Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Alachua County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

										•	.,		•			• . •											
Fi1	Clerk of Cir				O	(- 1	-4!			OI:				0	^			0-		٥	!			0-111		-1 M	
Fiscal		r & Tax Col		Ь.		sor of Ele		_			eriff			County							erinten			School I			
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	_	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Sala	,		Chg.	% Chg.	,	Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.
2016-17	\$ 132,479	\$ 477	0.4%	_	132,479	\$ 19,59		\$		\$	490	0.3%	\$	73,937	\$	376	0.5%	\$ 132		\$	477	0.4%	\$	36,542	\$	128	0.4%
2015-16	\$ 132,002	\$ 329	0.2%	\$	112,888	\$ 29		\$	140,951	\$	339	0.2%	\$	73,561	\$	256	0.3%	\$ 132	002	\$	329	0.2%	\$	36,413	\$	89	0.2%
2014-15	\$ 131,673	\$ 439	0.3%	_	112,589	\$ 38		\$,	\$	463	0.3%	\$	73,305	\$	277	0.4%	\$ 131		\$	439	0.3%	\$	36,324	\$	120	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 131,234	\$ 4,670	3.7%		112,205	\$ 3,99	3.7%	\$	140,149	\$	4,990	3.7%	\$	73,028	\$	2,584	3.7%	\$ 131	234	\$	4,670	3.7%	\$	36,204	\$	1,289	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 126,564	\$ 0	0.0%	\$	108,216	-	0.0%	\$	135,159	\$	0	0.0%	\$	70,444	\$	0	0.0%	\$ 126	564	\$	0	0.0%	\$	34,916	\$	0	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 126,564	\$ (574)	-0.5%	\$	108,216	\$ (54	6) -0.5%	\$	135,159	\$	(574)	-0.4%	\$	70,444	\$	(546)	-0.8%	\$ 126	564	\$	(574)	-0.5%	\$	34,916	\$	(152)	-0.4%
2010-11	\$ 127,137	\$ 248	0.2%	\$	108,762	\$ 23	6 0.2%	\$	135,733	\$	248	0.2%	\$	70,990	\$	236	0.3%	\$ 127	137	\$	3,091	2.5%	\$	35,067		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 126,889	\$ 312	0.2%	\$	108,526	\$ 29	7 0.3%	\$	135,485	\$	312	0.2%	\$	70,754	\$	297	0.4%	\$ 124	046		See no	otes	\$	35,002		See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 126,577	\$ 383	0.3%	\$	108,229	\$ 35	1 0.3%	\$	135,173	\$	392	0.3%	\$	70,457	\$	309	0.4%	\$ 126	577	\$	383	0.3%	\$	34,919	\$	103	0.3%
2007-08	\$ 126,195	\$ 193	0.2%	\$	107,878	\$ 18	4 0.2%	\$	134,781	\$	193	0.1%	\$	70,148	\$	184	0.3%	\$ 126	195	\$	193	0.2%	\$	34,816		-	-
2006-07	\$ 126,002	\$ 4,500	3.7%	\$	107,694	\$ 3,87	4 3.7%	\$	134,588	44	4,787	3.7%	44	69,964	\$	2,612	3.9%	\$ 126	002	\$	4,500	3.7%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 121,502	\$ 5,123	4.4%	\$	103,821	\$ 4,40	6 4.4%	\$	129,801	\$	5,453	4.4%	\$	67,352	\$	2,956	4.6%	\$ 121	502	\$	5,123	4.4%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 116,379	\$ 1,754	1.5%	\$	99,415	\$ 1,51	4 1.5%	\$	124,348	\$	1,863	1.5%	\$	64,396	\$	1,034	1.6%	\$ 116	379		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 114,625	\$ 2,574	2.3%	\$	97,901	\$ 2,23	1 2.3%	\$	122,485	\$	2,729	2.3%	\$	63,362	\$	1,554	2.5%		S	ee r	notes			N/A		-	
2002-03	\$ 112,051	\$ 3,067	2.8%	\$	95,670	\$ 2,64	6 2.8%	\$	119,757	44	3,259	2.8%	44	61,808	\$	1,804	3.0%	\$ 112	051	\$	3,067	2.8%		S	ee n	otes	
2001-02	\$ 108,984	\$ 3,205	3.0%	\$	93,023	\$ 2,74	3.0%	\$	116,498	\$	3,419	3.0%	\$	60,004	\$	1,802	3.1%	\$ 108	984	\$	3,205	3.0%	\$	30,085	\$	884	3.0%
2000-01	\$ 105,779	\$ 3,151	3.1%	\$	90,279	\$ 2,71	3.1%	\$	113,079	\$	3,351	3.1%	\$	58,202	\$	1,838	3.3%	\$ 105	779	\$	3,151	3.1%	\$	29,201	\$	867	3.1%
1999-00	\$ 102,628	\$ 3,783	3.8%	\$	87,564	\$ 3,24	4 3.8%	\$	109,728	\$	4,033	3.8%	\$	56,364	\$	2,145	4.0%	\$ 102	628	\$	3,783	3.8%	\$	28,334	\$	1,042	3.8%
1998-99	\$ 98,846	\$ 5,117	5.5%	\$	84,320	\$ 4,39	4 5.5%	\$	105,696	\$	5,451	5.4%	\$	54,219	\$	2,925	5.7%	\$ 98	846	\$	5,117	5.5%	\$	27,292	\$	1,409	5.4%
1997-98	\$ 93,729	\$ 4,664	5.2%	\$	79,926	\$ 4,00	1 5.3%	\$	100,245	44	4,973	5.2%	44	51,294	\$	2,750	5.7%	\$ 93	729	\$	4,664	5.2%	\$	25,883	\$	1,293	5.3%
1996-97	\$ 89,065	\$ 5,127	6.1%	\$	75,925	\$ 4,40	2 6.2%	\$	95,272	\$	5,461	6.1%	\$	48,544	\$	3,170	7.0%	\$ 89	065	\$	5,127	6.1%	\$	24,590	\$	1,429	6.2%
1995-96	\$ 83,938	\$ 3,501	4.4%	\$	71,523	\$ 3,00	6 4.4%	\$	89,811	\$	3,730	4.3%	\$	45,374	\$	2,160	5.0%	\$ 83	938	\$	3,501	4.4%	\$	23,161	\$	975	4.4%
1994-95	\$ 80,437	\$ 4,599	6.1%	\$	68,517	\$ 3,94	7 6.1%	\$	86,081	\$	4,900	6.0%	\$	43,214	\$	2,820	7.0%	\$ 80	437			-	\$	22,186		-	-
1993-94	\$ 75,838	\$ 3,002	4.1%	\$	64,570	\$ 2,57	2 4.1%	\$	81,181	44	3,204	4.1%	44	40,394	\$	1,784	4.6%			ee r	notes			S	ee n	otes	
1992-93	\$ 72,836	\$ 140	0.2%	\$	61,998	\$ 13	3 0.2%	\$	77,977	\$	139	0.2%	\$	38,610	\$	240	0.6%		696	\$	-	0.0%	\$	20,027	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 72,696	\$ 2,884	4.1%	\$	61,865	\$ 2,42	2 4.1%	\$	77,838	\$	3,110	4.2%	\$	38,370	\$	1,145	3.1%	\$ 72	696	\$	2,884	4.1%	\$	20,027	\$	781	4.1%
1990-91	\$ 69,812	\$ 2,976	4.5%	\$	59,443	\$ 2,55	8 4.5%	\$	74,728	\$	3,171	4.4%	\$	37,225	\$	1,854	5.2%	\$ 69	812	\$	2,976	4.5%	\$	19,246	\$	830	4.5%
1989-90	\$ 66,836	\$ 3,221	5.1%	\$	56,885	\$ 2,75	9 5.1%	\$	71,557	\$	7,942	12.5%	\$	35,371	\$	1,920	5.7%	\$ 66	836	\$	3,221	5.1%	\$	18,416	\$	895	5.1%
1988-89	\$ 63,615	\$ 7,541	13.4%	\$	54,126	\$ 7,07	6 15.0%	\$	63,615	\$	3,250	5.4%	\$	33,451	\$	1,940	6.2%	\$ 63	615	\$	3,250	5.4%	\$	17,521	\$	904	5.4%
1987-88	\$ 56,074	\$ 2,827	5.3%	\$	47,050	\$ 2,39	0 5.4%	\$	60,365	\$	3,031	5.3%	\$	31,511	\$	1,776	6.0%	\$ 60	365	\$	3,031	5.3%	\$	16,617	\$	841	5.3%
1986-87	\$ 53,247	\$ 3,706	7.5%	\$	44,660	\$ 3,13	4 7.5%	\$	57,334	\$	3,974	7.4%	\$	29,735	\$	2,327	8.5%	\$ 57	334	\$	3,974	7.4%	\$	15,776	\$	1,103	7.5%
1985-86	\$ 49,541	-	-	\$	41,526	-	-	\$	53,360		-	-	\$	27,408		-	-	\$ 53	360		-	-	\$	14,673		-	-

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptroller, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Baker County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

- : .			cuit Court,								٥.	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			•	_					_					_		
Fiscal			r & Tax Co				sor of Elec		1	<u> </u>	_	eriff	0/ 01				mmissio		_	School				—	School I			
Year		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	_		% Chg.
2016-17	\$,	\$ 157	0.2%	\$		\$ 17,729	21.6%	\$,	_	171	0.2%	\$	31,056			0.2%	\$,	\$	157	0.2%	\$	26,408	\$	42	0.2%
2015-16	\$	99,619	\$ 147	0.1%	\$,	\$ 126	0.2%	\$,	•	157	0.1%	\$	31,001	\$		0.2%	\$	99,619	\$	147	0.1%	\$	26,367	\$	39	0.1%
2014-15	\$	99,472	\$ 250	0.3%	\$	81,922	\$ 204	0.2%	\$,		274	0.3%	\$	30,931	\$		0.2%	\$	99,472	\$	250	0.3%	\$	26,328	\$	66	0.3%
2013-14	\$	99,222	\$ 3,562	3.7%	\$	81,718	\$ 2,934	3.7%	\$,		3,882	3.7%	\$	30,866	\$	-,	3.7%	\$	99,222	\$	3,562	3.7%	\$	26,262	\$	943	3.7%
2012-13	\$	95,660	\$ (61)	-0.1%	\$,	\$ (58)	-0.1%	_	,		(61)	-0.1%	\$	29,756	\$	(58)	-0.2%	\$	95,660	\$	(61)	-0.1%	\$	25,319	\$	(16)	-0.1%
2011-12	\$	95,720	\$ 392	0.4%	\$	78,841	\$ 373	0.5%		104,316		392	0.4%	\$	29,813	\$	373	1.3%	\$	95,720	\$	392	0.4%	\$	25,335	\$	104	0.4%
2010-11	\$	95,328	\$ 3	0.0%	\$,	\$ 3	0.0%	\$	103,924	\$	3	0.0%	\$	29,440	\$		0.0%	\$	95,328	\$	1,994	2.1%	\$	25,231		See no	tes
2009-10	\$	95,326	\$ 87	0.1%	\$	78,465	\$ 82	0.1%	\$	103,921	\$	87	0.1%	\$	29,437	\$	82	0.3%	\$	93,334		See no	otes	\$	25,230		See no	tes
2008-09	\$	95,239	\$ 304	0.3%	\$	78,383	\$ 276	0.4%	\$	103,835	\$	313	0.3%	44	29,355	\$	222	0.8%	\$	95,239	\$	304	0.3%	44	25,207	\$	80	0.3%
2007-08	\$	94,935	\$ 337	0.4%	\$	78,107	\$ 321	0.4%	\$	103,521	\$	337	0.3%	44	29,133	\$		1.1%	\$	94,935	\$	337	0.4%	44	25,127		-	-
2006-07	\$	94,598	\$ 3,161	3.5%	\$	77,786	\$ 2,599	3.5%	\$	103,184	\$	3,448	3.5%	\$	28,811	\$	961	3.5%	\$	94,598	\$	3,161	3.5%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$	91,437	\$ 3,809	4.3%	\$	75,187	\$ 3,155	4.4%	\$	99,736	\$	4,139	4.3%	\$	27,850	\$	1,272	4.8%	\$	91,437	\$	3,809	4.3%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$	87,628	\$ 1,317	1.5%	\$	72,032	\$ 1,097	1.5%	\$	95,597	\$	1,426	1.5%	\$	26,578	\$	474	1.8%	\$	87,628		-			N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$	86,311	\$ 1,816	2.1%	\$	70,935	\$ 1,509	2.2%	\$	94,171	\$	1,970	2.1%	\$	26,104	\$	630	2.5%		S	ee n	otes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$	84,495	\$ 2,186	2.7%	\$	69,426	\$ 1,808	2.7%	\$	92,201	\$	2,378	2.6%	\$	25,474	\$	715	2.9%	\$	84,495	\$	2,186	2.7%		S	ee no	otes	
2001-02	\$	82,309	\$ 2,454	3.1%	\$	67,619	\$ 2,029	3.1%	\$	89,823	\$	2,668	3.1%	\$	24,759	\$	806	3.4%	\$	82,309	\$	2,454	3.1%	\$	21,785	\$	649	3.1%
2000-01	\$	79,855	\$ 2,383	3.1%	\$	65,589	\$ 1,983	3.1%	\$	87,155	\$	2,583	3.1%	\$	23,953	\$	845	3.7%	\$	79,855	\$	2,383	3.1%	\$	21,136	\$	631	3.1%
1999-00	\$	77,472	\$ 2,727	3.6%	\$	63,606	\$ 2,239	3.6%	\$	84,572	\$	2,977	3.6%	\$	23,108	\$	812	3.6%	\$	77,472	\$	2,727	3.6%	\$	20,505	\$	722	3.6%
1998-99	\$	74,745	\$ 3,752	5.3%	\$	61,367	\$ 3,094	5.3%	\$	81,595	\$	4,087	5.3%	\$	22,296	\$	1,188	5.6%	\$	74,745	\$	3,752	5.3%	\$	19,783	\$	993	5.3%
1997-98	\$	70,993	\$ 3,457	5.1%	\$	58,273	\$ 2,851	5.1%	\$	77,508	\$	3,764	5.1%	\$	21,108	\$	1,094	5.5%	\$	70,993	\$	3,457	5.1%	\$	18,790	\$	915	5.1%
1996-97	\$	67,536	\$ 3,770	5.9%	\$	55,422	\$ 3,110	5.9%	\$	73,744	\$	4,105	5.9%	\$	20,014	\$	1,200	6.4%	\$	67,536	\$	3,770	5.9%	\$	17,875	\$	997	5.9%
1995-96	\$	63,766	\$ 2,523	4.1%	\$	52,312	\$ 2,075	4.1%	\$	69,639	\$	2,752	4.1%	\$	18,814	\$	768	4.3%	\$	63,766	\$	2,523	4.1%	\$	16,878	\$	668	4.1%
1994-95	\$	61,243	\$ 3,349	5.8%	\$	50,237	\$ 2,757	5.8%	\$	66,887	\$	3,651	5.8%	\$	18,046	\$	1,036	6.1%	\$	61,243		-		\$	16,210		-	-
1993-94	\$	57,894	\$ 2,222	4.0%	\$	47,480	\$ 1,829	4.0%	\$	63,236	\$	2,422	4.0%	\$	17,010	\$	685	4.2%		S	See n	otes			S	ee no	otes	
1992-93	\$	55,672	\$ 80	0.1%	\$	45,651	\$ 76	0.2%	\$	60,814	\$	81	0.1%	\$	16,325	\$	77	0.5%	\$	55,592	\$	-	0.0%	\$	14,714	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$	55,592	\$ 2,287	4.3%	\$	45,575	\$ 1,853	4.2%	\$	60,733	\$	2,513	4.3%	\$	16,248	\$	561	3.6%	\$	55,592	\$	2,287	4.3%	\$	14,714	\$	605	4.3%
1990-91	\$	53,305	\$ 2,221	4.3%	\$	43,722	\$ 1,838	4.4%	\$	58,220	\$	2,414	4.3%	\$	15,687	\$	733	4.9%	\$	53,305	\$	2,221	4.3%	\$	14,109	\$	588	4.3%
1989-90	\$	51,084	\$ 2,376	4.9%	\$	41,884	\$ 1,956	4.9%	\$	55,806	\$	7,098	14.6%	\$	14,954	\$	731	5.1%	\$	51,084	\$	2,376	4.9%	\$	13,521	\$	629	4.9%
1988-89	\$	48,708	\$ 6,724	16.0%	\$	39,928	\$ 6,297	18.7%	\$	48,708	\$	2,433	5.3%	\$	14,223	\$	772	5.7%	\$	48,708	\$	2,433	5.3%	\$	12,892	\$	644	5.3%
1987-88	\$	41,984	\$ 2,067	5.2%	\$	33,631	\$ 1,667	5.2%	\$	46,275	\$	2,272	5.2%	\$	13,451	\$	709	5.6%	\$	46,275	\$	2,272	5.2%	\$	12,248	\$	601	5.2%
1986-87	\$	39,917	\$ 2,649	7.1%	\$	31,964	\$ 2,127	7.1%	\$	44,003	\$	2,916	7.1%	\$	12,742	\$	869	7.3%	\$	44,003	\$	2,916	7.1%	\$	11,647	\$	772	7.1%
1985-86	\$	37,268	-	-	\$	29,837	-	-	\$	41,087		-	-	\$	11,873	İ	-	-	\$	41,087		-	-	\$	10,875		-	-
	•	,			•				•	,					**	•				,	1				, ,			

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Bay County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

	Clerk of Circ	cuit Court,	Property																				
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervi	sor of Elec	tions		Sheriff		County	Co	mmissio	ners	School	Superir	tend	lent		School	Boar	d Memb	pers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	,	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	•	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Cho	J.	% Chg.	Ş	Salary	\$ 0	Chg.	% Chg.
2016-17	\$ 125,798	\$ 470	0.4%	\$	125,798	\$ 19,267	18.1%	\$ 134,761	\$ 484	0.4%	\$ 65,297	\$	582	0.9%	\$ 125,798	\$ 4	70	0.4%	\$	34,617	\$	141	0.4%
2015-16	\$ 125,328	\$ 241	0.2%	\$	106,532	\$ 215	0.2%	\$ 134,277	\$ 251	0.2%	\$ 64,714	\$	247	0.4%	\$ 125,328	\$ 2	41	0.2%	\$	34,475	\$	71	0.2%
2014-15	\$ 125,087	\$ 391	0.3%	\$	106,316	\$ 338	0.3%	\$ 134,027	\$ 416	0.3%	\$ 64,467	\$	265	0.4%	\$ 125,087	\$ 3	91	0.3%	\$	34,405	\$	110	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 124,695	\$ 4,485	3.7%	\$	105,978	\$ 3,813	3.7%	\$ 133,611	\$ 4,804	3.7%	\$ 64,202	\$	2,324	3.8%	\$ 124,695	\$ 4,4	85	3.7%	\$	34,295	\$	1,234	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 120,211	\$ 46	0.0%	\$	102,166	\$ 44	0.0%	\$ 128,807	\$ 46	0.0%	\$ 61,879	\$	78	0.1%	\$ 120,211	\$	46	0.0%	\$	33,061	\$	15	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 120,165	\$ (76)	-0.1%	\$	102,122	\$ (73)	-0.1%	\$ 128,761	\$ (76)	-0.1%	\$ 61,800	\$	(131)	-0.2%	\$ 120,165	\$ (76)	-0.1%	\$	33,046	\$	(24)	-0.1%
2010-11	\$ 120,241	\$ 27	0.0%	\$	102,195	\$ 26	0.0%	\$ 128,837	\$ 27	0.0%	\$ 61,931	\$	47	0.1%	\$ 120,241	\$ 2,6	09	2.2%	\$	33,071		See no	tes
2009-10	\$ 120,214	\$ 181	0.2%	\$	102,169	\$ 172	0.2%	\$ 128,810	\$ 181	0.1%	\$ 61,884	\$	309	0.5%	\$ 117,633	Se	e not	tes	\$	33,062		See no	tes
2008-09	\$ 120,033	\$ 359	0.3%	\$	101,997	\$ 328	0.3%	\$ 128,629	\$ 368	0.3%	\$ 61,575	\$	457	0.7%	\$ 120,033	\$ 3	59	0.3%	\$	33,005	\$	108	0.3%
2007-08	\$ 119,674	\$ 406	0.3%	\$	101,668	\$ 387	0.4%	\$ 128,260	\$ 406	0.3%	\$ 61,118	\$	697	1.2%	\$ 119,674	\$ 4	06	0.3%	\$	32,896		-	-
2006-07	\$ 119,268	\$ 4,329	3.8%	\$	101,281	\$ 3,711	3.8%	\$ 127,855	\$ 4,617	3.7%	\$ 60,421	\$	2,605	4.5%	\$ 119,268	\$ 4,3	29	3.8%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 114,939	\$ 4,930	4.5%	\$	97,570	\$ 4,222	4.5%	\$ 123,238	\$ 5,260	4.5%	\$ 57,816	\$	2,916	5.3%	\$ 114,939	\$ 4,9	30	4.5%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 110,009	\$ 1,768	1.6%	\$	93,348	\$ 1,527	1.7%	\$ 117,978	\$ 1,877	1.6%	\$ 54,900	\$	1,197	2.2%	\$ 110,009	-		-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 108,241	\$ 2,304	2.2%	\$	91,821	\$ 1,974	2.2%	\$ 116,101	\$ 2,459	2.2%	\$ 53,703	\$	1,366	2.6%	S	ee note	s			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 105,937	\$ 2,829	2.7%	\$	89,847	\$ 2,419	2.8%	\$ 113,643	\$ 3,020	2.7%	\$ 52,337	\$	1,635	3.2%	\$ 105,937	\$ 2,8	29	2.7%		S	ee n	otes	
2001-02	\$ 103,108	\$ 2,770	2.8%	\$	87,427	\$ 2,331	2.7%	\$ 110,622	\$ 2,984	2.8%	\$ 50,702	\$	1,150	2.3%	\$ 103,108	\$ 2,7	70	2.8%	\$	28,274		752	2.7%
2000-01	\$ 100,338	\$ 2,977	3.1%	\$	85,097	\$ 2,549	3.1%	\$ 107,638	\$ 3,177	3.0%	\$ 49,552	\$	1,754	3.7%	\$ 100,338	\$ 2,9	77	3.1%	\$	27,522	\$	827	3.1%
1999-00	\$ 97,361	\$ 3,678	3.9%	\$	82,548	\$ 3,145	4.0%	\$ 104,461	\$ 3,928	3.9%	\$ 47,798	\$	2,111	4.6%	\$ 97,361	\$ 3,6	78	3.9%	\$	26,695	\$	1,019	4.0%
1998-99	\$ 93,683	\$ 4,769	5.4%	\$	79,403	\$ 4,063	5.4%	\$ 100,533	\$ 5,104	5.3%	\$ 45,687	\$	2,568	6.0%	\$ 93,683	\$ 4,7	69	5.4%	\$	25,676	\$	1,316	5.4%
1997-98	\$ 88,914	\$ 4,434	5.2%	\$	75,340	\$ 3,781	5.3%	\$ 95,429	\$ 4,742	5.2%	\$ 43,119	\$	2,435	6.0%	\$ 88,914	\$ 4,4	34	5.2%	\$	24,360	\$	1,225	5.3%
1996-97	\$ 84,480	\$ 4,770	6.0%	\$	71,559	\$ 4,062	6.0%	\$ 90,687	\$ 5,104	6.0%	\$ 40,684	\$	2,558	6.7%	\$ 84,480	\$ 4,7	70	6.0%	\$	23,135	\$	1,315	6.0%
1995-96	\$ 79,710	\$ 3,265	4.3%	\$	67,497	\$ 2,782	4.3%	\$ 85,583	\$ 3,495	4.3%	\$ 38,126	\$	1,756	4.8%	\$ 79,710	\$ 3,2	65	4.3%	\$	21,820	\$	901	4.3%
1994-95	\$ 76,445	\$ 4,269	5.9%	\$	64,715	\$ 3,633	5.9%	\$ 82,088	\$ 4,570	5.9%	\$ 36,370	\$	2,256	6.6%	\$ 76,445			-	\$	20,919		-	-
1993-94	\$ 72,176	\$ 2,888	4.2%	\$	61,082	\$ 2,463	4.2%	\$ 77,518	\$ 3,088	4.1%	\$ 34,114	\$	1,586	4.9%	9	ee note	s			S	ee n	otes	
1992-93	\$ 69,288	\$ 101	0.1%	\$	58,619	\$ 96	0.2%	\$ 74,430	\$ 101	0.1%	\$ 32,528	\$	174	0.5%	\$ 69,187	\$	•	0.0%	\$	18,913	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 69,187	\$ 2,512	3.8%	\$	58,523	\$ 2,068	3.7%	\$ 74,329	\$ 2,739	3.8%	\$ 32,354	\$	507	1.6%	\$ 69,187	\$ 2,5	12	3.8%	\$	18,913	\$	663	3.6%
1990-91	\$ 66,675	\$ 2,782	4.4%	\$	56,455	\$ 2,372	4.4%	\$ 71,590	\$ 2,975	4.3%	\$ 31,847	\$	1,520	5.0%	\$ 66,675	\$ 2,7	82	4.4%	\$	18,250	\$	768	4.4%
1989-90	\$ 63,893	\$ 3,097	5.1%	\$	54,083	\$ 2,642	5.1%	\$ 68,615		12.9%	\$ 30,327	\$	1,708	6.0%	\$ 63,893	\$ 3,0		5.1%	\$	17,482	\$	856	5.1%
1988-89	\$ 60,796	\$ 7,440	13.9%	\$	51,441	\$ 6,980	15.7%	\$ 60,796	\$ 3,150	5.5%	\$ 28,619	\$	1,769	6.6%	\$ 60,796	\$ 3,1	50	5.5%	\$	16,626	\$	872	5.5%
1987-88	\$ 53,356	\$ 2,836	5.6%	\$	44,461	\$ 2,399	5.7%	\$ 57,646	,	5.6%	\$ 26,850	\$	1,791	7.1%	\$ 57,646	\$ 3,0	40	5.6%	\$	15,754	_	843	5.7%
1986-87	\$ 50,520	\$ 3,618	7.7%	\$	42,062	\$ 3,050	7.8%	\$ 54,606	\$ 3,885	7.7%	\$ 25,059	\$	2,176	9.5%	\$ 54,606	\$ 3,8	85	7.7%	\$	14,911	\$	1,075	7.8%
1985-86	\$ 46,902		-	\$	39,012	-	-	\$ 50,721	-	-	\$ 22,883		-	-	\$ 50,721	-		-	\$	13,836		- 1	-

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Bradford County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

	Clerk of Circuit Court, Proper						4													_							
Fiscal	_					Supervi	 			-	eriff					mmissio			School					School I			
Year	ÿ	Salary	\$ CI	•	% Chg.	 Salary	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.	,	Salary		Chg.	% Chg.	,	Salary		Chg.	% Chg.	"	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2016-17	\$,	•	144	0.1%	\$ 99,875		21.6%	\$ 108,838	\$	158	0.1%	\$	31,149		42	0.1%	\$,	\$	144	0.1%	\$	26,434	\$	38	0.1%
2015-16	\$	99,731		146	0.1%	\$ 82,154	\$ 125	0.2%	\$ 108,680	\$	156	0.1%	\$	31,107	\$	68	0.2%	\$,	\$	146	0.1%	\$	26,396	\$	39	0.1%
2014-15	\$	99,585	•	262	0.3%	\$ 82,029	\$ 215	0.3%	\$ 108,525	\$	286	0.3%	\$	31,039	\$	77	0.2%	\$	00,000	\$	262	0.3%	\$	26,358	\$	69	0.3%
2013-14	\$	99,323	\$ 3	,104	3.2%	\$ 81,814	\$ 2,497	3.1%	\$ 108,238	\$	3,423	3.3%	\$	30,962	\$	674	2.2%	\$	99,323	\$	3,104	3.2%	\$	26,288	\$	822	3.2%
2012-13	\$	96,219	\$	46	0.0%	\$ 79,316	\$ 44	0.1%	\$ 104,815	44	46	0.0%	\$	30,288	44	44	0.1%	\$	96,219	\$	46	0.0%	44	25,467	\$	12	0.0%
2011-12	\$	96,173	\$ ((182)	-0.2%	\$ -, -	\$ (173)	-0.2%	\$ 104,769	\$	(182)	-0.2%	\$	30,245	\$	(173)	-0.6%	\$	96,173	\$	(182)	-0.2%	\$	25,455	\$	(48)	-0.2%
2010-11	\$	96,355	\$	8	0.0%	\$ 79,446	\$ 8	0.0%	\$ 104,951	44	8	0.0%	\$	30,418	44	8	0.0%	\$	96,355	\$	1,937	2.1%	44	25,503		See no	otes
2009-10	\$	96,347	\$	2	0.0%	\$ 79,438	\$ 2	0.0%	\$ 104,943	\$	2	0.0%	\$	30,410	\$	1	0.0%	\$	94,418		See no	otes	\$	25,501		See no	otes
2008-09	\$	96,345	\$	268	0.3%	\$ 79,436	\$ 242	0.3%	\$ 104,941	44	278	0.3%	\$	30,409	44	188	0.6%	\$	96,345	\$	268	0.3%	44	25,500	\$	71	0.3%
2007-08	\$	96,077		138	0.1%	\$ 79,195	132	0.2%	\$ 104,663	\$	138	0.1%	\$	30,221	\$	132	0.4%	\$,	\$	138	0.1%	\$	25,429		-	-
2006-07	\$	95,939	\$ 3	,326	3.6%	\$ 79,063	\$ 2,756	3.6%	\$ 104,525	\$	3,613	3.6%	\$	30,088	\$	1,118	3.9%	\$,	\$	3,326	3.6%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$	92,613		,913	4.4%	\$ -,	\$ 3,253	4.5%	\$,-	44	4,243	4.4%	\$	28,970	\$	1,371	5.0%	\$	- ,	\$	3,913	4.4%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$	88,700	\$ 1,	,350	1.5%	\$ 73,054	\$ 1,129	1.6%	\$ 96,669	\$	1,459	1.5%	\$	27,599	\$	506	1.9%	\$	88,700		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$	87,350		,838	2.1%	\$,	\$ 1,530	2.2%	\$ 95,210	44	1,992	2.1%	\$	27,093	\$	651	2.5%			ee r	notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$	85,512	\$ 2	,124	2.5%	\$ 70,394	\$ 1,748	2.5%	\$ 93,218	\$	2,316	2.5%	\$	26,442	\$	655	2.5%	\$	85,512	\$	2,124	2.5%		S	ee n	otes	
2001-02	\$	83,388		,541	3.1%	\$ 68,646	\$ 2,113	3.2%	\$ 90,902	44	2,756	3.1%	\$	25,787	\$	889	3.6%	\$	83,388	\$	2,541	3.1%	\$	22,071	\$	673	3.1%
2000-01	\$	80,846	\$ 2	,250	2.9%	\$ 66,533	\$ 1,856	2.9%	\$ 88,146	\$	2,449	2.9%	\$	24,897	\$	718	3.0%	\$	80,846	\$	2,250	2.9%	\$	21,398	\$	595	2.9%
1999-00	\$	78,596	•	,799	3.7%	\$ 64,677	\$ 2,308	3.7%	\$ 85,697	44	3,050	3.7%	\$	24,179	\$	881	3.8%	\$	78,596	\$	2,799	3.7%	\$	20,803	•	741	3.7%
1998-99	\$	75,797		,760	5.2%	\$ 62,369	\$ 3,102	5.2%	\$ 82,647	44	4,094	5.2%	\$	23,298	\$	1,195	5.4%	\$	75,797	\$	3,760	5.2%	\$	20,062	\$	995	5.2%
1997-98	\$	72,037	\$ 3	,555	5.2%	\$ 59,267	\$ 2,944	5.2%	\$ 78,553	\$	3,863	5.2%	\$	22,103	\$	1,188	5.7%	\$	72,037	\$	3,555	5.2%	\$	19,067	\$	941	5.2%
1996-97	\$	68,482	\$ 3	,722	5.7%	\$ 56,323	\$ 3,065	5.8%	\$ 74,690	44	4,058	5.7%	\$	20,915	44	1,155	5.8%	\$	68,482	\$	3,722	5.7%	\$	18,126	\$	986	5.8%
1995-96	\$	64,760		,716	4.4%	\$ 53,258	\$ 2,258	4.4%	\$ 70,632	44	2,944	4.3%	\$	19,760	4	951	5.1%	\$	64,760	\$	2,716	4.4%	\$	17,140	\$	718	4.4%
1994-95	\$	62,044	\$ 3	,369	5.7%	\$	\$ 2,776	5.8%	\$ 67,688	44	3,671	5.7%	\$	18,809	44	1,055	5.9%	\$	62,044		-	-	\$	16,422		-	-
1993-94	\$	58,675	\$ 2	,262	4.0%	\$ 48,224	\$ 1,867	4.0%	\$ 64,017	\$	2,462	4.0%	\$	17,754	\$	723	4.2%		S	ee r	notes			S	ee n	otes	
1992-93	\$	56,413	\$	44	0.1%	\$ 46,357	\$ 42	0.1%	\$ 61,555	44	45	0.1%	\$	17,031	\$	43	0.3%	\$	56,369	\$	-	0.0%	\$	14,919	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$	56,369	\$ 2	,061	3.8%	\$ 46,315	\$ 1,638	3.7%	\$ 61,510	44	2,287	3.9%	\$	16,988	44	346	2.1%	\$	56,369	\$	2,061	3.8%	\$	14,919	\$	545	3.8%
1990-91	\$	54,308	\$ 2	,230	4.3%	\$ 44,677	\$ 1,846	4.3%	\$ 59,223	\$	2,423	4.3%	\$	16,642	\$	741	4.7%	\$	54,308	\$	2,230	4.3%	\$	14,374	\$	590	4.3%
1989-90	\$	52,078	\$ 2	,397	4.8%	\$ 42,831	\$ 1,976	4.8%	\$ 56,800	44	7,119	14.3%	\$	15,901	\$	752	5.0%	\$	52,078	\$	2,397	4.8%	\$	13,784	\$	635	4.8%
1988-89	\$	49,681	\$ 6	,781	15.8%	\$ 40,855	\$ 6,352	18.4%	\$ 49,681	44	2,491	5.3%	\$	15,149	\$	826	5.8%	\$	49,681	\$	2,491	5.3%	\$	13,149	\$	659	5.3%
1987-88	\$	42,900	\$ 2	,050	5.0%	\$ 34,503	\$ 1,650	5.0%	\$ 47,190	\$	2,253	5.0%	\$	14,323	\$	692	5.1%	\$	47,190	\$	2,253	5.0%	\$	12,490	\$	596	5.0%
1986-87	\$	40,850	\$ 2	,730	7.2%	\$ 32,853	\$ 2,205	7.2%	\$ 44,937	44	2,998	7.1%	\$	13,631	\$	947	7.5%	\$	44,937	\$	2,998	7.1%	\$	11,894	\$	794	7.2%
1985-86	\$	38,120	-		-	\$ 30,648	-	-	\$ 41,939		-	-	\$	12,684		-	-	\$	41,939		-	-	\$	11,100		-	-
				•																							

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Brevard County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17 Clerk of Circuit Court, Property Fiscal Appraiser & Tax Collector Supervisor of Elections Sheriff **County Commissioners** School Superintendent **School Board Members** Salary Salary Salary \$ Chg. % Chg. Salary Year \$ Chg. % Chg. \$ Chg. % Chg. Salary \$ Chg. % Chg. \$ Chg. % Chg. Salary \$ Chg. % Chg. 2016-17 154,819 0.3% 145,856 \$ \$ 145,856 | \$ 425 0.3% 145,856 \$ 20,179 16.1% 439 86,678 327 0.4% 425 0.3% 40,080 \$ 115 0.3% 2015-16 \$ 145,431 \$ 250 0.2% \$ 125,678 | \$ 224 0.2% \$ 154,381 | \$ 260 0.2% 86,351 181 0.2% \$ 145,431 | \$ 250 0.2% 39,966 68 0.2% 2014-15 \$ 145,181 \$ 455 0.3% \$ 125,454 \$ 399 0.3% \$ 154,120 480 0.3% 86,170 293 0.3% \$ 145,181 \$ 455 0.3% 39,898 125 0.3% 2013-14 \$ 144,725 \$ 5,200 3.7% \$ 125,055 \$ 4,494 3.7% \$ 153,641 \$ 5,520 3.7% 85,878 \$ 3,089 3.7% \$ 144,725 \$ 5,200 3.7% 39,773 \$ 1,429 3.7% 2012-13 \$ 139,525 \$ 39 0.0% \$ 120,560 \$ 37 0.0% \$ 148,121 \$ 39 0.0% 82,788 37 0.0% \$ 139,525 \$ 39 0.0% 38,344 \$ 10 0.0% 2011-12 \$ 139,486 \$ (264)-0.2% \$ 120,523 \$ (251)-0.2% \$ 148,082 (264) -0.2% 82,751 (251)-0.3% \$ 139,486 \$ (264)-0.2% 38,334 \$ (70) -0.2% 2010-11 \$ 139.750 \$ (12) 0.0% \$ 120.775 \$ (11) 0.0% \$ 148.346 (12 0.0% 83.003 (11) 0.0% \$ 139.750 \$ 2.871 2.1% 38.404 See notes 148,358 38,407 2009-10 \$ 139,762 \$ 89 0.1% 120,786 \$ 85 0.1% 89 0.1% 83,014 84 0.1% \$ 136,880 See notes See notes 2008-09 \$ 139,673 \$ 348 0.2% \$ 120,701 | \$ 318 0.3% 148,269 357 0.2% 82,930 276 0.3% \$ 139,673 | \$ 348 0.2% 38,383 94 0.2% 2007-08 \$ 139,325 \$ 236 0.2% \$ 120,384 \$ 225 0.2% 147,912 236 0.2% 82,653 226 0.3% \$ 139,325 \$ 0.2% 38,290 2006-07 \$ 139,089 \$ 4,870 3.6% \$ 120,158 \$ 4,227 3.6% 147,675 \$ 5,157 3.6% 82,428 2,965 3.7% \$ 139,089 \$ 4,870 3.6% N/A 2005-06 \$ 134,219 \$ 5,609 4.4% \$ 115,931 \$ 4,868 4.4% \$ 142,518 \$ 5,939 4.3% 79,463 3,418 4.5% \$ 134,219 \$ 5,609 4.4% N/A \$ 136,579 \$ 2004-05 \$ 128,610 \$ 2,032 1.6% \$ 111,063 \$ 1,779 1.6% 2,142 1.6% 76,045 \$ 1,299 1.7% \$ 128,610 N/A \$ 134,438 \$ N/A 2003-04 \$ 126,578 \$ 2,653 2.1% \$ 109,284 \$ 2,306 2.2% 2,807 2.1% 74,746 1,629 2.2% See notes 2002-03 \$ 123.925 \$ 3.250 2.7% \$ 106.978 \$ 2.820 2.7% \$ 131.631 \$ 3.441 2.7% 73.117 1.978 2.8% 123.925 \$ 3.250 2.7% See notes 2001-02 \$ 120.675 | \$ 3.471 3.0% 104.158 2.998 3.0% 128.189 3.686 3.0% 71.139 2.056 3.0% \$ 120.675 \$ 3.471 3.0% 33.177 954 3.0% 2000-01 \$ 117,204 | \$ 3,365 3.0% \$ 101,160 2,919 3.0% 124,504 \$ 3,565 2.9% 69,083 2,041 3.0% \$ 117,204 \$ 3,365 3.0% 32,223 924 3.0% 1999-00 \$ 113.839 \$ 4,142 3.8% 98.241 3,586 3.8% 120.939 4,392 3.8% 67.042 2,488 3.9% 113.839 \$ 4,142 3.8% 31.299 1,137 3.8% 1998-99 \$ 109,697 | \$ 5,482 5.3% 94,655 4,742 5.3% 116,547 \$ 5,816 5.3% 64,554 3,273 5.3% \$ 109,697 \$ 5,482 5.3% 30,162 \$ 1,506 5.3% 1997-98 \$ 104,215 \$ 5,006 5.0% 89,913 4,326 5.1% 110,731 \$ 5,314 5.0% 61,281 2,973 5.1% 104,215 \$ 5,006 5.0% 28,656 1,376 5.0% 1996-97 99,209 \$ 5,479 5.8% 85,587 4,738 5.9% 105,417 4 5,814 5.8% 58,308 3,266 5.9% 99,209 \$ 5,479 5.8% 27,280 \$ 1,505 5.8%

4.2%

5.8%

4.0%

0.2%

4.5%

4.7%

12.3%

5.8%

5.9%

7.9%

3.499

3,737

3,748

8,645

3,863

160

55,042

52,770

49.830

47,857

47,705

45,681

43,425

40,939

38,521 \$ 2,342

36,179

33.364

2,272

2,940

1.973

2,024

2,256

2,486

2,418

2,815

152

4.3%

5.9%

4.1%

0.3%

4.4%

5.2%

6.1%

6.3%

6.5%

8.4%

93,730 \$

81,552 \$

81,552 \$

78,041 \$

74,487 \$

70,563 \$

66,700 \$

62,993 \$

58,401

89,944

3,786

3,511

3,554

3,924

3,863

3,707

4,592

See notes

4.2%

0.0%

4.5%

4.8%

5.6%

5.8%

5.9%

7.9%

25,775 \$

22,431 \$

22,431 \$

20,492 \$

19,418 \$

18,360 \$

17,346 \$

16.086

21,465

24,735

1,040

966

973

1,074

1,058

1,014

1,260

See notes

4.2%

0.0%

4.5%

4.7%

5.5%

5.8%

5.8%

7.8%

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145. Florida Statutes

1985-86 Notes:

1995-96

1994-95

1993-94

1992-93

1991-92

1990-91

1989-90

1988-89

1987-88

1986-87

93,730 \$

89.944 \$

85.010 \$

81,711 \$

81,522 \$

78,041 \$

74,487 \$

70,563 \$

62,410 \$

58,906 \$

54.582

3,786

4,934

3.299

3,481

3,554

3,924

8,153

3,504

4,324

189

4.2%

5.8%

4.0%

0.2%

4.5%

4.8%

5.6%

13.1%

5.9%

7.9%

80,849

77,571

73.305

70,451

70,299

67,279

64,172

50,049 \$ 3,722

46.327

60,743 \$

53,084 \$

3,278

4,266

2.854

3,020

3,107

3,429

7,659

3,035

152

4.2%

5.8%

4.1%

0.2%

4.5%

4.8%

5.6%

14.4%

6.1%

8.0%

99,603 \$ 4,015

95,588 \$ 5,236

86,853

86,693

82,956

79,208

70,563

66,700 \$ 3,707

62,993 \$ 4,592

58,401

90.352 \$

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary fo teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145. Florida Statutes Broward County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17 Clerk of Circuit Court, Property

Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Co	lector	Supervi	sor of Elec	tions		Sheriff			County	Cor	nmissio	ners		chool	Superinten	dent		School I	Boar	rd Memb	oers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	,	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Sa	ary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	,	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2016-17	\$ 169,802	\$ 653	0.4%	\$ 169,802	\$ 20,883	14.0%	\$ 178,765	\$ 666	0.4%	\$	96,031	\$	143	0.1%	\$ 16	9,802	\$ 653	0.4%	\$	42,681	\$	64	0.1%
2015-16	\$ 169,149	\$ 514	0.3%	\$ 148,919	\$ 492	0.3%	\$ 178,099	\$ 524	0.3%	\$	95,888	\$	106	0.1%	\$ 16	9,149	\$ 514	0.3%	\$	42,617	\$	47	0.1%
2014-15	\$ 168,635	\$ 688	0.4%	\$ 148,427	\$ 633	0.4%	\$ 177,575	\$ 712	0.4%	\$	95,782	\$	259	0.3%	\$ 16	8,635	\$ 688	0.4%	\$	42,570	\$	115	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 167,948	\$ 6,317	3.9%	\$ 147,794	\$ 5,594	3.9%	\$ 176,863	\$ 6,637	3.9%	\$	95,523	\$	3,426	3.7%	\$ 16	7,948	\$ 6,317	3.9%	\$	42,455	\$	1,523	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 161,630	\$ 83	0.1%	\$ 142,200	\$ 83	0.1%	\$ 170,226	\$ 83	0.0%	\$	92,097	\$		0.0%	\$ 16	1,630	\$ 83	0.1%	\$	40,932	\$	-	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 161,547	\$ 51	0.0%	\$ 142,116	\$ 51	0.0%	\$ 170,143	\$ 51	0.0%	\$	92,097	\$		0.0%	\$ 16	1,547	\$ 51	0.0%	\$	40,932	\$	-	0.0%
2010-11	\$ 161,495	\$ (222)	-0.1%	\$ 142,065	\$ (222)	-0.2%	\$ 170,091	\$ (222)	-0.1%	\$	92,097	\$		0.0%	\$ 16	1,495	\$ 2,897	1.8%	\$	40,932		See no	tes
2009-10	\$ 161,718	\$ (117)	-0.1%	\$ 142,287	\$ (117)	-0.1%	\$ 170,313	\$ (117)	-0.1%	\$	92,097	\$	1	0.0%	\$ 15	8,598	See n	otes	\$	40,932		See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 161,835	\$ 383	0.2%	\$ 142,404	\$ 362	0.3%	\$ 170,430		0.2%	\$	92,096	\$	101	0.1%	\$ 16	1,835	\$ 383	0.2%	\$	40,932	\$	45	0.1%
2007-08	\$ 161,452	\$ 197	0.1%	\$ 142,043	\$ 198	0.1%	\$ 170,038	\$ 197	0.1%	\$	91,995	\$	(1)	0.0%	\$ 16	1,452	\$ 197	0.1%	\$	40,887		-	
2006-07	\$ 161,254	\$ 5,675	3.6%	\$ 141,845	,	3.7%	\$ 169,841	,	3.6%	\$	91,996	\$	3,077	3.5%	\$ 16	1,254	\$ 5,675	3.6%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 155,579	\$ 6,561	4.4%	\$ 136,819	\$ 5,815	4.4%	\$ 163,878	\$ 6,891	4.4%	\$	88,919	\$	3,536	4.1%	\$ 15	5,579	\$ 6,561	4.4%		N/A		-	•
2004-05	\$ 149,018	\$ 2,481	1.7%	\$ 131,004	\$ 2,234	1.7%	\$ 156,987	\$ 2,590	1.7%	\$	85,383	\$	1,171	1.4%	\$ 14	9,018	-	-		N/A		-	
2003-04	\$ 146,537	\$ 3,154	2.2%	\$ 128,769	\$ 2,806	2.2%	\$ 154,396	\$ 3,308	2.2%	\$	84,213	\$	1,651	2.0%		S	ee notes			N/A		-	
2002-03	\$ 143,382	\$ 3,951	2.8%	\$ 125,963	\$ 3,517	2.9%	\$ 151,088	\$ 4,142	2.8%	\$	82,562	\$	2,053	2.6%	\$ 14	3,382	\$ 3,951	2.8%		S	ee r	notes	
2001-02	\$ 139,432	\$ 5,826	4.4%	\$ 122,446	\$ 5,341	4.6%	\$ 146,946	,	4.3%	\$	80,509	\$	2,298	2.9%		9,432	\$ 5,826	4.4%	\$,	\$	1,021	2.9%
2000-01	\$ 133,606	\$ 4,062	3.1%	\$ 117,105	\$ 3,600	3.2%	\$ 140,905		3.1%	\$	78,211	\$	2,139	2.8%	\$ 13	3,606	\$ 4,052	3.1%	\$	34,760	\$	950	2.8%
1999-00	\$ 129,544	\$ 5,037	4.0%	\$ 113,505		4.1%	\$ 136,654	\$ 5,297	4.0%	\$	76,072	\$	2,679	3.7%	\$ 12	9,554	\$ 5,047	4.1%	\$,	\$	1,191	3.7%
1998-99	, , , , , ,	\$ 6,467	5.5%	\$ 109,022	,	5.5%	\$ 131,357	,	5.5%	\$	73,393	\$	3,582	5.1%		4,507	\$ 6,467	5.5%	\$	- ,	\$	1,592	5.1%
1997-98	,	\$ 5,911	5.3%	, .	\$ 5,215	5.3%	\$ 124,556	, .	5.3%	\$	69,811	\$	3,299	5.0%	•	8,040	\$ 5,911	5.3%	\$	- /-	\$	1,466	5.0%
1996-97	, ,	\$ 6,317	6.0%	\$ 98,096		6.0%	\$ 118,337		6.0%	\$	66,512	\$	3,588	5.7%		2,129	\$ 6,317	6.0%	\$	-,	\$	1,595	5.7%
1995-96	*,-	\$ 4,371	4.3%	\$ 92,536	\$ 3,852	4.3%	\$ 111,685	, , , , , , , ,	4.3%	\$	62,924	\$	2,454	4.1%		5,812	\$ 4,371	4.3%	\$,	\$	1,091	4.1%
1994-95	\$ 101,441	\$ 5,663	5.9%	\$ 88,684		6.0%	\$ 107,085	,	5.9%	\$,	\$	3,234	5.7%	\$ 10	1,441	-	-	\$	26,875	<u> </u>	-	-
1993-94	+,	\$ 3,748	4.1%	\$ 83,703	,	4.1%	\$ 101,121		4.1%	\$	- ,	\$	2,148	3.9%			ee notes		<u> </u>		ee r	notes	
1992-93	¥ 0=,000	\$ 224	0.2%	\$ 80,407		0.3%	\$ 97,171	•	0.2%	\$,	\$	-	0.0%		.,	\$ -	0.0%	\$,	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	, , , , , , , , ,	\$ 4,166	4.8%	\$ 80,183	,	4.8%	\$ 96,947	, , , , , ,	4.7%	\$,	\$	2,426	4.6%		1,806	\$ 4,166	4.8%	\$, -	\$	1,079	4.6%
1990-91	+,	\$ 3,712	4.4%	\$ 76,530	, .	4.5%	\$ 92,555		4.4%	\$		\$	2,075	4.1%	_	.,	\$ 3,712	4.4%	\$	-,	\$	922	4.1%
1989-90	v 00,020	\$ 4,096	5.1%	\$ 73,255		5.2%	\$ 88,650		11.0%	\$	50,587	\$	2,300	4.8%	_	3,928	\$ 4,096	5.1%	\$,	\$	1,022	4.8%
1988-89	¥,	\$ 8,385	11.7%	\$ 69,644		12.8%	\$ 79,832		5.4%	\$	48,287	\$	2,320	5.0%		9,832	\$ 4,095	5.4%	\$, -	\$	1,031	5.0%
1987-88	\$ 71,447	\$ 3,590	5.3%	\$ 61,749	,	5.3%	\$ 75,737	,	5.3%	\$	45,967	\$	2,184	5.0%		5,737	\$ 3,793	5.3%	\$	20,430		971	5.0%
1986-87	+,	\$ 4,610	7.3%	\$ 58,620	\$ 4,005	7.3%	\$ 71,944	\$ 4,877	7.3%	\$,	\$	2,865	7.0%	•	1,944	\$ 4,877	7.3%	\$	19,459	\$	1,273	7.0%
1985-86	\$ 63,247	-	-	\$ 54,615	-	-	\$ 67,067	-	-	\$	40,918		-	-	\$ 6	7,067	-	-	\$	18,186		-	-
																							ı

