sup	erintend	dent	School	Boar	d Memb	bers
Year		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	44	GChg.	% Chg.		Salary	••	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	67	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$	93,350	\$	238	0.3%	\$ 76,091	\$	192	0.3%	\$	102,289	\$	262	0.3%	\$	24,659	\$	38	0.2%	\$ 93,350	\$	238	0.3%	\$ 24,341	\$	50	0.2%
2013-14	\$	93,112	\$	3,311	3.7%	\$ 75,899	\$	2,695	3.7%	\$	102,028	\$	3,631	3.7%	\$	24,621	\$	828	3.5%	\$ 93,112	\$	3,311	3.7%	\$ 24,291	\$	841	3.6%
2012-13	\$	89,801	\$	(38)	0.0%	\$ 73,204	\$	(36)	0.0%	\$	98,397	\$	(38)	0.0%	\$	23,793	\$	(72)	-0.3%	\$ 89,801	\$	(38)	0.0%	\$ 23,450	\$	(40)	-0.2%
2011-12	\$	89,839	\$	221	0.2%	\$ 73,240	\$	211	0.3%	\$	98,435	\$	221	0.2%	\$	23,865	\$	422	1.8%	\$ 89,839	\$	221	0.2%	\$ 23,490	\$	234	1.0%
2010-11	\$	89,618	\$	(34)	0.0%	\$ 73,029	\$	(32)	0.0%	\$	98,214	\$	(34)	0.0%	\$	23,444	\$	(64)	-0.3%	\$ 89,618	\$	1,783	2.0%	\$ 23,256		See no	otes
2009-10	\$	89,651	\$	24	0.0%	\$ 73,061	\$	23	0.0%	\$	98,247	\$	24	0.0%	\$	23,507	\$	44	0.2%	\$ 87,835		See no	otes	\$ 23,291		See no	otes
2008-09	\$	89,628	\$	148	0.2%	\$ 73,039	\$	128	0.2%	\$	98,223	\$	158	0.2%	\$	23,463	\$	121	0.5%	\$ 89,628	\$	148	0.2%	\$ 23,267	\$	78	0.3%
2007-08	\$	89,479	\$	28	0.0%	\$ 72,911	\$	26	0.0%	\$	98,065	\$	28	0.0%	\$	23,342	\$	54	0.2%	\$ 89,479	\$	28	0.0%	\$ 23,188		-	-
2006-07	\$	89,452	\$	3,128	3.6%	\$ 72,885	\$	2,567	3.6%	\$	98,038	\$	3,414	3.6%	\$	23,288	\$	1,037	4.7%	\$ 89,452	\$	3,127	3.6%	N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$	86,324	\$	3,487	4.2%	\$ 70,318	\$	2,848	4.2%	\$	94,624	\$	3,818	4.2%	\$	22,251	\$	989	4.7%	\$ 86,324	\$	3,487	4.2%	N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$	82,837	•	1,179	1.4%	\$ 67,470	\$	966	1.5%	\$	90,806	\$	1,288	1.4%	\$	21,262	\$	375	1.8%	\$ 82,837		-	-	N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$	81,658	\$	1,643	2.1%	\$ 66,503	\$	1,344	2.1%	\$	89,518	\$	1,797	2.0%	\$	20,888	\$	491	2.4%	-	iee r	notes		N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$	80,015	\$	2,000	2.6%	\$ 65,159	\$	1,630	2.6%	\$	87,721	\$	2,191	2.6%	\$	20,397	\$	526	2.6%	\$ 	\$	2,000	2.6%	-	iee n	notes	
2001-02	\$	78,015	\$	2,244	3.0%	\$ 63,530	\$	1,830	3.0%	\$	85,529	\$	2,458	3.0%	\$	19,871	\$	599	3.1%	\$ 78,015	\$	2,244	3.0%	\$ 19,984	\$	588	3.0%
2000-01	\$	75,771	\$	2,062	2.8%	\$ 61,700	\$	1,678	2.8%	\$	83,071	\$	2,262	2.8%	\$	19,272	\$	508	2.7%	\$ 75,771	\$	2,062	2.8%	\$ 19,396	\$	520	2.8%
1999-00	\$	73,709	\$	2,595	3.6%	\$ 60,022	\$	2,113	3.6%	\$	80,809	\$	2,845	3.6%	\$	18,763	\$	659	3.6%	\$ 73,709	\$	2,595	3.6%	\$ 18,876	\$	664	3.6%
1998-99	\$	71,114	\$	3,468	5.1%	\$ 57,909	\$	2,824	5.1%	\$	77,964	\$	3,802	5.1%	\$	18,105	\$	879	5.1%	\$ 71,114	\$	3,468	5.1%	\$ 18,212	\$	886	5.1%
1997-98	\$	67,646	\$	3,313	5.1%	\$ 55,085	\$	2,713	5.2%	\$	74,162	\$	3,621	5.1%	\$	17,226	\$	1,034	6.4%	\$ 67,646	\$	3,313	5.1%	\$ 17,326	\$	941	5.7%
1996-97	\$	64,333	\$	3,622	6.0%	\$ 52,372	\$	2,970	6.0%	\$	70,541	\$	3,957	5.9%	\$	16,192	\$	1,163	7.7%	\$ 64,333	\$	3,622	6.0%	\$ 16,385	\$	1,045	6.8%
1995-96	\$	60,711	\$	2,415	4.1%	\$ 49,402	\$	1,972	4.2%	\$	66,584	\$	2,644	4.1%	\$	15,029	\$	676	4.7%	\$ 	\$	2,415	4.1%	\$ 15,340	\$	648	4.4%
1994-95	\$	58,296	\$	3,119	5.7%	\$ 47,430	\$	2,538	5.7%	\$	63,940	\$	3,421	5.7%	\$	14,353	\$	772	5.7%	\$ 58,296		-	-	\$ 14,692		-	-
1993-94	\$	55,177	\$	2,056	3.9%	\$ 44,892	\$	1,670	3.9%	\$	60,519	\$	2,256	3.9%	\$	13,581	\$	480	3.7%	S	iee r	notes		S	iee r	notes	
1992-93	\$	53,121	\$	18	0.0%	\$ 43,222	\$	18	0.0%	\$	58,263	\$	18	0.0%	\$	13,101	\$	35	0.3%	\$ 53,103	\$	-	0.0%	\$ 13,379	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$	53,103	\$	2,371	4.7%	\$ 43,204	\$	1,933	4.7%	\$	58,245	\$	2,598	4.7%	\$	13,066	\$	636	5.1%	\$ 53,103	\$	2,371	4.7%	\$ 13,379	\$	623	4.9%
1990-91	\$	50,732	\$	2,029	4.2%	\$ 41,271	\$	1,655	4.2%	\$	55,647	\$	2,223	4.2%	\$	12,430	\$	548	4.6%	\$ 50,732	\$	2,029	4.2%	\$ 12,756	\$	534	4.4%
1989-90	\$	48,703	\$	2,245	4.8%	\$ 39,616	\$	1,830	4.8%	\$	53,424	\$	6,966	15.0%	\$	11,882	\$	598	5.3%	\$ 48,703	\$	2,245	4.8%	\$ 12,222	\$	588	5.1%
1988-89	\$	46,458	\$	6,585	16.5%	\$ 37,786	\$	6,165	19.5%	\$	46,458	\$	2,294	5.2%	\$	11,284	\$	661	6.2%	\$ 46,458	\$	2,294	5.2%	\$ 11,634	\$	625	5.7%
1987-88	\$	39,873	\$	1,919	5.1%	\$ 31,621	\$	1,526	5.1%	\$	44,164	\$	2,124	5.1%	\$	10,623	\$	553	5.5%	\$ 44,164	\$	2,124	5.1%	\$ 11,009	\$	550	5.3%
1986-87	\$	37,954	\$	2,504	7.1%	\$ 30,095	\$	1,989	7.1%	\$	42,040	\$	2,771	7.1%	\$	10,070	\$	698	7.4%	\$ 42,040	\$	2,771	7.1%	\$ 10,459	\$	706	7.2%
1985-86	\$	35,450		-	-	\$ 28,106		-	-	\$	39,269		-	-	\$	9,372		-	-	\$ 39,269		-	-	\$ 9,753	1	-	-
																									-		

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	Salaries of	of Electe	d Cour	nty	Constit	uti	ional C	Officers	a	nd Scho	ol	Distric	t Offic	ial	s Pursu	an	t to the	e Salar	y F	ormula	ı in	Chapt	er 145	, Fl	orida S	tatı	utes	
									L	.ake Cou	nty	y: Fisca	I Years	198	5-86 to 2	201	4-15											
	Clerk of Circ	cuit Court, I	Property																									
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervi	sor	of Electi	ions			Sh	neriff			County	Co	nmissio	ners		School	Sup	perintend	lent		School	Boar	rd Memb	bers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	υ,	6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 135,381	•	0.5%		,	\$	546	0.5%		,0=.	\$	634	0.4%	\$	76,837	\$	440	0.6%			\$	610	0.5%	\$	37,305		165	0.4%
2013-14	\$ 134,771	\$ 4,925	3.8%	\$	115,575	\$	4,232	3.8%	· ·	143,687		5,244	3.8%	\$	76,398	\$	2,827	3.8%	\$	- /	\$	4,925	3.8%	\$	37,140		1,356	3.8%
2012-13	\$ 129,847		0.1%	\$	111,343	\$	74	0.1%	\$	138,442	\$	78	0.1%	\$	73,571	\$	74	0.1%	\$	129,847	\$	78	0.1%	\$	35,784	\$	21	0.1%
2011-12	\$ 129,769		0.3%	· ·	,=00	\$	311	0.3%	\$	138,364	\$	326	0.2%	\$	73,496	\$	311	0.4%	\$	-,	\$	326	0.3%	\$	35,763	\$	86	0.2%
2010-11	\$ 129,442		0.2%		- ,	\$	222	0.2%		138,038		233	0.2%	\$	- ,	\$	222	0.3%	\$,	\$	2,937	2.3%	\$	35,677		See no	
2009-10	\$ 129,209		0.1%		-,	\$	116	0.1%	· ·	137,805		122	0.1%	\$	72,964	\$	116	0.2%	\$	126,506		See no		\$	35,615		See no	
2008-09	\$ 129,087		0.6%	\$,.=.		717	0.7%		137,683		777	0.6%	\$,	\$	676	0.9%	\$	129,087	\$	768	0.6%	\$	35,583	\$	205	0.6%
2007-08	\$ 128,320		0.7%	\$	109,902	\$	843	0.8%	\$	136,906	\$	885	0.7%	\$,	\$	843	1.2%	\$	128,320	\$	885	0.7%	\$	35,378		-	-
2006-07	\$ 127,435	. ,	4.0%	\$	109,059	\$	4,307	4.1%	•	136,021	•	5,242	4.0%	\$	71,329	\$	3,046	4.5%	\$	127,435	\$	4,955	4.0%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 122,480	\$ 5,538	4.7%	\$	104,752	\$	4,801	4.8%	•	130,779	•	5,868	4.7%	\$	68,283	\$	3,351	5.2%	\$	122,480	\$	5,538	4.7%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 116,942	. ,	1.9%	\$	99,951	\$	1,912	1.9%	\$	124,911	\$	2,281	1.9%	\$	64,932	\$	1,432	2.3%	\$,		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	• ,	\$ 2,871	2.6%	\$	98,039	\$	2,513	2.6%	•	,	\$	3,025	2.5%	\$	63,501	\$	1,836	3.0%			See	notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 111,900	. ,	3.1%	\$	95,526	\$	2,901	3.1%	•	119,606	\$	3,526	3.0%	\$	61,664	\$	2,059	3.5%	•	,	\$	3,335	3.1%		-		notes	
2001-02	\$ 108,565	. ,	3.3%	\$	92,625	\$	2,992	3.3%	· ·	,	\$	3,679	3.3%	\$	59,605	\$	2,049	3.6%	\$	108,565	\$	3,464	3.3%	\$	29,974		952	3.3%
2000-01	, .	\$ 3,429	3.4%	\$	89,633	\$	2,979	3.4%			\$	3,628	3.3%	\$	57,556	\$	2,368	4.3%	\$	105,101	\$	3,429	3.4%	\$	- / -		959	3.4%
1999-00	¢	\$ 4,243	4.4%	\$	86,654	\$	3,683	4.4%			\$	4,493	4.3%	\$	55,189	\$	3,080	5.9%	\$	101,672	\$	4,243	4.4%	\$	28,063	\$	1,199	4.5%
1998-99	, .	\$ 5,245	5.7%	\$	0-,011	\$	4,517	5.8%	\$	104,279	•	5,580	5.7%	\$	52,109	\$	3,384	6.9%	\$	97,429	\$	5,245	5.7%	\$	- ,		1,466	5.8%
1997-98	, .	\$ 4,775	5.5%	\$	- , -	\$	4,105	5.5%	\$		\$	5,082	5.4%	\$	48,725	\$	3,019	6.6%	\$	92,184	\$	4,775	5.5%	\$	25,398		1,333	5.5%
1996-97	\$ 87,409	. ,	6.2%	\$	74,349	\$	4,414	6.3%	\$	93,617	\$	5,473	6.2%	\$	45,706	\$	3,191	7.5%	\$	87,409	\$	5,138	6.2%	\$	24,065		1,433	6.3%
1995-96	. ,	\$ 3,491	4.4%	\$	69,935	\$	2,996	4.5%	\$	88,144	\$	3,720	4.4%	\$	42,515	\$	2,141	5.3%	\$	82,271	\$	3,491	4.4%	\$	22,632	\$	972	4.5%
1994-95	\$ 78,780	\$ 4,519	6.1%	\$	66,939	\$	3,871	6.1%	\$	84,424	\$	4,821	6.1%	\$	40,374	\$	2,685	7.1%	\$	78,780		-	-	\$	21,660		-	-
1993-94	· /·	\$ 3,142	4.4%	\$	63,068	\$	2,705	4.5%	\$	79,603	\$	3,342	4.4%	\$	37,689	\$	2,022	5.7%		-	See	notes			-		notes	
1992-93	\$ 71,119	• • •	0.4%	\$	60,363	\$	303	0.5%	\$		\$	319	0.4%	\$	35,667	\$	546	1.6%	\$,	\$	-	0.0%	\$	19,425		-	0.0%
1991-92		\$ 3,473	5.2%	\$	60,060	\$	2,983	5.2%	\$	75,942	\$	3,699	5.1%	\$	35,121	\$	2,155	6.5%	\$	70,801	\$	3,473	5.2%	\$	19,425		968	5.2%
1990-91	1 . /	\$ 2,980	4.6%	\$	57,077	\$	2,558	4.7%	\$	72,243	\$	3,174	4.6%	\$	32,966	\$	1,860	6.0%	\$	67,328	\$	2,980	4.6%	\$	18,457		831	4.7%
1989-90	1 . /	\$ 3,131	5.1%	\$	54,519	\$	2,677	5.2%	\$	69,069	\$	7,852	12.8%	\$	31,106	\$	1,767	6.0%	\$	64,348	\$	3,131	5.1%	\$	1		867	5.2%
1988-89	,	\$ 7,610	14.2%	\$	51,842	\$	7,142	16.0%	\$,	\$	3,320	5.7%	\$	29,339	\$	2,058	7.5%	\$	61,217	\$	3,320	5.7%	\$			925	5.8%
1987-88		\$ 2,843	5.6%	\$,	\$	2,405	5.7%	\$	57,897		3,047	5.6%	\$	27,281	\$	1,804	7.1%	\$	- /	\$	3,047	5.6%	\$,		846	5.6%
1986-87	1	\$ 3,531	7.5%	\$,	\$	2,967	7.5%	\$		\$	3,797	7.4%	\$	25,477	\$	2,025	8.6%	\$		\$	3,797	7.4%	\$	1	\$	1,047	7.5%
1985-86	\$ 47,233	-	-	\$	39,328		-	-	\$	51,053		-	-	\$	23,452		-	-	\$	51,053		-	-	\$	13,941	<u> </u>	-	

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-2034, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	Salaries of	of Electe	d Coun	nty	Constit	utior	al O	Officers	and So	hoo	ol Distri	ct Offic	ial	s Pursu	an	t to the	e Salar	уF	Formula	i in	Chapt	ter 145	, FI	orida S	tatı	utes	
									Lee C	ount	y: Fisca	Years 1	198!	5-86 to 2	014	4-15											
	Clerk of Circ	cuit Court,	Property																								
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervi	sor of	Electi	ions		5	Sheriff			County	Col	nmissio	ners		School	Sup	erintend	dent		School	Boar	rd Memi	bers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ CI	ıg.	% Chg.	Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.	1	Salary	~	GChg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	1	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 147,303	\$ 518	0.4%	\$	127,474	\$	458	0.4%	\$ 156,2	42 \$	\$ 542	0.3%	\$	88,191	\$	352	0.4%	\$	147,303	\$	518	0.4%	\$	40,459	\$	141	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 146,785	\$ 5,538	3.9%	\$	127,016	\$ 4	816	3.9%	\$ 155,7	00 9	\$ 5,858	3.9%	\$	87,839	\$	3,411	4.0%	\$	146,785	\$	5,538	3.9%	\$	40,318	\$	1,518	3.9%
2012-13	\$ 141,247	\$ 141	0.1%	\$	122,200	\$	134	0.1%	\$ 149,8	43 9	\$ 141	0.1%	\$	84,428	\$	134	0.2%	\$	141,247	\$	141	0.1%	\$	38,800	\$	37	0.1%
2011-12	\$ 141,106	\$ 78	0.1%	\$	122,066	\$	74	0.1%	\$ 149,7	02 9	\$78	0.1%	\$	84,294	\$	74	0.1%	\$	141,106	\$	78	0.1%	\$	38,763	\$	21	0.1%
2010-11	\$ 141,028		-0.1%	\$	121,992	\$	176)	-0.1%	\$ 149,6	24 \$	\$ (185)	-0.1%	\$	84,220	\$	(176)	-0.2%	\$	141,028	\$	2,808	2.0%	\$	38,742		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 141,213		0.1%	\$,	\$	164	0.1%	\$ 149,8	09 9	•	0.1%	\$. ,	\$	164	0.2%	\$,		See no		\$	38,791		See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 141,041		0.6%	\$	1	\$	750	0.6%	\$ 149,6			0.5%	\$	- , -	\$	709	0.8%	\$	1-	\$	802	0.6%	\$	38,745	\$	214	0.6%
2007-08	\$ 140,239		0.6%		, -	\$	738	0.6%	\$ 148,8			0.5%	\$		\$	738	0.9%	\$	- /	\$	775	0.6%	\$	38,532		-	-
2006-07	\$ 139,464	. ,	3.9%	\$	- /		588	4.0%	\$ 148,0		,	3.9%	\$	- ,	\$	3,326	4.2%	\$		\$	5,249	3.9%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 134,215	. ,	4.6%	\$	- /		106	4.6%	\$ 142,5	14 \$	• •,•••	4.5%	\$	79,459	\$	3,656	4.8%	\$	134,215	\$	5,858	4.6%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 128,357	. ,	1.7%	\$	- / -		894	1.7%	\$ 136,3	-	\$ 2,262	1.7%	\$	75,803	\$	1,414	1.9%	\$	- /		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 126,204	\$ 2,862	2.3%	\$	108,928		505	2.4%	\$ 134,0	-	\$ 3,016	2.3%	\$	74,389	\$	1,828	2.5%			See r	notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 123,342	\$ 3,331	2.8%	\$	106,423	•	897	2.8%	\$ 131,0	-	\$ 3,522	2.8%	\$	72,562	\$	2,055	2.9%	\$	- / -	\$	3,331	2.8%		-		notes	
2001-02	\$ 120,011	+ -,	3.3%	\$	103,526		369	3.4%	\$ 127,5		• .,• .	3.3%	\$	70,506	\$	2,426	3.6%	\$	120,011	\$	3,860	3.3%	\$		\$	1,057	3.3%
2000-01	\$ 116,151	\$ 3,380	3.0%	\$	100,157		933	3.0%	\$ 123,4	51 \$,	3.0%	\$	68,080	\$	2,056	3.1%	•	- 1 -	\$	3,380	3.0%	\$	31,944		928	3.0%
1999-00	¥=,	\$ 4,364	4.0%	\$	•.,==.		797	4.1%	\$ 119,8		. ,-	4.0%	\$	66,024	\$	2,699	4.3%	\$,	\$	4,364	4.0%	\$	31,016	_	1,196	4.0%
1998-99	•	\$ 5,805	5.7%	\$	93,426		049	5.7%	\$ 115,2	-	,	5.6%	\$	63,326	\$	3,581	6.0%	\$	108,407	\$	5,805	5.7%	\$	29,820	\$	1,591	5.6%
1997-98	/	\$ 5,176	5.3%	\$			488	5.3%	\$ 109,1		, .	5.3%	\$	59,745	\$	3,135	5.5%	\$	102,602	\$	5,176	5.3%	\$	28,229	\$	1,420	5.3%
1996-97	\$ 97,426	. ,	6.2%	\$			915	6.2%	\$ 103,6	-	,	6.1%	\$	56,610	\$	3,443	6.5%	\$	97,426	\$	5,665	6.2%	\$	26,809		1,555	6.2%
1995-96	÷ •.,. •.	\$ 3,995	4.6%	\$			478	4.6%	\$ 97,6		. ,	4.5%	\$	53,167	\$	2,471	4.9%	\$	91,761	\$	3,995	4.6%	\$	25,254	\$	1,095	4.5%
1994-95	\$ 87,766	\$ 4,964	6.0%	\$	75,496		294	6.0%	\$ 93,4		• •,=••	6.0%	\$	50,696	\$	2,968	6.2%	\$	87,766		-	-	\$	24,159		-	-
1993-94	\$ 82,802	\$ 3,369	4.2%	\$	71,202	\$2	922	4.3%	\$ 88,1		\$ 3,570	4.2%	\$	47,728	\$	2,041	4.5%		-		notes			-		notes	
1992-93	\$ 79,433	\$ 344	0.4%	\$	68,280	\$	327	0.5%	\$ 84,5			0.4%	\$	45,687	\$	327	0.7%	\$	79,089	\$	-	0.0%	\$	21,780		-	0.0%
1991-92	+,	\$ 3,874	5.2%	\$	67,953		364	5.2%	\$ 84,2	-	• .,.•	5.1%	\$	45,360	\$	2,370	5.5%	\$	79,089	\$	3,874	5.2%	\$	21,780		1,063	5.1%
1990-91	÷,=	\$ 3,565	5.0%	\$.,		119	5.1%	\$ 80,1			4.9%	\$	42,990	\$	2,267	5.6%	\$	75,215	\$	3,565	5.0%	\$	20,717		975	4.9%
1989-90	•,•••	\$ 3,725	5.5%	\$	61,470		239	5.6%	\$ 76,3		÷ •,··•	12.4%	\$	40,723	\$	2,296	6.0%	\$	71,650	\$	3,725	5.5%	\$	- /		1,021	5.5%
1988-89	÷ ••••	\$ 8,079	13.5%	\$			588	15.0%	\$ 67,9	-	,	5.9%	\$	38,427	\$	2,347	6.5%	\$	67,925	\$	3,788	5.9%	\$	- 1	\$	1,038	5.9%
1987-88	<i> </i>	\$ 3,241	5.7%	\$			786	5.8%	\$ 64,1	-		5.7%	\$	36,080	\$	2,093	6.2%	\$	64,137	\$	3,446	5.7%	\$,	\$	946	5.7%
1986-87	1	\$ 4,065	7.7%	\$,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	\$3	475	7.8%	\$ 60,6		\$ 4,332	7.7%	\$	33,987	\$	2,568	8.2%	\$	60,691	\$	4,332	7.7%	\$	16,737	\$	1,190	7.7%
1985-86	\$ 52,540	-	-	\$	44,382	-		-	\$ 56,3	59	-	-	\$	31,419		-	-	\$	56,359		-	-	\$	15,547	L	-	-

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-2034, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	Salaries of	of Electe	d Coun	ty	Constit	uti	onal C	Officers	ar	nd Scho	ol	Distric	ct Offic	ials	s Pursu	an	t to the	e Salary	y F	ormula	in	Chapt	ter 145	, Fl⁄	orida S	tatı	utes	
									L	eon Cou	nty	y: Fisca	I Years	198	5-86 to 2	201	4-15											
	Clerk of Circ	cuit Court,	Property																									
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervi	sor	of Electi	ions			Sh	neriff			County (Cor	nmissio	ners		School	Sup	perintenc	dent		School	Boar	rd Meml	bers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 133,709	\$ 409	0.3%	\$	114,528	\$	355	0.3%	\$	142,649	\$	433	0.3%	\$	75,245	\$	249	0.3%	\$	133,709	\$	409	0.3%	\$	36,863	\$	112	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 133,300	\$ 4,871	3.8%	\$	114,173	\$	4,180	3.8%	\$	142,215	\$	5,190	3.8%	\$	74,996	\$	2,775	3.8%	\$	133,300	\$	4,871	3.8%	\$	36,751	\$	1,342	3.8%
2012-13	\$ 128,429		0.0%	\$,	\$	49	0.0%	\$	137,025	\$	51	0.0%	\$,	\$	49	0.1%	\$	128,429	\$	51	0.0%	\$	35,409	\$	13	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 128,378		0.0%	\$	109,944	\$	42	0.0%	\$	136,974	\$	44	0.0%	\$,	\$	42	0.1%	\$	128,378	\$	44	0.0%	\$	35,395	\$	12	0.0%
2010-11	\$ 128,334		0.0%	\$,	\$	(5)	0.0%	\$	136,930	\$	(6)	0.0%	\$,	\$	(5)	0.0%	\$	128,334	\$	2,688	2.1%	\$	35,384	<u> </u>	See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 128,340		0.1%	\$	109,908	\$	123	0.1%	\$	136,936	\$	129	0.1%	\$	72,136	\$	123	0.2%		125,646		See no	otes	\$	35,385	<u> </u>	See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 128,211		0.1%	\$, .	\$	145	0.1%	•	136,806	•	176	0.1%	\$,	\$	104	0.1%	\$		\$	167	0.1%	\$		\$	46	0.1%
2007-08	\$ 128,044	\$88	0.1%	\$	109,639	\$	84	0.1%	\$	136,630	\$	88	0.1%	\$,	\$	84	0.1%	\$	128,044	\$	88	0.1%	\$	35,305	<u> </u>	-	-
2006-07	\$ 127,956	. ,	3.8%	\$,	\$	4,092	3.9%	\$	136,542	\$	5,015	3.8%	\$	71,825	\$	2,830	4.1%	\$	127,956	\$	4,728	3.8%		N/A	<u> </u>	-	-
2005-06	\$ 123,228	\$ 5,402	4.6%	\$	105,464	\$	4,672	4.6%	\$	131,527	\$	5,732	4.6%	\$	68,995	\$	3,221	4.9%	\$	123,228	\$	5,402	4.6%		N/A	<u> </u>	-	-
2004-05	\$ 117,826	\$ 2,055	1.8%	\$	100,792	\$	1,801	1.8%	\$	125,795	\$	2,164	1.8%	\$	65,774	\$	1,321	2.0%	\$	117,826		-	-		N/A	<u> </u>	-	-
2003-04	\$ 115,771	\$ 2,491	2.2%	\$	98,992	\$	2,151	2.2%	\$	123,631	\$	2,645	2.2%	\$	64,453	\$	1,474	2.3%			See I	notes			N/A	<u> </u>	-	-
2002-03	\$ 113,280	. ,	2.8%	\$	96,841	\$	2,663	2.8%	•	.,	\$	3,277	2.8%	\$		\$	1,821	3.0%	\$	- /	\$	3,085	2.8%		-		notes	
2001-02	\$ 110,195	\$ 3,245	3.0%	\$	94,177	\$	2,783	3.0%	•	,	\$	3,460	3.0%	\$	61,158	\$	1,841	3.1%	\$	110,195	\$	3,245	3.0%	\$	30,405	•	894	3.0%
2000-01	\$ 106,950	. ,	3.0%	\$	91,394	\$	2,723	3.1%	\$	114,250	\$	3,359	3.0%	\$,	\$	1,846	3.2%	\$	106,950	\$	3,160	3.0%	\$	- 1 -	\$	869	3.0%
1999-00	\$ 103,790	\$ 3,939	3.9%	\$	88,671	\$	3,393	4.0%		110,890	\$	4,189	3.9%	\$.,	\$	2,294	4.2%	\$	103,790	\$	3,939	3.9%	\$	28,642	\$	1,084	3.9%
1998-99	. ,	\$ 5,171	5.5%	\$	85,278	\$	4,445	5.5%		, .	\$	5,505	5.4%	\$,	\$	2,976	5.7%	\$	99,852	\$	5,171	5.5%	\$	27,558		1,424	5.4%
1997-98	+ • • • • • •	\$ 4,665	5.2%	\$		\$	4,002	5.2%	\$	- , -	\$	4,973	5.2%	\$	- , -	\$	2,648	5.3%	\$	94,681	\$	4,665	5.2%	\$	26,134	\$	1,285	5.2%
1996-97	\$ 90,016	\$ 5,095	6.0%	\$	76,831	\$	4,372	6.0%	\$	96,224	\$	5,430	6.0%	\$		\$	2,901	6.2%	\$	90,016	\$	5,095	6.0%	\$,	\$	1,404	6.0%
1995-96	. ,	\$ 3,558	4.4%	\$	72,459	\$	3,060	4.4%	\$	90,794	\$	3,787	4.4%	\$	- ,	\$	2,053	4.6%	\$	84,921	\$	3,558	4.4%	\$	23,445	\$	979	4.4%
1994-95	\$ 81,363	. ,	5.9%	\$	69,399	\$	3,853	5.9%	\$.,	\$	4,802	5.8%	\$.,	\$	2,528	6.0%	\$	81,363		-	-	\$	22,466	<u> </u>	-	-
1993-94	\$ 76,863	\$ 3,096	4.2%	\$	65,546	\$	2,661	4.2%	\$	82,205	\$	3,296	4.2%	\$	7-	\$	1,864	4.6%		-		notes					notes	
1992-93	\$ 73,767	\$ 370	0.5%	\$	62,885	\$	353	0.6%	\$	78,909	\$	371	0.5%	\$	40,207	\$	636	1.6%	\$	73,397	\$	-	0.0%	\$	20,249		-	0.0%
1991-92	÷,	\$ 3,228	4.6%	\$	62,532	\$	2,749	4.6%	\$	78,538	\$	3,454	4.6%	\$		\$	1,734	4.6%	\$	73,397	\$	3,228	4.6%	\$	20,249		890	4.6%
1990-91	\$ 70,169	\$ 3,358	5.0%	\$	59,783	\$	2,921	5.1%	\$	75,084	\$	3,551	5.0%	\$	37,837	\$	2,507	7.1%	\$	70,169	\$	3,358	5.0%	\$	19,359		951	5.2%
1989-90	<i> </i>	\$ 3,379	5.3%	\$	56,862	\$	2,910	5.4%	\$	71,533	\$	8,101	12.8%	\$		\$	2,192	6.6%	\$	66,811	\$	3,379	5.3%	\$	18,408		945	5.4%
1988-89	\$ 63,432	\$ 7,583	13.6%	\$	53,952	\$	7,116	15.2%	\$	63,432	\$	3,293	5.5%	\$		\$	2,013	6.5%	\$	63,432	\$	3,293	5.5%	\$	17,463		917	5.5%
1987-88	+	\$ 2,825	5.3%	\$,	\$	2,389	5.4%	\$		\$	3,028	5.3%	\$		\$	1,773	6.0%	\$		\$	3,028	5.3%	\$	16,546		841	5.4%
1986-87	. ,	\$ 3,720	7.5%	\$,	\$	3,147	7.6%	\$	- /	\$	3,988	7.5%	\$	- /	\$	2,351	8.7%	\$, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	\$	3,988	7.5%	\$	15,705	\$	1,107	7.6%
1985-86	\$ 49,304	-	-	\$	41,300		-	-	\$	53,123		-	-	\$	27,001		-	-	\$	53,123		-	-	\$	14,598	<u> </u>	-	-

Colorise of Floated County Constitutional Officers and Coloral District Officials Durawant to the Colory Formula in Chanter 44F. Florida Statutor

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members: therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	Salaries of	of Electe	d Coun	ıty	Constit	utic	onal C	Officers	ar	nd Scho	ol	Distri	ct Offic	ials	s Pursu	an	t to the	e Salar	y F	ormula	in	Chapt	ter 145	, Fl⁄	orida S	tatu	ites	
									L	evy Cou	nty	y: Fisca	I Years	198	5-86 to 2	201	4-15											
	Clerk of Cire	cuit Court, I	Property																									
Fiscal															County	Cor	nmissio	ners		School	Sup	erintenc	dent		School	Boar	d Memb	bers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 103,972	\$ 270	0.3%	\$	86,207	\$	222	0.3%	\$	112,912	\$	294	0.3%	\$	35,217	\$	84	0.2%	\$	103,972	\$	270	0.3%	\$	27,518	\$	71	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 103,702	\$ 3,581	3.6%	\$	85,985	\$	2,953	3.6%	\$	112,618	\$	3,901	3.6%	\$	35,133	\$	1,129	3.3%	\$	103,702	\$	3,581	3.6%	\$	27,447	\$	948	3.6%
2012-13	\$ 100,121	\$ (11)		\$	83,032	\$	(10)	0.0%	\$	108,717	\$	(11)	0.0%	\$	34,004	\$	(10)	0.0%		100,121	\$	(11)	0.0%	\$	26,499		(3)	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 100,132		0.0%	\$	83,043	\$	39	0.0%	\$	108,728	\$	41	0.0%	\$,	\$	39	0.1%	\$	100,132	\$	41	0.0%	\$	26,502	\$	11	0.0%
2010-11	\$ 100,091	· · · /	0.0%	\$		\$	(44)	-0.1%	\$	108,687	\$	(46)	0.0%	\$	33,976	\$	(44)	-0.1%	\$,	\$	2,201	2.2%	\$	26,491		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 100,137		0.2%	\$,	\$	237	0.3%	•	108,733	•	249	0.2%	\$. ,	\$	237	0.7%	\$	97,890		See no		\$	26,503		See no	
2008-09	\$ 99,888		0.5%	\$	82,810	\$	417	0.5%		108,483		462	0.4%	\$, .	\$	363	1.1%	\$	99,888	\$	452	0.5%	\$	26,437	\$	120	0.5%
2007-08	\$ 99,435		0.3%	\$		\$	305	0.4%	•	108,021	•	320	0.3%	\$, .	\$	305	0.9%	\$	99,435	\$	320	0.3%	\$	26,317		-	-
2006-07	\$ 99,116	. ,	3.6%	\$,		2,893	3.7%		107,702		3,757	3.6%	\$,	\$	1,255	3.9%	\$	99,116	\$	3,470	3.6%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 95,646	1)	4.4%	\$,	•	3,383	4.5%	\$	103,945	\$	4,379	4.4%	\$	31,859	\$	1,501	4.9%	\$	95,646	\$	4,049	4.4%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 91,597	. ,	1.6%	\$,		1,222	1.6%	\$	99,566	\$	1,557	1.6%	\$		\$	599	2.0%	\$	91,597		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 90,149	1): :	2.3%	\$	74,590	\$	1,708	2.3%	\$	98,009	\$	2,180	2.3%	\$.,	\$	830	2.9%		-	ee r	notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 88,124	, ,	2.8%	\$	72,882	\$	1,992	2.8%	\$	95,829	\$	2,571	2.8%	\$	28,929	\$	899	3.2%	\$	88,124	\$	2,380	2.8%		-	See n		
2001-02	\$ 85,744	, ,	3.3%	\$	70,890	•	2,295	3.3%	\$	93,258	\$	2,948	3.3%	\$	28,031	\$	1,072	4.0%	\$	85,744	\$	2,733	3.3%	\$	22,694	•	723	3.3%
2000-01		\$ 2,534	3.1%	\$	68,595		2,128	3.2%	\$	90,311	\$	2,734	3.1%	\$	26,959	\$	989	3.8%	\$	83,011	\$	2,534	3.1%	\$	21,971		671	3.1%
1999-00	<i> </i>	\$ 3,046	3.9%	\$	66,467	•	2,543	4.0%	\$	87,577	\$	3,296	3.9%	\$	25,970	\$	1,116	4.5%	\$	80,476	\$	3,046	3.9%	\$	21,300		806	3.9%
1998-99	• / •	\$ 3,999	5.4%	\$	63,925		3,330	5.5%	\$	84,281	\$	4,334	5.4%	\$	24,854	\$	1,423	6.1%	\$	77,431	\$	3,999	5.4%	\$	20,494		1,059	5.4%
1997-98	\$ 73,432	. ,	5.3%	\$,	•	3,051	5.3%	\$	79,947	\$	3,976	5.2%	\$	23,431	\$	1,295	5.9%	\$	73,432	\$	3,668	5.3%	\$	19,435	•	970	5.3%
1996-97	, .	\$ 3,925	6.0%	\$	57,544		3,258	6.0%	\$	75,971	\$	4,259	5.9%	\$	22,136	\$	1,348	6.5%	\$	69,764	\$	3,925	6.0%	\$	18,465		1,039	6.0%
1995-96	\$ 65,839	, ,	4.4%	\$	54,286	•	2,294	4.4%	\$,	\$	2,982	4.3%	\$	20,788	\$	987	5.0%	\$	65,839	\$	2,753	4.4%	\$	17,426	\$	729	4.4%
1994-95	+	\$ 3,529	5.9%	\$	51,992		2,929	6.0%	\$	68,730	\$	3,831	5.9%	\$	19,801	\$	1,207	6.5%	\$	63,086		-	-	\$	16,697	<u> </u>	-	-
1993-94	\$ 59,557	. ,	4.2%	\$	49,063	\$	1,984	4.2%	\$	64,899	\$	2,586	4.2%	\$	18,594	\$	841	4.7%		-		notes		L		See n	otes	
1992-93	\$ 57,172		0.3%	\$	47,079	\$	139	0.3%	\$	62,313	\$	146	0.2%	\$,	\$	139	0.8%	\$	57,026	\$	-	0.0%	\$	15,093		-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 57,026		4.9%	\$	46,940		2,197	4.9%	\$	62,167	\$	2,874	4.8%	\$	17,614	\$	906	5.4%	\$	57,026	\$	2,648	4.9%	\$	15,093		701	4.9%
1990-91	\$ 54,378	1 / -	4.3%	\$	44,743		1,878	4.4%	\$	59,293	\$	2,458	4.3%	\$		\$	774	4.9%	\$	54,378	\$	2,264	4.3%	\$	14,392		599	4.3%
1989-90	1 - 1	\$ 2,474	5.0%	\$	42,865		2,049	5.0%	\$	56,835	\$	7,195	14.5%	\$	15,934	\$	824	5.5%	\$	52,114	\$	2,474	5.0%	\$	13,793		655	5.0%
1988-89	\$ 49,640	\$ 6,784	15.8%	\$	40,816		6,354	18.4%	\$	49,640	\$	2,493	5.3%	\$., .	\$	829	5.8%	\$	49,640	\$	2,493	5.3%	\$	13,138		659	5.3%
1987-88	÷ .=;•••	\$ 2,150	5.3%	\$	34,462		1,746	5.3%	\$	47,147		2,354	5.3%	\$,	\$	787	5.8%	\$	47,147		2,354	5.3%	\$	12,479		623	5.3%
1986-87	\$ 40,706	\$ 2,737	7.2%	\$		\$	2,211	7.2%	\$	44,793	\$	3,005	7.2%	\$	13,494	\$	954	7.6%	\$	44,793	\$	3,005	7.2%	\$	11,856	\$	796	7.2%
1985-86	\$ 37,969	-	-	\$	30,505		-	-	\$	41,788		-	-	\$	12,540		-	-	\$	41,788		-	-	\$	11,060	L	-	-

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members: therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	89,630 \$ 1,935 2.2% 87,695 See notes 89,485 \$ 98 0.1% 89,387 \$ 61 0.1%	School Board Members Salary \$ Chg. % Chg. \$ 24,293 \$ 53 0.2% \$ 24,240 \$ 920 3.9% \$ 23,320 \$ 2 0.0% \$ 23,318 \$ 49 0.2% \$ 23,269 See notes \$ 23,247 \$ 20,267 See notes \$ 26,267
YearSalary\$ Chg.% Chg.Salary\$ Chg.% Chg.Salary\$ Chg.% Chg.	Salary \$ Chg. % Chg. 93,305 \$ 241 0.3% 93,064 \$ 3,386 3.8% 93,064 \$ 3,386 3.8% 89,677 \$ 2 0.0% 89,677 \$ 47 0.1% 89,673 \$ 2.2% \$ 87,695 87,695 See notes \$ 89,485 89,387 \$ 61 0.1%	Salary \$ Chg. % Chg. \$ 24,293 \$ 53 0.2% \$ 24,240 \$ 920 3.9% \$ 23,320 \$ 2 0.0% \$ 23,318 \$ 49 0.2% \$ 23,269 See notes \$ 23,247
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	93,305 241 0.3% 93,064 3,386 3.8% 89,678 2 0.0% 89,677 47 0.1% 89,630 \$ 1,935 2.2% 87,695 See notes 88,465 89,887 \$ 61 0.1%	\$ 24,293 \$ 53 0.2% \$ 24,240 \$ 920 3.9% \$ 23,320 \$ 2 0.0% \$ 23,318 49 0.2% \$ 23,269 See notes \$ 23,247 \$ 23,247 See notes \$ 23,247
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	33,064 \$3,386 3.8% 89,678 \$2 0.0% 89,677 \$47 0.1% 89,630 \$1,935 2.2% 87,695 See notes \$89,630 87,695 See notes \$89,485 89,387 \$61 0.1%	\$ 24,240 \$ 920 3.9% \$ 23,320 \$ 2 0.0% \$ 23,318 \$ 49 0.2% \$ 23,269 See notes \$ 23,247 See notes
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	89,678 2 0.0% 89,677 \$ 47 0.1% 89,630 \$ 1,935 2.2% 87,695 See notes \$ 89,485 \$ 98 0.1% 89,387 \$ 61 0.1% \$ 0.1% \$	\$ 23,320 \$ 2 0.0% \$ 23,318 \$ 49 0.2% \$ 23,269 See notes \$ 23,247 See notes
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	89,677 \$ 47 0.1% 89,630 \$ 1,935 2.2% 87,695 See notes 89,485 \$ 98 0.1% 89,387 \$ 61 0.1%	\$ 23,318 \$ 49 0.2% \$ 23,269 See notes \$ 23,247 See notes
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	89,630 1,935 2.2% 87,695 See notes 89,485 98 0.1% 89,387 61 0.1%	\$ 23,269 See notes \$ 23,247 See notes
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	87,695 See notes 89,485 \$ 98 0.1% 89,387 \$ 61 0.1%	\$ 23,247 See notes
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	89,485 98 0.1% 89,387 \$ 61 0.1%	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	89,387 \$ 61 0.1%	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		\$ 23,116 \$ 25 0.1%
2005-06 \$ 86,268 \$ 3,468 4.2% \$ 70,264 \$ 2,830 4.2% \$ 94,567 \$ 3,798 4.2% \$ 22,143 \$ 953 4.5% \$ 2004-05 \$ 82,800 \$ 1,156 1.4% \$ 67,434 \$ 944 1.4% \$ 90,769 \$ 1,265 1.4% \$ 21,190 \$ 330 1.6% \$ 2003-04 \$ 81,644 \$ 1,607 2.0% \$ 66,490 \$ 1,310 2.0% \$ 89,504 \$ 1,762 2.0% \$ 20,861 \$ 423 2.1% 2002-03 \$ 80,036 \$ 2,022 2.6% \$ 65,180 \$ 1,651 2.6% \$ 87,742 \$ 2,213 2.6% \$ 20,438 \$ 568 2.9% \$ 2001-02 \$ 78,015 \$ 1,946 2.6% \$ 63,529 \$ 1,546 2.5% \$ 85,529 \$ 2,161 2.6% \$ 32 0.2% \$ 2000-01 \$ 78,015 \$ 1,946 2.6% \$ 63,529 \$ 1,546 2.5% \$ 85,529 \$ 2,161 2.6% \$ 19,870 \$ 32 0.2% \$ 2000-01 \$ 76,669 2,171 2.9% \$ 61,983 <t< td=""><td></td><td>\$ 23,090</td></t<>		\$ 23,090
2004-05 \$ 82,800 \$ 1,156 1.4% \$ 67,434 \$ 944 1.4% \$ 90,769 \$ 1,265 1.4% \$ 21,190 \$ 330 1.6% \$ 2003-04 \$ 81,644 \$ 1,607 2.0% \$ 66,490 \$ 1,310 2.0% \$ 89,504 \$ 1,762 2.0% \$ 20,861 \$ 423 2.1% 2002-03 \$ 80,036 \$ 2,022 2.6% \$ 65,180 \$ 1,651 2.6% \$ 87,742 \$ 2,213 2.6% \$ 20,438 \$ 568 2.9% \$ 2001-02 \$ 78,015 \$ 1,946 2.6% \$ 63,529 \$ 1,564 2.5% \$ 85,529 \$ 2,161 2.6% \$ 19,870 \$ 32 0.2% \$ 2000-01 \$ 76,069 \$ 2,171 2.9% \$ 61,983 \$ 1,781 3.0% \$ 83,369 \$ 2,371 2.9% \$ 19,870 \$ 32 0.2% \$ 2000-01 \$ 76,069 \$ 2,171 2.9% \$ 61,983 \$ 1,781 3.0% \$ 83,369 \$ 2,371 2.9% \$ 19,838 715 3.7% \$ 1999-00 \$ 73,898 \$ 2,606 3.7% <t< td=""><td>89,326 \$ 3,058 3.5%</td><td>N/A</td></t<>	89,326 \$ 3,058 3.5%	N/A
2003-04 \$ 81,644 \$ 1,607 2.0% \$ 66,490 \$ 1,310 2.0% \$ 89,504 \$ 1,762 2.0% \$ 20,861 \$ 423 2.1% 2002-03 \$ 80,036 \$ 2,022 2.6% \$ 65,180 \$ 1,651 2.6% \$ 87,742 \$ 2,213 2.6% \$ 20,438 \$ 568 2.9% \$ 2001-02 \$ 78,015 \$ 1,946 2.6% \$ 63,529 \$ 1,546 2.5% \$ 85,529 \$ 2,161 2.6% \$ 19,870 \$ 32 0.2% \$ 2000-01 \$ 76,069 \$ 2,171 2.9% \$ 61,983 \$ 1,781 3.0% \$ 83,369 \$ 2,371 2.9% \$ 19,838 715 3.7% \$ 1999-00 \$ 73,898 2,606 3.7% \$ 60,202 \$ 2,123 3.7% \$ 80,998 \$ 2,856 3.7% \$ 19,123 \$ 680 3.7% \$ 1998-99 \$ 71,292 \$ 3,542 5.2% \$ 58,078 \$ 2,893 5.2% \$ 78,142 \$ 3,876 5.2% \$ 18,443 1,019 5.8% \$	86,268 \$ 3,468 4.2%	N/A
2002-03 \$ 80,036 \$ 2,022 2.6% \$ 65,180 \$ 1,651 2.6% \$ 87,742 \$ 2,213 2.6% \$ 20,438 \$ 568 2.9% \$ 2001-02 \$ 78,015 \$ 1,946 2.6% \$ 63,529 \$ 1,546 2.5% \$ 85,529 \$ 2,161 2.6% \$ 19,870 \$ 32 0.2% \$ 2000-01 \$ 76,069 \$ 2,171 2.9% \$ 61,983 \$ 1,781 3.0% \$ 83,369 \$ 2,371 2.9% \$ 19,838 \$ 715 3.7% \$ 1999-00 \$ 73,898 \$ 2,606 3.7% \$ 60,202 \$ 2,123 3.7% \$ 80,998 \$ 2,856 3.7% \$ 19,123 \$ 680 3.7% \$ 1998-99 \$ 71,292 \$ 3,542 5.2% \$ 58,078 \$ 2,893 5.2% \$ 78,142 \$ 3,876 5.2% \$ 18,443 1,019 5.8% \$	6 82,800	N/A
2001-02 \$ 78,015 \$ 1,946 2.6% \$ 63,529 \$ 1,546 2.5% \$ 85,529 \$ 2,161 2.6% \$ 19,870 \$ 32 0.2% \$ 2000-01 \$ 76,069 \$ 2,171 2.9% \$ 61,983 \$ 1,781 3.0% \$ 83,369 \$ 2,371 2.9% \$ 19,838 \$ 715 3.7% \$ 1999-00 \$ 73,898 \$ 2,606 3.7% \$ 60,202 \$ 2,123 3.7% \$ 80,998 \$ 2,856 3.7% \$ 19,123 \$ 680 3.7% \$ 1998-99 \$ 71,292 \$ 3,542 5.2% \$ 58,078 \$ 2,893 5.2% \$ 78,142 \$ 3,876 5.2% \$ 18,443 \$ 1,019 5.8% \$	See notes	N/A
2000-01 \$ 76,069 \$ 2,171 2.9% \$ 61,983 \$ 1,781 3.0% \$ 83,369 \$ 2,371 2.9% \$ 19,838 \$ 715 3.7% \$ 1999-00 \$ 73,898 \$ 2,606 3.7% \$ 60,202 \$ 2,123 3.7% \$ 80,998 \$ 2,856 3.7% \$ 19,123 \$ 680 3.7% \$ 1998-99 \$ 71,292 \$ 3,542 5.2% \$ 58,078 \$ 2,893 5.2% \$ 78,142 \$ 3,876 5.2% \$ 18,443 \$ 1,019 5.8% \$	80,036 \$ 2,022 2.6%	See notes
1999-00 \$ 73,898 \$ 2,606 3.7% \$ 60,202 \$ 2,123 3.7% \$ 80,998 \$ 2,856 3.7% \$ 19,123 \$ 680 3.7% \$ 198-99 \$ 71,292 \$ 3,542 5.2% \$ 58,078 \$ 2,893 5.2% \$ 78,142 \$ 3,876 5.2% \$ 18,443 \$ 1,019 5.8% \$	78,015 \$ 1,946 2.6%	\$ 19,984 \$ 273 1.4%
1998-99 \$ 71,292 \$ 3,542 5.2% \$ 58,078 \$ 2,893 5.2% \$ 78,142 \$ 3,876 5.2% \$ 18,443 \$ 1,019 5.8% \$	76,069 \$ 2,171 2.9%	\$ 19,711 \$ 635 3.3%
	73,898 \$ 2,606 3.7%	\$ 19,076 \$ 676 3.7%
1997-98 \$ 67 750 \$ 3 333 5 2% \$ 55 185 \$ 2 734 5 2% \$ 74 266 \$ 3 642 5 2% \$ 17 424 \$ 1 074 6 6% \$	71,292 \$ 3,542 5.2%	\$ 18,400 \$ 964 5.5%
	67,750 \$ 3,333 5.2%	\$ 17,436 \$ 963 5.8%
1996-97 \$ 64,417 \$ 3,549 5.8% \$ 52,451 \$ 2,900 5.9% \$ 70,624 \$ 3,883 5.8% \$ 16,350 \$ 1,023 6.7% \$	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$ 16,473 \$ 967 6.2%
1995-96 \$ 60,868 \$ 2,547 4.4% \$ 49,551 \$ 2,097 4.4% \$ 66,741 \$ 2,776 4.3% \$ 15,327 \$ 927 6.4% \$	60,868 \$ 2,547 4.4%	\$ 15,506 \$ 788 5.4%
1994-95 \$ 58,321 \$ 3,162 5.7% \$ 47,454 \$ 2,579 5.7% \$ 63,965 \$ 3,464 5.7% \$ 14,400 \$ 852 6.3% \$	58,321	\$ 14,718
1993-94 \$ 55,159 \$ 2,048 3.9% \$ 44,875 \$ 1,663 3.8% \$ 60,501 \$ 2,249 3.9% \$ 13,548 \$ 467 3.6%	See notes	See notes
1992-93 \$ 53,111 \$ 10 0.0% \$ 43,212 \$ 9 0.0% \$ 58,252 \$ 9 0.0% \$ 13,081 \$ 18 0.1% \$	53,101 \$ - 0.0%	\$ 13,378 \$ - 0.0%
1991-92 \$ 53,101 \$ 2,488 4.9% \$ 43,203 \$ 2,045 5.0% \$ 58,243 \$ 2,715 4.9% \$ 13,063 \$ 861 7.1% \$	53,101 \$ 2,488 4.9%	\$ 13,378 \$ 748 5.9%
1990-91 \$ 50,613 \$ 1,992 4.1% \$ 41,158 \$ 1,620 4.1% \$ 55,528 \$ 2,186 4.1% \$ 12,202 \$ 477 4.1% \$	50,613 \$ 1,992 4.1%	\$ 12,630 \$ 496 4.1%
1989-90 \$ 48,621 \$ 2,176 4.7% \$ 39,538 \$ 1,765 4.7% \$ 53,342 \$ 6,897 14.8% \$ 11,725 \$ 466 4.1% \$	48,621 \$ 2,176 4.7%	\$ 12,134 \$ 514 4.4%
1988-89 46,445 6,587 16.5% 37,773 6,167 19.5% 46,445 2,297 5.2% 11,259 666 6.3% \$	46,445 \$ 2,297 5.2%	\$ 11,620 \$ 628 5.7%
1987-88 \$ 39,858 \$ 1,899 5.0% \$ 31,606 \$ 1,507 5.0% \$ 44,148 \$ 2,103 5.0% \$ 10,593 \$ 514 5.1% \$		\$ 10,992 \$ 528 5.0%
1986-87 \$ 37,959 \$ 2,495 7.0% \$ 30,099 \$ 1,980 7.0% \$ 42,045 \$ 2,762 7.0% \$ 10,079 \$ 680 7.2% \$	44,148 \$ 2,103 5.0%	\$ 10,464 \$ 696 7.1%
1985-86 \$ 35,464 \$ 28,119 \$ 39,283 \$ 9,399 \$		\$ 9,768

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Madison County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2014-15

											ivia	alson C	ou	nty: Fise	cal rear	S 1	982-86 1	<u> </u>	014-15									
Clerk of Circuit Court, Property																												
Fiscal													SI	heriff			County	Co	mmissio	ners	School	Sup	erintend	lent	School	Boa	rd Meml	bers
Year	Salary \$ Chg. % Chg. Salary \$ Chg. % Chg.										Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	
2014-15	\$	96,963	\$	319	0.3%	\$	79,531	\$	269	0.3%	\$	105,902	\$	343	0.3%	\$	28,541	\$	131	0.5%	\$ 96,963	\$	319	0.3%	\$ 25,664	\$	84	0.3%
2013-14	\$	96,644	\$	3,443	3.7%	\$	79,263	\$	2,821	3.7%	\$	105,559	\$	3,763	3.7%	\$	28,411	\$	997	3.6%	\$ 96,644	\$	3,443	3.7%	\$ 25,580	\$	911	3.7%
2012-13	\$	93,201	\$	24	0.0%	\$	76,442	\$	23	0.0%	\$	101,796	\$	24	0.0%	\$	27,413	\$	23	0.1%	\$ 93,201	\$	24	0.0%	\$ 24,668	\$	6	0.0%
2011-12	\$	93,177	\$	(357)	-0.4%	\$	76,419		(340)	-0.4%	\$	101,773	\$	(357)	-0.4%	\$	27,391	\$	(340)	-1.2%	\$ 93,177	\$	(357)	-0.4%	\$ 24,662	\$	(95)	-0.4%
2010-11	\$	93,534	\$	58	0.1%	\$	76,759	\$	56	0.1%	\$	102,130	\$	58	0.1%	\$	27,731	\$	56	0.2%	\$ 93,534	\$	1,994	2.2%	\$ 24,757		See no	otes
2009-10	\$	93,476	\$	68	0.1%	\$	76,704	\$	64	0.1%	\$	102,072	\$	68	0.1%	\$	27,676	\$	64	0.2%	\$ 91,540		See no	otes	\$ 24,741		See no	otes
2008-09	\$	93,408	\$	144	0.2%	\$	76,640	\$	124	0.2%	\$	102,004	\$	154	0.2%	\$	27,612	\$	70	0.3%	\$ 93,408	\$	144	0.2%	\$ 24,723	\$	38	0.2%
2007-08	\$	93,264	\$	37	0.0%	\$	76,515	\$	35	0.0%	\$	101,850	\$	37	0.0%	\$	27,541	\$	36	0.1%	\$ 93,264	\$	37	0.0%	\$ 24,685		-	-
2006-07	\$	93,227	\$	3,180	3.5%	\$	76,480	\$	2,616	3.5%	\$	101,813	\$	3,466	3.5%	\$	27,506	\$	979	3.7%	\$ 93,227	\$	3,179	3.5%	N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$	90,047	\$	3,688	4.3%	\$	73,864	\$	3,040	4.3%	\$	98,347	\$	4,018	4.3%	\$	26,527	\$	1,157	4.6%	\$ 90,047	\$	3,688	4.3%	N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$	86,359	\$	1,245	1.5%	\$	70,824	\$	1,029	1.5%	\$	94,329	\$	1,354	1.5%	\$	25,370	\$	406	1.6%	\$ 86,359		-	-	N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$	85,115	\$	1,688	2.0%	\$	69,795	\$	1,387	2.0%	\$	92,974	\$	1,843	2.0%	\$	24,964	•	509	2.1%	-	See i	notes		N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$	83,426	•	2,111	2.6%	\$	68,408	\$	1,736	2.6%	\$	91,132	\$	2,303	2.6%	\$	24,456	\$	643	2.7%	\$ 83,426	\$	2,111	2.6%			notes	
2001-02	\$	81,315	\$	2,075	2.6%	\$	66,672	\$	1,669	2.6%	\$	88,829	\$	2,289	2.6%	\$	23,813	\$	446	1.9%	\$ 0.,0.0	\$	2,075	2.6%	\$ 21,522	•	549	2.6%
2000-01	\$	79,240	\$	2,262	2.9%	\$	65,003	\$	1,867	3.0%	\$	86,540	\$, -	2.9%	\$	23,367	\$	729	3.2%	\$ 79,240	\$	2,262	2.9%	\$ 20,973	\$	598	2.9%
1999-00	\$	76,978	\$	2,773	3.7%	\$	63,136	\$	2,283	3.8%	\$	84,078	\$	3,023	3.7%	\$	22,638	\$	856	3.9%	\$ 76,978	\$	2,773	3.7%	\$ 20,375	\$	734	3.7%
1998-99	\$	74,205	\$	3,692	5.2%	\$	60,853	\$	3,037	5.3%	\$	81,055	\$	4,026	5.2%	\$	21,782	\$	1,131	5.5%	\$ 74,205	\$	3,692	5.2%	\$ 19,641	\$	978	5.2%
1997-98	\$	70,513	\$	3,426	5.1%	\$	57,816	\$	2,822	5.1%	\$	77,029	\$	3,734	5.1%	\$	20,651	\$	1,065	5.4%	\$ 70,513	\$	3,426	5.1%	\$ 18,663	\$	906	5.1%
1996-97	\$	67,087	\$	3,746	5.9%	\$	54,994	\$	3,087	5.9%	\$	73,295	\$	4,081	5.9%	\$	19,586	\$	1,177	6.4%	\$ 67,087	\$	3,746	5.9%	\$ 17,757	\$	992	5.9%
1995-96	\$	63,341	\$	2,566	4.2%	\$	51,907	\$	2,116	4.2%	\$	69,214	\$	2,795	4.2%	\$	18,409	\$	809	4.6%	\$ 63,341	\$	2,566	4.2%	\$ 16,765	\$	679	4.2%
1994-95	\$	60,775	\$	3,306	5.8%	\$	49,791	\$	2,716	5.8%	\$	66,419	\$	3,608	5.7%	\$	17,600	\$	995	6.0%	\$ 60,775		-	-	\$ 16,086		-	-
1993-94	\$	57,469	\$	2,258	4.1%	\$	47,075	\$	1,863	4.1%	\$	62,811	\$	2,458	4.1%	\$	16,605	\$	719	4.5%	S	See ı	notes		S	see r	notes	
1992-93	\$	55,211	\$	(11)	0.0%	\$	45,212	\$	(11)	0.0%	\$	60,353	\$	(11)	0.0%	\$	15,886	\$	(10)	-0.1%	\$ 55,222	\$	-	0.0%	\$ 14,616	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$	55,222	\$	2,445	4.6%	\$	45,223	\$	2,004	4.6%	\$	60,364	\$	2,672	4.6%	\$	15,896	\$	712	4.7%	\$ 55,222	\$	2,445	4.6%	\$ 14,616	\$	647	4.6%
1990-91	\$	52,777	\$	2,173	4.3%	\$	43,219	\$	1,792	4.3%	\$	57,692	\$	2,366	4.3%	\$	15,184	\$	687	4.7%	\$ 52,777	\$	2,173	4.3%	\$ 13,969	\$	575	4.3%
1989-90	\$	50,604	\$	2,320	4.8%	\$	41,427	\$	1,902	4.8%	\$	55,326	\$	7,042	14.6%	\$	14,497	\$	678	4.9%	\$ 50,604	\$	2,320	4.8%	\$ 13,394	\$	614	4.8%
1988-89	\$	48,284	\$	6,633	15.9%	\$	39,525	\$	6,211	18.6%	\$	48,284	\$	2,343	5.1%	\$	13,819	\$	686	5.2%	\$ 48,284	\$	2,343	5.1%	\$ 12,780	\$	620	5.1%
1987-88	\$	41,651	\$	1,992	5.0%	\$	33,314	\$	1,596	5.0%	\$	45,941	\$	2,196	5.0%	\$	13,133	\$	637	5.1%	\$ 45,941	\$	2,196	5.0%	\$ 12,160	\$	581	5.0%
1986-87	\$	39,659	\$	2,623	7.1%	\$	31,718	\$	2,102	7.1%	\$	43,745	\$	2,890	7.1%	\$	12,496	\$	844	7.2%	\$ 43,745	\$	2,890	7.1%	\$ 11,579	\$	766	7.1%
1985-86	\$	37,036		-	-	\$	29,616		-	-	\$	40,855		-	-	\$	11,652		-	-	\$ 40,855		-	-	\$ 10,813		-	-
															•							•						

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	Salaries	of Electe	d Coun	nty	Constit	utional (Officers	a	nd Scho	ool	Distric	ct Offic	ials	s Pursu	an	t to the	e Salary	уF	Formula	ı in	Chapt	ter 145,	, FI	orida S	tatı	utes	
								Ma	anatee Co	our	nty: Fisc	cal Year	s 19	985-86 to	2	014-15											
	Clerk of Cir	cuit Court,	Property																								
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervis	sor of Elec	tions			Sh	neriff			County	Со	mmissio	ners		School	Sup	perintenc	dent		School	Boar	rd Meml	oers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	1	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 137,431	\$ 611	0.4%	\$	118,072	\$ 547	0.5%	\$	146,370	\$	635	0.4%	\$	78,789	\$	441	0.6%	\$	137,431	\$	611	0.4%	\$	37,847	\$	166	0.4%
2013-14	\$ 136,819	\$ 5,191	3.9%	\$	117,525	\$ 4,485	4.0%	\$	145,735	\$	5,510	3.9%	\$	78,348	\$	3,080	4.1%	\$	136,819	\$	5,191	3.9%	\$	37,681	\$	1,426	3.9%
2012-13	\$ 131,629	\$ 198	0.2%	\$	113,040		0.2%	\$	140,224	\$	198	0.1%	\$	75,268	\$	189	0.3%	\$	131,629	\$	198	0.2%	\$	36,255	\$	52	0.1%
2011-12	\$ 131,431	\$ 286	0.2%	\$	112,851	\$ 272	0.2%	\$	140,026	\$	286	0.2%	\$	75,079	\$	272	0.4%	\$	131,431	\$	286	0.2%	\$	36,203	\$	76	0.2%
2010-11	\$ 131,145		0.0%	\$	112,579	\$ 43	0.0%	\$	139,741	\$	45	0.0%	\$	74,807	\$	43	0.1%	\$	131,145	\$	2,782	2.2%	\$	36,127		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 131,100		0.1%	\$	112,536		0.1%		139,695	•	117	0.1%	\$, -	\$	112	0.1%	\$	128,363		See no		\$	36,115		See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 130,982	•	0.5%	\$	112,424	\$ 587	0.5%	\$	139,578	\$	641	0.5%	\$	74,652	\$	546	0.7%	\$	130,982	\$	631	0.5%	\$	36,084	\$	168	0.5%
2007-08	\$ 130,351		0.2%		111,837		0.2%	\$	138,937	\$	254	0.2%	\$, -	\$	242	0.3%	\$	130,351	\$	254	0.2%	\$	35,916		-	-
2006-07	\$ 130,098		3.9%	\$	111,595	\$ 4,273	4.0%	\$	138,684	\$	5,206	3.9%	\$	73,864	\$	3,011	4.3%	\$	130,098	\$	4,919	3.9%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 125,179	\$ 5,477	4.6%	\$	107,322	\$ 4,743	4.6%	\$	133,478	\$	5,807	4.5%	\$	70,853	\$	3,293	4.9%	\$	-, -	\$	5,477	4.6%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 119,702	\$ 2,202	1.9%	\$	102,579	\$ 1,941	1.9%	\$	7-	•	2,312	1.8%	\$	67,560	\$	1,461	2.2%	\$	119,702		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 117,499		2.3%	\$	100,638	\$ 2,335	2.4%	\$	125,359	\$	2,838	2.3%	\$		\$	1,658	2.6%			See	notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 114,815	• • • • •	2.9%	\$	98,303	\$ 2,808	2.9%	\$	1-	\$	3,428	2.9%	\$	64,441	\$	1,966	3.1%		1	\$	3,237	2.9%			_	notes	
2001-02	\$ 111,579		3.5%	\$	95,495	\$ 3,289	3.6%	•	119,093	\$	3,991	3.5%	\$, ,	\$	2,346	3.9%	\$,	\$	3,776	3.5%	\$		\$	1,035	3.5%
2000-01	\$ 107,803	· · · · ·	3.1%	\$	92,206	\$ 2,835	3.2%	\$	115,102	\$	3,477	3.1%	\$		\$	1,958	3.4%	\$		\$	3,278	3.1%	\$	- /	\$	900	3.1%
1999-00	\$ 104,525		3.9%	\$	89,371	\$ 3,422	4.0%		111,625	\$	4,219	3.9%	\$		\$	2,323	4.2%	\$	- /	\$	3,969	3.9%	\$	28,836	\$	1,092	3.9%
1998-99	\$ 100,556	, .	5.4%	\$	85,949	; ,	5.4%	•	107,406	\$	5,469	5.4%	\$		\$	2,941	5.6%	\$,	\$	5,134	5.4%	\$,	\$	1,414	5.4%
1997-98	\$ 95,422		5.2%	\$.,	\$ 4,014	5.2%	\$	- /	\$	4,986	5.1%	\$	- /	\$	2,661	5.3%	\$	95,422	\$	4,679	5.2%	\$		\$	1,289	5.1%
1996-97	\$ 90,743	\$ 5,110	6.0%	\$	77,524	\$ 4,387	6.0%	\$	96,951	\$	5,445	6.0%	\$	50,246	\$	2,916	6.2%	\$	90,743	\$	5,110	6.0%	\$	25,041	\$	1,407	6.0%
1995-96	\$ 85,633		4.3%	\$	73,137	\$ 3,044	4.3%	\$	91,506	\$	3,770	4.3%	\$	47,330	\$	2,038	4.5%	\$	85,633	\$	3,541	4.3%	\$	23,634	\$	976	4.3%
1994-95	\$ 82,092	\$ 4,558	5.9%	\$	70,093	\$ 3,909	5.9%	\$	87,736	\$	4,860	5.9%	\$	45,292	\$	2,582	6.0%	\$	82,092		-	-	\$	22,658		-	-
1993-94	\$ 77,534	\$ 3,072	4.1%	\$	66,184	\$ 2,637	4.1%	\$	82,876	\$	3,272	4.1%	\$	42,710	\$	1,757	4.3%				notes					notes	
1992-93	\$ 74,462	•	0.2%	\$	63,547	•	0.2%	\$	- 1	•	132	0.2%	\$		\$	125	0.3%	\$	74,330	\$	-	0.0%	\$	20,522	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	<i> </i>	\$ 4,154	5.9%	\$	63,421	\$ 3,632	6.1%	\$	79,472	\$	4,381	5.8%	\$	40,828	\$	2,979	7.9%	\$	74,330	\$	4,154	5.9%	\$	- / -	\$	1,161	6.0%
1990-91	\$ 70,176	\$ 3,071	4.6%	\$	59,789	\$ 2,647	4.6%	\$	75,091	\$	3,265	4.5%	\$	37,849	\$	2,017	5.6%	\$	70,176	\$	3,071	4.6%	\$	19,361	\$	860	4.6%
1989-90	\$ 67,105	. ,	5.3%	\$., <u>.</u>	\$ 2,910	5.4%	\$	1	\$	8,100	12.7%	\$		\$	2,191	6.5%	\$	67,105	\$	3,379	5.3%	\$	- /	\$	945	5.4%
1988-89	\$ 63,726		13.7%	\$	54,232	1 1 -	15.3%	\$,	\$	3,372	5.6%	\$	/ -	\$	2,148	6.8%	\$	63,726	\$	3,372	5.6%	\$	17,556		942	5.7%
1987-88	\$ 56,064		5.5%	\$	47,040	\$ 2,494	5.6%	\$	60,354	\$	3,139	5.5%	\$	31,493	\$	1,963	6.6%	\$	60,354	\$	3,139	5.5%	\$	16,614	\$	876	5.6%
1986-87	\$ 53,128	\$ 3,717	7.5%	\$	44,546	\$ 3,144	7.6%	\$	57,215	\$	3,985	7.5%	\$	29,530	\$	2,345	8.6%	\$	57,215	\$	3,985	7.5%	\$	15,738	\$	1,106	7.6%
1985-86	\$ 49,411	-	-	\$	41,402	-	-	\$	53,230		-	-	\$	27,185		-	-	\$	53,230		-	-	\$	14,632		-	-