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary fo teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Calhoun County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17 Clerk of Circuit Court, Property Sheriff Fiscal Appraiser & Tax Collector Supervisor of Elections **County Commissioners** School Superintendent **School Board Members** Salary \$ Chg. Salary Salary % Chg. Salary % Chg. Year \$ Chg. % Chg. \$ Chg. % Chg. \$ Chg. % Chg. Salary \$ Chg. Salary \$ Chg. % Chg. 104,549 0.1% 27,064 25,300 \$ 34 2016-17 95,586 \$ 128 0.1% 95,586 \$ 17,502 22.4% 141 27 0.1% 95,586 \$ 128 0.1% 0.1% 104,408 25 2015-16 95,458 \$ 96 0.1% 78,084 \$ 77 0.1% 106 0.1% 27,038 21 0.1% 95,458 \$ 96 0.1% 25,266 \$ 0.1% 2014-15 95,362 \$ 0.3% 78,007 205 0.3% 104,302 276 0.3% 27,017 67 0.2% 95,362 \$ 251 0.3% 25,241 \$ 67 0.3% 2013-14 95,111 \$ 3,397 3.7% 77,802 \$ 2,777 3.7% \$ 104,026 \$ 3,717 3.7% 26,950 953 3.7% 95,111 \$ 3,397 3.7% 25,174 \$ 899 3.7% 2012-13 91,714 \$ 19 0.0% 75,026 \$ 18 0.0% 100,309 19 0.0% 25,997 18 0.1% 91,714 \$ 19 0.0% 24,275 \$ 5 0.0% 2011-12 91,694 \$ 8 0.0% 75,007 \$ 7 0.0% 100,290 8 0.0% 25,979 7 0.0% 91,694 \$ 8 0.0% 24,270 \$ 2 0.0% 2010-11 91.687 \$ 94 0.1% 75.000 89 0.1% 100.282 94 0.1% 25.972 89 0.3% 91.687 \$ 1.873 2.1% 24.268 See notes 25,882 (51) 24,243 2009-10 91,593 \$ (53)-0.1% 74,910 \$ (51)-0.1% 100,189 (53) -0.1% -0.2% 89,813 See notes See notes 25,933 2008-09 91,646 \$ 218 0.2% 74,961 \$ 194 0.3% 100,242 227 0.2% 140 0.5% 91,646 \$ 218 0.2% 24,257 58 0.2% 2007-08 91,428 \$ 53 0.1% 74,767 51 0.1% 100,014 53 0.1% 25,793 51 0.2% 91,428 | \$ 53 0.1% 24,199 2006-07 91,375 \$ 3,160 3.6% 74,717 \$ 2,598 3.6% 99,961 \$ 3,447 3.6% 25,742 960 3.9% 91,375 \$ 3,160 3.6% N/A 2005-06 88,215 \$ 3,559 4.2% 72,119 2,917 4.2% 96,514 \$ 3,889 4.2% 24,782 \$ 1,034 4.4% 88,215 \$ 3,559 4.2% N/A 2004-05 84,656 \$ 1,222 1.5% 69,202 \$ 1,007 1.5% 92,625 \$ 1,331 1.5% 23,748 384 1.6% 84,656 N/A

2.1%

2.6%

2.6%

3.0%

3.9%

5.3%

5.1%

5.8%

4.1%

5.5%

4.1%

0.1%

4.5%

4.4%

15.0%

5.0%

5.1% \$

7.1%

23,364

22.862

22,279

21,930

21.192

20,275

19,199

18,177 \$ 1,069

17,108

16,423

15.612

14,913

14,876

14,266

13,549

12,786

12,170

11,531

10.727

501

584

349

738

917

1,076

1,022

685

811

699

37

610

717

763

616

639

804

2.2%

2.6%

1.6%

3.5%

4.5%

5.6%

5.6%

6.2%

4.2%

5.2%

4.7%

0.2%

4.3%

5.3%

6.0%

5.1%

5.5%

7.5%

See notes

2.049

1.974

2,271

2,836

3,635

3,381

3,632

2,435

2,338

2,204

2,362

2,271

2,168

2,827

See notes

2.6%

2.5%

3.0%

3.9%

5.3%

5.2%

5.9%

4.1%

0.0%

4.5%

4.4%

5.0%

5.0%

5.1%

7.1%

81.753 \$

79.705 \$

77,730 \$

75,459 \$

72,623 \$

68,988 \$

65,607 \$

61,975 \$

54,151 \$

54,151 \$

51,813 \$

49,609 \$

47,247 \$

44,976 \$

42,808 \$

39.981

59,540

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145. Florida Statutes

1985-86 Notes:

2003-04

2002-03

2001-02

2000-01

1999-00

1998-99

1997-98

1996-97

1995-96

1994-95

1993-94

1992-93

1991-92

1990-91

1989-90

1988-89

1987-88

1986-87

83,434 \$

81.753 \$

79.705 \$

77,730 \$

75,459 \$

72,623 \$

68,988 \$

65,607 \$

61,975 \$

59.540 \$

56.426 \$

54,190 \$

54,151 \$

51,813 \$

49,609 \$

47,247 \$

40,686 \$

38,721 \$

36.162

1,681

2.049

1.974

2,271

2,836

3,635

3,381

3,632

2,435

3,114

2.236

2,338

2,204

2,362

6,561

1,965

2,559

39

2.1%

2.6%

2.5%

3.0%

3.9%

5.3%

5.2%

5.9%

4.1%

5.5%

4.1%

0.1%

4.5%

4.4%

5.0%

16.1%

5.1%

7.1%

68,195 \$ 1,380

66.815

65.138

63,566

61.689

59,346

56,363

53,585

50,606

48,615 \$ 2,533

44,240

44,202

42,300

40,479

38,537 \$ 6,143

28.784

32,394 \$

30,825 \$

46.082 \$

2.1%

2.6%

2.5%

3.0%

3.9%

5.3%

5.2%

5.9%

4.1%

5.5%

4.2%

0.1%

4.5%

4.5%

5.0%

19.0%

5.1%

7.1%

1.676

1.573

1,877

2,343

2,983

2,778

2,979

1,991

1.842

1,902

1,821

1,942

1,569

2,041

38

91,294 \$

87.219 \$

79,473 \$

75,504 \$

89.459 \$ 2.240

85,030

82,559

71,815 \$ 3,967

67,848 \$ 2,664

65,184 \$

61.768 \$

59,331 \$

59,292

56,728 \$ 2,398

54,330 \$ 7,083

47,247 \$ 2,271

44,976 \$ 2,168

42,808 \$ 2,827

39.981

1,835

2.189

2,471

3,086

3,969

3,689

3,416

2.437

2,564

39

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary fo teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

N/A

21.096

20,574

19.973

18,260

15,759

19,222 \$

17,365 \$

16,404 \$

14,333 \$

14,333 \$

13,714 \$

13,131 \$

12,468 \$

11,868 \$

11,270

10.506

See notes

See notes

523

601

751

962

895

961

645

619

583

663

600

598

764

2.5%

3.0%

3.9%

5.3%

5.2%

5.9%

4.1%

0.0%

4.5%

4.4%

5.3%

5.1%

5.3%

7.3%

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145. Florida Statutes Charlotte County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17 Clerk of Circuit Court, Property Fiscal Appraiser & Tax Collector Supervisor of Elections Sheriff **County Commissioners** School Superintendent **School Board Members** Salary Salary Salary \$ Chg. % Chg. Salary Year \$ Chg. % Chg. \$ Chg. % Chg. Salary \$ Chg. % Chg. \$ Chg. % Chg. Salary \$ Chg. % Chg. 2016-17 125,107 \$ 19,248 134,070 0.4% 64,112 608 \$ 125,107 | \$ 486 0.4% 18.2% 499 1.0% 125,107 \$ 486 0.4% 34,397 \$ 146 0.4% 221 2015-16 \$ 124,622 | \$ 226 0.2% 105,859 201 0.2% \$ 133,571 | \$ 236 0.2% 63,504 0.3% \$ 124,622 | \$ 226 0.2% 34,251 \$ 66 0.2% 2014-15 \$ 124,396 \$ 373 0.3% 105,658 \$ 320 0.3% \$ 133,335 397 0.3% 63,282 233 0.4% \$ 124,396 \$ 373 0.3% 34,185 104 0.3% 2013-14 \$ 124,023 \$ 4,759 4.0% \$ 105,338 \$ 4,074 4.0% \$ 132,938 \$ 5,079 4.0% 63,049 \$ 2,794 4.6% \$ 124,023 \$ 4,759 4.0% 34,081 \$ 1,321 4.0% 2012-13 \$ 119,264 \$ 52 0.0% \$ 101,264 \$ 50 0.0% \$ 127,859 52 0.0% 60,255 89 0.1% \$ 119,264 \$ 52 0.0% 32,760 \$ 17 0.1% 2011-12 \$ 119,212 \$ (588)-0.5% \$ 101,214 \$ (560)-0.6% \$ 127,807 (588 -0.5% 60,166 \$ (1,009) -1.6% \$ 119,212 \$ (588)-0.5% 32,744 \$ (187)-0.6% 2010-11 \$ 119.800 \$ (35) 0.0% \$ 101.774 \$ (33) 0.0% \$ 128.396 (35 0.0% 61.175 (60) -0.1% \$ 119.800 \$ 2,488 2.1% 32.931 See notes 2009-10 32,942 \$ 119,835 \$ 129 0.1% 101,808 \$ 123 0.1% 128,431 129 0.1% 61,235 221 0.4% \$ 117,312 See notes See notes 852 2008-09 \$ 119,706 \$ 590 0.5% 101,685 \$ 548 0.5% 128,301 599 0.5% 61,014 1.4% \$ 119,706 0.5% 32,901 182 0.6% 2007-08 \$ 119,116 | \$ 673 0.6% 101,137 \$ 641 0.6% 127,702 673 0.5% 60,161 1,156 2.0% \$ 119,116 | \$ 0.6% 32,719 2006-07 \$ 118,443 \$ 3,655 3.2% 100,495 3,069 3.2% 127,029 3,942 3.2% 59,006 1,448 2.5% \$ 118,443 \$ 3,655 3.2% N/A 2005-06 \$ 114,788 \$ 5,061 4.6% 97,426 \$ 4,347 4.7% \$ 123,087 \$ 5,391 4.6% 57,558 \$ 3,141 5.8% \$ 114,788 \$ 5,062 4.6% N/A 2004-05 \$ 109,727 \$ 1,845 1.7% 93,079 \$ 1,601 1.8% \$ 117,696 \$ 1,955 1.7% 54,417 \$ 1,331 2.5% \$ 109,727 N/A \$ 115,741 \$ N/A 2003-04 \$ 107,881 \$ 2,495 2.4% 91,478 \$ 2,155 2.4% 2,649 2.3% 53,086 1,693 3.3% See notes 2002-03 \$ 105.386 \$ 2.897 2.8% 89.322 2.485 2.9% 113.092 4 3.089 2.8% 51.393 1.752 3.5% 105.386 \$ 2.897 2.8% See notes 2001-02 102.489 \$ 3.369 3.4% 86.838 2.901 3.5% 110.003 \$ 3.583 3.4% 49.641 2.176 4.6% 102.489 \$ 3.369 3.4% 28.077 942 3.5% 2000-01 99,120 \$ 2,987 3.1% 83,937 2,559 3.1% 106,420 3,187 3.1% 47,465 1,773 3.9% 99,120 \$ 2,987 3.1% 27,135 830 3.2% 1999-00 96.133 \$ 3,587 3.9% 81.378 3,057 3.9% 103,233 3,837 3.9% 45.692 1,954 4.5% 96.133 \$ 3,587 3.9% 26.305 990 3.9% 1998-99 92,546 \$ 4,666 5.3% 78,321 \$ 3,965 5.3% 99,396 \$ 5,000 5.3% 43,738 2,391 5.8% 92,546 \$ 4,666 5.3% 25,315 1,283 5.3% 1997-98 87,880 \$ 4,295 5.1% 74,356 3,649 5.2% 94,396 4,603 5.1% 41,347 2,197 5.6% 87,880 \$ 4,295 5.1% 24,032 1,181 5.2% 1996-97 83,585 \$ 4,712 6.0% 70,707 4,008 6.0% 89,793 \$ 5,047 6.0% 39,150 2,459 6.7% 83,585 \$ 4,712 6.0% 22,851 \$ 1,297 6.0% 1995-96 78,873 \$ 3,301 4.4% 66,699 2,815 4.4% 84,746 \$ 3,530 4.3% 36,691 1,817 5.2% 78,873 \$ 3,301 4.4% 21,554 \$ 912 4.4% 1994-95 75,572 \$ 4,242 5.9% 63,884 \$ 3,608 6.0% 81,216 \$ 4,544 5.9% 34,874 2,210 6.8% 75,572 20,642 1993-94 71.330 \$ 2.878 4.2% 60.276 2.454 4.2% 76.672 3.079 4.2% 32.664 1.570 5.0% See notes See notes 0.0% 0.0% 1992-93 68,452 \$ 295 0.4% 57,822 280 0.5% 73,593 294 0.4% 31,094 505 1.7% 68,157 \$ 18,586

1985-86 Notes:

1991-92

1990-91

1989-90

1988-89

1987-88

1986-87

68,157 \$

64,365 \$

61,011 \$

57,533 \$

49,802 \$

46,885 \$

43.311

3,792

3,354

3,478

7,731

2,917

3,574

5.9%

5.5%

6.0%

15.5%

6.2%

8.3%

57,542

54,255

51,338

38,600 \$ 3,007

35.593

48,334 \$

41,076 \$

3,287

2,917

3,004

7,258

2,476

6.1%

5.7%

6.2%

17.7%

6.4%

8.4%

73,299

69,281

65,733

54,092 \$ 3,121

50,971 \$ 3,841

47.130

57,533 \$

4,018

3,548

8,200

3,441

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

5.8%

5.4%

14.3%

6.4%

6.1% \$

8.1%

30,589

27,976

26,095

24,237

22,428

20,838 \$ 1,845

18.993

2,613

1,881

1,858

1,809

1,590

9.3%

7.2%

7.7%

8.1%

7.6%

9.7%

68,157 \$

64,365 \$

61,011 \$

57,533 \$

54,092 \$

50,971 \$

47.130

3,792

3,354

3,478

3,441

3,121

3,841

5.9%

5.5%

6.0%

6.4%

6.1%

8.1%

18,586

17,523 \$

16,616 \$

15,674 \$

14,742 \$

13,896 \$

12.853

1,063

907

942

932

846

1,043

6.1%

5.5%

6.0%

6.3%

6.1%

8.1%

- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary fo teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Citrus County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

	Clerk of Circuit Court, Property																									
Fiscal	Appra	iser & Ta	x Coll	ector		Supervi	sor of Elec	tions			eriff			County	Со	mmissio	ners	School	ol Su	perinten	dent		School I	Boar	d Memi	pers
Year	Salary \$ Chg. % Ch					Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	ï	Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	,	Chg.	% Chg.	,	Salary	\$ 0	Chg.	% Chg.
2016-17	\$ 122,2	\$ \$	261	0.2%	\$	122,235	\$ 18,897	18.3%	\$ 131,197	\$	274	0.2%	\$	59,187	\$	223	0.4%	\$ 122,235	\$	261	0.2%	\$	33,486	\$	75	0.2%
2015-16	\$ 121,9	4 \$	166	0.1%	\$	103,337	\$ 144	0.1%	\$ 130,923	\$	176	0.1%	\$	58,964	\$	119	0.2%	\$ 121,974	\$	166	0.1%	\$	33,411	\$	47	0.1%
2014-15	\$ 121,8	7 \$	303	0.2%	\$	103,193	\$ 254	0.2%	\$ 130,747	\$	327	0.3%	\$	58,846	\$	113	0.2%	\$ 121,807	\$	303	0.2%	\$	33,364	\$	82	0.2%
2013-14	\$ 121,5	5 \$ 4	,337	3.7%	\$	102,940	\$ 3,672	3.7%	\$ 130,420	\$	4,657	3.7%	\$	58,733	\$	2,071	3.7%	\$ 121,505	\$	4,337	3.7%	\$	33,282	\$	1,187	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 117,1	8 \$	(30)	0.0%	\$	99,268	\$ (29)	0.0%	\$ 125,764	\$	(30)	0.0%	\$	56,662	\$	(52)	-0.1%	\$ 117,168	\$	(30)	0.0%	\$	32,095	\$	(10)	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 117,1	8 \$	(148)	-0.1%	\$	99,296	\$ (140)	-0.1%	\$ 125,794	44	(148)	-0.1%	44	56,714	\$	(253)	-0.4%	\$ 117,198	\$	(148)	-0.1%	44	32,105	\$	(47)	-0.1%
2010-11	\$ 117,3	15 \$	61	0.1%	\$	99,437	\$ 58	0.1%	\$ 125,941	\$	61	0.0%	\$	56,967	\$	104	0.2%	\$ 117,345	\$	2,609	2.3%	\$	32,152		See no	tes
2009-10	\$ 117,2	S5 \$	207	0.2%	\$	99,379	\$ 197	0.2%	\$ 125,880	\$	207	0.2%	\$	56,862	\$	354	0.6%	\$ 114,736	;	See no	otes	\$	32,132		See no	tes
2008-09	\$ 117,0	' 8 \$	491	0.4%	\$	99,182	\$ 454	0.5%	\$ 125,673	\$	500	0.4%	\$	56,508	\$	683	1.2%	\$ 117,078	\$	491	0.4%	\$	32,067	\$	150	0.5%
2007-08	\$ 116,5		440	0.4%	\$	98,728	\$ 419	0.4%	\$ 125,173	\$	440	0.4%	\$	55,825	\$	756	1.4%	\$ 116,587	\$	440	0.4%	\$	31,917		-	-
2006-07	\$ 116,1	7 \$ 4	,250	3.8%	\$	98,308	\$ 3,636	3.8%	\$ 124,733	\$	4,537	3.8%	\$	55,069	\$	2,468	4.7%	\$ 116,147	\$	4,250	3.8%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 111,8	7 \$ 4	,779	4.5%	\$	94,672	\$ 4,078	4.5%	\$ 120,196	\$	5,109	4.4%	\$	52,601	\$	2,657	5.3%	\$ 111,897	\$	4,779	4.5%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 107,1	8 \$ 1	,743	1.7%	\$	90,594	\$ 1,504	1.7%	\$ 115,087	44	1,852	1.6%	44	49,944	\$	1,156	2.4%	\$ 107,118	:	-			N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 105,3	'5 \$ 2	2,310	2.2%	\$	89,091	\$ 1,979	2.3%	\$ 113,234	44	2,464	2.2%	44	48,789	\$	1,375	2.9%		See	notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 103,0	55 \$ 2	2,787	2.8%	\$	87,112	\$ 2,380	2.8%	\$ 110,771	\$	2,979	2.8%	\$	47,414	\$	1,563	3.4%	\$ 103,065	\$	2,787	2.8%			ee n	otes	
2001-02	\$ 100,2	8 \$ 3	,154	3.2%	\$	84,732	\$ 2,696	3.3%	\$ 107,792	\$	3,368	3.2%	\$	45,850	\$	1,807	4.1%	\$ 100,278		3,154	3.2%	\$	27,375	\$	874	3.3%
2000-01	\$ 97,1	24 \$ 2	2,876	3.1%	\$	82,036	\$ 2,453	3.1%	\$ 104,424	\$	3,076	3.0%	\$	44,043	\$	1,581	3.7%	\$ 97,124	\$	2,876	3.1%	\$	26,502	\$	795	3.1%
1999-00	\$ 94,2	8 \$ 3	,528	3.9%	\$	79,583	\$ 3,001	3.9%	\$,	•	3,778	3.9%	\$	42,462	\$	1,854	4.6%	\$ 94,248	\$	3,528	3.9%	\$,	\$	971	3.9%
1998-99	\$ 90,7		,598	5.3%	\$	76,582	\$ 3,900	5.4%	\$ 97,570	\$	4,932	5.3%	\$	40,608	\$	2,274	5.9%	\$ 90,720		4,598	5.3%	\$			1,262	5.4%
1997-98	\$ 86,1	22 \$ 4	,258	5.2%	\$	72,682	\$ 3,614	5.2%	\$ 92,638	\$	4,566	5.2%	\$	38,334	\$	2,134	5.9%	\$ 86,122		4,258	5.2%	\$	23,474	\$	1,169	5.2%
1996-97	\$ 81,8		,609	6.0%	\$	69,068	\$ 3,910	6.0%	\$ 88,072	\$	4,944	5.9%	\$	36,200	\$	2,283	6.7%	\$ 81,864		4,609	6.0%	\$	22,305	\$	1,264	6.0%
1995-96	\$ 77,2	5 \$ 3	,155	4.3%	\$	65,158	\$ 2,676	4.3%	\$ 83,128	\$	3,384	4.2%	\$	33,917	\$	1,566	4.8%	\$ 77,255		3,155	4.3%	\$,	\$	866	4.3%
1994-95	\$ 74,1	0 \$ 4	,238	6.1%	\$	62,482	\$ 3,604	6.1%	\$ 79,744	\$	4,540	6.0%	\$	32,351	\$	2,035	6.7%	\$ 74,100		-	-	\$	20,175		-	-
1993-94	\$ 69,8		,040	4.5%	\$,-	\$ 2,608	4.6%	\$,		3,241	4.5%	\$	30,316	\$	1,536	5.3%			notes				ee n	otes	
1992-93	\$ 66,8		370	0.6%	\$		\$ 352	0.6%	\$ 71,963	\$	370	0.5%	\$	28,780	\$	352	1.2%	\$ 66,452		-	0.0%	\$	18,098	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 66,4	2 \$ 3	3,229	5.1%	\$,	\$ 2,750	5.2%	\$ 71,593	\$	3,454	5.1%	\$	28,428	\$	1,540	5.7%	\$ 66,452	\$	3,229	5.1%	\$	18,098	\$	877	5.1%
1990-91	\$ 63,2	23 \$ 3	3,231	5.4%	\$	53,168	\$ 2,801	5.6%	\$ 68,139	\$	3,426	5.3%	\$	26,888	\$	1,764	7.0%	\$ 63,223	\$	3,231	5.4%	\$	17,221	\$	875	5.4%
1989-90	\$ 59,9		,320	5.9%	\$	50,367	\$ 2,853	6.0%	\$ 64,713	•	8,041	14.2%	\$	25,124	\$	1,706	7.3%	\$ 59,992		3,320	5.9%	\$	16,346	_	900	5.8%
1988-89	\$ 56,6		,603	15.5%	\$	47,514	\$ 7,136	17.7%	\$ 56,672	\$	3,313	6.2%	\$	23,418	\$	1,688	7.8%	\$ 56,672		3,313	6.2%	\$	15,446	\$	898	6.2%
1987-88	\$ 49,0	9 \$ 2	,944	6.4%	\$	40,378	\$ 2,501	6.6%	\$ 53,359	\$	3,147	6.3%	\$	21,730	\$	1,616	8.0%	\$ 53,359		3,147	6.3%	\$,	\$	853	6.2%
1986-87	\$ 46,1	25 \$ 3	,430	8.0%	\$	37,877	\$ 2,871	8.2%	\$ 50,212	\$	3,698	8.0%	\$	20,114	\$	1,708	9.3%	\$ 50,212		3,698	8.0%	\$	13,695	\$	1,005	7.9%
1985-86	\$ 42,6	5 -		-	\$	35,006	-	-	\$ 46,514		-	-	\$	18,406		-	-	\$ 46,514	ļ.	-	-	\$	12,690		-	-
l																										

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Clay County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

	Clerk of Circuit Court, Property																									
Fiscal		Appraise	er & Tax Co	llector		Supervi	sor of Elect	tions			She	eriff			County	Co	mmissio	ners	ÿ	School	Superinte	ndent	School			
Year		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	•	Salary	•	Chg.	% Chg.	Sa	lary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ 0	Chg.	% Chg.
2016-17	\$	128,874	\$ 568		\$	128,874	\$ 19,506	17.8%		- ,	\$	582	0.4%	\$	70,505	\$	685	1.0%	\$ 12	28,874	\$ 568	0.4%	\$,	\$	168	0.5%
2015-16	\$	128,306	\$ 652		\$	109,368	\$ 606	0.6%		137,256		662	0.5%	\$	69,820	\$	951	1.4%	\$ 12	28,306	\$ 652		\$	\$	201	0.6%
2014-15		127,654	\$ 432		\$	108,762	\$ 376	0.3%		136,594		456	0.3%	\$	68,869	\$	334	0.5%		27,654	\$ 432	0.3%	\$ 35,220	\$	123	0.3%
2013-14	\$	127,223	\$ 4,663	3.8%	\$	108,385	\$ 3,982	3.8%	\$	136,138	\$	4,982	3.8%	\$	68,535	\$	2,629	4.0%	\$ 12	27,223	\$ 4,663	3.8%	\$ 35,097	\$	1,290	3.8%
2012-13	\$	122,560	\$ 30		\$	104,403	\$ 28	0.0%	\$	131,156	\$	30	0.0%	\$	65,906	\$	51	0.1%	\$ 12	22,560	\$ 30	0.0%	\$ 33,807	\$	9	0.0%
2011-12	\$	122,530	\$ 608	0.5%	\$	104,375	\$ 579	0.6%	\$	131,126	\$	608	0.5%	\$	65,855	\$	1,042	1.6%	\$ 12	22,530	\$ 608	0.5%	\$ 33,797	\$	193	0.6%
2010-11		121,923	\$ 4	0.0%	•	,	\$ 4	0.0%		130,518		4	0.0%	\$	64,813	\$	7	0.0%	_	21,923	\$ 2,499	2.1%	\$ 33,604		See no	
2009-10	\$	121,918	\$ 57		\$	103,792	•	0.1%	\$	130,514	\$	57	0.0%	\$	64,806	\$	97	0.1%	\$ 11	19,424	See		\$ 33,603		See no	otes
2008-09		121,861	\$ 965		_	,	\$ 905	0.9%	_	130,457		974	0.8%	\$	64,709	\$	1,496	2.4%	•	,	\$ 965	0.8%	\$,	\$	301	0.9%
2007-08	\$	120,896	\$ 780	0.6%	\$	102,832	\$ 743	0.7%	_	129,482		780	0.6%	\$	63,213	\$	1,338	2.2%		20,896	\$ 780	0.6%	\$,		-	-
2006-07		120,116	\$ 4,656	4.0%	\$,	\$ 4,023	4.1%		128,703	•	4,944	4.0%	\$	61,875	\$	3,166	5.4%		20,116	\$ 4,656	4.0%	N/A		-	-
2005-06		115,460	\$ 5,333	4.8%	\$,	\$ 4,606	4.9%	_	123,759		5,663	4.8%	\$	58,709	\$	3,606	6.5%	_	,	\$ 5,333	4.8%	N/A		-	-
2004-05		110,127	\$ 2,110	2.0%	\$,	\$ 1,853	2.0%		118,096	•	2,219	1.9%	\$	55,103	\$	1,784	3.3%	\$ 11	10,127	-	-	N/A		-	-
2003-04		108,017	\$ 2,797	2.7%	\$	- 1,001	\$ 2,443	2.7%	_	115,877		2,952	2.6%	\$	53,318	\$	2,211	4.3%			ee notes		N/A		-	-
2002-03		105,219	\$ 2,807	2.7%	\$,	\$ 2,398	2.8%	\$	112,925	•	2,998	2.7%	\$	51,107	\$	1,597	3.2%		05,219	\$ 2,807	2.7%		ee n		
2001-02	\$	102,413	\$ 3,032	3.1%	\$,	\$ 2,580	3.1%	\$	109,927	\$	3,246	3.0%	\$	49,510	\$	1,598	3.3%	•	02,413	\$ 3,032	3.1%	\$ 28,053	_	835	3.1%
2000-01	\$	99,381	\$ 3,170	3.3%	\$	84,185	\$ 2,733	3.4%	\$	106,681	\$	3,370	3.3%	\$	47,912	\$	2,086	4.6%		99,381	\$ 3,170	3.3%	\$ 27,218		888	3.4%
1999-00	\$	96,211	\$ 3,954	4.3%	\$	0.,.02	\$ 3,407	4.4%	\$	103,311	\$	4,204	4.2%	\$	45,826	\$	2,584	6.0%	•	96,211	\$ 3,954	4.3%	\$ 26,330	_	1,107	4.4%
1998-99	\$	92,257	\$ 4,706	5.4%	\$	78,045	\$ 4,002	5.4%	\$	99,107	\$	5,040	5.4%	\$	43,242	\$	2,459	6.0%	•	92,257	\$ 4,706	5.4%	\$ 25,223		1,295	5.4%
1997-98	\$	87,551	\$ 4,490	5.4%	\$	74,043	\$ 3,835	5.5%	\$	94,067	\$	4,798	5.4%	\$	40,783	\$	2,530	6.6%		37,551	\$ 4,490	5.4%	\$ -,-		1,243	5.5%
1996-97	\$	83,061	\$ 4,709	6.0%	\$	70,208	\$ 4,006	6.1%	\$	89,269	\$	5,045	6.0%	\$	38,253	\$	2,456	6.9%	•	33,061	\$ 4,709	6.0%	\$ 22,685	\$	1,296	6.1%
1995-96	\$	78,352	\$ 3,258	4.3%	\$	66,202	\$ 2,773	4.4%	\$	84,224	\$	3,486	4.3%	\$	35,797	\$	1,742	5.1%	•	78,352	\$ 3,258	4.3%	\$ 21,389	\$	898	4.4%
1994-95	\$	75,094	\$ 4,118	5.8%	\$,	\$ 3,490	5.8%	\$	80,738	\$	4,420	5.8%	\$	34,055	\$	1,997	6.2%	\$ 7	75,094	-	-	\$ 20,491		-	-
1993-94	\$	70,976	\$ 2,998		\$,	\$ 2,568	4.5%	\$	76,318		3,198	4.4%	\$	32,058	\$	1,775	5.9%			ee notes			ee n	otes	
1992-93	\$	67,978	\$ 141	0.2%	\$,	\$ 134	0.2%	\$	73,120	\$	142	0.2%	\$	30,283	\$	243	0.8%		67,837		0.0%	\$ 18,485	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$	67,837	\$ 3,184	4.9%	\$	57,237	\$ 2,708	5.0%	\$	72,978	\$	3,410	4.9%	\$	30,040	\$	1,659	5.8%		, ,	\$ 3,184	4.9%	\$ -,	\$	877	5.0%
1990-91	\$	64,653	\$ 2,830	4.6%	\$	54,529	\$ 2,417	4.6%	\$	69,568	\$	3,023	4.5%	\$	28,381	\$	1,513	5.6%		64,653	\$ 2,830	4.6%	\$,	\$	777	4.6%
1989-90	\$	61,823	\$ 3,331	5.7%	\$	52,112	\$ 2,865	5.8%	\$	66,545		8,053	13.8%	\$	26,868	\$	1,717	6.8%	•	61,823	\$ 3,331	5.7%	\$,	\$	903	5.7%
1988-89	\$	58,492	\$ 7,844	15.5%	\$	49,247	\$ 7,365	17.6%	\$	58,492		3,554	6.5%	\$	25,151	\$	1,917	8.3%		58,492	\$ 3,554	6.5%	\$,	\$	962	6.4%
1987-88	\$	50,648	\$ 2,919		\$	41,882	\$ 2,478	6.3%	\$	54,938	_	3,123	6.0%	\$	23,234	\$	1,592	7.4%		54,938	\$ 3,123	6.0%	\$,	\$	847	6.0%
1986-87	\$	47,729	\$ 3,750	8.5%	\$,	\$ 3,175	8.8%	\$	51,815	\$	4,017	8.4%	\$	21,642	\$	2,014	10.3%		51,815	\$ 4,017	8.4%	\$ 14,119	\$	1,090	8.4%
1985-86	\$	43,979	-	-	\$	36,229	-	-	\$	47,798		-	-	\$	19,628		-	-	\$ 4	47,798	-	-	\$ 13,029		-	-

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Collier County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

	Clerk of Cir																			
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Coll	lector		isor of Elec			Sheriff				 mmissio			Superinte		School			
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	,	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	 Salary	\$ Chg	. %	Chg.
2016-17	\$ 138,455		0.5%	\$ 138,455	\$ 20,066	16.9%	\$ 147,418		0.5%	\$	79,629	\$ 567	0.7%	\$ 138,455	\$ 677	0.5%	\$ 38,122	\$ 1		0.5%
2015-16	\$ 137,778	\$ 362	0.3%	\$ 118,389	\$ 330	0.3%	\$ 146,727		0.3%	\$	79,062	\$ 287	0.4%	\$ 137,778	\$ 362	0.3%	\$ 37,941	\$	97 0.	0.3%
2014-15	\$ 137,416	\$ 627	0.5%	\$ 118,058	\$ 562	0.5%	\$ 146,356	\$ 651	0.4%	\$	78,775	\$ 456	0.6%	\$ 137,416	\$ 627	0.5%	\$ 37,843	\$ 1	70 0.	0.5%
2013-14	\$ 136,789	\$ 5,297	4.0%	\$ 117,496	\$ 4,586	4.1%	\$ 145,704	\$ 5,617	4.0%	\$	78,319	\$ 3,181	4.2%	\$ 136,789	\$ 5,297	4.0%	\$ 37,673	\$ 1,4	55 4.	1.0%
2012-13	\$ 131,492	\$ 146	0.1%	\$ 112,910	\$ 139	0.1%	\$ 140,088		0.1%	\$	75,138	\$ 139	0.2%	\$ 131,492	\$ 146	0.1%	\$ 36,219	\$	39 0.	0.1%
2011-12	\$ 131,346	\$ (742)	-0.6%	\$ 112,771	\$ (707)	-0.6%	\$ 139,942	\$ (742)	-0.5%	\$	74,999	\$ (707)	-0.9%	\$ 131,346	\$ (742	-0.6%	\$ 36,180	\$ (1	96) -0	0.5%
2010-11	\$ 132,088	\$ 11	0.0%	\$ 113,477	\$ 11	0.0%	\$ 140,684	\$ 11	0.0%	\$	75,705	\$ 11	0.0%	\$ 132,088	\$ 2,590	2.0%	\$ 36,376	Se	e notes	s
2009-10	\$ 132,077	\$ (64)	0.0%	\$ 113,466	\$ (61)	-0.1%	\$ 140,672	\$ (64)	0.0%	\$	75,694	\$ (61)	-0.1%	\$ 129,498	See ı	otes	\$ 36,373	Se	e notes	s
2008-09	\$ 132,141	\$ 609	0.5%	\$ 113,527	\$ 566	0.5%	\$ 140,736	\$ 618	0.4%	\$	75,756	\$ 525	0.7%	\$ 132,141	\$ 609	0.5%	\$ 36,390	\$ 1	63 0.	0.4%
2007-08	\$ 131,532	\$ 570	0.4%	\$ 112,961	\$ 543	0.5%	\$ 140,118	\$ 570	0.4%	\$	75,231	\$ 543	0.7%	\$ 131,532	\$ 570	0.4%	\$ 36,228	-		-
2006-07	\$ 130,962	\$ 5,102	4.1%	\$ 112,418	\$ 4,447	4.1%	\$ 139,548	\$ 5,389	4.0%	\$	74,688	\$ 3,186	4.5%	\$ 130,962	\$ 5,102	4.1%	N/A	-		-
2005-06	\$ 125,860	\$ 5,825	4.9%	\$ 107,971	\$ 5,075	4.9%	\$ 134,159	\$ 6,155	4.8%	\$	71,502	\$ 3,624	5.3%	\$ 125,860	\$ 5,825	4.9%	N/A	-		-
2004-05	\$ 120,035	\$ 2,530	2.2%	\$ 102,896	\$ 2,253	2.2%	\$ 128,004	\$ 2,640	2.1%	\$	67,878	\$ 1,773	2.7%	\$ 120,035	-	-	N/A	-		-
2003-04	\$ 117,505	\$ 3,053	2.7%	\$ 100,643	\$ 2,687	2.7%	\$ 125,365	\$ 3,207	2.6%	\$	66,105	\$ 2,010	3.1%	S	ee notes		N/A	-		-
2002-03	\$ 114,452	\$ 3,584	3.2%	\$ 97,956	\$ 3,139	3.3%	\$ 122,157	\$ 3,776	3.2%	\$	64,095	\$ 2,297	3.7%	\$ 114,452	\$ 3,584	3.2%	S	ee note	5	
2001-02	\$ 110,867	\$ 4,900	4.6%	\$ 94,817	\$ 4,359	4.8%	\$ 118,382	\$ 5,115	4.5%	\$	61,798	\$ 3,417	5.9%	\$ 110,867	\$ 4,900	4.6%	\$ 30,583	\$ 1,3	32 4	1.6%
2000-01	\$ 105,967	\$ 3,409	3.3%	\$ 90,458	\$ 2,960	3.4%	\$ 113,267	\$ 3,609	3.3%	\$	58,381	\$ 2,083	3.7%	\$ 105,967	\$ 3,409	3.3%	\$ 29,251	\$ 9	35 3.	3.3%
1999-00	\$ 102,558	\$ 4,129	4.2%	\$ 87,498	\$ 3,574	4.3%	\$ 109,658	\$ 4,379	4.2%	\$	56,298	\$ 2,475	4.6%	\$ 102,558	\$ 4,129	4.2%	\$ 28,316	\$ 1,1	34 4	1.2%
1998-99	\$ 98,429	\$ 5,372	5.8%	\$ 83,924	\$ 4,637	5.8%	\$ 105,279	\$ 5,706	5.7%	\$	53,823	\$ 3,600	7.2%	\$ 98,429	\$ 5,372	5.8%	\$ 27,182	\$ 1,5		5.9%
1997-98	\$ 93,057	\$ 4,905	5.6%	\$ 79,287	\$ 4,231	5.6%	\$ 99,573	\$ 5,213	5.5%	\$	50,223	\$ 3,243	6.9%	\$ 93,057	\$ 4,905	5.6%	\$ 25,675	\$ 1,3	74 5	5.7%
1996-97	\$ 88,152	\$ 5,193	6.3%	\$ 75,056	\$ 4,466	6.3%	\$ 94,360	\$ 5,528	6.2%	\$	46,980	\$ 3,285	7.5%	\$ 88,152	\$ 5,193	6.3%	\$ 24,301	\$ 1,4	50 6	5.3%
1995-96	\$ 82,959	\$ 3,650	4.6%	\$ 70,590	\$ 3,147	4.7%	\$ 88,832	\$ 3,879	4.6%	\$	43,695	\$ 2,415	5.9%	\$ 82,959	\$ 3,650	4.6%	\$ 22,851	\$ 1,0	23 4	1.7%
1994-95	\$ 79,309	\$ 4,652	6.2%	\$ 67,443	\$ 3,998	6.3%	\$ 84,953	\$ 4,954	6.2%	\$	41,280	\$ 2,911	7.6%	\$ 79,309	-	-	\$ 21,828	-		-
1993-94	\$ 74,657	\$ 3,246	4.5%	\$ 63,445	\$ 2,805	4.6%	\$ 79,999	\$ 3,447	4.5%	\$	38,369	\$ 2,202	6.1%	9	ee notes		S	ee note	5	
1992-93	\$ 71,411	\$ 611	0.9%	\$ 60,640	\$ 581	1.0%	\$ 76,552	\$ 610	0.8%	\$	36,167	\$ 1,047	3.0%	\$ 70,800	\$ -	0.0%	\$ 19,425	\$	- 0	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 70,800	\$ 3,571	5.3%	\$ 60,059	\$ 3,077	5.4%	\$ 75,942	\$ 3,798	5.3%	\$	35,120	\$ 2,324	7.1%	\$ 70,800	\$ 3,571	5.3%	\$ 19,425	\$ 9	99 5.	5.4%
1990-91	\$ 67,229	\$ 3,258	5.1%	\$ 56,982	\$ 2,825	5.2%	\$ 72,144	\$ 3,452	5.0%	\$	32,796	\$ 2,336	7.7%	\$ 67,229	\$ 3,258	5.1%	\$ 18,426	\$ 9	19 5.	5.2%
1989-90	\$ 63,971	\$ 3,346	5.5%	\$ 54,157	\$ 2,879	5.6%	\$ 68,692	\$ 8,067	13.3%	\$	30,460	\$ 2,135	7.5%	\$ 63,971	\$ 3,346	5.5%	\$ 17,507	\$ 9	35 5.	5.6%
1988-89	\$ 60,625	\$ 7,521	14.2%	\$ 51,278	\$ 7,057	16.0%	\$ 60,625	\$ 3,231	5.6%	\$	28,325	\$ 1,907	7.2%	\$ 60,625	\$ 3,231	5.6%	\$ 16,572	\$ 8	97 5.	5.7%
1987-88	\$ 53,104	\$ 2,803	5.6%	\$ 44,221	\$ 2,367	5.7%	\$ 57,394	\$ 3,006	5.5%	\$	26,418	\$ 1,734	7.0%	\$ 57,394	\$ 3,006	5.5%	\$ 15,675	\$ 8	34 5.	5.6%
1986-87	\$ 50,301	\$ 3,578	7.7%	\$ 41,854	\$ 3,011	7.8%	\$ 54,388	\$ 3,845	7.6%	\$	24,684	\$ 2,106	9.3%	\$ 54,388	\$ 3,845	7.6%	\$ 14,841	\$ 1,0	ô2 7.	7.7%
1985-86	\$ 46,723	-	-	\$ 38,843	-	-	\$ 50,543	-	-	\$	22,578		-	\$ 50,543	-	-	\$ 13,779	-		-
		•																		

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Columbia County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

	Cle	erk of Cir	cuit Court,	Property																								
Fiscal		Appraise	r & Tax Co	llector	Supervi	sor	of Elect	ions				eriff			County	Co	mmissio	ners		School	Sup	erinten	dent		School I			bers
Year	,	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	-	Salary	44	Chg.	% Chg.	ï	Salary	4	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	,	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	,	Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.
2016-17	\$	109,024	\$ 253	0.2%	\$ 109,024	\$	18,261	20.1%	\$	117,987	\$	266	0.2%	\$	43,064	\$	150	0.4%	\$	109,024	\$	253	0.2%	\$	29,744	\$	68	0.2%
2015-16	\$	108,771	\$ 211	0.2%	\$ 90,764	\$	187	0.2%	\$	117,721	\$	221	0.2%	\$	42,913	\$	134	0.3%	\$	108,771	\$	211	0.2%	\$	29,676	\$	57	0.2%
2014-15	\$	108,561	\$ 230	0.2%	\$ 90,577	\$	184	0.2%	\$	117,500	\$	254	0.2%	\$	42,780	\$	55	0.1%	\$	108,561	\$	230	0.2%	\$	29,619	\$	63	0.2%
2013-14	\$	108,331	\$ 3,937	3.8%	\$ 90,393	\$	3,291	3.8%	\$	117,246	\$	4,257	3.8%	\$	42,725	\$	1,582	3.8%	\$	108,331	\$	3,937	3.8%	\$	29,556	\$	1,074	3.8%
2012-13	\$	104,394	\$ (1)	0.0%	\$ 87,102	\$	(1)	0.0%	\$	112,989	\$	(1)	0.0%	\$	41,143	\$	(1)	0.0%	\$	104,394	\$	(1)	0.0%	\$	28,482	\$	(0)	0.0%
2011-12	\$	104,394	\$ 289	0.3%	\$ 87,102	\$	276	0.3%	\$	112,990	\$	289	0.3%	\$	41,144	\$	276	0.7%	\$	104,394	\$	289	0.3%	\$	28,482	\$	77	0.3%
2010-11	\$	104,105	\$ 74	0.1%	\$ 86,827	\$	71	0.1%	\$	112,701	\$	74	0.1%	\$	40,869	\$	71	0.2%	\$	104,105	\$	2,345	2.3%	\$	28,405		See no	tes
2009-10	\$	104,031	\$ 194	0.2%	\$ 86,756	\$	184	0.2%	\$	112,627	\$	194	0.2%	\$	40,798	\$	184	0.5%	\$	101,761		See no	otes	\$	28,386		See no	tes
2008-09	\$	103,837	\$ 587	0.6%	\$ 86,572	\$	545	0.6%	\$	112,433	\$	596	0.5%	\$	40,614	\$	495	1.2%	\$	103,837	\$	587	0.6%	\$	28,335	\$	156	0.6%
2007-08	\$	103,251	\$ 533	0.5%	\$ 86,027	\$	507	0.6%	\$	111,837	\$	533	0.5%	\$	40,119	\$	508	1.3%	\$	103,251	\$	533	0.5%	\$	28,178		-	-
2006-07	\$	102,718	\$ 3,687	3.7%	\$ 85,519	\$	3,100	3.8%	\$	111,304	\$	3,974	3.7%	\$	39,611	\$	1,565	4.1%	\$	102,718	\$	3,687	3.7%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$	99,031	\$ 4,312	4.6%	\$ 82,419	\$	3,633	4.6%	\$	107,330	\$	4,642	4.5%	\$	38,046	\$	1,868	5.2%	\$	99,031	\$	4,312	4.6%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$	94,719	\$ 1,421	1.5%	\$ 78,786	\$	1,196	1.5%	\$	102,688	\$	1,530	1.5%	\$	36,178	\$	612	1.7%	\$	94,719		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$	93,298	\$ 2,131	2.3%	\$ 77,589	\$	1,808	2.4%	\$	101,158	\$	2,285	2.3%	\$	35,565	\$	985	2.8%		S	ee r	notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$	91,168	\$ 2,392	2.7%	\$ 75,781	44	2,003	2.7%	\$	98,874	\$	2,583	2.7%	44	34,581	\$	979	2.9%	\$	91,168	\$	2,392	2.7%		S	ee n	otes	
2001-02	\$	88,776	\$ 2,534	2.9%	\$ 73,778	\$	2,106	2.9%	\$	96,290	\$	2,749	2.9%	44	33,602	\$	959	2.9%	\$	88,776	\$	2,534	2.9%	\$	24,241	\$	692	2.9%
2000-01	\$	86,242	\$ 2,603	3.1%	\$ 71,672	44	2,193	3.2%	\$	93,542	\$	2,803	3.1%	44	32,643	\$	1,125	3.6%	\$	86,242	\$	2,603	3.1%	\$	23,549	\$	709	3.1%
1999-00	\$	83,639	\$ 3,291	4.1%	\$ 69,479	\$	2,776	4.2%	\$	90,739	\$	3,541	4.1%	44	31,518	\$	1,440	4.8%	\$	83,639	\$	3,291	4.1%	\$	22,840	\$	896	4.1%
1998-99	\$	80,348	\$ 4,140	5.4%	\$ 66,703	\$	3,464	5.5%	\$	87,198	\$	4,475	5.4%	44	30,078	\$	1,676	5.9%	\$	80,348	\$	4,140	5.4%	\$	21,944	\$	1,129	5.4%
1997-98	\$	76,208	\$ 4,008	5.6%	\$ 63,239	44	3,375	5.6%	\$	82,723	\$	4,315	5.5%	44	28,402	\$	1,729	6.5%	\$	76,208	\$	4,008	5.6%	\$	20,815	\$	1,091	5.5%
1996-97	\$	72,200	\$ 2,004	2.9%	\$ 59,864	\$	1,428	2.4%	\$	78,408	\$	2,339	3.1%	44	26,673	\$	1,735	7.0%	\$	72,200	\$	2,004	2.9%	\$	19,724	\$	1,146	6.2%
1995-96	\$	70,196	\$ 3,259	4.9%	\$ 58,436	44	2,776	5.0%	\$	76,069	\$	3,488	4.8%	44	24,938	\$	1,470	6.3%	\$	70,196	\$	3,259	4.9%	\$	18,578	\$	862	4.9%
1994-95	\$	66,937	\$ 3,828	6.1%	\$ 55,660	\$	3,213	6.1%	\$	72,581	\$	4,130	6.0%	44	23,468	\$	1,491	6.8%	\$	66,937		-		\$	17,716		-	-
1993-94	\$	63,109	\$ 2,688	4.4%	\$ 52,447	\$	2,273	4.5%	\$	68,451	\$	2,889	4.4%	\$	21,977	\$	1,129	5.4%		S	ee r	notes			S	ee n	otes	
1992-93	\$	60,421	\$ 177	0.3%	\$ 50,174	\$	169	0.3%	\$	65,562	\$	177	0.3%	44	20,848	\$	169	0.8%	\$	60,244	\$	-	0.0%	\$	15,944	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$	60,244	\$ 2,480	4.3%	\$ 50,005	\$	2,037	4.2%	\$	65,385	\$	2,706	4.3%	44	20,679	\$	746	3.7%	\$	60,244	\$	2,480	4.3%	\$	15,944	\$	656	4.3%
1990-91	\$	57,764	\$ 2,549	4.6%	\$ 47,968	44	2,149	4.7%	\$	62,679	\$	2,742	4.6%	44	19,933	\$	1,045	5.5%	\$	57,764	\$	2,549	4.6%	\$	15,288	\$	674	4.6%
1989-90	\$	55,215	\$ 2,596	4.9%	\$ 45,819	\$	2,166	5.0%	\$	59,937	\$	7,318	13.9%	44	18,888	\$	940	5.2%	\$	55,215	\$	2,596	4.9%	\$	14,614	\$	688	4.9%
1988-89	\$	52,619	\$ 6,993	15.3%	\$ 43,653	44	6,554	17.7%	\$	52,619	\$	2,703	5.4%	44	17,948	\$	1,030	6.1%	\$	52,619	\$	2,703	5.4%	\$	13,926	\$	715	5.4%
1987-88	\$	45,626	\$ 2,330	5.4%	\$ 37,099	\$	1,917	5.4%	\$	49,916	\$	2,534	5.3%	\$	16,918	\$	958	6.0%	\$	49,916	\$	2,534	5.3%	\$	13,211	\$	670	5.3%
1986-87	\$	43,296	\$ 2,943	7.3%	\$ 35,182	\$	2,406	7.3%	\$	47,382	\$	3,210	7.3%	\$	15,960	\$	1,249	8.5%	\$	47,382	\$	3,210	7.3%	\$	12,541	\$	850	7.3%
1985-86	\$	40,353		-	\$ 32,776		-	-	\$	44,172		-	-	\$	14,711		-	-	\$	44,172		-	-	\$	11,691		-	-