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-2034, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	Salaries of	of Electe	d Coun	nty	Constit	utior	nal C	officers	and	Scho	ol D	istric	ct Offic	ials	s Pursu	an	t to the	e Salar	уF	Formula	in (hap	ter 145	, Fl⁄	orida S	tatu	ites	
									Mario	on Coi	unty:	Fisca	al Years	s 19	85-86 to	20	14-15											
	Clerk of Circ	cuit Court, I	Property								-																	
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervi	sor of	Electi	ons			Sheri	iff			County	Cor	nmissio	ners		School	Supe	rintene	dent		School I	Boar	d Memt	bers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ C	ng.	% Chg.	Sala	ary	\$ C	hg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ C	hg.	% Chg.	٤,	Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 137,506	\$ 507	0.4%	\$	118,144	\$	448	0.4%	\$ 140	6,446	\$	531	0.4%	\$	78,861	\$	342	0.4%	\$	137,506	\$	507	0.4%	\$	37,867	\$	138	0.4%
2013-14	\$ 136,999	\$ 4,994	3.8%	\$	117,696	\$ 4	,298	3.8%	\$ 14	5,914	\$ 5	5,314	3.8%	\$	78,519	\$	2,893	3.8%	\$	136,999	\$	1,994	3.8%	\$	37,729	\$	1,374	3.8%
2012-13	\$ 132,005	\$ 29	0.0%	\$	113,398	\$	27	0.0%	\$ 140	0,601	\$	29	0.0%	\$	75,626	\$	27	0.0%	\$	132,005	\$	29	0.0%	\$	36,355	\$	8	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 131,976	\$ 55	0.0%	\$	113,371	\$	53	0.0%	\$ 140	0,572	\$	55	0.0%	\$	75,599	\$	53	0.1%	\$	131,976	\$	55	0.0%	\$	36,347	\$	15	0.0%
2010-11	\$ 131,921	\$ 66	0.0%	\$	113,318	\$	63	0.1%	\$ 140	0,517	\$	66	0.0%	\$	75,546	\$	63	0.1%	\$	131,921	\$	2,981	2.3%	\$	36,332		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 131,855		0.2%		-,	\$	271	0.2%	\$ 140	0,451	\$	284	0.2%	\$	-, -	\$	270	0.4%	\$	- ,		See no		\$	36,315		See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 131,571		0.6%		1	\$	734	0.7%	•	0,167		795	0.6%	\$	- / -	\$	693	0.9%	\$	- /-	\$	785	0.6%	\$	36,240	\$	209	0.6%
2007-08	\$ 130,786		0.5%		,	\$	621	0.6%	\$ 139	9,372		652	0.5%	\$	74,520	\$	622	0.8%	\$	130,786	\$	652	0.5%	\$	36,030		-	-
2006-07	\$ 130,134	. ,	4.1%	\$	111,629	\$ 4	,421	4.1%	\$ 13	8,720	\$ 5	5,362	4.0%	\$	73,899	\$	3,160	4.5%	\$	130,134	\$	5,075	4.1%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 125,059	\$ 5,651	4.7%	\$	107,208	\$ 4	,909	4.8%	\$ 13	3,358	\$ 5	5,981	4.7%	\$	70,739	\$	3,459	5.1%	\$	125,059	\$	5,651	4.7%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 119,408	. ,	1.9%	\$	102,299	\$ 2	,013	2.0%	\$ 12	7,377		2,387	1.9%	\$.,	\$	1,533	2.3%	\$	119,408		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 117,130	, ,	2.4%	\$	100,286		,341	2.4%	•	4,990		2,844	2.3%	\$,	\$	1,664	2.6%			iee no				N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 114,440	. ,	2.8%	\$	97,945		,723	2.9%		2,146		3,339	2.8%	\$	64,084	\$	1,881	3.0%	\$, -		3,148	2.8%		-	ee n		
2001-02	\$ 111,292	\$ 3,696	3.4%	\$	95,222		,213	3.5%	\$ 118	,		3,910	3.4%	\$		\$	2,270	3.8%	\$	111,292		3,696	3.4%	\$	30,695		1,013	3.4%
2000-01	\$ 107,596	. ,	3.2%	\$	92,009		,875	3.2%	\$ 114	4,896		3,520	3.2%	\$		\$	1,998	3.4%	\$	107,596		3,320	3.2%	\$	29,682		912	3.2%
1999-00	\$ 104,276	\$ 3,937	3.9%	\$	89,134		,391	4.0%	\$ 11 [.]	1		4,187	3.9%	\$	57,934	\$	2,292	4.1%	\$	104,276		3,937	3.9%	\$			1,083	3.9%
1998-99	\$ 100,339		5.6%	\$,554	5.6%		,		5,619	5.5%	\$,	\$	3,085	5.9%	\$	100,339		5,285	5.6%	\$,		1,454	5.5%
1997-98	+	\$ 4,709	5.2%	\$	81,189		,044	5.2%				5,017	5.2%	\$	- /	\$	2,690	5.4%	\$	95,054		4,709	5.2%	\$	-,		1,297	5.2%
1996-97	,	\$ 5,171	6.1%	\$,		,445	6.1%		6,553		5,506	6.0%	\$	- /	\$	2,974	6.3%	\$	90,345		5,171	6.1%	\$	24,936		1,424	6.1%
1995-96	÷ •••,···	\$ 3,568	4.4%	\$,		,070	4.4%		.,		3,797	4.4%	\$.,	\$	2,064	4.6%	\$	85,174	\$	3,568	4.4%	\$	23,512	\$	982	4.4%
1994-95	\$ 81,606	. ,	5.9%	\$,		,929	6.0%		,		4,882	5.9%	\$,	\$	2,602	6.2%	\$	81,606		-	-	\$	22,530		-	-
1993-94	\$ 77,026	\$ 3,135	4.2%	\$	65,701	\$ 2	,699	4.3%		2,368	\$ 3	3,336	4.2%	\$,	\$	1,818	4.5%			iee no	tes			-		otes	
1992-93	+,	\$ 344	0.5%	\$	63,002	\$	327	0.5%		9,032	\$	343	0.4%	\$,	\$	580	1.5%	\$	73,547		-	0.0%	\$	20,297		-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 73,547	\$ 3,491	5.0%	\$	62,675		,000	5.0%		8,689		3,718	5.0%	\$	00,010	\$	2,185	5.8%	\$	73,547		3,491	5.0%	\$	20,297		974	5.0%
1990-91	\$ 70,056	\$ 3,257	4.9%	\$	59,675		,824	5.0%		4,971		3,450	4.8%	\$	37,644	\$	2,335	6.6%	\$	70,056		3,257	4.9%	\$	19,323		919	5.0%
1989-90	. ,	\$ 3,471	5.5%	\$	56,851		,999	5.6%		1,521		8,193	12.9%	\$		\$	2,350	7.1%	\$	66,799		3,471	5.5%	\$	18,404		975	5.6%
1988-89	1	\$ 7,762	14.0%	\$,286	15.6%		3,328		3,472	5.8%	\$	- /	\$	2,320	7.6%	\$	63,328		3,472	5.8%	\$	17,429	\$	973	5.9%
1987-88	\$ 55,566	\$ 3,087	5.9%	\$	46,566		,638	6.0%		9,856		3,291	5.8%	\$		\$	2,222	7.8%	\$	59,856		3,291	5.8%	\$		\$	924	5.9%
1986-87	÷ •=;•••	\$ 3,863	7.9%	\$	43,928	\$ 3	,283	8.1%		6,565	\$ 4	4,130	7.9%	\$	- 1	\$	2,595	10.0%	\$	56,565	\$	4,130	7.9%	\$	15,532	\$	1,152	8.0%
1985-86	\$ 48,616	-	-	\$	40,645	-		-	\$ 5	2,435		-	-	\$	25,822		-	-	\$	52,435		-	-	\$	14,380		-	-

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-2034, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	Salaries of	of Electe	d Coun	nty	Constit	uti	onal C	Officers	ar	nd Scho	ool	Distri	ct Offic	ials	s Pursu	an	t to the	e Salary	уF	ormula	ı in	Chapt	ter 145	, Fl⁄	orida S	tatı	utes	ł
									M	artin Co	unt	ty: Fisca	al Years	19	85-86 to	20 ′	14-15											
	Clerk of Circ	cuit Court,	Property																									
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervi	sor	of Electi	ions			Sh	neriff			County	Coi	nmissio	ners		School	Sup	perintenc	dent		School	Boar	rd Meml	bers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	67	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 122,652	\$ 429	0.4%	\$	103,998	\$	374	0.4%	\$	131,592	\$	454	0.3%	\$	60,294	\$	330	0.6%	\$	122,652	\$	429	0.4%	\$	33,632	\$	122	0.4%
2013-14	\$ 122,223	\$ 4,439	3.8%	\$	103,623	\$	3,769	3.8%	\$	131,138	\$	4,759	3.8%	\$	/	\$	2,245	3.9%	\$	122,223	\$	4,439	3.8%	\$	33,510	\$	1,219	3.8%
2012-13	\$ 117,784		0.0%	\$	99,854	\$	38	0.0%	\$	126,379	\$	40	0.0%	\$	- / -	\$	68	0.1%		117,784	\$	40	0.0%	\$	32,291	\$	13	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 117,744		0.2%	\$		\$	252	0.3%		126,340		265	0.2%	\$.,	\$	453	0.8%		117,744	\$	265	0.2%	\$	32,278	\$	84	0.3%
2010-11	\$ 117,479			\$		\$	(1)	0.0%	•	126,075	•	(1)	0.0%	\$	- ,	\$	(2)	0.0%	•	117,479	\$	2,363	2.1%	\$	32,194	L	See no	
2009-10	\$ 117,481	•	0.0%	\$,	\$	14	0.0%		126,076	· ·	15	0.0%	\$.,	\$	24	0.0%		115,117		See no		\$	32,195	—	See no	
2008-09	\$ 117,466	•	0.2%	\$,	\$	221	0.2%	•	126,062	•	256	0.2%	\$	- /	\$	264	0.5%		117,466	\$	246	0.2%	\$,	\$	73	0.2%
2007-08	\$ 117,220	•	0.1%	\$,	\$	161	0.2%		125,806		169	0.1%	\$,	\$	291	0.5%		117,220	\$	169	0.1%	\$	32,117	<u> </u>	-	-
2006-07	· /	\$ 4,270	3.8%	\$,	\$	3,654	3.8%		125,637	÷ -	4,557	3.8%	\$		\$	2,502	4.6%		117,051	\$	4,270	3.8%		N/A	⊢	-	-
2005-06	\$ 112,781	. ,	4.4%	\$		\$	4,097	4.5%		121,080	\$	5,128	4.4%	\$	- /	\$	2,689	5.2%		112,781	\$	4,798	4.4%		N/A	⊢	-	-
2004-05	\$ 107,983	. ,	1.7%	\$	•.,•	\$	1,575	1.8%	•	115,952	•	1,928	1.7%	\$	- , -	\$	1,284	2.6%	\$	107,983		-	-	L	N/A	┝───	-	-
2003-04	\$ 106,165	. ,	2.2%	\$	89,843	\$	1,961	2.2%		114,025	\$	2,445	2.2%	\$		\$	1,342	2.8%			See I	notes		└──	N/A	<u> </u>	-	-
2002-03	\$ 103,874	\$ 2,784	2.8%	\$	87,882	\$	2,377	2.8%		111,580	\$	2,976	2.7%	\$	48,801	\$	1,558	3.3%	\$	103,874	\$	2,784	2.8%	-	-		otes	0.50/
2001-02	\$ 101,090	,	3.4%	\$	85,505	\$	2,894	3.5%	•	108,604	\$	3,576	3.4%	\$	47,242	\$	2,164	4.8%	\$	101,090	\$	3,362	3.4%	\$	27,633		940	3.5%
2000-01	¥ ••••	\$ 2,863	3.0%	\$	82,611	\$	2,441	3.0%		105,028	\$	3,063	3.0%	\$,	\$	1,559	3.6%	\$	97,728	\$	2,863	3.0%	\$	26,693		790	3.0%
1999-00	\$ 94,865		3.9%	\$	80,170	\$	3,068	4.0%	\$	101,965	\$	3,849	3.9%	\$		\$	1,975	4.8%	\$	94,865	\$	3,599	3.9%	\$	25,903	_	994	4.0%
1998-99	\$ 91,266	\$ 4,608	5.3%	\$,	\$	3,910	5.3%	\$	98,116	\$	4,942	5.3%	\$,	\$	2,292	5.8%	\$	91,266	\$	4,608	5.3%	\$,	\$	1,265	5.4%
1997-98	+	\$ 4,284	5.2%	\$,	\$	3,639	5.2%	\$	93,174	\$	4,592	5.2% 5.9%	\$, -	\$	2,178	5.9%	\$	86,658	\$	4,284	5.2%	\$	23,644	•	1,177	5.2%
1996-97 1995-96	. ,	\$ 4,577 \$ 3.277	5.9% 4.4%	\$,	\$ \$	3,879 2,792	5.9% 4.4%	\$	88,582 83.670	\$ \$	4,912	5.9%	\$	37,074 34.846	\$	2,228	6.4% 5.4%	\$ \$	82,374 77,797	\$	4,577	5.9% 4.4%	\$	22,467 21.213	\$	1,254 905	5.9%
1995-96	\$ 74,520	,	4.4% 5.8%	\$ ¢		Դ Տ	3,474	4.4% 5.8%	۵ ۲	83,670	Ŧ	4,404	4.4% 5.8%	\$		\$ \$	1,775	5.4% 6.3%	Դ Տ	74,520	Þ	3,277	4.4%	\$ \$	20,308	Þ	905	4.5%
1994-95	\$ 74,520 \$ 70.418	. ,	5.8% 4.1%	ф ф	59,408	ъ С	2,349	5.8% 4.1%	э \$	75,760		2,969	5.8% 4.1%	ф ф		ֆ \$	1,382	6.3% 4.7%	Ð	,	ènn i	- notes	-	Ð	,	ion r	- lotes	
1993-94	\$ 67,650	, ,	0.2%	ф ф	59,408	ъ С	2,349	0.2%	ф ф	72,791	_	2,969	4.1% 0.2%	ф ф	- , -	ֆ \$	241	0.8%	\$	67,510		lotes	0.0%	¢	18,381		lotes	0.0%
1992-93	\$ 67,650 \$ 67,510	\$ 3,525	5.5%	φ ¢	56.925	ф ф	3.032	5.6%	φ ¢	72,652	ф ф	3,752	5.4%	¢	-	ֆ \$	1,865	6.8%	Դ \$	67,510	\$ \$	3,525	5.5%	ф ф	,	э \$	- 958	5.5%
1991-92	\$ 63,985	\$ 3,525 \$ 3,116	5.1%	ф ф	53,893	Э Ф	2,690	5.6%	э ¢	68,900	9 4	3,752	5.0%	e e	29,479	ֆ \$	1,865	6.8%	э Ф	63,985	Э С	3,525	5.5% 5.1%	ф ф	18,381		958 844	5.1%
1990-91		\$ 3,237	5.6%	ф ¢	,	Դ Տ	2,090	5.3%	¢ ¢	65,591	э \$	7,959	13.8%	¢	,	ې \$	1,655	6.7%	ֆ \$	60,869	¢	3,237	5.6%	¢ ¢	16,579		878	5.6%
1989-90	. ,	\$ 7,698	15.4%	э \$,	Դ Տ	7,226	17.5%	э \$	57,632	э \$	3,408	6.3%	¢ ¢	,	ې \$	1,027	7.9%	۰ ۶	57,632	φ ¢	3,408	6.3%	¢ ¢	15,701		924	6.3%
1987-88	\$ 49,934	\$ 2,751	5.8%	ب	40,420	¢.	2,318	6.0%	э \$	54,224	э \$	2,954	5.8%	¢ ¢		ې \$	1,432	6.8%	ֆ \$	54,224	φ ¢	2,954	5.8%	¢ ¢	,	э \$	924 802	5.7%
1986-87	\$ 49,934 \$ 47,183	\$ 3,475	5.8% 8.0%	э \$	38,884	¢.	2,310	8.1%	э \$	54,224	э \$	3,743	5.8% 7.9%	¢ ¢	,	э \$	1,432	9.0%	۰ ۶	54,224	¢ ¢	2,954	5.8% 7.9%	э \$	13,975	•	1,017	5.7% 7.8%
1985-86	\$ 47,103 \$ 43.708	ψ 3,4/3	0.0 %	Ф \$	35,004	φ	2,314	0.1/0	э \$	47.527	φ	3,743	1.3/0	¢ ¢	19.370	φ	1,752	9.0 /0	۰ ۶	47.527	φ	3,143	1.3/0	э \$	12.958	φ	1,017	1.0 /0
1900-00	ф 43,700	-		Þ	35,970		-	-	φ	47,327	l	-	•	φ	19,370		-	-	φ	47,527		-	-	Ψ	12,900		-	

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members: therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Miami-Dade County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2014-15 Clerk of Circuit Court, Property Fiscal Appraiser & Tax Collector Supervisor of Elections Sheriff **County Commissioners** School Superintendent School Board Members Salary \$ Chg. % Chg. Year Salary \$ Chg. % Chg. 2014-15 \$ 182,218 \$ 1,021 0.6% \$ 162,010 \$ 966 0.6% \$ 191,157 \$ 1.045 0.5% \$ 95,782 \$ 259 0.3% \$ 182,218 \$ 1,021 0.6% 42,570 \$ 115 0.3% 2013-14 \$ 181,197 \$ 7,068 4.1% \$ 161,043 \$ 6,345 4.1% \$ 190,112 7,388 4.0% \$ 95,523 \$ 3,426 3.7% \$ 181,197 7,068 4.1% 42,455 \$ 1,523 3.7% \$ \$ \$ 154,698 2012-13 \$ 174.128 \$ 329 0.2% \$ 329 0.2% \$ 182.724 329 0.2% \$ 92.097 \$ 0.0% \$ 174.128 329 0.2% 40.932 \$ 0.0% \$ \$ 2011-12 \$ 173,800 \$ 394 0.2% \$ 154,369 \$ 394 0.3% \$ 182,395 394 0.2% \$ 92,097 \$ 0.0% \$ 173,800 \$ 394 0.2% 40,932 \$ 0.0% 2010-11 \$ 173.405 (81) 0.0% \$ 153.975 (81) -0.1% \$ 182.001 (81) 0.0% \$ 92.097 0.0% \$ 173,405 \$ 3.630 2.1% 40.932 \$ \$ \$ See notes \$ \$ \$ 173,486 2009-10 247 0.1% \$ 154,056 246 0.2% \$ 182,082 247 0.1% 92,097 0.0% \$ 169,775 40.932 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ 1 See notes \$ See notes 2008-09 \$ 173,240 \$ 604 \$ 153,809 \$ 582 0.4% \$ 181,835 613 0.3% 92,096 \$ 101 0.1% \$ 173,240 604 0.3% 40,932 0.3% -\$ \$ \$ 45 0.1% 2007-08 \$ 172,636 243 0.1% \$ 153,227 \$ 243 0.2% \$ 181,222 242 0.1% \$ 91,995 \$ 0.0% \$ 172,636 243 0.1% 40,887 \$ (1) \$ \$ 2006-07 \$ 172,393 \$ 6.433 3.9% \$ 152,984 \$ 5.784 3.9% \$ 180,980 \$ 6.721 3.9% \$ 91,996 \$ 3.077 3.5% \$ 172,393 \$ 6.433 3.9% N/A --7,444 \$ 165,960 \$ 147,200 \$ 174,259 4.5% \$ \$ 165,960 N/A 2005-06 \$ 7,114 4.5% \$ 6,368 4.5% 88,919 \$ 3,536 4.1% \$ 7,114 4.5% --2004-05 \$ 158,846 \$ 2,678 1.7% \$ 140,832 \$ 2,431 1.8% \$ 166,815 \$ 2,788 1.7% \$ 85,383 \$ 1,171 1.4% \$ 158,846 -N/A ---2003-04 \$ 156,168 \$ 3,451 2.3% \$ 138,401 \$ 3,103 2.3% \$ 164,028 3,606 2.2% \$ 84,213 \$ 1,651 2.0% N/A \$ See notes --2.9% 2002-03 \$ 152.716 \$ 4.263 2.9% \$ 135.298 \$ 3.830 2.9% \$ 160.422 \$ 4.454 2.9% \$ 82.562 \$ 2.053 2.6% \$ 152.716 \$ 4.263 See notes 35,782 \$ 1,022 2001-02 \$ 148,454 \$ 6,000 4.2% \$ 131,468 \$ 5,514 4.4% \$ 155,968 \$ 6,214 4.1% \$ 80,509 \$ 2,298 2.9% \$ 148,454 \$ 6,000 4.2% \$ 2.9% \$ 142,454 \$ 3,937 3.2% 3.2% 78,211 \$ \$ 142,454 3.2% 2.8% 2000-01 4.388 3.2% \$ 125,954 \$ \$ 149,754 4.588 2.139 2.8% 4.388 34.760 \$ 950 \$ \$ \$ \$ 1999-00 \$ 138,066 \$ 5,119 3.9% \$ 122,017 \$ 4,555 3.9% \$ 145,166 5,369 3.8% 76,072 \$ 2,679 3.7% \$ 138,066 \$ 5,119 3.9% 33,810 \$ 1,191 3.7% \$ \$ \$ 1998-99 \$ 132,947 \$ 6,827 5.4% \$ 117,462 \$ 6,070 5.4% \$ 139,797 \$ 7,161 5.4% \$ 73,393 \$ 3,582 5.1% \$ 132,947 \$ 6,827 5.4% \$ 32,619 \$ 1.592 5.1% 1997-98 \$ 126,120 \$ 6,310 5.3% \$ 111,392 \$ 5,614 5.3% \$ 132,636 6,618 5.3% \$ 69,811 \$ 3,299 5.0% \$ 126,120 \$ 6,310 5.3% \$ 31,027 \$ 1,466 5.0% \$ 3,588 1996-97 \$ 119,810 \$ 105,778 \$ \$ 126,018 7,060 5.7% \$ 6,724 5.9% 5,968 6.0% 5.9% \$ 66,512 \$ 5.7% \$ 119,810 \$ 6,724 5.9% \$ 29,561 \$ 1,595 \$ 1995-96 4.5% 4.5% 4.4% 2,454 \$ 113,086 \$ 4,833 \$ 99,810 \$ 4,315 \$ 118,958 \$ 5,061 \$ 62,924 \$ 4.1% \$ 113,086 \$ 4,833 4.5% \$ 27,966 \$ 1,091 4.1% 1994-95 \$ 108,253 \$ 5,466 5.3% \$ 95,495 \$ 4,783 5.3% \$ 113,897 \$ 5,768 5.3% \$ 60,470 \$ 3.234 5.7% \$ 108.253 26.875 -1993-94 \$ 102,787 \$ 4,066 4.1% \$ 90,712 \$ 3,613 4.1% \$ 108,129 4,266 4.1% \$ 57,236 \$ 2,148 3.9% See notes See notes \$ 1992-93 98,721 \$ 240 0.2% 87.099 240 0.3% \$ 103.863 240 0.2% 55.088 \$ 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% \$ \$ \$ \$ 98.481 \$ 24.484 \$ \$ \$ 1991-92 \$ 98.481 \$ 4.937 5.3% \$ 86.859 \$ 4.425 5.4% \$ 103.623 \$ 5.164 5.2% \$ 55.088 \$ 2.426 4.6% \$ 98.481 \$ 4.937 5.3% 24.484 \$ 1.079 4.6% \$ 1990-91 93,544 3,999 4.5% 82,434 \$ 3,562 4.5% 98,459 4,193 4.4% 52,662 \$ 2,075 4.1% 93,544 3,999 4.5% 23,405 922 4.1% \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ 1989-90 \$ 89.545 \$ 4,379 5.1% \$ 78,872 \$ 3,893 5.2% \$ 94,266 9,100 10.7% \$ 50,587 \$ 2,300 4.8% \$ 89.545 \$ 4,379 5.1% \$ 22,483 \$ 1.022 4.8% \$ 1988-89 8,596 11.2% 74,979 12.1% \$ 85,166 4,306 5.3% 85,166 5.3% 21,461 1,031 5.0% \$ 85,166 \$ \$ \$ 8,107 \$ 48,287 \$ 2,320 5.0% \$ \$ 4,306 \$ 1987-88 \$ 76,570 \$ 3,769 5.2% \$ 66,872 \$ 3,308 5.2% \$ 80,860 \$ 3,973 5.2% \$ 45,967 \$ 2,184 5.0% \$ 80,860 \$ 3,973 5.2% \$ 20,430 \$ 971 5.0% 1986-87 \$ 72,801 4,874 7.2% \$ 63,564 \$ 4,270 7.2% \$ 76,887 5,141 7.2% 43,783 \$ 2,865 7.0% 76,887 5,141 7.2% 19,459 1,273 7.0% \$ \$ \$ \$ 1985-86 \$ 67.927 \$ 59.294 -\$ 71.746 -\$ 40.918 -\$ 71.746 -\$ 18.186 ----

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes

									MC	onroe Co	un	ity: Fisc	al years	\$ 19	85-86 to	20	014-15										
	Clerk of Cir	cuit Court,	Property																								
Fiscal											Sh	neriff			County	Coi	mmissio	ners	School	Sup	erintenc	dent		School	Boar	rd Memb	bers
Year	Salary \$ Chg. % Chg. Salary \$ Chg. % Chg.									Salary	••	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	97	6 Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 110,189	\$ 476	0.4%	\$	92,128	\$	418	0.5%	\$	119,128	\$	500	0.4%	\$	44,330	\$	289	0.7%	\$ 110,189	\$	476	0.4%	\$	30,050	\$	128	0.4%
2013-14	\$ 109,713	\$ 3,993	3.8%	\$	91,709	\$	3,345	3.8%	\$	118,629	\$	4,313	3.8%	\$	44,042	\$	1,635	3.9%	\$ 109,713	\$	3,993	3.8%	\$	29,922	\$	1,089	3.8%
2012-13	\$ 105,720	\$ (108)	-0.1%	\$	88,365	\$	(103)	-0. 1%	\$	114,315	\$	(108)	-0.1%	\$	42,406	\$	(103)	-0.2%	\$ 105,720	\$	(108)	-0.1%	\$	28,833	\$	(29)	-0.1%
2011-12	\$ 105,828	• (/ /		\$,	\$ (1,187)	-1.3%	\$	114,424	\$	(1,247)	-1.1%	\$	42,509	\$	(1,187)	-2.7%	\$,	\$	(1,247)	-1.2%	\$	28,862	\$	(330)	-1.1%
2010-11	\$ 107,075		0.4%	\$	89,655	\$	453	0.5%	\$	115,670	\$	476	0.4%	\$	43,697	\$	453	1.0%	\$ 107,075	\$	1,874	1.8%	\$	29,192		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 106,599	\$ (749)	-0.7%	\$	89,202	\$	(713)	-0.8%	\$	115,195	\$	(749)	-0.6%	\$	43,244	\$	(713)	-1.6%	\$ 105,201		See no	otes	\$	29,066		See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 107,348	\$ (274)	-0.3%	\$	89,915	\$	(275)	-0.3%	\$	115,944	\$	(265)	-0.2%	\$	43,957	\$	(325)	-0.7%	\$ 107,348	\$	(274)	-0.3%	\$	29,264	\$	(72)	-0.2%
2007-08	\$ 107,622	\$ (491)	-0.5%	\$	90,190	\$	(468)	-0.5%	\$	116,209	\$	(491)	-0.4%	\$	44,283	\$	(467)	-1.0%	\$ 107,622	\$	(491)	-0.5%	\$	29,336		-	-
2006-07	\$ 108,114	• • • • • •	3.8%	\$	90,658	\$	3,311	3.8%	\$	116,700	\$	4,196	3.7%	\$	44,750	\$	1,776	4.1%	\$ 108,114	\$	3,909	3.8%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 104,205	\$ 4,311	4.3%	\$	87,347	\$	3,632	4.3%	\$	112,504	\$	4,641	4.3%	\$	42,974	\$	1,868	4.5%	\$ 104,205	\$	4,311	4.3%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 99,894	\$ 1,227	1.2%	\$	83,715	\$	1,012	1.2%	\$	107,863	\$	1,336	1.3%	\$	41,106	\$	428	1.1%	\$ 99,894		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 98,667	\$ 2,061	2.1%	\$	82,702	•	1,743	2.2%	\$	106,527	\$	2,216	2.1%	\$	40,678	\$	919	2.3%	-	iee r	notes			N/A		-	
2002-03	\$ 96,605	1 /-	2.8%	\$	80,960	\$	2,228	2.8%	\$	104,311	\$	2,819	2.8%	\$	39,759	\$	1,203	3.1%	\$,	\$	2,627	2.8%		-		notes	
2001-02	• •••,•••	\$ 1,053	1.1%	\$	78,732	\$	696	0.9%	•	,	\$	1,268	1.3%	\$	38,556	\$	(451)	-1.2%	\$ 	\$	1,053	1.1%	\$	25,618		300	1.2%
2000-01	\$ 92,925	. ,	3.1%	\$	78,036		2,415	3.2%	\$	100,224	\$	3,036	3.1%	\$	39,007	\$	1,347	3.6%	\$ 92,925	\$	2,837	3.1%	\$	25,318		770	3.1%
1999-00	\$ 90,088	\$ 3,358	3.9%	\$	75,621		2,839	3.9%	\$	97,188	\$	3,608	3.9%	\$	37,660	\$	1,503	4.2%	\$ 90,088	\$	3,358	3.9%	\$	24,548	\$	914	3.9%
1998-99	\$ 86,730	\$ 4,419	5.4%	\$	72,782	\$	3,730	5.4%	\$	93,580	\$	4,753	5.4%	\$	36,157	\$	1,942	5.7%	\$ 86,730	\$	4,419	5.4%	\$	23,634	\$	1,203	5.4%
1997-98	\$ 82,311	\$ 3,962	5.1%	\$	69,052	\$	3,332	5.1%	\$	88,827	\$	4,271	5.1%	\$	34,215	\$	1,686	5.2%	\$ 82,311	\$	3,962	5.1%	\$	22,431	\$	1,079	5.1%
1996-97	\$ 78,349	\$ 4,430	6.0%	\$	65,720	\$	3,739	6.0%	\$	84,556	\$	4,764	6.0%	\$	32,529	\$	1,948	6.4%	\$,	\$	4,430	6.0%	\$	21,352	\$	1,205	6.0%
1995-96	\$ 73,919	• /	4.2%	\$	61,981	•	2,495	4.2%	\$	79,792	\$	3,194	4.2%	\$	30,581	\$	1,271	4.3%	\$ - /	\$	2,964	4.2%	\$	20,147	\$	808	4.2%
1994-95	\$ 70,955	\$ 3,923	5.9%	\$	59,486	•	3,303	5.9%	\$	76,598	\$	4,224	5.8%	\$	29,310	\$	1,689	6.1%	\$ 70,955		-	-	\$	19,339		-	-
1993-94	\$ 67,032	\$ 2,737	4.3%	\$	56,183	\$ 3	2,319	4.3%	\$	72,374	\$	2,937	4.2%	\$	27,621	\$	1,247	4.7%	-		notes			-		notes	
1992-93	\$ 64,295	\$ 233	0.4%	\$	53,864	\$	222	0.4%	\$	69,437	\$	233	0.3%	\$	26,374	\$	222	0.8%	\$ 64,062	\$	-	0.0%	\$	17,465	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 64,062	\$ 2,682	4.4%	\$	53,642	\$	2,230	4.3%	\$	69,204	\$	2,909	4.4%	\$	26,152	\$	1,020	4.1%	\$ 64,062	\$	2,682	4.4%	\$	17,465	\$	732	4.4%
1990-91	\$ 61,380	\$ 2,697	4.6%	\$	51,412	\$	2,291	4.7%	\$	66,295	\$	2,890	4.6%	\$	25,132	\$	1,255	5.3%	\$ 61,380	\$	2,697	4.6%	\$	16,733	\$	733	4.6%
1989-90	\$ 58,683	\$ 3,003	5.4%	\$	49,121	\$	2,552	5.5%	\$	63,405	\$	7,725	13.9%	\$	23,877	\$	1,405	6.3%	\$ 58,683	\$	3,003	5.4%	\$	16,000	\$	816	5.4%
1988-89	\$ 55,680	\$ 7,229	14.9%	\$	46,569	\$	6,779	17.0%	\$	55,680	\$	2,939	5.6%	\$	22,472	\$	1,331	6.3%	\$ 55,680	\$	2,939	5.6%	\$	15,184	\$	800	5.6%
1987-88	\$ 48,451	\$ 2,516	5.5%	\$	39,790	\$	2,094	5.6%	\$	52,741	\$	2,719	5.4%	\$	21,141	\$	1,207	6.1%	\$ 52,741	\$	2,719	5.4%	\$	14,384	\$	740	5.4%
1986-87	\$ 45,935	\$ 3,232	7.6%	\$	37,696	\$	2,682	7.7%	\$	50,022	\$	3,500	7.5%	\$	19,934	\$	1,520	8.3%	\$ 50,022	\$	3,500	7.5%	\$	13,644	\$	952	7.5%
1985-86	\$ 42,703	-	-	\$	35,014		-	-	\$	46,522		-	-	\$	18,414		-	-	\$ 46,522		-	-	\$	12,692		-	-
1				•					•														•				

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-2034, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

`	Salaries of	Liected	count	.y C	Sonstitu				ssau Co							e Galai	yı	ormun	an	ona		J, I	lonua	ota	luies	
Fiscal	Clerk of Circ Appraise	cuit Court, I r & Tax Col			Supervis	sor o	of Electi	ions		Sh	eriff		County	Соі	mmissio	ners		School	Sup	erinten	dent		School I	Boar	d Meml	bers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	6 Chg.	% Chg.	S	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 110,484	\$ 544	0.5%	\$	92,409	\$	483	0.5%	\$ 119,424	\$	568	0.5%	\$ 44,612	\$	354	0.8%	, \$	110,484	\$	544	0.5%	\$	30,128	\$	146	0.5%
2013-14	\$ 109,940	\$ 3,959	3.7%	\$	91,925	\$	3,312	3.7%	\$ 118,855	\$	4,279	3.7%	\$ 44,258	\$	1,602	3.8%	\$	109,940	\$	3,959	3.7%	\$	29,982	\$	1,079	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 105,981	\$ 95	0.1%	\$	88,614	\$	91	0.1%	\$ 114,577	\$	95	0.1%	\$ 42,655	\$	91	0.2%	\$	105,981	\$	95	0.1%	\$	28,902	\$	25	0.1%
2011-12	\$ 105,886	\$ 187	0.2%	\$	88,523	\$	178	0.2%	\$ 114,481	\$	187	0.2%	\$ 42,564	\$	178	0.4%	\$	105,886	\$	187	0.2%	\$	28,877	\$	50	0.2%
2010-11	\$ 105,699	\$ 174	0.2%	\$	88,344	\$	165	0.2%	\$ 114,294	\$	174	0.2%	\$ 42,386	\$	165	0.4%	\$	105,699	\$	2,878	2.8%	\$	28,827		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 105,525	0.7%	\$ 114,121	\$	606	0.5%	\$ 42,221	\$	576	1.4%	\$	102,821		See no	otes	\$	28,781		See no	otes						
2008-09	\$ 104,919	\$ 471	0.5%	\$	87,602	\$	435	0.5%	\$ 113,515	\$	480	0.4%	\$ 41,644	\$	385	0.9%	\$	104,919	\$	471	0.5%	\$	28,621	\$	126	0.4%
2007-08	\$ 104,448	\$ 625	0.6%	\$	87,167	\$	595	0.7%	\$ 113,035	\$	624	0.6%	\$ 41,260	\$	595	1.5%	\$	104,448	\$	625	0.6%	\$	28,495		-	-
2006-07	\$ 103,824	\$ 3,657	3.7%	\$	86,572	\$	3,071	3.7%	\$ 112,410	\$	3,944	3.6%	\$ 40,664	\$	1,536	3.9%	\$	103,824	\$	3,657	3.7%		N/A		-	
2005-06	\$ 100,167	\$ 4,451	4.6%	\$	83,501	\$	3,765	4.7%	\$ 108,466	\$	4,780	4.6%	\$ 39,128	\$	2,001	5.4%	\$	100,167	\$	4,450	4.6%		N/A		-	
2004-05	\$ 95,716	\$ 1,776	1.9%	\$	79,736	\$	1,535	2.0%	\$ 103,686	\$	1,885	1.9%	\$ 37,127	\$	951	2.6%	\$	95,716		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 93,940	\$ 2,231	2.4%	\$	78,201	\$	1,904	2.5%	\$ 101,800	\$	2,385	2.4%	\$ 36,177	\$	1,080	3.1%		S	See r	otes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 91,709	\$ 2,674	3.0%	\$	76,297	\$	2,272	3.1%	\$ 99,415	\$	2,866	3.0%	\$ 35,096	\$	1,248	3.7%	\$	91,709	\$	2,674	3.0%		S	iee n	otes	
2001-02	\$ 89,035	\$ 2,603	3.0%	\$	74,025	\$	2,172	3.0%	\$ 96,550	\$	2,819	3.0%	\$ 33,849	\$	1,025	3.1%	\$	89,035	\$	2,603	3.0%	\$	24,310	\$	711	3.0%
2000-01	\$ 86,432	\$ 2,970	3.6%	\$	71,853	\$	2,542	3.7%	\$ 93,731	\$	3,169	3.5%	\$ 32,824	\$	1,475	4.7%	\$	86,432	\$	2,970	3.6%	\$	23,599	\$	806	3.5%
1999-00	\$ 83,462	\$ 3,308	4.1%	\$	69,311	\$	2,793	4.2%	\$ 90,562	\$	3,558	4.1%	\$ 31,349	\$	1,456	4.9%	\$	83,462	\$	3,308	4.1%	\$	22,793	\$	900	4.1%
1998-99	\$ 80,154	\$ 4,233	5.6%	\$	66,518	\$	3,552	5.6%	\$ 87,004	\$	4,568	5.5%	\$ 29,893	\$	1,764	6.3%	\$	80,154	\$	4,233	5.6%	\$	21,893	\$	1,154	5.6%
1997-98	\$ 75,921	\$ 1,668	2.2%	\$	62,966	\$	1,147	1.9%	\$ 82,436	\$	1,975	2.5%	\$ 28,129	\$	1,718	6.5%	\$	75,921	\$	1,668	2.2%	\$	20,739	\$	1,087	5.5%
1996-97	\$ 74,253	\$ 4,393	6.3%	\$	61,819	\$	3,703	6.4%	\$ 80,461	\$	4,728	6.2%	\$ 26,411	\$	1,793	7.3%	\$	74,253	\$	4,393	6.3%	\$	19,652	\$	1,162	6.3%
1995-96	\$ 69,860	\$ 2,919	4.4%	\$	58,116	\$	2,452	4.4%	\$ 75,733	\$	3,148	4.3%	\$ 24,618	\$	1,146	4.9%	\$	69,860	\$	2,919	4.4%	\$	18,490	\$	773	4.4%
1994-95	\$ 66,941	\$ 3,761	6.0%	\$	55,664	\$	3,149	6.0%	\$ 72,585	\$	4,063	5.9%	\$ 23,472	\$	1,427	6.5%	\$	66,941		-	-	\$	17,717		-	-
1993-94	\$ 63,180	\$ 2,485	4.1%	\$	52,515	\$	2,080	4.1%	\$ 68,522	\$	2,685	4.1%	\$ 22,045	\$	936	4.4%		S	See r	otes			S	iee n	otes	
1992-93	\$ 60,695	\$ 195	0.3%	\$	50,435	\$	186	0.4%	\$ 65,837	\$	196	0.3%	\$ 21,109	\$	186	0.9%	\$	60,500	\$	-	0.0%	\$	16,012	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 60,500	\$ 1,942	3.3%	\$	50,249	\$	1,525	3.1%	\$ 65,641	\$	2,168	3.4%	\$ 20,923	\$	233	1.1%	\$	60,500	\$	1,942	3.3%	\$	16,012	\$	514	3.3%
1990-91	\$ 58,558	\$ 2,706	4.8%	\$	48,724	\$	2,300	5.0%	\$ 63,473	\$	2,900	4.8%	\$ 20,690	\$	1,196	6.1%	\$	58,558	\$	2,706	4.8%	\$	15,498	\$	716	4.8%
1989-90	\$ 55,852	\$ 2,813	5.3%	\$	46,424	\$	2,370	5.4%	\$ 60,573	\$	7,534	14.2%	\$ 19,494	\$	1,146	6.2%	\$	55,852	\$	2,813	5.3%	\$	14,782	\$	744	5.3%
1988-89	\$ 53,039	\$ 7,190	15.7%	\$	44,054	\$	6,742	18.1%	\$ 53,039	\$	2,900	5.8%	\$ 18,348	\$	1,217	7.1%	\$	53,039	\$	2,900	5.8%	\$	14,038	\$	768	5.8%
1987-88	\$ 45,849	\$ 2,482	5.7%	\$	37,312	\$	2,062	5.8%	\$ 50,139	\$	2,686	5.7%	\$ 17,131	\$	1,103	6.9%	\$	50,139	\$	2,686	5.7%	\$	13,270	\$	711	5.7%
1986-87	\$ 43,367	\$ 3,143	7.8%	\$	35,250	\$	2,597	8.0%	\$ 47,453	\$	3,410	7.7%	\$ 16,028	\$	1,340	9.1%	\$	47,453	\$	3,410	7.7%	\$	12,559	\$	902	7.7%
1985-86	\$ 40,224	•	-	\$	32,653		-	-	\$ 44,043		-	-	\$ 14,688		-	-	\$	44,043		-	-	\$	11,657		-	-

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145. Florida Statutes

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	Salaries (of Electe	d Coun	ity	Constit	utional	Officers	s a	nd Scho	ool	Distrie	ct Offic	ials	s Pursu	an	t to the	e Salar	y F	Formula	i in	Chapt	er 145,	, Fl	orida S	tatı	ıtes	
							(Ok	aloosa C	ou	nty: Fis	cal Year	rs 1	985-86 t	o 2	014-15											
	Clerk of Cir	cuit Court,	Property																								
Fiscal	Appraise		Sł	neriff			County	Co	mmissio	ners		School	Sup	perintend	lent		School	Boar	d Mem	bers							
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	••	6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 127,152	\$ 463	0.4%	\$	108,283	\$ 407	0.4%	\$	136,092	\$	488	0.4%	\$	68,008	\$	388	0.6%	\$	127,152	\$	463	0.4%	\$	35,060	\$	133	0.4%
2013-14	\$ 126,689	\$ 5,146	4.2%	\$	107,877	\$ 4,442	4.3%	\$	135,604	\$	5,465	4.2%	\$	67,620	\$	3,457	5.4%	\$	126,689	\$	5,146	4.2%	\$	34,928	\$	1,444	4.3%
2012-13	\$ 121,543	\$ 92	0.1%	\$	103,435	\$ 88	0.1%	\$	130,139	\$	92	0.1%	\$	64,163	\$	158	0.2%	\$	121,543	\$	92	0.1%	\$	33,484	\$	29	0.1%
2011-12	\$ 121,451	\$ (1,656)	-1.3%	\$	103,347	\$ (1,577	-1.5%	\$	130,047	\$	())	-1.3%	\$	64,005	\$	(2,839)	-4.2%	\$	121,451	\$	(1,656)	-1.3%	\$	33,455	\$	(526)	-1.5%
2010-11	\$ 123,108	\$ (146)		\$	104,924	\$ (139) -0.1%	\$	131,703	\$	(146)	-0.1%	\$	66,844	\$	(251)	-0.4%	\$	123,108	\$	2,431	2.0%	\$	33,980	i	See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 123,254	•	0.1%	•	105,064	• • •	0.1%	•	131,849	•	114	0.1%	\$		\$	195	0.3%	\$	- / -		See no		\$	34,027		See no	
2008-09	\$ 123,139	•	0.4%		. ,		0.5%		131,735		560	0.4%	\$,	\$	785	1.2%	\$,	\$	550	0.4%	\$	33,990	\$	169	0.5%
2007-08	\$ 122,589	•	0.3%			\$ 380	0.4%		131,175	· ·	399	0.3%	\$, .	\$	686	1.0%	\$	1	\$	399	0.3%	\$	33,821	—	-	-
2006-07	\$ 122,190	. ,	3.7%	\$		\$ 3,793	3.8%		130,776	· ·	4,702	3.7%	\$	65,429	\$	2,751	4.4%	\$,	\$	4,414	3.7%		N/A	<u> </u>	-	-
2005-06	\$ 117,775	. ,	4.6%	\$	100,271	\$ 4,431	4.6%	\$	- 1 -	•	5,479	4.5%	\$	62,678	\$	3,291	5.5%	\$, -	\$	5,149	4.6%		N/A	<u> </u>	-	-
2004-05	\$ 112,626	. ,	1.8%	\$	95,840	\$ 1,700	1.8%	•	120,595	•	2,059	1.7%	\$	59,387	\$	1,510	2.6%	\$	112,626		-	-		N/A	⊢	-	-
2003-04	\$ 110,676	. ,	2.3%	\$	94,140	. ,	2.4%		118,536	\$	2,662	2.3%	\$	57,877	\$	1,716	3.1%		-	See	notes			N/A	<u>ـــــ</u>	-	- 1
2002-03	\$ 108,168	, ,	2.8%	\$	91,972	\$ 2,551	2.9%	•	115,874	\$	3,159	2.8%	\$	56,162	\$	1,872	3.4%	\$	108,168	\$	2,967	2.8%	L	-		otes	
2001-02	\$ 105,201	. ,	2.1%	\$	89,421	\$ 1,763	2.0%		112,715	\$	2,388	2.2%	\$	54,290	\$	128	0.2%	\$	105,201	\$	2,174	2.1%	\$	28,938		563	2.0%
2000-01	\$ 103,027	, , ,	3.2%	\$	87,658	\$ 2,737	3.2%		110,327	\$	3,374	3.2%	\$	54,162	\$	2,093	4.0%	\$	103,027	\$	3,175	3.2%	\$	28,375		889	3.2%
1999-00	\$ 99,852		4.1%	\$	• .,•= .	\$ 3,360	4.1%		106,953		4,155	4.0%	\$	52,069	\$	2,499	5.0%	\$	99,852	\$	3,904	4.1%	\$	27,486		1,091	4.1%
1998-99	\$ 95,948	, , ,	5.7%	\$. ,	\$ 4,424	5.7%		102,798		5,482	5.6%	\$	49,570	\$	3,217	6.9%	\$	95,948	\$	5,148	5.7%	\$			1,436	5.8%
1997-98	\$ 90,800	\$ 4,494	5.2%	\$	77,137	\$ 3,839	5.2%	\$	- 1	· ·	4,803	5.2%	\$	46,353	\$	2,539	5.8%	\$	90,800	\$	4,494	5.2%	\$			1,244	5.2%
1996-97	\$ 86,306	\$ 4,978	6.1%	\$	73,298	\$ 4,261	6.2%	\$		÷	5,313	6.1%	\$	43,814	\$	2,916	7.1%	\$	86,306	\$	4,978	6.1%	\$	23,715		1,382	6.2%
1995-96	\$ 81,328	, ., .	4.4%	\$	69,037	\$ 2,948	4.5%	\$	87,200	\$	3,669	4.4%	\$	40,898	\$	2,055	5.3%	\$	81,328	\$	3,440	4.4%	\$	22,333	\$	956	4.5%
1994-95	\$ 77,888	. ,	6.1%	\$	66,089	\$ 3,821	6.1%	\$	83,531	\$	4,768	6.1%	\$	38,843	\$	2,594	7.2%	\$	77,888		-	-	\$	21,377	<u> </u>	-	
1993-94	\$ 73,421	,	4.3%	\$	62,268	\$ 2,577	4.3%	\$	- ,	_	3,208	4.2%	\$	36,249	\$	1,791	5.2%				notes	0.00/	-	-		otes	0.00/
1992-93	\$ 70,414		0.2%	\$	59,691	\$ 141	0.2%	\$			148	0.2%	\$	34,458	\$	255	0.7%	\$	70,266	\$	-	0.0%	\$	19,255		-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 70,266	1 / -	3.3%	\$	59,550	\$ 1,819	3.2%	\$	- / -		2,477	3.4%	\$	34,203	\$	59	0.2%	\$	70,266	\$	2,251	3.3%	\$	19,255		580	3.1%
1990-91	\$ 68,015	. ,	4.4%	\$,	\$ 2,458	4.4%	\$	1	\$	3,066	4.4%	\$	- /	\$	1,675	5.2%	\$	68,015		2,872	4.4%	\$	18,675		797	4.5%
1989-90	\$ 65,143	1	5.3%	\$	55,273	, ,	5.3%	\$		\$	7,977	12.9%	\$	32,469	\$	1,981	6.5%	\$	65,143		3,256	5.3%	\$	17,878		906	5.3%
1988-89	\$ 61,887	. ,	14.0%	\$	0=,	\$ 7,134	15.7%	\$		\$	3,312	5.7%	\$	30,488	\$	2,045	7.2%	\$	61,887	\$	3,312	5.7%	\$	16,972	\$	923	5.8%
1987-88	\$ 54,285	. ,	5.7%	\$	45,346	\$ 2,463	5.7%	\$	/	\$	3,107	5.6%	\$	28,443	\$	1,907	7.2%	\$	58,575	\$	3,107	5.6%	\$	16,049	\$	865	5.7%
1986-87		\$ 3,716	7.8%	\$	42,883	\$ 3,144	7.9%	\$		\$	3,984	7.7%	\$	26,536	\$	2,344	9.7%	\$	55,468	\$	3,984	7.7%	\$,	\$	1,106	7.9%
1985-86	\$ 47,665	-	-	\$	39,739	-	-	\$	51,484		-	-	\$	24,192		-	-	\$	51,484		-	-	\$	14,078	<u> </u>	-	-

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members: therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	Salaries	of Electe	d Cour	nty	Constit	utio	nal C	Officers	and	d Scho	ool	Distrie	ct Offic	ials	s Pursu	an	t to the	e Salar	y F	ormula	in	Chapt	er 145	, Fl	orida S	tatu	ites	
								O	keec	hobee	Co	unty: F	iscal Ye	ars	1985-86	to	2014-1	5										
	Clerk of Cir	cuit Court,	Property																									
Fiscal	Appraise	Sh	eriff			County	Cor	nmissior	ners		School	Sup	erintenc	lent		School	Boar	d Meml	bers									
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ C	hg.	% Chg.	S	Salary	\$	6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	1	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 103,790	\$ 267	0.3%	\$	86,034	\$	219	0.3%	\$ 1	112,730	\$	291	0.3%	\$	35,044	\$	81	0.2%	\$	103,790	\$	267	0.3%	\$	27,470	\$	71	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 103,524	\$ 3,692	3.7%	\$	85,815		8,058	3.7%	\$ 1	112,439	\$	4,012	3.7%	\$	34,963	\$	1,234	3.7%	\$	103,524	\$	3,692	3.7%	\$	27,399	\$	977	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 99,832	• ()	0.0%	\$	82,757	\$	(39)	0.0%	\$ 1	108,428	\$	(41)	0.0%	\$	33,729	\$	(39)	-0.1%	\$	99,832	\$	(41)	0.0%	\$	26,422		(11)	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 99,872	•	0.1%	\$	0=,000	\$	90	0.1%		108,468		94	0.1%	\$		\$	90	0.3%	\$	99,872	\$	94	0.1%	\$	26,433	\$	25	0.1%
2010-11	\$ 99,778	• (-)		\$	0-1.00	\$	(92)	-0.1%		108,374		(97)	-0.1%	\$		\$	(92)	-0.3%	\$	99,778	\$	2,209	2.3%	\$	26,408	<u> </u>	See no	
2009-10	\$ 99,875	•	0.3%	\$	82,798	\$	299	0.4%		108,470		314	0.3%	\$,	\$	299	0.9%	\$	97,569		See no		\$	26,434	L	See no	
2008-09	\$ 99,560		0.2%	\$,	\$	202	0.2%		108,156	•	236	0.2%	\$,	\$	148	0.4%	\$	99,560	\$	227	0.2%	\$	26,351	\$	60	0.2%
2007-08	\$ 99,334	\$ 289	0.3%	\$	0-,-00	\$	275	0.3%	•	107,920	•	289	0.3%	\$		\$	276	0.8%	\$	99,334	\$	289	0.3%	\$	26,291	 	-	-
2006-07	\$ 99,045	\$ 3,238	3.4%	\$	0-,0-1		2,672	3.4%		107,631		3,525	3.4%	\$,	\$	1,034	3.2%	\$	99,045	\$	3,238	3.4%	<u> </u>	N/A	 	-	-
2005-06	\$ 95,807	. ,	4.4%	\$			3,374	4.4%	•	104,106	\$	4,369	4.4%	\$	- /-	\$	1,491	4.9%	\$	95,807	\$	4,039	4.4%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 91,768	• , • •	1.6%	\$,234	1.7%	\$	99,737	\$	1,569	1.6%	\$	/ -	\$	611	2.0%	\$	91,768		-	-		N/A	⊢	-	-
2003-04	\$ 90,308	\$ 1,887	2.1%	\$	74,741		,576	2.2%	\$	98,168	\$	2,041	2.1%	\$	- 1	\$	697	2.4%			See I	notes		L	N/A	<u> </u>	-	-
2002-03	\$ 88,421	\$ 2,266	2.6%	\$	73,165		,883	2.6%	\$	96,127	\$	2,457	2.6%	\$	- 1	\$	790	2.8%	\$	88,421	\$	2,266	2.6%	L		See n		
2001-02	\$ 86,155	\$ 2,569	3.1%	\$	71,282		2,139	3.1%	\$	93,670	\$	2,784	3.1%	\$		\$	915	3.3%	\$	86,155	\$	2,569	3.1%	\$	22,803		680	3.1%
2000-01	\$ 83,586	\$ 2,406	3.0%	\$	69,143		2,006	3.0%	\$	90,886	\$	2,606	3.0%	\$	27,507	\$	867	3.3%	\$	83,586	\$	2,406	3.0%	\$	22,123	•	637	3.0%
1999-00	\$ 81,180	\$ 2,939	3.8%	\$	67,137		2,440	3.8%	\$	88,280	\$	3,189	3.7%	\$	26,640	\$	1,015	4.0%	\$	81,180	\$	2,939	3.8%	\$	21,486		778	3.8%
1998-99	\$ 78,241	\$ 4,088	5.5%	\$	64,697		3,415	5.6%	\$	85,091	\$	4,422	5.5%	\$		\$	1,507	6.2%	\$	78,241	\$	4,088	5.5%	\$	20,708		1,082	5.5%
1997-98	\$ 74,153	\$ 3,688	5.2%	\$	61,282		3,071	5.3%	\$	80,669	\$	3,996	5.2%	\$, -	\$	1,314	5.8%	\$	74,153	\$	3,688	5.2%	\$			976	5.2%
1996-97	• • • • • •	\$ 3,918	5.9%	\$,		3,251	5.9%	\$	76,673	\$	4,253	5.9%	\$,	\$	1,342	6.3%	\$	70,465	\$	3,918	5.9%	\$	18,650		1,037	5.9%
1995-96	\$ 66,547	\$ 2,715	4.3%	\$,	•	2,258	4.3%	\$	72,420	\$	2,944	4.2% 5.9%	\$	1 -	\$	951	4.6%	\$	66,547	\$	2,715	4.3%	\$	17,613	>	719	4.3%
1994-95 1993-94	. ,	\$ 3,545 \$ 2,444	5.9% 4.2%	\$,		2,943 2,040	5.9% 4.3%	Þ	69,476	\$ \$	3,847	5.9% 4.2%	ۍ د	- / -	\$	1,222 896	6.3% 4.9%	\$	63,832		-	-	\$	16,894	See n	-	-
1993-94 1992-93	\$ 60,287	. ,	4.2% 0.2%	\$ ¢	,	⇒ ∠ \$	2,040 98	4.3%	¢	65,629 62,985	٠	2,644	4.2%	₽ 6	-,	\$	896 99	4.9%	\$	57,740		notes	0.0%	¢	15,282		otes	0.0%
	. ,			¢ ¢	,	•			ф Ф	,		-		ф ¢	,	\$	750		·	,	₽ €	-		ф ф	,		658	
1991-92		1 /	4.5%	\$	47,621 45,578		2,043	4.5% 4.6%	\$	62,881	\$ \$	2,711 2,580	4.5% 4.5%	\$	- / -	\$		4.3%	\$ \$	57,740 55,255	\$	2,485	4.5%	\$	15,282			4.5%
1990-91 1989-90	\$ 55,255 \$ 52,869	\$ 2,386	4.5% 5.1%	\$	43,578		,994 2,146	4.6% 5.2%	¢ ¢	60,170 57,590	4	2,580	4.5%	\$ \$,	\$ \$	891 920	5.4% 5.8%	4	52,869	ф ф	2,386 2,576	4.5% 5.1%	ф ф	14,624 13,993		631 682	4.5% 5.1%
1989-90	\$ 52,869 \$ 50,293	\$ 6,896	15.9%	\$ \$	43,584		6.462	5.2% 18.5%	ֆ \$	50,293	۵ ۶	2,606	14.5%	э ¢	,	ֆ Տ	920	5.8% 6.3%	Դ \$	52,869	9 4	2,576	5.5%	ф ф	,	ֆ \$	689	5.5%
1988-89	\$ 50,293 \$ 43,397	\$ 2,371	5.8%	\$ \$	41,438 34,976		,462 ,956	5.9%	ֆ \$	50,293 47,687	۵ ۶	2,606	5.7%	э ¢	,	ֆ Տ	937	6.3% 7.2%	Դ \$	50,293 47,687	9 6	2,606	5.5% 5.7%	ф ф	12,622		689	5.5%
1987-88	\$ 43,397 \$ 41,026	\$ 2,371	5.8%	\$ \$	34,976		2,251	5.9% 7.3%	Ŧ	47,687	۵ ۶	2,575	5.7% 7.2%	э ¢	,	ֆ Տ	998 994	7.8%	Դ \$	47,687	9 6	2,575	<u>5.7%</u> 7.2%	ф ф	12,622		806	
1986-87	\$ 38,246	φ 2,780	1.3%	\$ \$	33,020	φ ∠	.,201	1.3%	\$ \$	45,112	Ð	3,047	1.270	э ¢	12,804	Þ	994	1.0%	Դ \$	45,112	þ	3,047	1.270	Դ Տ	11,940	ф.	000	7.2%
1903-90	ə 38,240	-	-	¢	30,769	-		-	Þ	42,000	l	-	-	Ф	12,804		-	-	Ф	42,005		-	-	Þ	11,134	L	-	-

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members: therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Orange County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2014-15

	Clerk of Circ	cuit Court, I	Property																						
Fiscal	Photo a second sec										Sh	neriff		County	Coi	mmissio		School S	Superint	end	ent	School I			oers
Year										Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.	 Salary	97	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg		% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 158,729	\$ 889	0.6%	\$	138,522	\$	834	0.6%	\$	167,669	\$	913	0.5%	\$ 95,782	\$	259	0.3%	\$ 158,729	\$ 88	39	0.6%	\$ 42,570	\$	115	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 157,841	\$ 5,966	3.9%	\$	137,687	\$	5,243	4.0%	\$	166,756	\$	6,285	3.9%	\$ 95,523	\$	3,426	3.7%	\$ 157,841	\$ 5,90	66	3.9%	\$ 42,455	\$	1,523	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 151,875	\$ 186	0.1%	\$	132,445		186	0.1%		160,471	\$	186	0.1%	\$ 92,097	\$	-	0.0%	\$ 151,875	\$ 18	36	0.1%	\$ 40,932	\$	-	0.0%
	\$ 151,689		0.4%	\$	132,258	\$	607	0.5%		160,284		607	0.4%	\$ 92,097	\$	-	0.0%	\$ 151,689	\$ 60	-	0.4%	\$ 40,932	\$	-	0.0%
2010-11	\$ 151,082	\$ (100)	-0.1%	\$	131,651	\$	(100)	-0.1%	\$	159,677	\$	(100)	-0.1%	\$ 92,097	\$	-	0.0%	\$ 151,082	\$ 3,07	75	2.1%	\$ 40,932		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 151,181	\$ 154	0.1%	\$	131,751	\$	154	0.1%	\$	159,777	\$	154	0.1%	\$ 92,097	\$	1	0.0%	\$ 148,006	See	e not	tes	\$ 40,932		See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 151,027	• • •	0.4%	\$	131,597	\$	571	0.4%	•	159,623	\$	602	0.4%	\$ 92,096	\$	101	0.1%	\$ 151,027		-	0.4%	\$ 40,932	\$	45	0.1%
	\$ 150,435	• • • •	0.4%	\$	131,026		589	0.5%	•	,.	\$	588	0.4%	\$ 91,995	\$	(1)	0.0%	\$ 150,435			0.4%	\$ 40,887		-	-
	\$ 149,846	, , ,	3.8%	\$, .		4,829			158,432	·	5,764	3.8%	\$ 91,996	\$	3,077	3.5%	\$ - /	\$ 5,47		3.8%	N/A		-	-
	\$ 144,368	. ,	4.6%	\$	- /		5,525	4.6%	•	152,668	•	6,618	4.5%	\$ 88,919	\$	3,855	4.5%	\$ 1	\$ 6,28	88	4.6%	N/A		-	-
	,,	\$ 2,429	1.8%	\$	120,083		2,157	1.8%	•	146,050	\$	2,539	1.8%	\$ 85,064	\$	1,677	2.0%	\$ /	-		-	N/A		-	-
2003-04	,,	\$ 3,156	2.4%	\$	117,926		2,785	2.4%	•	143,511	\$	3,310	2.4%	\$ 83,387	\$	2,108	2.6%		ee notes			N/A		-	-
	\$ 132,495	,	3.1%	\$	115,140		3,466	3.1%	\$	140,201	\$	4,119	3.0%	\$ 81,279	\$	2,624	3.3%	\$ 132,495	\$ 3,92		3.1%	-	iee r	otes	
	• .==;•••	\$ 4,583	3.7%	\$	111,674		4,057	3.8%	•	136,081	\$	4,797	3.7%	\$ 78,655	\$	3,115	4.1%	\$ - /	\$ 4,58		3.7%	\$ 35,266	\$	1,248	3.7%
	• • • • •	\$ 3,785	3.1%	\$	107,617		3,319			131,284	\$	3,985	3.1%	\$ 75,540	\$	2,442	3.3%	\$,	\$ 3,78		3.1%	\$ 34,018	\$	1,035	3.1%
	\$ 120,199		4.0%	\$	104,298		4,007			127,299	\$	4,834	3.9%	\$ 73,098	\$	2,908	4.1%	\$ 	\$ 4,58		4.0%	\$ 32,983	\$	1,254	4.0%
	\$ 115,615	. ,	5.5%	\$	100,291		5,299	5.6%		122,465	\$	6,401	5.5%	\$ 70,190	\$	3,830	5.8%	\$ 115,615	\$ 6,00		5.5%	\$ 31,729	\$	1,661	5.5%
1997-98	\$ 109,548	. ,	5.3%	\$	94,992		4,764	5.3%	•	116,064	\$	5,774	5.2%	\$ 66,360	\$	3,411	5.4%	\$ 109,548	\$ 5,40		5.3%	\$ 30,068	\$	1,498	5.2%
	\$ 104,082	. ,	6.0%	\$	90,228		5,131	6.0%	•	110,290	•	6,226	6.0%	\$ 62,949	\$	3,659	6.2%	\$ 	\$ 5,89		6.0%	\$ 28,570	\$	1,614	6.0%
	,, .	\$ 4,004	4.3%	\$,		3,485	4.3%	\$	104,064	\$	4,233	4.2%	\$ 59,290	\$	2,478	4.4%	\$ 	\$ 4,00	04	4.3%	\$ 26,956	\$	1,097	4.2%
	\$ 94,187	1 .,	5.9%	\$	81,612		4,557	5.9%	\$	99,831	\$	5,541	5.9%	\$ 56,812	\$	3,231	6.0%	\$ 94,187	-		-	\$ 25,859		-	-
1993-94	,	\$ 3,484	4.1%	\$	77,055		3,031	4.1%	\$	94,290	·	3,685	4.1%	\$ 53,581	\$	2,150	4.2%		ee notes	5				otes	
1992-93	\$ 85,464	• • • •	0.4%	\$	74,024		291	0.4%	\$	90,605	\$	305	0.3%	\$ 51,431	\$	291	0.6%	\$ 85,158		-	0.0%	\$ 23,386	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 85,158	\$ 4,039	5.0%	\$	73,733	\$	3,522	5.0%	\$	90,300	\$	4,266	5.0%	\$ 51,140	\$	2,528	5.2%	\$ 85,158	\$ 4,03	39	5.0%	\$ 23,386	\$	1,107	5.0%
1990-91	<i> </i>	\$ 3,557	4.6%	\$	70,211		3,110	4.6%	\$	86,034	\$	3,751	4.6%	\$ 48,612	\$	2,259	4.9%	\$ - / -	\$ 3,5		4.6%	\$ 22,279	\$	973	4.6%
1989-90	\$ 77,562	\$ 3,753	5.1%	\$	67,101		3,267	5.1%	\$	82,283	\$	8,474	11.5%	\$ 46,353	\$	2,323	5.3%	\$,	\$ 3,7	53	5.1%	\$ 21,306	\$	1,028	5.1%
1988-89	\$ 73,809	\$ 8,109	12.3%	\$	63,834	\$	7,617	13.5%	\$	73,809	\$	3,819	5.5%	\$ 44,030	\$	2,375	5.7%	\$ 73,809	\$ 3,8	19	5.5%	\$ 20,278	\$	1,047	5.4%
1987-88	\$ 65,700	\$ 3,359	5.4%	\$	56,217	\$	2,896	5.4%	\$	69,990	\$	3,562	5.4%	\$ 41,655	\$	2,205	5.6%	\$ 69,990	\$ 3,50	62	5.4%	\$ 19,231	\$	976	5.3%
1986-87	\$ 62,341	\$ 4,310	7.4%	\$	53,321	\$	3,709	7.5%	\$	66,428	\$	4,578	7.4%	\$ 39,450	\$	2,801	7.6%	\$ 66,428	\$ 4,57	78	7.4%	\$ 18,255	\$	1,256	7.4%
1985-86	\$ 58,031		-	\$	49,612		-	-	\$	61,850		-	-	\$ 36,649		-	-	\$ 61,850	-		-	\$ 16,999		-	-

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	Salaries (of Electe	d Coun	ty (Constit	utional	Officers	s ai	nd Scho	ool	Distric	ct Offic	ials	s Pursu	an	t to the	e Salar	y F	ormula	in	Chapt	er 145,	Fl	orida S	tatu	ites	
								Os	sceola Co	bun	nty: Fisc	al Years	s 19	85-86 to	20	014-15											
	Clerk of Cir	cuit Court, I	Property																								
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col		Sh	neriff			County	Cor	nmissio	ners		School	Supe	erintend	lent		School I	Boar	d Memb	bers						
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	5	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	4	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 134,379	\$ 865	0.6%	\$	115,166	\$ 78	0.7%	\$	143,318	\$	889	0.6%	\$	75,882	\$	683	0.9%	\$	134,379	\$	865	0.6%	\$	37,040	\$	233	0.6%
2013-14	\$ 133,514	\$ 5,240	4.1%	\$	114,377	\$ 4,53	4.1%	\$	142,429	\$	5,560	4.1%	\$	75,200	\$	3,127	4.3%	\$	133,514	\$	5,240	4.1%	\$	36,807	\$	1,439	4.1%
2012-13	\$ 128,274	\$ 334	0.3%	\$	109,845	\$ 31	0.3%	\$	136,870	\$	334	0.2%	\$	72,073	\$	318	0.4%	\$	128,274	\$	334	0.3%	\$	35,368	\$	88	0.3%
2011-12	\$ 127,940	\$ (265)	-0.2%	\$	109,527	\$ (25)	2) -0.2%	\$	136,536	\$	(265)	-0.2%	\$	71,755	\$	(252)	-0.3%	\$	127,940	\$	(265)	-0.2%	\$	35,279	\$	(70)	-0.2%
2010-11	\$ 128,204	,	0.0%	\$	109,778	\$ (5	/	\$	136,800	\$	(59)	0.0%	\$	72,007	\$	(57)	-0.1%	\$	128,204	\$	2,986	2.4%	\$	35,349		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 128,264		0.4%	•	109,835	\$ 46		\$		•	490	0.4%	\$	72,063	\$	466	0.7%	\$	125,218	-	See no		\$	35,365		See no	
2008-09	\$ 127,774		0.6%			\$ 74			136,370		808	0.6%	\$	71,597	\$	705	1.0%		127,774		799	0.6%	\$	35,236	\$	213	0.6%
2007-08	\$ 126,975	. ,	1.1%	•		\$ 1,27 ⁻	1.2%		135,562	\$	1,335	1.0%	\$	70,891	\$	1,272	1.8%	\$	126,975	\$	1,335	1.1%	\$	35,023		-	-
2006-07	\$ 125,641	\$ 4,783	4.0%		107,350	\$ 4,14		\$		\$	5,070	3.9%	\$	69,620	\$	2,882	4.3%	\$	125,641	\$	4,783	4.0%	ļ	N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 120,858	\$ 5,725	5.0%	\$	103,207	\$ 4,98		\$	- / -	\$	6,055	4.9%	\$	66,738	\$	3,529	5.6%		120,858	\$	5,725	5.0%	L	N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 115,133	. ,	2.5%	\$	98,227	\$ 2,55		\$	- , -	\$	2,956	2.5%	\$	63,209	\$	2,572	4.2%	\$	115,133		-	-	<u> </u>	N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 112,286	\$ 3,532	3.2%	\$	95,673	\$ 3,14		\$	-, -	\$	3,686	3.2%	\$	60,637	\$	3,471	6.1%		-	ee n	otes		<u> </u>	N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 108,754	. ,	3.2%	\$	92,530	\$ 2,93	3.3%		116,460	\$	3,557	3.2%	\$	57,166	\$	2,555	4.7%	\$	108,754	\$	3,366	3.2%	<u> </u>	-	ee n		
2001-02	\$ 105,388	\$ 4,388	4.3%	\$	89,599	\$ 3,87	4.5%		112,902	\$	4,602	4.2%	\$	54,611	\$	3,924	7.7%	\$,	\$	4,388	4.3%	\$	- /		1,265	4.6%
2000-01	\$ 101,000	\$ 3,531	3.6%	\$	85,728	\$ 3,07	3.7%	\$		\$	3,731	3.6%	\$	50,687	\$	2,704	5.6%	\$		\$	3,531	3.6%	\$,		1,003	3.8%
1999-00	\$ 97,469	\$ 3,851	4.1%	\$	82,651	\$ 3,30		\$		\$	4,101	4.1%	\$	47,983	\$	2,407	5.3%	\$	97,469	\$	3,851	4.1%	\$			1,074	4.2%
1998-99	\$ 93,618	\$ 4,903	5.5%	\$	79,342	\$ 4,19	5.6%	\$,	· ·	5,237	5.5%	\$	45,576	\$	2,797	6.5%	\$		\$	4,903	5.5%	\$	25,655		1,358	5.6%
1997-98	\$ 88,715	, ,	5.3%	\$,	\$ 3,78	5.3%	\$	95,231	\$	4,741	5.2%	\$	42,779	\$	2,434	6.0%	\$		\$	4,433	5.3%	\$	24,297	•	1,225	5.3%
1996-97	\$ 84,282	\$ 4,952	6.2%	\$	71,370	\$ 4,23		\$	90,490	\$	5,287	6.2%	\$	40,345	\$	2,871	7.7%	\$	84,282	\$	4,952	6.2%	\$	23,072		1,373	6.3%
1995-96	\$ 79,330	\$ 3,477	4.6%	\$	67,135	\$ 2,98		\$	85,203	\$	3,706	4.5%	\$	37,474	\$	2,118	6.0%	\$	79,330	\$	3,477	4.6%	\$	1	\$	968	4.7%
1994-95	\$ 75,853	\$ 4,451	6.2%	\$	64,151	\$ 3,80		\$	81,497	\$	4,753	6.2%	\$	35,356	\$	2,568	7.8%	\$	75,853		-	-	\$	20,731		-	-
1993-94	\$ 71,402	\$ 3,024	4.4%	\$	60,345	\$ 2,59		\$	76,744	\$	3,225	4.4%	\$	32,788	\$	1,820	5.9%		-		otes	0.00/	-	-	ee n	otes	0.00/
1992-93	\$ 68,378	•	0.6%	\$	57,752	\$ 40		\$	73,519	\$	429	0.6%	\$	30,968	\$	736	2.4%	\$	67,949	-	-	0.0%	\$	18,520		-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 67,949	\$ 3,821	6.0%	\$	57,343	\$ 3,31		\$	73,090	\$	4,047	5.9%	\$	30,232	\$	2,482	8.9%	\$	0.90.00	\$	3,821	6.0%	\$			1,060	6.1%
1990-91	\$ 64,128	\$ 3,031	5.0%	\$	54,029	\$ 2,60		\$	69,043	\$	3,225	4.9%	\$	27,750	\$	1,574	6.0%	\$.,.=0	\$	3,031	5.0%	\$,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	\$	821	4.9%
1989-90	\$ 61,097	\$ 3,655	6.4%	\$.,	\$ 3,17		\$			8,376	14.6%	\$,	\$	2,025	8.4%	\$.,		3,655	6.4%	\$	16,639		989	6.3%
1988-89	\$ 57,442		15.5%	\$	48,247	\$ 7,22	17.6%	\$	- /		3,403	6.3%	\$	24,151	\$	1,774	7.9%	\$	- /	\$	3,403	6.3%	\$	15,650		922	6.3%
1987-88	\$ 49,748		6.4%	\$	41,026	\$ 2,55		\$	54,039	· ·	3,203	6.3%	\$	22,377	\$	1,668	8.1%	\$	54,039	\$	3,203	6.3%	\$	14,728		868	6.3%
1986-87	\$ 46,750	\$ 3,909	9.1%	\$	38,472	\$ 3,32	9.5%	\$	50,836	\$	4,176	8.9%	\$	20,709	\$	2,164	11.7%	\$	50,836	\$	4,176	8.9%	\$	13,860	\$	1,132	8.9%
1985-86	\$ 42,841	-	-	\$	35,145	-	-	\$	46,660		-	-	\$	18,545		-	-	\$	46,660		-	-	\$	12,728		-	-