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes DeSoto County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

	Clerk of Circuit Court, Property																									
Fiscal		Appraise	r & Tax C	ollector		Supervi	sor of Elect	tions			Sheriff		County	Со	mmissio	ners		School	Superi	nten	dent		School	Board	Meml	pers
Year	Salary \$ Chg. % Chg			% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	S	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	"	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	•	Salary	\$ Ch	g.	% Chg.	•	Salary	\$ Cł	g.	% Chg.
2016-17	\$	102,385	\$ 270	0.3%	\$	102,385	\$ 17,961	21.3%	\$	111,348	\$ 284	0.3%	\$ 33,540	\$	162	0.5%	\$	102,385	\$	270	0.3%	\$	27,098	\$	72	0.3%
2015-16	\$	102,115	\$ 133	0.1%	\$	84,424	\$ 112	0.1%	\$	111,064	\$ 143	0.1%	\$ 33,377	\$	56	0.2%	\$	102,115	\$	133	0.1%	\$	27,027	\$	35	0.1%
2014-15	\$	101,982	\$ 262		\$	84,312	\$ 215	0.3%	\$	110,921	\$ 287	0.3%	\$ 33,322	\$	77	0.2%	\$	101,982	\$	262	0.3%	\$	26,992	\$	69	0.3%
2013-14	\$	101,719	\$ 3,552	3.6%	\$	84,096	\$ 2,924	3.6%	\$	110,635	\$ 3,871	3.6%	\$ 33,244	\$	1,100	3.4%	\$	101,719	\$ 3,	552	3.6%	\$	26,922	\$	940	3.6%
2012-13	\$	98,168	\$ (50)) -0.1%	\$	81,172	\$ (47)	-0.1%	\$	106,764	\$ (50	0.0%	\$ 32,144	\$	(47)	-0.1%	\$	98,168	\$	(50)	-0.1%	\$	25,982	\$	(13)	-0.1%
2011-12	\$	98,218	\$ 23		\$	81,220	\$ 21	0.0%	\$	106,813	\$ 23	0.0%	\$ 32,191	\$	21	0.1%	\$	98,218	\$	23	0.0%	\$	25,995	\$	6	0.0%
2010-11	\$	98,195	\$ 98		\$	81,198	\$ 94	0.1%	\$	106,791			\$ 32,170	\$	94	0.3%	\$	98,195	\$ 2,	220	2.3%	\$	25,989	See n	otes	
2009-10	\$	98,097	\$ 163	0.2%	\$	81,105	\$ 155	0.2%	\$	106,692	\$ 163	0.2%	\$ 32,076	\$	155	0.5%	\$	95,975	See no	otes		\$	25,963	See n	otes	
2008-09	\$	97,934	\$ 37		\$	80,949	\$ 340	0.4%	\$	106,529		0.4%	\$ 31,921	\$	286	0.9%	\$	97,934		371	0.4%	\$	25,920	\$	98	0.4%
2007-08	\$	97,562	\$ 179		\$	80,609	\$ 170	0.2%	\$	106,149	•		\$ 31,635	\$	171	0.5%	\$	97,562	_	179	0.2%	\$	25,822	-		-
2006-07	\$	97,384	\$ 2,79		\$	80,439	\$ 2,246	2.9%	\$	105,970	,.	3.0%	\$ 31,464	\$	608	2.0%	\$	97,384	\$ 2,	790	2.9%		N/A	-		-
2005-06	\$	94,593	\$ 3,878		\$	78,193	\$ 3,221	4.3%	\$	102,893	\$ 4,209	4.3%	\$ 30,856	\$	1,338	4.5%	\$	94,593	\$ 3,	879	4.3%		N/A	-		-
2004-05	\$	90,715	\$ 1,513		\$	74,972	\$ 1,285	1.7%	\$	98,684	\$ 1,623	1.7%	\$ 29,518	\$	662	2.3%	\$	90,715	-		-		N/A	-		-
2003-04	\$	89,202	\$ 1,766	_	\$	73,688	\$ 1,461	2.0%	\$	- ,	\$ 1,920	2.0%	\$ 28,856	\$	583	2.1%			ee not				N/A	-		-
2002-03	\$	87,435	\$ 2,323		\$	72,226	\$ 1,937	2.8%	\$	95,141	\$ 2,514	2.7%	\$ 28,274	\$	845	3.1%	\$	87,435		323	2.7%			ee not	es	
2001-02	\$	85,112	\$ 3,462		\$	70,289	\$ 2,990	4.4%	\$	92,627	\$ 3,677	4.1%	\$ 27,429	\$	1,766	6.9%	\$	85,112	, ,	462	4.2%	\$	22,527	_	916	4.2%
2000-01	\$	81,650	\$ 2,369		\$	67,299	\$ 1,970	3.0%	\$	88,950	\$ 2,569	3.0%	\$ 25,663	\$	831	3.3%	\$	81,650		369	3.0%	\$	21,611		627	3.0%
1999-00	\$	79,281	\$ 2,972		\$	65,329	\$ 2,473	3.9%	\$	86,381	\$ 3,222	3.9%	\$ 24,832	\$	1,047	4.4%	\$	79,281		972	3.9%	\$,	_	787	3.9%
1998-99	\$	76,309	\$ 3,848		\$	62,856	\$ 3,185	5.3%	\$	83,159	\$ 4,183	5.3%	\$ 23,785	\$	1,279	5.7%	\$	76,309	, ,	848	5.3%	\$			018	5.3%
1997-98	\$	72,461	\$ 3,443		\$	59,671	\$ 2,838	5.0%	\$	78,976		5.0%	\$ 22,506	\$	1,080	5.0%	\$	72,461		443	5.0%	\$	19,179		912	5.0%
1996-97	\$	69,018	\$ 3,807		\$	56,833	\$ 3,145	5.9%	\$	75,226	\$ 4,142	5.8%	\$ 21,426	\$	1,236	6.1%	\$	69,018		807	5.8%	\$.0,=0.		007	5.8%
1995-96	\$	65,211	\$ 2,712		\$	53,688	\$ 2,255	4.4%	\$	71,084	\$ 2,941	4.3%	\$ 20,190	\$	948	4.9%	\$	65,211	\$ 2,	712	4.3%	\$	17,260	\$	718	4.3%
1994-95	\$	62,499	\$ 3,469		\$	51,433	\$ 2,871	5.9%	\$	68,143	\$ 3,771	5.9%	\$ 19,242	\$	1,150	6.4%	\$	62,499	-		-	\$	16,542	-		-
1993-94	\$	59,030	\$ 2,272		\$	48,562	\$ 1,877	4.0%	\$. ,.	. ,		\$ 18,092	\$	733	4.2%			ee not	es				ee not	es	
1992-93	\$	56,758	\$ 129		\$	46,685	\$ 123	0.3%	_	,		0.2%	\$ 17,359	\$	123	0.7%	\$,	\$	-	0.0%	\$	14,988	_	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$	56,629	\$ 2,418		\$	46,562	\$ 1,978	4.4%	\$	61,770	\$ 2,644	4.5%	\$ 17,236	\$	686	4.1%	\$	56,629		418	4.5%	\$,	_	640	4.5%
1990-91	\$	54,211	\$ 2,288		\$	44,584	\$ 1,902	4.5%	\$	59,126	\$ 2,482	4.4%	\$ 16,550	\$	798	5.1%	\$	54,211		288	4.4%	\$	14,348	•	605	4.4%
1989-90	\$	51,923	\$ 2,450		\$	42,682	\$ 2,025	5.0%	\$	56,644	\$ 7,171	14.5%	\$ 15,752	\$	801	5.4%	\$	51,923		450	5.0%	\$	13,743	_	649	5.0%
1988-89	\$	49,473	\$ 6,764		\$	40,657	\$ 6,336	18.5%	\$	49,473	\$ 2,474	5.3%	\$ 14,951	\$	810	5.7%	\$	49,473		474	5.3%	\$,		654	5.3%
1987-88	\$	42,709	\$ 2,139	_	\$	34,321	\$ 1,734	5.3%	\$	46,999	\$ 2,342	5.2%	\$ 14,141	\$	776	5.8%	\$	46,999		342	5.2%	\$		_	620	5.2%
1986-87	\$	40,570	\$ 2,718	7.2%	\$	32,587	\$ 2,194	7.2%	\$	44,657	\$ 2,986	7.2%	\$ 13,365	\$	936	7.5%	\$	44,657	\$ 2,	986	7.2%	\$	11,820	\$	791	7.2%
1985-86	\$	37,852	-	-	\$	30,393	-	-	\$	41,671	-	-	\$ 12,429		-	-	\$	41,671	-		-	\$	11,029	-		-

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Dixie County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

	Cle	erk of Cir	cuit Court,	Property																						
Fiscal		Appraise	r & Tax Co	llector	Supervi	isor of Elec	tions		She	eriff			County	Со	mmissio	ners		School	Supe	rinten	dent		School			
Year	Salary \$ Chg. % Chg			% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	,	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ 0	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.
2016-17	\$	96,231	\$ 181	0.2%	\$ 96,231	\$ 17,583	22.4%	\$ 105,194	\$	194	0.2%	\$	27,679	\$	77	0.3%	\$	96,231	\$	181	0.2%	\$	25,471	\$	48	0.2%
2015-16	\$	96,050	\$ 138	0.1%	\$ 78,648	\$ 117	0.1%	\$ 105,000	\$	147	0.1%	\$	27,602	\$	60	0.2%	\$	96,050	\$	138	0.1%	\$	25,423	\$	36	0.1%
2014-15	\$	95,913	\$ 248	0.3%	\$ 78,532	\$ 201	0.3%	\$ 104,852	\$	272	0.3%	44	27,541	\$	63	0.2%	44	95,913	\$	248	0.3%	\$	25,386	\$	66	0.3%
2013-14	\$	95,665	\$ 3,403	3.7%	\$ 78,330	\$ 2,783	3.7%	\$ 104,580	\$	3,723	3.7%	44	27,478	\$	959	3.6%	44	95,665	\$	3,403	3.7%	\$	25,321	\$	901	3.7%
2012-13	\$	92,262	\$ (12)	0.0%	\$ 75,547	\$ (11)	0.0%	\$ 100,857	\$	(12)	0.0%	44	26,519	\$	(11)	0.0%	44	92,262	\$	(12)	0.0%	\$	24,420	\$	(3)	0.0%
2011-12	\$	92,274	\$ 65	0.1%	\$ 75,559	\$ 62	0.1%	\$ 100,869	\$	65	0.1%	44	26,531	\$	62	0.2%	44	92,274	\$	65	0.1%	\$	24,423	\$	17	0.1%
2010-11	\$	92,209	\$ 83	0.1%	\$ 75,497	\$ 79	0.1%	\$ 100,805	\$	83	0.1%	44	26,469	\$	79	0.3%	\$	92,209	\$	1,975	2.2%	\$	24,406		See no	otes
2009-10	\$	92,126	\$ 51	0.1%	\$ 75,418	\$ 48	0.1%	\$ 100,721	\$	51	0.1%	\$	26,390	\$	48	0.2%	\$	90,234		See no	otes	\$	24,384		See no	otes
2008-09	\$	92,075	\$ 143	0.2%	\$ 75,370	\$ 123	0.2%	\$ 100,671	\$	153	0.2%	\$	26,342	\$	69	0.3%	\$	92,075	\$	143	0.2%	\$	24,371	\$	38	0.2%
2007-08	\$	91,932	\$ 96	0.1%	\$ 75,247	\$ 91	0.1%	\$ 100,518		96	0.1%	\$	26,273	\$	92	0.4%	\$	91,932	\$	96	0.1%	\$,		-	-
2006-07	\$	91,836	\$ 3,211	3.6%	\$ 75,156	\$ 2,647	3.7%	\$ 100,423	\$	3,499	3.6%	\$	26,181	\$	1,009	4.0%	\$	91,836	\$	3,211	3.6%	<u> </u>	N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$	88,625	\$ 3,596	4.2%	\$ 72,509	\$ 2,951	4.2%	\$ 96,924		3,926	4.2%	\$	25,172	\$	1,069	4.4%	\$	88,625	\$	3,596	4.2%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$	85,029	\$ 1,233	1.5%	\$ 69,558	\$ 1,018	1.5%	\$ 92,998	\$	1,342	1.5%	\$	24,103	\$	395	1.7%	\$	85,029		-	-	<u> </u>	N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$	83,796	\$ 1,758	2.1%	\$ 68,540	\$ 1,453	2.2%	\$ 91,656	\$	1,912	2.1%	44	23,708	\$	575	2.5%		S	See no	otes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$	82,038	\$ 2,105	2.6%	\$ 67,086	\$ 1,730	2.6%	\$ 89,744	\$	2,297	2.6%	\$	23,134	\$	638	2.8%	\$	82,038	\$	2,105	2.6%	<u> </u>	S	ee no	otes	
2001-02	\$	79,933	\$ 2,377	3.1%	\$ 65,356	\$ 1,957	3.1%	\$ 87,447		2,592	3.1%	\$	22,496	\$	733	3.4%	\$	79,933	\$	2,377	3.1%	\$	21,157	\$	629	3.1%
2000-01	\$	77,555	\$ 2,196	2.9%	\$ 63,399	\$ 1,805	2.9%	\$ 84,855		2,396	2.9%	\$	21,763	\$	667	3.2%	\$	77,555		2,196	2.9%	\$	20,528		582	2.9%
1999-00	\$	75,359	\$ 2,694	3.7%	\$ 61,594	\$ 2,208	3.7%	\$ 82,459		2,944	3.7%	\$	21,096	\$	781	3.8%	\$	75,359	•	2,694	3.7%	\$	19,946	\$	713	3.7%
1998-99	\$	72,665	\$ 3,653	5.3%	\$ 59,386	\$ 3,000	5.3%	\$ 79,515	\$	3,987	5.3%	\$	20,315	\$	1,093	5.7%	\$	72,665		3,653	5.3%	\$,	\$	967	5.3%
1997-98	\$	69,012	\$ 3,305	5.0%	\$ 56,386	\$ 2,706	5.0%	\$ 75,528		3,613	5.0%	\$	19,222	\$	950	5.2%	\$	69,012		3,305	5.0%	\$	18,266	\$	874	5.0%
1996-97	\$	65,707	\$ 3,603	5.8%	\$ 53,680	\$ 2,952	5.8%	\$ 71,915		3,939	5.8%	\$	18,272	\$	1,041	6.0%	\$	65,707	_	3,603	5.8%	\$,00-	\$	954	5.8%
1995-96	\$	62,104	\$ 2,494	4.2%	\$ 50,728	\$ 2,046	4.2%	\$ 67,976		2,722	4.2%	\$	17,231	\$	741	4.5%	\$	62,104	\$	2,494	4.2%	\$	16,438	\$	660	4.2%
1994-95	\$	59,610	\$ 3,364	6.0%	\$ 48,682	\$ 2,771	6.0%	\$ 65,254		3,666	6.0%	\$	16,490	\$	1,049	6.8%	\$	59,610		-	-	\$	15,778		-	-
1993-94	\$	56,246	\$ 2,188	4.0%	\$ 45,911	\$ 1,797	4.1%	\$ 61,588	\$	2,388	4.0%	\$	15,441	\$	653	4.4%			See no	otes		Ш.		ee no	otes	
1992-93	\$	54,058	\$ (10)	0.0%	\$ 44,114	\$ (10)	0.0%	\$ 59,200	\$	(10)	0.0%	\$	14,788	\$	(10)	-0.1%	\$	54,068	\$	-	0.0%	\$	14,311	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$	54,068	\$ 2,335	4.5%	\$ 44,124	\$ 1,900	4.5%	\$ 59,210		2,562	4.5%	\$	14,798	\$	609	4.3%	\$	54,068	_	2,335	4.5%	\$,-	\$	618	4.5%
1990-91	\$	51,733	\$ 2,146	4.3%	\$ 42,224	\$ 1,766	4.4%	\$ 56,648		2,340	4.3%	\$	14,189	\$	661	4.9%	\$	51,733	\$	2,146	4.3%	\$	13,693	\$	568	4.3%
1989-90	\$	49,587	\$ 2,315	4.9%	\$ 40,458	\$ 1,897	4.9%	\$ 54,308	\$	7,036	14.9%	\$	13,528	\$	695	5.4%	\$	49,587	_	2,315	4.9%	\$	13,125	\$	631	5.1%
1988-89	\$	47,272	\$ 6,617	16.3%	\$ 38,561	\$ 6,196	19.1%	\$ 47,272	\$	2,327	5.2%	\$	12,833	\$	722	6.0%	\$	47,272	\$	2,327	5.2%	\$,	\$	659	5.6%
1987-88	\$	40,655	\$ 1,980	5.1%	\$ 32,365	\$ 1,584	5.1%	\$ 44,945		2,183	5.1%	\$	12,111	\$	667	5.8%	\$	44,945	\$	2,183	5.1%	\$	11,835	\$	613	5.5%
1986-87	\$	38,675	\$ 2,542	7.0%	\$ 30,781	\$ 2,025	7.0%	\$ 42,762	\$	2,810	7.0%	\$	11,444	\$	771	7.2%	\$	42,762	\$	2,810	7.0%	\$	11,222	\$	747	7.1%
1985-86	\$	36,133	-	-	\$ 28,756	-	-	\$ 39,952		-	-	\$	10,673		-	-	\$	39,952		-	-	\$	10,475		-	-

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Duval County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

	Clerk of Circ	cuit Court,	Property																		
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Co	lector	Superv	isor of Elec	tions		Sheriff			County	Com	nmissio	ners	School	Superinter	dent		School I	Board Me	mbers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	,	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	- 1	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.
2016-17	\$ 153,561	\$ 576	0.4%	\$ 153,561	\$ 20,689	15.6%	\$ 162,524	\$ 589	0.4%	\$	94,016	\$	471	0.5%	\$ 153,561	\$ 576	0.4%	\$	42,120	\$ 15	0.4%
2015-16	\$ 152,986	\$ 482	0.3%	\$ 132,872	\$ 445	0.3%	\$ 161,935	\$ 492	0.3%	\$	93,546	\$	401	0.4%	\$ 152,986	\$ 482	0.3%	\$	41,966	\$ 129	0.3%
2014-15	\$ 152,504	\$ 554	0.4%	\$ 132,428	\$ 493	0.4%	\$ 161,443	\$ 578	0.4%	\$	93,144	\$	387	0.4%	\$ 152,504	\$ 554	0.4%	\$	41,836	\$ 15°	0.4%
2013-14	\$ 151,949	\$ 5,560	3.8%	\$ 131,934	\$ 4,837	3.8%	\$ 160,865	\$ 5,880	3.8%	\$	92,757	\$	3,432	3.8%	\$ 151,949	\$ 5,560	3.8%	\$	41,686	\$ 1,524	3.8%
2012-13	\$ 146,389	\$ 7	0.0%	\$ 127,098		0.0%	\$ 154,985	\$ 7	0.0%	\$	89,326	\$	7	0.0%	\$ 146,389	\$ 7	0.0%	\$	40,161	\$ 2	2 0.0%
2011-12	\$ 146,382	\$ (779)	-0.5%	\$ 127,091	\$ (742)	-0.6%	\$ 154,978	\$ (779)	-0.5%	\$	89,319	\$	(742)	-0.8%	\$ 146,382	\$ (779)	-0.5%	\$	40,160	\$ (200	6) -0.5%
2010-11	\$ 147,161	\$ (96)	-0.1%	\$ 127,833	\$ (91)	-0.1%	\$ 155,757	\$ (96)	-0.1%	\$	90,061	\$	(91)	-0.1%	\$ 147,161	\$ 3,006	2.1%	\$	40,366	See	notes
2009-10	\$ 147,257	\$ 159	0.1%	\$ 127,924	\$ 152	0.1%	\$ 155,853	\$ 159	0.1%	\$	90,152	\$	151	0.2%	\$ 144,156	See n	otes	\$	40,391	See	notes
2008-09	\$ 147,097	\$ 556	0.4%	\$ 127,772	\$ 516	0.4%	\$ 155,693	\$ 565	0.4%	\$	90,000	\$	474	0.5%	\$ 147,097	\$ 556	0.4%	\$	40,349	\$ 149	0.4%
2007-08	\$ 146,542	\$ 387	0.3%	\$ 127,256		0.3%	\$ 155,128		0.2%	\$	89,526	\$	369	0.4%	\$ 146,542	\$ 387	0.3%	\$	40,200		-
2006-07	\$ 146,155	\$ 5,317	3.8%	\$ 126,888	\$ 4,652	3.8%	\$ 154,741	\$ 5,604	3.8%	\$	89,157	\$	3,390	4.0%	\$ 146,155	\$ 5,317	3.8%	<u> </u>	N/A	-	-
2005-06	\$ 140,838	\$ 5,883	4.4%	\$ 122,236	\$ 5,130	4.4%	\$ 149,137	\$ 6,213	4.3%	\$	85,767	\$	3,680	4.5%	\$ 140,838	\$ 5,883	4.4%		N/A		-
2004-05	\$ 134,955	\$ 2,182	1.6%	\$ 117,106	\$ 1,921	1.7%	\$ 142,924	\$ 2,291	1.6%	\$	82,087	\$	1,441	1.8%	\$ 134,955	•	-		N/A	-	-
2003-04	\$ 132,773	\$ 2,901	2.2%	\$ 115,184	\$ 2,542	2.3%	\$ 140,633	\$ 3,055	2.2%	\$	80,646	\$	1,865	2.4%	93	See notes			N/A		-
2002-03	\$ 129,872	\$ 3,512	2.8%	\$ 112,643	\$ 3,070	2.8%	\$ 137,578	\$ 3,703	2.8%	\$	78,781	\$	2,228	2.9%	\$ 129,872	\$ 3,512	2.8%	<u> </u>	S	ee notes	
2001-02	\$ 126,361	\$ 3,900	3.2%	\$ 109,573	\$ 3,407	3.2%	\$ 133,875		3.2%	\$	76,553	\$	2,464	3.3%	\$ 126,361	\$ 3,900	3.2%	\$	34,682	\$ 1,068	
2000-01	\$ 122,461	\$ 3,510	3.0%	\$ 106,166	\$ 3,056	3.0%	\$ 129,760	\$ 3,709	2.9%	\$	74,089	\$	2,179	3.0%	\$ 122,461	\$ 3,510	3.0%	\$	33,615	\$ 962	2 2.9%
1999-00	\$ 118,951	\$ 4,400	3.8%	\$ 103,110	\$ 3,832	3.9%	\$ 126,051	\$ 4,650	3.8%	\$	71,910	\$	2,733	4.0%	\$ 118,951	\$ 4,400	3.8%	\$	32,653	\$ 1,200	3.8%
1998-99	\$ 114,551	\$ 5,803	5.3%	\$ 99,278	\$ 5,048	5.4%	\$ 121,401	\$ 6,137	5.3%	\$	69,177	\$	3,579	5.5%	\$ 114,551	\$ 5,803	5.3%	\$	31,447	\$ 1,59°	
1997-98	\$ 108,748	\$ 5,296	5.1%	\$ 94,230		5.1%	\$ 115,264	\$ 5,604	5.1%	\$	65,598	\$	3,249	5.2%	\$ 108,748	\$ 5,296	5.1%	\$	29,856	\$ 1,45	5.1%
1996-97	\$ 103,452	\$ 5,695	5.8%	\$ 89,627	\$ 4,943	5.8%	\$ 109,660	\$ 6,030	5.8%	\$	62,349	\$	3,472	5.9%	+,	\$ 5,695	5.8%	\$	28,403	\$ 1,562	2 5.8%
1995-96	\$ 97,757	\$ 3,939	4.2%	\$ 84,684	\$ 3,423	4.2%	\$ 103,630	\$ 4,168	4.2%	\$	58,877	\$	2,417	4.3%	\$ 97,757	\$ 3,939	4.2%	\$,-,-	\$ 1,080	4.2%
1994-95	\$ 93,818	\$ 5,125	5.8%	\$ 81,261	\$ 4,448	5.8%	\$ 99,462	• -,	5.8%	\$	56,460	\$	3,122	5.9%	\$ 93,818	-	-	\$	25,761	-	-
1993-94	\$ 88,693	\$ 3,482	4.1%	\$ 76,813		4.1%	\$ 94,035	,	4.1%	\$	53,338	\$	2,148	4.2%		See notes		Ш		ee notes	
1992-93	\$ 85,211	\$ 111	0.1%	\$ 73,784		0.1%	\$ 90,352		0.1%	\$	51,190	\$	105	0.2%	\$ 85,100		0.0%	\$,	\$	- 0.0%
1991-92	\$ 85,100	\$ 3,584	4.4%	\$ 73,678		4.4%	\$ 90,242	,.	4.4%	\$	51,085	\$	2,094	4.3%	\$ 85,100	\$ 3,584	4.4%	\$	23,371	\$ 980	
1990-91	\$ 81,516	\$ 3,322	4.2%	\$ 70,589	\$ 2,886	4.3%	\$ 86,431	\$ 3,515	4.2%	\$	48,991	\$	2,035	4.3%	\$ 81,516	\$ 3,322	4.2%	\$	22,385	\$ 91	
1989-90	\$ 78,194	\$ 3,700	5.0%	\$ 67,703	, .	5.0%	\$ 82,916		11.3%	\$	46,956	\$	2,273	5.1%	\$ 78,194	\$ 3,700	5.0%	\$	21,474	, ,-	
1988-89	\$ 74,494	\$ 8,067	12.1%	\$ 64,487		13.3%	\$ 74,494	\$ 3,776	5.3%	\$	44,683	\$	2,335	5.5%	\$ 74,494	\$ 3,776	5.3%	\$	20,459	\$ 1,03	
1987-88	\$ 66,427	\$ 3,376	5.4%	\$ 56,910	, ,-	5.4%	\$ 70,718	,	5.3%	\$	42,348	\$	2,222	5.5%	\$ 70,718	\$ 3,581	5.3%	\$	19,424	\$ 982	
1986-87	\$ 63,051	\$ 4,301	7.3%	\$ 53,996	\$ 3,700	7.4%	\$ 67,137	\$ 4,568	7.3%	\$	40,126	\$	2,793	7.5%	\$ 67,137	\$ 4,568	7.3%	\$	18,442	\$ 1,25	7.3%
1985-86	\$ 58,750	-	-	\$ 50,296	-	-	\$ 62,569	-	-	\$	37,333		-	-	\$ 62,569	-	-	\$	17,189	-	-

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Escambia County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

	Clerk of Circ																		
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col			sor of Elect			Sheriff			 mmissio		School				School	 	
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	•	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.
2016-17	\$ 135,978	\$ 407	0.3%		\$ 19,690	16.9%	\$ 144,940			\$ 	\$ 309	0.4%	\$ 135,978	\$ 407	0.3%	\$	37,467	\$ 110	0.3%
2015-16	\$ 135,571	\$ 337	0.2%	\$ 116,287	\$ 307	0.3%	\$ 144,521	\$ 3	7 0.2%	\$ 76,960	\$ 263	0.3%	\$ 135,571	\$ 337	0.2%	\$	37,357	\$ 91	0.2%
2014-15	\$ 135,234	\$ 474	0.4%	\$ 115,980		0.4%	\$ 144,174			\$ -,	\$ 310	0.4%	\$ 135,234	\$ 474	0.4%	\$	37,266	\$ 129	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 134,760	\$ 4,849	3.7%	\$ 115,564	\$ 4,160	3.7%	\$ 143,676	\$ 5,1	9 3.7%	\$ 76,387	\$ 2,755	3.7%	\$ 134,760	\$ 4,849	3.7%	\$	37,137	\$ 1,336	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 129,911	\$ 106	0.1%	\$ 111,404	\$ 101	0.1%	\$ 138,507			\$ -,	\$ 101	0.1%	\$ 129,911	\$ 106	0.1%	\$	35,801	\$ 28	0.1%
2011-12	\$ 129,805	\$ (990)	-0.8%	\$ 111,303	\$ (943)	-0.8%	\$ 138,401	\$ (9	0) -0.7%	\$ 73,531	\$ (943)	-1.3%	\$ 129,805	\$ (990)	-0.8%	\$	35,773	\$ (262)	-0.7%
2010-11	\$ 130,795	\$ (32)	0.0%	\$ 112,246	\$ (31)	0.0%	\$ 139,391		2) 0.0%	\$ 74,474	\$ (31)		\$ 130,795	\$ 2,693	2.1%	\$	36,035	See no	
2009-10	\$ 130,828	\$ 111	0.1%	\$ 112,277	\$ 105	0.1%	\$ 139,423	\$ 1	1 0.1%	\$ 74,505	\$ 105	0.1%	\$ 128,103	See no	otes	\$	36,043	See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 130,717	\$ 281	0.2%	\$ 112,172		0.2%	\$ 139,313			\$,	\$ 212	0.3%	\$ 130,717	\$ 281	0.2%	\$	36,014	\$ 76	0.2%
2007-08	\$ 130,436	\$ 387	0.3%	\$ 111,918		0.3%	\$ 139,022			\$ 74,188	\$ 369	0.5%	\$ 130,436	\$ 387	0.3%	\$	35,938	-	-
2006-07	\$ 130,050	\$ 4,125	3.3%	\$ 111,550	,.	3.3%	\$ 138,636			\$ -,	\$ 2,255	3.2%	\$ 130,050	\$ 4,125	3.3%		N/A	-	-
2005-06	\$ 125,925	\$ 5,242	4.3%	\$ 108,033	\$ 4,519	4.4%	\$ 134,224	\$ 5,5		\$ 71,564	\$ 3,069	4.5%	\$ 125,925	\$ 5,241	4.3%		N/A	-	-
2004-05	\$ 120,683	\$ 1,880	1.6%	\$ 103,514	\$ 1,634	1.6%	\$ 128,652	, ,-		\$,	\$ 1,154	1.7%	\$ 120,683	-	-		N/A	-	-
2003-04	\$ 118,803	\$ 2,489	2.1%	\$ 101,880	\$ 2,150	2.2%	\$ 126,663	, ,-		\$ 67,341	\$ 1,473	2.2%		notes			N/A	-	-
2002-03	\$ 116,314	\$ 3,022	2.7%	\$ 99,730	\$ 2,603	2.7%	\$ 124,020	,		\$,	\$ 1,761	2.7%	\$ 116,314	\$ 3,022	2.7%			 otes	
2001-02	\$ 113,293	\$ 2,840	2.6%	\$ 97,127	\$ 2,397	2.5%	\$ 120,807	,.		\$ 64,107	\$ 1,455	2.3%	\$ 113,293	\$ 2,840	2.6%	\$	31,224	\$ 787	2.6%
2000-01	\$ 110,453	\$ 3,311	3.1%	\$ 94,730	\$ 2,867	3.1%	\$ 117,752	,.		\$,	\$ 1,990	3.3%	\$ 110,453	\$ 3,311	3.1%	\$	30,437	\$ 909	3.1%
1999-00	\$ 107,142	\$ 4,031	3.9%	\$ 91,863	\$ 3,481	3.9%	\$ 114,242	\$ 4,2		\$ 60,663	\$ 2,382	4.1%	\$ 107,142	\$ 4,031	3.9%	\$	29,528	\$ 1,108	3.9%
1998-99	\$ 103,110	\$ 5,268	5.4%	\$ 88,381	\$ 4,538	5.4%	\$ 109,960	,.		\$ 58,281	\$ 3,070	5.6%	\$ 103,110	\$ 5,268	5.4%	\$	28,420	\$ 1,450	5.4%
1997-98	\$ 97,842	\$ 4,790	5.1%	\$ 83,843	\$ 4,120	5.2%	\$ 104,358	\$ 5,0		\$ 55,211	\$ 2,767	5.3%	\$ 97,842	\$ 4,790	5.1%	\$	26,970	\$ 1,318	5.1%
1996-97	\$ 93,052	\$ 5,270	6.0%	\$ 79,723	\$ 4,539	6.0%	\$ 99,260	7 -,-		\$ - ,	\$ 3,067	6.2%	\$ 93,052	\$ 5,270	6.0%	\$	25,652	\$ 1,450	6.0%
1995-96	\$ 87,782	\$ 3,634	4.3%	\$ 75,184	\$ 3,133	4.3%	\$ 93,655			\$ 49,377	\$ 2,127	4.5%	\$ 87,782	\$ 3,634	4.3%	\$, -	\$ 1,000	4.3%
1994-95	\$ 84,148	\$ 4,672	5.9%	\$ 72,051	\$ 4,016	5.9%	\$ 89,792	, ,-		\$,	\$ 2,690	6.0%	\$ 84,148	-	-	\$	23,202	-	-
1993-94	\$ 79,476	\$ 3,086	4.0%	\$ 68,035	\$ 2,653	4.1%	\$ 84,818	\$ 3,2		\$ 44,560	\$ 1,771	4.1%		 notes				otes	
1992-93	\$ 76,390	\$ 89	0.1%	\$ 65,382	\$ 84	0.1%	\$ 81,531		9 0.1%	\$ 42,789	\$ 85	0.2%	\$ 76,301	-	0.0%	\$	21,043	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 76,301	\$ 2,527	3.4%	\$ 65,298	\$ 2,082	3.3%	\$ 81,442	. ,		\$ 42,704	\$ 1,086	2.6%	\$ 76,301	\$ 2,527	3.4%	\$	21,043	\$ 707	3.5%
1990-91	\$ 73,774	\$ 3,011	4.3%	\$ 63,216	\$ 2,590	4.3%	\$ 78,689	\$ 3,2	5 4.2%	\$ 41,618	\$ 1,740	4.4%	\$ 73,774	\$ 3,011	4.3%	\$	20,336	\$ 829	4.2%
1989-90	\$ 70,763	\$ 3,354	5.0%	\$ 60,626	\$ 2,887	5.0%	\$ 75,484	,-		\$ 39,878	\$ 1,943	5.1%	\$ 70,763	\$ 3,354	5.0%	\$	19,507	\$ 923	5.0%
1988-89	\$ 67,409	\$ 7,703	12.9%	\$ 57,739	\$ 7,230	14.3%	\$ 67,409	\$ 3,4	3 5.3%	\$ 37,935	\$ 1,988	5.5%	\$ 67,409	\$ 3,413	5.3%	\$	18,584	\$ 939	5.3%
1987-88	\$ 59,706	\$ 3,091	5.5%	\$ 50,509	\$ 2,642	5.5%	\$ 63,996	\$ 3,2		\$ 35,947	\$ 1,950	5.7%	\$ 63,996	\$ 3,294	5.4%	\$	17,645	\$ 905	5.4%
1986-87	\$ 56,615	\$ 3,933	7.5%	\$ 47,867	\$ 3,350	7.5%	\$ 60,702	\$ 4,2	7.4%	\$ 33,997	\$ 2,443	7.7%	\$ 60,702	\$ 4,201	7.4%	\$,	\$ 1,156	7.4%
1985-86	\$ 52,682	-	-	\$ 44,517	-	-	\$ 56,501	-	-	\$ 31,554	-	-	\$ 56,501	-	-	\$	15,584	-	-

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Flagler County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17 Clerk of Circuit Court, Property Fiscal Appraiser & Tax Collector Supervisor of Elections **County Commissioners School Superintendent School Board Members** Year Salary \$ Chg. Salary \$ Chg. % Cha. Salary \$ Chg. % Chg. \$ Chg. % Chg. Salary \$ Chg. % Chg. Salary \$ Chg. % Chg. 2016-17 \$ 117,736 \$ 563 0.5% 117,736 \$ 18,971 19.2% 126,699 576 0.5% 51,477 561 1.1% \$ 117,736 563 0.5% \$ 32,059 \$ 158 0.5% 2015-16 \$ 117,174 \$ 472 0.4% 98.766 436 0.4% 126,123 482 0.4% 50.916 \$ 383 0.8% \$ 117.174 \$ 472 0.4% \$ 31,900 \$ 126 0.4% 2014-15 \$ 116,701 0.4% 98.330 440 0.4% 125,641 523 0.4% 50.533 311 0.6% \$ 116,701 499 \$ 31,774 \$ 134 0.4% 499 0.4% 2013-14 \$ 116,203 \$ 3.9% 97,890 3,737 4.0% 125,118 4,724 3.9% 50,222 2,027 4.2% \$ 116,203 4,405 3.9% \$ 31,640 \$ 1,198 3.9% 2012-13 \$ 111,798 141 0.1% 94,153 134 0.1% 120,394 141 0.1% 48,195 134 0.3% \$ 111,798 141 0.1% \$ 30,442 \$ 37 0.1% 2011-12 \$ 111,657 \$ 205 0.2% 94,019 \$ 195 0.2% 120,253 205 0.2% 48,061 195 0.4% \$ 111,657 \$ 205 0.2% \$ 30,405 \$ 54 0.2% 2010-11 \$ 111.452 \$ (158) -0.1% 93.824 \$ (150) -0.2% 120.048 -0.1% 47.866 (150)-0.3% \$ 111.452 \$ 2.567 2.4% \$ 30.351 See notes 2009-10 \$ 111.610 \$ 0.5% 93.974 478 0.5% \$ 120,206 48.016 478 1.0% \$ 108.886 \$ 30.392 See notes 1.154 2.5% \$ 111,108 2008-09 \$ 111.108 \$ 1.2% 93.496 1,205 1.3% 119.704 1,289 1.1% 47.538 1,279 1.2% \$ 30,260 1.1% 2007-08 \$ 109.829 \$ 2.5% 92.291 2.565 2.9% 118.415 2.693 2.3% 46.384 2.565 5.9% \$ 109.829 2.693 2.5% \$ 29.920 2006-07 \$ 107,136 \$ 5,807 5.7% 89,727 \$ 5,119 6.0% \$ 115,722 6,094 5.6% 43,819 3.584 8.9% \$ 107,136 5.807 5.7% N/A 2005-06 \$ 101,329 \$ 5,976 6.3% 84,608 \$ 5,219 6.6% \$ 109,628 6,306 6.1% 40,235 3,454 9.4% \$ 101,329 5,976 6.3% N/A 2,538 2004-05 \$ 95,353 \$ 2,429 2.6% 79,389 \$ 2,156 2.8% \$ 103,322 2.5% 1,572 4.5% \$ 95.353 \$ 36,781 \$ N/A -2003-04 \$ 92,924 \$ 2,682 3.0% \$ 77,233 \$ 2,334 3.1% \$ 100,784 \$ 2,836 2.9% 35,209 \$ 1,510 4.5% See notes N/A 2002-03 \$ 90,242 \$ 164 0.2% \$ 74,899 \$ (119) -0.2% 97,948 355 0.4% 33,699 1,540 4.8% 90,242 \$ 164 0.2% See notes 2001-02 \$ 90,078 \$ 3,670 4.2% 75,018 \$ 3,188 4.4% 97,593 3,885 4.1% 32,159 1,965 6.5% 90,078 3,670 4.2% 4.2% 23,840 \$ 971 \$ 1,428 2000-01 86,408 \$ 2,996 3.6% 71,830 \$ 2,567 3.7% 93,708 3.196 3.5% 30,194 5.0% 86,408 2.996 3.6% 22.869 793 3.6% \$ \$ \$ 1,564 1999-00 \$ 83,412 \$ 3,516 4.4% 69,263 \$ 2,990 4.5% 90,512 3,766 4.3% 28,766 5.7% \$ 83,412 3,516 4.4% 22.076 930 4.4% \$ 1998-99 79.896 \$ 4,421 5.9% 66,273 \$ 3,732 6.0% 86,746 4,756 5.8% 27.202 1,825 7.2% 79.896 4,421 5.9% 21,146 1,170 5.9% 1997-98 \$ 75.475 \$ 4.046 5.7% 62.541 \$ 3.411 5.8% 81.990 \$ 4.353 5.6% 25.377 \$ 1.655 7.0% \$ 75.475 \$ 4.046 5.7% \$ 19.976 1.071 5.7% \$ 1,119 1996-97 71.429 \$ 4.229 6.3% 59.130 \$ 3.548 6.4% 77.637 4.564 6.2% 23.722 \$ 1.638 7.4% \$ 71.429 \$ 4.229 6.3% \$ 18.905 \$ 6.3% 1995-96 67,200 \$ 2,990 4.7% 55,582 2,520 4.7% 73,073 3,219 4.6% 22,084 1,213 5.8% 67,200 \$ 2,990 4.7% \$ 17,786 4.7% 1994-95 64,210 \$ 3,743 6.2% 53,062 3,132 6.3% 69,854 4,045 6.1% 20,871 1,411 7.3% 64,210 16,994 1993-94 60,467 2,566 4.4% 49,930 2,156 4.5% 65,809 2,766 4.4% 19,460 1,012 5.5% See notes See notes 1992-93 57,901 340 0.6% 47,774 324 0.7% 63,043 340 0.5% 18,448 324 1.8% 57,561 0.0% 15,235 0.0% 57,561 1991-92 57,561 3,418 6.3% 47.450 2,930 6.6% 62.703 3,645 6.2% 18,124 1,639 9.9% 6.3% 15,235 905 6.3% 1990-91 54,143 2,573 5.0% 44,520 2,173 5.1% 59,058 2,766 4.9% 16,485 1,068 6.9% 54,143 2,573 5.0% 14,330 680 5.0% 1989-90 51.570 2.714 5.6% 42.347 2.277 5.7% 56.292 7.436 15.2% 15.417 1.053 7.3% 51.570 2.714 5.6% 13.650 719 5.6% 1988-89 48,856 6,920 16.5% 40,070 6,485 19.3% 48,856 2,630 5.7% 14,364 960 7.2% 48,856 2,630 5.7% 12,931 \$ 696 5.7% 1987-88 41,936 2,213 5.6% 33,585 1,805 5.7% 46,226 2,416 5.5% 13,404 846 6.7% 46,226 2,416 5.5% 12,235 \$ 639 5.5%

1985-86 Notes:

1986-87

39,723

36.947

2,776

7.5%

31,780

29.532

2,248

7.6%

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

3,044

7.5%

12,558

11.568

990

8.6% \$

43,810

40.766

7.5%

\$

\$

3,044

11,596

10.790

\$ 806

7.5%

43,810

40.766

- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Franklin County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

	Clerk of Circuit Court, Property																											
Fiscal		Appraise	r & Tax Co	llector		Supervi	sor of Elect	tions			She	eriff			County	Co	mmissio	ners		School	Supe	erinten	dent		School I	Board	Memb	oers
Year	•	Salary \$ Chg. % Chg. Salary \$ Chg. % C						% Chg.	5	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	,	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	,	Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.	,	Salary	\$ C	hg.	% Chg.
2016-17	\$	94,676	\$ 157	0.2%	\$	94,676	\$ 17,486	22.7%	\$	103,639	\$	170	0.2%	\$	26,197	\$	54	0.2%	\$	94,676	\$	157	0.2%	\$	25,059	\$	41	0.2%
2015-16	\$	94,519	\$ 182	0.2%	\$	77,190	\$ 160	0.2%	\$	103,469	\$	192	0.2%	\$	26,144	\$	103	0.4%	\$	94,519	\$	182	0.2%	\$	25,018	\$	48	0.2%
2014-15	\$	94,337	\$ 266	0.3%	\$	77,031	\$ 219	0.3%	\$	103,276	\$	290	0.3%	\$	26,041	\$	81	0.3%	\$	94,337	\$	266	0.3%	\$	24,969	\$	70	0.3%
2013-14	\$	94,071	\$ 3,375	3.7%	\$	76,812	\$ 2,756	3.7%	\$	102,986	\$	3,695	3.7%	\$	25,960	\$	932	3.7%	\$	94,071	\$	3,375	3.7%	\$	24,899	\$	893	3.7%
2012-13	\$	90,696	\$ (7	0.0%	\$	74,056	\$ (7)	0.0%	\$	99,291	\$	(7)	0.0%	\$	25,028	\$	(7)	0.0%	\$	90,696	\$	(7)	0.0%	\$	24,006	\$	(2)	0.0%
2011-12	\$	90,703	\$ (279) -0.3%	\$	74,063	\$ (266)	-0.4%	\$	99,299	\$	(279)	-0.3%	\$	25,035	\$	(266)	-1.0%	\$	90,703	\$	(279)	-0.3%	\$	24,008	\$	(74)	-0.3%
2010-11	\$	90,982	\$ 27	0.0%	\$	74,328	\$ 25	0.0%	\$	99,577	\$	27	0.0%	\$	25,300	\$	25	0.1%	\$	90,982	\$	1,872	2.1%	\$	24,081		See no	tes
2009-10	\$	90,955	\$ 27	0.0%	\$	74,303	\$ 26	0.0%	\$	99,551	\$	27	0.0%	\$	25,275	\$	25	0.1%	\$	89,109		See no	otes	\$	24,074	•	See no	tes
2008-09	\$	90,928	\$ 207	0.2%	\$	74,277	\$ 184	0.2%	\$	99,524	\$	217	0.2%	\$	25,249	\$	130	0.5%	\$	90,928	\$	207	0.2%	\$	24,067	\$	55	0.2%
2007-08	\$	90,721	\$ 344	0.4%	\$,	\$ 328	0.4%	\$	99,307	\$	344	0.3%	\$	25,120	\$	328	1.3%	\$	90,721	\$	344	0.4%	\$	24,012		-	-
2006-07	\$	90,377	\$ 3,083	3.5%	\$	73,766	\$ 2,525	3.5%	\$	98,963	\$	3,370	3.5%	\$	24,791	\$	887	3.7%	\$	90,377	\$	3,083	3.5%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$	87,294	\$ 3,522	4.2%	\$	71,241	\$ 2,881	4.2%	\$	95,593	\$	3,852	4.2%	\$	23,904	\$	998	4.4%	\$	87,294	\$	3,522	4.2%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$	83,772	\$ 1,242	1.5%	\$	68,360	\$ 1,027	1.5%	\$	91,741	\$	1,352	1.5%	\$	22,906	\$	404	1.8%	\$	83,772		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$	82,529	\$ 1,318	1.6%	\$	67,333	\$ 1,035	1.6%	\$	90,389	\$	1,472	1.7%	\$	22,502	\$	156	0.7%		S	See n	otes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$	81,211	\$ 2,059	2.6%	\$	66,299	\$ 1,686	2.6%	\$	88,917	\$	2,251	2.6%	\$	22,346	\$	593	2.7%	\$	81,211	\$	2,059	2.6%		S	ee no	ites	
2001-02	\$	79,152	\$ 2,310	3.0%	\$	64,612	\$ 1,893	3.0%	\$	86,666	\$	2,525	3.0%	\$	21,753	\$	669	3.2%	\$	79,152	\$	2,310	3.0%	\$	20,950		611	3.0%
2000-01	\$	76,842	\$ 2,137	2.9%	\$	62,720	\$ 1,749	2.9%	\$	84,142	\$	2,337	2.9%	\$	21,084	\$	611	3.0%	\$	76,842		2,137	2.9%	\$	20,339		566	2.9%
1999-00	\$	74,705	\$ 2,693	3.7%	\$	60,971	\$ 2,207	3.8%	\$	81,805	\$	2,943	3.7%	\$	20,473	\$	780	4.0%	\$	74,705	\$	2,693	3.7%	\$	19,773	\$	713	3.7%
1998-99	\$	72,012	\$ 3,543	5.2%	\$	58,764	\$ 2,895	5.2%	\$	78,862	\$	3,878	5.2%	\$	19,693	\$	989	5.3%	\$	72,012		3,543	5.2%	\$	19,060	•	937	5.2%
1997-98	\$	68,469	\$ 3,270	5.0%	\$	55,869	\$ 2,672	5.0%	\$	74,984	\$	3,577	5.0%	\$	18,704	\$	915	5.1%	\$	68,469		3,270	5.0%	\$	18,123	\$	866	5.0%
1996-97	\$	65,199	\$ 3,570	5.8%	\$	53,197	\$ 2,921	5.8%	\$	71,407	\$	3,905	5.8%	\$	17,789	\$	1,011	6.0%	\$	65,199	_	3,570	5.8%	\$,	\$	946	5.8%
1995-96	\$	61,629	\$ 2,450	4.1%	\$	50,276	\$ 2,005	4.2%	\$	67,502	\$	2,679	4.1%	\$	16,778	\$	743	4.6%	\$	61,629	\$	2,450	4.1%	\$,	\$	685	4.4%
1994-95	\$	59,179	\$ 3,246	5.8%	\$	-,	\$ 2,659	5.8%	\$	64,823	\$	3,548	5.8%	\$	16,035	\$	1,013	6.7%	\$	59,179		-	-	\$	15,626			-
1993-94	\$	55,933	\$ 2,128	4.0%	\$	-,-	\$ 1,739	4.0%	\$	61,275	\$	2,328	3.9%	\$	15,022	\$	618	4.3%			See n	otes				ee no	ites	
1992-93	\$	53,805	\$ 49	0.1%	\$,	\$ 46	0.1%	\$	58,947	\$	49	0.1%	\$	14,404	\$	93	0.6%	\$	53,756		-	0.0%	\$		\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$	53,756	\$ 2,420	4.7%	\$	43,827	\$ 1,981	4.7%	\$	58,898	\$	2,647	4.7%	\$	14,311	\$	732	5.4%	\$	53,756	\$	2,420	4.7%	\$	14,071	\$	676	5.0%
1990-91	\$	51,336	\$ 2,032	4.1%	\$	41,846	\$ 1,657	4.1%	\$	56,251	\$	2,226	4.1%	\$	13,579	\$	552	4.2%	\$	51,336	\$	2,032	4.1%	\$	13,395	\$	538	4.2%
1989-90	\$	49,304	\$ 2,257	4.8%	\$	40,189	\$ 1,842	4.8%	\$	54,025	\$	6,978	14.8%	\$	13,027	\$	621	5.0%	\$	49,304		2,257	4.8%	\$	12,857	\$	600	4.9%
1988-89	\$	47,047	\$ 6,557	16.2%	\$	38,347	\$ 6,139	19.1%	\$	47,047	\$	2,266	5.1%	\$	12,406	\$	608	5.2%	\$	47,047	\$	2,266	5.1%	\$	12,257	\$	596	5.1%
1987-88	\$	40,490	\$ 1,937	5.0%	\$	32,208	\$ 1,543	5.0%	\$	44,781	\$	2,142	5.0%	\$	11,798	\$	588	5.2%	\$	44,781	\$	2,142	5.0%	\$	11,661	\$	569	5.1%
1986-87	\$	38,553	\$ 2,545	7.1%	\$	30,665	\$ 2,027	7.1%	\$	42,639	\$	2,812	7.1%	\$	11,210	\$	775	7.4%	\$	42,639	\$	2,812	7.1%	\$	11,092	\$	749	7.2%
1985-86	\$	36,008	-	-	\$	28,638		-	\$	39,827		-	-	\$	10,435		-	-	\$	39,827		-	-	\$	10,343		-]	-