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members: therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	Salaries	of Electe	d Coun	ity	Constit	utio	nal C	officers	ar	nd Scho	ool	Distric	ct Offic	ials	s Pursu	an	t to the	e Salar	y F	ormula	in	Chapt	er 145,	, Fl	orida S	tatı	utes	
								Pa	alm	n Beach (Со	unty: Fi	scal Yea	ars	1985-86	to	2014-1	5										
	Clerk of Cire	cuit Court, I	Property																									
Fiscal											Sh	neriff			County	Coi	nmissio	ners		School	Sup	erintenc	lent		School	Boa	rd Meml	bers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ C	hg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	;	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 161,159	\$ 610	0.4%	\$	140,951	\$	555	0.4%	\$	170,099	\$	634	0.4%	\$	95,782	\$	259	0.3%	\$	161,159	\$	610	0.4%	\$	42,570	\$	115	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 160,549	. ,	3.8%	\$	140,396		,194	3.8%	\$	169,464	\$	6,236	3.8%	\$	95,523	\$	3,426	3.7%		160,549	\$	5,916	3.8%	\$	42,455		1,523	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 154,632		0.1%	\$	135,202	\$	92	0.1%	\$	163,228	\$	92	0.1%	\$	92,097	\$	-	0.0%	\$	154,632	\$	92	0.1%	\$	40,932	\$	-	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 154,540		0.3%		,		537	0.4%		163,136		537	0.3%	\$		\$	-	0.0%		154,540	\$	537	0.3%	\$	40,932	\$	-	0.0%
2010-11	\$ 154,004	\$ (120)	-0.1%	\$	134,573	\$	(120)	-0.1%	\$	162,599	\$	(120)	-0.1%	\$	92,097	\$	-	0.0%	\$	154,004	\$	2,958	2.0%	\$	40,932	<u> </u>	See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 154,123		0.0%	· ·	134,693		(5)	0.0%	\$	162,719	\$	(5)	0.0%	\$		\$	1	0.0%		151,046		See no		\$	40,932	—	See no	
2008-09	\$ 154,129		0.2%		- /		263	0.2%		162,724		294	0.2%	\$		\$	101	0.1%		154,129	\$	285	0.2%	\$	40,932	\$	45	0.1%
2007-08	\$ 153,844		0.2%		134,435		360	0.3%		162,430	·	359	0.2%	\$	- /	\$	(1)	0.0%		153,844	\$	360	0.2%	\$	40,887	<u> </u>	-	-
2006-07	\$ 153,484	. ,	3.7%		134,075		,857	3.8%		162,071	·	5,794	3.7%	\$		\$	3,077	3.5%		153,484	\$	5,506	3.7%		N/A	<u> </u>	-	-
2005-06	\$ 147,978	. ,	4.5%	\$	- / -		,606	4.5%	•	156,277	\$	6,682	4.5%	\$		\$	3,536	4.1%		147,978	\$	6,352	4.5%		N/A	<u> </u>	-	-
2004-05	\$ 141,626	. ,	1.7%	\$,118	1.7%			\$	2,474	1.7%	\$,	\$	1,171	1.4%	\$	141,626		-	-	L	N/A	—	-	-
2003-04	\$ 139,261	. ,	2.3%	•	121,494		,803	2.4%	•	147,121	•	3,305	2.3%	\$	÷.,=.;	\$	1,651	2.0%				notes		L	N/A	L	-	-
2002-03	\$ 136,110	. ,	2.8%	· ·	,		,285	2.8%	•	143,816	\$	3,909	2.8%	\$		\$	2,053	2.6%	•	136,110	\$	3,718	2.8%	L	-		notes	
2001-02	\$ 132,392	. ,	3.9%	•	115,406		,531	4.1%		139,906	\$	5,231	3.9%	\$	80,509	\$	2,298	2.9%	•	132,392	\$	5,017	3.9%	\$	35,782		1,022	2.9%
2000-01	\$ 127,375	. ,	3.1%	•	110,875		,326	3.1%		134,675	\$	3,976	3.0%	\$,	\$	2,139	2.8%		127,375	\$	3,777	3.1%	\$	34,760		950	2.8%
1999-00	+ .==,===	\$ 4,570	3.8%		107,549		,006	3.9%		130,699	\$	4,821	3.8%	\$	76,072	\$	2,679	3.7%		123,598	\$	4,570	3.8%	\$	33,810		1,191	3.7%
1998-99	\$ 119,028	,	5.5%	\$,		,382	5.5%		125,878	· ·	6,487	5.4%	\$	-,	\$	3,864	5.6%	•	119,028	\$	6,153	5.5%	\$	32,619		1,670	5.4%
1997-98	\$ 112,875	. ,	5.2%	\$,921	5.3%	•	- /	\$	5,938	5.2%	\$		\$	3,567	5.4%		112,875	\$	5,630	5.2%	\$	30,949		1,541	5.2%
1996-97	\$ 107,245	. ,	6.1%	\$, .		,388	6.1%		113,453		6,496	6.1%	\$		\$	3,917	6.3%	•	107,245	\$	6,161	6.1%	\$	29,408		1,686	6.1%
1995-96	\$ 101,084	. ,	4.3%	\$	87,852		,680	4.4%		106,957		4,439	4.3%	\$		\$	2,674	4.5%		101,084	\$	4,210	4.3%	\$	27,722	\$	1,152	4.3%
1994-95	\$ 96,874	. ,	6.0%	\$	84,172		,772	6.0%		- /		5,766	6.0%	\$		\$	3,445	6.2%	\$	96,874		-	-	\$	26,570	<u> </u>	-	-
1993-94	\$ 91,410	. ,	4.1%	\$	79,400		,151	4.1%	\$	96,752		3,811	4.1%	\$,	\$	2,270	4.2%				notes		L	-		notes	
1992-93	\$ 87,800		0.3%	\$	76,249		238	0.3%	\$	92,941	\$	250	0.3%	\$,	\$	239	0.4%	\$	87,549	\$	-	0.0%	\$	24,019		-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 87,549	. ,	4.6%	\$	76,011		,325	4.6%	\$	92,691	\$	4,058	4.6%	\$	53,417	\$	2,329	4.6%	\$	87,549	\$	3,831	4.6%	\$	24,019		1,051	4.6%
1990-91	\$ 83,718	1 - 7 -	4.6%	\$	72,686		,250	4.7%	\$	88,633		3,898	4.6%	\$	0.,000	\$	2,399	4.9%	\$	83,718	\$	3,704	4.6%	\$	22,968		1,013	4.6%
1989-90	\$ 80,014	. ,	5.4%	\$,598	5.5%	\$	84,735		8,822	11.6%	\$,	\$	2,655	5.8%	\$	80,014	\$	4,101	5.4%	\$	21,955		1,120	5.4%
1988-89	\$ 75,913	. ,	12.3%	\$	65,838		,841	13.5%	\$,		4,054	5.6%	\$	- /	\$	2,599	6.0%	\$	75,913	\$	4,054	5.6%	\$	20,835		1,109	5.6%
1987-88		\$ 3,607	5.6%	\$.,		,133	5.7%	\$	1		3,811	5.6%	\$	- /	\$	2,442	6.0%	\$,	\$	3,811	5.6%	\$	19,726		1,042	5.6%
1986-87	\$ 63,962	\$ 4,478	7.5%	\$	54,864	\$ 3	,868	7.6%	\$	68,048	\$	4,745	7.5%	\$,	\$	2,960	7.8%	\$	68,048	\$	4,745	7.5%	\$	18,684	\$	1,300	7.5%
1985-86	\$ 59,484	-	-	\$	50,996	-		-	\$	63,303		-	-	\$	38,033		-	-	\$	63,303		-	-	\$	17,384	<u> </u>	-	-

Solarios of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Purcuant to the Solary Formula in Chanter 145. Elected Statutes

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members: therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	Salaries of	nd Scho	0	Distric	ct Offic	als	s Pursu	an	t to the	e Salary	уŀ	ormula	In	Chapt	er 145,	, FI	orida S	tati	utes								
								Р	asco Cou	unt	ty: Fisca	al Years	198	85-86 to	20	14-15											
	Clerk of Circ																										
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col		Supervi			ions			Sh	neriff				Col	mmissio				Sup	perintend	lent		School I	Boar	rd Meml	oers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ C	hg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 143,508	\$ 500	0.3%	\$ 123,860	\$	441	0.4%	\$	152,447	\$	524	0.3%	\$	84,577	\$	335	0.4%	\$	143,508	\$	500	0.3%	\$	39,455	\$	136	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 143,008	\$ 5,173	3.8%	\$ 123,419	\$ 4	4,468	3.8%	\$	151,923	\$	5,492	3.8%	\$	84,242	\$	3,063	3.8%	\$	143,008	\$	5,173	3.8%	\$	39,318	\$	1,422	3.8%
2012-13	\$ 137,835		0.0%	\$ 118,951	\$	38	0.0%	\$	146,431	\$	39	0.0%	\$	81,179	\$	38	0.0%		137,835	\$	39	0.0%	\$	37,897	\$	10	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 137,796		0.4%	118,913	•	510	0.4%		146,391		535	0.4%	\$	81,141	\$	510	0.6%		137,796	\$	535	0.4%	\$	0.,000	\$	142	0.4%
2010-11	\$ 137,260	\$ 24	0.0%	\$ 118,403	\$	23	0.0%	\$	145,856	\$	24	0.0%	\$	80,631	\$	23	0.0%	\$	137,260	\$	2,859	2.1%	\$	37,744	L	See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 137,236		0.1%	118,380		88	0.1%		145,832		92	0.1%	\$,	\$	87	0.1%		134,401		See no		\$	37,738		See no	
2008-09	\$ 137,144		0.3%	118,293		336			145,740		376	0.3%	\$	80,521	\$	294	0.4%		137,144	\$	367	0.3%	\$	37,714	\$	99	0.3%
2007-08	\$ 136,777		0.3%	117,957		356	0.3%	· ·	145,364		373	0.3%	\$	80,227	\$	356	0.4%		136,777	\$	373	0.3%	\$	37,615	L	-	-
2006-07	\$ 136,404	. ,	4.1%	,		4,675	4.1%	•	144,991	•	5,629	4.0%	\$	79,871	\$	3,414	4.5%		136,404	\$	5,341	4.1%		N/A	L	-	-
2005-06	\$ 131,063	. ,	4.9%	112,926		5,313	4.9%	•	139,362	•	6,406	4.8%	\$	76,457	\$	3,863	5.3%		131,063	\$	6,076	4.9%		N/A	L	-	-
2004-05	\$ 124,987	. ,	2.1%	107,613		2,253	2.1%	\$,		2,639	2.0%	\$	72,594	\$	1,773	2.5%	\$	124,987		-	-		N/A	Ļ	-	-
2003-04	\$ 122,457	. ,	2.4%	\$ 105,360		2,565	2.5%	•	130,317	•	3,079	2.4%	\$	70,821	\$	1,888	2.7%		-		notes			N/A	<u> </u>	-	-
2002-03	\$ 119,532	, .	2.9%	\$ 102,795	•	2,965	3.0%	•	127,238	•	3,593	2.9%	\$	68,933	\$	2,123	3.2%		119,532	\$	3,402	2.9%		-		notes	
2001-02	\$ 116,130	. ,	3.9%	\$ 99,830		8,803	4.0%	•	.==;;;	•	4,530	3.8%	\$	66,810	\$	2,860	4.5%		116,130	\$	4,315	3.9%	\$	31,975	•	1,178	3.8%
2000-01	\$ 111,815	. ,	3.1%	\$ 96,027	•	2,901	3.1%	· ·	119,115	\$	3,547	3.1%	\$	63,950	\$	2,024	3.3%		111,815	\$	3,347	3.1%	\$	30,797	•	918	3.1%
1999-00	÷,	\$ 4,091	3.9%	\$ 93,126		8,538	3.9%		115,568	\$	4,341	3.9%	\$	61,926	\$	2,439	4.1%		108,468	\$	4,091	3.9%	\$			1,124	3.9%
1998-99	\$ 104,377	. ,	5.4%	\$ 89,588		4,645	5.5%		,==:	\$	5,714	5.4%	\$	59,487	\$	3,176	5.6%		104,377	\$	5,380	5.4%	\$	28,755		1,479	5.4%
1997-98	\$ 98,997	, ,	5.2%	\$ 84,943		4,208	5.2%	•	105,513	•	5,190	5.2%	\$	56,311	\$	2,854	5.3%	\$	98,997	\$	4,882	5.2%	\$		\$	1,343	5.2%
1996-97	\$ 94,115	. ,	6.1%	\$,		1,637	6.1%	\$			5,709	6.0%	\$	53,457	\$	3,166	6.3%	\$	94,115	\$	5,373	6.1%	\$	25,933		1,478	6.0%
1995-96	\$ 88,742	. ,	4.3%	\$ 76,098		3,165	4.3%	\$	- /-	•	3,896	4.3%	\$	50,291	\$	2,158	4.5%	\$	88,742	\$	3,668	4.3%	\$	24,455	\$	1,008	4.3%
1994-95	\$ 85,074	. ,	5.8%	\$ 72,933		4,041	5.9%	\$, .		4,999	5.8%	\$	48,133	\$	2,715	6.0%	\$	85,074		-	-	\$	23,447	L	-	-
1993-94	\$ 80,377	. ,	4.2%	\$ 68,892		2,765	4.2%	\$	85,719		3,405	4.1%	\$	45,418	\$	1,884	4.3%		-		notes		L			notes	
1992-93	\$ 77,172	•	0.2%	\$ •••	\$	156	0.2%	\$	- /-		165	0.2%	\$	43,534	\$	157	0.4%	\$	77,008	\$	-	0.0%	\$	21,229	•	-	0.0%
1991-92	1 /	\$ 3,713	5.1%	\$ 65,971		3,212	5.1%	\$	82,149	\$	3,939	5.0%	\$	43,377	\$	2,216	5.4%	\$	77,008	\$	3,713	5.1%	\$	21,229		1,019	5.0%
1990-91	\$ 73,295		4.5%	\$ 62,759		2,745	4.6%	\$	- / -	\$	3,368	4.5%	\$	41,161	\$	1,894	4.8%	\$	73,295	\$	3,174	4.5%	\$	20,210		872	4.5%
1989-90	/	\$ 3,514	5.3%	\$ 		8,039	5.3%	\$	1-	\$	8,235	12.4%	\$	39,267	\$	2,096	5.6%	\$		\$	3,514	5.3%	\$	19,338		966	5.3%
1988-89		\$ 7,799	13.3%	\$ 56,975	•	7,322	14.7%	\$,	\$	3,509	5.6%	\$	37,171	\$	2,080	5.9%	\$,	\$	3,509	5.6%	\$	18,372		964	5.5%
1987-88	+	\$ 3,156	5.7%	\$ 		2,704	5.8%	\$		\$	3,360	5.6%	\$	35,091	\$	2,012	6.1%	\$,	\$	3,360	5.6%	\$	17,408		923	5.6%
1986-87	\$ 55,652	\$ 3,855	7.4%	\$ 46,949	\$ 3	3,275	7.5%	\$,	\$	4,122	7.4%	\$	33,079	\$	2,368	7.7%	\$	59,738	\$	4,122	7.4%	\$	16,485	\$	1,135	7.4%
1985-86	\$ 51,797	-	-	\$ 43,674		-	-	\$	55,616		-	-	\$	30,711		-	-	\$	55,616		-	-	\$	15,350	. <u> </u>	-	-

Solarios of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Purcuant to the Solary Formula in Chanter 145. Elected Statutes

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members: therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Pinellas County: Eiscal Years 1985-86 to 2014-15

												ity: Fisc	cal Years	s 19	985-86 to	20	014-15									
Clerk of Circuit Court, Property																										
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Co	llector		Supervi	sor	of Elect	ions			Sh	eriff			County	Coi	mmissio	ners	School	Sup	erintend	dent	School	Boa	rd Mem	oers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	67	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	97	6 Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 153,633	\$ 555	0.4%	\$	133,503	\$	494	0.4%	\$	162,573	\$	579	0.4%	\$	94,220	\$	387	0.4%	\$ 153,633	\$	555	0.4%	\$ 42,135	\$	151	0.4%
2013-14	\$ 153,078	\$ 5,531	3.7%	\$	133,010	\$	4,809	3.8%	\$	161,994	\$	5,851	3.7%	\$	93,833	\$	3,404	3.8%	\$ 153,078	\$	5,531	3.7%	\$ 41,985	\$	1,517	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 147,547	\$ 42	0.0%	\$	128,201	\$	40	0.0%	\$	156,143	\$	42	0.0%	\$	90,429	\$	40	0.0%	\$ 147,547	\$	42	0.0%	\$ 40,468	\$	11	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 147,505	\$ (313) -0.2%	\$	128,161	\$	(298)	-0.2%	\$	156,101	\$	(313)	-0.2%	\$	90,389	\$	(298)	-0.3%	\$ 147,505	\$	(313)	-0.2%	\$ 40,457	\$	(83)	-0.2%
2010-11	\$ 147,819	\$ (158) -0.1%	\$	128,459	\$	(150)	-0 .1%	\$	156,414	\$	(158)	-0.1%	\$	90,687	\$	(150)	-0.2%	\$ 147,819	\$	2,682	1.8%	\$ 40,540	<u> </u>	See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 147,977		/	\$	128,609	\$	(117)	-0 .1%	\$	156,572	\$	(122)	-0.1%	\$	90,837	\$	(117)	-0.1%	\$ 145,137		See no		\$ 40,582	<u> </u>	See no	
2008-09	\$ 148,099	\$ 79	0.1%	\$	128,726	\$	62	0.0%	\$	156,695	\$	88	0.1%	\$	90,954	\$	20	0.0%	\$ 148,099	\$	79	0.1%	\$ 40,614	\$	22	0.1%
2007-08	\$ 148,020	\$6	0.0%	\$	128,664	\$	6	0.0%	\$	156,606	\$	6	0.0%	\$	90,934	\$	6	0.0%	\$ 148,020	\$	6	0.0%	\$ 40,592	<u> </u>	-	-
2006-07	\$ 148,014	,	3.5%	\$	- ,	\$	4,384	3.5%	\$	156,600	\$	5,322	3.5%	\$	90,928	\$	3,122	3.6%	\$ - / -	\$	5,035	3.5%	N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 142,979	• • • • •	4.2%	\$	124,274	\$	5,013	4.2%	•	151,278	\$	6,091	4.2%	\$	87,806	\$	3,564	4.2%	\$,	\$	5,761	4.2%	N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 137,218	. ,	1.5%	\$		\$	1,745	1.5%		- , -	\$	2,106	1.5%	\$	84,242	\$	1,265	1.5%	\$ 137,218		-	-	N/A	—	-	-
2003-04	\$ 135,222	. ,	2.1%	\$,	\$	2,391	2.1%	•	,	\$	2,897	2.1%	\$	82,978	\$	1,714	2.1%	-	ee r	notes		N/A	<u> </u>	-	-
2002-03	\$ 132,479	\$ 3,439	2.7%	\$		\$	3,001	2.7%		140,185	\$	3,631	2.7%	\$	81,264	\$	2,159	2.7%	\$ 	\$	3,439	2.7%		iee r	notes	
2001-02	\$ 129,040	\$ 4,098	3.3%	\$,	\$	3,595	3.3%	•		\$	4,313	3.3%	\$	79,105	\$	2,653	3.5%	\$ - 1	\$	4,098	3.3%	\$ 35,391	\$	1,120	3.3%
2000-01	\$ 124,942	. ,	2.9%	\$	108,529	\$	3,080	2.9%	\$	132,241	\$	3,734	2.9%	\$	76,452	\$	2,203	3.0%	\$ 124,942	\$	3,535	2.9%	\$ 34,271	\$	968	2.9%
1999-00	\$ 121,407	\$ 4,344	3.7%	\$	105,449	\$	3,780	3.7%		128,507	\$	4,594	3.7%	\$	74,249	\$	2,680	3.7%	\$ 121,407	\$	4,344	3.7%	\$ 33,303	\$	1,191	3.7%
1998-99	\$ 117,063	. ,	5.2%	\$	101,669	\$	5,066	5.2%	\$	123,913	\$	6,158	5.2%	\$	71,569	\$	3,598	5.3%	\$ 117,063	\$	5,824	5.2%	\$ 32,112	\$	1,596	5.2%
1997-98	\$ 111,239	\$ 5,338	5.0%	\$	96,603	\$	4,643	5.0%		117,755	\$	5,646	5.0%	\$	67,971	\$	3,289	5.1%	\$,====	\$	5,338	5.0%	\$ 30,516	\$	1,464	5.0%
1996-97	\$ 105,901	\$ 5,793	5.8%	\$	91,960	\$	5,037	5.8%	•	,	\$	6,128	5.8%	\$	64,682	\$	3,566	5.8%	\$ 105,901	\$	5,793	5.8%	\$ 29,052	\$	1,589	5.8%
1995-96	\$ 100,108	• • • • • •	4.1%	\$	86,923	\$	3,467	4.2%	\$	105,981	\$	4,214	4.1%	\$	61,116	\$	2,461	4.2%	\$,	\$	3,985	4.1%	\$ 27,463	\$	1,092	4.1%
1994-95	\$ 96,123	\$ 5,197	5.7%	\$	83,456	\$	4,517	5.7%	\$	101,767	\$	5,499	5.7%	\$	58,655	\$	3,190	5.8%	\$ 96,123		-	-	\$ 26,371	<u> </u>	-	-
1993-94	\$ 90,926	\$ 3,477	4.0%	\$	78,939	\$	3,024	4.0%	\$	96,268	\$	3,677	4.0%	\$	55,465	\$	2,143	4.0%	S	iee r	notes		S	iee r	notes	
1992-93	\$ 87,449	\$ 52	0.1%	\$	75,915	\$	50	0.1%	\$	92,591	\$	53	0.1%	\$	53,322	\$	50	0.1%	\$ 87,397	\$	-	0.0%	\$ 23,979	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 87,397	\$ 3,803	4.5%	\$	75,865	\$	3,297	4.5%	\$	92,538	\$	4,029	4.6%	\$	53,272	\$	2,302	4.5%	\$ 87,397	\$	3,803	4.5%	\$ 23,979	\$	1,044	4.6%
1990-91	\$ 83,594	\$ 3,477	4.3%	\$	72,568	\$	3,034	4.4%	\$	88,509	\$	3,671	4.3%	\$	50,970	\$	2,183	4.5%	\$ 83,594	\$	3,477	4.3%	\$ 22,935	\$	952	4.3%
1989-90	\$ 80,117	\$ 3,769	4.9%	\$	69,534	\$	3,281	5.0%	\$	84,838	\$	8,490	11.1%	\$	48,787	\$	2,338	5.0%	\$ 80,117	\$	3,769	4.9%	\$ 21,983	\$	1,033	4.9%
1988-89	\$ 76,348	\$ 8,094	11.9%	\$	66,253	\$	7,603	13.0%	\$	76,348	\$	3,804	5.2%	\$	46,449	\$	2,361	5.4%	\$ 76,348	\$	3,804	5.2%	\$ 20,950	\$	1,043	5.2%
1987-88	\$ 68,254	\$ 3,407	5.3%	\$	58,650	\$	2,943	5.3%	\$	72,544	\$	3,610	5.2%	\$	44,088	\$	2,251	5.4%	\$ 72,544	\$	3,610	5.2%	\$ 19,907	\$	989	5.2%
1986-87	\$ 64,847	\$ 4,402	7.3%	\$	55,707	\$	3,796	7.3%	\$	68,934	\$	4,670	7.3%	\$	41,837	\$	2,889	7.4%	\$ 68,934	\$	4,670	7.3%	\$ 18,918	\$	1,280	7.3%
1985-86	\$ 60,445	-	-	\$	51,911		-	-	\$	64,264		-	-	\$	38,948		-	-	\$ 64,264		-	-	\$ 17,638		-	-
				·																				-		

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	Salaries of	of Electe	d Coun	nty	Constit	utional (Officers	ar	nd Scho	ol	Distric	ct Offic	ials	s Pursu	an	t to the	e Salary	уF	ormula	in	Chapt	er 145,	, FI	orida S	tati	utes	
								F	olk Cou	nty	: Fiscal	I Years '	198	5-86 to 2	201	4-15											
	Clerk of Cire	cuit Court, I	Property																								
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervis	sor of Elect	tions			Sh	neriff			County	Col	nmissio	ners		School	Sup	erintend	lent		School	Boa	rd Memb	oers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	~	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	67	6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 146,645	\$ 554	0.4%	\$	126,848	\$ 493	0.4%	\$	155,585	\$	579	0.4%	\$	87,565	\$	387	0.4%	\$	146,645	\$	554	0.4%	\$	40,285	\$	151	0.4%
2013-14	\$ 146,091	\$ 5,285	3.8%	\$	126,355	\$ 4,575	3.8%	\$	155,006	\$	5,604	3.8%	\$	87,178	\$	3,170	3.8%	\$	146,091	\$	5,285	3.8%	\$	40,135	\$	1,451	3.8%
2012-13	\$ 140,806		0.0%	· ·	121,780		0.0%	\$	149,402	\$	58	0.0%	\$	84,008	\$	55	0.1%	\$	140,806	\$	58	0.0%	\$	38,683		15	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 140,748		0.3%	\$	121,725		0.3%	\$	149,344	\$	381	0.3%	\$,	\$	363	0.4%	\$	140,748	\$	381	0.3%	\$	38,668	\$	101	0.3%
2010-11	\$ 140,367		0.0%	\$	121,362	\$ (28)	0.0%	\$	148,962	\$	(30)	0.0%	\$,	\$	(28)	0.0%	\$	- ,	\$	2,877	2.1%	\$	38,567		See no	
2009-10	\$ 140,397		0.1%		,		0.1%	•	148,992	•	101	0.1%	\$		\$	96	0.1%	\$.,		See no		\$	38,575		See no	
2008-09	\$ 140,295		0.4%	•	, -	•	0.4%	•	148,891	•	507	0.3%	\$		\$	419	0.5%	\$	-,	\$	498	0.4%	\$	38,548	\$	133	0.3%
2007-08	\$ 139,798		0.4%		120,833		0.4%		148,384		497	0.3%	\$,	\$	474	0.6%	\$,	\$	497	0.4%	\$	38,415		-	-
2006-07	\$ 139,301	. ,	3.7%	•	120,360	1) -	3.7%	•	147,887	\$	5,225	3.7%	\$	82,630	\$	3,030	3.8%	\$,	\$	4,938	3.7%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 134,363	. ,	4.4%	\$	116,069	\$ 4,928	4.4%	\$	142,662	\$	6,001	4.4%	\$	79,600	\$	3,477	4.6%	\$	134,363	\$	5,671	4.4%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 128,692	· /	1.5%	\$	111,141	\$ 1,702	1.6%	•	,	\$	2,061	1.5%	\$	76,123	\$	1,222	1.6%	\$	- ,		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 126,741	, ,	2.1%	\$	109,439	\$ 2,260	2.1%	•	- /	\$	2,759	2.1%	\$	74,901	\$	1,583	2.2%		-	See I	notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 124,136	· · · · ·	2.7%	\$	107,179	1 1	2.8%	•	131,841	\$	3,507	2.7%	\$	73,317	\$	2,041	2.9%	\$	124,136	\$	3,316	2.7%		-		notes	
2001-02	\$ 120,820	. ,	3.1%	\$	104,296	. ,	3.1%	•	128,334	\$	3,832	3.1%	\$	71,276	\$	2,195	3.2%	\$	120,820	\$	3,618	3.1%	\$	33,215		993	3.1%
2000-01	\$ 117,202	. ,	3.0%	\$. ,	\$ 2,916	3.0%	•	124,502	\$	3,562	2.9%	\$	69,081	\$	2,039	3.0%		, -	\$	3,362	3.0%	\$	32,222		923	2.9%
1999-00	\$ 113,840	. ,	3.8%	\$		\$ 3,571	3.8%		120,940	\$	4,376	3.8%	\$	67,042	\$	2,472	3.8%	\$		\$	4,126	3.8%	\$	31,299	\$	1,133	3.8%
1998-99	\$ 109,714	, .	5.2%	\$	÷.,	\$ 4,718	5.2%	•	- 1	\$	5,792	5.2%	\$	64,570	\$	3,249	5.3%	\$	109,714	\$	5,457	5.2%	\$,	\$	1,499	5.2%
1997-98	\$ 104,257	. ,	5.1%	\$,	\$ 4,393	5.1%	•	110,772	•	5,383	5.1%	\$	61,321	\$	3,040	5.2%	\$	104,257	\$	5,076	5.1%	\$	28,667		1,394	5.1%
1996-97	,, .	\$ 5,438	5.8%	\$		\$ 4,699	5.8%	•	105,389	•	5,773	5.8%	\$	58,281	\$	3,227	5.9%	\$	99,181	\$	5,438	5.8%	\$	27,273		1,495	5.8%
1995-96	\$ 93,743	. ,	4.2%	\$		\$ 3,251	4.2%	\$	99,616	\$	3,987	4.2%	\$	55,054	\$	2,245	4.3%	\$	93,743	\$	3,758	4.2%	\$	25,778	\$	1,032	4.2%
1994-95	\$ 89,985	\$ 4,933	5.8%	\$,	\$ 4,265	5.8%	\$	95,629	\$	5,235	5.8%	\$	52,809	\$	2,939	5.9%	\$	89,985		-	-	\$	24,746		-	-
1993-94	\$ 85,052	. ,	4.0%	\$	73,345	\$ 2,829	4.0%	\$	90,394		3,473	4.0%	\$	49,870	\$	1,947	4.1%		-	See I	notes			-		notes	
1992-93	\$ 81,780	•	0.1%	\$	70,516		0.2%	\$	86,921	\$	119	0.1%	\$	47,923	\$	114	0.2%	\$		\$	-	0.0%	\$	22,460	•	-	0.0%
1991-92	- /	\$ 3,530	4.5%	\$	70,402	\$ 3,036	4.5%	\$	86,802	\$	3,756	4.5%	\$	47,809	\$	2,042	4.5%	\$	81,661	\$	3,530	4.5%	\$	22,460	•	971	4.5%
1990-91		\$ 3,201	4.3%	\$.,	\$ 2,772	4.3%	\$	83,046	\$	3,395	4.3%	\$	45,767	\$	1,920	4.4%	\$	78,131	\$	3,201	4.3%	\$	21,489	\$	880	4.3%
1989-90	\$ 74,930	\$ 3,782	5.3%	\$	64,594	\$ 3,294	5.4%	\$	79,651	\$	8,503	12.0%	\$	43,847	\$	2,351	5.7%	\$	74,930	\$	3,782	5.3%	\$	20,609	\$	1,036	5.3%
1988-89	\$ 71,148	/ -	12.8%	\$.,	\$ 7,586	14.1%	\$	71,148	\$	3,787	5.6%	\$	41,496	\$	2,345	6.0%	\$,	\$	3,787	5.6%	\$	19,573		1,038	5.6%
1987-88	1	\$ 3,343	5.6%	\$	/	\$ 2,883	5.7%	\$		\$	3,547	5.6%	\$	39,151	\$	2,190	5.9%	\$.,	\$	3,547	5.6%	\$	18,535	•	972	5.5%
1986-87	\$ 59,728	\$ 4,219	7.6%	\$	50,831	\$ 3,622	7.7%	\$	63,814	\$	4,486	7.6%	\$	36,961	\$	2,715	7.9%	\$	63,814	\$	4,486	7.6%	\$	17,563	\$	1,231	7.5%
1985-86	\$ 55,509	-	-	\$	47,209	-	-	\$	59,328		-	-	\$	34,246		-	-	\$	59,328		-	-	\$	16,332		-	-

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	Salaries	of Electe	d Cour	ity	Constit	uti	onal C	officers	a	nd Scho	ol	Distric	ct Offic	ials	s Pursu	an	t to the	e Salary	уŀ	ormula	In	Chapt	ter 145	, FI	orida S	tati	utes	
												ty: Fisc	al Years	s 19	985-86 to	20)14-15											
	Clerk of Cir																											
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervi	sor	of Electi	ions			Sh	eriff			County	Co	mmissio	ners		School	Sup	perintend	dent		School	Boar	rd Meml	bers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	••	Salary		6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 109,933	\$ 150	0.1%	\$	91,884	\$	108	0.1%	\$	118,872	\$	174	0.1%	\$	44,087	\$	(22)	0.0%	\$	109,933	\$	150	0.1%	\$	29,982	\$	42	0.1%
2013-14	\$ 109,783	\$ 3,707	3.5%	\$	91,776	\$	3,072	3.5%	\$	118,698	\$	4,027	3.5%	\$	44,108	\$	1,362	3.2%	\$	109,783	\$	3,707	3.5%	\$	29,940	\$	1,013	3.5%
2012-13	\$ 106,076	\$ (80)	-0.1%	\$	88,704	\$	(77)	-0.1%	\$	114,672	\$	(80)	-0.1%	\$	42,746	\$	(77)	-0.2%	\$	106,076	\$	(80)	-0.1%	\$	28,927	\$	(21)	-0.1%
2011-12	\$ 106,156	\$ (63)	-0.1%	\$	88,781	\$	(60)	-0.1%	\$	114,752	\$	(63)	-0.1%	\$	42,822	\$	(60)	-0.1%	\$	106,156	\$	(63)	-0.1%	\$	28,949	\$	(17)	-0.1%
2010-11	\$ 106,219	\$ (98)	-0.1%	\$	88,840	\$	(94)	-0.1%	\$	114,815	\$	(98)	-0.1%	\$	42,882	\$	(94)	-0.2%	\$	106,219	\$	2,077	2.0%	\$	28,965		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 106,318	\$ 50	0.0%	\$	88,934	\$	47	0.1%	\$	114,913	\$	50	0.0%	\$	42,976	\$	47	0.1%	\$	104,143		See no	otes	\$	28,991		See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 106,268		0.2%	\$,	\$	192	0.2%	\$	114,864	\$	225	0.2%	\$	1	\$	141	0.3%	\$	106,268	\$	215	0.2%	\$	28,978	\$	58	0.2%
2007-08	\$ 106,053	•	0.2%	\$	88,695	•	159	0.2%	\$	114,639	\$	167	0.1%	\$,	\$	159	0.4%	\$	106,053	\$	167	0.2%	\$	28,920		-	-
2006-07	\$ 105,886		3.6%	\$	88,536	•	3,088	3.6%		114,472		3,962	3.6%	\$	42,628	\$	1,553	3.8%		105,886	\$	3,675	3.6%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	. ,	\$ 4,365	4.5%	\$	85,448	\$	3,684	4.5%		110,510		4,695	4.4%	\$	41,075	\$	1,919	4.9%	\$,	\$	4,364	4.5%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 97,846	\$ 1,493	1.5%	\$	81,764	\$	1,265	1.6%	\$	105,815	\$	1,602	1.5%	\$	39,156	\$	681	1.8%	\$	97,846		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 96,354	\$ 2,006	2.1%	\$	80,499	\$	1,690	2.1%	\$	104,213	\$	2,160	2.1%	\$	38,475	\$	866	2.3%			See I	notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 94,347	• , • •	2.6%	\$	78,809	\$	2,045	2.7%	\$		\$	2,627	2.6%	\$	37,609	\$	1,020	2.8%	\$	94,347	\$	2,436	2.6%				otes	
2001-02	\$ 91,912		2.3%	\$	76,764	\$	1,678	2.2%	\$	99,426	\$	2,300	2.4%	\$	36,588	\$	531	1.5%	\$	91,912	\$	2,085	2.3%	\$	25,071	\$	573	2.3%
2000-01	\$ 89,827		3.2%	\$	75,086	\$	2,344	3.2%	\$	97,126	\$	2,961	3.1%	\$	36,057	\$	1,276	3.7%	\$	89,827	\$	2,762	3.2%	\$	24,498	\$	751	3.2%
1999-00	\$ 87,065	\$ 3,314	4.0%	\$	72,742	\$	2,798	4.0%	\$	94,165	\$	3,564	3.9%	\$	34,781	\$	1,462	4.4%	\$	87,065	\$	3,314	4.0%	\$	23,747	\$	902	3.9%
1998-99	. ,	\$ 4,079	5.1%	\$	69,944	\$	3,406	5.1%	\$	90,601	\$	4,413	5.1%	\$	33,319	\$	1,618	5.1%	\$	83,751	\$	4,079	5.1%	\$	22,845		1,112	5.1%
1997-98	\$ 79,672		5.2%	\$	66,538	\$	3,281	5.2%	\$,	\$	4,218	5.1%	\$	31,701	\$	1,635	5.4%	\$	79,672	\$	3,909	5.2%	\$,		1,066	5.2%
1996-97	\$ 75,763	. , .	5.8%	\$	63,257	\$	3,503	5.9%	\$	81,970	\$	4,516	5.8%	\$	30,066	\$	1,712	6.0%	\$	75,763	\$	4,182	5.8%	\$	20,667	\$	1,139	5.8%
1995-96	\$ 71,581	\$ 3,021	4.4%	\$	59,754	\$	2,548	4.5%	\$	77,454	\$	3,250	4.4%	\$	28,354	\$	1,324	4.9%	\$,	\$	3,021	4.4%	\$	19,528	\$	823	4.4%
1994-95	\$ 68,560	\$ 3,646	5.6%	\$	57,206	\$	3,040	5.6%	\$	74,204	\$	3,948	5.6%	\$	27,030	\$	1,426	5.6%	\$	68,560		-	-	\$	18,705		-	-
1993-94	\$ 64,914	\$ 2,706	4.3%	\$	54,166	\$	2,290	4.4%	\$	70,256	\$	2,907	4.3%	\$	25,604	\$	1,218	5.0%		-		notes					otes	
1992-93	\$ 62,208	\$ 144	0.2%	\$	51,876	\$	137	0.3%	\$	67,349	\$	143	0.2%	\$	24,386	\$	137	0.6%	\$	62,064	\$	-	0.0%	\$	16,936	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 62,064	\$ 3,064	5.2%	\$	51,739	\$	2,594	5.3%	\$	67,206	\$	3,291	5.1%	\$	24,249	\$	1,383	6.0%	\$	62,064	\$	3,064	5.2%	\$	16,936	\$	833	5.2%
1990-91	\$ 59,000	\$ 2,624	4.7%	\$	49,145	\$	2,221	4.7%	\$	63,915	\$	2,817	4.6%	\$	22,866	\$	1,186	5.5%	\$	59,000	\$	2,624	4.7%	\$	16,103	\$	714	4.6%
1989-90	\$ 56,376	\$ 2,325	4.3%	\$	46,924	\$	1,907	4.2%	\$	61,098	\$	7,047	13.0%	\$	21,680	\$	759	3.6%	\$	56,376	\$	2,325	4.3%	\$	15,389	\$	637	4.3%
1988-89	\$ 54,051	\$ 7,401	15.9%	\$	45,017		6,942	18.2%	\$	- /	\$	3,111	6.1%	\$	20,921	\$	1,495	7.7%	\$	- /	\$	3,111	6.1%	\$	14,752	\$	845	6.1%
1987-88	\$ 46,650	\$ 2,419	5.5%	\$	38,075	\$	2,003	5.6%	\$	50,940	\$	2,623	5.4%	\$	19,426	\$	1,116	6.1%	\$	50,940	\$	2,623	5.4%	\$	13,907	\$	714	5.4%
1986-87	\$ 44,231	\$ 3,076	7.5%	\$	36,072	\$	2,533	7.6%	\$	48,317	\$	3,343	7.4%	\$	18,310	\$	1,371	8.1%	\$	48,317	\$	3,343	7.4%	\$	13,193	\$	911	7.4%
1985-86	\$ 41,155	-	-	\$	33,539		-	-	\$	44,974		-	-	\$	16,939		-	-	\$	44,974		-	-	\$	12,282		-	-