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Gadsden County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

	Cle	Clerk of Circuit Court, Property																										
Fiscal		Appraise	raiser & Tax Collector Supervisor of Elections								Sherif	ff			County	Co	mmissio	ners		School	Superi	nten	dent	i i	School I	Boar	d Memb	bers
Year	ÿ	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg. Salary \$ Chg. % Chg				% Chg.	97	Salary	\$ Cl	hg.	% Chg.	•	Salary	•	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	٠,	Salary	\$ Ch	g.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.
2016-17	\$	106,935	\$ 233	0.2%	\$	106,935	\$ 18,142	20.4%	\$	115,898	\$	246	0.2%	\$	37,873	\$	126	0.3%	\$	106,935	\$	233	0.2%	\$	28,302	\$	62	0.2%
2015-16	\$	106,702	\$ 288	0.3%	\$	88,793	\$ 261	0.3%	\$	115,652	\$	298	0.3%	\$	37,747	\$	204	0.5%	44	106,702	\$	288	0.3%	\$	28,240	\$	76	0.3%
2014-15	\$	106,414	\$ 315	0.3%	\$	88,533	\$ 266	0.3%	\$	115,354	\$	340	0.3%	\$	37,543	\$	128	0.3%	44	106,414	\$	315	0.3%	\$	28,164	\$	83	0.3%
2013-14	\$	106,098	\$ 3,582	3.5%	\$	88,267	\$ 2,953	3.5%	\$	115,014	\$ 3	,901	3.5%	\$	37,415	\$	1,129	3.1%	44	106,098	\$ 3,	582	3.5%	\$	28,080	\$	948	3.5%
2012-13	\$	102,517	\$ 584	0.6%	\$	85,314	\$ 556	0.7%	\$	111,113	\$	584	0.5%	\$	36,286	\$	556	1.6%	44	102,517	\$	584	0.6%	\$	27,133	\$	154	0.6%
2011-12	\$	101,933	\$ 2,048	2.0%	\$	84,758	\$ 1,950	2.4%	\$	110,529	\$ 2	,048	1.9%	\$	35,730	\$	(1,120)	-3.0%	\$	101,933	\$ 2,	048	2.0%	\$	26,978	\$	(310)	-1.1%
2010-11	\$	99,886	\$ (146)	-0.1%	\$	82,808	\$ (139)	-0.2%	\$	108,481	\$ ((146)	-0.1%	\$	36,850	\$	(139)	-0.4%	44	99,886	\$ (959)	-1.0%	\$	27,288		See no	otes
2009-10	\$	100,031	\$ (2,871)	-2.8%	\$	82,947	\$ (2,735)	-3.2%	\$	108,627	\$ (2	,871)	-2.6%	\$	36,989	\$	335	0.9%	\$	100,844	s	ee no	otes	\$	27,327		See no	otes
2008-09	\$	102,902	\$ 500	0.5%	\$	85,682	\$ 463	0.5%	\$	111,498	\$	510	0.5%	\$	36,654	\$	409	1.1%	\$	102,902	\$	500	0.5%	\$	27,235	\$	132	0.5%
2007-08	\$	102,402	\$ 154	0.2%	\$	85,218	\$ 147	0.2%	\$	110,988		154	0.1%	\$	36,244	\$	147	0.4%	\$	102,402	_	154	0.2%	\$	27,102		-	-
2006-07	\$	102,248	\$ 3,686	3.7%	\$	85,072	\$ 3,099	3.8%	\$	110,834	\$ 3	,973	3.7%	\$	36,097	\$	1,461	4.2%	\$	102,248	\$ 3,	686	3.7%	<u></u>	N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$	98,562	\$ 4,029	4.3%	\$	81,973	\$ 3,364	4.3%	\$	106,861		,358	4.3%	\$	34,636	\$	1,481	4.5%	\$	98,562	\$ 4,	029	4.3%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$	94,533	\$ 1,467	1.6%	\$	78,609	\$ 1,240	1.6%	\$	102,503	\$ 1,	,576	1.6%	\$	33,155	\$	617	1.9%	\$	94,533	-		-	<u></u>	N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$	93,066	\$ 2,005	2.2%	\$	77,369	\$ 1,689	2.2%	\$	100,926	\$ 2	,159	2.2%	\$	32,537	\$	810	2.6%		S	ee not	es			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$	91,061	\$ 2,320	2.6%	\$	75,680	\$ 1,935	2.6%	\$	98,767	\$ 2	,512	2.6%	\$	31,727	\$	842	2.7%	\$	91,061	\$ 2,	320	2.6%	<u></u>	S	ee n	otes	
2001-02	\$	88,741	\$ 3,602	4.2%	\$	73,745	\$ 3,123	4.4%	\$	96,255	\$ 3	,817	4.1%	\$	30,885	\$	(707)	-2.2%	\$	88,741	\$ 3,	602	4.2%	\$	23,487	\$	230	1.0%
2000-01	\$	85,139	\$ 2,469	3.0%	\$	70,622	\$ 2,065	3.0%	\$	92,439	\$ 2	,668	3.0%	\$	31,593	\$	998	3.3%	\$	85,139	\$ 2,	469	3.0%	\$	23,257	\$	673	3.0%
1999-00	\$	82,670	\$ 578	0.7%	\$	68,557	\$ 192	0.3%	\$	89,770	_	828	0.9%	\$	30,595	\$	1,302	4.4%	\$	82,670	_	578	0.7%	\$,,	\$	857	3.9%
1998-99	\$	82,093	\$ 4,842	6.3%	\$	68,365	\$ 4,132	6.4%	\$	88,943		,176	6.2%	\$	29,294	\$	2,225	8.2%	\$	82,093	\$ 4,	842	6.3%	\$	21,727		1,281	6.3%
1997-98	\$	77,251	\$ 4,021	5.5%	\$	64,233	\$ 3,388	5.6%	\$	83,767		,329	5.4%	\$	27,069	\$	1,632	6.4%	\$	77,251		021	5.5%	\$,	_	1,064	5.5%
1996-97	\$	73,230	\$ 3,924	5.7%	\$	60,845	\$ 3,257	5.7%	\$	79,438		,259	5.7%	\$	25,437	\$	1,347	5.6%	\$	73,230		924	5.7%	\$	19,382	\$	1,039	5.7%
1995-96	\$	69,306	\$ 3,044	4.6%	\$	57,588	\$ 2,571	4.7%	\$	75,179		,274	4.6%	\$	24,090	\$	1,265	5.5%	\$	69,306	\$ 3,	044	4.6%	\$,	\$	806	4.6%
1994-95	\$	66,262	\$ 3,697	5.9%	\$	55,017	\$ 3,089	5.9%	\$	71,905		,998	5.9%	\$	22,825	\$	1,367	6.4%	\$	66,262	-		-	\$	17,537		-	-
1993-94	\$	62,565	\$ 2,402	4.0%	\$	51,928	\$ 2,000	4.0%	\$	67,907	•	,603	4.0%	\$	21,458	\$	856	4.2%			ee not	es		Щ.		ee n	otes	
1992-93	\$	60,163	\$ 210	0.4%	\$	49,928	\$ 200	0.4%	\$	65,304	_	210	0.3%	\$	20,602	\$	200	1.0%	\$	59,953	_	-	0.0%	\$	15,868		-	0.0%
1991-92	\$	59,953	\$ 1,805	3.1%	\$	49,728	\$ 1,394	2.9%	\$	65,094		,031	3.2%	\$	20,402	\$	103	0.5%	\$	59,953		805	3.1%	\$	-,	\$	478	3.1%
1990-91	\$	58,148	\$ 2,163	3.9%	\$	48,334	\$ 1,783	3.8%	\$	63,063	\$ 2	,357	3.9%	\$	20,299	\$	678	3.5%	\$	58,148		163	3.9%	\$	15,390	\$	573	3.9%
1989-90	\$	55,985	\$ 2,575	4.8%	\$	46,551	\$ 2,144	4.8%	\$	60,706		,296	13.7%	\$	19,621	\$	920	4.9%	\$	55,985		575	4.8%	\$	14,817		681	4.8%
1988-89	\$	53,410	\$ 6,930	14.9%	\$	44,407	\$ 6,495	17.1%	\$	53,410		,640	5.2%	\$	18,701	\$	969	5.5%	\$	53,410		640	5.2%	\$	14,136	•	699	5.2%
1987-88	\$	46,480	\$ 2,332	5.3%	\$	37,912	\$ 1,918	5.3%	\$	50,770		,536	5.3%	\$	17,732	\$	960	5.7%	\$	50,770		536	5.3%	\$,	\$	671	5.3%
1986-87	\$	44,148	\$ 3,042	7.4%	\$	35,994	\$ 2,501	7.5%	\$	48,234	\$ 3	,309	7.4%	\$	16,772	\$	1,243	8.0%	\$	48,234	\$ 3,	309	7.4%	\$,	\$	876	7.4%
1985-86	\$	41,106	-	-	\$	33,493	-	-	\$	44,925	-		-	\$	15,529		-	-	\$	44,925	-		-	\$	11,890		-	-

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Gilchrist County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

	Clerk of Circuit Court, Property Appraiser & Tax Collector Supervisor of Election									•																	
Fiscal											 eriff			County					School					School I			
Year	Salary \$ Chg. % Chg.						Salary	\$ Chg	% Chg.	Salary	Chg.	% Chg.	•	Salary	,	Chg.	% Chg.	•	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	,	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2016-17	\$	96,356	\$	139	0.1%	\$,	\$ 17,5		\$ 105,319	\$ 152	0.1%	\$	27,798	\$	37	0.1%	\$		\$	139	0.1%	\$	25,504	\$	37	0.1%
2015-16	\$	96,217	\$	97	0.1%	\$,		9 0.1%	\$ 105,167	\$ 107	0.1%	\$, -	\$	22	0.1%	\$,	\$	97	0.1%	\$	25,467	\$	26	0.1%
2014-15	\$	96,120	\$	238	0.2%	\$,	\$ 19		\$,	262	0.3%	\$,	\$	54	0.2%	\$,	\$	238	0.2%	\$	25,441	\$	63	0.2%
2013-14	\$	95,881	\$	3,427	3.7%	\$	78,536	\$ 2,80	5 3.7%	\$ 104,797	\$ 3,747	3.7%	\$	27,684	\$	982	3.7%	\$	95,881	\$	3,427	3.7%	\$	25,378	\$	907	3.7%
2012-13	\$	92,454	\$	14	0.0%	\$,		4 0.0%	\$ 101,050	\$ 14	0.0%	\$	26,703	\$	14	0.1%	\$,	\$	14	0.0%	\$	24,471	\$	4	0.0%
2011-12	\$	92,440	\$	(146)	-0.2%	\$	75,717	\$ (13	9) -0.2%	\$ 101,036	\$ (146)	-0.1%	\$	26,689	\$	(139)	-0.5%	\$	92,440	\$	(146)	-0.2%	\$	24,467	\$	(39)	-0.2%
2010-11	\$	92,587	\$	44	0.0%	\$	-,		2 0.1%	\$ 101,182	\$ 44	0.0%	\$	-,	\$	42	0.2%	\$,	\$	1,943	2.1%	\$	24,506		See no	otes
2009-10	\$	92,542	\$	49	0.1%	\$	75,815	\$ 4	7 0.1%	\$ 101,138	\$ 49	0.0%	\$	26,787	\$	46	0.2%	\$	90,644		See no	otes	\$	24,494		See no	otes
2008-09	\$	92,494	\$	231	0.3%	\$	75,768	\$ 20	7 0.3%	\$ 101,089	\$ 241	0.2%	\$	26,740	44	153	0.6%	\$	92,494	\$	231	0.3%	\$	24,481	\$	61	0.3%
2007-08	\$	92,262	\$	154	0.2%	\$,	\$ 14		\$ 100,848	\$ 154	0.2%	\$	26,587	\$	148	0.6%	\$	92,262	\$	154	0.2%	\$	24,420		-	-
2006-07	\$	92,108	\$	3,180	3.6%	\$	75,414	\$ 2,6	7 3.6%	\$ 100,694	\$ 3,467	3.6%	\$	26,440	\$	979	3.8%	\$	92,108	\$	3,180	3.6%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$	88,928	\$	3,651	4.3%	\$	72,797	\$ 3,00	4.3%	\$ 97,227	\$ 3,981	4.3%	\$	25,461	44	1,122	4.6%	\$	88,928	\$	3,651	4.3%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$	85,277	\$	1,315	1.6%	\$	69,793	\$ 1,09	5 1.6%	\$ 93,246	\$ 1,424	1.6%	\$	24,339	4	472	2.0%	\$	85,277		-			N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$	83,962	\$	1,739	2.1%	\$	68,698	\$ 1,43		\$ 91,822	\$ 1,893	2.1%	\$	23,867	44	557	2.4%		S	ee r	notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$	82,223	\$	2,118	2.6%	\$	67,262	\$ 1,74	3 2.7%	\$ 89,929	\$ 2,310	2.6%	\$	23,310	4	650	2.9%	\$	82,223	\$	2,118	2.6%		S	ee n	otes	
2001-02	\$	80,105	\$	2,569	3.3%	\$	65,519	\$ 2,13	9 3.4%	\$ 87,619	\$ 2,784	3.3%	\$	22,660	44	916	4.2%	\$	80,105	\$	2,569	3.3%	\$	21,202	\$	680	3.3%
2000-01	\$	77,536	\$	2,191	2.9%	\$	63,380	\$ 1,80	1 2.9%	\$ 84,835	\$ 2,391	2.9%	\$	21,744	4	662	3.1%	\$	77,536	\$	2,191	2.9%	\$	20,522	\$	580	2.9%
1999-00	\$	75,344	\$	2,810	3.9%	\$	61,579	\$ 2,3	8 3.9%	\$ 82,444	\$ 3,060	3.9%	\$	21,082	44	891	4.4%	\$	75,344	\$	2,810	3.9%	\$	19,942	\$	744	3.9%
1998-99	\$	72,534	\$	3,633	5.3%	\$,	\$ 2,98		\$ 79,384	\$ 3,967	5.3%	\$	20,191	\$	1,074	5.6%	\$	72,534	\$	3,633	5.3%	\$,	\$	962	5.3%
1997-98	\$	68,901	\$	3,317	5.1%	\$	56,281	\$ 2,7	8 5.1%	\$ 75,417	\$ 3,625	5.0%	\$	19,117	\$	962	5.3%	\$	68,901	\$	3,317	5.1%	\$	18,237	\$	878	5.1%
1996-97	\$	65,584	\$	3,618	5.8%	\$	53,563	\$ 2,90	6 5.9%	\$ 71,792	\$ 3,953	5.8%	\$	18,155	\$	1,055	6.2%	\$	65,584	\$	3,618	5.8%	\$	17,359	\$	958	5.8%
1995-96	\$	61,966	\$	2,586	4.4%	\$	50,597	\$ 2,13	5 4.4%	\$ 67,839	\$ 2,816	4.3%	\$	17,100	\$	829	5.1%	\$	61,966	\$	2,586	4.4%	\$	16,401	\$	684	4.4%
1994-95	\$	59,380	\$	3,281	5.8%	\$	48,462	\$ 2,69	2 5.9%	\$ 65,023	\$ 3,582	5.8%	\$	16,271	44	971	6.3%	\$	59,380		-		\$	15,717		-	-
1993-94	\$	56,099	\$	2,147	4.0%	\$	45,770	\$ 1,7	7 4.0%	\$ 61,441	\$ 2,347	4.0%	\$	15,300	4	616	4.2%		S	ee r	notes					otes	
1992-93	\$	53,952	\$	61	0.1%	\$	44,013	\$:	8 0.1%	\$ 59,094	\$ 61	0.1%	\$	14,684	44	116	0.8%	\$	53,891	\$	-	0.0%	\$	14,213	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$	53,891	\$	2,734	5.3%	\$	43,955	\$ 2,2	9 5.5%	\$ 59,033	\$ 2,961	5.3%	\$	14,568	44	1,329	10.0%	\$	53,891	\$	2,734	5.3%	\$	14,213	\$	1,007	7.6%
1990-91	\$	51,157	\$	2,067	4.2%	\$	41,676	\$ 1,69	1 4.2%	\$ 56,072	\$ 2,260	4.2%	\$	13,239	\$	619	4.9%	\$	51,157	\$	2,067	4.2%	\$	13,206	\$	575	4.6%
1989-90	\$	49,090	\$	2,286	4.9%	\$	39,985	\$ 1,8	0 4.9%	\$ 53,812	\$ 7,008	15.0%	\$	12,620	\$	678	5.7%	\$	49,090	\$	2,286	4.9%	\$	12,631	\$	632	5.3%
1988-89	\$	46,804	\$	6,543	16.3%	\$	38,115	\$ 6,12	5 19.1%	\$ 46,804	\$ 2,253	5.1%	\$	11,942	\$	582	5.1%	\$	46,804	\$	2,253	5.1%	\$	11,999	\$	581	5.1%
1987-88	\$	40,261	\$	1,923	5.0%	\$	31,990	\$ 1,5	9 5.0%	\$ 44,551	\$ 2,126	5.0%	\$	11,360	\$	558	5.2%	\$	44,551	\$	2,126	5.0%	\$	11,418	\$	552	5.1%
1986-87	\$	38,338	\$	2,505	7.0%	\$	30,461	\$ 1,99	0 7.0%	\$ 42,425	\$ 2,773	7.0%	\$	10,802	\$	700	6.9%	\$	42,425	\$	2,773	7.0%	\$	10,866	\$	708	7.0%
1985-86	\$	35,833		-	-	\$	28,471	-	-	\$ 39,652	-	-	\$	10,102		-	-	\$	39,652		-	-	\$	10,158		-	-
																								•			

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Glades County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

	Cle	erk of Cir	cuit Court,	Property																								
Fiscal		Appraise	r & Tax Co				sor of Elect				She						mmissio			School					School			
Year	•	Salary \$ Chg. % Chg. Salary \$ Chg.						% Chg.	9)	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	•	Salary	•	Chg.	% Chg.	•	Salary	\$ Ch	g.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ C	Chg.	% Chg.
2016-17	\$	95,016	\$ 142	0.1%	\$	95,016	\$ 17,488	22.6%	\$	103,979	\$	155	0.1%	\$	26,522	\$	40	0.2%	\$	95,016	\$	142	0.1%	\$	25,149	\$	38	0.1%
2015-16	\$	94,874	\$ 170	0.2%	\$	77,528	\$ 148	0.2%	\$	103,824	44	180	0.2%	\$	26,482	\$	91	0.3%	\$	94,874	\$	170	0.2%	\$	25,112	\$\$	45	0.2%
2014-15	\$	94,704	\$ 252	0.3%	\$	77,381	\$ 205	0.3%	\$	103,644	\$	276	0.3%	\$	26,390	\$	67	0.3%	\$	94,704	\$	252	0.3%	\$	25,067	\$\$	67	0.3%
2013-14	\$	94,452	\$ 3,342	3.7%	\$	77,175	\$ 2,725	3.7%	\$	103,368	44	3,662	3.7%	\$	26,323	\$	901	3.5%	\$	94,452	\$ 3,	342	3.7%	\$	25,000	\$\$	885	3.7%
2012-13	\$	91,110	\$ (23)	0.0%	\$	74,451	\$ (22)	0.0%	\$	99,706	\$	(23)	0.0%	\$	25,422	\$	(22)	-0.1%	\$	91,110	\$	(23)	0.0%	\$	24,115	\$	(6)	0.0%
2011-12	\$	91,133	\$ 507	0.6%	\$	74,473	\$ 483	0.7%	\$	99,729	44	507	0.5%	\$	25,444	\$	483	1.9%	\$	91,133	\$	507	0.6%	\$	24,121	\$	134	0.6%
2010-11	\$	90,626	\$ (4)	0.0%	\$	73,990	\$ (4)	0.0%	\$	99,222	\$	(4)	0.0%	\$	24,962	\$	(4)	0.0%	\$	90,626	\$ 1,	894	2.1%	\$	23,987		See no	tes
2009-10	\$	90,630	\$ 87	0.1%	\$	73,993	\$ 83	0.1%	\$	99,226	\$	87	0.1%	\$	24,965	\$	82	0.3%	\$	88,732	S	ee n	otes	\$	23,988	ı	See no	otes
2008-09	\$	90,543	\$ 183	0.2%	\$	73,911	\$ 161	0.2%	\$	99,139	\$	192	0.2%	\$	24,883	\$	107	0.4%	\$	90,543	\$	183	0.2%	\$	23,965	\$	48	0.2%
2007-08	\$	90,360	\$ 21	0.0%	\$,	\$ 20	0.0%	\$	98,946	\$	20	0.0%	\$	24,776	\$	20	0.1%	\$	90,360	\$	21	0.0%	\$	23,917		-	-
2006-07	\$	90,340	\$ 3,020	3.5%	\$	73,730	\$ 2,464	3.5%	\$	98,926	44	3,307	3.5%	\$	24,756	\$	827	3.5%	\$	90,340	\$ 3,	020	3.5%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$	87,320	\$ 3,474	4.1%	\$	71,266	\$ 2,835	4.1%	\$	95,619	\$	3,804	4.1%	\$	23,929	\$	953	4.1%	\$	87,320	\$ 3,	473	4.1%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$	83,846	\$ 1,169	1.4%	\$	68,431	\$ 956	1.4%	\$	91,815	44	1,278	1.4%	\$	22,976	\$	333	1.5%	\$	83,846	-		-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$	82,678	\$ 1,636	2.0%	\$	67,474	\$ 1,337	2.0%	\$	90,537	\$	1,790	2.0%	\$	22,643	\$	458	2.1%		S	ee not	es			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$	81,042	\$ 2,025	2.6%	\$	66,138	\$ 1,654	2.6%	\$	88,748	44	2,217	2.6%	\$	22,185	\$	561	2.6%	\$	81,042	\$ 2,	025	2.6%		S	See no	otes	
2001-02	\$	79,017	\$ 2,450	3.2%	\$	64,483	\$ 2,026	3.2%	\$	86,531	\$	2,664	3.2%	\$	21,624	\$	837	4.0%	\$	79,017	\$ 2,	450	3.2%	\$	20,915	•	677	3.3%
2000-01	\$	76,567	\$ 2,092	2.8%	\$	62,458	\$ 1,706	2.8%	\$	83,867	\$	2,292	2.8%	\$	20,787	\$	564	2.8%	\$	76,567	\$ 2,	092	2.8%	\$	20,237	\$	551	2.8%
1999-00	\$	74,475	\$ 2,681	3.7%	\$	60,751	\$ 2,195	3.7%	\$	81,575	\$	2,931	3.7%	\$	20,222	\$	823	4.2%	\$	74,475		681	3.7%	\$	19,686	\$	755	4.0%
1998-99	\$	71,794	\$ 3,561	5.2%	\$	58,556	\$ 2,912	5.2%	\$	78,644	\$	3,896	5.2%	\$	19,399	\$	1,056	5.8%	\$	71,794		561	5.2%	\$,	\$	985	5.5%
1997-98	\$	68,233	\$ 3,426	5.3%	\$	55,644	\$ 2,821	5.3%	\$	74,748	\$	3,733	5.3%	\$	18,343	\$	1,249	7.3%	\$	68,233	\$ 3,	426	5.3%	\$	17,946	\$	1,060	6.3%
1996-97	\$	64,807	\$ 3,537	5.8%	\$,	\$ 2,888	5.8%	\$	71,015		3,872	5.8%	\$	17,094	\$	1,000	6.2%	\$	64,807	\$ 3,	537	5.8%	\$	16,886	\$	954	6.0%
1995-96	\$	61,270	\$ 2,410	4.1%	\$	49,935	\$ 1,967	4.1%	\$	67,143	\$	2,639	4.1%	\$	16,094	\$	667	4.3%	\$	61,270	\$ 2,	410	4.1%	\$	15,932	\$	643	4.2%
1994-95	\$	58,860	\$ 3,174	5.7%	\$	47,968	\$ 2,591	5.7%	\$	64,504	\$	3,476	5.7%	\$	15,427	\$	876	6.0%	\$	58,860	-		-	\$	15,289		-	-
1993-94	\$	55,686	\$ 2,131	4.0%	\$	-,-	\$ 1,742	4.0%	\$	61,028	\$	2,332	4.0%	\$	14,551	\$	624	4.5%			ee not	es		Ш.		See no	otes	
1992-93	\$,	\$ 64	0.1%	\$,	\$ 61	0.1%	\$	58,696	\$	63	0.1%	\$	13,927	\$	122	0.9%	\$,	\$	-	0.0%	\$	13,790		-	0.0%
1991-92	\$	53,491	\$ 2,324	4.5%	\$	43,574	\$ 1,888	4.5%	\$	58,633	\$	2,551	4.5%	\$	13,805	\$	547	4.1%	\$, -	\$ 2,	324	4.5%	\$	13,790	\$	573	4.3%
1990-91	\$	51,167	\$ 2,064	4.2%	\$	41,686	\$ 1,689	4.2%	\$	56,082	44	2,258	4.2%	\$	13,258	\$	614	4.9%	\$	51,167	\$ 2,	064	4.2%	\$	13,217	\$	572	4.5%
1989-90	\$	49,103	\$ 2,255	4.8%	\$	39,997	\$ 1,840	4.8%	\$	53,824	\$	6,976	14.9%	\$	12,644	\$	618	5.1%	\$	49,103		255	4.8%	\$	12,645	\$	599	5.0%
1988-89	\$	46,848	\$ 6,576	16.3%	\$	38,157	\$ 6,157	19.2%	\$	46,848	44	2,286	5.1%	\$	12,026	\$	644	5.7%	\$	46,848	\$ 2,	286	5.1%	\$	12,046	\$	616	5.4%
1987-88	\$	40,272	\$ 1,947	5.1%	\$	32,000	\$ 1,552	5.1%	\$	44,562	\$	2,151	5.1%	\$	11,382	\$	605	5.6%	\$	44,562	\$ 2,	151	5.1%	\$	11,430	\$	579	5.3%
1986-87	\$	38,325	\$ 2,555	7.1%	\$	30,448	\$ 2,037	7.2%	\$	42,411	\$	2,822	7.1%	\$	10,777	\$	796	8.0%	\$	42,411	\$ 2,	822	7.1%	\$	10,851	\$	760	7.5%
1985-86	\$	35,770	-	-	\$	28,411	-	-	\$	39,589		-	-	\$	9,981		-	-	\$	39,589			-	\$	10,091		- [-

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Gulf County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