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145. Florida Statutes

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members: therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes St. Johns County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2014-15

		St.	Jonns C	ou	nty: Fis	cal Year	'S 1	985-86 t	0 2	2014-15																
Clerk of Circuit Court, Property																										
Fiscal	Appraise	er & Tax Col	lector		Supervi			ions			Sh	neriff			County	Co	mmissio	ners	School	Sup	erintend	dent	School I			bers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 128,558	\$ 889	0.7%	\$	109,622	\$	812	0.7%	\$	137,497	\$	913	0.7%	\$	70,338	\$	1,039	1.5%	\$ 128,558	\$	889	0.7%	\$ 35,501	\$	262	0.7%
2013-14	\$ 127,669	\$ 4,925	4.0%	\$	108,810	\$	4,232	4.0%	\$	136,584	\$	5,245	4.0%	\$	69,299	\$	3,078	4.6%	\$ 127,669	\$	4,925	4.0%	\$ 35,238	\$	1,374	4.1%
2012-13	\$ 122,744	\$ 302	0.2%	\$	104,578	\$	288	0.3%	\$	131,340	\$	302	0.2%	\$	66,221	\$	518	0.8%	\$ 122,744	\$	302	0.2%	\$ 33,865	\$	96	0.3%
2011-12	\$ 122,442	\$ 695	0.6%	\$	104,290	\$	662	0.6%	\$	131,037	\$	695	0.5%	\$	65,703	\$	1,191	1.8%	\$ 122,442	\$	695	0.6%	\$ 	\$	221	0.7%
2010-11	\$ 121,747	\$ 257	0.2%	\$	103,628	\$	245	0.2%	\$	130,342	\$	257	0.2%	\$	64,512	\$	441	0.7%	\$ 121,747	\$	3,450	2.9%	\$ 33,548		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 121,490	\$ 779	0.6%	\$	103,384	\$	742	0.7%	\$	130,085	\$	779	0.6%	\$	64,071	\$	1,335	2.1%	\$ 118,296		See no	otes	\$ 33,467		See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 120,711	\$ 1,060	0.9%	\$	102,642	\$	996	1.0%	\$	129,306	\$	1,070	0.8%	\$	62,736	\$	1,659	2.7%	\$ 120,711	\$	1,060	0.9%	\$ 33,220	\$	331	1.0%
2007-08	\$ 119,650	\$ 859	0.7%	\$	101,645	\$	818	0.8%	\$	128,236	\$	859	0.7%	\$	61,077	\$	1,474	2.5%	\$ 119,650	\$	859	0.7%	\$ 32,889		-	-
2006-07	\$ 118,791	\$ 4,796	4.2%	\$	100,827	\$	4,156	4.3%	•	,	\$	5,084	4.2%	\$	59,603	\$	3,406	6.1%	\$ 118,791	\$	4,797	4.2%	N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 113,995	\$ 5,478	5.0%	\$	96,671	\$	4,744	5.2%	\$	122,294	\$	5,808	5.0%	\$	56,197	\$	3,854	7.4%	\$ 113,995	\$	5,478	5.0%	N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 108,517	\$ 2,067	1.9%	\$	91,927	\$	1,812	2.0%	\$	116,486	\$	2,176	1.9%	\$	52,343	\$	1,711	3.4%	\$ 108,517		-	-	N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 106,450	\$ 2,602	2.5%	\$	90,115	\$	2,257	2.6%	•	,	\$	2,756	2.5%	\$	50,632	\$	1,876	3.8%	-	See I	notes		N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 103,848	,	3.1%	\$	87,858	\$	2,674	3.1%	•	,	\$	3,288	3.0%	\$	48,756	\$	2,093	4.5%	\$ 103,848	\$	3,096	3.1%	-		notes	
2001-02	\$ 100,752		3.8%	\$	85,184	\$	3,231	3.9%		,	\$	3,929	3.8%	\$	46,663	\$	2,770	6.3%	\$, .	\$	3,715	3.8%	\$ 27,526	\$	1,052	4.0%
2000-01	\$ 97,037	\$ 3,013	3.2%	\$	81,953	\$	2,583	3.3%	\$	104,337	\$	3,213	3.2%	\$	43,893	\$	1,816	4.3%	\$ 97,037	\$	3,013	3.2%	\$ 26,474	\$	838	3.3%
1999-00	\$ 94,024	\$ 3,648	4.0%	\$	79,370	\$	3,116	4.1%	\$	101,124	\$	3,898	4.0%	\$	42,077	\$	2,059	5.1%	\$ 94,024	\$	3,648	4.0%	\$ 25,636	\$	1,010	4.1%
1998-99	\$ 90,376	\$ 4,755	5.6%	\$	76,254	\$	4,050	5.6%	\$	97,226	\$	5,090	5.5%	\$	40,018	\$	2,544	6.8%	\$ 90,376	\$	4,755	5.6%	\$ 24,626	\$	1,311	5.6%
1997-98	\$ 85,621	\$ 4,519	5.6%	\$	72,204	\$	3,862	5.7%	\$	92,136	\$	4,826	5.5%	\$	37,474	\$	2,323	6.6%	\$ 85,621	\$	4,519	5.6%	\$ 23,315	\$	1,234	5.6%
1996-97	\$ 81,102		6.5%	\$	68,342	\$	4,262	6.7%	\$	87,310	\$	5,314	6.5%	\$	35,151	\$	2,471	7.6%	\$.,.	\$	4,979	6.5%	\$ 22,081	\$	1,351	6.5%
1995-96	\$ 76,123	\$ 3,572	4.9%	\$	64,080	\$	3,073	5.0%	\$	81,996	\$	3,801	4.9%	\$	32,680	\$	1,849	6.0%	\$ 76,123	\$	3,572	4.9%	\$ 20,730	\$	968	4.9%
1994-95	\$ 72,551	\$ 4,325	6.3%	\$	61,007	\$	3,687	6.4%	\$	78,195	\$	4,627	6.3%	\$	30,831	\$	2,073	7.2%	\$ 72,551		-	-	\$ 19,762		-	-
1993-94	\$ 68,226	\$ 2,915	4.5%	\$	57,320	\$	2,489	4.5%	\$	73,568	\$	3,116	4.4%	\$	28,758	\$	1,417	5.2%	S	See I	notes		S	iee r	notes	
1992-93	\$ 65,311	\$ 353	0.5%	\$	54,831	\$	336	0.6%	\$	70,452	\$	353	0.5%	\$	27,341	\$	336	1.2%	\$ 64,958	\$	-	0.0%	\$ 17,702	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 64,958	\$ 2,779	4.5%	\$	54,495	\$	2,322	4.5%	\$	70,099	\$	3,004	4.5%	\$	27,005	\$	1,111	4.3%	\$ 64,958	\$	2,779	4.5%	\$ 17,702	\$	758	4.5%
1990-91	\$ 62,179	\$ 3,032	5.1%	\$	52,173	\$	2,610	5.3%	\$	67,095	\$	3,226	5.1%	\$	25,894	\$	1,575	6.5%	\$ 62,179	\$	3,032	5.1%	\$ 16,944	\$	821	5.1%
1989-90	\$ 59,147	\$ 3,385	6.1%	\$	49,563	\$	2,916	6.3%	\$	63,869	\$	8,107	14.5%	\$	24,319	\$	1,768	7.8%	\$ 59,147	\$	3,385	6.1%	\$ 16,123	\$	918	6.0%
1988-89	\$ 55,762	\$ 7,231	14.9%	\$	46,647	\$	6,781	17.0%	\$	55,762	\$	2,941	5.6%	\$	22,551	\$	1,333	6.3%	\$ 55,762	\$	2,941	5.6%	\$ 15,205	\$	800	5.6%
1987-88	\$ 48,531	\$ 2,829	6.2%	\$	39,866	\$	2,393	6.4%	\$	52,821	\$	3,033	6.1%	\$	21,218	\$	1,507	7.6%	\$ 52,821	\$	3,033	6.1%	\$ 14,405	\$	823	6.1%
1986-87	\$ 45,702	\$ 3,527	8.4%	\$	37,473	\$	2,962	8.6%	\$	49,788	\$	3,794	8.2%	\$	19,711	\$	1,800	10.0%	\$ 49,788	\$	3,794	8.2%	\$ 13,582	\$	1,030	8.2%
1985-86	\$ 42,175	-	-	\$	34,511		-	-	\$	45,994		-	-	\$	17,911		-	-	\$ 45,994		-	-	\$ 12,552		-	-
		•									•					•							 			

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes St. Lucie County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2014-15

		Lucie Co	oui	nty: Fise	cal Year	s 1	985-86 to	0 2	014-15																			
	Clerk of Circuit Court, Property																											
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	llector		Supervi	sor	of Elect	ions			Sh	neriff			County	Col	mmissio	ners		School	Sup	erinten	dent		School	Boa	rd Meml	bers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	~	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 133,895	\$ 416	0.3%	\$	114,705	\$	361	0.3%	\$	142,835	\$	440	0.3%	\$	75,422	\$	255	0.3%	\$	133,895	\$	416	0.3%	\$	36,912	\$	114	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 133,480	\$ 4,830	3.8%	\$	114,344	\$	4,142	3.8%	\$	142,395	\$	5,150	3.8%	\$	75,167	\$	2,736	3.8%	\$	133,480	\$	4,830	3.8%	\$	36,798	\$	1,331	3.8%
2012-13	\$ 128,650	\$ 123	0.1%	\$	110,203	\$	117	0.1%	\$	137,245	\$	123	0.1%	\$	72,431	\$	117	0.2%	\$	128,650	\$	123	0.1%	\$	35,467	\$	33	0.1%
2011-12	\$ 128,527	\$ 318	0.2%	\$	110,086	\$	302	0.3%	\$	137,122	\$	318	0.2%	\$	72,314	\$	302	0.4%	\$	128,527	\$	318	0.2%	\$	35,435	\$	84	0.2%
2010-11	\$ 128,209	\$ (240)	-0.2%	\$	109,783	\$	(228)	-0.2%	\$	136,805	\$	(240)	-0.2%	\$	72,011	\$	(228)	-0.3%	\$	128,209	\$	2,622	2.1%	\$	35,351	I	See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 128,449	\$ 299	0.2%	\$	110,012	\$	285	0.3%	\$	137,045	\$	299	0.2%	\$	72,240	\$	284	0.4%	\$	125,587		See no	otes	\$	35,414		See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 128,150	\$ 955	0.8%	\$	109,727	\$	896	0.8%	\$	136,746	\$	965	0.7%	\$	71,955	\$	855	1.2%	\$	128,150	\$	955	0.8%	\$	35,335	\$	254	0.7%
2007-08	\$ 127,195	\$ 1,240	1.0%	\$	108,831	\$	1,181	1.1%	\$	135,781	\$	1,240	0.9%	\$	71,101	\$	1,181	1.7%	\$	127,195	\$	1,240	1.0%	\$	35,081		-	-
2006-07	\$ 125,955	. ,	4.2%	\$	107,650	\$	4,420	4.3%	\$	134,541	\$	5,359	4.1%	\$	69,919	\$	3,157	4.7%	\$	125,955	\$	5,073	4.2%		N/A	i	-	-
2005-06	\$ 120,882	\$ 5,662	4.9%	\$	103,230	\$	4,920	5.0%	\$	129,182	\$	5,993	4.9%	\$	66,762	\$	3,470	5.5%	\$	120,882	\$	5,663	4.9%		N/A	i	-	-
2004-05	\$ 115,220	\$ 2,083	1.8%	\$	98,310	\$	1,827	1.9%	\$	123,189	\$	2,192	1.8%	\$	63,292	\$	1,347	2.2%	\$	115,220		-	-		N/A	i	-	-
2003-04	\$ 113,137	. ,	2.3%	\$	96,483	\$	2,236	2.4%	\$	120,997	\$	2,734	2.3%	\$	61,945	\$	1,688	2.8%			See I	notes			N/A	i	-	-
2002-03	\$ 110,557	,	3.0%	\$	94,247	\$	2,841	3.1%	•	-,	\$	3,463	3.0%	\$	60,257	\$	2,393	4.1%	\$	110,557	\$	3,271	3.0%	L	-		notes	
2001-02	÷,=	\$ 3,591	3.5%	\$	91,406	\$	3,112	3.5%		114,800	\$	3,806	3.4%	\$	57,864	\$	2,558	4.6%	\$		\$	3,591	3.5%	\$	29,599	\$	1,012	3.5%
2000-01	\$ 103,695	. ,	3.1%	\$	88,294	\$	2,726	3.2%	\$	110,994	\$	3,362	3.1%	\$	55,306	\$	2,073	3.9%	\$	103,695	\$	3,163	3.1%	\$	28,587	\$	886	3.2%
1999-00	\$ 100,532	\$ 3,891	4.0%	\$	85,568	\$	3,347	4.1%	\$	107,632	\$	4,141	4.0%	\$	53,233	\$	2,474	4.9%	\$	100,532	\$	3,891	4.0%	\$	27,701	\$	1,087	4.1%
1998-99	\$ 96,641	\$ 5,015	5.5%	\$	82,221	\$	4,298	5.5%	\$	103,491	\$	5,350	5.5%	\$	50,759	\$	2,991	6.3%	\$	96,641	\$	5,015	5.5%	\$	26,614	\$	1,393	5.5%
1997-98	\$ 91,626	\$ 4,664	5.4%	\$	77,923	\$	4,000	5.4%	\$	98,141	\$	4,972	5.3%	\$	47,768	\$	2,829	6.3%	\$	91,626	\$	4,664	5.4%	\$	25,221	\$	1,298	5.4%
1996-97	\$ 86,962	. ,	6.1%	\$	73,923	\$	4,293	6.2%	\$	93,169	\$	5,346	6.1%	\$	44,939	\$	2,973	7.1%	\$	86,962	\$	5,012	6.1%	\$	23,923	\$	1,392	6.2%
1995-96	\$ 81,950	\$ 3,450	4.4%	\$	69,630	\$	2,958	4.4%	\$	87,823	\$	3,679	4.4%	\$	41,966	\$	2,073	5.2%	\$	81,950	\$	3,450	4.4%	\$	22,531	\$	960	4.5%
1994-95	\$ 78,500	\$ 4,482	6.1%	\$	66,672	\$	3,836	6.1%	\$	84,144	\$	4,784	6.0%	\$	39,893	\$	2,620	7.0%	\$	78,500		-	-	\$	21,571	i	-	-
1993-94	\$ 74,018	\$ 3,024	4.3%	\$	62,836	\$	2,592	4.3%	\$	79,360	\$	3,224	4.2%	\$	37,273	\$	1,820	5.1%		S	See I	notes			S	iee r	notes	
1992-93	\$ 70,994	\$ 317	0.4%	\$	60,244	\$	303	0.5%	\$	76,136	\$	318	0.4%	\$	35,453	\$	545	1.6%	\$	70,677	\$	-	0.0%	\$	19,386	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 70,677	\$ 3,541	5.3%	\$	59,941	\$	3,047	5.4%	\$	75,818	\$	3,767	5.2%	\$	34,908	\$	2,270	7.0%	\$	70,677	\$	3,541	5.3%	\$	19,386	\$	990	5.4%
1990-91	\$ 67,136	\$ 3,112	4.9%	\$	56,894	\$	2,687	5.0%	\$	72,051	\$	3,306	4.8%	\$	32,638	\$	2,087	6.8%	\$	67,136	\$	3,112	4.9%	\$	18,396	\$	873	5.0%
1989-90	\$ 64,024	\$ 3,301	5.4%	\$	54,207	\$	2,835	5.5%	\$	68,745	\$	8,022	13.2%	\$	30,551	\$	2,057	7.2%	\$	64,024	\$	3,301	5.4%	\$	17,523	\$	920	5.5%
1988-89	\$ 60,723	\$ 7,567	14.2%	\$	51,372	\$	7,101	16.0%	\$	60,723	\$	3,276	5.7%	\$	28,494	\$	1,985	7.5%	\$	60,723	\$	3,276	5.7%	\$	16,603	\$	912	5.8%
1987-88	\$ 53,156	\$ 2,803	5.6%	\$	44,271	\$	2,368	5.7%	\$	57,447	\$	3,008	5.5%	\$	26,509	\$	1,736	7.0%	\$	57,447	\$	3,008	5.5%	\$	15,691	\$	833	5.6%
1986-87	\$ 50,353	\$ 3,537	7.6%	\$	41,903	\$	2,972	7.6%	\$	54,439	\$	3,804	7.5%	\$	24,773	\$	2,036	9.0%	\$	54,439	\$	3,804	7.5%	\$	14,858	\$	1,049	7.6%
1985-86	\$ 46,816	-	-	\$	38,931		-	-	\$	50,635		-	-	\$	22,737		-	-	\$	50,635		-	-	\$	13,809	1	-	-
				· · · ·					·										·					•				-

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials F Santa Rosa County: Fiscal Years 19														an		o oului	y ı	onnula		onapi	GI 14J,	, FI	unua S	เลเเ	1103	
	ta Rosa (Οοι	unty: Fi	scal Yea	ars '	1985-86	to	2014-15	5																		
	Clerk of Cire																										
Fiscal											eriff			County	Соі	mmissio	ners		School	Sup	erintend	lent		School I	Boar	d Meml	pers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	97	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	••	Salary	97	6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 123,685	\$ 550	0.4%	\$	104,981	\$ 48	0.5%	\$	132,624	\$	574	0.4%	\$	62,064	\$	536	0.9%	\$	123,685	\$	550	0.4%	\$	33,960	\$	160	0.5%
2013-14	\$ 123,135	\$ 4,469	3.8%	\$	104,492	\$ 3,79	3.8%	\$	132,050	\$	4,789	3.8%	\$	61,527	\$	2,297	3.9%	\$	123,135	\$	4,469	3.8%	\$	33,800	\$	1,229	3.8%
2012-13	\$ 118,666		0.3%	\$	100,695	\$ 36	0.4%	\$	127,262	\$	379	0.3%	\$	59,231	\$	650	1.1%	\$	118,666	\$	379	0.3%	\$	32,571	\$	120	0.4%
2011-12	\$ 118,287	\$ 738	0.6%	\$	100,333	\$ 70	0.7%	\$	126,883	\$	738	0.6%	\$	58,581	\$	1,264	2.2%	\$	118,287	\$	738	0.6%	\$	32,450	\$	234	0.7%
2010-11	\$ 117,549		0.0%	\$	99,631	\$ 3		\$	126,145	\$	40	0.0%	\$	- /	\$	69	0.1%	\$	117,549	\$	2,601	2.3%	\$	32,216		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 117,509	\$ 215	0.2%	\$	99,593	\$ 20	0.2%	\$	126,105	\$	215	0.2%	\$	57,248	\$	367	0.6%	\$	114,949		See no	otes	\$	32,204		See no	otes
	\$ 117,295		0.2%	\$	99,388	\$ 18	2 0.2%	•	125,890	•	215	0.2%	\$	56,881	\$	194	0.3%	\$	117,295	\$	206	0.2%	\$	32,136	\$	60	0.2%
2007-08	\$ 117,089	\$ 534	0.5%	\$	99,206	\$ 50	0.5%	\$	125,675	\$	534	0.4%	\$	56,686	\$	917	1.6%	\$	117,089	\$	534	0.5%	\$	32,076		-	-
2006-07	\$ 116,555	\$ 4,180	3.7%	\$	98,698	\$ 3,57	3.8%	\$	125,142	\$	4,468	3.7%	\$	55,770	\$	2,349	4.4%	\$	116,555	\$	4,180	3.7%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 112,375	\$ 4,950	4.6%	\$	95,128	\$ 4,24	4.7%	\$	120,674	\$	5,280	4.6%	\$	53,421	\$	2,950	5.8%	\$	112,375	\$	4,950	4.6%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 107,425	\$ 1,859	1.8%	\$	90,887	\$ 1,61	1.8%	\$	115,394	\$	1,968	1.7%	\$	50,471	\$	1,354	2.8%	\$	107,425		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 105,566	\$ 2,415	2.3%	\$	89,273	\$ 2,07	2.4%	\$	113,426	\$	2,569	2.3%	\$	49,117	\$	1,555	3.3%		S	iee r	notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 103,151	\$ 2,906	2.9%	\$	87,194	\$ 2,49		\$	110,857	\$	3,097	2.9%	\$	47,562	\$	1,767	3.9%	\$	103,151	\$	2,906	2.9%				otes	
2001-02	\$ 100,246	. ,	3.4%	\$	84,701	\$ 2,86		\$	107,760	\$	3,543	3.4%	\$	45,795	\$	2,107	4.8%	\$	100,246	\$	3,329	3.4%	\$	27,365	\$	929	3.5%
2000-01	\$ 96,917	\$ 3,078	3.3%	\$	81,839	\$ 2,64	3.3%	\$	104,217	\$	3,278	3.2%	\$	43,688	\$	1,927	4.6%	\$	96,917	\$	3,078	3.3%	\$	26,436	\$	859	3.4%
1999-00	\$ 93,839	. ,	4.2%	\$	79,194	\$ 3,23		\$	/	\$	4,023	4.2%	\$	41,761	\$	2,275	5.8%	\$	93,839	\$	3,773	4.2%	\$	25,577	\$	1,049	4.3%
1998-99	\$ 90,066	, ,	5.7%	\$		\$ 4,16		\$	96,916	\$	5,215	5.7%	\$	39,486	\$	2,534	6.9%	\$	90,066	\$	4,881	5.7%	\$,	\$	1,336	5.8%
1997-98	\$ 85,185	. ,	5.5%	\$,	\$ 3,81		\$	91,701	\$	4,781	5.5%	\$	36,952	\$	2,172	6.2%	\$	85,185	\$	4,473	5.5%	\$	23,192		1,214	5.5%
1996-97	\$ 80,712	, ,	6.3%	\$	67,970	\$ 4,04	6.3%	\$	86,920	\$	5,091	6.2%	\$	34,780	\$	2,259	6.9%	\$	80,712	\$	4,756	6.3%	\$	21,978	\$	1,292	6.2%
1995-96	\$ 75,956	. ,	4.9%	\$	63,921	\$ 3,06	5.0%	\$	81,829	\$	3,793	4.9%	\$	32,521	\$	1,841	6.0%	\$	75,956	\$	3,563	4.9%	\$	20,686	\$	966	4.9%
1994-95	\$ 72,393	\$ 4,235	6.2%	\$	60,856	\$ 3,60	6.3%	\$	78,036	\$	4,536	6.2%	\$	30,680	\$	1,987	6.9%	\$	72,393		-	-	\$	19,720		-	-
1993-94	\$ 68,158	. ,	4.9%	\$	57,255	\$ 2,74	5.0%	\$	73,500	\$	3,385	4.8%	\$	28,693	\$	1,673	6.2%				notes					otes	
1992-93	\$ 64,974	\$ 359	0.6%	\$	54,510	\$ 34	0.6%	\$	70,115	\$	358	0.5%	\$	27,020	\$	342	1.3%	\$	64,615	\$	-	0.0%	\$	17,612	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 64,615	\$ 4,649	7.8%	\$	54,169	\$ 4,10	8.2%	\$	69,757	\$	4,876	7.5%	\$	26,678	\$	2,892	12.2%	\$	64,615	\$	4,649	7.8%	\$	17,612	\$	1,254	7.7%
1990-91	\$ 59,966	\$ 2,687	4.7%	\$	50,065	\$ 2,28	4.8%	\$	64,881	\$	2,880	4.6%	\$	23,786	\$	1,246	5.5%	\$	59,966	\$	2,687	4.7%	\$	16,358	\$	730	4.7%
1989-90	\$ 57,279	\$ 2,722	5.0%	\$	47,784	\$ 2,28		\$	62,001	\$	7,444	13.6%	\$	22,540	\$	1,137	5.3%	\$	57,279	\$	2,722	5.0%	\$	15,628	\$	742	5.0%
1988-89	\$ 54,557	. ,	14.9%	\$		\$ 6,63		\$	54,557	\$	2,787	5.4%	\$	21,403	\$	1,187	5.9%	\$.,	\$	2,787	5.4%	\$	14,886	•	759	5.4%
1987-88	\$ 47,479	\$ 2,445	5.4%	\$	38,865	\$ 2,02	5.5%	\$	51,770	\$	2,649	5.4%	\$	20,216	\$	1,140	6.0%	\$	51,770	\$	2,649	5.4%	\$	14,127	\$	721	5.4%
1986-87	\$ 45,034	\$ 3,122	7.4%	\$	36,838	\$ 2,57	7.5%	\$	49,121	\$	3,390	7.4%	\$	19,076	\$	1,416	8.0%	\$	49,121	\$	3,390	7.4%	\$	13,406	\$	924	7.4%
1985-86	\$ 41,912	-	-	\$	34,260	-	-	\$	45,731		-	-	\$	17,660		-	-	\$	45,731		-	-	\$	12,482		-	-

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	Salaries of	of Electe	d Coun	ity	Constit	utional	Officers	s a	nd Scho	ol	Distric	t Offic	ials	s Pursu	an	t to the	e Salar	уF	Formula	in	Chapt	er 145,	, FI	orida S	tatı	utes	
								Sa	rasota Co	our	nty: Fise	cal Year	s 1	985-86 to	o 2	014-15											
	Clerk of Circ	cuit Court, I	Property																								
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervis	sor of Ele	ctions			Sh	neriff			County	Co	mmissio	ners		School	Sup	erintend	lent		School			bers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	:	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	•,	\$ 490	0.3%	\$	121,355	\$ 43		\$	149,817	\$	514	0.3%	\$	82,072	\$	326	0.4%	\$	- /	\$	490	0.3%	\$	38,759	\$	134	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 140,387	\$ 5,186	3.8%	\$	120,923	\$ 4,48	1 3.8%	\$	149,303	\$	5,506	3.8%	\$	81,746	\$	3,076	3.9%	\$	140,387	\$	5,186	3.8%	\$	38,625	\$	1,425	3.8%
2012-13	\$ 135,201	\$ 121	0.1%	\$	116,442	\$ 11		\$	143,797	\$	121	0.1%	\$	78,670	\$	115	0.1%	\$,	\$	121	0.1%	\$	37,200	\$	32	0.1%
2011-12	\$ 135,080	1 1 1			116,327	\$ (60			143,676		(636)	-0.4%	\$	- /	\$	(606)	-0.8%	\$		\$	(636)	-0.5%	\$	37,168	\$	(168)	-0.5%
2010-11	\$ 135,717				,	\$ (26	,		144,313		(276)	-0.2%	\$	-, -	\$	(263)	-0.3%	\$,	\$	2,833	2.1%	\$	37,336	<u> </u>	See no	
2009-10	\$ 135,993	\$ 397	0.3%		117,197	\$ 37			144,589		397	0.3%	\$	79,425	\$	378	0.5%	\$	- /		See no		\$	37,409	<u> </u>	See no	
2008-09	\$ 135,596		0.5%		116,818	\$ 62		\$	144,192		678	0.5%	\$	79,047	\$	582	0.7%	\$		\$	669	0.5%	\$	37,304	\$	179	0.5%
2007-08	\$ 134,927		0.6%	·	116,195	\$ 70		\$	- 1	•	740	0.5%	\$	-,	\$	706	0.9%	\$	- /-	\$	740	0.6%	\$	37,126	<u> </u>	-	-
2006-07	\$ 134,187	\$ 5,083	3.9%		115,490	\$ 4,42			142,773		5,370	3.9%	\$	77,759	\$	3,167	4.2%	\$	- , -	\$	5,083	3.9%		N/A	<u> </u>	-	-
2005-06	\$ 129,104	\$ 5,704	4.6%	·	111,061	\$ 4,96		\$	137,403		6,034	4.6%	\$	74,592	\$	3,510	4.9%	\$	- / -	\$	5,704	4.6%		N/A	<u> </u>	-	-
2004-05	\$ 123,400	\$ 2,227	1.8%	·	106,101	\$ 1,96		\$	- /		2,336	1.8%	\$	71,082	\$	1,484	2.1%	\$,		-	-		N/A	<u> </u>	-	-
2003-04	\$ 121,173	\$ 2,702	2.3%		104,137	\$ 2,35		\$	- /		2,856	2.3%	\$	69,598	\$	1,676	2.5%			iee i	notes			N/A	<u> </u>	-	-
2002-03	÷,	\$ 3,401	3.0%	\$	101,784	\$ 2,96		\$	126,177	\$	3,592	2.9%	\$	67,923	\$	2,122	3.2%	•	118,471	\$	3,401	3.0%			-	otes	
2001-02	\$ 115,070	\$ 3,554	3.2%	\$	98,820	\$ 3,07		\$	1	\$	3,769	3.2%	\$	65,801	\$	2,135	3.4%	\$,	\$	3,554	3.2%	\$,		976	3.2%
2000-01	\$ 111,516	\$ 3,317	3.1%	\$	95,743	\$ 2,87		•	118,816	\$	3,517	3.1%	\$	63,666	\$	1,996	3.2%	\$	1	\$	3,317	3.1%	\$, .	\$	911	3.1%
1999-00	\$ 108,199	\$ 4,066	3.9%	\$	92,870	\$ 3,51			115,299	\$	4,316	3.9%	\$	61,670	\$	2,415	4.1%	\$		\$	4,066	3.9%	\$	29,807	\$	1,117	3.9%
1998-99	•	\$ 5,336	5.4%	\$	89,356	\$ 4,60		\$	- /	\$	5,670	5.4%	\$	59,255	\$	3,134	5.6%	\$. ,	\$	5,336	5.4%	\$,	\$	1,467	5.4%
1997-98	÷ ••••••	\$ 4,871	5.2%	\$	0.,	\$ 4,19		\$			5,179	5.2%	\$	56,121	\$	2,844	5.3%	\$	98,797	\$	4,871	5.2%	\$,	\$	1,340	5.2%
1996-97	\$ 93,926	\$ 5,310	6.0%	\$	80,556	\$ 4,57		\$		\$	5,645	6.0%	\$	53,277	\$	3,106	6.2%	\$	93,926	\$	5,310	6.0%	\$,	\$	1,461	6.0%
1995-96	\$ 88,616	\$ 3,684	4.3%	\$	75,978	\$ 3,18		\$	94,489	\$	3,913	4.3%	\$	50,171	\$	2,174	4.5%	\$	88,616	\$	3,684	4.3%	\$	24,422	\$	1,012	4.3%
1994-95	\$ 84,932	\$ 4,678	5.8%	\$	72,798	\$ 4,02		\$	90,576	\$	4,980	5.8%	\$	47,997	\$	2,696	6.0%	\$	84,932		-	-	\$	23,410	L	-	-
1993-94	\$ 80,254	\$ 3,169	4.1%	\$	68,775	\$ 2,73		\$	85,596	\$	3,370	4.1%	\$	45,301	\$	1,850	4.3%				notes					otes	
1992-93	\$ 77,085	\$ 207	0.3%	\$	66,044	\$ 19		\$	82,226	\$	206	0.3%	\$	43,451	\$	197	0.5%	\$	76,878	\$	-	0.0%	\$	21,195	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 76,878	\$ 3,896	5.3%	\$	65,848	\$ 3,38		\$	- /	\$	4,123	5.3%	\$	43,254	\$	2,391	5.9%	\$	76,878	\$	3,896	5.3%	\$,	\$	1,068	5.3%
1990-91	\$ 72,982	\$ 3,098	4.4%	\$	62,462	\$ 2,67		\$	77,897	\$	3,291	4.4%	\$	40,863	\$	1,821	4.7%	\$	72,982	\$	3,098	4.4%	\$,	\$	852	4.4%
1989-90	\$ 69,884	\$ 3,394	5.1%	\$	59,789	\$ 2,92		\$,	\$	8,116	12.2%	\$	39,042	\$	1,982	5.3%	\$	69,884	\$	3,394	5.1%	\$	19,275	\$	934	5.1%
1988-89	÷	\$ 7,697	13.1%	\$	56,864	\$ 7,22		\$		\$	3,407	5.4%	\$	37,060	\$	1,983	5.7%	\$	66,490	\$	3,407	5.4%	\$,	\$	937	5.4%
1987-88	÷ •••,•••	\$ 2,996	5.4%	\$	49,639	\$ 2,55		\$,		3,200	5.3%	\$	35,077	\$	1,860	5.6%	\$	63,083	\$	3,200	5.3%	\$,	\$	881	5.3%
1986-87	÷ •••,•••	\$ 3,847	7.4%	\$	47,088	\$ 3,26	3 7.5%	\$	59,883	\$	4,114	7.4%	\$	33,217	\$	2,360	7.6%	\$	59,883	\$	4,114	7.4%	\$	16,523	\$	1,133	7.4%
1985-86	\$ 51,950	-	-	\$	43,820	-	-	\$	55,769	L	-	-	\$	30,857		-	-	\$	55,769		-	-	\$	15,390	L	-	-