2016-17 \$ 96,190 \$ 77 0.1% \$ 96,190 \$ 17.482 \$2.2% \$ 105,153 \$ 91 \$ 1.7% \$ 27,661 \$ 1.7% \$ 27,661 \$ 1.7% \$ 20,000 \$ 1.7% \$ 25,000 \$ 1.7% \$ 26,000 \$ 2016-15 \$ 96,113 \$ 2.55 0.3% \$ 78,708 \$ 2.27 0.3% \$ 105,063 \$ 263 0.3% \$ 27,661 \$ 1.7% \$ 0.6% \$ 96,113 \$ 2.55 0.3% \$ 25,303 \$ 25,303 \$ 27,3481 \$ 276 0.4% \$ 104,000 \$ 350 0.3% \$ 27,661 \$ 1.7% \$ 1.7% \$ 96,190 \$ 2.55 0.3% \$ 25,302 \$ 3.60 \$ 0.3% \$ 21,302 \$ 2016-14 \$ 95,584 \$ 3,464 3.8% \$ 78,206 \$ 2.2841 3.8% \$ 104,400 \$ 3.76 3.8% \$ 3,764 3.8% \$ 78,206 \$ 2.2841 3.8% \$ 104,400 \$ 3.76 3.8% \$ 27,3481 \$ 1.07 3.3% \$ 35,500 \$ 3.26 0.3% \$ 25,302 \$ 3.26 0.3% \$ 25,302 \$ 3.20 0.2% \$ 2.4851 \$ 3.6% \$ 104,800 \$ 3.76 3.8% \$ 3,764 3.8% \$ 3,764 3.8% \$ 3,764 3.8% \$ 2,7345 \$ 3.464 3.8% \$ 3,764		Cle	Clerk of Circuit Court, Property																										
2016-16 S 96,190 S 77 0.1% S 96,190 S 77, 80 S	Fiscal		Appraise	r & Tax Co	sor of Elec	tions			Sheriff				County	Со	mmissio	ners		School	Sup	erinten	dent		School I	Board	d Memb	oers			
2014-15	Year	,	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.					97	Salary	\$ Ch	g.	% Chg.	•	Salary	٠,	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	•	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ C	hg.	% Chg.
2014-15 S 95,860 S 326 0.3% S 78,481 S 276 0.4% S 104,800 S 350 0.3% S 27,491 S 138 0.5% S 95,860 S 326 0.3% S 25,372 S 86 0.3% S 2013-14 S 95,534 S 3,464 3.8% S 78,206 S 2,841 3.8% S 104,449 S 3,784 3.8% S 27,354 S 1,017 3.9% S 95,534 S 3,464 3.8% S 25,266 S 917 3.8% S 2013-14 S 92,093 S (301) -0.3% S 75,564 S (23) -0.4% S 100,689 S (301) -0.3% S 2,537 S (28) -0.4% S 100,689 S (301) -0.3% S 2,539 S (301) -0.3% S 75,574 S (30	2016-17	\$	96,190	\$ 77	0.1%	\$	96,190	\$ 17,482	22.2%	\$	105,153	\$	91	0.1%	\$	27,640	\$	(22)	-0.1%	\$	96,190	\$	77	0.1%	\$	25,460	\$	20	0.1%
2013-14 \$ 95,534 \$ 3,464 3.8% \$ 75,266 \$ 2,241 3.8% \$ 104,449 \$ 3,784 \$ 3.8% \$ 27,354 \$ 1,017 3.9% \$ 95,534 \$ 3,464 3.8% \$ 25,286 \$ 917 3.8% \$ 2012-13 \$ 92,093 \$ (24) 0.0% \$ 75,363 \$ (24) 0.0% \$ 100,689 \$ (301) -0.3% \$ 26,545 \$ (23) -0.1% \$ 92,093 \$ (301) -0.3% \$ 75,387 \$ (267) -0.4% \$ 100,689 \$ (301) -0.3% \$ 26,646 \$ (23) -0.1% \$ 92,093 \$ (301) -0.3% \$ 24,375 \$ (80) -0.3% \$ 20,000 \$ 2010-11 \$ 92,395 \$ (40) 0.0% \$ 75,574 \$ (38) -0.1% \$ 100,991 \$ (40) 0.0% \$ 26,646 \$ (38) -0.1% \$ 92,993 \$ (301) -0.3% \$ 24,455 \$ See notes \$ 2008-09 \$ 92,400 \$ 200 0.2% \$ 75,579 \$ 177 0.2% \$ 100,995 \$ 2.09 0.2% \$ 26,646 \$ 33 0.1% \$ 90,552 \$ See notes \$ 24,466 \$ See notes \$ 2008-09 \$ 92,400 \$ 200 0.2% \$ 75,579 \$ 177 0.2% \$ 100,995 \$ 2.09 0.2% \$ 26,646 \$ 33 0.5% \$ 92,200 \$ 0.9% \$ 22,4404 \$ 2008-00 \$ 92,400 \$ 9 0.0% \$ 75,509 \$ 177 0.2% \$ 100,995 \$ 2.09 0.2% \$ 26,651 \$ 123 0.5% \$ 92,200 \$ 0 0.2% \$ 24,404 \$ 2008-00 \$ 92,401 \$ 3.706 4.3% \$ 72,878 \$ 3.067 4.4% \$ 93,275 \$ 1,000 \$ 10,000 \$	2015-16	\$	96,113	\$ 253	0.3%	\$	78,708	\$ 227	0.3%	\$	105,063	\$	263	0.3%	44	27,661	\$	170		\$	96,113	\$	253	0.3%	\$	25,439	\$	67	0.3%
2011-12 \$ 92,070 \$ (24) 0.0% \$ 75,678 \$ (23) 0.0% \$ 100,665 \$ (24) 0.0% \$ 26,336 \$ (23) 0.1% \$ 92,070 \$ (24) 0.0% \$ 24,369 \$ (6) 0.0% \$ 2011-12 \$ 92,093 \$ (301) -0.3% \$ 75,878 \$ (287) -0.4% \$ 100,689 \$ (301) -0.3% \$ 26,359 \$ (287) -1.1% \$ 92,093 \$ (301) -0.3% \$ 24,357 \$ (80) -0.3% \$ 2001-11 \$ 92,295 \$ (40) 0.0% \$ 75,674 \$ (38) -0.1% \$ 100,991 \$ (40) 0.0% \$ 26,684 \$ (38) -0.1% \$ 92,093 \$ (301) -0.3% \$ 24,455 \$ 580 -0.18 \$ (2008-10) \$ 92,435 \$ 35 0.0% \$ 75,674 \$ 34 0.0% \$ 101,031 \$ 35 0.0% \$ 26,684 \$ (38) -0.1% \$ 90,552 \$ 800 0.2% \$ 24,455 \$ 800 \$ 800.09 \$ 92,400 \$ 200 0.2% \$ 75,679 \$ 177 0.2% \$ 100,991 \$ (40) 0.0% \$ 26,684 \$ 33 0.1% \$ 90,552 \$ 800 0.2% \$ 24,456 \$ 800 \$ 800.09 \$ 800.	2014-15	\$	95,860	\$ 326	0.3%	\$	78,481	\$ 276	0.4%	\$	104,800			0.3%	44	27,491	\$	138	0.5%	\$	95,860	\$	326	0.3%	\$	25,372	\$	86	0.3%
2010-11 \$ 92,093 \$ (301) -0.3% \$ 75,387 \$ (287) -0.4% \$ 100,689 \$ (301) -0.3% \$ 26,559 \$ (287) -1.1% \$ 92,093 \$ (301) -0.3% \$ 24,455 \$ (80) -0.3% \$ (2009-10) \$ 92,435 \$ (30) -0.1% \$ 100,995 \$ (30) \$	2013-14	\$	95,534	\$ 3,464	3.8%	\$	78,206	\$ 2,841	3.8%	\$	104,449	\$ 3,	784	3.8%	44	27,354	\$	1,017	3.9%	\$	95,534	\$	3,464	3.8%	\$	25,286	\$	917	3.8%
2010-10 \$ 92,395 \$ (40) 0.0% \$ 75,674 \$ (38) -0.1% \$ 100,991 \$ (40) 0.0% \$ 26,646 \$ (38) -0.1% \$ 92,395 \$ 1,843 2.0% \$ 24,455 See notes	2012-13	\$	92,070	\$ (24)	0.0%	\$	75,364	\$ (23)	0.0%	\$	100,665	\$	(24)	0.0%	44	26,336	\$	(23)	-0.1%	\$	92,070	\$	(24)	0.0%	\$	24,369	\$	(6)	0.0%
2008-09 \$ 92,400 \$ 200 0.2% \$ 75,773 \$ 34 0.0% \$ 101,031 \$ 35 0.0% \$ 26,684 \$ 33 0.1% \$ 90,552 \$ Senotes \$ 24,466 \$ Senotes \$ 2008-09 \$ 92,400 \$ 200 0.2% \$ 75,679 \$ 177 0.2% \$ 100,995 \$ 209 0.2% \$ 26,651 \$ 123 0.5% \$ 92,400 \$ 200 0.2% \$ 24,464 \$ 2008-09 \$ 92,200 \$ 9 0.0% \$ 75,592 \$ 8 0.0% \$ 100,778 \$ 9 0.0% \$ 26,528 \$ 9 0.0% \$ 92,200 \$ 9 0.0% \$ 24,404 \$ 2008-09 \$ 92,200 \$ 9 0.0% \$ 24,404 \$ 2008-09 \$ 92,191 \$ 3,179 \$ 3.6% \$ 75,494 \$ 2,616 \$ 3.6% \$ 100,777 \$ 3,466 \$ 3.6% \$ 26,528 \$ 9 0.0% \$ 92,200 \$ 9 0.0% \$ 24,404 \$ 2008-09 \$ 92,900 \$ 9 \$ 3,179 \$ 3.6% \$ 100,777 \$ 3,466 \$ 3.6% \$ 26,528 \$ 9 0.0% \$ 92,191 \$ 3,179 \$ 3.6% \$ 100,777 \$ 3,466 \$ 3.6% \$ 26,528 \$ 9 0.0% \$ 92,191 \$ 3,179 \$ 3.6% \$ 100,777 \$ 3,466 \$ 3.6% \$ 26,528 \$ 1,174 \$ 4.0% \$ 8.009-12 \$ 3,179 \$ 3.6% \$ 1.291 \$ 3,179 \$ 3.6% \$ 1.291 \$ 3,179 \$ 3.6% \$ 3.0% \$ 1.291 \$ 3,179 \$ 3.6% \$ 3.0% \$ 3,170 \$ 3.0% \$ 3,17	2011-12	\$	92,093	\$ (301)	-0.3%	\$	75,387	\$ (287)	-0.4%	\$	100,689	\$ (301)	-0.3%	\$	26,359	\$	(287)	-1.1%	\$	92,093	\$	(301)	-0.3%	\$	24,375	\$	(80)	-0.3%
2008-09 \$ 92,400 \$ 200 0.2% \$ 75,679 \$ 177 0.2% \$ 100,995 \$ 209 0.2% \$ 26,651 \$ 123 0.5% \$ 92,400 \$ 200 0.2% \$ 24,456 \$ 53 0.2% 2007-08 \$ 92,200 \$ 9 0.0% \$ 75,502 \$ 8 0.0% \$ 100,708 \$ 9,00% \$ 26,528 \$ 9 0.0% \$ 92,200 \$ 9 0.0% \$ 24,404 \$ - \$ 2006-07 \$ 92,191 \$ 3,179 3.6% \$ 75,949 \$ 2,616 3.6% \$ 100,777 \$ 3,646 3.6% \$ 26,519 \$ 978 3.8% \$ 92,191 \$ 3,179 3.6% \$ 100,778 \$ 3,057 \$ 4.4% \$ 97,311 \$ 4,036 4.3% \$ 25,541 \$ 1,174 4.8% \$ 89,012 \$ 3,706 4.3% \$ 100,771 \$ 100,777 \$ 100	2010-11	\$	92,395	\$ (40)	0.0%	\$	75,674	\$ (38)	-0.1%	\$	100,991	\$	(40)	0.0%	44	26,646	\$	(38)	-0.1%	\$	92,395	\$	1,843	2.0%	\$	24,455		See no	otes
2007-08 \$ 9,2,200 \$ 9 0.0% \$ 75,502 \$ 8 0.0% \$ 100,786 \$ 9 0.0% \$ 26,528 \$ 9 0.0% \$ 9,2,200 \$ 9 0.0% \$ 24,404 2006-06 \$ 8,9112 \$ 3,779 3.6% \$ 75,494 \$ 2,616 3.6% \$ 100,777 \$ 3,466 3.6% \$ 26,519 \$ 978 3.8% \$ 92,191 \$ 3,179 3.6% \$ N/A 2005-06 \$ 8,9012 \$ 3,706 4.3% \$ 72,878 \$ 3,057 4.4% \$ 97,311 \$ 4,036 4.3% \$ 2,541 \$ 1,744 4.8% \$ 8,9012 \$ 3,706 4.3% \$ N/A 2004-05 \$ 85,306 \$ 1,291 1.5% \$ 68,781 \$ 1,073 1.6% \$ 93,275 \$ 1,400 1.5% \$ 24,367 \$ 450 1.9% \$ 85,306 N/A 2004-05 \$ 84,015 \$ 1,719 2.1% \$ 68,748 \$ 1,416 2.1% \$ 91,875 \$ 1,873 2.1% \$ 23,917 \$ 538 2.3% \$ 82,296 \$ 2,503 3.1% \$ 86,748 \$ 1,416 2.1% \$ 91,875 \$ 1,873 2.1% \$ 23,917 \$ 538 2.3% \$ 82,296 \$ 2,503 3.1% \$ 86,743 \$ 1,416 2.1% \$ 91,875 \$ 1,873 2.1% \$ 22,3917 \$ 538 2.3% \$ 82,296 \$ 2,503 3.1% \$ 86,743 \$ 1,416 2.1% \$ 91,875 \$ 1,873 2.1% \$ 22,3917 \$ 538 2.3% \$ 82,296 \$ 2,503 3.1% \$ 86,743 \$ 1,416 2.1% \$ 91,875 \$ 1,873 2.1% \$ 22,3917 \$ 538 2.3% \$ 82,296 \$ 2,503 3.1% \$ 86,748 \$ 1,416 2.1% \$ 91,875 \$ 1,873 2.1% \$ 22,593 3.1% \$ 82,296 \$ 2,503 3.1% \$ 86,748 \$ 1,416 2.1% \$ 91,875 \$ 1,873 2.1% \$ 2,2993 \$ 3,916 4.5% \$ 82,296 \$ 2,503 \$ 3.1% \$ 86,748 \$ 1,416 2.1% \$ 91,875 \$ 1,873 2.1% \$ 2,2993 \$ 3,916 4.5% \$ 82,296 \$ 2,503 \$ 3.1% \$ 82,296 \$ 2,503 \$ 3.1% \$ 82,296 \$ 2,503 \$ 3.1% \$ 82,296 \$ 2,503 \$ 3.1% \$ 82,296 \$ 2,503 \$ 3.1% \$ 82,296 \$ 2,503 \$ 3.1% \$ 82,296 \$ 2,503 \$ 3.1% \$ 82,296 \$ 2,503 \$ 3.1% \$ 82,296 \$ 2,503 \$ 3.1% \$ 82,296 \$ 2,503 \$ 3.1% \$ 82,296 \$ 2,503 \$ 3.1% \$ 82,296 \$ 2,503 \$ 3.1% \$ 82,296 \$ 2,503 \$ 3.1% \$ 82,296 \$ 2,104 3.1% \$ 2,296 3.1% \$ 2,296 3.1% \$ 2,2964 3.2% \$ 2,2964 3.2% \$ 2,2964 3.2% \$ 2,2964 3.2% \$	2009-10	\$	92,435	\$ 35	0.0%	\$	75,713	\$ 34	0.0%	\$	101,031	\$	35	0.0%	\$	26,684	\$	33	0.1%	\$	90,552		See no	otes	\$	24,466		See no	otes
2006-07 S 92,191 S 3,179 3.6% S 75,494 S 2,616 3.6% S 100,777 S 3,466 3.6% S 26,519 S 978 3.8% S 92,191 S 3,179 3.6% N/A 2004-05 S 85,306 S 1,291 1.5% S 69,821 S 1,073 1.6% S 93,275 S 3,057	2008-09	\$	92,400	\$ 200	0.2%	\$	75,679	\$ 177	0.2%	\$	100,995	\$	209	0.2%	\$	26,651	\$	123	0.5%	\$		\$	200	0.2%	\$	24,456	\$	53	0.2%
2005-06 \$ 89,012 \$ 3,706 \$ 4.3% \$ 72,878 \$ 3,057 \$ 4.4% \$ 97,311 \$ 4,036 \$ 4.3% \$ 25,541 \$ 1,174 \$ 4.8% \$ 89,012 \$ 3,706 \$ 4.3% \$ N/A \$ \$ - 2004-05 \$ 85,306 \$ 1,291 \$ 1.5% \$ 69,821 \$ 1,073 \$ 1.6% \$ 93,275 \$ 1,400 \$ 1.5% \$ 24,367 \$ 450 \$ 1.9% \$ 88,006 \$ \$ - \$ \$ N/A \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2007-08	\$. ,		0.0%	\$				\$	100,786	\$	9	0.0%	\$	26,528	\$			\$		\$	•	0.0%	\$, -		-	-
2004-05 \$ 85,306 \$ 1,291 1.5% \$ 69,821 \$ 1,073 1.6% \$ 93,275 \$ 1,400 1.5% \$ 24,367 \$ 450 1.9% \$ 85,306 N/A 2003-04 \$ 84,015 \$ 1,719 \$ 1.9% \$ 68,748 \$ 1,416 \$ 2.1% \$ 91,875 \$ 1,873 \$ 2.1% \$ 23,917 \$ 538 \$ 2.3% See notes N/A 2002-03 \$ 82,296 \$ 2,503 \$ 3.1% \$ 67,332 \$ 2,109 \$ 3.2% \$ 90,002 \$ 2,695 \$ 3.1% \$ 23,379 \$ 1,016 \$ 4.5% \$ 82,296 \$ 2,503 \$ 3.1% \$ See notes \$ 1,000 \$ 2,001-02 \$ 79,793 \$ 1,985 \$ 2.6% \$ 65,223 \$ 1,583 \$ 2.5% \$ 87,307 \$ 2,199 \$ 2.6% \$ 2,263 \$ 3.59 1.6% \$ 79,793 \$ 1,985 \$ 2.6% \$ 2,102 \$ 525 \$ 2.6% \$ 2,000-01 \$ 77,809 \$ 2,166 \$ 2.9% \$ 63,640 \$ 1,777 \$ 2.9% \$ 85,108 \$ 2,366 \$ 2.9% \$ 2,204 \$ 638 \$ 3.0% \$ 77,809 \$ 2,166 \$ 2.9% \$ 20,595 \$ 573 \$ 2.9% \$ 1,985 \$ 2.6% \$ 2,000-01 \$ 75,642 \$ 2,704 \$ 3.7% \$ 59,646 \$ 3,040 \$ 5.4% \$ 79,788 \$ 4,030 \$ 5.3% \$ 59,646 \$ 3,3040 \$ 5.4% \$ 79,788 \$ 4,030 \$ 5.3% \$ 59,646 \$ 3,336 \$ 5.1% \$ 56,606 \$ 2,737 \$ 5.1% \$ 79,788 \$ 4,030 \$ 5.3% \$ 59,646 \$ 3,040 \$ 5.4% \$ 79,788 \$ 4,030 \$ 5.3% \$ 50,606 \$ 2,737 \$ 5.1% \$ 79,788 \$ 4,030 \$ 5.3% \$ 50,606 \$ 2,737 \$ 5.1% \$ 79,788 \$ 4,030 \$ 5.3% \$ 50,642 \$ 3,336 \$ 5.1% \$ 51,832 \$ 53,806 \$ 3.5% \$ 50,606 \$ 2,737 \$ 5.1% \$ 79,788 \$ 4,030 \$ 5.3% \$ 50,606 \$ 3,557 \$ 5.7% \$ 51,832 \$ 50,606 \$ 2,737 \$ 5.1% \$ 79,788 \$ 4,030 \$ 5.3% \$ 50,606 \$ 3,557 \$ 5.7% \$ 51,832 \$ 50,606 \$ 2,737 \$ 5.1% \$ 75,758 \$ 3,644 \$ 5.1% \$ 19,441 \$ 979 \$ 5.3% \$ 69,242 \$ 3,336 \$ 5.1% \$ 18,327 \$ 883 5.1% \$ 1995-96 \$ 65,249 \$ 3,336 \$ 5.1% \$ 50,606 \$ 2,737 \$ 5.1% \$ 75,758 \$ 3,644 \$ 5.1% \$ 19,441 \$ 979 \$ 5.3% \$ 65,906 \$ 3,557 \$ 5.7% \$ 17,444 \$ 941 \$ 5.7% \$ 1995-96 \$ 65,349 \$ 2,616 \$ 4.4% \$ 4,605 \$ 6,833 \$ 5.1% \$ 6,802 \$ 5,906 \$ 3,557 \$ 5.7% \$ 1,7444 \$ 941 \$ 5.7%	2006-07	\$	92,191	\$ 3,179	3.6%	\$	75,494	\$ 2,616	3.6%	\$	100,777	\$ 3,	466	3.6%	\$	26,519	\$	978	3.8%	\$	92,191	\$	3,179	3.6%	<u> </u>	N/A		-	-
2003-04 \$ 84,015 \$ 1,719 2.1% \$ 68,748 \$ 1,416 2.1% \$ 91,875 \$ 1,873 2.1% \$ 23,917 \$ 538 2.3% See notes N/A	2005-06	\$	89,012	\$ 3,706		\$	72,878	\$ 3,057		\$	97,311	\$ 4,	036		\$	25,541	\$		4.8%	\$	89,012	\$	3,706	4.3%				-	-
2002-03 \$ 82,296 \$ 2,503 3.1% \$ 67,332 \$ 2,109 3.2% \$ 90,002 \$ 2,695 3.1% \$ 23,379 \$ 1,016 4.5% \$ 82,296 \$ 2,503 3.1% \$ See notes 2001-02 \$ 79,793 \$ 1,985 2.6% \$ 65,223 \$ 1,583 2.5% \$ 87,307 \$ 2,199 2.6% \$ 22,363 \$ 359 1.6% \$ 79,793 \$ 1,985 2.6% \$ 2,112 \$ 525 2.6% 2000-01 \$ 77,809 \$ 2,166 2.9% \$ 63,640 \$ 1,777 2.9% \$ 85,108 \$ 2,366 2.9% \$ 22,304 \$ 638 3.0% \$ 77,809 \$ 2,166 2.9% \$ 20,595 \$ 573 2.9% \$ 1999-00 \$ 75,642 \$ 2,704 3.7% \$ 61,863 \$ 2,217 3.7% \$ 82,742 \$ 2,954 3.7% \$ 21,366 \$ 791 3.8% \$ 75,642 \$ 2,704 3.7% \$ 20,021 \$ 716 3.7% \$ 1998-99 \$ 72,938 \$ 3,696 5.3% \$ 59,646 \$ 3,040 5.4% \$ 79,788 \$ 4,030 5.3% \$ 20,575 \$ 1,134 5.8% \$ 72,938 \$ 3,696 5.3% \$ 19,305 \$ 978 5.3% \$ 1998-99 \$ 72,938 \$ 3,696 5.3% \$ 59,646 \$ 3,040 5.4% \$ 79,788 \$ 4,030 5.3% \$ 20,575 \$ 1,134 5.8% \$ 72,938 \$ 3,696 5.3% \$ 19,305 \$ 978 5.3% \$ 1996-97 \$ 65,906 \$ 3,557 5.7% \$ 53,869 \$ 2,907 5.7% \$ 72,114 \$ 3,892 \$ 5.7% \$ 19,441 \$ 979 5.3% \$ 69,242 \$ 3,336 5.1% \$ 18,327 \$ 883 5.1% \$ 1995-96 \$ 62,349 \$ 2,616 4.4% \$ 50,962 \$ 2,163 4.4% \$ 68,222 \$ 2,845 4.4% \$ 17,464 \$ 856 5.2% \$ 66,306 \$ 3,557 5.7% \$ 17,444 \$ 941 5.7% \$ 1994-95 \$ 59,733 \$ 3,333 5.9% \$ 44,979 \$ 2,742 6.0% \$ 66,377 \$ 3,635 5.9% \$ 16,608 \$ 1,021 6.6% \$ 59,733 \$ \$ 15,810 1993-94 \$ 56,400 \$ 2,141 3.9% \$ 46,507 \$ 1,751 4.0% \$ 61,742 \$ 2,341 3.9% \$ 15,587 \$ 608 4.1% \$ See notes 1992-93 \$ 54,259 \$ 13 0.0% \$ 44,306 \$ 13 0.0% \$ 59,401 \$ 14 0.0% \$ 14,976 \$ 14,976 \$ 473 3.3% \$ 54,246 \$ 2,195 4.2% \$ 14,358 \$ - 0.0% \$ 1990-91 \$ 52,051 \$ 2,108 4.2% \$ 44,293 \$ 1,766 4.2% \$ 59,387 \$ 2,421 4.2% \$ 14,966 \$ 473 3.3% \$ 54,246 \$ 2,195 4.2% \$ 14,358 \$ 581 4.2% \$ 1990-91 \$ 52,051 \$ 2,108 4.2% \$ 13,000 \$ 6,23 19.0% \$ 54,665 \$ 7,033 14.8% \$ 13,867 \$ 668 5.1% \$ 49,943 \$ 2,311 4.9% \$ 13,219 \$ 611 4.8% \$ 1986-87 \$ 38,992 \$ 2,580 7.1% \$ 34,083 \$ 2,061 7.1% \$ 43,078 \$ 2,847 7.1% \$ 11,861 \$ 803 7.3% \$ 43,078 \$ 2,847 7.1% \$ 11,402 \$ 753 7.1%	2004-05	\$	85,306	\$ 1,291	1.5%	\$	69,821	\$ 1,073	1.6%	\$	93,275	\$ 1,	400	1.5%	\$	24,367	\$		1.9%	\$	85,306		-	-	<u> </u>	N/A		-	-
2001-02 \$ 79,793 \$ 1,985	2003-04	\$	84,015	\$ 1,719	2.1%	\$	68,748	\$ 1,416	2.1%	\$	91,875	\$ 1,	873	2.1%	44	23,917	\$	538	2.3%		S	See r	notes			N/A		-	-
2000-01 \$ 77,809 \$ 2,166 2.9% \$ 63,640 \$ 1,777 2.9% \$ 85,108 \$ 2,366 2.9% \$ 22,004 \$ 638 3.0% \$ 77,809 \$ 2,166 2.9% \$ 20,595 \$ 573 2.9% 1999-00 \$ 75,642 \$ 2,704 3.7% \$ 61,863 \$ 2,217 3.7% \$ 82,742 \$ 2,954 3.7% \$ 21,366 \$ 791 3.8% \$ 75,642 \$ 2,704 3.7% \$ 20,021 \$ 716 3.7% 1998-99 \$ 72,938 \$ 3,696 5.3% \$ 59,646 \$ 3,040 5.4% \$ 79,788 \$ 4,030 5.3% \$ 20,575 \$ 1,134 5.8% \$ 72,938 \$ 3,696 5.3% \$ 19,305 \$ 978 5.3% 1997-98 \$ 69,242 \$ 3,336 5.1% \$ 56,606 \$ 2,737 5.1% \$ 75,758 \$ 3,644 5.1% \$ 19,441 \$ 979 5.3% \$ 69,242 \$ 3,336 5.5% \$ 18,327 \$ 883 5.1% 1995-96 \$ 65,306 \$ 3,557 5.7% \$ 53,869 \$ 2,907 5.7% \$ 72,114 \$ 3,892 5.7% \$ 18,462 \$ 998 5.7% \$ 65,906 \$ 3,557 5.7% \$ 17,444 \$ 941 5.7% 1995-96 \$ 62,349 \$ 2,616 4.4% \$ 50,962 \$ 2,163 4.4% \$ 68,222 \$ 2,845 4.4% \$ 17,464 \$ 856 5.2% \$ 62,349 \$ 2,616 4.4% \$ 16,503 \$ 693 4.4% 1994-95 \$ 59,733 \$ 3,333 5.9% \$ 48,799 \$ 2,742 6.0% \$ 65,377 \$ 3,635 5.9% \$ 16,608 \$ 1,021 6.6% \$ 59,733 \$ - \$ 15,810 \$ - \$ 1992-93 \$ 54,259 \$ 13 0.0% \$ 44,306 \$ 13 0.0% \$ 59,401 \$ 14 0.0% \$ 14,979 \$ 13 0.1% \$ 54,246 \$ 2,195 4.2% \$ 14,358 \$ 581 4.2% 1990-91 \$ 52,051 \$ 2,108 4.2% \$ 44,293 \$ 1,766 4.2% \$ 59,387 \$ 2,421 4.2% \$ 14,966 \$ 473 3.3% \$ 54,246 \$ 2,195 4.2% \$ 14,358 \$ 581 4.2% 1989-90 \$ 49,943 \$ 2,311 4.9% \$ 40,797 \$ 1,893 4.9% \$ 54,665 \$ 7,033 14.8% \$ 13,967 \$ 668 5.1% \$ 49,943 \$ 2,311 4.9% \$ 13,219 \$ 611 4.8% 1988-89 \$ 47,632 \$ 54,685 16.2% \$ 38,904 \$ 6,223 19.0% \$ 47,632 \$ 2,355 5.2% \$ 13,098 \$ 43,078 \$ 2,355 5.2% \$ 11,040 \$ 753 7.1% \$ 11,861 \$ 803 7.3% \$ 43,078 \$ 2,847 7.1% \$ 11,402 \$ 753 7.1%	2002-03	\$	82,296	\$ 2,503	3.1%	\$	67,332	\$ 2,109	3.2%	\$	90,002	\$ 2,	695	3.1%	\$	23,379	\$	1,016	4.5%	\$	82,296	\$	2,503	3.1%	<u> </u>	S	ee no	otes	
1999-00 \$ 75,642 \$ 2,704 3.7% \$ 61,863 \$ 2,217 3.7% \$ 82,742 \$ 2,954 3.7% \$ 21,366 \$ 791 3.8% \$ 75,642 \$ 2,704 3.7% \$ 20,021 \$ 716 3.7% \$ 1998-99 \$ 72,938 \$ 3,696 5.3% \$ 59,646 \$ 3,040 5.4% \$ 79,788 \$ 4,030 5.3% \$ 20,575 \$ 1,134 5.8% \$ 72,938 \$ 3,696 5.3% \$ 19,305 \$ 978 5.3% \$ 1997-98 \$ 69,242 \$ 3,336 5.1% \$ 56,606 \$ 2,737 5.1% \$ 75,758 \$ 3,644 5.1% \$ 19,441 \$ 979 5.3% \$ 69,242 \$ 3,336 5.1% \$ 18,327 \$ 883 5.1% \$ 1998-97 \$ 65,906 \$ 3,557 5.7% \$ 53,869 \$ 2,907 5.7% \$ 72,114 \$ 3,892 5.7% \$ 18,462 \$ 998 5.7% \$ 65,906 \$ 3,557 5.7% \$ 17,444 \$ 941 5.7% \$ 1998-95 \$ 62,349 \$ 2,616 4.4% \$ 50,962 \$ 2,163 4.4% \$ 68,222 \$ 2,845 4.4% \$ 17,464 \$ 856 5.2% \$ 62,349 \$ 2,616 4.4% \$ 16,503 \$ 693 4.4% \$ 1994-95 \$ 59,733 \$ 3,333 5.9% \$ 48,799 \$ 2,742 6.0% \$ 65,377 \$ 3,635 5.9% \$ 16,608 \$ 1,021 6.6% \$ 59,733 \$ 15,810 1993-94 \$ 56,400 \$ 2,141 3.9% \$ 44,006 \$ 13,775 \$ 4.0% \$ 61,742 \$ 2,341 3.9% \$ 15,587 \$ 608 4.1% \$ See notes \$		\$	79,793	\$ 1,985	2.6%	\$	65,223	\$ 1,583		\$	87,307	\$ 2,	199		\$	22,363	\$		1.6%	\$	79,793	\$	1,985		\$	21,120	\$		
1998-99 \$ 72,938 \$ 3,696 5.3% \$ 59,646 \$ 3,040 5.4% \$ 79,788 \$ 4,030 5.3% \$ 20,575 \$ 1,134 5.8% \$ 72,938 \$ 3,696 5.3% \$ 19,305 \$ 978 5.3% 1997-98 \$ 69,242 \$ 3,336 5.1% \$ 56,606 \$ 2,737 5.1% \$ 75,758 \$ 3,644 5.1% \$ 19,441 \$ 979 5.3% \$ 69,242 \$ 3,336 5.1% \$ 18,327 \$ 883 5.1% 1998-99 \$ 65,906 \$ 3,557 5.7% \$ 53,869 \$ 2,907 5.7% \$ 72,114 \$ 3,892 5.7% \$ 13,462 \$ 998 5.7% \$ 65,906 \$ 3,557 5.7% \$ 17,444 \$ 941 5.7% 1995-96 \$ 62,349 \$ 2,616 4.4% \$ 50,962 \$ 2,163 4.4% \$ 68,222 \$ 2,845 4.4% \$ 17,464 \$ 856 5.2% \$ 62,349 \$ 2,616 4.4% \$ 16,503 \$ 693 4.4% 1994-95 \$ 59,733 \$ 3,333 5.9% \$ 48,799 \$ 2,742 6.6% \$ 68,222 \$ 2,845 4.4% \$ 17,464 \$ 856 5.2% \$ 62,349 \$ 2,616 4.4% \$ 16,503 \$ 693 4.4% \$ 1993-94 \$ 56,400 \$ 2,141 3.9% \$ 46,057 \$ 1,751 4.0% \$ 61,742 \$ 2,341 3.9% \$ 15,557 \$ 608 4.1% \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2000-01	\$,			\$	63,640			\$	85,108				\$		\$		3.0%	\$		\$,		\$,	\$		
1997-98 1997	1999-00	\$	75,642	. , .		\$. ,			\$	- ,				\$		\$			\$	-,-	\$, -		\$	- , -	\$		
1996-97 \$ 65,906 \$ 3,557 5.7% \$ 53,869 \$ 2,907 5.7% \$ 72,114 \$ 3,892 5.7% \$ 11,462 \$ 998 5.7% \$ 65,906 \$ 3,557 5.7% \$ 17,444 \$ 941 5.7% \$ 1995-96 \$ 62,349 \$ 2,616 4.4% \$ 50,962 \$ 2,163 4.4% \$ 68,222 \$ 2,845 4.4% \$ 17,464 \$ 856 5.2% \$ 62,349 \$ 2,616 4.4% \$ 16,503 \$ 693 4.4% \$ 1994-95 \$ 59,733 \$ 3,333 5.9% \$ 48,799 \$ 2,742 6.0% \$ 65,377 \$ 3,635 5.9% \$ 16,608 \$ 1,021 6.6% \$ 59,733 -	1998-99	\$	72,938	\$ 3,696	5.3%	\$	59,646	,	5.4%	\$	79,788				\$	20,575	\$			\$,	\$	3,696	5.3%	\$	-,			
1995-96 \$ 62,349 \$ 2,616 4.4% \$ 50,962 \$ 2,163 4.4% \$ 68,222 \$ 2,845 4.4% \$ 17,464 \$ 856 5.2% \$ 62,349 \$ 2,616 4.4% \$ 16,503 \$ 693 4.4% \$ 1994-95 \$ 59,733 \$ 3,333 5.9% \$ 48,799 \$ 2,742 6.0% \$ 65,377 \$ 3,635 5.9% \$ 16,608 \$ 1,021 6.6% \$ 59,733 \$ 15,810 \$ 15,810 \$ 193-94 \$ 56,400 \$ 2,141 3.9% \$ 46,057 \$ 1,751 4.0% \$ 61,742 \$ 2,341 3.9% \$ 15,587 \$ 608 4.1% See notes See	1997-98	\$	69,242			\$				\$	75,758				\$	19,441	\$			\$		\$,		\$,	\$		
1994-95 \$ 59,733 \$ 3,333 5.9% \$ 48,799 \$ 2,742 6.0% \$ 65,377 \$ 3,635 5.9% \$ 16,608 \$ 1,021 6.6% \$ 59,733 \$ 15,810 193-94 \$ 56,400 \$ 2,141 3.9% \$ 46,057 \$ 1,751 4.0% \$ 61,742 \$ 2,341 3.9% \$ 15,587 \$ 608 4.1% See notes 1992-93 \$ 54,259 \$ 13 0.0% \$ 44,306 \$ 13 0.0% \$ 59,401 \$ 14 0.0% \$ 14,979 \$ 13 0.1% \$ 54,246 \$ - 0.0% \$ 14,358 \$ - 0.0% 1991-92 \$ 54,246 \$ 2,195 4.2% \$ 44,293 \$ 1,766 4.2% \$ 59,387 \$ 2,421 4.2% \$ 14,966 \$ 473 3.3% \$ 54,246 \$ 2,195 4.2% \$ 14,358 \$ 581 4.2% 1990-91 \$ 52,051 \$ 2,108 4.2% \$ 44,293 \$ 1,766 4.2% \$ 59,387 \$ 2,421 4.2% \$ 14,966 \$ 473 3.3% \$ 54,246 \$ 2,195 4.2% \$ 14,358 \$ 581 4.2% 1990-91 \$ 52,051 \$ 2,108 4.2% \$ 44,252 \$ 1,730 4.2% \$ 56,666 \$ 2,301 4.2% \$ 14,493 \$ 626 4.5% \$ 52,051 \$ 2,108 4.2% \$ 13,777 \$ 558 4.2% 1989-90 \$ 49,943 \$ 2,311 4.9% \$ 40,797 \$ 1,893 4.9% \$ 54,665 \$ 7,033 14.8% \$ 13,867 \$ 668 5.1% \$ 49,943 \$ 2,311 4.9% \$ 13,219 \$ 611 4.8% 1988-89 \$ 47,632 \$ 6,645 16.2% \$ 38,904 \$ 6,223 19.0% \$ 47,632 \$ 2,355 5.2% \$ 13,199 \$ 699 5.6% \$ 47,632 \$ 2,355 5.2% \$ 12,008 \$ 624 5.2% 1987-88 \$ 40,987 \$ 1,995 5.1% \$ 32,681 \$ 1,598 5.1% \$ 45,277 \$ 2,199 5.1% \$ 12,500 \$ 639 5.4% \$ 45,277 \$ 2,199 5.1% \$ 11,402 \$ 753 7.1% 1986-87 \$ 38,992 \$ 2,580 7.1% \$ 31,083 \$ 2,061 7.1% \$ 43,078 \$ 2,847 7.1% \$ 11,861 \$ 803 7.3% \$ 43,078 \$ 2,847 7.1% \$ 11,402 \$ 753 7.1%	1996-97	\$	65,906		5.7%	\$,			\$	72,114				\$	18,462	\$			\$,	\$	3,557	5.7%	\$	17,444	\$		
1993-94 \$ 56,400 \$ 2,141 3.9% \$ 46,057 \$ 1,751 4.0% \$ 61,742 \$ 2,341 3.9% \$ 15,587 \$ 608 4.1% See notes See notes 1992-93 \$ 54,259 \$ 13 0.0% \$ 44,306 \$ 13 0.0% \$ 59,401 \$ 14 0.0% \$ 14,979 \$ 13 0.1% \$ 54,246 \$ - 0.0% \$ 14,358 \$ - 0.0% \$ 1991-92 \$ 54,246 \$ 2,195 4.2% \$ 44,293 \$ 1,766 4.2% \$ 59,387 \$ 2,421 4.2% \$ 14,966 \$ 473 3.3% \$ 54,246 \$ 2,195 4.2% \$ 14,358 \$ 581 4.2% \$ 1991-91 \$ 52,051 \$ 2,108 4.2% \$ 44,293 \$ 1,766 4.2% \$ 56,966 \$ 2,301 4.2% \$ 14,966 \$ 473 3.3% \$ 54,246 \$ 2,195 4.2% \$ 14,358 \$ 581 4.2% \$ 1989-90 \$ 49,943 \$ 2,311 4.9% \$ 40,797 \$ 1,893 4.9% \$ 56,665 \$ 7,033 14.8% \$ 13,867 \$ 668 5.1% \$ 49,943 \$ 2,311 4.9% \$ 13,777 \$ 558 4.2% \$ 1988-99 \$ 47,632 \$ 6,645 16.2% \$ 38,904 \$ 6,223 19.0% \$ 47,632 \$ 2,355 5.2% \$ 13,199 \$ 699 5.6% \$ 47,632 \$ 2,355 5.2% \$ 12,008 \$ 624 5.2% \$ 1988-98 \$ 47,632 \$ 2,355 5.1% \$ 32,681 \$ 1,598 5.1% \$ 45,277 \$ 2,199 5.1% \$ 11,861 \$ 803 7.3% \$ 43,078 \$ 2,847 7.1% \$ 11,402 \$ 753 7.1% \$ 11,402 \$ 753 7.1% \$ 11,861 \$ 803 7.3% \$ 43,078 \$ 2,847 7.1% \$ 11,402 \$ 753 7.1% \$ 11,402 \$ 753 7.1% \$ 11,861 \$ 803 7.3% \$ 43,078 \$ 2,847 7.1% \$ 11,402 \$ 753 7.1%	1995-96	\$. ,	\$ 2,616	4.4%	\$,			\$	68,222				\$	17,464	\$		5.2%	\$	- ,	\$	2,616	4.4%	\$	16,503	\$	693	4.4%
1992-93 \$ 54,259 \$ 13 0.0% \$ 44,306 \$ 13 0.0% \$ 59,401 \$ 14 0.0% \$ 14,979 \$ 13 0.1% \$ 54,246 \$ - 0.0% \$ 14,358 \$ - 0.0% 1991-92 \$ 54,246 \$ 2,195 4.2% \$ 44,293 \$ 1,766 4.2% \$ 59,387 \$ 2,421 4.2% \$ 14,966 \$ 473 3.3% \$ 54,246 \$ 2,195 4.2% \$ 14,358 \$ 581 4.2% 1990-91 \$ 52,051 \$ 2,108 4.2% \$ 42,527 \$ 1,730 4.2% \$ 56,966 \$ 2,301 4.2% \$ 14,493 \$ 626 4.5% \$ 52,051 \$ 2,108 4.2% \$ 13,777 \$ 558 4.2% 1989-90 \$ 49,943 \$ 2,311 4.9% \$ 40,797 \$ 1,893 4.9% \$ 54,665 \$ 7,033 14.8% \$ 13,867 \$ 668 5.1% \$ 49,943 \$ 2,311 4.9% \$ 13,219 \$ 611 4.8% 1988-89 \$ 47,632 \$ 6,645 16.2% \$ 38,904 \$ 6,223 19.0% \$ 47,632 \$ 2,355 5.2% \$ 13,199 \$ 699 5.6% \$ 47,632 \$ 2,355 5.2% \$ 12,088 \$ 624 5.2% 1987-88 \$ 40,987 \$ 1,995 5.1% \$ 32,681 \$ 1,598 5.1% \$ 45,277 \$ 2,199 5.1% \$ 12,500 \$ 639 5.4% \$ 45,277 \$ 2,199 5.1% \$ 11,801 \$ 502 5.1% \$ 11,861 \$ 803 7.3% \$ 43,078 \$ 2,847 7.1% \$ 11,402 \$ 753 7.1%	1994-95	\$		\$ 3,333	5.9%	\$				\$	65,377	,			\$	-,	\$			\$	59,733		-	-	\$	15,810		-	-
1991-92 \$ 54,246 \$ 2,195 4.2% \$ 44,293 \$ 1,766 4.2% \$ 59,387 \$ 2,421 4.2% \$ 14,966 \$ 473 3.3% \$ 54,246 \$ 2,195 4.2% \$ 14,358 \$ 581 4.2% 1990-91 \$ 52,051 \$ 2,108 4.2% \$ 42,527 \$ 1,730 4.2% \$ 56,966 \$ 2,301 4.2% \$ 14,493 \$ 626 4.5% \$ 52,051 \$ 2,108 4.2% \$ 13,777 \$ 558 4.2% 1989-90 \$ 49,943 \$ 2,311 4.9% \$ 40,797 \$ 1,893 4.9% \$ 54,665 \$ 7,033 14.8% \$ 13,867 \$ 668 5.1% \$ 49,943 \$ 2,311 4.9% \$ 13,219 \$ 611 4.8% 1988-89 \$ 47,632 \$ 6,645 16.2% \$ 38,904 \$ 6,223 19.0% \$ 47,632 \$ 2,355 5.2% \$ 13,199 \$ 699 5.6% \$ 47,632 \$ 2,355 5.2% \$ 11,984 \$ 52,2% \$ 11,984 \$ 52,2% \$ 11,984 \$ 52,2% \$ 12,500 \$ 639	1993-94	\$	56,400	\$ 2,141		\$	46,057	\$ 1,751		\$	61,742	\$ 2,	341		\$	15,587	\$	608				See r	notes		Ш.			otes	
1990-91 \$ 52,051 \$ 2,108 4.2% \$ 42,527 \$ 1,730 4.2% \$ 56,966 \$ 2,301 4.2% \$ 14,493 \$ 626 4.5% \$ 52,051 \$ 2,108 4.2% \$ 13,777 \$ 558 4.2% 1989-90 \$ 49,943 \$ 2,311 4.9% \$ 40,797 \$ 1,893 4.9% \$ 54,665 \$ 7,033 14.8% \$ 13,867 \$ 668 5.1% \$ 49,943 \$ 2,311 4.9% \$ 13,219 \$ 611 4.8% 1988-89 \$ 47,632 \$ 6,645 16.2% \$ 38,904 \$ 6,223 19.0% \$ 47,632 \$ 2,355 5.2% \$ 13,199 \$ 699 5.6% \$ 47,632 \$ 2,355 5.2% \$ 12,500 \$ 639 5.4% \$ 45,277 \$ 2,199 5.1% \$ 11,984 \$ 582 5.1% 1986-87 \$ 38,992 \$ 2,580 7.1% \$ 31,083 \$ 2,061 7.1% \$ 43,078 \$ 2,847 7.1% \$ 11,861 \$ 803 7.3% \$ 43,078 \$ 2,847 7.1% \$ 11,861 \$ 803 7.3%<	1992-93	\$		7	0.0%	\$,			\$	59,401	\$	14	0.0%	\$	14,979	\$		0.1%	\$	- , -	\$	-	0.0%	\$	14,358	\$	-	0.0%
1989-90 \$ 49,943 \$ 2,311 4.9% \$ 40,797 \$ 1,893 4.9% \$ 54,665 \$ 7,033 14.8% \$ 13,867 \$ 668 5.1% \$ 49,943 \$ 2,311 4.9% \$ 13,219 \$ 611 4.8% 1988-89 \$ 47,632 \$ 6,645 16.2% \$ 38,904 \$ 6,223 19.0% \$ 47,632 \$ 2,355 5.2% \$ 13,199 \$ 699 5.6% \$ 47,632 \$ 2,355 5.2% \$ 12,500 \$ 639 5.4% \$ 45,277 \$ 2,199 5.1% \$ 11,984 \$ 582 5.1% 1986-87 \$ 38,992 \$ 2,580 7.1% \$ 31,083 \$ 2,061 7.1% \$ 43,078 \$ 2,847 7.1% \$ 11,861 \$ 803 7.3% \$ 43,078 \$ 2,847 7.1% \$ 11,861 \$ 803 7.3% \$ 43,078 \$ 2,847 7.1% \$ 753 7.1%	1991-92	\$			4.2%	\$				\$	59,387	,			\$	14,966	\$			\$		\$	2,195		\$	14,358	\$		
1988-89 \$ 47,632 \$ 6,645 16.2% \$ 38,904 \$ 6,223 19.0% \$ 47,632 \$ 2,355 5.2% \$ 47,632 \$ 2,355 5.2% \$ 13,199 \$ 699 5.6% \$ 47,632 \$ 2,355 5.2% \$ 12,608 \$ 624 5.2% 1987-88 \$ 40,987 \$ 1,995 5.1% \$ 32,681 \$ 1,598 5.1% \$ 45,277 \$ 2,199 5.1% \$ 12,500 \$ 639 5.4% \$ 45,277 \$ 2,199 5.1% \$ 11,984 \$ 582 5.1% 1986-87 \$ 38,992 \$ 2,580 7.1% \$ 31,083 \$ 2,061 7.1% \$ 43,078 \$ 2,847 7.1% \$ 11,861 \$ 803 7.3% \$ 43,078 \$ 2,847 7.1% \$ 71,40 \$ 753 7.1%	1990-91	\$	52,051	\$ 2,108	4.2%	\$	42,527	\$ 1,730		\$,		301		\$	14,493	\$			\$	52,051	\$	2,108	4.2%	\$	13,777	\$	558	
1987-88 \$ 40,987 \$ 1,995 5.1% \$ 32,681 \$ 1,598 5.1% \$ 45,277 \$ 2,199 5.1% \$ 12,500 \$ 639 5.4% \$ 45,277 \$ 2,199 5.1% \$ 11,984 \$ 582 5.1% 1986-87 \$ 38,992 \$ 2,580 7.1% \$ 31,083 \$ 2,061 7.1% \$ 43,078 \$ 2,847 7.1% \$ 11,861 \$ 803 7.3% \$ 43,078 \$ 2,847 7.1% \$ 11,402 \$ 753 7.1%	1989-90	\$	49,943	\$ 2,311	4.9%	\$	40,797	\$ 1,893	4.9%	\$	54,665	\$ 7,	033		\$	13,867	\$		5.1%	\$	49,943	\$	2,311		\$	13,219	\$	611	
1986-87 \$ 38,992 \$ 2,580 7.1% \$ 31,083 \$ 2,061 7.1% \$ 43,078 \$ 2,847 7.1% \$ 11,861 \$ 803 7.3% \$ 43,078 \$ 2,847 7.1% \$ 11,402 \$ 753 7.1%	1988-89	\$	47,632	\$ 6,645	16.2%	\$,		\$	47,632	\$ 2,	355		\$	13,199	\$			\$,	\$	2,355		\$	12,608	\$		
	1987-88	\$	40,987	\$ 1,995		\$	32,681	\$ 1,598	5.1%	\$	45,277	\$ 2,	199	5.1%	\$	12,500	\$		5.4%	\$	45,277	\$	2,199	5.1%	\$	11,984	\$		5.1%
1095-96 \$ 36.412 - \$ 20.022 - \$ 40.231 - \$ 40.231 \$ 40.640	1986-87	\$	38,992	\$ 2,580	7.1%	\$	31,083	\$ 2,061	7.1%	\$	43,078	\$ 2,	847	7.1%	\$	11,861	\$	803	7.3%	\$		\$	2,847	7.1%	\$, -	\$	753	7.1%
1305-00 \$ 50,412 - - \$ 25,022 - - \$ 40,251 - - \$ 11,030 - - \$ 40,251 - - \$ 10,049 - -	1985-86	\$	36,412	-	-	\$	29,022	-	-	\$	40,231	-		-	\$	11,058		-	-	\$	40,231		-	-	\$	10,649		-	-

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Hamilton County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

	Clerk of Circuit Court, Property											<u> </u>																
Fiscal		Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervi	sor of Elec	tions			She				County	Co	mmissio			School			dent		School I	Boar	d Memi	oers
Year		Salary \$ Chg. % Chg. Salary \$ Chg.					\$ Chg.	% Chg.	S	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	ű	Salary	•	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	,	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	,	Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.
2016-17	\$	95,613	\$ 236	0.2%	\$	95,613	\$ 17,606	22.6%	\$	104,576	\$	249	0.2%	\$	27,090	\$	130	0.5%	\$	95,613	\$	236	0.2%	\$	25,307	\$	62	0.2%
2015-16	\$	95,377	\$ 53	0.1%	\$	78,007	\$ 37	0.0%	\$	104,327	\$	63	0.1%	\$	26,961	\$	(20)	-0.1%	\$	95,377	\$	53	0.1%	\$	25,245	\$	14	0.1%
2014-15	\$	95,324	\$ 148	0.2%	\$	77,971	\$ 106	0.1%	\$	104,264	\$	172	0.2%	\$	26,981	\$	(32)	-0.1%	\$	95,324	\$	148	0.2%	\$	25,231	\$	39	0.2%
2013-14	\$	95,176	\$ 3,443	3.8%	\$	77,865	\$ 2,821	3.8%	\$	104,091	\$	3,763	3.8%	\$	27,013	\$	997	3.8%	\$	95,176	\$	3,443	3.8%	\$	25,191	\$	911	3.8%
2012-13	\$	91,733	\$ (18)	0.0%	\$	75,044	\$ (17)	0.0%	\$	100,328	\$	(18)	0.0%	\$	26,015	\$	(17)	-0.1%	\$	91,733	\$	(18)	0.0%	\$	24,280	\$	(5)	0.0%
2011-12	\$	91,750	\$ 5	0.0%	\$	75,061	\$ 5	0.0%	\$	100,346	\$	5	0.0%	\$	26,032	\$	5	0.0%	\$	91,750	\$	5	0.0%	\$	24,285	\$	1	0.0%
2010-11	\$	91,745	\$ 1	0.0%	\$	75,056	\$ 1	0.0%	\$	100,341	\$	1	0.0%	\$	26,027	\$	1	0.0%	\$	91,745	\$	1,860	2.1%	\$	24,283		See no	tes
2009-10	\$	91,744	\$ 24	0.0%	\$	75,054	\$ 23	0.0%	\$	100,340	\$	24	0.0%	\$	26,026	\$	23	0.1%	\$	89,885		See no	otes	\$	24,283		See no	tes
2008-09	\$	91,720	\$ 161	0.2%	\$	75,031	\$ 140	0.2%	\$	100,315	\$	171	0.2%	\$	26,003	\$	86	0.3%	\$	91,720	\$	161	0.2%	\$	24,277	\$	43	0.2%
2007-08	\$	91,558	\$ 64	0.1%	\$	74,891	\$ 61	0.1%	\$	100,145	\$	64	0.1%	\$	25,917	\$	62	0.2%	\$	91,558	\$	64	0.1%	\$	24,234		-	-
2006-07	\$	91,494	\$ 3,063	3.5%	\$	74,830	\$ 2,506	3.5%	\$	100,081	\$	3,351	3.5%	\$	25,855	\$	868	3.5%	\$	91,494	\$	3,064	3.5%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$	88,431	\$ 3,600	4.2%	\$	72,324	\$ 2,955	4.3%	\$	96,730	\$	3,930	4.2%	\$	24,987	\$	1,073	4.5%	\$	88,431	\$	3,600	4.2%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$	84,831	\$ 1,192	1.4%	\$	69,369	\$ 979	1.4%	\$	92,800	\$	1,302	1.4%	\$	23,914	\$	356	1.5%	\$	84,831		-			N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$	83,639	\$ 1,695	2.1%	\$	68,390	\$ 1,394	2.1%	\$	91,499	\$	1,849	2.1%	\$	23,559	\$	515	2.2%		S	ee ı	notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$	81,943	\$ 2,152	2.7%	\$	66,996	\$ 1,774	2.7%	\$	89,649	44	2,343	2.7%	44	23,043	4	681	3.0%	\$	81,943	\$	2,152	2.7%		S	ee n	otes	
2001-02	\$	79,792	\$ 1,991	2.6%	\$	65,222	\$ 1,588	2.5%	\$	87,306	44	2,205	2.6%	44	22,362	4	365	1.7%	\$	79,792	\$	1,991	2.6%	\$	21,120	\$	527	2.6%
2000-01	\$	77,801	\$ 2,196	2.9%	\$	63,633	\$ 1,805	2.9%	\$	85,101	44	2,396	2.9%	\$	21,997	4	667	3.1%	\$	77,801	\$	2,196	2.9%	\$	20,593	\$	581	2.9%
1999-00	\$	75,605	\$ 2,768	3.8%	\$	61,828	\$ 2,278	3.8%	\$	82,705	44	3,018	3.8%	44	21,331	4	852	4.2%	\$	75,605	\$	2,768	3.8%	\$	20,011	\$	733	3.8%
1998-99	\$	72,837	\$ 3,623	5.2%	\$	59,550	\$ 2,971	5.3%	\$	79,687	44	3,957	5.2%	44	20,479	4	1,064	5.5%	\$	72,837	\$	3,623	5.2%	\$	19,279	\$	959	5.2%
1997-98	\$	69,214	\$ 3,491	5.3%	\$	56,579	\$ 2,883	5.4%	\$	75,730	44	3,799	5.3%	44	19,415	4	1,127	6.2%	\$	69,214	\$	3,491	5.3%	\$	18,320	\$	924	5.3%
1996-97	\$	65,723	\$ 3,670	5.9%	\$	53,696	\$ 3,016	6.0%	\$	71,931	44	4,006	5.9%	44	18,288	4	1,106	6.4%	\$	65,723	\$	3,670	5.9%	\$	17,396	\$	972	5.9%
1995-96	\$	62,053	\$ 2,487	4.2%	\$	50,680	\$ 2,040	4.2%	\$	67,925	44	2,715	4.2%	44	17,182	4	733	4.5%	\$	62,053	\$	2,487	4.2%	\$	16,424	\$	658	4.2%
1994-95	\$	59,566	\$ 3,199	5.7%	\$	48,640	\$ 2,614	5.7%	\$	65,210	44	3,501	5.7%	44	16,449	4	893	5.7%	\$	59,566				\$	15,766		-	-
1993-94	\$	56,367	\$ 2,220	4.1%	\$	46,026	\$ 1,827	4.1%	\$	61,709	\$	2,420	4.1%	\$	15,556	\$	683	4.6%		S	ee i	notes			S	ee n	otes	
1992-93	\$	54,147	\$ 12	0.0%	\$	44,199	\$ 12	0.0%	\$	59,289	\$	13	0.0%	\$	14,873	\$	12	0.1%	\$	54,135	\$	-	0.0%	\$	14,329	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$	54,135	\$ 2,487	4.8%	\$	44,187	\$ 2,044	4.9%	\$	59,276	44	2,713	4.8%	44	14,861	4	753	5.3%	\$	54,135	\$	2,487	4.8%	\$	14,329	\$	659	4.8%
1990-91	\$	51,648	\$ 2,127	4.3%	\$	42,143	\$ 1,747	4.3%	\$	56,563	\$	2,320	4.3%	\$	14,108	\$	667	5.0%	\$	51,648	\$	2,127	4.3%	\$	13,670	\$	583	4.5%
1989-90	\$	49,521	\$ 2,336	5.0%	\$	40,396	\$ 1,918	5.0%	\$	54,243	\$	7,058	15.0%	\$	13,441	\$	772	6.1%	\$	49,521	\$	2,336	5.0%	\$	13,087	\$	684	5.5%
1988-89	\$	47,185	\$ 6,576	16.2%	\$	38,478	\$ 6,156	19.0%	\$	47,185	\$	2,286	5.1%	\$	12,669	\$	645	5.4%	\$	47,185	\$	2,286	5.1%	\$	12,403	\$	616	5.2%
1987-88	\$	40,609	\$ 1,932	5.0%	\$	32,322	\$ 1,538	5.0%	\$	44,899	\$	2,135	5.0%	\$	12,024	\$	576	5.0%	\$	44,899	\$	2,135	5.0%	\$	11,787	\$	563	5.0%
1986-87	\$	38,677	\$ 2,540	7.0%	\$	30,784	\$ 2,023	7.0%	\$	42,764	\$	2,807	7.0%	\$	11,448	\$	767	7.2%	\$	42,764	\$	2,807	7.0%	\$	11,224	\$	744	7.1%
1985-86	\$	36,137	•	-	\$	28,761	-	-	\$	39,957		-	-	\$	10,681		-	-	\$	39,957		-	-	\$	10,480		-	-

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Hardee County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

	Clerk of Circuit Court, Prop																												
Fiscal	Appraiser & Tax Collecto						Supervi	sor	of Elect	ions			Sh	eriff			County	Со	mmissio	ners	School	Sup	erintend	lent		School I	Board	d Memb	oers
Year	"	Salary	\$ C	Chg.	% Chg.	,	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	,	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	5	Salary	:	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.
2016-17	\$	99,988	\$	127	0.1%	\$	99,988	\$	17,710	21.5%	\$	108,951	\$	140	0.1%	\$	31,257	\$	25	0.1%	\$ 99,988	\$	127	0.1%	\$	26,464	\$	33	0.1%
2015-16	\$	99,861	\$	121	0.1%	\$	82,278	\$	101	0.1%	\$	108,811	\$	131	0.1%	\$	31,231	\$	44	0.1%	\$ 99,861	\$	121	0.1%	\$	26,431	\$	32	0.1%
2014-15	\$	99,741	\$	243	0.2%	\$	82,177	\$	197	0.2%	\$	108,680	\$	267	0.2%	\$	31,187	\$	59	0.2%	\$ 99,741	\$	243	0.2%	\$	26,399	\$	64	0.2%
2013-14	\$	99,497	\$	3,604	3.8%	\$	81,980	\$	2,974	3.8%	\$	108,413	\$	3,923	3.8%	\$	31,128	\$	1,150	3.8%	\$ 99,497	\$	3,604	3.8%	\$	26,334	\$	954	3.8%
2012-13	\$	95,894	\$	(25)	0.0%	\$	79,007	\$	(24)	0.0%	\$	104,489	\$	(25)	0.0%	\$	29,978	\$	(24)	-0.1%	\$ 95,894	\$	(25)	0.0%	\$	25,381	\$	(7)	0.0%
2011-12	\$	95,919	\$	(194)	-0.2%	\$	79,031	\$	(185)	-0.2%	\$	104,515	\$	(194)	-0.2%	\$	30,002	\$	(185)	-0.6%	\$ 95,919	\$	(194)	-0.2%	\$	25,387	\$	(51)	-0.2%
2010-11	\$,	\$	137	0.1%	\$	79,215	\$	130	0.2%	\$	104,709	\$	137	0.1%	\$	30,187	\$	130	0.4%	\$ 96,113	\$	2,180	2.3%	\$	25,439		See no	otes
2009-10	\$	95,976	\$	126	0.1%	\$	79,085	\$	120	0.2%	\$	104,572	\$	126	0.1%	\$	30,057	\$	120	0.4%	\$ 93,933		See no	otes	\$	25,402		See no	otes
2008-09	\$	95,850	\$	213	0.2%	\$	78,965		189	0.2%		104,446	\$	222	0.2%	\$	29,937	\$	135	0.5%	\$ 95,850	\$	213	0.2%	\$	25,369	\$	56	0.2%
2007-08	\$	95,638	\$	(48)	-0.1%	\$	78,776	\$	(46)	-0.1%	_	,== .	\$	(48)	0.0%	\$	29,802	\$	(45)	-0.2%	\$,	\$	(48)	-0.1%	\$	25,313		-	-
2006-07	\$	95,686	_	3,059	3.3%	\$	78,822	\$	2,501	3.3%	\$	104,272	\$	3,346	3.3%	\$	29,847	\$	863	3.0%	\$ 95,686	\$	3,059	3.3%	L	N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$	92,627		3,799	4.3%	\$	76,321	\$	3,145	4.3%	\$	100,926	\$	4,129	4.3%	\$	28,984	\$	1,263	4.6%	\$ 92,627	\$	3,799	4.3%	L	N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$	88,828	_	1,207	1.4%	\$	-, -	\$	993	1.4%	\$	96,797	\$	1,316	1.4%	\$	27,721	\$	370	1.4%	\$ 88,828		-	-	L	N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$	87,621		1,867	2.2%	\$	72,183	\$	1,557	2.2%	\$,	\$	2,021	2.2%	\$	27,352	\$	678	2.5%		ee n	notes		L	N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$	85,755	_	2,128	2.5%	\$,	\$	1,752	2.5%	\$		\$	-	2.5%	\$	26,673	\$	659	2.5%	\$ 85,755	\$	2,128	2.5%	L		ee n	otes	
2001-02	\$	83,627		3,576	4.5%	\$	68,874	\$	3,099	4.7%	\$	- ,	\$	-, -	4.3%	\$	26,015	\$	1,875	7.8%	\$ 83,627	\$	3,576	4.5%	\$	22,134	\$	946	4.5%
2000-01	\$	80,051		2,134	2.7%	\$	65,776	\$	1,746	2.7%	\$	87,350	\$	2,334	2.7%	\$	24,140	\$	608	2.6%	\$ 80,051	\$	2,134	2.7%	\$,	\$	565	2.7%
1999-00	\$	77,916	_	2,835	3.8%	\$	64,029	\$	2,341	3.8%	\$	85,017	\$	3,085	3.8%	\$	23,532	\$	915	4.0%	\$ 77,916	\$	2,835	3.8%	\$,	\$	750	3.8%
1998-99	\$	75,082		3,647	5.1%	\$	61,688	\$	2,994	5.1%	\$	81,932	\$	3,981	5.1%	\$	22,617	\$	1,087	5.0%	\$ 75,082	\$	3,647	5.1%	\$,	\$	965	5.1%
1997-98	\$	71,435		3,291	4.8%	\$	58,694	\$	2,693	4.8%	\$	77,951	\$	3,599	4.8%	\$	21,530	\$	937	4.6%	\$ 71,435	\$	3,291	4.8%	\$,	\$	871	4.8%
1996-97	\$	68,144		3,771	5.9%	\$	56,001	\$	3,112	5.9%	\$	74,352	\$	4,106	5.8%	\$	20,593	\$	1,201	6.2%	\$ 68,144	\$	3,771	5.9%	\$,	\$	998	5.9%
1995-96	\$	64,373		2,599	4.2%	\$	52,889	\$	2,146	4.2%	\$	70,246	\$	2,828	4.2%	\$	19,392	\$	841	4.5%	\$ 64,373	\$	2,599	4.2%	\$	17,038	\$	688	4.2%
1994-95	\$	61,774		3,499	6.0%	\$,	\$	2,900	6.1%	\$	67,418	\$	3,801	6.0%	\$	18,551	\$	1,178	6.8%	\$ 61,774		-	-	\$	16,350		-	-
1993-94	\$	58,275	\$	2,428	4.3%	\$,	\$	2,025	4.4%	\$	63,617	\$	2,628	4.3%	\$	17,373	\$	881	5.3%		See n	notes		L		ee n	otes	
1992-93	\$	55,847	\$	60	0.1%	\$	45,818	\$	57	0.1%	\$	60,989	\$	60	0.1%	\$	16,492	\$	58	0.4%	\$,	\$	-	0.0%	\$,	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$	55,787	-	1,868	3.5%	\$	45,761	\$	1,455	3.3%	\$	60,929	\$	2,095	3.6%	\$	16,434	\$	162	1.0%	\$ 55,787	\$	1,868	3.5%	\$,	\$	495	3.5%
1990-91	\$	53,919		2,207	4.3%	\$	44,306	\$	1,824	4.3%	\$	58,834	\$	2,400	4.3%	\$	16,272	\$	720	4.6%	\$ 53,919	\$	2,207	4.3%	\$		\$	584	4.3%
1989-90	\$	51,712	_	2,374	4.8%	\$,	\$	1,953	4.8%	\$	56,434	\$	7,096	14.4%	\$	15,552	\$	729	4.9%	\$ 51,712	\$	2,374	4.8%	\$,	\$	628	4.8%
1988-89	\$	49,338	_	6,705	15.7%	\$	40,529	\$	6,280	18.3%	\$	49,338	\$	2,415	5.1%	\$	14,823	\$	754	5.4%	\$ 49,338	\$	2,415	5.1%	\$,	\$	639	5.1%
1987-88	\$	42,633	_	2,128	5.3%	\$	34,249	\$	1,725	5.3%	\$	46,923	\$	2,332	5.2%	\$	14,069	\$	767	5.8%	\$ 46,923	\$	2,332	5.2%	\$,	\$	618	5.2%
1986-87	\$	40,505	\$	2,700	7.1%	\$	32,524	\$	2,175	7.2%	\$	44,591	\$	2,966	7.1%	\$	13,302	\$	917	7.4%	\$,	\$	2,966	7.1%	\$	11,802	\$	785	7.1%
1985-86	\$	37,805		-	-	\$	30,349		•	-	\$	41,625		-	-	\$	12,385		-	-	\$ 41,625		-	-	\$	11,017		-	-

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Hendry County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

	Cle	erk of Circ	Circuit Court, Property																										
Fiscal		Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervi	sor of	lectio	ons			Sh	eriff			County	Co	mmissio	ners		School	Sup	erintend	lent		School I	Boar	d Memb	oers
Year	•	Salary	\$ Chg.					% Chg.	S	Salary	**	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	•	Chg.	% Chg.	,	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	5	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	
2016-17	\$	103,501	\$ 222	0.2%	\$	103,501	\$ 17	968	21.0%	\$ '	112,463	\$	235	0.2%	\$	34,602	\$	116	0.3%	\$	103,501	\$	222	0.2%	\$	27,393	\$	59	0.2%
2015-16	\$	103,279	\$ 144	0.1%	\$	85,533	\$	122	0.1%	\$	112,228	\$	153	0.1%	\$	34,486	\$	66	0.2%	\$	103,279	\$	144	0.1%	\$	27,335	\$	38	0.1%
2014-15	\$	103,135	\$ 171	0.2%	\$	85,410	\$	128	0.2%	\$	112,075	44	195	0.2%	44	34,420	\$	(10)	0.0%	\$	103,135	\$	171	0.2%	\$	27,297	\$	45	0.2%
2013-14	\$	102,964	\$ 3,443	3.5%	\$	85,282	\$ 2	320	3.4%	\$	111,880	\$	3,763	3.5%	\$	34,430	\$	997	3.0%	\$	102,964	\$	3,443	3.5%	\$	27,252	\$	911	3.5%
2012-13	\$	99,522	\$ (75)	-0.1%	\$	82,462	\$	(71)	-0.1%	\$ '	108,117	\$	(75)	-0.1%	\$	33,434	\$	(71)	-0.2%	\$	99,522	\$	(75)	-0.1%	\$	26,340	\$	(20)	-0.1%
2011-12	\$	99,597	\$ (703)	-0.7%	\$	82,533	\$	669)	-0.8%	\$	108,192	44	(703)	-0.6%	44	33,505	\$	(669)	-2.0%	\$	99,597	\$	(703)	-0.7%	\$	26,360	\$	(186)	-0.7%
2010-11	\$	100,299	\$ 34	0.0%	\$	83,202	\$	32	0.0%	\$	108,895	44	34	0.0%	44	34,174	\$	32	0.1%	\$	100,299	\$	2,534	2.6%	\$	26,546		See no	tes
2009-10	\$	100,266	\$ 505	0.5%	\$	83,170	\$	181	0.6%	\$	108,861	\$	505	0.5%	\$	34,142	\$	481	1.4%	\$	97,765		See no	otes	\$	26,537		See no	tes
2008-09	\$	99,761	\$ 423	0.4%	\$	82,689	\$	389	0.5%	\$	108,356	44	432	0.4%	44	33,661	\$	335	1.0%	\$	99,761	\$	423	0.4%	\$	26,403	\$	112	0.4%
2007-08	\$	99,338	\$ 96	0.1%	\$	82,300	\$	92	0.1%	\$	107,924	\$	96	0.1%	\$	33,326	\$	92	0.3%	\$,	\$	96	0.1%	\$	26,292		-	-
2006-07	\$	99,242	\$ 3,625	3.8%	\$	82,208	\$ 3	040	3.8%	\$	107,828	\$	3,912	3.8%	\$	33,234	\$	1,403	4.4%	\$	99,242	\$	3,625	3.8%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$	95,617	\$ 4,066	4.4%	\$	79,168	\$ 3	399	4.5%	\$	103,916	\$	4,396	4.4%	\$	31,831	\$	1,517	5.0%	\$	95,617	\$	4,066	4.4%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$	91,551	\$ 1,360	1.5%	\$	75,769	\$ 1	139	1.5%	\$	99,520	\$	1,470	1.5%	\$	30,314	\$	516	1.7%	\$	91,551		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$	90,191	\$ 1,725	1.9%	\$	74,630	\$ 1	122	1.9%	\$	98,051	\$	1,879	2.0%	\$	29,798	\$	543	1.9%			ee r	notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$	88,466	\$ 2,226	2.6%	\$	73,208		345	2.6%	\$	96,172	\$	2,417	2.6%	\$	29,255	\$	752	2.6%	\$	88,466	\$	2,226	2.6%				otes	
2001-02	\$	86,240	\$ 4,011	4.9%	\$	71,363	\$ 3	512	5.2%	\$	93,754	\$	4,225	4.7%	\$	28,503	\$	2,289	8.7%	\$	86,240	\$	4,011	4.9%	\$	22,825	\$	1,061	4.9%
2000-01	\$	82,229	\$ 2,299	2.9%	\$	67,850			2.9%	\$	89,529	\$	2,499	2.9%	\$	26,214	\$	765	3.0%	\$	82,229	\$	2,299	2.9%	\$	21,764	_	608	2.9%
1999-00	\$	79,930	\$ 2,829	3.7%	\$	65,947	\$ 2	336	3.7%	\$	87,030	\$	3,079	3.7%	\$	25,450	\$	910	3.7%	\$	79,930	\$	2,829	3.7%	\$	21,155	_	749	3.7%
1998-99	\$	77,101	\$ 3,800	5.2%	\$	63,611	\$ 3	140	5.2%	\$	83,951	\$	4,134	5.2%	\$	24,540	\$	1,233	5.3%	\$	77,101	\$	3,800	5.2%	\$	20,407	\$	1,006	5.2%
1997-98	\$,	\$ 3,618	5.2%	\$	60,471		004	5.2%	\$	79,817	\$	3,926	5.2%	\$	23,307	\$	1,248	5.7%	\$,	\$	3,618	5.2%	\$	19,401	\$	958	5.2%
1996-97	\$	69,683	\$ 3,938	6.0%	\$	57,467		270	6.0%	\$	75,891	\$	4,273	6.0%	\$	22,059	\$	1,360	6.6%	\$,	\$	3,938	6.0%	\$	18,443	\$	1,042	6.0%
1995-96	\$	65,745	\$ 2,696	4.3%	\$	54,197		240	4.3%	\$	71,618	\$	2,925	4.3%	\$	20,699	\$	933	4.7%	\$	65,745	\$	2,696	4.3%	\$	17,401	\$	713	4.3%
1994-95	\$,-	\$ 3,415	5.7%	\$	51,957		320	5.7%	\$	68,693	\$	3,717	5.7%	\$	19,766	\$	1,099	5.9%	\$	63,049		-	-	\$	16,688		-	-
1993-94	\$	59,634	\$ 2,356	4.1%	\$	49,137	\$ 1	957	4.1%	\$	64,976	\$	2,557	4.1%	\$	18,667	\$	813	4.6%				notes					otes	
1992-93	\$	57,278		0.5%	\$	47,180	\$	267	0.6%	\$	62,419	\$	281	0.5%	\$	17,854	\$	268	1.5%	\$	56,997	\$	-	0.0%	\$	15,086	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$	56,997	\$ 2,443	4.5%	\$	46,913	_	002	4.5%	\$	62,138	\$	2,669	4.5%	\$	17,586	\$	710	4.2%	\$,	\$	2,443	4.5%	\$	-,	\$	647	4.5%
1990-91	\$	54,554	\$ 2,270	4.3%	\$	44,911	\$ 1	385	4.4%	\$	59,469	\$	2,464	4.3%	\$	16,876	\$	780	4.8%	\$	- ,	\$	2,270	4.3%	\$	14,439	\$	601	4.3%
1989-90	\$	52,284	\$ 2,527	5.1%	\$	43,026	\$ 2	98	5.1%	\$	57,005	\$	7,248	14.6%	\$	16,096	\$	874	5.7%	\$	52,284	\$	2,527	5.1%	\$	13,838	\$	669	5.1%
1988-89	\$	49,757	\$ 6,852	16.0%	\$	40,928			18.6%	\$	49,757	\$	2,561	5.4%	\$	15,222	\$	894	6.2%	\$	49,757	\$	2,561	5.4%	\$	13,169	\$	677	5.4%
1987-88	\$	42,905	\$ 2,161	5.3%	\$	34,508	\$ 1	757	5.4%	\$	47,196	\$	2,366	5.3%	\$	14,328	\$	798	5.9%	\$	47,196	\$	2,366	5.3%	\$	12,492	\$	626	5.3%
1986-87	\$	40,744	\$ 2,815	7.4%	\$	32,751	\$ 2	284	7.5%	\$	44,830	\$	3,082	7.4%	\$	13,530	\$	1,027	8.2%	\$,	\$	3,082	7.4%	\$	11,866	\$	816	7.4%
1985-86	\$	37,929	-	-	\$	30,467			-	\$	41,748		-	-	\$	12,503		-	-	\$	41,748		-	-	\$	11,050		-	-

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Hernando County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

	Clerk of Circuit	Court, Prope	erty																			
Fiscal	Appraiser & 1	Tax Collecto	r	Supervi	sor of Elect	ions			Sheriff			County	Coı	nmissio	ners	School	Superinte	ndent	School I	Board	l Memb	oers
Year	Salary \$	Chg. % C	hg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Sal	lary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	,	Salary	44	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ C	hg.	% Chg.
2016-17	\$ 126,191 \$	397 0.3	3%	\$ 126,191	\$ 19,215	18.0%	\$ 13		\$ 410	0.3%	\$	65,971	\$	456	0.7%	\$ 126,191	\$ 397	0.3%	\$ 34,742	\$	118	0.3%
2015-16	\$ 125,795 \$	267 0.2	2%	\$ 106,976	\$ 241	0.2%	\$ 13	34,744	\$ 277	0.2%	\$	65,515	\$	292	0.4%	\$ 125,795	\$ 267	0.2%	\$ 34,624	\$	79	0.2%
2014-15	\$ 125,527 \$	418 0.3	3%	\$ 106,736	\$ 364	0.3%	\$ 13	34,467	\$ 442	0.3%	\$	65,223	\$	311	0.5%	\$ 125,527	\$ 418	0.3%	\$ 34,545	\$	118	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 125,109 \$	4,490 3.7	7%	\$ 106,372	\$ 3,818	3.7%	\$ 13	34,025	\$ 4,810	3.7%	\$	64,912	\$	2,333	3.7%	\$ 125,109	\$ 4,490	3.7%	\$ 34,426	\$ 1	1,236	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 120,619 \$	32 0.0)%	\$ 102,555	\$ 31	0.0%	\$ 12	29,215		0.0%	\$	62,579	\$	55	0.1%	\$ 120,619	\$ 32	0.0%	\$ 33,191	\$	10	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 120,587 \$	831 0.7	7%	\$ 102,524	\$ 791	0.8%	\$ 12	29,183	\$ 831	0.6%	\$	62,523	\$	1,424	2.3%	\$ 120,587	\$ 831	0.7%	\$ 33,180	\$	264	0.8%
2010-11	\$ 119,756 \$	15 0.0)%	\$ 101,733	\$ 14	0.0%	\$ 12	28,352	\$ 15	0.0%	\$	61,100	\$	26	0.0%	\$ 119,756	\$ 2,696	2.3%	\$ 32,917		See no	ites
2009-10	\$ 119,741 \$	292 0.2	2%	\$ 101,718	\$ 278	0.3%	\$ 12	28,337	\$ 292	0.2%	\$	61,074	\$	500	0.8%	\$ 117,060	See	notes	\$ 32,912	,	See no	tes
2008-09	\$ 119,449 \$	688 0.6	5%	\$ 101,440	\$ 642	0.6%	\$ 12	28,045	\$ 697	0.5%	\$	60,573	\$	1,021	1.7%	\$ 119,449	\$ 688	0.6%	\$ 32,819	\$	213	0.7%
2007-08	\$ 118,761 \$	667 0.6	6%	\$ 100,798	\$ 635	0.6%	\$ 12	27,347	\$ 666	0.5%	\$	59,552	\$	1,144	2.0%	\$ 118,761	\$ 667	0.6%	\$ 32,607		-	-
2006-07	\$ 118,094 \$	4,527 4.0)%	\$ 100,164	\$ 3,901	4.1%	\$ 12	26,681	\$ 4,815	4.0%	\$	58,408	\$	2,945	5.3%	\$ 118,094	\$ 4,528	4.0%	N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 113,567 \$	4,968 4.6	6%	\$ 96,263	\$ 4,259	4.6%	\$ 12	21,866	\$ 5,298	4.5%	\$	55,463	\$	2,980	5.7%	\$ 113,567	\$ 4,968	4.6%	N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 108,599 \$	1,900 1.8	3%	\$ 92,004	\$ 1,653	1.8%	\$ 11	16,568	\$ 2,009	1.8%	\$	52,483	\$	1,425	2.8%	\$ 108,599		-	N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 106,699 \$	2,450 2.3	3%	\$ 90,352	\$ 2,112	2.4%	\$ 11	14,558	\$ 2,604	2.3%	\$	51,058	\$	1,615	3.3%	9	ee notes		N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 104,249 \$	2,776 2.7	7%	\$ 88,239	\$ 2,370	2.8%	\$ 11	11,955	\$ 2,968	2.7%	\$	49,443	\$	1,545	3.2%	\$ 104,249	\$ 2,776	2.7%	S	See no	tes	
2001-02	\$ 101,472 \$	3,208 3.3	3%	\$ 85,870	\$ 2,748	3.3%	\$ 10	08,987	\$ 3,422	3.2%	\$	47,898	\$	1,901	4.1%	\$ 101,472	\$ 3,208	3.3%	\$ 27,755	\$	891	3.3%
2000-01	\$ 98,264 \$	2,899 3.0		\$ 83,122	\$ 2,475	3.1%		05,564	\$ 3,099	3.0%	\$	45,997	\$	1,621	3.7%	\$ 98,264	\$ 2,899	3.0%	\$ 26,863	\$	802	3.1%
1999-00	\$ 95,365 \$	3,607 3.9	9%	\$ 80,647	\$ 3,077	4.0%	\$ 10	02,465	\$ 3,857	3.9%	\$	44,376	\$	1,990	4.7%	\$ 95,365	\$ 3,607	3.9%	\$ 26,062	\$	997	4.0%
1998-99	\$ 91,758 \$	4,655 5.3		+,	\$ 3,954	5.4%	\$ 9	98,608	\$ 4,989	5.3%	\$	42,387	\$	2,372	5.9%	\$ 91,758	\$ 4,655	5.3%	\$ 25,065		1,279	5.4%
1997-98	\$ 87,103 \$	4,275 5.2	2%	\$ 73,616	\$ 3,630	5.2%	\$ 9	93,619	\$ 4,583	5.1%	\$	40,015	\$	2,162	5.7%	\$ 87,103	\$ 4,275	5.2%	\$ 23,786	\$ 1	1,175	5.2%
1996-97	\$ 82,828 \$	4,690 6.0		+,	\$ 3,987	6.0%		,	\$ 5,025	6.0%	\$	37,853	\$	2,423	6.8%	\$ 82,828	\$ 4,690	6.0%	\$ 22,611	_	1,290	6.1%
1995-96	\$ 78,138 \$	3,271 4.4	1%	\$ 65,999	\$ 2,787	4.4%	\$ 8	34,011	\$ 3,500	4.3%	\$	35,430	\$	1,765	5.2%	\$ 78,138	\$ 3,271	4.4%	\$ 21,321	\$	903	4.4%
1994-95	\$ 74,867 \$	4,243 6.0		,	\$ 3,608	6.1%	, .	, .	\$ 4,545	6.0%	\$	33,665	\$	2,210	7.0%	\$ 74,867	-	-	\$ 20,418		-	-
1993-94	\$ 70,624 \$	2,890 4.3		,	\$ 2,465	4.3%		.,	\$ 3,090	4.2%	\$	31,455	\$	1,591	5.3%		ee notes			See no	tes	
1992-93	\$ 67,734 \$	210 0.3		+,	\$ 200	0.4%		-,	\$ 211	0.3%	\$	29,864	\$	361	1.2%	\$ 67,524		0.0%	\$ 18,385		-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 67,524 \$	4,442 7.0		\$ 56,939	\$ 3,907	7.4%	\$ 7	72,665	\$ 4,668	6.9%	\$	29,503	\$	2,750	10.3%	\$ 67,524	\$ 4,442	7.0%	\$ 18,385	\$ 1	1,202	7.0%
1990-91	\$ 63,082 \$	3,132 5.2	2%	\$ 53,032	\$ 2,705	5.4%	\$ 6	67,997	\$ 3,326	5.1%	\$	26,753	\$	1,669	6.7%	\$ 63,082	\$ 3,132	5.2%	\$ 17,183	\$	848	5.2%
1989-90	\$ 59,950 \$	3,568 6.3		\$ 50,327	\$ 3,090	6.5%		.,	\$ 8,289	14.7%	\$	25,084	\$	1,943	8.4%	\$ 59,950	\$ 3,568	6.3%	\$ 16,335	\$	965	6.3%
1988-89	\$ 56,382 \$	7,780 16.		\$ 47,237	\$ 7,303	18.3%		56,382	\$ 3,490	6.6%	\$	23,141	\$	1,856	8.7%	\$ 56,382	\$ 3,490	6.6%	\$,	\$	946	6.6%
1987-88	\$ 48,602 \$	3,033 6.7		\$ 39,934	\$ 2,587	6.9%	\$ 5	52,892	\$ 3,236	6.5%	\$	21,285	\$	1,700	8.7%	\$ 52,892	\$ 3,236	6.5%	\$,	\$	877	6.5%
1986-87	\$ 45,569 \$	3,646 8.7	7%	\$ 37,347	\$ 3,076	9.0%	\$ 4	49,656	\$ 3,914	8.6%	\$	19,585	\$	1,914	10.8%	\$ 49,656	\$ 3,914	8.6%	\$ 13,547	\$ 1	1,062	8.5%
1985-86	\$ 41,923			\$ 34,271	-	-	\$ 4	15,742	-	-	\$	17,671		-	-	\$ 45,742		-	\$ 12,485		-	-

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Highlands County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

	Cler	k of Circ	cuit Court,	Property				T																		
Fiscal	Α	ppraise	r & Tax Co	lector	Supervi	sor of E	ections			She	eriff			County	Со	mmissio	ners		School	Superinte	ndent		School I	Board	l Memb	pers
Year	Sa	alary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Ch	. % Ch	g.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	ï	Salary	,	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	S	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ C	Chg.	% Chg.
2016-17	\$ 1	17,669	\$ 308	0.3%	\$ 117,669	\$ 18,7	25 18.9	% 5	\$ 126,632	\$	321	0.3%	\$	51,360	\$	267	0.5%	\$	117,669	\$ 308	0.3%	\$	32,037	\$	87	0.3%
2015-16	\$ 1	17,361	\$ 325	0.3%	\$ 98,944	\$ 2	95 0.39	6	\$ 126,310	\$	335	0.3%	\$	51,094	\$	242	0.5%	\$	117,361	\$ 325	0.3%	\$	31,950	\$	87	0.3%
2014-15	\$ 1	17,036	\$ 353	0.3%	\$ 98,649	\$ 3	0.39	6	\$ 125,976	\$	378	0.3%	\$	50,852	\$	173	0.3%	\$	117,036	\$ 353	0.3%	\$	31,863	\$	96	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 1	16,683	\$ 4,248	3.8%	\$ 98,347	\$ 3,5	3.89	6	\$ 125,598	\$	4,567	3.8%	\$	50,679	\$	1,877	3.8%	\$	116,683	\$ 4,248	3.8%	\$	31,767	\$ 1	1,156	3.8%
2012-13	\$ 1	12,435	\$ (19)	0.0%	\$ 94,760	\$	18) 0.0%	6	\$ 121,031	\$	(19)	0.0%	\$	48,802	\$	(18)	0.0%	\$	112,435	\$ (19	0.0%	\$	30,611	\$	(5)	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 1	12,454	\$ (239)	-0.2%	\$ 94,778	\$ (2	28) -0.2	% 5	\$ 121,050	\$	(239)	-0.2%	\$	48,820	\$	(228)	-0.5%	\$	112,454	\$ (239	-0.2%	\$	30,616	\$	(63)	-0.2%
2010-11	\$ 1	12,693	\$ (96)	-0.1%	\$ 95,006	\$	92) -0.19	%	\$ 121,289	\$	(96)	-0.1%	\$	49,048	\$	(109)	-0.2%	\$	112,693	\$ 2,504	2.3%	\$	30,679		See no	tes
2009-10	\$ 1	12,789	\$ 351	0.3%	\$ 95,098	\$	34 0.49	6	\$ 121,385	\$	351	0.3%	\$	49,156	\$	351	0.7%	\$	110,190	See i	notes	\$	30,706		See no	tes
2008-09	\$ 1	12,438	\$ 653	0.6%	\$,		0.69		\$ 121,034	\$	662	0.6%	\$	48,805	\$	558	1.2%		112,438	\$ 653	0.6%	\$,-	\$	174	0.6%
2007-08	_	,	\$ 827	0.7%	\$ 94,155		0.89			\$	827	0.7%	\$	48,248	\$	788	1.7%	_	,	\$ 827	0.7%	\$,		-	-
2006-07	\$ 1	10,958	\$ 4,059	3.8%	\$ 93,367	\$ 3,4			\$ 119,545	\$	4,347	3.8%	\$	47,459	\$	1,919	4.2%	\$	110,958	\$ 4,059	3.8%		N/A		-	-
2005-06		06,899	\$ 4,648	4.5%	\$ 89,913	,			\$ 115,198	\$	4,978	4.5%	\$	45,540	\$	2,190	5.1%		106,899	\$ 4,649	4.5%		N/A		-	-
2004-05		. , .	\$ 1,721	1.7%	\$ 85,959	\$ 1,4			\$ 110,220	\$	1,830	1.7%	\$	43,350	\$	899	2.1%	\$	102,251	-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	_	00,529	\$ 2,161	2.2%	\$ 84,476	,				\$	2,315	2.2%	\$	42,452	\$	1,014	2.4%			ee notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03		98,368	\$ 2,637	2.8%	\$,	\$ 2,2			\$ 106,074	\$	2,828	2.7%	\$	41,438	\$	1,212	3.0%	\$,	\$ 2,637	2.8%			ee no		
2001-02		95,731	\$ 4,096	4.5%	\$ 80,402	\$ 3,5			\$ 103,245	\$	4,310	4.4%	\$	40,226	\$	2,446	6.5%	\$	95,731	\$ 4,096	4.5%	\$	26,082	_	1,105	4.4%
2000-01		91,635	\$ 2,652	3.0%	\$ 76,809	\$ 2,2			\$ 98,935	\$	2,852	3.0%	\$	37,780	\$	1,172	3.2%	\$	91,635	\$ 2,652	3.0%	\$,	•	722	3.0%
1999-00		88,983	\$ 3,323	3.9%	\$ 74,569	\$ 2,8			\$ 96,083	\$	3,573	3.9%	\$	36,607	\$	1,470	4.2%	\$	88,983	\$ 3,323	3.9%	\$,	•	904	3.9%
1998-99	_	85,660	\$ 4,481	5.5%	\$,	\$ 3,7			\$ 92,510	\$	4,816	5.5%	\$	35,138	\$	2,002	6.0%	\$	85,660	\$ 4,481	5.5%	\$	23,351	_	1,219	5.5%
1997-98	•	81,179	\$ 3,972	5.1%	\$ - ,-	\$ 3,3			\$ 87,694	\$	4,280	5.1%	\$	33,136	\$	1,695	5.4%	\$	81,179	\$ 3,972	5.1%	\$	22,132	•	1,082	5.1%
1996-97	-	77,207	\$ 4,414	6.1%	\$,	\$ 3,7				\$	4,748	6.0%	\$	31,441	\$	1,932	6.5%	\$	77,207	\$ 4,414	6.1%	\$	21,050	\$ 1	1,202	6.1%
1995-96		72,793	\$ 3,288	4.7%	\$ 60,909	\$ 2,8			\$ 78,666	\$	3,517	4.7%	\$	29,509	\$	1,580	5.7%	\$	72,793	\$ 3,288	4.7%	\$	19,848	\$	893	4.7%
1994-95		69,505	\$ 3,885	5.9%	\$,	\$ 3,2			\$ 75,149	\$	4,187	5.9%	\$	27,929	\$	1,653	6.3%	\$	69,505	-	-	\$	18,955			-
1993-94		65,620	\$ 2,702	4.3%	\$ 	\$ 2,2			\$ 70,962	\$	2,902	4.3%	\$	26,276	\$	1,213	4.8%			ee notes		_		ee no	tes	
1992-93	_	62,918	\$ 335	0.5%	\$,		19 0.69	_	\$ 68,060	\$	336	0.5%	\$	25,063	\$	320	1.3%	\$,	\$ -	0.0%	\$	17,073	_		0.0%
1991-92		62,583	\$ 2,660	4.4%	\$,	\$ 2,2			\$ 67,724	\$	2,885	4.4%	\$	24,743	\$	998	4.2%	\$,	\$ 2,660	4.4%	\$,	\$	726	4.4%
1990-91		59,923	\$ 2,744	4.8%	\$,	\$ 2,3			\$ 64,839	\$	2,939	4.7%	\$	23,745	\$	1,301	5.8%	\$	**,*=*	\$ 2,744	4.8%	\$,	\$	746	4.8%
1989-90		57,179	\$ 2,984	5.5%	\$ 47,688	\$ 2,5			\$ 61,900	\$	7,705	14.2%	\$	22,444	\$	1,386	6.6%	\$	57,179	\$ 2,984	5.5%	\$,	\$	811	5.5%
1988-89		54,195	\$ 7,325	15.6%	\$ 45,154	\$ 6,8			\$ 54,195	\$	3,034	5.9%	\$	21,058	\$	1,422	7.2%	\$	54,195	\$ 3,034	5.9%	\$	14,790	\$	824	5.9%
1987-88	_	46,870	\$ 2,477	5.6%	\$ 38,284	\$ 2,0			\$ 51,161	\$	2,681	5.5%	\$	19,636	\$	1,171	6.3%	\$	51,161	\$ 2,681	5.5%	\$,	\$	730	5.5%
1986-87	•	44,393	\$ 3,150	7.6%	\$ 36,227	\$ 2,6	04 7.79	_	\$ 48,480	\$	3,418	7.6%	\$	18,465	\$	1,442	8.5%	\$	48,480	\$ 3,418	7.6%	\$	13,236	\$	931	7.6%
1985-86	\$	41,243	-	-	\$ 33,623	-	-	_ [:	\$ 45,062		-	-	\$	17,023		-	-	\$	45,062	-	-	\$	12,305			-
I																										

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Hillsborough County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

	Clerk of Circ									-:								_						
Fiscal		& Tax Colle				sor of Elec				Sheriff	Ta/ 21		County				School	-			 School I			
Year	Salary		% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		alary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	,	Salary		Chg.	% Chg.	Salary		Chg.	% Chg.	Salary		•	% Chg.
	\$ 161,235		0.4%	_		\$ 20,874	14.9%	_	170,198		0.4%	\$	96,031	\$	143	0.1%	\$ 161,235	•	644	0.4%	\$ 42,681	\$	64	0.1%
2015-16	,	\$ 612	0.4%	•	-,	\$ 589	0.4%	•	, .	\$ 622		\$	95,888	\$	106	0.1%	\$ 160,591	\$	612	0.4%	\$,-	\$	47	0.1%
2014-15	\$ 159,980	\$ 778	0.5%	_	,	\$ 723	0.5%	_	168,919			\$	95,782	\$	259	0.3%	\$ 159,980	\$	778	0.5%	\$ 42,570	\$	115	0.3%
2013-14	+,=	\$ 5,991	3.9%	•	,	\$ 5,268	3.9%	•	168,118	• -,-	3.9%	\$	95,523	\$	3,426	3.7%	\$ 159,202	\$	5,991	3.9%	\$ 42,455	\$	1,523	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 153,211		0.1%	_	133,781	\$ 159	0.1%	_	- ,	\$ 159		\$	92,097	\$	-	0.0%	\$ 153,211	•	159	0.1%	\$ 40,932	\$	-	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 153,052		0.3%	\$	133,622	\$ 529	0.4%	\$ 1	161,648	•		\$	92,097	\$	-	0.0%	\$ 153,052	•	529	0.3%	\$ 40,932	\$	-	0.0%
2010-11	\$ 152,523	, ,,,,	0.0%	\$	133,092	\$ (60)		•	161,118			\$	92,097	\$	-	0.0%	\$ 152,523	\$	3,116	2.1%	\$ 40,932		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 152,582	\$ 127	0.1%	\$	133,152	\$ 127	0.1%	\$ 1	161,178	\$ 127	0.1%	\$	92,097	\$	1	0.0%	\$ 149,407		See no	otes	\$ 40,932		See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 152,456	\$ 633	0.4%	\$	133,025	\$ 611	0.5%	\$ 1	161,051	\$ 642		\$	92,096	\$	101	0.1%	\$ 152,456	\$	633	0.4%	\$ 40,932	\$	45	0.1%
2007-08	\$ 151,823		0.4%	\$	132,414	\$ 536	0.4%	\$ 1	160,409	\$ 536		\$	91,995	\$	(1)	0.0%	\$ 151,823	\$	536	0.4%	\$ 40,887		-	-
2006-07	\$ 151,287	\$ 5,425	3.7%	\$	131,878	\$ 4,776	3.8%	\$ 1	159,873	\$ 5,712	3.7%	\$	91,996	\$	3,077	3.5%	\$ 151,287	\$	5,425	3.7%	N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 145,862	\$ 6,238	4.5%	\$	127,102	\$ 5,492	4.5%	\$ 1	154,161	\$ 6,568	4.4%	\$	88,919	44	3,536	4.1%	\$ 145,862	\$	6,238	4.5%	N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 139,624	\$ 2,273	1.7%	\$	121,610	\$ 2,026	1.7%	\$ 1	147,593	\$ 2,382	1.6%	\$	85,383	\$	1,171	1.4%	\$ 139,624		-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 137,351	\$ 3,114	2.3%	\$	119,584	\$ 2,765	2.4%	\$ 1	145,211	\$ 3,268	2.3%	\$	84,213	44	1,651	2.0%	9	See r	notes		N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 134,238	\$ 3,743	2.9%	\$	116,819	\$ 3,309	2.9%	\$ 1	141,943	\$ 3,935	2.9%	\$	82,562	\$	2,072	2.6%	\$ 134,238	\$	3,743	2.9%	S	ee n	otes	
2001-02	\$ 130,495	\$ 4,299	3.4%	\$	113,510	\$ 3,787	3.5%	\$ 1	138,009	\$ 4,514	3.4%	\$	80,490	44	2,844	3.7%	\$ 130,495	\$	4,299	3.4%	\$ 35,777	\$	1,173	3.4%
2000-01	\$ 126,196	\$ 3,899	3.2%	\$	109,723	\$ 3,427	3.2%	\$ 1	133,495	\$ 4,098	3.2%	\$	77,646	44	2,549	3.4%	\$ 126,196	\$	3,899	3.2%	\$ 34,603	\$	1,065	3.2%
1999-00	\$ 122,297	\$ 4,540	3.9%	\$	106,296	\$ 3,965	3.9%	\$ 1	129,397	\$ 4,790	3.8%	\$	75,097	\$	2,866	4.0%	\$ 122,297	\$	4,540	3.9%	\$ 33,539	\$	1,243	3.8%
1998-99	\$ 117,758	\$ 6,038	5.4%	\$	102,331	\$ 5,271	5.4%	\$ 1	124,608	\$ 6,373	5.4%	\$	72,231	\$	3,803	5.6%	\$ 117,758	\$	6,038	5.4%	\$ 32,296	\$	1,653	5.4%
1997-98	\$ 111,720	\$ 5,560	5.2%	\$	97,060	\$ 4,853	5.3%	\$ 1	118,235	\$ 5,867	5.2%	\$	68,428	\$	3,500	5.4%	\$ 111,720	\$	5,560	5.2%	\$ 30,643	\$	1,522	5.2%
1996-97	\$ 106,160	\$ 5,929	5.9%	\$	92,207	\$ 5,167	5.9%	\$ 1	112,368	\$ 6,265	5.9%	\$	64,928	\$	3,695	6.0%	\$ 106,160	\$	5,929	5.9%	\$ 29,121	\$	1,625	5.9%
1995-96	\$ 100,231	\$ 4,092	4.3%	\$	87,040	\$ 3,568	4.3%	\$ 1	106,103	\$ 4,320	4.2%	\$	61,233	\$	2,562	4.4%	\$ 100,231	\$	4,092	4.3%	\$ 27,496	\$	1,121	4.3%
1994-95	\$ 96,139	\$ 5,303	5.8%	\$	83,472	\$ 4,619	5.9%	\$ 1	101,783	\$ 5,605	5.8%	\$	58,671	\$	3,292	5.9%	\$ 96,139				\$ 26,375		-	-
1993-94	\$ 90,836	\$ 3,548	4.1%	\$	78,853	\$ 3,092	4.1%	\$	96,178	\$ 3,749	4.1%	\$	55,379	\$	2,211	4.2%	9	See r	notes		S	ee n	otes	
1992-93	\$ 87,288	\$ 117	0.1%	\$	75,761	\$ 111	0.1%	\$	92,429	\$ 117	0.1%	\$	53,168	\$	112	0.2%	\$ 87,171	\$	-	0.0%	\$ 23,919	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 87,171	\$ 3,755	4.5%	\$	75,650	\$ 3,251	4.5%	\$	92,312	\$ 3,981	4.5%	\$	53,056	\$	2,255	4.4%	\$ 87,171	\$	3,755	4.5%	\$ 23,919	\$	1,031	4.5%
1990-91	\$ 83,416	\$ 3,470	4.3%	\$	72,399	\$ 3,028	4.4%	\$	88,331	\$ 3,664	4.3%	\$	50,801	\$	2,177	4.5%	\$ 83,416	\$	3,470	4.3%	\$ 22,888	\$	951	4.3%
1989-90	\$ 79,946	\$ 3,906	5.1%	\$	69,371	\$ 3,411	5.2%	\$	84,667	\$ 8,627	11.3%	\$	48,624	\$	2,468	5.3%	\$ 79,946	\$	3,906	5.1%	\$ 21,937	\$	1,069	5.1%
1988-89	\$ 76,040	\$ 8,223	12.1%	\$	65,960	\$ 7,726	13.3%	\$	76,040	\$ 3,933	5.5%	\$	46,156	\$	2,485	5.7%	\$ 76,040	\$	3,933	5.5%	\$ 20,868	\$	1,076	5.4%
1987-88	\$ 67,817	\$ 3,490	5.4%	\$	58,234	\$ 3,023	5.5%	\$	72,107	\$ 3,694	5.4%	\$	43,671	\$	2,330	5.6%	\$ 72,107	\$	3,694	5.4%	\$ 19,792	\$	1,012	5.4%
1986-87	\$ 64,327	\$ 4,467	7.5%	\$	55,211	\$ 3,857	7.5%	\$	68,413	\$ 4,734	7.4%	\$	41,341	\$	2,950	7.7%	\$ 68,413	\$	4,734	7.4%	\$ 18,780	\$	1,297	7.4%
1985-86	\$ 59,860	-	-	\$	51,354	-	-	\$	63,679	-	-	\$	38,391		-	-	\$ 63,679		-	-	\$ 17,483		-	-
								-			-								•					

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Holmes County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

	Clerk of Circuit Court, Property										-															
Fiscal		Appraise	r & Tax Co	llector		Supervi	sor of Elect	ions		Sh	eriff			County	Co	mmissio	ners		School	Superir	tend	dent	School E	3oarc	d Memb	oers
Year	ÿ	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	44	Chg.	% Chg.	-	Salary	•	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	"	Salary	\$ Ch	J.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ C	Chg.	% Chg.
2016-17	\$	97,385	\$ 104	0.1%	\$	97,385	\$ 17,564	22.0%	\$ 106,348	\$	117	0.1%	\$	28,778	\$	4	0.0%	\$	97,385	\$ 1	04	0.1%	\$ 25,776	\$	27	0.1%
2015-16	\$	97,282	\$ 109	0.1%	\$	79,821	\$ 89	0.1%	\$ 106,231	44	119	0.1%	\$	28,774	\$	33	0.1%	\$	97,282	\$ 1	09	0.1%	\$ 25,748	\$	29	0.1%
2014-15	\$	97,173	\$ 276	0.3%	\$	79,732	\$ 228	0.3%	\$ 106,112	44	300	0.3%	\$	28,742	\$	90	0.3%	\$	97,173	\$ 2	76	0.3%	\$ 25,720	\$	73	0.3%
2013-14	\$	96,897	\$ 3,502	3.7%	\$	79,504	\$ 2,877	3.8%	\$ 105,813	44	3,822	3.7%	\$	28,652	\$	1,053	3.8%	\$	96,897	\$ 3,5	02	3.7%	\$ 25,647	\$	927	3.7%
2012-13	\$	93,395	\$ (8)	0.0%	\$	76,627	\$ (8)	0.0%	\$ 101,991	44	(8)	0.0%	\$	27,599	\$	(8)	0.0%	\$	93,395	\$	(8)	0.0%	\$ 24,720	\$	(2)	0.0%
2011-12	\$	93,403	\$ 23	0.0%	\$	76,635	\$ 21	0.0%	\$ 101,999	\$	23	0.0%	\$	27,607	\$	21	0.1%	\$	93,403	\$	23	0.0%	\$ 24,722	\$	6	0.0%
2010-11	\$	93,381	\$ 32	0.0%	\$	76,613	\$ 31	0.0%	\$ 101,977	\$	32	0.0%	\$	27,585	\$	31	0.1%	\$	93,381	\$ 1,9	92	2.2%	\$ 24,716		See no	tes
2009-10	\$	93,349	\$ 95	0.1%	\$	76,583	\$ 90	0.1%	\$ 101,944	\$	95	0.1%	\$	27,554	\$	90	0.3%	\$	91,389	Se	e no	otes	\$ 24,707		See no	tes
2008-09	\$	93,254	\$ 90	0.1%	\$	76,492	\$ 72	0.1%	\$ 101,849	\$	100	0.1%	\$	27,464	\$	19	0.1%	\$	93,254	\$	90	0.1%	\$ 24,682	\$	24	0.1%
2007-08	\$	93,163	\$ 110	0.1%	\$	76,420	\$ 105	0.1%	\$ 101,750	\$	110	0.1%	\$	27,446	\$	105	0.4%	\$	93,163	\$ 1	10	0.1%	\$ 24,658		-	-
2006-07	\$	93,053	\$ 3,157	3.5%	\$	76,315	\$ 2,595	3.5%	\$ 101,640	44	3,445	3.5%	\$	27,340	\$	957	3.6%	\$	93,053	\$ 3,1	57	3.5%	N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$	89,896	\$ 3,596	4.2%	\$	73,720	\$ 2,952	4.2%	\$ 98,195	\$	3,926	4.2%	\$	26,383	\$	1,070	4.2%	\$	89,896	\$ 3,5	96	4.2%	N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$	86,300	\$ 1,251	1.5%	\$	70,768	\$ 1,035	1.5%	\$ 94,269	\$	1,361	1.5%	\$	25,313	\$	412	1.7%	\$	86,300	-		-	N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$	85,049	\$ 1,665	2.0%	\$	69,732	\$ 1,365	2.0%	\$ 92,908	\$	1,819	2.0%	\$	24,901	\$	486	2.0%		S	ee note	s		N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$	83,383	\$ 2,116	2.6%	\$	68,367	\$ 1,740	2.6%	\$ 91,089	44	2,307	2.6%	\$	24,415	\$	647	2.7%	\$	83,383	\$ 2,1	16	2.6%	S	ee no	otes	
2001-02	\$	81,268	\$ 2,228	2.8%	\$	66,627	\$ 1,815	2.8%	\$ 88,782	\$	2,443	2.8%	\$	23,767	\$	591	2.6%	\$	81,268	\$ 2,2	28	2.8%	\$ 21,510	\$	590	2.8%
2000-01	\$	79,039	\$ 2,415	3.2%	\$	64,812	\$ 2,014	3.2%	\$ 86,339	44	2,614	3.1%	\$	23,176	\$	875	3.9%	\$	79,039	\$ 2,4	15	3.2%	\$ 20,920	\$	639	3.2%
1999-00	\$	76,625	\$ 2,786	3.8%	\$	62,799	\$ 2,295	3.8%	\$ 83,725	44	3,036	3.8%	\$	22,301	\$	869	4.1%	\$	76,625	\$ 2,7	86	3.8%	\$ 20,281	\$	737	3.8%
1998-99	\$	73,839	\$ 3,652	5.2%	\$	60,504	\$ 2,999	5.2%	\$ 80,689	44	3,986	5.2%	\$	21,433	\$	1,092	5.4%	\$	73,839	\$ 3,6	52	5.2%	\$ 19,544	\$	967	5.2%
1997-98	\$	70,187	\$ 3,323	5.0%	\$	57,505	\$ 2,723	5.0%	\$ 76,703	44	3,632	5.0%	\$	20,341	\$	967	5.0%	\$	70,187	\$ 3,3	23	5.0%	\$ 18,577	\$	879	5.0%
1996-97	\$	66,864	\$ 3,709	5.9%	\$	54,782	\$ 3,052	5.9%	\$ 73,071	\$	4,043	5.9%	\$	19,374	\$	1,142	6.3%	\$	66,864	\$ 3,7		5.9%	\$ 17,698	\$	982	5.9%
1995-96	\$	63,155	\$ 2,588	4.3%	\$	51,730	\$ 2,137	4.3%	\$ 69,028	44	2,817	4.3%	\$	18,232	\$	831	4.8%	\$	63,155	\$ 2,5	88	4.3%	\$ 16,716	\$	685	4.3%
1994-95	\$	60,567	\$ 3,268	5.7%	\$	49,593	\$ 2,680	5.7%	\$ 66,211	44	3,570	5.7%	\$	17,401	\$	957	5.8%	\$	60,567			-	\$ 16,031		-	-
1993-94	\$	57,299	\$ 2,187	4.0%	\$	46,913	\$ 1,795	4.0%	\$ 62,641	\$	2,387	4.0%	\$	16,444	\$	652	4.1%		S	ee note	s		S	ee no	otes	
1992-93	\$	55,112	\$ 42	0.1%	\$	45,118	\$ 41	0.1%	\$ 60,254	\$	43	0.1%	\$	15,792	\$	41	0.3%	\$	55,070	\$	-	0.0%	\$ 14,576	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$	55,070	\$ 2,080	3.9%	\$	45,077	\$ 1,655	3.8%	\$ 60,211	44	2,306	4.0%	\$	15,751	\$	364	2.4%	\$	55,070	\$ 2,0	80	3.9%	\$ 14,576	\$	550	3.9%
1990-91	\$	52,990	\$ 2,215	4.4%	\$	43,422	\$ 1,833	4.4%	\$ 57,905	\$	2,409	4.3%	\$	15,387	\$	728	5.0%	\$	52,990	\$ 2,2	15	4.4%	\$ 14,026	\$	587	4.4%
1989-90	\$	50,775	\$ 2,418	5.0%	\$	41,589	\$ 1,995	5.0%	\$ 55,496	\$	7,139	14.8%	\$	14,659	\$	770	5.5%	\$	50,775	\$ 2,4	18	5.0%	\$ 13,439	\$	640	5.0%
1988-89	\$	48,357	\$ 6,630	15.9%	\$	39,594	\$ 6,207	18.6%	\$ 48,357	\$	2,339	5.1%	\$	13,889	\$	683	5.2%	\$	48,357	\$ 2,3	39	5.1%	\$ 12,799	\$	619	5.1%
1987-88	\$	41,727	\$ 2,079	5.2%	\$	33,387	\$ 1,679	5.3%	\$ 46,018	\$	2,284	5.2%	\$	13,206	\$	720	5.8%	\$	46,018	\$ 2,2	84	5.2%	\$ 12,180	\$	604	5.2%
1986-87	\$	39,648	\$ 2,623	7.1%	\$	31,708	\$ 2,102	7.1%	\$ 43,734	\$	2,890	7.1%	\$	12,486	\$	844	7.2%	\$	43,734	\$ 2,8	90	7.1%	\$ 11,576	\$	765	7.1%
1985-86	\$	37,025	-	-	\$	29,606	-	-	\$ 40,844		-	-	\$	11,642		-	-	\$	40,844	-		-	\$ 10,811		-	-

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Indian River County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

Fiscal			cuit Court,		Supervi	sor of	lection	ns			Sh	eriff			County	Co	mmissio	ners	Schoo	ol Su	perintend	dent		School I	Board	d Memi	ners
Year		alary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Ch		% Chg.		Salary		Chg.	% Chg.	-	Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		Chg.	% Chg.
2016-17	\$	122,439	\$ 448	0.4%	\$ 122,439	\$ 19,	085 1	18.5%	\$	131,402	\$	461	0.4%	\$	59,538	\$	543	0.9%	\$ 122,439	\$	448	0.4%	\$	33,551	\$	134	0.4%
2015-16	\$	121,991	\$ 288	0.2%	\$ 103,354	\$	260	0.3%	\$	130,941	\$	298	0.2%	\$	58,995	\$	328	0.6%	\$ 121,991	\$	288	0.2%	\$	33,417	\$	86	0.3%
2014-15	\$	121,703	\$ 345	0.3%	\$ 103,094	\$	294	0.3%	\$	130,643	\$	369	0.3%	\$	58,667	\$	186	0.3%	\$ 121,703	\$	345	0.3%	\$	33,331	\$	95	0.3%
2013-14	\$	121,358	\$ 4,433	3.8%	\$ 102,800	\$ 3,	764	3.8%	\$	130,274	\$	4,753	3.8%	\$	58,481	\$	2,236	4.0%	\$ 121,358	3 \$	4,433	3.8%	\$	33,236	\$	1,218	3.8%
2012-13	\$	116,925	\$ 72	0.1%	\$ 99,036	\$	68	0.1%	\$	125,520	\$	72	0.1%	\$	56,245	\$	123	0.2%	\$ 116,925	5 \$	72	0.1%	\$	32,018	\$	23	0.1%
2011-12	\$	116,853	\$ (387)	-0.3%	\$ 98,968	\$ (369) -	-0.4%	\$	125,449	\$	(387)	-0.3%	\$	56,123	\$	(664)	-1.2%	\$ 116,853	\$	(387)	-0.3%	\$	31,996	\$	(123)	-0.4%
2010-11	\$	117,241	\$ (4)	0.0%	\$ 99,337	\$	(3)	0.0%	\$	125,836	\$	(4)	0.0%	44	56,787	\$	(-/	0.0%	\$ 117,241	\$	2,543	2.2%	\$	32,118		See no	tes
2009-10	\$	117,244	\$ 206	0.2%	\$ 99,340	\$	196	0.2%	44	125,840	\$	206	0.2%	4	56,793	\$	352	0.6%	\$ 114,698	3	See no	otes	4	32,120		See no	tes
2008-09	\$	117,038	\$ 611	0.5%	\$ 99,144	_		0.6%	\$	125,634	\$	620	0.5%	\$	56,441	\$	889	1.6%	\$ 117,038	\$	611	0.5%	4	32,054	\$	188	0.6%
2007-08	\$	116,427	\$ 559	0.5%	\$ 98,576	_		0.5%	_	125,013		559	0.4%	\$	55,552	\$		1.8%	\$ 116,427		559	0.5%	\$	31,866		-	-
2006-07	\$	115,868	\$ 4,208	3.8%	\$ 98,043			3.8%	\$	124,455	\$		3.7%	\$	54,592	\$	2,397	4.6%	\$ 115,868	\$	4,208	3.8%	Ш.	N/A		-	-
2005-06	_	,	\$ 5,003	4.7%	\$ - ,		_	4.8%	_	119,959	\$	5,333	4.7%	\$	52,195	\$	-,-	6.2%	\$ 111,660		5,003	4.7%	L	N/A		-	-
2004-05		106,657	\$ 1,759	1.7%	\$,	• /		1.7%		114,626	\$	1,869	1.7%	\$	49,154	\$,	2.5%	\$ 106,657		-	-	L	N/A		-	-
2003-04		104,897	\$ 2,290	2.2%	\$,	<u> </u>		2.3%	_	112,757	\$	2,444	2.2%	\$	47,970	\$,-	2.9%			notes		L	N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$	102,607	\$ 2,812	2.8%	\$ 86,675			2.9%	_		\$		2.8%	\$	46,628	\$.,	3.6%	\$ 102,607		-,	2.8%	L		ee n		
2001-02	\$	99,795	\$ 3,156	3.3%	\$,			3.3%	_	107,309	\$	3,371	3.2%	\$	45,023	\$.,	4.2%	\$ 99,795	_	3,156	3.3%	\$	27,222		875	3.3%
2000-01	\$	96,639	\$ 2,899	3.1%	\$,	• /	_	3.1%	•	103,939	\$	3,099	3.1%	\$	43,211	\$	-,	3.9%	\$ 96,639		2,899	3.1%	\$	-,	\$	802	3.1%
1999-00	\$	93,740	\$ 3,480	3.9%	\$ 79,099			3.9%	\$	100,840	\$	3,730	3.8%	\$	41,590	\$	1,771	4.4%	\$ 93,740		3,480	3.9%	\$	20,0.0	\$	956	3.9%
1998-99	\$	90,260	\$ 4,600	5.4%	\$,			5.4%	\$	97,110	\$	4,934	5.4%	\$	39,819	\$	2,278	6.1%	\$ 90,260		4,600	5.4%	\$	24,589	_	1,261	5.4%
1997-98	\$	85,660	\$ 4,200	5.2%	\$,	• /		5.2%	\$	92,176	\$	4,508	5.1%	\$	37,541	\$	2,033	5.7%	\$ 85,660		4,200	5.2%	\$	23,328		1,151	5.2%
1996-97	\$	81,460	\$ 4,869	6.4%	\$,		_	6.4%	\$	87,668	\$	5,204	6.3%	\$	35,508	\$	2,383	7.2%	\$ 81,460		4,869	6.4%	\$	22,177		1,323	6.3%
1995-96	\$	76,591	\$ 3,287	4.5%	\$ 64,526			4.5%	\$	82,464	\$	3,516	4.5%	\$	33,125	\$	1,577	5.0%	\$ 76,591		3,287	4.5%	\$	20,854	\$	893	4.5%
1994-95	\$	73,304	\$ 4,169	6.0%	\$,	, ,		6.1%	\$	78,948	\$	4,471	6.0%	\$	31,548	\$,-	6.5%	\$ 73,304		-	-	\$	19,961		-	-
1993-94	\$	69,135	\$ 2,851	4.3%	\$,			4.4%	\$		\$		4.3%	\$	29,624	\$,	4.8%			notes		<u>. </u>		ee n	otes	
1992-93	\$,	\$ 342	0.5%	\$,			0.6%	\$	71,425	\$	342	0.5%	\$	28,268	\$		1.2%	\$ 65,942		-	0.0%	\$	17,963	_	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$,-	\$ 2,732	4.3%	\$, -		_	4.3%	\$	71,083	\$	2,958	4.3%	\$	27,942	\$,	4.0%	\$ 65,942		2,732	4.3%	\$,	\$	746	4.3%
1990-91	\$	63,210	\$ 3,038	5.0%	\$, -			5.2%	\$	68,125	\$	3,232	5.0%	\$	26,875	\$,	6.2%	\$ 63,210		3,038	5.0%	\$,	\$	823	5.0%
1989-90	\$	60,172	\$ 3,276	5.8%	\$,			5.9%	\$	64,893	\$	7,997	14.1%	\$	25,295	\$.,	7.0%	\$ 60,172		3,276	5.8%	\$,	\$	889	5.7%
1988-89	\$	56,896	\$ 7,473	15.1%	\$,	• /		17.2%	\$	56,896	\$	3,183	5.9%	\$	23,630	\$,	7.1%	\$ 56,896		3,183	5.9%	\$,	\$	863	5.9%
1987-88	\$	49,423	\$ 2,787	6.0%	\$,	<u> </u>		6.1%	\$,	\$,	5.9%	\$	22,067	\$,	7.1%	\$ 53,713		2,991	5.9%	\$,•	\$	812	5.9%
1986-87	\$	46,636	\$ 3,313	7.6%	\$ 38,363	\$ 2,	759	7.7%	\$	50,722	\$	3,580	7.6%	\$	20,601	\$	1,597	8.4%	\$ 50,722		3,580	7.6%	\$	13,830	\$	974	7.6%
1985-86	\$	43,323	-	<u> </u>	\$ 35,604	-		-	\$	47,142	L	-	-	\$	19,004		-	-	\$ 47,142	<u>' </u>	-	-	\$	12,856		-	-

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Jackson County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