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-2034, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	Salaries of	of Electe	d Coun	ıty	Constit	utional	Officers	and So	cho	ol Distrie	ct Offic	ials	s Pursu	an	t to the	e Salar	уF	Formula	in Cł	apt	er 145,	, Fl⁄	orida S	tatu	ıtes	
							:	Seminol	e Co	unty: Fis	cal Yea	rs 1	985-86 to	o 2(014-15											
	Clerk of Circ	cuit Court, I	Property																							
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervi	sor of Elec	tions			Sheriff			County	Con	nmissio	ners		School	Superii	tend	lent		School	Boar	d Mem	bers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	/	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Ch	g.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 142,558		0.3%	\$	122,956	\$ 396	0.3%	\$ 151,4		\$ 476	0.3%	\$	83,672	\$	290	0.3%	\$	142,558	\$	152	0.3%	\$	39,203	\$	124	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 142,106	\$ 5,172	3.8%	\$	122,560	\$ 4,468	3.8%	\$ 151,0	22	\$ 5,492	3.8%	\$	83,383	\$	3,063	3.8%	\$	142,106	\$ 5,	72	3.8%	\$,	\$	1,422	3.8%
2012-13	\$ 136,934		0.0%		118,092	\$ 38	0.0%	\$ 145,5	29		0.0%	\$		\$	38	0.0%	\$	/	\$	40	0.0%	\$	37,658	\$	11	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 136,894	,	0.0%	\$	118,054		0.0%	\$ 145,4	89	,	0.0%	\$	80,282	\$	(21)	0.0%	\$	136,894		(22)	0.0%	\$,	\$	(6)	0.0%
2010-11	\$ 136,916	,	0.0%	•	118,075		0.0%	\$ 145,5		· (-)	0.0%	\$		\$	(54)		\$		· /	598	2.0%	\$	37,653	L	See no	
2009-10	\$ 136,973		0.0%		- ,		0.0%	\$ 145,5			0.0%	\$,	\$	15	0.0%	\$		-	e no		\$	37,668	L	See no	
2008-09	\$ 136,957	• • •	0.2%	•	118,114	• • •	0.2%	\$ 145,5	-	•	0.2%	\$		\$	191	0.2%	\$			258	0.2%	\$,	\$	70	0.2%
2007-08	\$ 136,698		0.1%		117,882		0.2%	\$ 145,2			0.1%	\$		\$	182	0.2%		,		90	0.1%	\$	37,594	<u> </u>	-	-
2006-07	\$ 136,508	. ,	3.6%	_	117,700		3.6%	\$ 145,0			3.6%	\$		\$	2,840	3.7%	•		· /	739	3.6%	L	N/A	<u> </u>	-	-
2005-06	\$ 131,769	. ,	4.4%		,	\$ 4,872	4.5%	\$ 140,0		\$ 5,941	4.4%	\$	77,130	\$	3,421	4.6%	\$,	\$5,	612	4.4%	L	N/A	<u> </u>	-	-
2004-05	\$ 126,158	, ,	1.7%	\$	108,727	\$ 1,899	1.8%	\$ 134,1		\$ 2,268	1.7%	\$	73,709	\$	1,419	2.0%	\$	-,	-		-	L	N/A	<u> </u>	-	-
2003-04	\$ 123,999	. ,	2.5%	\$	106,828	\$ 2,626	2.5%	\$ 131,8		\$ 3,143	2.4%	\$	72,290	\$	1,949	2.8%		-	ee note	-			N/A	L	-	-
2002-03	\$ 121,010	, ., .	3.2%	·	- / -	\$ 3,276	3.2%	\$ 128,7	-	\$ 3,920	3.1%	\$	70,341	\$	2,434	3.6%	•	121,010	• • • •	728	3.2%		-		otes	
2001-02	\$ 117,282	. ,	3.5%	\$,	\$ 3,457	3.5%	\$ 124,7		\$ 4,168	3.5%	\$	67,907	\$	2,515	3.8%		117,282	. ,	953	3.5%	\$	32,279		1,081	3.5%
2000-01	\$ 113,329	. ,	3.3%	\$	97,469	\$ 3,121	3.3%	\$ 120,6	-	\$ 3,777	3.2%	\$	65,392	\$	2,244	3.6%	· ·	- ,	· /	578	3.3%	\$	31,198		980	3.2%
1999-00	÷,	\$ 4,259	4.0%	\$	94,348	\$ 3,698	4.1%	\$ 116,8	-	\$ 4,509	4.0%	\$,	\$	2,599	4.3%	\$	/-	. ,	259	4.0%	\$	30,218		1,168	4.0%
1998-99	\$ 105,492	. ,	5.6%	\$,	\$ 4,818	5.6%	\$ 112,3		\$ 5,896	5.5%	\$		\$	3,349	5.9%	\$	105,492	· /	562	5.6%	\$			1,528	5.6%
1997-98		\$ 4,951	5.2%	\$		\$ 4,274	5.2%	\$ 106,4		\$ 5,259	5.2%	\$,	\$	2,921	5.4%	\$	99,930	. ,	951	5.2%	\$	1-		1,361	5.2%
1996-97	\$ 94,979	, ,	6.1%	\$	81,558	• • •	6.1%	\$ 101,1	-	\$ 5,793	6.1%	\$	- / -	\$	3,246	6.4%	\$	94,979	• • • •	158	6.1%	\$	- 1 -	•	1,499	6.1%
1995-96	• • • • • •	\$ 3,730	4.3%	\$	76,840	. ,	4.4%	\$ 95,3		\$ 3,960	4.3%	\$,	\$	2,218	4.5%	\$	89,521	\$3,	730	4.3%	\$	24,662	\$	1,025	4.3%
1994-95	• • • • • • •	\$ 4,789	5.9%	\$		\$ 4,128	5.9%	\$ 91,4		\$ 5,090	5.9%	\$,	\$	2,802	6.1%	\$	85,791	-		-	\$	23,637	L	-	-
1993-94	+	\$ 3,342	4.3%	\$	69,487	\$ 2,895	4.3%	\$ 86,3		\$ 3,543	4.3%	\$,	\$	2,014	4.6%		-	ee note	s		L			otes	
1992-93	\$ 77,660	• • •	0.5%	\$	66,592	• • • •	0.6%	\$ 82,8		\$ 405	0.5%	\$	43,999	\$	387	0.9%	\$	77,254	\$	-	0.0%	\$	21,295	•	-	0.0%
1991-92	• • •	\$ 3,641	4.9%	\$	66,206	\$ 3,144	5.0%	\$ 82,3		\$ 3,868	4.9%	\$		\$	2,148	5.2%	\$	77,254	. ,	641	4.9%	\$,		1,001	4.9%
1990-91		\$ 3,394	4.8%	\$	00,001	\$ 2,955	4.9%	\$ 78,5	_	\$ 3,588	4.8%	\$,	\$	2,104	5.3%	\$	73,613	. ,	394	4.8%	\$	==;==:	\$	931	4.8%
1989-90	, .	\$ 3,608	5.4%	\$	60,107	\$ 3,127	5.5%	\$ 74,9	_	\$ 8,329	12.5%	\$		\$	2,184	5.9%	\$	70,219	. ,	608	5.4%	\$	- /	\$	990	5.4%
1988-89	/ .	\$ 7,926	13.5%	\$		\$ 7,443	15.0%	\$ 66,6		\$ 3,635	5.8%	\$	- / -	\$	2,201	6.3%	\$. ,	635	5.8%	\$	18,373		997	5.7%
1987-88	• • • • • • •	\$ 3,136	5.6%	\$	- 1	\$ 2,685	5.7%	\$ 62,9	-	\$ 3,340	5.6%	\$	- /	\$	1,993	6.0%	\$	62,976	. ,	340	5.6%	\$	17,376		918	5.6%
1986-87	\$ 55,549	\$ 4,066	7.9%	\$		\$ 3,476	8.0%	\$ 59,6		\$ 4,334	7.8%	\$,	\$	2,569	8.4%	\$	59,636	\$ 4,	334	7.8%	\$	16,458	\$	1,191	7.8%
1985-86	\$ 51,483	-	-	\$	43,376	-	-	\$ 55,3	02	-	-	\$	30,413		-	-	\$	55,302	-		-	\$	15,267		-	-

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-2034, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Sumter County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2014-15 Clerk of Circuit Court, Property Fiscal Supervisor of Elections Appraiser & Tax Collector Sheriff **County Commissioners** School Superintendent School Board Members % Chg. Salary \$ Chg. Year Salary \$ Chg. % Chg. 2014-15 \$ 117,850 866 0.7% \$ 99,424 \$ 790 0.8% \$ 126,790 890 0.7% \$ 52,061 \$ 1.078 2.1% \$ 117.850 866 0.7% 32,108 \$ 260 0.8% 2013-14 \$ 116,984 5,090 4.5% \$ 98,634 \$ 4,389 4.7% \$ 125,900 5,410 4.5% \$ 50,983 \$ 2,696 5.6% \$ 116,984 5,090 4.5% ¢ 31,848 \$ 1,380 4.5% \$ \$ \$ \$ 111.894 94.245 2012-13 \$ 824 0.7% \$ \$ 785 0.8% \$ 120,490 824 0.7% \$ 48.287 \$ 785 1.7% \$ 111.894 \$ 824 0.7% 30.468 \$ 218 0.7% 2011-12 \$ 111,070 \$ (492)-0.4% \$ 93,461 \$ (468 -0.5% \$ 119,666 (492) -0.4% \$ 47,502 \$ (468) -1.0% \$ 111,070 \$ (492) -0.4% 30,250 \$ (130)-0.4% 2010-11 \$ 111.562 591 0.5% \$ 93.929 563 0.6% \$ 120.158 591 0.5% \$ 47.970 \$ 563 1.2% \$ 111.562 \$ 3.636 3.4% 30.380 \$ \$ See notes \$ \$ 93,366 \$ 802 2009-10 \$ 110,971 \$ 842 0.8% 0.9% \$ 119,567 842 0.7% 47,407 \$ 802 1.7% \$ 107,926 30,223 \$ \$ \$ See notes \$ See notes 2008-09 \$ 110,129 \$ 1,968 1.8% 92,564 \$ 1,861 2.1% \$ 118,724 1,978 1.7% 1,811 4.0% \$ 110,129 \$ 30,000 \$ 522 \$ \$ \$ 46,606 \$ 1,968 1.8% \$ 1.8% 2007-08 \$ 108.160 2.200 2.1% \$ 90,703 \$ 2.096 2.4% \$ 116,747 2.200 1.9% 44,795 \$ 2.096 4.9% \$ 108,160 2.200 2.1% 29,478 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ 2006-07 \$ 105,960 \$ 5,445 5.4% \$ 88,607 \$ 4,774 5.7% \$ 114,546 \$ 5,732 5.3% \$ 42,699 \$ 3.239 8.2% \$ 105,960 \$ 5.445 5.4% N/A --100,515 5.0% \$ 83,833 4,111 \$ 108,814 5,143 \$ 39,460 \$ 2,346 \$ 100,515 5.0% N/A 2005-06 \$ \$ 4,813 \$ 5.2% 5.0% 6.3% \$ 4,813 -\$ -2004-05 \$ 95,702 \$ 1,702 1.8% \$ 79,722 \$ 1,464 1.9% \$ 103,671 \$ 1,811 1.8% \$ 37,114 \$ 880 2.4% \$ 95,702 --N/A --2003-04 \$ 94,000 \$ 2,863 3.1% \$ 78,258 \$ 2,506 3.3% \$ 101,860 3,017 3.1% \$ 36,234 \$ 1,682 4.9% N/A \$ See notes --\$ \$ \$ 2002-03 91.137 \$ 3.075 3.5% 75.752 \$ 2.654 3.6% \$ 98.843 \$ 3.266 3.4% 34.551 \$ 1.629 4.9% \$ 91.137 \$ 3.075 3.5% See notes 2001-02 \$ 88,062 \$ 3,066 3.6% \$ 73,098 \$ 2,613 3.7% \$ 95,576 \$ 3,281 3.6% \$ 32,922 \$ 1,466 4.7% \$ 88,062 \$ 3,066 3.6% 24,052 \$ 833 3.6% s 0.6% 23.219 \$ 3.7% 2000-01 84.996 395 0.5% 70,485 \$ 0.1% 92.295 594 31,456 \$ 1.558 5.2% 84.996 395 0.5% 828 \$ \$ \$ 90 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ 1999-00 \$ 84,601 \$ 3,889 4.8% \$ 70,395 \$ 3,345 5.0% \$ 91,701 4,139 4.7% 29,898 \$ 1,919 6.9% 84,601 \$ 3,889 4.8% 22.391 \$ 1,029 4.8% \$ \$ \$ \$ 1998-99 \$ 80,712 \$ 4,861 6.4% \$ 67,050 \$ 4,150 6.6% \$ 87,562 \$ 5,195 6.3% \$ 27,979 \$ 2,244 8.7% \$ 80,712 \$ 4,861 6.4% \$ 21,362 \$ 1.287 6.4% 1997-98 \$ 75,851 \$ 4,548 6.4% \$ 62,900 \$ 3,890 6.6% \$ 82,367 4,856 6.3% \$ 25,735 \$ 2,133 9.0% \$ 75,851 \$ 4,548 6.4% \$ 20,075 \$ 1,203 6.4% \$ 3,449 71,303 4,125 6.1% 6.2% 4,461 6.1% 1,539 4,125 6.1% 6.1% 1996-97 \$ \$ \$ 59,010 \$ \$ 77,511 \$ 23,602 \$ 7.0% \$ 71,303 \$ 18,872 \$ 1,092 \$ \$ 1995-96 67,178 2,911 4.5% \$ 2,444 4.6% 4.5% 4.5% \$ \$ 55,561 \$ \$ 73,050 \$ 3,139 \$ 22,063 \$ 1,138 5.4% \$ 67,178 \$ 2,911 4.5% \$ 17,780 \$ 770 1994-95 \$ 64.267 \$ 3,589 5.9% \$ 53,117 \$ 2,985 6.0% \$ 69.911 \$ 3.890 5.9% \$ 20,925 \$ 1.263 6.4% \$ 64.267 17.010 1993-94 \$ 60,678 \$ 2,478 4.3% \$ 50,132 \$ 2,073 4.3% \$ 66,021 2,680 4.2% \$ 19,662 \$ 929 5.0% See notes See notes \$ 1992-93 58.200 0.1% 48.059 80 0.2% 63.341 84 0.1% 18,733 \$ 81 0.4% 0.0% \$ \$ 84 \$ \$ \$ \$ 58.116 \$ 0.0% 15.382 \$ \$ \$ \$ 1991-92 \$ 58.116 \$ 2.618 4.7% \$ 47.979 \$ 2.169 4.7% \$ 63.257 \$ 2.844 4.7% \$ 18.652 \$ 877 4.9% \$ 58.116 \$ 2.618 4.7% \$ 15.382 \$ 693 4.7% 1990-91 55,498 2,410 4.5% 45,810 \$ 2,017 4.6% 60,413 2,603 4.5% 17,775 \$ 913 5.4% 55,498 2,410 4.5% 14,689 \$ 638 4.5% \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ 1989-90 \$ 53.088 \$ 2,531 5.0% \$ 43.793 \$ 2,103 5.0% \$ 57,810 7,253 14.3% \$ 16,862 \$ 878 5.5% \$ 53.088 \$ 2,531 5.0% \$ 14,051 670 5.0% \$ \$ 1988-89 50,557 15.7% 41,690 6,411 18.2% 50,557 2,552 5.3% \$ 2,552 13,381 675 5.3% \$ \$ 6,842 \$ \$ \$ 15,984 \$ 885 5.9% \$ 50,557 \$ 5.3% \$ \$ 1987-88 \$ 43,715 \$ 2,247 5.4% \$ 35,279 \$ 1,838 5.5% \$ 48,005 \$ 2,450 5.4% \$ 15,099 \$ 879 6.2% \$ 48,005 \$ 2,450 5.4% \$ 12,706 \$ 649 5.4% 1986-87 \$ 41,468 2,843 7.4% \$ 33,441 \$ 2,312 7.4% \$ 45,555 3,111 7.3% 14,220 \$ 1,055 8.0% 45,555 \$ 3,111 7.3% 12,057 \$ 823 7.3% \$ \$ \$ \$ 1985-86 \$ 38.625 -\$ 31.129 -\$ 42.444 -\$ 13.165 -\$ 42.444 -\$ 11.234 --

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	Salaries (of Electe										e Salary	уŀ	ormula	In	Chapt	er 145,	, FI	orida S	tati	utes							
											ou	nty: Fis	cal Yea	rs 1	985-86 t	to 2	2014-15											
	Clerk of Circuit Court, Property																											
Fiscal		r & Tax Col \$ Chg.	lector % Chg.		Supervi						-	eriff				_	mmissio					perintend			School I	Boar	rd Meml	
Year	Salary	Salary	\$ C		% Chg.		Salary	3	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.			
2014-15	\$ 105,169		0.3%	\$	87,347		261	0.3%		114,108		335	0.3%	\$	36,357	\$	123	0.3%		,	\$	310	0.3%	\$	27,835		82	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 104,858	. ,	3.9%	\$			3,302	3.9%	<u> </u>	113,774	· ·	4,268	3.9%	\$		\$	1,478	4.3%	-	104,858	\$	3,948	3.9%	\$	27,752		1,045	3.9%
2012-13	\$ 100,910		0.5%	\$	83,784		511	0.6%	<u> </u>	109,506	· ·	536	0.5%	\$,	\$	511	1.5%	\$	100,910	\$	536	0.5%	\$	- / -		142	0.5%
2011-12	\$ 100,374	•	0.4%	\$	83,273	\$	406	0.5%	· ·	,		426	0.4%	\$	• • • • •	\$	406	1.2%	\$	100,374	\$	426	0.4%	\$	26,566	\$	113	0.4%
2010-11	\$ 99,948	,	-0.2%	\$	82,868	\$	(214)		· ·	108,544		(225)	-0.2%	\$,	\$	(214)	-0.6%	\$	99,948	\$	2,196	2.2%	\$	26,453	L	See no	
2009-10	\$ 100,173		0.4%	\$	83,082		405	0.5%	•	108,768	•	426	0.4%	\$		\$	405	1.2%	\$	97,752		See no		\$	26,512	L	See no	
2008-09	\$ 99,747		0.4%	\$	82,676		339	0.4%		108,342		380	0.4%	\$,	\$	285	0.9%	\$	99,747	\$	370	0.4%	\$.,	\$	98	0.4%
2007-08	\$ 99,377		0.2%	\$		\$	191	0.2%		107,963		200	0.2%	\$,	\$	191	0.6%	\$	99,377	\$	200	0.2%	\$	26,302	—	-	-
2006-07	\$ 99,177	. ,	3.6%	\$	82,146		2,883		· ·	107,763		3,748	3.6%	\$	33,172	\$	1,246	3.9%	\$	99,177	\$	3,460	3.6%		N/A	—	-	-
2005-06	\$ 95,716		4.3%	\$	- /	•	3,299	4.3%	\$	104,015	•	4,290	4.3%	\$	31,926	\$	1,416	4.6%	\$		\$	3,960	4.3%		N/A	L	-	-
2004-05	\$ 91,756	. ,	1.9%	\$	75,964		1,454	2.0%	\$	99,725	\$	1,801	1.8%	\$,	\$	831	2.8%	\$	91,756		-	-		N/A	L	-	-
2003-04	\$ 90,065	. ,	2.0%	\$	74,510		,469	2.0%	\$	0.90=0	\$	1,929	2.0%	\$	- /	\$	591	2.0%		-		notes			N/A	L	-	-
2002-03	\$ 88,290	\$ 2,435	2.8%	\$	73,041	•	2,045	2.9%	\$	95,996	\$	2,627	2.8%	\$	- /	\$	952	3.4%	\$	88,290	•	2,435	2.8%		-		otes	-
2001-02	\$ 85,855	. ,	3.1%	\$	70,996		2,146	3.1%	\$	93,369	\$	2,791	3.1%	\$	28,136	\$	922	3.4%	\$	85,855	\$	2,576	3.1%	\$	22,723	•	682	3.1%
2000-01	\$ 83,279	1 7 -	3.0%	\$	68,850	•	2,046	3.1%	\$	90,578	\$	2,647	3.0%	\$	27,214	\$	907	3.4%	\$	83,279	\$	2,448	3.0%	\$	22,041	•	647	3.0%
1999-00	\$ 80,831	\$ 2,981	3.8%	\$	66,804		2,480	3.9%	\$	87,931	\$	3,231	3.8%	\$	26,307	\$	1,054	4.2%	\$	80,831	\$	2,981	3.8%	\$	21,394		789	3.8%
1998-99	\$ 77,850	\$ 4,239	5.8%	\$	64,324		8,558	5.9%	\$	84,700	\$	4,573	5.7%	\$	25,253	\$	1,651	7.0%	\$	77,850	\$	4,239	5.8%	\$	20,605		1,122	5.8%
1997-98	\$ 73,611	\$ 3,686	5.3%	\$	60,766		3,069	5.3%	\$	80,127	•	3,995	5.2%	\$	23,602	\$	1,313	5.9%	\$	73,611	\$	3,686	5.3%	\$	19,483	•	976	5.3%
1996-97	\$ 69,925	, ,	6.1%	\$.,		3,372	6.2%	\$	76,132		4,379	6.1%	\$	22,289	\$	1,462	7.0%	\$	69,925	\$	4,045	6.1%	\$	18,507		1,070	6.1%
1995-96	\$ 65,880	. ,	4.3%	\$	- /		2,260	4.3%	\$	71,753	\$	2,946	4.3%	\$	20,827	\$	953	4.8%	\$	65,880	\$	2,717	4.3%	\$	17,437	\$	719	4.3%
1994-95	\$ 63,163	. ,	6.0%	\$	52,065		2,982	6.1%	\$,	\$	3,887	6.0%	\$	19,874	\$	1,260	6.8%	\$	63,163		-	-	\$	16,718	L	-	-
1993-94	\$ 59,578	\$ 2,273	4.0%	\$	49,083		,876	4.0%	\$	0.,0=0	\$	2,473	4.0%	\$	- / -	\$	734	4.1%		-		notes					otes	-
1992-93	\$ 57,305		0.2%	\$	47,207	\$	109	0.2%	\$	62,447	\$	115	0.2%	\$	17,880	\$	109	0.6%	\$	57,191	\$	-	0.0%	\$	15,137		-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 57,191	\$ 2,352	4.3%	\$	47,098		,915	4.2%	\$	62,332	\$	2,578	4.3%	\$	17,771	\$	623	3.6%	\$	57,191	\$	2,352	4.3%	\$	15,137		622	4.3%
1990-91	\$ 54,839	. ,	4.4%	\$	45,183	•	,932	4.5%	\$	59,754	\$	2,514	4.4%	\$,	\$	828	5.1%	\$	54,839	\$	2,320	4.4%	\$	14,515		615	4.4%
1989-90	\$ 52,519	\$ 2,482	5.0%	\$	43,251		2,056	5.0%	\$	0.)= .0	\$	7,203	14.4%	\$,.=.	\$	831	5.4%	\$	52,519	\$	2,482	5.0%	\$	13,900		656	5.0%
1988-89	\$ 50,037	\$ 6,757	15.6%	\$	/		6,330	18.2%	\$	50,037	•	2,467	5.2%	\$	- /	\$	804	5.5%	\$	50,037	\$	2,467	5.2%	\$	13,244		653	5.2%
1987-88	\$ 43,280	\$ 2,130	5.2%	\$,		1,727	5.2%	\$,	\$	2,334	5.2%	\$	1	\$	768	5.5%	\$		\$	2,334	5.2%	\$,	\$	618	5.2%
1986-87	\$ 41,150	\$ 2,770	7.2%	\$	33,138	\$ 2	2,241	7.3%	\$		\$	3,037	7.2%	\$	13,917	\$	985	7.6%	\$	45,236	\$	3,037	7.2%	\$	11,973	\$	804	7.2%
1985-86	\$ 38,380	-	-	\$	30,897		-	-	\$	42,199		-	-	\$	12,932		-	-	\$	42,199		-	-	\$	11,169	i	-	-

Solarias of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Burguent to the Solary Formula in Chapter 145. Elected Statutes

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members: therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School I													ials	s Pursu	an	t to the	e Salar	y F	ormula	in	Chapt	er 145	, Fl	orida S	tatu	tes	
												ty: Fisca	al Years	198	85-86 to	20	14-15											
	Clerk of Cir	cuit Court, I	Property																									
Fiscal											Sh	neriff			County	Co	mmissio	ners		School	Sup	perintend	lent		School I	Boar	d Memi	oers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 98,177	\$ 306	0.3%	\$	80,688	\$	257	0.3%	\$	107,117	\$	330	0.3%	\$	29,698	\$	119	0.4%	\$	98,177	\$	306	0.3%	\$	25,985	\$	81	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 97,871	\$ 3,639	3.9%	\$	80,432	\$	3,007	3.9%	\$	106,787	\$	3,958	3.8%	\$	29,580	\$	1,183	4.2%	\$	97,871	\$	3,639	3.9%	\$	25,904	\$	963	3.9%
2012-13	\$ 94,233	\$ (23)	0.0%	\$	77,425	\$	(21)	0.0%	\$	102,828	\$	(23)	0.0%	\$	28,396	\$	(21)	-0.1%	\$	94,233	\$	(23)	0.0%	\$	24,941	\$	(6)	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 94,255	\$ (191)	-0.2%	\$	77,446	\$	(182)	-0.2%	\$	102,851	\$	(191)	-0.2%	\$	28,418	\$	(182)	-0.6%	\$	94,255	\$	(191)	-0.2%	\$	24,947	\$	(51)	-0.2%
2010-11	• • •	\$ (11)		\$	77,628	\$	(11)	0.0%	\$	103,043	•	(11)	0.0%	\$	28,600	\$	(11)	0.0%	\$	- /	\$	2,094	2.3%	\$	24,998	<u> </u>	See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 94,458	•	0.2%	\$	77,639	\$	210	0.3%	\$	103,054	\$	221	0.2%	\$	28,611	\$	210	0.7%	\$	92,353		See no	otes	\$	25,001	<u> </u>	See no	otes
2008-09	• • • •	\$ 440	0.5%	\$	77,429	\$	406	0.5%	\$	102,833	\$	449	0.4%	\$	28,401	\$	352	1.3%	\$	94,237	\$	440	0.5%	\$	24,943	\$	116	0.5%
2007-08	\$ 93,797	•	0.1%	\$	77,024	\$	49	0.1%	\$	102,384	\$	51	0.0%	\$	28,050	\$	49	0.2%	\$		\$	51	0.1%	\$	24,826	L	-	-
2006-07	\$ 93,747	• • • • • •	3.6%	\$	76,975	\$	2,684	3.6%	\$	102,333	\$	3,537	3.6%	\$	28,000	\$	1,045	3.9%	\$		\$	3,250	3.6%		N/A	<u> </u>	-	-
2005-06	\$ 90,497	\$ 3,687	4.2%	\$	74,291	\$	3,038	4.3%	\$	98,796	\$	4,017	4.2%	\$	26,955	\$	1,156	4.5%	\$	90,497	\$	3,687	4.2%		N/A	<u> </u>	-	-
2004-05	\$ 86,810	• , • •	1.7%	\$	71,253	\$	1,214	1.7%	\$	94,779	\$	1,549	1.7%	\$	25,799	\$	591	2.3%	\$	86,810		-	-		N/A	L	-	-
2003-04	\$ 85,370	\$ 1,754	2.1%	\$	70,039	\$	1,450	2.1%	\$	93,230	\$	1,908	2.1%	\$	25,208	\$	571	2.3%			See I	notes			N/A	L	-	-
2002-03	\$ 83,617	• / -	2.6%	\$	68,589	\$	1,777	2.7%	\$	91,322	\$	2,346	2.6%	\$	24,637	\$	684	2.9%	\$	83,617	\$	2,154	2.6%	L	-	See no		
2001-02	\$ 81,463	\$ 2,167	2.7%	\$	66,813	\$	1,756	2.7%	\$	88,977	\$	2,382	2.8%	\$	23,953	\$	532	2.3%	\$.,	\$	2,167	2.7%	\$,	\$	573	2.7%
2000-01	\$ 79,296	\$ 2,251	2.9%	\$	65,057	\$	1,858	2.9%	\$	86,595	\$	2,450	2.9%	\$	23,421	\$	719	3.2%	\$,	\$	2,251	2.9%	\$	20,988	•	596	2.9%
1999-00	\$ 77,045	\$ 2,802	3.8%	\$	63,199	\$	2,310	3.8%	\$	84,145	\$	3,052	3.8%	\$	22,702	\$	884	4.1%	\$	77,045	\$	2,802	3.8%	\$	20,392		741	3.8%
1998-99	\$ 74,243	,	5.2%	\$	60,889	\$	3,009	5.2%	\$	81,093	\$	3,997	5.2%	\$	21,818	\$	1,102	5.3%	\$, -	\$	3,662	5.2%	\$	-,	\$	970	5.2%
1997-98	\$ 70,581	\$ 3,499	5.2%	\$	57,880	\$	2,891	5.3%	\$	77,096	\$	3,807	5.2%	\$	20,716	\$	1,135	5.8%	\$	70,581	\$	3,499	5.2%	\$	- /	\$	926	5.2%
1996-97	\$ 67,082	\$ 3,809	6.0%	\$	54,989	\$	3,147	6.1%	\$	73,289	\$	4,143	6.0%	\$	19,581	\$	1,236	6.7%	\$	67,082	\$	3,809	6.0%	\$	17,755		1,008	6.0%
1995-96	\$ 63,273	\$ 2,486	4.1%	\$	51,842	\$	2,039	4.1%	\$	69,146	\$	2,715	4.1%	\$	18,345	\$	733	4.2%	\$	63,273	\$	2,486	4.1%	\$	16,747	\$	658	4.1%
1994-95	\$ 60,787	\$ 3,240	5.6%	\$	49,803	\$	2,654	5.6%	\$	66,431	\$	3,542	5.6%	\$	17,612	\$	933	5.6%	\$	60,787		-	-	\$	16,089	<u> </u>	-	-
1993-94	\$ 57,547	\$ 2,175	3.9%	\$	47,149	\$	1,783	3.9%	\$	62,889	\$	2,375	3.9%	\$	16,679	\$	639	4.0%		-		notes		L_	-	See no	otes	
1992-93	\$ 55,372	• •	0.1%	\$	45,366	\$	44	0.1%	\$		\$	46	0.1%	\$	16,040	\$	44	0.3%	\$	55,327		-	0.0%	\$	14,644		-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 55,327	\$ 1,958	3.7%	\$	45,322	\$	1,540	3.5%	\$	60,468	\$	2,184	3.7%	\$	15,996	\$	248	1.6%	\$	/ -	\$	1,958	3.7%	\$	14,644		518	3.7%
1990-91	\$ 53,369	\$ 2,245	4.4%	\$	43,782	\$	1,860	4.4%	\$	58,284	\$	2,438	4.4%	\$	15,748	\$	756	5.0%	\$,	\$	2,245	4.4%	\$	14,126		594	4.4%
1989-90	\$ 51,124	\$ 2,347	4.8%	\$	41,922	\$	1,927	4.8%	\$	55,846	\$	7,069	14.5%	\$	14,992	\$	703	4.9%	\$	<i>.,</i>	\$	2,347	4.8%	\$	13,532		622	4.8%
1988-89		\$ 6,715	16.0%	\$	39,995	\$	6,289	18.7%	\$	48,777	\$	2,424	5.2%	\$	14,289	\$	764	5.6%	\$		\$	2,424	5.2%	\$	12,910		641	5.2%
1987-88	\$ 42,062	\$ 2,060	5.1%	\$	33,706	\$	1,661	5.2%	\$	46,353	\$	2,265	5.1%	\$	13,525	\$	702	5.5%	\$	46,353	\$	2,265	5.1%	\$	12,269		600	5.1%
1986-87	\$ 40,002	\$ 2,655	7.1%	\$	32,045	\$	2,132	7.1%	\$	44,088	\$	2,921	7.1%	\$	12,823	\$	874	7.3%	\$	44,088	\$	2,921	7.1%	\$	11,669	\$	773	7.1%
1985-86	\$ 37,347	-	-	\$	29,913		-	-	\$	41,167		-	-	\$	11,949		-	-	\$	41,167		-	-	\$	10,896	L	-	-

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members: therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	00				iity	Constit	unc		/////		nion Cou								Jaiai	y ı	ormula		Chapt	CI 143,		lall	nes	
	Cle	erk of Cir	cuit Court.	Property	,					Ē			y. 1 1300	ii rears	130	5-00 10	20	14-15										
Fiscal			r & Tax Co			Supervi	sor c	of Electi	ions			Sh	neriff			County	Co	mmissio	ners		School	Sup	erintend	lent	School	Boar	d Mem	bers
Year		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg		Salary		Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	-	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		Chg.	% Chg.	Salary		Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$	95,651	\$ 250		\$	78,282	\$	203	0.3%	_	104,591	\$	274	0.3%	\$	27,292	\$	65	0.2%	\$	95,651	\$	250	0.3%	\$ 25,317	\$	66	0.3%
2013-14	\$,	\$ 3,434		\$,	\$	2,812	3.7%	· ·	104.317		3.753	3.7%	\$	27,227	\$	988	3.8%	\$	95,401	\$	3,434	3.7%	\$ 25.251	\$	909	3.7%
2012-13	\$	91,968	. ,		\$	75,267	\$	(19)	0.0%	\$	100,563	\$	(20)	0.0%	\$	26,239	\$	(19)		\$	91,968	\$	(20)	0.0%	\$ 24,342	\$	(5)	0.0%
2011-12	\$	91,988	\$ (13) 0.0%	\$	75,286	\$	(13)	0.0%	\$	100,583	\$	(13)	0.0%	\$	26,258	\$	(13)	0.0%	\$	91,988	\$	(13)	0.0%	\$ 24,347	\$	(3)	0.0%
2010-11	\$	92,001	\$ (128) -0.1%	\$	75,299	\$	(122)	-0.2%	\$	100,597	\$	(128)	-0 .1%	\$	26,271	\$	(122)	-0.5%	\$	92,001	\$	1,794	2.0%	\$ 24,351		See no	otes
2009-10	\$	92,129	\$ 82	0.1%	\$	75,421	\$	78	0.1%	\$	100,725	\$	82	0.1%	\$	26,393	\$	78	0.3%	\$	90,206		See no	otes	\$ 24,385		See no	
2008-09	\$	92,047	\$ 325	0.4%	\$	75,343	\$	296	0.4%	\$	100,643	\$	334	0.3%	\$	26,316	\$	242	0.9%	\$	92,047	\$	325	0.4%	\$ 24,363	\$	86	0.4%
2007-08	\$	91,723	\$ (7) 0.0%	\$	75,048	\$	(6)	0.0%	\$	100,309	\$	(7)	0.0%	\$	26,074	\$	(6)	0.0%	\$	91,723	\$	(7)	0.0%	\$ 24,277		-	-
2006-07	\$	91,730	\$ 3,201	3.6%	\$	75,054	\$	2,636	3.6%	\$	100,316	\$	3,488	3.6%	\$	26,080	\$	999	4.0%	\$	91,730	\$	3,200	3.6%	N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$	88,529	\$ 3,787	4.5%	\$	72,418	\$	3,134	4.5%	\$	96,828	\$	4,117	4.4%	\$	25,081	\$	1,252	5.3%	\$	88,529	\$	3,788	4.5%	N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$	84,742	\$ 1,142	1.4%	\$	69,284	\$	931	1.4%	\$	92,711	\$	1,251	1.4%	\$	23,829	\$	308	1.3%	\$	84,742		-	-	N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$	83,600	\$ 1,717	2.1%	\$	68,353	\$	1,415	2.1%	\$	91,460	\$	1,872	2.1%	\$	23,522	\$	536	2.3%		S	See I	notes		N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$	81,883	\$ 2,058	2.6%	\$	66,938	\$	1,686	2.6%	\$	89,589	\$	2,250	2.6%	\$	22,986	\$	593	2.6%	\$	81,883	\$	2,058	2.6%	-		notes	
2001-02	\$	79,824	\$ 2,171	2.8%	\$	65,252	\$	1,760	2.8%	\$	87,338	\$	2,386	2.8%	\$	22,393	\$	537	2.5%	\$	79,824	\$	2,171	2.8%	\$ 21,128		575	2.8%
2000-01	\$	77,653	\$ 2,224	2.9%	\$	63,492	\$	1,832	3.0%	\$	84,952	\$	2,423	2.9%	\$	21,856	\$	693	3.3%	\$	77,653	\$	2,224	2.9%	\$ 20,553	\$	588	2.9%
1999-00	\$	75,429	\$ 2,748		\$	61,660		2,258	3.8%	\$	82,529	\$	2,998	3.8%	\$	21,163	\$	832	4.1%	\$	75,429	\$	2,748	3.8%	\$ 19,965		727	3.8%
1998-99	\$	72,681	\$ 3,566	5.2%	\$	59,402		2,918	5.2%	\$	79,531	\$	3,900	5.2%	\$	20,331	\$	1,011	5.2%	\$	72,681	\$	3,566	5.2%	\$ 19,238	•	944	5.2%
1997-98	\$	69,115	• • • • • •	5.1%	\$	56,484		2,763	5.1%	\$	75,631	\$	3,663	5.1%	\$	19,320	\$	997	5.4%	\$	69,115	\$	3,354	5.1%	\$ 18,294	•	888	5.1%
1996-97	\$,	\$ 3,573	5.7%	\$	53,721		2,912	5.7%	\$	71,968	\$	3,907	5.7%	\$	18,323	\$	1,012	5.8%	\$	65,761	\$	3,573	5.7%	\$ 17,406		946	5.7%
1995-96	\$,	\$ 2,531	4.2%	\$	50,809		2,083	4.3%	\$	68,061	\$	2,761	4.2%	\$	17,311	\$	776	4.7%	\$	62,188	\$	2,531	4.2%	\$ 16,460	\$	670	4.2%
1994-95	\$,	\$ 3,309		\$	48,726		2,718	5.9%	\$	65,300	\$	3,610	5.9%	\$	16,535	\$	997	6.4%	\$	59,657		-	-	\$ 15,790		-	-
1993-94	\$	56,348	, ,	4.2%	\$	46,008		1,878	4.3%	\$	61,690	\$	2,474	4.2%	\$	15,538	\$	735	5.0%				notes		-		notes	
1992-93	\$	54,074			\$	44,130	\$	67	0.2%	\$	59,216	\$	70	0.1%	\$	14,803	\$	67	0.5%	\$	54,004	\$	-	0.0%	\$ 14,294		-	0.0%
1991-92	\$	54,004	\$ 2,337	4.5%	\$	44,063	\$	1,902	4.5%	\$	59,146	\$	2,564	4.5%	\$	14,736	\$	610	4.3%	\$	54,004	\$	2,337	4.5%	\$ 14,294	•	619	4.5%
1990-91	\$	51,667	\$ 2,089		\$	42,161		1,712	4.2%	\$	56,582	\$	2,283	4.2%	\$	14,126	\$	607	4.5%	\$	51,667	\$	2,089	4.2%	\$ 13,675		552	4.2%
1989-90	\$	49,578	\$ 2,162		\$,		1,751	4.5%	\$	54,299	\$	6,883	14.5%	\$	13,519	\$	526	4.0%	\$	49,578	\$	2,162	4.6%	\$ 13,123		573	4.6%
1988-89	\$	/ -	\$ 6,592		\$	38,698		6,172	19. 0 %	\$	47,416	\$	2,302	5.1%	\$	12,993	\$	648	5.2%	\$	47,416	\$	2,302	5.1%	\$ 12,550		609	5.1%
1987-88	\$	40,824	\$ 1,922	4.9%	\$	32,526		1,529	4.9%	\$	45,114	\$	2,126	4.9%	\$	12,345	\$	569	4.8%	\$	45,114	\$	2,126	4.9%	\$,	\$	563	4.9%
1986-87	\$	38,902	\$ 2,574	7.1%	\$,	\$	2,055	7.1%	\$	42,988	\$	2,841	7.1%	\$	11,776	\$	798	7.3%	\$	42,988	\$	2,841	7.1%	\$ 11,378	\$	752	7.1%
1985-86	\$	36,328	-	-	\$	28,942		-	-	\$	40,147		-	-	\$	10,978		-	-	\$	40,147		-	-	\$ 10,626		-	-