	Clerk of Circuit Court, Property																						Т				
Fiscal		Appraise	r & Tax Co	llector		Supervi	sor of Elec	tions			Sh	eriff			County	Со	mmissio	ners		School	Superinte	ndent		School I	Board	d Memb	oers
Year		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	,	Salary	**	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	,	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Sa	alary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.
2016-17	\$	104,264	\$ 216	0.2%	\$	104,264	\$ 17,999	20.9%	\$	113,227	\$	230	0.2%	\$	38,530	\$	115	0.3%	\$ 1	04,264	\$ 21	0.2%	\$	28,483	\$	59	0.2%
2015-16	\$	104,047	\$ 133	0.1%	\$	86,265	\$ 112	0.1%	\$	112,997	\$	143	0.1%	\$	38,414	\$	59	0.2%	\$ 1	04,047	\$ 13	0.1%	\$	28,425	\$	36	0.1%
2014-15	\$	103,915	\$ (2,966)	-2.8%	\$	86,152	\$ (2,860	-3.2%	\$	112,854	\$	(2,942)	-2.5%	\$	38,355	\$	195	0.5%	\$ 1	03,915	\$ (2,96) -2.8%	\$	28,389	\$	101	0.4%
2013-14	\$	106,881	\$ 3,796	3.7%	\$	89,012	\$ 3,157	3.7%	\$	115,797	\$	4,115	3.7%	\$	38,160	\$	1,333	3.6%	\$ 1	06,881	\$ 3,79	3.7%	\$	28,287	\$	1,005	3.7%
2012-13	\$	103,085	\$ 70	0.1%	\$	85,856	\$ 67	0.1%	\$	111,681	\$	70	0.1%	\$	36,828	\$	67	0.2%	\$ 1	03,085	\$ 7	0.1%	\$	27,283	\$	19	0.1%
2011-12	\$	103,015	\$ 2,462	2.4%	\$	85,789	\$ 2,344	2.8%	\$	111,611	44	2,462	2.3%	44	36,761	\$	(726)	-1.9%	\$ 1	03,015	\$ 2,46	2.4%	\$	27,264	\$	(201)	-0.7%
2010-11	\$	100,554	\$ (1)	0.0%	\$	83,445	\$ (0	0.0%	\$	109,149	44	(1)	0.0%	44	37,486	\$	(0)	0.0%	\$ 1	00,554	\$ 2,57	2.6%	\$	27,465		See no	ites
2009-10	\$	100,554	\$ 574	0.6%	\$	83,445	\$ 546	0.7%	\$	109,150	44	574	0.5%	4	37,487	\$	546	1.5%	\$	97,981	See	notes	\$	27,465		See no	ites
2008-09	\$	99,980	\$ 154	0.2%	\$	82,899		0.2%	\$	108,576	\$	163	0.2%	\$	36,941	\$	82	0.2%	•	99,980	\$ 15		\$,	\$	42	0.2%
2007-08	\$	99,827	\$ (3,058)	-3.0%	\$	- ,	\$ (2,912	-3.4%		,	\$	(3,058)	-2.7%	\$	36,858	\$	155	0.4%		,	\$ (3,05	,	\$,		-	-
2006-07	\$	102,885	\$ 3,696	3.7%	\$,-	\$ 3,109	3.8%	\$	111,471	\$		3.7%	\$	36,704	\$	1,471	4.2%		02,885	\$ 3,69			N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$	99,189	\$ 3,909	4.1%	\$	- ,	\$ 3,248	4.1%		107,488	\$	4,238	4.1%	\$	35,233	\$	1,367	4.0%		,	\$ 3,90	4.1%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$	95,280	\$ 1,685	1.8%	\$	-,-	\$ 1,448	1.9%	\$	103,250	\$	1,794	1.8%	\$	33,866	\$	825	2.5%	\$	95,280		-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$	93,596	\$ 1,896	2.1%	\$	77,873	, , , , , , , ,	2.1%			\$		2.1%	\$	33,042	\$	706	2.2%			ee notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$	91,700	\$ 2,489	2.8%	\$,	\$ 2,096	2.8%	\$,	\$	2,680	2.8%	\$	32,336	\$	1,003	3.2%	•	,	\$ 2,48				See n		
2001-02	\$	89,211	\$ 1,804	2.1%	\$	74,192	\$ 1,410	1.9%	\$	96,726	\$	2,018	2.1%	\$	31,333	\$	187	0.6%		,	\$ 1,80		\$	23,611		478	2.1%
2000-01	\$	87,407	\$ 2,337	2.7%	\$	72,782	\$ 1,940	2.7%	\$	94,707	\$	2,537	2.8%	\$	31,146	\$	801	2.6%		87,407	\$ 2,33		\$	23,134	•	619	2.7%
1999-00	\$	85,070	\$ 3,069	3.7%	\$,	\$ 2,564	3.8%	\$	92,170	\$	3,319	3.7%	\$	30,345	\$	1,138	3.9%		85,070	\$ 3,06		\$	22,515	_	812	3.7%
1998-99	\$	82,002	\$ 4,187	5.4%	\$,	\$ 3,508	5.4%	\$	88,852	\$	4,522	5.4%	\$	29,207	\$	1,602	5.8%	•	82,002	\$ 4,18		\$	21,703	_	1,108	5.4%
1997-98	\$	77,815	\$ 4,156	5.6%	\$,	\$ 3,516	5.7%	\$	84,330	\$	4,463	5.6%	\$	27,605	\$	1,759	6.8%		77,815	\$ 4,15		\$	20,595	•	1,100	5.6%
1996-97	\$	73,659	\$ 4,228	6.1%	\$,	\$ 3,547	6.1%	\$	79,867	\$	4,563	6.1%	\$	25,846	\$	1,637	6.8%	_	73,659	\$ 4,22		\$	19,495	_	1,119	6.1%
1995-96	\$	69,431	\$ 2,927	4.4%	\$	- , -	\$ 2,459	4.5%	\$		\$,	4.4%	\$	24,209	\$	1,153	5.0%		, .	\$ 2,92	4.4%	\$	18,376	\$	775	4.4%
1994-95	\$	66,504	\$ 3,918	6.3%	\$,	\$ 3,300	6.4%	\$	72,148	\$	4,220	6.2%	\$	23,056	\$	1,578	7.3%	\$	66,504	•	-	\$,		-	-
1993-94	\$	62,586	\$ 2,542	4.2%	\$	- ,	\$ 2,133	4.3%	\$	67,928	\$	2,743	4.2%	\$	21,478	\$	989	4.8%			ee notes		┸		See n	otes	
1992-93	\$	60,044	\$ 39	0.1%	\$	49,815		0.1%	\$,	\$	39	0.1%	\$	20,489	\$	38	0.2%	_	60,005		0.0%	\$		\$		0.0%
1991-92	\$	60,005	\$ 2,021	3.5%	\$	49,778	, ,	3.3%	\$,	\$	2,247	3.6%	\$	20,451	\$	308	1.5%		,	\$ 2,02	3.5%	\$		\$	535	3.5%
1990-91	\$	57,984	\$ 2,474	4.5%	\$	-,	\$ 2,079	4.5%	\$	62,899	\$	2,667	4.4%	\$	20,143	\$	974	5.1%	•	57,984	\$ 2,47		\$,	\$	654	4.5%
1989-90	\$	55,510	\$ 2,515	4.7%	\$	46,099	\$ 2,088	4.7%	\$	60,232	\$	7,237	13.7%	\$	19,169	\$	864	4.7%		55,510	\$ 2,51		\$,	\$	666	4.7%
1988-89	\$	52,995	\$ 7,095	15.5%	\$	44,011	\$ 6,650	17.8%	\$	52,995	\$	2,805	5.6%	\$	18,305	\$	1,125	6.5%		52,995	\$ 2,80		\$,	\$	742	5.6%
1987-88	\$	45,900	\$ 2,368	5.4%	\$	- ,	\$ 1,954	5.5%	\$	50,190	\$	2,571	5.4%	\$	17,180	\$	994	6.1%	•	50,190	\$ 2,57		\$	13,284	\$	681	5.4%
1986-87	\$	43,532	\$ 2,986	7.4%	\$,	\$ 2,448	7.4%	\$	47,619	\$	3,254	7.3%	\$	16,186	\$	1,191	7.9%		47,619	\$ 3,25	7.3%	\$	12,603	\$	861	7.3%
1985-86	\$	40,546	-	-	\$	32,959	-	-	\$	44,365		-	-	\$	14,995		-	-	\$	44,365	-	-	\$	11,742		-	-

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Jefferson County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

	Clerk of Circuit Court, Property																										
Fiscal		Appraise	r & Tax Co	llector		Supervi	sor of Elect	ions		She	eriff			County	Co	mmissio	ners		School	Superin	tenc	dent		School I			oers
Year	"	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	-	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	"	Salary	97	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	"	Salary	\$ Chg	j.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.
2016-17	\$	95,576	\$ 116	0.1%	\$	95,576	\$ 17,490	22.4%	\$ 104,539	\$	130	0.1%	\$	27,055	\$	15	0.1%	\$	95,576	\$ 1	16	0.1%	44	25,297	\$	31	0.1%
2015-16	\$	95,460	\$ 120	0.1%	\$	78,086	\$ 100	0.1%	\$ 104,409	44	130	0.1%	\$	27,039	\$	44	0.2%	\$	95,460	\$ 1	20	0.1%	44	25,267	\$	32	0.1%
2014-15	\$	95,340	\$ 283	0.3%	\$	77,986	\$ 235	0.3%	\$ 104,279	\$	308	0.3%	\$	26,996	\$	97	0.4%	\$	95,340	\$ 2	83	0.3%	44	25,235	\$	75	0.3%
2013-14	\$	95,056	\$ 3,349	3.7%	\$	77,751	\$ 2,731	3.6%	\$ 103,972	44	3,668	3.7%	\$	26,899	\$	907	3.5%	\$	95,056	\$ 3,3	49	3.7%	44	25,160	\$	886	3.7%
2012-13	\$	91,708	\$ (31)	0.0%	\$	75,020	\$ (29)	0.0%	\$ 100,303	\$	(31)	0.0%	\$	25,992	\$	(29)	-0.1%	\$	91,708	\$ (31)	0.0%	44	24,273	\$	(8)	0.0%
2011-12	\$	91,738	\$ 27	0.0%	\$	75,049	\$ 26	0.0%	\$ 100,334	\$	27	0.0%	\$	26,021	\$	26	0.1%	\$	91,738	\$	27	0.0%	\$	24,281	\$	7	0.0%
2010-11	\$	91,711	\$ 40	0.0%	\$	75,023	\$ 38	0.1%	\$ 100,307	\$	40	0.0%	\$	25,995	\$	38	0.1%	\$	91,711	\$ 1,8	93	2.1%	44	24,274		See no	tes
2009-10	\$	91,671	\$ 20	0.0%	\$	74,985	\$ 19	0.0%	\$ 100,267	\$	20	0.0%	\$	25,957	\$	18	0.1%	\$	89,819	Se	e no	otes	\$	24,264		See no	tes
2008-09	\$	91,652	\$ 146	0.2%	\$	74,966	\$ 126	0.2%	\$ 100,247	\$	156	0.2%	\$	25,939	\$	72	0.3%	\$	91,652	\$ 1	46	0.2%	44	24,259	\$	39	0.2%
2007-08	\$	91,506	\$ 38	0.0%	\$	74,841	\$ 36	0.0%	\$,	\$	38	0.0%	\$	25,867	\$	37	0.1%	\$	91,506	-	38	0.0%	\$	24,220		-	-
2006-07	\$	91,468	\$ 3,112	3.5%	\$	74,805	\$ 2,552	3.5%	\$ 100,054	\$	3,399	3.5%	\$	25,830	\$	914	3.7%	\$	91,468	\$ 3,1	12	3.5%	Щ.	N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$	88,356	\$ 3,666	4.3%	\$	72,253	\$ 3,019	4.4%	\$ 96,655	\$	3,996	4.3%	\$	24,916	\$	1,136	4.8%	\$	88,356	\$ 3,6	66	4.3%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$	84,690	\$ 1,247	1.5%	\$	69,234	\$ 1,031	1.5%	\$ 92,659	\$	1,356	1.5%	\$	23,780	\$	408	1.7%	\$	84,690	-		-	Щ.	N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$	83,443	\$ 1,698	2.1%	\$	68,203	\$ 1,397	2.1%	\$ 91,303	\$	1,853	2.1%	\$	23,372	\$	518	2.3%		S	ee note	s			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$	81,745	\$ 2,072	2.6%	\$	66,807	\$ 1,699	2.6%	\$ 89,450	\$	2,264	2.6%	\$	22,854	\$	606	2.7%	\$	81,745	\$ 2,0	72	2.6%	Щ.	S	ee n	otes	
2001-02	\$	79,672	\$ 1,858	2.4%	\$	65,108	\$ 1,462	2.3%	\$ 87,186	\$	2,072	2.4%	\$	22,248	\$	238	1.1%	4	79,672	\$ 1,8	58	2.4%	\$	21,088	\$	492	2.4%
2000-01	\$	77,814	\$ 2,186	2.9%	\$	63,646	\$ 1,796	2.9%	\$ 85,114	\$	2,386	2.9%	\$	22,010	\$	657	3.1%	\$	77,814	\$ 2,1		2.9%	\$	20,596	\$	579	2.9%
1999-00	\$	75,628	\$ 2,720	3.7%	\$,	\$ 2,232	3.7%	\$ 82,728	\$	2,970	3.7%	\$	21,353	\$	806	3.9%	4	75,628	\$ 2,7		3.7%	\$,	\$	720	3.7%
1998-99	\$	72,909	\$ 3,626	5.2%	\$	59,618	\$ 2,973	5.2%	\$ 79,759	\$	3,960	5.2%	\$	20,547	\$	1,067	5.5%	\$	72,909	\$ 3,6		5.2%	\$	19,298	\$	960	5.2%
1997-98	\$	69,283	\$ 3,322	5.0%	\$,	\$ 2,723	5.0%	\$ 75,799	\$	3,630	5.0%	\$	19,480	\$	966	5.2%	\$	69,283	\$ 3,3		5.0%	\$,	\$	879	5.0%
1996-97	\$	65,961	\$ 3,651	5.9%	\$	53,922	\$ 2,998	5.9%	\$ 72,169	\$	3,987	5.8%	\$	18,514	\$	1,087	6.2%	\$	65,961	\$ 3,6	51	5.9%	\$	17,459	\$	967	5.9%
1995-96	\$	62,310	\$ 2,451	4.1%	\$	50,924	\$ 2,005	4.1%	\$ 68,182	\$	2,679	4.1%	\$	17,427	\$	699	4.2%	\$	62,310	\$ 2,4	51	4.1%	\$	16,492	\$	648	4.1%
1994-95	\$	59,859	\$ 3,331	5.9%	\$	48,919	\$ 2,740	5.9%	\$ 65,503	\$	3,633	5.9%	\$	16,728	\$	1,019	6.5%	\$	59,859	-		-	\$	15,844		-	-
1993-94	\$	56,528	\$ 2,188	4.0%	\$	46,179	\$ 1,796	4.0%	\$ 61,870	\$	2,388	4.0%	\$	15,709	\$	652	4.3%			ee note	s				ee n	otes	
1992-93	\$	54,340	\$ 135	0.2%	\$	44,383		0.3%	\$ 59,482	\$	135	0.2%	\$	15,057	\$	129	0.9%	\$	54,205	-	-	0.0%	\$	14,347	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$	54,205	\$ 2,162	4.2%	\$, -	\$ 1,734	4.1%	\$ 59,347	\$	2,389	4.2%	\$	14,928	\$	443	3.1%	\$,	\$ 2,1		4.2%	\$,-	\$	572	4.2%
1990-91	\$	52,043	\$ 2,099	4.2%	\$	42,520	\$ 1,722	4.2%	\$ 56,958	\$	2,293	4.2%	\$	14,485	\$	617	4.4%	\$	52,043	\$ 2,0	99	4.2%	\$	13,775	\$	556	4.2%
1989-90	\$	49,944	\$ 2,325	4.9%	\$	40,798	\$ 1,906	4.9%	\$ 54,665	\$	7,046	14.8%	\$	13,868	\$	682	5.2%	\$	49,944	\$ 2,3		4.9%	\$,	\$	615	4.9%
1988-89	\$	47,619	\$ 6,614	16.1%	\$	38,892	\$ 6,194	18.9%	\$ 47,619	\$	2,324	5.1%	\$	13,186	\$	668	5.3%	\$	47,619	\$ 2,3	24	5.1%	\$	12,604	\$	615	5.1%
1987-88	\$	41,005	\$ 1,972	5.1%	\$	32,698	\$ 1,575	5.1%	\$ 45,295	\$	2,175	5.0%	\$	12,518	\$	617	5.2%	\$	45,295	\$ 2,1	75	5.0%	\$	11,989	\$	576	5.0%
1986-87	\$	39,033	\$ 2,575	7.1%	\$,	\$ 2,057	7.1%	\$ 43,120	\$	2,843	7.1%	\$	11,901	\$	799	7.2%	4	43,120	\$ 2,8	43	7.1%	\$	11,413	\$	752	7.1%
1985-86	\$	36,458	-	-	\$	29,066	-	-	\$ 40,277		-	-	\$	11,102		-	-	\$	40,277	-		-	\$	10,661		-	-

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Lafayette County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

Salary School S	
2016-17 \$ 93,608 \$ 129 0.1% \$ 93,608 \$ 17,408 22.8% \$ 102,571 \$ 142 0.1% \$ 24,753 \$ 16 0.1% \$ 93,608 \$ 129 0.1% \$ 24,421 \$ 25 2015-16 \$ 93,479 \$ 130 0.1% \$ 76,200 \$ 109 0.1% \$ 102,429 \$ 140 0.1% \$ 24,737 \$ 77 0.3% \$ 93,479 \$ 130 0.1% \$ 24,396 \$ 55 2014-15 \$ 93,350 \$ 238 0.3% \$ 76,091 \$ 192 0.3% \$ 102,289 \$ 262 0.3% \$ 24,659 \$ 38 0.2% \$ 93,479 \$ 130 0.1% \$ 24,396 \$ 55 2013-14 \$ 93,112 \$ 3,311 3.7% \$ 75,899 \$ 2,695 3.7% \$ 102,289 \$ 262 0.3% \$ 24,659 \$ 38 0.2% \$ 93,479 \$ 3,300 \$ 238 0.3% \$ 24,431 \$ 50 2013-14 \$ 93,112 \$ 3,311 3.7% \$ 75,899 \$ 2,695 3.7% \$ 102,028 \$ 3,631 3.7% \$ 24,659 \$ 38 0.2% \$ 93,112 \$ 3,311 3.7% \$ 24,291 \$ 841 2012-13 \$ 89,801 \$ (38) 0.0% \$ 73,204 \$ (36) 0.0% \$ 98,397 \$ (38) 0.0% \$ 23,793 \$ (72) -0.3% \$ 89,801 \$ (38) 0.0% \$ 23,450 \$ (40 2011-12 \$ 89,839 \$ 221 0.2% \$ 73,240 \$ 211 0.3% \$ 98,435 \$ 221 0.2% \$ 23,865 \$ 422 1.8% \$ 89,839 \$ 221 0.2% \$ 23,490 \$ 234 2010-11 \$ 89,618 \$ (34) 0.0% \$ 73,029 \$ (32) 0.0% \$ 98,214 \$ (34) 0.0% \$ 23,444 \$ (64) -0.3% \$ 89,618 \$ 1,783 2.0% \$ 23,256 \$ Ser 1 2009-10 \$ 89,618 \$ 148 0.2% \$ 73,039 \$ 128 0.2% \$ 98,223 \$ 158 0.2% \$ 23,463 \$ 121 0.5% \$ 89,628 \$ 148 0.2% \$ 23,291 \$ Ser 1 2006-07 \$ 89,475 \$ 28 0.0% \$ 72,891 \$ 2,567 3.6% \$ 98,038 \$ 3,414 3.6% \$ 23,346 \$ 10.1 0.5% \$ 89,452 \$ 3,125 3.6% \$ 3,125 3.6% \$ N/A - 2005-06 \$ 86,324 \$ 3,487 4.2% \$ 70,318 \$ 2,848 4.2% \$ 94,624 \$ 3,818 4.2% \$ 22,251 \$ 989 4.7% \$ 88,437 \$ 2 8 0.0% \$ 23,188 - 2005-06 \$ 86,324 \$ 3,487 4.2% \$ 70,318 \$ 2,848 4.2% \$ 94,624 \$ 3,818 4.2% \$ 22,251 \$ 989 4.7% \$ 88,437 \$ N/A - 2004-05 \$ 22,837 \$ 1,179 1.4% \$ 66,503 \$ 1,344 2.1% \$ 89,518 \$ 1,797 2.0% \$ 20,888 \$ 491 2.4% \$ Ser notes \$ 200-00 \$ 73,709 \$ 2,595 3.6% \$ 66,503 \$ 1,344 2.1% \$ 89,519 \$ 2,445 \$ 20,00% \$ 23,450 \$ 20,000 2.6% \$ 80,002 \$ 2,244 3.0% \$ 19,874 \$ 2,245 \$ 3,125 3.6% \$ 19,939 \$ 2,245 \$ 3,127 3.6% \$ 1,428 \$ 3,4	ers
2015-16 \$ 93,479 \$ 130 0.1% \$ 76,200 \$ 109 0.1% \$ 102,429 \$ 140 0.1% \$ 24,737 \$ 77 0.3% \$ 93,479 \$ 130 0.1% \$ 24,396 \$ 55 2014-15 \$ 93,350 \$ 238 0.3% \$ 76,091 \$ 192 0.3% \$ 102,289 \$ 262 0.3% \$ 24,659 \$ 38 0.2% \$ 93,350 \$ 238 0.3% \$ 24,341 \$ 50 2013-14 \$ 93,112 \$ 3,311 3.7% \$ 75,899 \$ 2,695 3.7% \$ 102,028 \$ 3,631 3.7% \$ 24,621 \$ 828 3.5% \$ 93,112 \$ 3,311 3.7% \$ 24,291 \$ 841 2012-13 \$ 89,801 \$ (38) 0.0% \$ 73,204 \$ (36) 0.0% \$ 98,397 \$ (38) 0.0% \$ 23,493 \$ (72) -0.3% \$ 89,801 \$ (38) 0.0% \$ 23,450 \$ (40) 2011-12 \$ 89,839 \$ 221 0.2% \$ 73,244 \$ 211 0.3% \$ 98,435 \$ 221 0.2% \$ 23,865 \$ 422 1.8% \$ 89,839 \$ 221 0.2% \$ 23,490 \$ 234 2010-11 \$ 89,618 \$ (34) 0.0% \$ 73,029 \$ (32) 0.0% \$ 98,247 \$ 24 0.0% \$ 23,444 \$ (64) -0.3% \$ 89,618 \$ 1,783 2.0% \$ 23,256 \$ See 1209-10 \$ 89,651 \$ 24 0.0% \$ 73,061 \$ 23 0.0% \$ 98,247 \$ 24 0.0% \$ 23,444 \$ (64) -0.3% \$ 89,681 \$ 1,783 2.0% \$ 23,256 \$ See 1209-90 \$ 89,651 \$ 24 0.0% \$ 73,061 \$ 23 0.0% \$ 98,247 \$ 24 0.0% \$ 23,444 \$ (64) -0.3% \$ 89,628 \$ 148 0.2% \$ 73,039 \$ 128 0.2% \$ 98,023 \$ 158 0.2% \$ 23,343 \$ 121 0.5% \$ 89,628 \$ 148 0.2% \$ 73,039 \$ 128 0.2% \$ 98,065 \$ 28 0.0% \$ 23,342 \$ 54 0.2% \$ 89,479 \$ 28 0.0% \$ 73,018 \$ 2,848 4.2% \$ 98,038 \$ 3,414 3.6% \$ 23,342 \$ 54 0.2% \$ 89,479 \$ 28 0.0% \$ 23,267 \$ 78 0.006-07 \$ 89,452 \$ 3,128 3.6% \$ 72,811 \$ 26 0.0% \$ 98,065 \$ 28 0.0% \$ 23,248 \$ 1,037 4.7% \$ 89,452 \$ 3,127 3.6% \$ N/A -2005-06 \$ 86,324 \$ 3,487 4.2% \$ 70,318 \$ 2,848 4.2% \$ 94,624 \$ 3,818 4.2% \$ 22,251 \$ 989 4.7% \$ 86,324 \$ 3,487 4.2% \$ N/A -2005-06 \$ 86,324 \$ 3,487 4.2% \$ 70,318 \$ 2,848 4.2% \$ 94,624 \$ 3,818 4.2% \$ 22,251 \$ 989 4.7% \$ 86,324 \$ 3,487 4.2% \$ N/A -2005-06 \$ 86,324 \$ 3,487 4.2% \$ 70,318 \$ 2,848 4.2% \$ 94,624 \$ 3,818 4.2% \$ 22,251 \$ 989 4.7% \$ 86,324 \$ 3,487 4.2% \$ N/A -2005-06 \$ 86,324 \$ 3,487 4.2% \$ 70,318 \$ 2,848 4.2% \$ 94,624 \$ 3,818 4.2% \$ 22,251 \$ 989 4.7% \$ 86,324 \$ 3,487 4.2% \$ N/A -2005-06 \$ 80,015 \$ 2,000 2.6% \$ 65,159 \$ 1,630 2.6% \$ 89,518 \$ 1,797 2.0% \$ 20,888 \$ 491 2.4% \$ \$ 89,015 \$ 2,000 2.6% \$ 89,049 \$ 2,848 \$ 1,000 \$ 2,244 3.0% \$ 19,984 \$ 588 200-00 \$ 73,709 \$ 2,55	% Chg.
2014-15 \$ 93,350 \$ 238 0.3% \$ 76,091 \$ 192 0.3% \$ 102,289 \$ 262 0.3% \$ 24,659 \$ 38 0.2% \$ 93,350 \$ 238 0.3% \$ 24,341 \$ 50 2013-14 \$ 93,112 \$ 3,311 3.7% \$ 75,899 \$ 2,695 3.7% \$ 102,028 \$ 3,631 3.7% \$ 24,621 \$ 828 3.5% \$ 93,112 \$ 3,311 3.7% \$ 24,291 \$ 841 2012-13 \$ 89,801 \$ (38) 0.0% \$ 73,204 \$ (36) 0.0% \$ 98,397 \$ (38) 0.0% \$ 23,793 \$ (72) -0.3% \$ 89,801 \$ (38) 0.0% \$ 23,450 \$ (40) 2011-12 \$ 89,893 \$ 221 0.2% \$ 73,240 \$ 211 0.3% \$ 98,435 \$ 221 0.2% \$ 23,865 \$ 422 1.8% \$ 89,893 \$ 221 0.2% \$ 23,450 \$ 241 2010-11 \$ 89,618 \$ (34) 0.0% \$ 73,029 \$ (32) 0.0% \$ 98,214 \$ (34) 0.0% \$ 23,444 \$ (64) -0.3% \$ 89,618 \$ 1,783 2.0% \$ 23,256 \$ Seet 2009-10 \$ 89,651 \$ 24 0.0% \$ 73,061 \$ 23 0.0% \$ 98,247 \$ 24 0.0% \$ 23,463 \$ 121 0.5% \$ 89,618 \$ 1,783 2.0% \$ 23,256 \$ Seet 2009-90 \$ 89,651 \$ 24 0.0% \$ 73,061 \$ 23 0.0% \$ 98,247 \$ 24 0.0% \$ 23,463 \$ 121 0.5% \$ 89,628 \$ 148 0.2% \$ 23,291 \$ Seet 2007-08 \$ 89,479 \$ 28 0.0% \$ 72,911 \$ 26 0.0% \$ 98,065 \$ 28 0.0% \$ 23,3463 \$ 121 0.5% \$ 89,452 \$ 3,127 3.6% \$ N/A - 2006-07 \$ 89,452 \$ 3,128 3.6% \$ 72,885 \$ 2,567 3.6% \$ 98,038 \$ 3,414 3.6% \$ 23,288 \$ 1,037 4.7% \$ 89,452 \$ 3,127 3.6% \$ N/A - 2006-06 \$ 86,324 \$ 3,487 4.2% \$ 70,318 \$ 2,848 4.2% \$ 94,624 \$ 3,818 4.2% \$ 22,251 \$ 989 4.7% \$ 89,452 \$ 3,127 3.6% \$ N/A - 2004-05 \$ 82,837 \$ 1,179 1.4% \$ 66,503 \$ 1,380 2.6% \$ 87,721 \$ 2,191 2.6% \$ 20,397 \$ 526 2.6% \$ 80,015 \$ 2,000 2.6% \$ See notes 2001-02 \$ 78,015 \$ 2,244 3.0% \$ 63,530 \$ 1,630 2.6% \$ 87,721 \$ 2,191 2.6% \$ 20,397 \$ 526 2.6% \$ 80,015 \$ 2,000 2.6% \$ See notes 2001-02 \$ 78,015 \$ 2,244 3.0% \$ 66,503 \$ 1,430 2.6% \$ 87,721 \$ 2,191 2.6% \$ 20,397 \$ 526 2.6% \$ 80,015 \$ 2,244 3.0% \$ 19,994 \$ 588 2000-01 \$ 73,709 \$ 2,2595 3.6% \$ 60,022 \$ 2,113 3.6% \$ 80,809 \$ 2,845 3.6% \$ 18,763 \$ 569 3.6% \$ 77,114 \$ 3,468 5.1% \$ 18,212 \$ 886 2000-01 \$ 73,709 \$ 2,595 3.6% \$ 60,022 \$ 2,113 3.6% \$ 80,809 \$ 2,845 3.6% \$ 18,763 \$ 569 5.6% \$ 57,114 \$ 3,468 5.1% \$ 18,212 \$ 886	0.1%
2013-14 \$ 93,112 \$ 3,311 3.7% \$ 75,899 \$ 2,695 3.7% \$ 102,028 \$ 3,631 3.7% \$ 24,621 \$ 828 3.5% \$ 93,112 \$ 3,311 3.7% \$ 24,291 \$ 841 2012-13 \$ 89,801 \$ (38) 0.0% \$ 73,204 \$ (36) 0.0% \$ 98,397 \$ (38) 0.0% \$ 23,793 \$ (72) -0.3% \$ 89,801 \$ (38) 0.0% \$ 23,450 \$ (40 2011-12 \$ 89,839 \$ 221 0.2% \$ 73,240 \$ 211 0.3% \$ 98,435 \$ 221 0.2% \$ 23,865 \$ 422 1.8% \$ 89,839 \$ 221 0.2% \$ 23,450 \$ 240 1.1	0.2%
2012-13 \$ 89,801 \$ (38) 0.0% \$ 73,204 \$ (36) 0.0% \$ 99,397 \$ (38) 0.0% \$ 23,793 \$ (72) -0.3% \$ 89,801 \$ (38) 0.0% \$ 23,450 \$ (40 2011-12 \$ 89,839 \$ 221 0.2% \$ 73,240 \$ 211 0.3% \$ 98,435 \$ 221 0.2% \$ 23,865 \$ 422 1.8% \$ 89,839 \$ 221 0.2% \$ 23,490 \$ 234 2010-11 \$ 89,618 \$ (34) 0.0% \$ 73,029 \$ (32) 0.0% \$ 98,214 \$ (34) 0.0% \$ 23,444 \$ (64) -0.3% \$ 89,618 \$ 1,783 2.0% \$ 23,256 Seer 2009-10 \$ 89,651 \$ 24 0.0% \$ 73,061 \$ 23 0.0% \$ 98,247 \$ 24 0.0% \$ 23,444 \$ (64) -0.3% \$ 89,618 \$ 1,783 2.0% \$ 23,256 Seer 2008-09 \$ 89,628 \$ 148 0.2% \$ 73,039 \$ 128 0.2% \$ 98,223 \$ 158 0.2% \$ 23,463 \$ 121 0.5% \$ 89,628 \$ 148 0.2% \$ 23,267 \$ 78 2007-08 \$ 89,479 \$ 28 0.0% \$ 72,911 \$ 26 0.0% \$ 98,065 \$ 28 0.0% \$ 23,342 \$ 54 0.2% \$ 89,479 \$ 28 0.0% \$ 23,188 -2006-07 \$ 89,452 \$ 3,128 3.6% \$ 72,885 \$ 2,567 3.6% \$ 98,038 \$ 3,414 3.6% \$ 23,288 \$ 1,037 4.7% \$ 89,452 \$ 3,127 3.6% \$ N/A -2005-06 \$ 86,324 \$ 3,487 4.2% \$ 70,318 \$ 2,848 4.2% \$ 94,624 \$ 3,818 4.2% \$ 22,251 \$ 989 4.7% \$ 86,324 \$ 3,487 4.2% \$ N/A -2003-04 \$ 81,658 \$ 1,643 2.1% \$ 66,503 \$ 1,344 2.1% \$ 89,518 \$ 1,797 2.0% \$ 20,888 \$ 491 2.4% \$ See notes \$ N/A - 2002-03 \$ 80,015 \$ 2,000 2.6% \$ 65,159 \$ 1,630 2.6% \$ 87,721 \$ 2,191 2.6% \$ 20,397 \$ 526 2.6% \$ 80,015 \$ 2,244 3.0% \$ 19,984 \$ 588 2000-01 \$ 75,771 \$ 2,062 2.8% \$ 61,700 \$ 1,678 2.8% \$ 83,071 \$ 2,262 2.8% \$ 19,871 \$ 599 3.1% \$ 78,015 \$ 2,244 3.0% \$ 19,984 \$ 588 2000-01 \$ 75,771 \$ 2,062 2.8% \$ 61,700 \$ 1,678 2.824 5.1% \$ 77,964 \$ 3,802 5.1% \$ 18,105 \$ 879 5.1% \$ 71,114 \$ 3,468 5.1% \$ 18,212 \$ 886	0.2%
2011-12 \$ 89,839 \$ 221 0.2% \$ 73,240 \$ 211 0.3% \$ 98,435 \$ 221 0.2% \$ 23,865 \$ 422 1.8% \$ 89,839 \$ 221 0.2% \$ 23,490 \$ 234 2010-11 \$ 89,618 \$ (34) 0.0% \$ 73,029 \$ (32) 0.0% \$ 98,214 \$ (34) 0.0% \$ 23,444 \$ (64) -0.3% \$ 89,618 \$ 1,783 2.0% \$ 23,256 Seer 2009-10 \$ 89,651 \$ 24 0.0% \$ 73,029 \$ 23 0.0% \$ 98,247 \$ 24 0.0% \$ 23,444 \$ (64) -0.3% \$ 89,618 \$ 1,783 2.0% \$ 23,256 Seer 2009-10 \$ 89,651 \$ 24 0.0% \$ 73,061 \$ 23 0.0% \$ 98,247 \$ 24 0.0% \$ 23,444 \$ (64) -0.3% \$ 89,618 \$ 1,783 2.0% \$ 23,256 Seer 2009-10 \$ 89,628 \$ 148 0.2% \$ 73,039 \$ 128 0.2% \$ 98,223 \$ 158 0.2% \$ 23,507 \$ 44 0.2% \$ 87,835 See notes \$ 23,291 Seer 2008-09 \$ 89,628 \$ 148 0.2% \$ 73,039 \$ 128 0.2% \$ 98,223 \$ 158 0.2% \$ 23,463 \$ 121 0.5% \$ 89,628 \$ 148 0.2% \$ 23,267 \$ 78 2007-08 \$ 89,479 \$ 28 0.0% \$ 72,911 \$ 26 0.0% \$ 98,065 \$ 28 0.0% \$ 23,342 \$ 54 0.2% \$ 89,479 \$ 28 0.0% \$ 23,188 - 2006-07 \$ 89,425 \$ 3,128 3.6% \$ 72,885 \$ 2,567 3.6% \$ 98,038 \$ 3,414 3.6% \$ 23,288 \$ 1,037 4.7% \$ 89,452 \$ 3,127 3.6% N/A - 2005-06 \$ 86,324 \$ 3,487 4.2% \$ 70,318 \$ 2,848 4.2% \$ 94,624 \$ 3,818 4.2% \$ 22,251 \$ 989 4.7% \$ 86,524 \$ 3,487 4.2% N/A - 2003-04 \$ 81,658 \$ 1,643 2.1% \$ 66,503 \$ 1,344 2.1% \$ 89,518 \$ 1,797 2.0% \$ 20,888 \$ 491 2.4% See notes \$ N/A - 2002-03 \$ 80,015 \$ 2,000 2.6% \$ 65,159 \$ 1,630 2.6% \$ 87,721 \$ 2,191 2.6% \$ 20,397 \$ 526 2.6% \$ 80,015 \$ 2,000 2.6% \$ 19,984 \$ 588 2000-01 \$ 75,771 \$ 2,062 2.8% \$ 61,700 \$ 1,678 2.8% \$ 83,071 \$ 2,262 2.8% \$ 19,871 \$ 599 3.1% \$ 78,015 \$ 2,244 3.0% \$ 19,984 \$ 588 2000-01 \$ 75,771 \$ 2,062 2.8% \$ 61,700 \$ 1,678 2.8% \$ 83,071 \$ 2,262 2.8% \$ 19,871 \$ 599 3.1% \$ 71,114 \$ 3,468 5.1% \$ 18,876 \$ 564 1998-99 \$ 71,114 \$ 3,468 5.1% \$ 57,909 \$ 2,824 5.1% \$ 77,964 \$ 3,802 5.1% \$ 18,105 \$ 879 5.1% \$ 71,114 \$ 3,468 5.1% \$ 18,212 \$ 886	3.6%
2010-11 \$ 89,618 \$ (34) 0.0% \$ 73,029 \$ (32) 0.0% \$ 99,214 \$ (34) 0.0% \$ 23,444 \$ (64) -0.3% \$ 89,618 \$ 1,783 2.0% \$ 23,256 Seer 12009-10 \$ 89,651 \$ 24 0.0% \$ 73,061 \$ 23 0.0% \$ 98,247 \$ 24 0.0% \$ 23,507 \$ 44 0.2% \$ 87,835 See notes \$ 23,291 Seer 12008-09 \$ 89,628 \$ 148 0.2% \$ 73,039 \$ 128 0.2% \$ 98,223 \$ 158 0.2% \$ 23,463 \$ 121 0.5% \$ 89,628 \$ 148 0.2% \$ 23,267 \$ 78 2007-08 \$ 89,479 \$ 28 0.0% \$ 77,911 \$ 26 0.0% \$ 98,065 \$ 28 0.0% \$ 23,342 \$ 54 0.2% \$ 89,479 \$ 28 0.0% \$ 23,188 - 2006-07 \$ 89,452 \$ 3,128 3.6% \$ 72,885 \$ 2,567 3.6% \$ 98,038 \$ 3,414 3.6% \$ 23,288 \$ 1,037 4.7% \$ 89,452 \$ 3,127 3.6% N/A - 2005-06 \$ 86,324 \$ 3,487 4.2% \$ 70,318 \$ 2,848 4.2% \$ 94,624 \$ 3,818 4.2% \$ 22,251 \$ 989 4.7% \$ 86,324 \$ 3,487 4.2% N/A - 2004-05 \$ 82,837 \$ 1,179 1.4% \$ 67,470 \$ 966 1.5% \$ 90,806 \$ 1,288 1.4% \$ 21,262 \$ 375 1.8% \$ 82,837 N/A - 2003-04 \$ 81,658 \$ 1,643 2.1% \$ 66,503 \$ 1,344 2.1% \$ 89,518 \$ 1,797 2.0% \$ 20,888 \$ 491 2.4% See notes N/A - 2002-03 \$ 80,015 \$ 2,000 2.6% \$ 65,159 \$ 1,630 2.6% \$ 87,721 \$ 2,191 2.6% \$ 20,397 \$ 526 2.6% \$ 80,015 \$ 2,000 2.6% \$ 19,396 \$ 520 1999-00 \$ 73,709 \$ 2,2595 3.6% \$ 60,022 \$ 2,113 3.6% \$ 80,009 \$ 2,845 3.6% \$ 18,876 \$ 509 3.6% \$ 18,876 \$ 509 3.6% \$ 18,876 \$ 509 3.6% \$ 18,876 \$ 509 3.6% \$ 18,876 \$ 509 3.6% \$ 18,876 \$ 509 3.6% \$ 18,876 \$ 509 3.6% \$ 18,876 \$ 509 3.6% \$ 18,876 \$ 509 3.6% \$ 18,876 \$ 509 3.6% \$ 18,876 \$ 500 3.6% \$ 18,876 \$ 509 3.6% \$ 18,870 \$ 500 3.6% \$ 18,870 \$ 500 3.6% \$ 18,870 \$ 500 3.6% \$ 18,870	-0.2%
2009-10 \$ 89,651 \$ 24 0.0% \$ 73,061 \$ 23 0.0% \$ 99,247 \$ 24 0.0% \$ 23,507 \$ 44 0.2% \$ 87,835 \$ See notes \$ 23,291 \$ See notes \$ 2008-09 \$ 89,628 \$ 148 0.2% \$ 73,039 \$ 128 0.2% \$ 98,223 \$ 158 0.2% \$ 23,463 \$ 121 0.5% \$ 89,628 \$ 148 0.2% \$ 23,267 \$ 78 2007-08 \$ 89,479 \$ 28 0.0% \$ 72,911 \$ 26 0.0% \$ 98,065 \$ 28 0.0% \$ 23,342 \$ 54 0.2% \$ 89,479 \$ 28 0.0% \$ 23,188 - 2006-07 \$ 89,452 \$ 3,128 3.6% \$ 72,885 \$ 2,567 3.6% \$ 98,038 \$ 3,414 3.6% \$ 23,288 \$ 1,037 4.7% \$ 89,452 \$ 3,127 3.6% \$ N/A - 2006-05 \$ 86,324 \$ 3,487 4.2% \$ 70,318 \$ 2,848 4.2% \$ 94,624 \$ 3,818 4.2% \$ 22,251 \$ 989 4.7% \$ 86,324 \$ 3,487 4.2% \$ N/A - 2004-05 \$ 82,837 \$ 1,179 1.4% \$ 67,470 \$ 966 1.5% \$ 90,806 \$ 1,288 1.4% \$ 21,262 \$ 375 1.8% \$ 82,837 N/A - 2003-04 \$ 81,658 \$ 1,643 2.1% \$ 66,503 \$ 1,344 2.1% \$ 89,518 \$ 1,797 2.0% \$ 20,888 \$ 491 2.4% \$ See notes \$ N/A - 2002-03 \$ 80,015 \$ 2,000 2.6% \$ 65,159 \$ 1,630 2.6% \$ 87,721 \$ 2,191 2.6% \$ 20,397 \$ 526 2.6% \$ 80,015 \$ 2,000 2.6% \$ See notes \$ 2001-02 \$ 78,015 \$ 2,244 3.0% \$ 63,530 \$ 1,830 3.0% \$ 85,529 \$ 2,485 3.0% \$ 19,871 \$ 599 3.1% \$ 78,015 \$ 2,244 3.0% \$ 19,396 \$ 588 2000-01 \$ 75,771 \$ 2,062 2.8% \$ 61,700 \$ 1,678 2.8% \$ 83,071 \$ 2,262 2.8% \$ 19,272 \$ 508 2.7% \$ 75,771 \$ 2,062 2.8% \$ 19,396 \$ 588 2000-01 \$ 75,771 \$ 2,062 2.8% \$ 61,700 \$ 1,678 2.8% \$ 83,071 \$ 2,262 2.8% \$ 19,272 \$ 508 2.7% \$ 75,771 \$ 2,062 2.8% \$ 19,396 \$ 5200-00 \$ 73,709 \$ 2,595 3.6% \$ 60,022 \$ 2,113 3.6% \$ 80,009 \$ 2,845 3.6% \$ 18,105 \$ 879 5.1% \$ 71,114 \$ 3,468 5.1% \$ 18,212 \$ 886	1.0%
2008-09 \$ 89,628 \$ 148 0.2% \$ 73,039 \$ 128 0.2% \$ 99,223 \$ 158 0.2% \$ 23,463 \$ 121 0.5% \$ 89,628 \$ 148 0.2% \$ 23,267 \$ 78 2007-08 \$ 89,479 \$ 28 0.0% \$ 72,911 \$ 26 0.0% \$ 98,065 \$ 28 0.0% \$ 23,342 \$ 54 0.2% \$ 89,479 \$ 28 0.0% \$ 23,188 - 2006-07 \$ 89,452 \$ 3,128 3.6% \$ 72,885 \$ 2,567 3.6% \$ 98,038 \$ 3,414 3.6% \$ 23,288 \$ 1,037 4.7% \$ 89,452 \$ 3,127 3.6%	tes
2007-08 \$ 89,479 \$ 28 0.0% \$ 72,911 \$ 26 0.0% \$ 99,065 \$ 28 0.0% \$ 23,342 \$ 54 0.2% \$ 89,479 \$ 28 0.0% \$ 23,188 - 2006-07 \$ 89,452 \$ 3,128 3.6% \$ 72,885 \$ 2,567 3.6% \$ 98,038 \$ 3,414 3.6% \$ 23,288 \$ 1,037 4.7% \$ 89,452 \$ 3,127 3.6% N/A - 2005-06 \$ 86,324 \$ 3,487 4.2% \$ 70,318 \$ 2,848 4.2% \$ 94,624 \$ 3,818 4.2% \$ 22,251 \$ 989 4.7% \$ 86,324 \$ 3,487 4.2% N/A - 2005-06 \$ 82,837 \$ 1,179 1.4% \$ 67,470 \$ 966 1.5% \$ 94,624 \$ 3,818 4.2% \$ 22,251 \$ 989 4.7% \$ 86,324 \$ 3,487 4.2% N/A - 2003-04 \$ 81,658 \$ 1,643 2.1% \$ 66,503 \$ 1,344 2.1% \$ 89,518 \$ 1,797 2.0% \$ 20,888 \$ 491 2.4% See notes N/A - 2002-03 \$ 80,015 \$ 2,000 2.6% \$ 65,159 \$ 1,630 2.6% \$ 87,721 \$ 2,191 2.6% \$ 20,397 \$ 526 2.6% \$ 80,015 \$ 2,000 2.6% See notes 2001-02 \$ 78,015 \$ 2,244 3.0% \$ 63,530 \$ 1,830 3.0% \$ 85,529 \$ 2,458 3.0% \$ 19,871 \$ 599 3.1% \$ 78,015 \$ 2,244 3.0% \$ 19,984 \$ 588 2000-01 \$ 75,771 \$ 2,062 2.8% \$ 61,700 \$ 1,678 2.8% \$ 83,071 \$ 2,262 2.8% \$ 19,272 \$ 508 2.7% \$ 77,714 \$ 3,468 5.1% \$ 18,876 \$ 664 1998-99 \$ 71,114 \$ 3,468 5.1% \$ 57,909 \$ 2,284 5.1% \$ 77,964 \$ 3,802 5.1% \$ 18,105 \$ 879 5.1% \$ 71,114 \$ 3,468 5.1% \$ 18,212 \$ 886	tes
2006-07 \$ 89,452 \$ 3,128 3.6% \$ 72,885 \$ 2,567 3.6% \$ 99,038 \$ 3,414 3.6% \$ 23,288 \$ 1,037 4.7% \$ 89,452 \$ 3,127 3.6% N/A - 2005-06 \$ 86,324 \$ 3,487 4.2% \$ 70,318 \$ 2,848 4.2% \$ 94,624 \$ 3,818 4.2% \$ 22,251 \$ 989 4.7% \$ 86,324 \$ 3,487 4.2% N/A - 2004-05 \$ 82,837 \$ 1,179 1.4% \$ 67,470 \$ 966 1.5% \$ 90,806 \$ 1,288 1.4% \$ 21,262 \$ 375 1.8% \$ 82,837 N/A - 2003-04 \$ 81,658 \$ 1,643 2.1% \$ 66,503 \$ 1,344 2.1% \$ 89,518 \$ 1,797 2.0% \$ 20,888 \$ 491 2.4% See notes N/A - 2002-03 \$ 80,015 \$ 2,000 2.6% \$ 65,159 \$ 1,630 2.6% \$ 87,21 \$ 2,191 2.6% \$ 20,397 \$ 526 2.6% \$ 80,015 \$ 2,244 3.0% \$ 63,530 \$ 1,830 3.0% \$ 85,529 \$ 2,458 3.0% \$ 19,871 \$ 599 3.1% \$ 78,015 \$ 2,244 3.0% \$ 19,984 \$ 588 2000-01 \$ 75,771 \$ 2,062 2.8% \$ 61,700 \$ 1,610 2.8% \$ 83,019 \$ 2,845 3.6% \$ 19,872 \$ 508 2.7% \$ 73,709 \$ 2,595 3.6% \$ 19,876 \$ 664 1998-99 \$ 71,114 \$ 3,468 5.1% \$ 57,909 \$ 2,824 5.1% \$ 77,964 \$ 3,802 5.1% \$ 18,105 \$ 879 5.1% \$ 71,114 \$ 3,468 5.1% \$ 18,212 \$ 886	0.3%
2005-06 \$ 86,324 \$ 3,487 4.2% \$ 70,318 \$ 2,848 4.2% \$ 94,624 \$ 3,818 4.2% \$ 22,251 \$ 989 4.7% \$ 86,324 \$ 3,487 4.2% N/A - 2004-05 \$ 82,837 \$ 1,179 1.4% \$ 67,470 \$ 966 1.5% \$ 90,806 \$ 1,288 1.4% \$ 21,262 \$ 375 1.8% \$ 82,837 N/A - 2003-04 \$ 81,658 \$ 1,643 2.1% \$ 66,503 \$ 1,344 2.1% \$ 89,518 \$ 1,797 2.0% \$ 20,888 \$ 491 2.4% See notes N/A - 2002-03 \$ 80,015 \$ 2,000 2.6% \$ 65,159 \$ 1,630 2.6% \$ 87,721 \$ 2,191 2.6% \$ 20,397 \$ 526 2.6% \$ 80,015 \$ 2,000 2.6% See notes N/A - 2002-03 \$ 78,015 \$ 2,244 3.0% \$ 63,530 \$ 1,830 3.6% \$ 85,529 \$ 2,458 3.0% \$ 19,871 \$ 599 3.1% \$ 78,015 \$ 2,244 3.0% \$ 19,844 \$ 588 2.000-01 \$ 75,771 \$ 2,062 2.8% \$ 61,700 \$ 1,678 2.8% \$ 83,071 \$ 2,262 2.8% \$ 19,272 \$ 508 2.7% \$ 75,771 \$ 2,062 2.8% \$ 19,396 \$ 520 1999-00 \$ 73,709 \$ 2,595 3.6% \$ 60,022 \$ 2,113 3.6% \$ 80,809 \$ 2,845 3.6% \$ 18,763 \$ 659 3.6% \$ 73,709 \$ 2,595 3.6% \$ 18,876 \$ 664 1988-99 \$ 71,114 \$ 3,468 5.1% \$ 57,909 \$ 2,824 5.1% \$ 77,964 \$ 3,802 5.1% \$ 18,105 \$ 879 5.1% \$ 71,114 \$ 3,468 5.1% \$ 18,212 \$ 886	-
2004-05 \$ 82,837 \$ 1,179 1.4% \$ 67,470 \$ 966 1.5% \$ 91,806 \$ 1,288 1.4% \$ 21,262 \$ 375 1.8% \$ 82,837 N/A - 2003-04 \$ 81,658 \$ 1,643 2.1% \$ 66,503 \$ 1,344 2.1% \$ 89,518 \$ 1,797 2.0% \$ 20,888 \$ 491 2.4% See notes N/A - 2002-03 \$ 80,015 \$ 2,000 2.6% \$ 65,159 \$ 1,630 2.6% \$ 87,721 \$ 2,191 2.6% \$ 20,397 \$ 526 2.6% \$ 80,015 \$ 2,000 2.6% \$ 80,015 \$ 2,000 2.6% \$ 65,159 \$ 1,630 2.6% \$ 87,721 \$ 2,191 2.6% \$ 20,397 \$ 526 2.6% \$ 80,015 \$ 2,000 2.6% \$ 2,000 2.6% \$ 2,000 2.6% \$ 2,000 2.6% \$ 2,000 2.6% \$ 2,000 2.6% \$ 2,000 2.6% \$ 2,000 2.6% \$ 2,000 2.6% \$ 2,000 2.6% \$ 2,000 2.6% \$ 2,000 2.6% \$ 2,000 2.0% \$ 2,000 2.0% \$ 2,000 2.0% \$ 2,000 2.0% \$ 2,000 2.0% \$ 2,000 2.0% \$ 2,000 2.0% \$ 2	-
2003-04 \$ 81,658 \$ 1,643 2.1% \$ 66,503 \$ 1,344 2.1% \$ 89,518 \$ 1,797 2.0% \$ 20,888 \$ 491 2.4% See notes N/A -2002-03 \$ 80,015 \$ 2,000 2.6% \$ 65,159 \$ 1,630 2.6% \$ 87,721 \$ 2,191 2.6% \$ 20,397 \$ 526 2.6% \$ 80,015 \$ 2,000 2.6% See notes 2001-02 \$ 78,015 \$ 2,244 3.0% \$ 63,530 \$ 1,830 3.0% \$ 85,529 \$ 2,458 3.0% \$ 19,871 \$ 599 3.1% \$ 78,015 \$ 2,244 3.0% \$ 19,884 \$ 588 2000-01 \$ 75,771 \$ 2,062 2.8% \$ 61,700 \$ 1,678 2.8% \$ 83,071 \$ 2,262 2.8% \$ 19,272 \$ 508 2.7% \$ 75,771 \$ 2,062 2.8% \$ 19,396 \$ 520 19,990 \$ 73,709 \$ 2,595 3.6% \$ 60,022 \$ 2,113 3.6% \$ 80,899 \$ 2,845 3.6% \$ 18,763 \$ 659 3.6% \$ 73,709 \$ 2,595 3.6% \$ 18,876 \$ 664 1998-99 \$ 71,114 \$ 3,468 5.1% \$ 57,909 \$ 2,824 5.1% \$ 77,964 \$ 3,802 5.1% \$ 18,105 \$ 879 5.1% \$ 71,114 \$ 3,468 5.1% \$ 18,212 \$ 886	-
2002-03 \$ 80,015 \$ 2,000 2.6% \$ 65,159 \$ 1,630 2.6% \$ 87,721 \$ 2,191 2.6% \$ 20,397 \$ 526 2.6% \$ 80,015 \$ 2,000 2.6% \$ See notes 2001-02 \$ 78,015 \$ 2,244 3.0% \$ 63,530 \$ 1,830 3.0% \$ 85,529 \$ 2,458 3.0% \$ 19,871 \$ 599 3.1% \$ 78,015 \$ 2,244 3.0% \$ 19,894 \$ 588 2000-01 \$ 75,771 \$ 2,062 2.8% \$ 61,700 \$ 1,678 2.8% \$ 80,017 \$ 2,262 2.8% \$ 19,272 \$ 508 2.7% \$ 75,771 \$ 2,062 2.8% \$ 19,396 \$ 520 1998-99 \$ 71,114 \$ 3,468 5.1% \$ 57,909 \$ 2,824 5.1% \$ 77,964 \$ 3,802 5.1% \$ 18,105 \$ 879 5.1% \$ 71,114 \$ 3,468 5.1% \$ 18,212 \$ 886	-
2001-02 \$ 78,015 \$ 2,244 3.0% \$ 63,530 \$ 1,830 3.0% \$ 85,529 \$ 2,448 3.0% \$ 19,841 \$ 588 2000-01 \$ 75,771 \$ 2,062 2.8% \$ 61,700 \$ 1,678 2.8% \$ 83,071 \$ 2,262 2.8% \$ 19,272 \$ 508 2.7% \$ 75,771 \$ 2,062 2.8% \$ 19,396 \$ 520 1999-00 \$ 73,709 \$ 2,595 3.6% \$ 60,022 \$ 2,113 3.6% \$ 80,809 \$ 2,845 3.6% \$ 18,763 \$ 659 3.6% \$ 73,709 \$ 2,595 3.6% \$ 18,876 \$ 664 1998-99 \$ 71,114 \$ 3,468 5.1% \$ 57,909 \$ 2,824 5.1% \$ 77,964 \$ 3,802 5.1% \$ 18,105 \$ 879 5.1% \$ 71,114 \$ 3,468 5.1% \$ 18,212 \$ 886	-
2000-01 \$ 75,771 \$ 2,062 2.8% \$ 61,700 \$ 1,678 2.8% \$ 83,071 \$ 2,262 2.8% \$ 19,272 \$ 508 2.7% \$ 75,771 \$ 2,062 2.8% \$ 19,396 \$ 520 1999-00 \$ 73,709 \$ 2,595 3.6% \$ 60,022 \$ 2,113 3.6% \$ 80,809 \$ 2,845 3.6% \$ 18,763 \$ 659 3.6% \$ 73,709 \$ 2,595 3.6% \$ 18,876 \$ 664 1998-99 \$ 71,114 \$ 3,468 5.1% \$ 57,909 \$ 2,824 5.1% \$ 77,964 \$ 3,802 5.1% \$ 18,105 \$ 879 5.1% \$ 71,114 \$ 3,468 5.1% \$ 18,212 \$ 886	
1999-00 \$ 73,709 \$ 2,595 3.6% \$ 60,022 \$ 2,113 3.6% \$ 80,809 \$ 2,845 3.6% \$ 18,763 \$ 659 3.6% \$ 73,709 \$ 2,595 3.6% \$ 18,876 \$ 664 1998-99 \$ 71,114 \$ 3,468 5.1% \$ 57,909 \$ 2,824 5.1% \$ 77,964 \$ 3,802 5.1% \$ 18,105 \$ 879 5.1% \$ 71,114 \$ 3,468 5.1% \$ 18,212 \$ 886	3.0%
1998-99 \$ 71,114 \$ 3,468 5.1% \$ 57,909 \$ 2,824 5.1% \$ 77,964 \$ 3,802 5.1% \$ 18,105 \$ 879 5.1% \$ 71,114 \$ 3,468 5.1% \$ 18,212 \$ 886	2.8%
	3.6%
	5.1%
1997-98 \$ 67,646 \$ 3,313 5.1% \$ 55,085 \$ 2,713 5.2% \$ 74,162 \$ 3,621 5.1% \$ 17,226 \$ 1,034 6.4% \$ 67,646 \$ 3,313 5.1% \$ 17,326 \$ 941	5.7%
1996-97 \$ 64,333 \$ 3,622 6.0% \$ 52,372 \$ 2,970 6.0% \$ 70,541 \$ 3,957 5.9% \$ 16,192 \$ 1,163 7.7% \$ 64,333 \$ 3,622 6.0% \$ 16,385 \$ 1,045 \$ 1	6.8%
1995-96 \$ 60,711 \$ 2,415 4.1% \$ 49,402 \$ 1,972 4.2% \$ 66,584 \$ 2,644 4.1% \$ 15,029 \$ 676 4.7% \$ 60,711 \$ 2,415 4.1% \$ 15,340 \$ 648	4.4%
1994-95 \$ 58,296 \$ 3,119 5.7% \$ 47,430 \$ 2,538 5.7% \$ 63,940 \$ 3,421 5.7% \$ 14,353 \$ 772 5.7% \$ 58,296 - - \$ 14,692 -	-
1993-94 \$ 55,177 \$ 2,056 3.9% \$ 44,892 \$ 1,670 3.9% \$ 60,519 \$ 2,256 3.9% \$ 13,581 \$ 480 3.7% See notes See notes	
1992-93 \$ 53,121 \$ 18 0.0% \$ 43,222 \$ 18 0.0% \$ 58,263 \$ 18 0.0% \$ 13,101 \$ 35 0.3% \$ 53,103 \$ - 0.0% \$ 13,379 \$ -	0.0%
1991-92 \$ 53,103 \$ 2,371 4.7% \$ 43,204 \$ 1,933 4.7% \$ 58,245 \$ 2,598 4.7% \$ 13,066 \$ 636 5.1% \$ 53,103 \$ 2,371 4.7% \$ 13,379 \$ 623	4.9%
1990-91 \$ 50,732 \$ 2,029 4.2% \$ 41,271 \$ 1,655 4.2% \$ 55,647 \$ 2,223 4.2% \$ 12,430 \$ 548 4.6% \$ 50,732 \$ 2,029 4.2% \$ 12,756 \$ 534	4.4%
1989-90 \$ 48,703 \$ 2,245 4.8% \$ 39,616 \$ 1,830 4.8% \$ 53,424 \$ 6,966 15.0% \$ 11,882 \$ 598 5.3% \$ 48,703 \$ 2,245 4.8% \$ 12,222 \$ 588	5.1%
1988-89 \$ 46,458 \$ 6,585 16.5% \$ 37,786 \$ 6,165 19.5% \$ 46,458 \$ 2,294 5.2% \$ 11,284 \$ 661 6.2% \$ 46,458 \$ 2,294 5.2% \$ 11,634 \$ 625	5.7%
1987-88 \$ 39,873 \$ 1,919 5.1% \$ 31,621 \$ 1,526 5.1% \$ 44,164 \$ 2,124 5.1% \$ 10,623 \$ 553 5.5% \$ 44,164 \$ 2,124 5.1% \$ 11,009 \$ 550	5.3%
1986-87 \$ 37,954 \$ 2,504 7.1% \$ 30,095 \$ 1,989 7.1% \$ 42,040 \$ 2,771 7.1% \$ 10,070 \$ 698 7.4% \$ 42,040 \$ 2,771 7.1% \$ 10,459 \$ 706	7.2%
1985-86 \$ 35,450 - - \$ 28,106 - - \$ 39,269 - - \$ 9,372 - - \$ 39,269 - - \$ 9,753 -	-