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chanter 145. Florida Statutes

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members: therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Volusia County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2014-15

									vc	iusia Co	oun	ity: Fisc	al years	; 19	85-86 to	20	014-15										
	Clerk of Circuit Court, Property																										
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervi	sor	of Elect	ions			Sł	neriff			County	Co	mmissio	ners		School	Sup	erintend	lent	School	Boa	rd Meml	bers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 144,076	\$ 431	0.3%	\$	124,401	\$	376	0.3%	\$	153,015	\$	455	0.3%	\$	85,118	\$	269	0.3%	\$	144,076	\$	431	0.3%	\$ 39,605	\$	118	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 143,645	\$ 5,189	3.7%	\$	124,025	\$	4,484	3.8%	\$	152,560	\$	5,509	3.7%	\$	84,848	\$	3,079	3.8%	\$	143,645	\$	5,189	3.7%	\$ 39,487	\$	1,426	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 138,455	\$ 17	0.0%	\$	119,541	\$	17	0.0%	\$	147,051	\$	17	0.0%	\$	81,770	\$	17	0.0%	\$	138,455	\$	17	0.0%	\$ 38,061	\$	5	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 138,438	\$ (269)	-0.2%	\$	119,525	\$	(256)	-0.2%	\$	147,034	\$	(269)	-0.2%	\$	81,753	\$	(256)	-0.3%	\$	138,438	\$	(269)	-0.2%	\$ 38,056	\$	(71)	
2010-11	\$ 138,707	\$ (78)	-0.1%	\$	119,781	\$	(75)	-0.1%	\$	147,303	\$	(78)	-0.1%	\$	82,009	\$	(75)	-0.1%	\$	138,707	\$	2,756	2.0%	\$ 38,128	<u> </u>	See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 138,785	\$ 60	0.0%	\$	119,856	\$	57	0.0%	\$	147,381	\$	60	0.0%	\$	82,084	\$	56	0.1%	\$	135,951		See no	otes	\$ 38,148	<u> </u>	See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 138,726		0.2%	\$	119,799	\$	217	0.2%	\$	147,321	\$	251	0.2%	\$	82,027	\$	175	0.2%	\$	138,726	\$	242	0.2%	\$ 38,132	\$	66	0.2%
2007-08	\$ 138,484		0.1%	•	119,582	\$	187	0.2%	•	147,070	\$	196	0.1%	\$	81,852	\$	187	0.2%	\$,	\$	196	0.1%	\$ 38,067		-	!
2006-07	\$ 138,288		3.6%		119,395	\$	4,198	3.6%	\$	146,874	\$	5,127	3.6%	\$	81,665	\$	2,937	3.7%	\$,=	\$	4,840	3.6%	N/A		-	!
2005-06	\$ 133,448		4.4%	\$	115,197	\$	4,837	4.4%	\$	141,747	\$	5,906	4.3%	\$	78,728	\$	3,386	4.5%	\$,	\$	5,575	4.4%	N/A		-	
2004-05	\$ 127,872	. ,	1.6%	\$	110,360	\$	1,719	1.6%	\$	135,841	\$	2,079	1.6%	\$	75,342	\$	1,239	1.7%	\$	1-		-	-	N/A		-	!
2003-04	\$ 125,903	. ,	2.1%	\$	108,641	\$	2,270	2.1%	•	133,762	\$	2,770	2.1%	\$	74,102	\$	1,593	2.2%		-	ee r	notes		N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 123,287	· · · · ·	2.7%	\$	106,371	\$	2,801	2.7%	•	130,993	\$	3,421	2.7%	\$	72,509	\$	1,959	2.8%	\$	123,287	\$ 3,229 2.7%			-	jee r	notes	I
2001-02		\$ 3,729	3.2%	\$	103,570	\$	3,244	3.2%		,-	\$	3,944	3.2%	\$	70,550	\$	2,301	3.4%	\$,	\$	3,729	3.2%	\$,	\$	1,022	3.2%
2000-01	•,.=•	\$ 3,294	2.9%	\$	100,326	\$	2,852	2.9%		- 1	\$	3,494	2.9%	\$	68,249	\$	1,975	3.0%	\$,	\$	3,294	2.9%	\$ 31,991	\$	905	2.9%
1999-00	\$ 113,034		3.8%	\$	97,474	\$	3,543	3.8%	\$		\$	4,347	3.8%	\$	66,274	\$	2,444	3.8%	\$	113,034	\$	4,097	3.8%	\$ 31,086	\$	1,125	3.8%
1998-99	\$ 108,937	· · · /	5.2%	\$	93,931	\$	4,684	5.2%	•	- / -	\$	5,756	5.2%	\$	63,830	\$	3,215	5.3%	\$	108,937	\$	5,422	5.2%	\$ 29,961	\$	1,490	5.2%
1997-98	\$ 103,515	• /	5.0%	\$	89,247	\$	4,281	5.0%	•	,	\$	5,266	5.0%	\$	60,615	\$	2,928	5.1%	\$	103,515	\$	4,958	5.0%	\$ 28,471	\$	1,363	5.0%
1996-97	\$ 98,557	,	5.9%	\$	84,966	\$	4,767	5.9%	\$	- ,	\$	5,844	5.9%	\$	57,687	\$	3,295	6.1%	\$	98,557	\$	5,509	5.9%	\$ 27,108	\$	1,514	5.9%
1995-96	\$ 93,048	,	4.4%	\$	80,199	\$	3,392	4.4%	\$	98,921	\$	4,135	4.4%	\$	54,392	\$	2,385	4.6%	\$,	\$	3,906	4.4%	\$ 25,594	\$	1,071	4.4%
1994-95	\$ 89,142	\$ 5,011	6.0%	\$.,	\$	4,339	6.0%	\$	94,786	\$	5,313	5.9%	\$	52,007	\$	3,014	6.2%	\$	89,142		-	-	\$ 24,523	<u> </u>	-	- 1
1993-94	÷ •,•••	\$ 3,439	4.3%	\$	72,468	\$	2,988	4.3%	\$	89,473	\$	3,639	4.2%	\$	48,993	\$	2,106	4.5%				notes				notes	
1992-93	\$ 80,692	\$ 230	0.3%	\$	69,480	\$	219	0.3%	\$	85,834	\$	230	0.3%	\$	46,887	\$	220	0.5%	\$	80,462	\$	-	0.0%	\$ 22,143	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 80,462	\$ 3,937	5.1%	\$	69,261	\$	3,425	5.2%	\$	85,604	\$	4,164	5.1%	\$	46,667	\$	2,429	5.5%	\$	80,462	\$	3,937	5.1%	\$ 22,143	\$	1,079	5.1%
1990-91	\$ 76,525	\$ 3,502	4.8%	\$	65,836	\$	3,058	4.9%	\$	81,440	\$	3,696	4.8%	\$	44,238	\$	2,207	5.3%	\$	76,525	\$	3,502	4.8%	\$ 21,064	\$	959	4.8%
1989-90	\$ 73,023	\$ 3,839	5.5%	\$	62,778	\$	3,349	5.6%	\$	77,744	\$	8,560	12.4%	\$	42,031	\$	2,406	6.1%	\$	73,023	\$	3,839	5.5%	\$ 20,105	\$	1,051	5.5%
1988-89	\$ 69,184	\$ 7,998	13.1%	\$	59,429	\$	7,510	14.5%	\$	69,184	\$	3,707	5.7%	\$	39,625	\$	2,269	6.1%	\$	69,184	\$	3,707	5.7%	\$ 19,054	\$	1,017	5.6%
1987-88	\$ 61,186	\$ 3,274	5.7%	\$	51,919	\$	2,816	5.7%	\$	65,477	\$	3,478	5.6%	\$	37,356	\$	2,124	6.0%	\$	65,477	\$	3,478	5.6%	\$ 18,037	\$	954	5.6%
1986-87	\$ 57,912	\$ 4,123	7.7%	\$	49,103	\$	3,532	7.8%	\$	61,999	\$	4,391	7.6%	\$	35,232	\$	2,624	8.0%	\$	61,999	\$	4,391	7.6%	\$ 17,083	\$	1,206	7.6%
1985-86	\$ 53,789	-	-	\$	45,571		-	-	\$	57,608		-	-	\$	32,608		-	-	\$	57,608		-	-	\$ 15,877		-	
			•	•					•		•			•		•			·								-

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Wakulla County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2014-15

														nty: Fisc	cal years	5 19	982-86 to	2	014-15									
	Clerk of Circuit Court, Property Appraiser & Tax Collector Supervisor of Elections																											
Fiscal		Appraise	er &	Tax Col	lector		Supervi	sor	of Elect	tions			Sł	neriff			County	Co	mmissio	ners	School	Sup	erintend	dent	School	Boar	rd Memb	bers
Year		Salary	41	GChg.	% Chg		Salary	63	6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$	100,809	\$	306	0.3%	\$	83,195	\$	256	0.3%	\$	109,749	\$	330	0.3%	\$	32,205	\$	118	0.4%	\$ 100,809	\$	306	0.3%	\$ 26,681	\$	81	0.3%
2013-14	\$	100,503	\$	3,570	3.7%	\$	82,938	\$	2,942	3.7%	\$	109,419	\$	3,890	3.7%	\$	32,086	\$	1,118	3.6%	\$ 100,503	\$	3,570	3.7%	\$ 26,601	\$	945	3.7%
2012-13	\$	96,933	\$	33	0.0%	\$	79,996	\$	31	0.0%	\$	105,529	\$	33	0.0%	\$	30,968	\$	31	0.1%	\$ 96,933	\$	33	0.0%	\$ 25,656	\$	9	0.0%
2011-12	\$	96,900	\$	(327)	-0.3%	\$	79,965	\$	(312)	-0.4%	\$	105,496	\$	(327)	-0.3%	\$	30,937	\$	(312)	-1.0%	\$ 96,900	\$	(327)	-0.3%	\$ 25,647	\$	(87)	-0.3%
2010-11	\$	97,228	\$	346	0.4%	\$	80,277	\$	330	0.4%	\$	105,823	\$	346	0.3%	\$	31,249	\$	330	1.1%	\$ 97,228	\$	2,695	2.9%	\$ 25,733		See no	otes
2009-10	\$	96,881	\$	420	0.4%	\$	79,947	\$	400	0.5%	\$	105,477	\$	420	0.4%	\$	30,919	\$	399	1.3%	\$ 94,533		See no	otes	\$ 25,642		See no	otes
2008-09	\$	96,462	\$	436	0.5%	\$	79,548	\$	401	0.5%	\$	105,057	\$	445	0.4%	\$	30,520	\$	348	1.2%	\$ 96,462	\$	436	0.5%	\$ 25,531	\$	115	0.5%
2007-08	\$	96,026	\$	490	0.5%	\$	79,146	\$	467	0.6%	\$	104,612	\$	490	0.5%	\$	30,172	\$	468	1.6%	\$ 96,026	\$	490	0.5%	\$ 25,416		-	-
2006-07	\$	95,536	\$	3,619	3.9%	\$	- ,	\$	3,035	4.0%	\$	104,122	\$	3,906	3.9%	\$	29,705	\$	1,398	4.9%	\$ 95,536	\$	3,619	3.9%	N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$	91,917	\$	3,825	4.3%	\$	75,644	\$	3,169	4.4%	\$	100,216	\$	4,154	4.3%	\$	28,307	\$	1,287	4.8%	\$ 91,917	\$	3,825	4.3%	N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$	88,092	\$	1,420	1.6%	\$	72,475	\$	1,196	1.7%	\$	96,062	\$	1,529	1.6%	\$	27,020	\$	573	2.2%	\$ 88,092		-	-	N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$	86,672	•	1,817	2.1%	\$	71,279	\$	1,510	2.2%	\$	94,532	\$	1,971	2.1%	\$	26,448	\$	631	2.4%	-	iee r	notes		N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$	84,855	\$	2,376	2.9%	\$	69,769	\$	1,988	2.9%	\$	92,561	\$	2,568	2.9%	\$	25,816	\$	895	3.6%	\$ 84,855	\$	2,376	2.9%	-		notes	
2001-02	\$	82,479		2,961	3.7%	\$	- , -	\$	2,513	3.8%	\$	89,993	\$	3,175	3.7%	\$	24,921	\$	1,289	5.5%	\$ - , -	\$	2,961	3.7%	\$ 21,830		783	3.7%
2000-01	\$	79,518	\$	2,393	3.1%	\$	65,268	\$	1,993	3.1%	\$	86,818	\$	2,593	3.1%	\$	23,632	\$	854	3.7%	\$ 79,518	\$	2,393	3.1%	\$ 21,047	\$	634	3.1%
1999-00	\$	77,125	\$	3,016	4.1%	\$	63,275	\$	2,514	4.1%	\$	84,225	\$	3,266	4.0%	\$	22,778	\$	1,088	5.0%	\$ 77,125	\$	3,016	4.1%	\$ 20,413	\$	798	4.1%
1998-99	\$	74,109	\$	3,773	5.4%	\$	60,761	\$	3,114	5.4%	\$	80,959	\$	4,107	5.3%	\$	21,690	\$	1,207	5.9%	\$ 74,109	\$	3,773	5.4%	\$ 19,615	\$	998	5.4%
1997-98	\$	70,336	\$	3,561	5.3%	\$	57,647	\$	2,950	5.4%	\$	76,852	\$	3,869	5.3%	\$	20,483	\$	1,194	6.2%	\$ 70,336	\$	3,561	5.3%	\$ 18,617	\$	943	5.3%
1996-97	\$	66,775	\$	3,726	5.9%	\$	54,697	\$	3,069	5.9%	\$	72,983	\$	4,062	5.9%	\$	19,289	\$	1,158	6.4%	\$ 66,775	\$	3,726	5.9%	\$ 17,674	\$	986	5.9%
1995-96	\$	63,049	\$	2,679	4.4%	\$	51,628	\$	2,223	4.5%	\$	68,921	\$	2,907	4.4%	\$	18,131	\$	917	5.3%	\$ 63,049	\$	2,679	4.4%	\$ 16,688	\$	709	4.4%
1994-95	\$	60,370	\$	3,377	5.9%	\$	49,405	\$	2,783	6.0%	\$	66,014	\$	3,679	5.9%	\$	17,214	\$	1,062	6.6%	\$ 60,370		-	-	\$ 15,979		-	-
1993-94	\$	56,993	\$	2,181	4.0%	\$	46,622	\$	1,790	4.0%	\$	62,335	\$	2,381	4.0%	\$	16,152	\$	646	4.2%	S	iee r	notes		S	See r	notes	
1992-93	\$	54,812	\$	46	0.1%	\$	44,832	\$	44	0.1%	\$	59,954	\$	47	0.1%	\$	15,506	\$	44	0.3%	\$ 54,766	\$	-	0.0%	\$ 14,496	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$	54,766	\$	2,360	4.5%	\$	44,788	\$	1,923	4.5%	\$	59,907	\$	2,586	4.5%	\$	15,462	\$	632	4.3%	\$ 54,766	\$	2,360	4.5%	\$ 14,496	\$	625	4.5%
1990-91	\$	52,406	\$	2,140	4.3%	\$	42,865	\$	1,760	4.3%	\$	57,321	\$	2,333	4.2%	\$	14,830	\$	655	4.6%	\$ 52,406	\$	2,140	4.3%	\$ 13,871	\$	566	4.3%
1989-90	\$	50,266	\$	2,347	4.9%	\$	41,105	\$	1,928	4.9%	\$	54,988	\$	7,069	14.8%	\$	14,175	\$	704	5.2%	\$ 50,266	\$	2,347	4.9%	\$ 13,305	\$	622	4.9%
1988-89	\$	47,919	\$	6,611	16.0%	\$	39,177	\$	6,190	18.8%	\$	47,919	\$	2,321	5.1%	\$	13,471	\$	664	5.2%	\$ 47,919	\$	2,321	5.1%	\$ 12,683	\$	614	5.1%
1987-88	\$	41,308	\$	2,027	5.2%	\$	32,987	\$	1,629	5.2%	\$	45,598	\$	2,231	5.1%	\$	12,807	\$	670	5.5%	\$ 45,598	\$	2,231	5.1%	\$ 12,069	\$	590	5.1%
1986-87	\$	39,281	\$	2,637	7.2%	\$	31,358	\$	2,115	7.2%	\$	43,367	\$	2,904	7.2%	\$	12,137	\$	858	7.6%	\$ 43,367	\$	2,904	7.2%	\$ 11,479	\$	769	7.2%
1985-86	\$	36,644		-	-	\$	29,243		-	-	\$	40,463		-	-	\$	11,279		-	-	\$ 40,463		-	-	\$ 10,710		-	-
	· · · ·					_		•							•	·												

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-2034, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	Salaries	of Electe	d Coun	ity	Constit	utional C	Officers	a	nd Scho	ol	Distri	ct Offic	ials	s Pursu	an	t to the	e Salar	уF	ormula	in (Chapt	er 145,	FI	orida S	tatu	tes	
								w	alton Co	un	y: Fisc	al Years	19	85-86 to	20	14-15											
	Clerk of Cir	cuit Court, I	Property																								
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervi	sor of Elect	ions			Sh	eriff			County	Col	mmissio	ners		School	Supe	erintend	lent		School I	Boar	d Memb	bers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	~	GChg.	% Chg.		Salary	4	6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.	1	Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 105,956	\$ 505	0.5%	\$	88,097	\$ 446	0.5%	\$	114,896	\$	529	0.5%	\$	40,300	\$	316	0.8%	\$	105,956	\$	505	0.5%	\$	28,929	\$	136	0.5%
2013-14	\$ 105,452	\$ 4,173	4.1%	\$	87,651	\$ 3,516	4.2%	\$	114,367	\$	4,493	4.1%	\$	39,983	\$	1,806	4.7%	\$	105,452	\$	4,173	4.1%	\$	28,793	\$	1,136	4.1%
2012-13	\$ 101,279	\$ 105	0.1%	\$	84,135	\$ 100	0.1%	\$	109,875	\$	105	0.1%	\$	38,177	\$	100	0.3%	\$	101,279	\$	105	0.1%	\$	27,657	\$	28	0.1%
2011-12	\$ 101,174	\$ (741)	-0.7%	\$	84,035	\$ (706)	-0.8%	\$	109,770	\$	(741)	-0.7%	\$	38,077	\$	(706)	-1.8%	\$	101,174	\$	(741)	-0.7%	\$	27,629	\$	(196)	-0.7%
2010-11	\$ 101,915	\$ 34	0.0%	\$	84,741	\$ 33	0.0%	\$	110,511	\$	34	0.0%	\$	38,783	\$	33	0.1%	\$	101,915	\$	2,247	2.3%	\$	27,826		See no	tes
2009-10	\$ 101,881	\$ 179	0.2%	\$	84,709	\$ 170	0.2%	\$	110,477	\$	179	0.2%	\$	38,750	\$	170	0.4%	\$	99,668		See no	otes	\$	27,817		See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 101,702	\$ 448	0.4%	\$	84,538	\$ 414	0.5%	\$	110,298	\$	458	0.4%	\$	38,580	\$	363	0.9%	\$	101,702	\$	448	0.4%	\$	27,769	\$	120	0.4%
2007-08	\$ 101,254	\$ 581	0.6%	\$	84,125	\$ 554	0.7%	\$	109,840	\$	581	0.5%	\$	38,217	\$	554	1.5%	\$	101,254	\$	581	0.6%	\$	27,650		-	-
2006-07	\$ 100,672	\$ 4,109	4.3%	\$	83,571	\$ 3,502	4.4%	\$	109,259	\$	4,397	4.2%	\$	37,663	\$	1,967	5.5%	\$	100,672	\$	4,109	4.3%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 96,563	\$ 1,858	2.0%	\$	80,069	\$ 1,296	1.6%	\$	104,862	\$	2,188	2.1%	\$	35,696	\$	2,378	7.1%	\$	96,563	\$	1,858	2.0%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 94,705	\$ 1,754	1.9%	\$	78,773	\$ 1,514	2.0%	\$	102,674	\$	1,863	1.8%	\$	33,318	\$	890	2.7%	\$	94,705		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 92,952	\$ 2,683	3.0%	\$	77,259	\$ 2,334	3.1%	\$	100,811	\$	2,837	2.9%	\$	32,428	\$	1,455	4.7%		S	ee n	otes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 90,269	\$ 2,792	3.2%	\$	74,925	\$ 2,384	3.3%	\$	97,975	\$	2,983	3.1%	\$	30,972	\$	1,291	4.3%	\$	90,269	\$	2,792	3.2%		S	ee n	otes	
2001-02	\$ 87,477	\$ 2,534	3.0%	\$	72,541	\$ 2,106	3.0%	\$	94,991	\$	2,748	3.0%	\$	29,681	\$	882	3.1%	\$	87,477	\$	2,534	3.0%	\$	23,152	\$	670	3.0%
2000-01	\$ 84,943	\$ 2,899	3.5%	\$	70,435	\$ 2,475	3.6%	\$	92,243	\$	3,099	3.5%	\$	28,799	\$	1,336	4.9%	\$	84,943	\$	2,899	3.5%	\$	22,482	\$	767	3.5%
1999-00	\$ 82,044	\$ 3,457	4.4%	\$	67,960	\$ 2,934	4.5%	\$	89,144	\$	3,707	4.3%	\$	27,463	\$	1,508	5.8%	\$	82,044	\$	3,457	4.4%	\$	21,715	\$	915	4.4%
1998-99	\$ 78,587	\$ 4,267	5.7%	\$	65,026	\$ 3,584	5.8%	\$	85,437	\$	4,601	5.7%	\$	25,955	\$	1,677	6.9%	\$	78,587	\$	4,267	5.7%	\$	20,800	\$	1,130	5.7%
1997-98	\$ 74,320	\$ 3,725	5.3%	\$	61,442	\$ 3,106	5.3%	\$	80,836	\$	4,033	5.3%	\$	24,278	\$	1,350	5.9%	\$	74,320	\$	3,725	5.3%	\$	19,670	\$	985	5.3%
1996-97	\$ 70,595	\$ 4,151	6.2%	\$	58,336	\$ 3,474	6.3%	\$	76,803	\$	4,486	6.2%	\$	22,928	\$	1,563	7.3%	\$	70,595	\$	4,151	6.2%	\$	18,685	\$	1,099	6.2%
1995-96	\$ 66,444	\$ 2,864	4.5%	\$	54,862	\$ 2,400	4.6%	\$	72,317	\$	3,093	4.5%	\$	21,365	\$	1,094	5.4%	\$	66,444	\$	2,864	4.5%	\$	17,586	\$	758	4.5%
1994-95	\$ 63,580	\$ 3,576	6.0%	\$	52,462	\$ 2,973	6.0%	\$	69,224	\$	3,878	5.9%	\$	20,271	\$	1,252	6.6%	\$	63,580		-	-	\$	16,828		-	-
1993-94	\$ 60,004	\$ 2,342	4.1%	\$	49,489	\$ 1,943	4.1%	\$	65,346	\$	2,542	4.0%	\$	19,019	\$	799	4.4%		S	ee n	otes			S	ee n	otes	
1992-93	\$ 57,662	\$ 282	0.5%	\$	47,546	\$ 268	0.6%	\$	62,804	\$	283	0.5%	\$	18,220	\$	269	1.5%	\$	57,380	\$	-	0.0%	\$	15,187	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 57,380	\$ 2,309	4.2%	\$	47,278	\$ 1,874	4.1%	\$	62,521	\$	2,535	4.2%	\$	17,951	\$	582	3.4%	\$	57,380	\$	2,309	4.2%	\$	15,187	\$	611	4.2%
1990-91	\$ 55,071	\$ 2,304	4.4%	\$	45,404	\$ 1,917	4.4%	\$	59,986	\$	2,497	4.3%	\$	17,369	\$	812	4.9%	\$	55,071	\$	2,304	4.4%	\$	14,576	\$	610	4.4%
1989-90	\$ 52,767	\$ 2,514	5.0%	\$	43,487	\$ 2,087	5.0%	\$	57,489	\$	7,236	14.4%	\$	16,557	\$	862	5.5%	\$	52,767	\$	2,514	5.0%	\$	13,966	\$	665	5.0%
1988-89	\$ 50,253	\$ 6,881	15.9%	\$	41,400	\$ 6,447	18.4%	\$	50,253	\$	2,591	5.4%	\$	15,695	\$	923	6.2%	\$	50,253	\$	2,591	5.4%	\$	13,301	\$	687	5.4%
1987-88	\$ 43,372	\$ 2,176	5.3%	\$	34,953	\$ 1,771	5.3%	\$	47,662	\$	2,380	5.3%	\$	14,772	\$	812	5.8%	\$	47,662	\$	2,380	5.3%	\$	12,614	\$	629	5.2%
1986-87	\$ 41,196	\$ 2,902	7.6%	\$	33,182	\$ 2,367	7.7%	\$	45,282	\$	3,169	7.5%	\$	13,960	\$	1,109	8.6%	\$	45,282	\$	3,169	7.5%	\$	11,985	\$	839	7.5%
1985-86	\$ 38,294	-	-	\$	30,815	-	-	\$	42,113		-	-	\$	12,851		-	-	\$	42,113		-	-	\$	11,146		-	-
													-														

Solarias of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Burguent to the Solary Formula in Chapter 145. Elected Statutes

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members: therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

	Salaries	s of	Electe	d Cour	nty	Constit	tuti	onal O	officers	ar	nd Scho	0	Distri	ct Offic	ials	s Pursu	lar	nt to th	e Salar	уŀ	ormula	In	Chapt	er 145	, FI	orida S	tatu	tes	
									W	las	hington (Соі	unty: Fi	iscal Yea	ars	1985-86	i to	2014-1	5										
	Clerk of C			• •																									
Fiscal	Apprai	ser &	Tax Coll	lector		Supervi	sor	of Electi	ions			Sh	eriff			County	Co	ommissio	ners		School	Sup	erintend	lent		School			oers
Year	Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	GChg.	% Chg.		Salary	1	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15	\$ 98,77	2 \$	224	0.2%	\$	81,255	\$	179	0.2%	\$	107,712	\$	248	0.2%	\$	30,265	\$	41	0.1%	\$	98,772	\$	224	0.2%	\$	26,143	\$	59	0.2%
2013-14	\$ 98,54	3 \$	3,626	3.8%	\$	81,076	\$	2,995	3.8%	\$	107,463	\$	3,946	3.8%	\$	30,224	\$	1,171	4.0%	\$	98,548	\$	3,626	3.8%	\$	26,083	\$	960	3.8%
2012-13	\$ 94,92	2 \$	(83)	-0. 1%	\$	78,081	\$	(79)	-0.1%	\$	103,518	\$	(83)	-0.1%	\$	29,053	\$	(79)	-0.3%	\$	94,922	\$	(83)	-0.1%	\$	25,124	\$	(22)	-0.1%
2011-12	\$ 95,00	5\$	56	0.1%	\$	78,160	\$	54	0.1%	\$	103,601	\$	56	0.1%	\$	29,132	\$	54	0.2%	\$	95,005	\$	56	0.1%	\$	25,146	\$	15	0.1%
2010-11	\$ 94,94	9 \$	(19)	0.0%	\$	78,106	\$	(18)	0.0%	\$	103,544	\$	(19)	0.0%	\$	29,078	\$	(18)	-0.1%	\$	94,949	\$	2,216	2.4%	\$	25,131		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 94,96	7 \$	342	0.4%	\$	78,124	\$	326	0.4%	\$	103,563	\$	342	0.3%	\$	29,096	\$	326	1.1%	\$	92,733		See no	otes	\$	25,136		See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 94,62		312	0.3%	\$	77,798	\$	284	0.4%	\$	103,221	\$	321	0.3%	\$	28,771	\$	230	0.8%	\$	94,625	\$	312	0.3%	\$	25,045	\$	83	0.3%
2007-08	\$ 94,31		(9)	0.0%	\$	77,515	\$	(8)	0.0%	•	- ,	•	(9)	0.0%	\$	28,541	\$	(8)	0.0%	\$	94,313	\$	(9)	0.0%	\$	24,963		-	-
2006-07	\$ 94,32	2 \$	3,361	3.7%	\$	77,523	\$	2,789	3.7%	\$	102,908	\$	3,648	3.7%	\$	28,548	\$,	4.2%	\$	94,322	\$	3,361	3.7%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 90,96	I \$	3,773	4.3%	\$	74,734	\$	3,120	4.4%	\$	99,260	\$	4,102	4.3%	\$	27,397	\$,	4.7%	\$	90,961	\$	3,773	4.3%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 87,18	3 \$	1,273	1.5%	\$	71,614	\$	1,056	1.5%	\$	95,158		1,382	1.5%	\$	26,159	\$	433	1.7%	\$	87,188		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 85,91	5\$	1,745	2.1%	\$	70,558	\$	1,441	2.1%	\$	93,775	\$	1,899	2.1%	\$	25,727	\$	563	2.2%			See r	notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 84,17		2,224	2.7%	\$	69,117	\$	1,843	2.7%	\$	91,876	\$	2,415	2.7%	\$,	\$	750	3.1%	\$		\$	2,224	2.7%		-	See no		
2001-02	\$ 81,94		2,015	2.5%	\$	67,273	\$	1,612	2.5%	\$	89,461	\$	2,231	2.6%	\$,	\$	389	1.6%	\$	81,946	\$	2,015	2.5%	\$	21,689		533	2.5%
2000-01	\$ 79,93		2,409	3.1%	\$	65,661	\$	2,008	3.2%	\$	87,230	\$	2,608	3.1%	\$	24,025	\$	869	3.8%	\$	79,931	\$	2,409	3.1%	\$	21,156		638	3.1%
1999-00	\$ 77,52		3,039	4.1%	\$	63,653	\$	2,536	4.1%	\$	84,622	\$	3,289	4.0%	\$	23,156	\$	1,110	5.0%	\$	77,522	\$	3,039	4.1%	\$	20,518		804	4.1%
1998-99	\$ 74,48		3,724	5.3%	\$	61,117	\$	3,067	5.3%	\$	81,333	\$	4,059	5.3%	\$	22,046	\$	1,161	5.6%	\$	74,483	\$	3,724	5.3%	\$	19,714	•	986	5.3%
1997-98	\$ 70,75	9 \$	3,517	5.2%	\$	58,050	\$	2,908	5.3%	\$	77,274	\$	3,824	5.2%	\$	20,885	\$	1,151	5.8%	\$	70,759	\$	3,517	5.2%	\$	18,728	\$	930	5.2%
1996-97	\$ 67,24		3,825	6.0%	\$	55,142		3,163	6.1%	\$	73,450	\$	4,160	6.0%	\$	19,734	\$,	6.8%	\$	67,242	\$	3,825	6.0%	\$	17,798		1,013	6.0%
1995-96	\$ 63,41	7 \$	2,591	4.3%	\$	51,979	\$	2,140	4.3%	\$	69,290	\$	2,821	4.2%	\$	18,482	\$	834	4.7%	\$		\$	2,591	4.3%	\$	16,785	\$	686	4.3%
1994-95	\$ 60,82		3,277	5.7%	\$	49,839	\$	2,688	5.7%	\$	66,469	\$	3,578	5.7%	\$	17,648	\$	967	5.8%	\$	60,826		-	-	\$	16,099		-	-
1993-94	\$ 57,54		2,200	4.0%	\$	47,151	\$	1,808	4.0%	\$	62,891	\$	2,401	4.0%	\$	16,681	\$	664	4.1%				notes				See no	otes	
1992-93	\$ 55,34	9 \$	59	0.1%	\$	45,343	\$	56	0.1%	\$	60,490	\$	59	0.1%	\$	16,017	\$	56	0.4%	\$	55,290	\$	-	0.0%	\$	14,634	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 55,29		2,498	4.7%	\$	45,287	\$	2,054	4.8%	\$	60,431	\$	2,724	4.7%	\$	15,961	\$	763	5.0%	\$	55,290	\$	2,498	4.7%	\$	14,634		661	4.7%
1990-91	\$ 52,79		2,166	4.3%	\$	43,233	\$	1,785	4.3%	\$	57,707	\$	2,359	4.3%	\$	15,198	\$	680	4.7%	\$	- / -	\$	2,166	4.3%	\$	13,973		573	4.3%
1989-90	\$ 50,62	5 \$	2,411	5.0%	\$	41,448	\$	1,989	5.0%	\$	55,348	\$	7,133	14.8%	\$	14,518	\$	765	5.6%	\$	50,626	\$	2,411	5.0%	\$	13,400	\$	638	5.0%
1988-89	\$ 48,21	5\$	6,623	15.9%	\$	39,459	\$	6,202	18.6%	\$	48,215	\$	2,333	5.1%	\$	13,753	\$	676	5.2%	\$		\$	2,333	5.1%	\$	12,762	\$	618	5.1%
1987-88	\$ 41,59		2,030	5.1%	\$	33,257	\$	1,631	5.2%	\$	45,882	\$	2,234	5.1%	\$	13,077	\$	673	5.4%	\$	45,882	\$	2,234	5.1%	\$	12,144		591	5.1%
1986-87	\$ 39,56	2 \$	2,608	7.1%	\$	31,626	\$	2,087	7.1%	\$	43,648	\$	2,875	7.1%	\$	12,404	\$	830	7.2%	\$	43,648	\$	2,875	7.1%	\$	11,553	\$	761	7.1%
1985-86	\$ 36,95	1	-	-	\$	29,539		-	-	\$	40,773		-	-	\$	11,574		-	-	\$	40,773		-	-	\$	10,792		-	-

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145. Florida Statutes

Notes:

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members: therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.