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Lake County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

	Clerk of Circ	uit Court,	Property																		
Fiscal	Appraiser	& Tax Col	lector	Super	visor of Elec	tions		Sheriff			County	Con	nmissio	ners	School	Superinten	dent		School I	Board Mer	nbers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	,	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.
2016-17	\$ 136,625	\$ 662	0.5%	\$ 136,62	5 \$ 19,965	17.1%	\$ 145,587	\$ 676	0.5%	\$	77,886	\$	553	0.7%	\$ 136,625	\$ 662	0.5%	\$	37,638	\$ 177	0.5%
2015-16	\$ 135,962	\$ 581	0.4%	\$ 116,66	\$ 539	0.5%	\$ 144,912	\$ 591	0.4%	\$	77,333	\$	496	0.6%	\$ 135,962	\$ 581	0.4%	\$	37,461	\$ 155	0.4%
2014-15	\$ 135,381	\$ 610	0.5%	\$ 116,12	\$ 546	0.5%	\$ 144,321	\$ 634	0.4%	\$	76,837	\$	440	0.6%	\$ 135,381	\$ 610	0.5%	\$	37,305	\$ 165	0.4%
2013-14	\$ 134,771	\$ 4,925	3.8%	\$ 115,57	5 \$ 4,232	3.8%	\$ 143,687	\$ 5,244	3.8%	\$	76,398	\$	2,827	3.8%	\$ 134,771	\$ 4,925	3.8%	\$	37,140	\$ 1,356	3.8%
2012-13	\$ 129,847	\$ 78	0.1%	\$ 111,34	3 \$ 74	0.1%	\$ 138,442		0.1%	\$	73,571	\$	74	0.1%	\$ 129,847	\$ 78	0.1%	\$	35,784	\$ 21	0.1%
2011-12	\$ 129,769	\$ 326	0.3%	\$ 111,26	3 \$ 311	0.3%	\$ 138,364	\$ 326	0.2%	\$	73,496	\$	311	0.4%	\$ 129,769	\$ 326	0.3%	\$	35,763	\$ 86	0.2%
2010-11	\$ 129,442	\$ 233	0.2%	\$ 110,95	3 \$ 222	0.2%	\$ 138,038	\$ 233	0.2%	\$	73,186	\$	222	0.3%	\$ 129,442	\$ 2,937	2.3%	\$	35,677	See	notes
2009-10	\$ 129,209	\$ 122	0.1%	\$ 110,73	\$ 116	0.1%	\$ 137,805	\$ 122	0.1%	\$	72,964	\$	116	0.2%	\$ 126,506	See n	otes	\$	35,615	See	notes
2008-09	\$ 129,087	\$ 768	0.6%	\$ 110,62	\$ 717	0.7%	\$ 137,683	\$ 777	0.6%	\$	72,848	\$	676	0.9%	\$ 129,087	\$ 768	0.6%	\$	35,583	\$ 205	0.6%
2007-08	\$ 128,320	\$ 885	0.7%	\$ 109,90		0.8%	\$ 136,906		0.7%	\$	72,172	\$	843	1.2%	\$ 128,320	\$ 885	0.7%	\$	35,378		-
2006-07	\$ 127,435	\$ 4,955	4.0%	\$ 109,05	\$ 4,307	4.1%	\$ 136,021	\$ 5,242	4.0%	\$	71,329	\$	3,046	4.5%	\$ 127,435	\$ 4,955	4.0%		N/A	-	-
2005-06	\$ 122,480	\$ 5,538	4.7%	\$ 104,75	2 \$ 4,801	4.8%	\$ 130,779	\$ 5,868	4.7%	\$	68,283	\$	3,351	5.2%	\$ 122,480	\$ 5,538	4.7%		N/A		-
2004-05	\$ 116,942	\$ 2,172	1.9%	\$ 99,95	1,912	1.9%	\$ 124,911	\$ 2,281	1.9%	\$	64,932	\$	1,432	2.3%	\$ 116,942	•	-		N/A	-	-
2003-04	\$ 114,771	\$ 2,871	2.6%	\$ 98,03	9 \$ 2,513	2.6%	\$ 122,630	\$ 3,025	2.5%	\$	63,501	\$	1,836	3.0%	8	ee notes			N/A	-	-
2002-03	\$ 111,900	\$ 3,335	3.1%	\$ 95,52	\$ 2,901	3.1%	\$ 119,606	\$ 3,526	3.0%	\$	61,664	\$	2,059	3.5%	\$ 111,900	\$ 3,335	3.1%		S	ee notes	
2001-02	\$ 108,565	\$ 3,464	3.3%	\$ 92,62		3.3%	\$ 116,079	\$ 3,679	3.3%	\$	59,605	\$	2,049	3.6%	\$ 108,565	\$ 3,464	3.3%	\$	29,974		
2000-01	\$ 105,101	\$ 3,429	3.4%	\$ 89,63	3 \$ 2,979	3.4%	\$ 112,401	\$ 3,628	3.3%	\$	57,556	\$	2,368	4.3%	\$ 105,101	\$ 3,429	3.4%	\$	29,022	\$ 959	3.4%
1999-00	\$ 101,672	\$ 4,243	4.4%	\$ 86,65	\$ 3,683	4.4%	\$ 108,772	\$ 4,493	4.3%	\$	55,189	\$	3,080	5.9%	\$ 101,672	\$ 4,243	4.4%	\$	28,063	\$ 1,199	4.5%
1998-99	\$ 97,429	\$ 5,245	5.7%	\$ 82,97		5.8%	\$ 104,279	\$ 5,580	5.7%	\$	52,109	\$	3,384	6.9%	\$ 97,429	\$ 5,245	5.7%	\$	26,864	\$ 1,466	
1997-98	\$ 92,184	\$ 4,775	5.5%	\$ 78,45	\$ 4,105	5.5%	\$ 98,699	,	5.4%	\$	48,725	\$	3,019	6.6%	\$ 92,184	\$ 4,775	5.5%	\$	25,398	\$ 1,333	5.5%
1996-97	\$ 87,409	\$ 5,138	6.2%	\$ 74,34		6.3%	\$ 93,617	\$ 5,473	6.2%	\$	45,706	\$	3,191	7.5%	\$ 87,409	\$ 5,138	6.2%	\$	24,065	\$ 1,433	6.3%
1995-96	\$ 82,271	\$ 3,491	4.4%	\$ 69,93		4.5%	\$ 88,144	,	4.4%	\$	42,515	\$	2,141		\$ 82,271	\$ 3,491	4.4%	\$	22,632	\$ 972	4.5%
1994-95	¥,	\$ 4,519	6.1%	\$ 66,93	,.	6.1%	\$ 84,424	\$ 4,821	6.1%	\$	40,374	\$	2,685		\$ 78,780	-	-	\$	21,660	-	-
1993-94	\$ 74,261	\$ 3,142	4.4%	\$ 63,06		4.5%	\$ 79,603	\$ 3,342	4.4%	\$	37,689	\$	2,022	5.7%		ee notes		Ш		ee notes	
1992-93	\$ 71,119	\$ 318	0.4%	\$ 60,36		0.5%	\$ 76,261	\$ 319	0.4%	\$	35,667	\$	546	1.6%	+,	\$ -	0.0%	\$	19,425	•	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 70,801	\$ 3,473	5.2%	\$ 60,06	, , , , , , ,	5.2%	\$ 75,942	,	5.1%	\$	35,121	\$	2,155	6.5%	+,	\$ 3,473	5.2%	\$	19,425		
1990-91	\$ 67,328	\$ 2,980	4.6%	\$ 57,07	7 \$ 2,558	4.7%	\$ 72,243	\$ 3,174	4.6%	\$	32,966	\$	1,860	6.0%	\$ 67,328	\$ 2,980	4.6%	\$	18,457	\$ 831	4.7%
1989-90	\$ 64,348	\$ 3,131	5.1%	\$ 54,51		5.2%	\$ 69,069	\$ 7,852	12.8%	\$	31,106	\$	1,767	6.0%	\$ 64,348	\$ 3,131	5.1%	\$	17,626		5.2%
1988-89	¥,	\$ 7,610	14.2%	\$ 51,84		16.0%	\$ 61,217	,	5.7%	\$	29,339	\$	2,058	7.5%	\$ 61,217	\$ 3,320	5.7%	\$,	\$ 925	
1987-88	+,	\$ 2,843	5.6%	\$ 44,70	, ,	5.7%	\$ 57,897	\$ 3,047	5.6%	\$	27,281	\$	1,804	7.1%	\$ 57,897	\$ 3,047	5.6%	\$	-,	\$ 846	
1986-87	\$ 50,764	\$ 3,531	7.5%	\$ 42,29	\$ 2,967	7.5%	\$ 54,850	\$ 3,797	7.4%	\$	25,477	\$	2,025	8.6%	\$ 54,850	\$ 3,797	7.4%	\$	14,988	\$ 1,047	7.5%
1985-86	\$ 47,233	-	-	\$ 39,32	3 -	-	\$ 51,053	-	-	\$	23,452		-	-	\$ 51,053	-	-	\$	13,941	-	-
l																					

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Lee County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

	Clerk of Circ	uit Court,	Property				-														
Fiscal	Appraiser	& Tax Col	lector	Superv	isor of Elec	tions		Sheriff			County	Con	nmissio	ners	School	Superinter	ndent		School	Board M	embers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	,	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg	. % Chg.
2016-17	\$ 148,190	\$ 497	0.3%	\$ 148,190	\$ 20,358	15.9%	\$ 157,153	\$ 511	0.3%	\$	88,900	\$	396	0.4%	\$ 148,190	\$ 497	0.3%	\$	40,698	\$ 13	34 0.3%
2015-16	\$ 147,692	\$ 390	0.3%	\$ 127,831	\$ 357	0.3%	\$ 156,642	\$ 400	0.3%	\$	88,504	\$	313	0.4%	\$ 147,692	\$ 390	0.3%	\$	40,564	\$ 10	0.3%
2014-15	\$ 147,303	\$ 518	0.4%	\$ 127,474	\$ 458	0.4%	\$ 156,242	\$ 542	0.3%	\$	88,191	\$	352	0.4%	\$ 147,303	\$ 518	0.4%	\$	40,459	\$ 14	11 0.3%
2013-14	\$ 146,785	\$ 5,538	3.9%	\$ 127,016	\$ 4,816	3.9%	\$ 155,700	\$ 5,858	3.9%	\$	87,839	\$	3,411	4.0%	\$ 146,785	\$ 5,538	3.9%	\$	40,318	\$ 1,51	18 3.9%
2012-13	\$ 141,247	\$ 141	0.1%	\$ 122,200	\$ 134	0.1%	\$ 149,843	\$ 141	0.1%	\$	84,428	\$	134	0.2%	\$ 141,247	\$ 141	0.1%	\$	38,800	\$	37 0.1%
2011-12	\$ 141,106	\$ 78	0.1%	\$ 122,066	\$ 74	0.1%	\$ 149,702	\$ 78	0.1%	\$	84,294	\$	74	0.1%	\$ 141,106	\$ 78	0.1%	\$	38,763	\$ 2	21 0.1%
2010-11	\$ 141,028	\$ (185)	-0.1%	\$ 121,992	\$ (176)	-0.1%	\$ 149,624	\$ (185)	-0.1%	\$	84,220	\$	(176)	-0.2%	\$ 141,028	\$ 2,808	2.0%	\$	38,742	Sec	notes
2009-10	\$ 141,213	\$ 172	0.1%	\$ 122,168	\$ 164	0.1%	\$ 149,809	\$ 172	0.1%	\$	84,396	\$	164	0.2%	\$ 138,220	See r	otes	\$	38,791	Sec	notes
2008-09	\$ 141,041	\$ 802		\$ 122,004	\$ 750	0.6%	\$ 149,636		0.5%	\$	84,232	\$	709	0.8%	\$ 141,041	\$ 802	0.6%	\$,	\$ 21	14 0.6%
2007-08	¥ 110,000	\$ 775		\$ 121,254	\$ 738	0.6%	\$ 148,825		0.5%	\$	83,523	\$	738	0.9%	\$ 140,239	\$ 775	0.6%	\$,	-	-
2006-07	¥,	\$ 5,249		\$ 120,516	\$ 4,588	4.0%	\$ 148,050		3.9%	\$	82,785	\$	3,326	4.2%	\$ 139,464	\$ 5,249	3.9%		N/A	-	-
2005-06	\$ 134,215	\$ 5,858		\$ 115,928	\$ 5,106	4.6%	\$ 142,514	,	4.5%	\$	79,459	\$	3,656	4.8%	\$ 134,215	\$ 5,858	4.6%		N/A	-	-
2004-05	¥ :==;==:	\$ 2,153		\$ 110,822	\$ 1,894	1.7%	\$ 136,326	. , .	1.7%	\$	75,803	\$	1,414	1.9%	\$ 128,357	-	-		N/A	-	-
2003-04	¥ 1=0,=01	\$ 2,862		\$ 108,928	\$ 2,505	2.4%	\$ 134,064	,	2.3%	\$	74,389	\$	1,828	2.5%		See notes		<u>L</u>	N/A	-	-
2002-03	\$ 123,342	\$ 3,331	2.8%	\$ 106,423	\$ 2,897	2.8%	\$ 131,048	,.	2.8%	\$	72,562	\$	2,055	2.9%	\$ 123,342	,	2.8%			ee notes	
2001-02	\$ 120,011	\$ 3,860	3.3%	\$ 103,526	\$ 3,369	3.4%	\$ 127,526		3.3%	\$	70,506	\$	2,426	3.6%	\$ 120,011	\$ 3,860	3.3%	\$,	\$ 1,05	
2000-01	\$ 116,151	\$ 3,380	3.0%	\$ 100,157	\$ 2,933	3.0%	\$ 123,451	\$ 3,580	3.0%	\$	68,080	\$	2,056	3.1%	\$ 116,151	\$ 3,380	3.0%	\$	31,944	-	
1999-00	\$ 112,771	\$ 4,364	4.0%	\$ 97,224	\$ 3,797	4.1%	\$ 119,871	\$ 4,614	4.0%	\$	66,024	\$	2,699	4.3%	\$ 112,771	\$ 4,364	4.0%	\$	31,016	• ,	
1998-99	\$ 108,407	\$ 5,805	5.7%	\$ 93,426	\$ 5,049	5.7%	\$ 115,257	\$ 6,139	5.6%	\$	63,326	\$	3,581	6.0%	\$ 108,407	\$ 5,805	5.7%	\$	29,820	\$ 1,59	
1997-98	\$ 102,602	\$ 5,176	5.3%	\$ 88,377	\$ 4,488	5.3%	\$ 109,118	, .	5.3%	\$	59,745	\$	3,135	5.5%	\$ 102,602	\$ 5,176	5.3%	\$	28,229	\$ 1,42	
1996-97	Ψ 01,420	\$ 5,665	6.2%	\$ 83,889	\$ 4,915	6.2%	\$ 103,634	,	6.1%	\$	56,610	\$	3,443	6.5%	\$ 97,426	\$ 5,665	6.2%	\$	26,809	\$ 1,55	
1995-96	+,	\$ 3,995	4.6%	\$ 78,974	\$ 3,478	4.6%	\$ 97,634	. , .	4.5%	\$	53,167	\$	2,471	4.9%	\$ 91,761	\$ 3,995	4.6%	\$	25,254	\$ 1,09	95 4.5%
1994-95	¥ 0.,	\$ 4,964	6.0%	\$ 75,496	\$ 4,294	6.0%	\$ 93,409	\$ 5,265	6.0%	\$	50,696	\$	2,968	6.2%	\$ 87,766	-	-	\$	24,159		-
1993-94	, ,,,,	\$ 3,369	4.2%	\$ 71,202	\$ 2,922	4.3%	\$ 88,144		4.2%	\$	47,728	\$	2,041	4.5%		See notes		<u> </u>		ee notes	
1992-93	¥,	\$ 344	0.4%	\$ 68,280	\$ 327	0.5%	\$ 84,574		0.4%	\$	45,687	\$	327	0.7%		\$ -	0.0%	\$	21,780		- 0.0%
1991-92	+,	\$ 3,874	5.2%	\$ 67,953	\$ 3,364	5.2%	\$ 84,231	\$ 4,101	5.1%	\$	45,360	\$	2,370	5.5%	\$ 79,089	\$ 3,874	5.2%	\$	21,780	, ,-	
1990-91	\$ 75,215	\$ 3,565	5.0%	\$ 64,589	\$ 3,119	5.1%	\$ 80,130	\$ 3,759	4.9%	\$	42,990	\$	2,267	5.6%	\$ 75,215	\$ 3,565	5.0%	\$,	\$ 97	
1989-90	\$ 71,650	\$ 3,725	5.5%	\$ 61,470	\$ 3,239	5.6%	\$ 76,371	\$ 8,446	12.4%	\$	40,723	\$	2,296	6.0%	\$ 71,650	\$ 3,725	5.5%	\$		\$ 1,02	
1988-89	v 0.,020	\$ 8,079		\$ 58,231	\$ 7,588	15.0%	\$ 67,925	,	5.9%	\$	38,427	\$	2,347	6.5%	\$ 67,925	\$ 3,788	5.9%	\$	-,	\$ 1,03	
1987-88	\$ 59,846	\$ 3,241	5.7%	\$ 50,643	\$ 2,786	5.8%	\$ 64,137	, .	5.7%	\$	36,080	\$	2,093	6.2%	\$ 64,137	\$ 3,446	5.7%	\$	17,683	\$ 94	-
1986-87	+,	\$ 4,065	7.7%	\$ 47,857	\$ 3,475	7.8%	\$ 60,691	\$ 4,332	7.7%	\$	33,987	\$	2,568	8.2%	\$ 60,691	\$ 4,332	7.7%	\$		\$ 1,19	7.7%
1985-86	\$ 52,540	-	-	\$ 44,382	-	-	\$ 56,359	-	-	\$	31,419		-	-	\$ 56,359	-	-	\$	15,547		-
I																					

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Leon County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

	Clerk of Circuit Court, Property																													
Fiscal		Apprais	er &	Tax Col	lector		Supervi	sor o	f Elect	ions			Sh	eriff			County	Со	mmissio	ners		School	Supe	erinten	dent		School I			
Year		Salary	97	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ C	chg.	% Chg.		Salary	"	Chg.	% Chg.	•	Salary	٠,	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	•	Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.	•	Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.
2016-17	\$	134,46	\$	412	0.3%	\$	134,465	\$ 19	9,623	17.1%	\$	143,428	\$	425	0.3%	\$	75,829	\$	314	0.4%		134,465	\$	412	0.3%	\$	37,067	\$	111	0.3%
2015-16	\$	134,053	\$	344	0.3%	\$	114,841	\$	313	0.3%	\$	143,003	\$	354	0.2%	\$	75,515	\$	270	0.4%	\$	134,053	\$	344	0.3%	\$	36,956	\$	93	0.3%
2014-15	\$	133,709		409	0.3%	\$	114,528	\$	355	0.3%	•	142,649		433	0.3%	\$	75,245	\$	249	0.3%		133,709	\$	409	0.3%	\$	36,863	\$	112	0.3%
2013-14	\$	133,300	\$	4,871	3.8%	\$	114,173	\$ 4	4,180	3.8%	\$	142,215	\$	5,190	3.8%	\$	74,996	\$	2,775	3.8%	\$	133,300	\$	4,871	3.8%	\$	36,751	\$	1,342	3.8%
2012-13	\$	128,429	\$	51	0.0%	\$	109,993	\$	49	0.0%	\$	137,025	\$	51	0.0%	\$	72,221	\$	49	0.1%	\$	128,429	\$	51	0.0%	\$	35,409	\$	13	0.0%
2011-12	\$	128,378	\$	44	0.0%	\$	109,944	\$	42	0.0%	\$	136,974	\$	44	0.0%	\$	72,172	\$	42	0.1%	\$	128,378	\$	44	0.0%	\$	35,395	\$	12	0.0%
2010-11	\$	128,334	\$	(6)	0.0%	\$	109,902	\$	(5)	0.0%	\$	136,930	\$	(6)	0.0%	\$	72,130	\$	(5)	0.0%	\$	128,334	\$	2,688	2.1%	\$	35,384		See no	otes
2009-10	\$	128,340	\$	129	0.1%	\$	109,908	\$	123	0.1%	\$	136,936	\$	129	0.1%	\$	72,136	\$	123	0.2%		125,646		See no	otes	\$	35,385		See no	otes
2008-09	\$	128,211	\$	167	0.1%	\$	109,784	\$	145	0.1%	\$	136,806	\$	176	0.1%	\$	72,013	\$	104	0.1%	\$	128,211	\$	167	0.1%	\$	35,351	\$	46	0.1%
2007-08	\$	128,044	\$	88	0.1%	_	,	\$	84	0.1%	\$	136,630	\$	88	0.1%	\$	71,909	\$	84	0.1%	_	128,044	\$	88	0.1%	\$	35,305		-	-
2006-07	\$	127,956	\$	4,728	3.8%	\$	109,556	\$ 4	4,092	3.9%	\$	136,542	\$	5,015	3.8%	\$	71,825	\$	2,830	4.1%	\$	127,956	\$	4,728	3.8%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$	123,228	\$	5,402	4.6%	\$	105,464	\$ 4	4,672	4.6%	\$	131,527	\$	5,732	4.6%	\$	68,995	\$	3,221	4.9%	\$	123,228	\$	5,402	4.6%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$	117,826	\$	2,055	1.8%	\$	100,792	_	1,801	1.8%	\$	125,795	\$	2,164	1.8%	\$	65,774	\$	1,321	2.0%	\$	117,826		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$	115,77	\$	2,491	2.2%	\$	98,992	\$:	2,151	2.2%	\$	123,631	\$	2,645	2.2%	\$	64,453	\$	1,474	2.3%			ee n	otes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$	113,280	\$	3,085	2.8%	\$	96,841	_	2,663	2.8%	\$	120,986	\$	3,277	2.8%	\$	62,979	\$	1,821	3.0%	\$	113,280	_	3,085	2.8%				otes	
2001-02	\$			3,245	3.0%	\$	94,177		2,783	3.0%	•	117,709		3,460	3.0%	\$	61,158	\$	1,841	3.1%	_	110,195	_	3,245	3.0%	\$	30,405		894	3.0%
2000-01	\$	106,950	\$	3,160	3.0%	\$	91,394	_	2,723	3.1%	\$	114,250	\$	3,359	3.0%	\$	59,317	\$	1,846	3.2%	\$	106,950	_	3,160	3.0%	\$	29,511	_	869	3.0%
1999-00	\$	103,790	\$	3,939	3.9%	\$	88,671		3,393	4.0%	\$	110,890	\$	4,189	3.9%	\$	57,471	\$	2,294	4.2%	\$	103,790		3,939	3.9%	\$,	_	1,084	3.9%
1998-99	\$	99,852		5,171	5.5%	\$	85,278	_	4,445	5.5%	•	106,702		5,505	5.4%	\$	55,177	\$	2,976	5.7%	\$	99,852	_	5,171	5.5%	\$	27,558		1,424	5.4%
1997-98	\$	94,68	\$	4,665	5.2%	\$	80,833	_	4,002	5.2%	\$	101,197	\$	4,973	5.2%	\$	52,201	\$	2,648	5.3%	\$	94,681	\$	4,665	5.2%	\$,	\$	1,285	5.2%
1996-97	\$	90,016		5,095	6.0%	\$	76,831		4,372	6.0%	\$	96,224	\$	5,430	6.0%	\$	49,553	\$	2,901	6.2%	\$	90,016		5,095	6.0%	\$,	\$	1,404	6.0%
1995-96	\$	84,92		3,558	4.4%	\$,	_	3,060	4.4%	\$	90,794	\$	3,787	4.4%	\$	46,652	\$	2,053	4.6%	\$	84,921	\$	3,558	4.4%	\$,	\$	979	4.4%
1994-95	\$	81,363		4,500	5.9%	\$	69,399		3,853	5.9%	\$	87,007	\$	4,802	5.8%	\$	44,599	\$	2,528	6.0%	\$	81,363		-	-	\$	22,466		-	-
1993-94	\$	76,863	\$	3,096	4.2%	\$	65,546	\$:	2,661	4.2%	\$	82,205	\$	3,296	4.2%	\$	42,071	\$	1,864	4.6%			ee n	otes					otes	
1992-93	\$	73,767	_	370	0.5%	\$	62,885	\$	353	0.6%	\$	78,909	\$	371	0.5%	\$	40,207	\$	636	1.6%	\$,	\$	-	0.0%	\$	20,249		-	0.0%
1991-92	\$	73,397	_	3,228	4.6%	\$	62,532		2,749	4.6%	\$	78,538	\$	3,454	4.6%	\$	39,571	\$	1,734	4.6%	\$	73,397	_	3,228	4.6%	\$	20,249		890	4.6%
1990-91	\$	70,169	\$	3,358	5.0%	\$	59,783	\$:	2,921	5.1%	\$	75,084	\$	3,551	5.0%	\$	37,837	\$	2,507	7.1%	\$	70,169	\$	3,358	5.0%	\$	19,359	\$	951	5.2%
1989-90	\$	66,811		3,379	5.3%	\$	56,862		2,910	5.4%	\$	71,533		8,101	12.8%	\$	35,330	\$	2,192	6.6%	\$	66,811	_	3,379	5.3%	\$,	\$	945	5.4%
1988-89	\$	63,432		7,583	13.6%	\$	53,952	_	7,116	15.2%	\$	63,432	•	3,293	5.5%	\$	33,138	\$	2,013	6.5%	\$	63,432		3,293	5.5%	\$	17,463	•	917	5.5%
1987-88	\$	55,849		2,825	5.3%	\$	46,836	_	2,389	5.4%	\$	60,139		3,028	5.3%	\$	31,125	\$	1,773	6.0%	\$	60,139	_	3,028	5.3%	\$	•	\$	841	5.4%
1986-87	\$	53,024		3,720	7.5%	\$	44,447	\$:	3,147	7.6%	\$	- ,	\$	3,988	7.5%	\$	29,352	\$	2,351	8.7%	\$	57,111	\$	3,988	7.5%	\$,	\$	1,107	7.6%
1985-86	\$	49,304	l l	-	-	\$	41,300		-	-	\$	53,123		-	-	\$	27,001		-	-	\$	53,123		-	-	\$	14,598		-	-

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Levy County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

	Cle	erk of Cir	cuit Cou	ırt, P	roperty				<u> </u>																		
Fiscal		Appraise	er & Tax	Colle	ector	Supervi	sor of Elec	tions		Sheri				County	Со	mmissio	ners		School	Sup	erintend	dent		School	Board	d Memb	pers
Year	,	Salary	\$ Chg	J .	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ C	hg.	% Chg.	,	Salary	,	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	,	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.
2016-17	\$	104,291	\$ 1	47	0.1%	\$ 104,291	\$ 17,934	20.8%	\$ 113,254	\$	160	0.1%	\$	35,355	\$	45	0.1%	\$	104,291	\$	147	0.1%	\$	27,602	\$	39	0.1%
2015-16	\$	104,144	\$ 1	72	0.2%	\$ 86,357	\$ 150	0.2%	\$ 113,094	\$	182	0.2%	\$	35,310	\$	93	0.3%	\$	104,144	\$	172	0.2%	\$	27,564	\$	46	0.2%
2014-15	\$	103,972	\$ 2	70	0.3%	\$ 86,207	\$ 222	0.3%	\$ 112,912	\$	294	0.3%	\$	35,217	\$	84	0.2%	\$	103,972	\$	270	0.3%	\$	27,518	\$	71	0.3%
2013-14	\$	103,702	\$ 3,5	81	3.6%	\$ 85,985	\$ 2,953	3.6%	\$ 112,618	\$ 3	3,901	3.6%	\$	35,133	\$	1,129	3.3%	\$	103,702	\$	3,581	3.6%	\$	27,447	\$	948	3.6%
2012-13	\$	100,121	\$ (11)	0.0%	\$ 83,032	\$ (10)	0.0%	\$ 108,717	\$	(11)	0.0%	\$	34,004	\$	(10)	0.0%	\$	100,121	\$	(11)	0.0%	\$	26,499	\$	(3)	0.0%
2011-12	\$	100,132	\$	41	0.0%	\$ 83,043	\$ 39	0.0%	\$ 108,728	\$	41	0.0%	\$	34,015	\$	39	0.1%	44	100,132	\$	41	0.0%	\$	26,502	\$	11	0.0%
2010-11	\$	100,091	\$ (46)	0.0%	\$ 83,004	\$ (44)	-0.1%	\$ 108,687	\$	(46)	0.0%	\$	33,976	\$	(44)	-0.1%	\$	100,091	\$	2,201	2.2%	\$	26,491		See no	tes
2009-10	\$	100,137	\$ 2	49	0.2%	\$ 83,048	\$ 237	0.3%	\$ 108,733	\$	249	0.2%	\$	34,020	\$	237	0.7%	\$	97,890		See no	otes	\$	26,503		See no	tes
2008-09	\$	99,888	\$ 4	52	0.5%	\$ 82,810	\$ 417	0.5%	\$ 108,483	\$	462	0.4%	\$	33,782	\$	363	1.1%	\$	99,888	\$	452	0.5%	\$	26,437	\$	120	0.5%
2007-08	\$	99,435		20	0.3%	\$ 82,393	\$ 305	0.4%	\$ 108,021	\$	320	0.3%	\$	33,419	\$	305	0.9%	\$	99,435	\$	320	0.3%	\$	26,317		-	-
2006-07	\$	99,116	\$ 3,4	70	3.6%	\$ 82,088	\$ 2,893	3.7%	\$ 107,702	\$	3,757	3.6%	\$	33,114	\$	1,255	3.9%	44	99,116	\$	3,470	3.6%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$	95,646	\$ 4,0	49	4.4%	\$ 79,195	\$ 3,383	4.5%	\$ 103,945		4,379	4.4%	\$	31,859	\$	1,501	4.9%	\$	95,646	\$	4,049	4.4%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$	91,597	\$ 1,4	48	1.6%	\$ 75,812	\$ 1,222	1.6%	\$ 99,566	\$ 1	1,557	1.6%	\$	30,358	\$	599	2.0%	44	91,597		-			N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$	90,149	\$ 2,0	26	2.3%	\$ 74,590	\$ 1,708	2.3%	\$ 98,009	\$	2,180	2.3%	\$	29,759	\$	830	2.9%		S	ee n	otes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$	88,124	\$ 2,3	80	2.8%	\$ 72,882	\$ 1,992	2.8%	\$ 95,829	\$ 2	2,571	2.8%	\$	28,929	\$	899	3.2%	\$	88,124	\$	2,380	2.8%		S	ee no	otes	
2001-02	\$	85,744	\$ 2,7		3.3%	\$ 70,890	\$ 2,295	3.3%	\$ 93,258		2,948	3.3%	\$	28,031	\$	1,072	4.0%	\$	85,744		2,733	3.3%	\$	22,694	\$	723	3.3%
2000-01	\$	83,011	\$ 2,5	34	3.1%	\$ 68,595	\$ 2,128	3.2%	\$ 90,311	\$ 2	2,734	3.1%	\$	26,959	\$	989	3.8%	\$	83,011	\$	2,534	3.1%	\$	21,971	\$	671	3.1%
1999-00	\$	80,476	\$ 3,0	46	3.9%	\$ 66,467	\$ 2,543	4.0%	\$ 87,577	\$ 3	3,296	3.9%	\$	25,970	\$	1,116	4.5%	\$	80,476	\$	3,046	3.9%	\$	21,300	\$	806	3.9%
1998-99	\$	77,431	\$ 3,9		5.4%	\$ 63,925	\$ 3,330	5.5%	\$ 84,281		4,334	5.4%	\$	24,854	\$	1,423	6.1%	\$	77,431		3,999	5.4%	\$	-, -	\$	1,059	5.4%
1997-98	\$	73,432	\$ 3,6	68	5.3%	\$ 60,595	\$ 3,051	5.3%	\$ 79,947	\$ 3	3,976	5.2%	\$	23,431	\$	1,295	5.9%	\$	73,432	\$	3,668	5.3%	\$	19,435	\$	970	5.3%
1996-97	\$	69,764	\$ 3,9		6.0%	\$ 57,544	\$ 3,258	6.0%	\$ 75,971	\$ 4	4,259	5.9%	\$	22,136	\$	1,348	6.5%	\$	69,764	\$	3,925	6.0%	\$	18,465	\$	1,039	6.0%
1995-96	\$	65,839	\$ 2,7		4.4%	\$ 54,286	\$ 2,294	4.4%	\$ 71,712		2,982	4.3%	\$	20,788	\$	987	5.0%	\$	65,839	\$	2,753	4.4%	\$	17,426	\$	729	4.4%
1994-95	\$	63,086	\$ 3,5	29	5.9%	\$ 51,992	\$ 2,929	6.0%	\$ 68,730		3,831	5.9%	\$	19,801	\$	1,207	6.5%	\$	63,086		-	-	\$	16,697		-	-
1993-94	\$	59,557	\$ 2,3		4.2%	\$ -,	\$ 1,984	4.2%	\$ 64,899	\$ 2	2,586	4.2%	\$	18,594	\$	841	4.7%			ee n	otes		Ш.		ee no	otes	
1992-93	\$	57,172	•	46	0.3%	\$,	\$ 139	0.3%	\$ 62,313		146	0.2%	\$	17,753	\$	139	0.8%	\$	0.,0_0	\$	-	0.0%	\$	15,093	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$	57,026	\$ 2,6		4.9%	\$ 46,940	\$ 2,197	4.9%	\$ 62,167		2,874	4.8%	\$	17,614	\$	906	5.4%	\$	57,026	\$	2,648	4.9%	\$	15,093	\$	701	4.9%
1990-91	\$	54,378	\$ 2,2	64	4.3%	\$ 44,743	\$ 1,878	4.4%	\$ 59,293	\$ 2	2,458	4.3%	\$	16,708	\$	774	4.9%	\$	54,378	\$	2,264	4.3%	\$	14,392	\$	599	4.3%
1989-90	\$	52,114	\$ 2,4		5.0%	\$,	\$ 2,049	5.0%	\$ 56,835		7,195	14.5%	\$	15,934	\$	824	5.5%	\$	52,114	_	2,474	5.0%	\$	13,793	_	655	5.0%
1988-89	\$	49,640	\$ 6,7		15.8%	\$ 40,816	\$ 6,354	18.4%	\$ 49,640		2,493	5.3%	\$	15,110	\$	829	5.8%	\$	49,640	_	2,493	5.3%	\$	13,138	\$	659	5.3%
1987-88	\$	42,856	\$ 2,1	50	5.3%	\$,	\$ 1,746	5.3%	\$ 47,147	\$ 2	2,354	5.3%	\$	14,281	\$	787	5.8%	\$	47,147	\$	2,354	5.3%	\$	12,479	\$	623	5.3%
1986-87	\$	40,706	\$ 2,7	37	7.2%	\$ 32,716	\$ 2,211	7.2%	\$ 44,793	\$ 3	3,005	7.2%	\$	13,494	\$	954	7.6%	\$	44,793	\$	3,005	7.2%	\$	11,856	\$	796	7.2%
1985-86	\$	37,969	-		-	\$ 30,505	-	-	\$ 41,788			-	\$	12,540		-	-	\$	41,788		-	-	\$	11,060		-	-

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Liberty County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17 Clerk of Circuit Court, Property Fiscal Appraiser & Tax Collector Supervisor of Elections **County Commissioners School Superintendent School Board Members** Year Salary \$ Chg. % Cha. Salary \$ Chg. % Cha. Salarv \$ Chg. % Cha. \$ Chg. % Chg. Salarv \$ Chg. % Chg. Salary \$ Chg. % Chg. 2016-17 93,620 \$ 150 0.2% 93,620 \$ 17,429 22 9% 102,583 163 0.2% 24,775 56 0.2% \$ 93,620 150 0.2% \$ 24,433 \$ 47 0.2% 2015-16 93,470 \$ 166 0.2% 76,191 143 0.2% 102,420 175 0.2% 24,719 146 0.6% \$ 93,470 \$ 166 0.2% \$ 24,386 \$ 93 0.4% 2014-15 93.305 \$ 0.3% 76.048 194 0.3% 102,244 0.3% 24,573 44 0.2% \$ 93.305 \$ 24,293 \$ 53 0.2% 241 265 241 0.3% 2013-14 93,064 3,386 3.8% 75,853 2,766 3.8% 101,979 3,706 3.8% 24,530 971 4.1% \$ 93,064 3,386 3.8% \$ 24,240 \$ 920 3.9% 2012-13 89,678 0.0% 73,087 0.0% 98,274 0.0% 23,558 0.0% \$ 89,678 0.0% \$ 23,320 \$ 2 0.0% 2011-12 89,677 47 0.1% 73,085 \$ 45 0.1% 98,272 47 0.0% 23,555 89 0.4% \$ 89,677 47 0.1% \$ 23,318 \$ 49 0.2% 2010-11 89.630 20 0.0% 73.041 \$ 19 0.0% 98.225 20 0.0% 23,466 38 0.2% \$ 89.630 1.935 \$ 23,269 See notes 2009-10 73.022 119 0.2% 98,206 23,428 237 1.0% \$ 87.695 See notes \$ 23,247 See notes 0.1% \$ 89,485 2008-09 89.485 98 0.1% 72.903 80 0.1% 98.081 108 0.1% 23,191 25 \$ 23,116 25 0.1% 2007-08 89.387 61 0.1% 72.823 58 97.973 60 23.166 117 0.5% \$ 89.387 61 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% \$ 23.090 2006-07 89,326 \$ 3,058 3.5% 72,765 \$ 2,501 3.6% 97,912 3,345 3.5% 23,049 906 4.1% \$ 89,326 3,058 3.5% N/A 2005-06 86,268 \$ 3,468 4.2% 70,264 \$ 2,830 4.2% 94,567 3,798 4.2% 22,143 953 4.5% \$ 86,268 3,468 4.2% N/A 1.4% 2004-05 82.800 \$ 1.4% 90,769 1.265 1.4% 330 1.6% \$ 82.800 \$ 1,156 67,434 944 \$ 21,190 N/A -2003-04 \$ 81.644 \$ 1.607 2.0% 66,490 \$ 1,310 2.0% 89,504 \$ 1.762 2.0% \$ 20,861 423 2.1% See notes N/A 2002-03 \$ 80,036 \$ 2.022 2.6% 65,180 \$ 1,651 2.6% 87,742 2,213 2.6% 20,438 568 2.9% 80,036 \$ 2.022 2.6% See notes 2001-02 \$ 78,015 \$ 2.5% 85,529 2,161 2.6% 19,870 32 0.2% 78,015 2.6% 1.4% 1.946 2.6% 63.529 \$ 1.546 1.946 19.984 \$ 273 2000-01 76,069 \$ 2,171 2.9% 61,983 \$ 1,781 3.0% 83,369 2.371 2.9% 19,838 715 3.7% 76,069 2,171 2.9% 19,711 635 3.3% \$ 73,898 \$ 2,606 3.7% 3.7% 1999-00 3.7% 60,202 \$ 2,123 80,998 2,856 3.7% 19,123 680 3.7% \$ 73.898 2.606 19.076 676 3.7% \$ \$ 1998-99 71,292 \$ 3,542 5.2% 58,078 \$ 2,893 5.2% 78.142 \$ 3,876 5.2% 1.019 5.8% 71,292 3,542 5.2% 18.400 964 5.5% 18.443 1997-98 \$ 67.750 \$ 3.333 5.2% 55,185 \$ 2,734 5.2% 74.266 \$ 3.642 5.2% 17,424 1.074 6.6% \$ 67.750 \$ 3.333 5.2% \$ 17.436 963 5.8% \$ 1996-97 64.417 \$ 3.549 5.8% 52.451 \$ 2.900 5.9% 70.624 \$ 3.883 5.8% 16.350 1.023 6.7% 64.417 \$ 3.549 5.8% \$ 16.473 967 6.2% 1995-96 60,868 \$ 2,547 4.4% 49,551 \$ 2,097 4.4% 66,741 2,776 4.3% 15,327 6.4% 60,868 \$ 2,547 4.4% 15,506 788 5.4% 1994-95 58,321 3,162 5.7% 47,454 2,579 5.7% 63,965 3,464 5.7% 14,400 852 6.3% 58,321 14,718 1993-94 55,159 \$ 2,048 3.9% 44,875 \$ 1,663 3.8% 60,501 2,249 3.9% 13,548 467 3.6% See notes See notes 1992-93 53,111 10 0.0% 43,212 9 0.0% 58,252 0.0% 13,081 18 0.1% 53,101 0.0% 13,378 0.0% 1991-92 53,101 5.9% 53,101 4.9% 43,203 2,045 5.0% 58,243 2,715 4.9% 13,063 861 7.1% 2.488 4.9% 13,378 748 1990-91 50,613 4.1% 41,158 1,620 4.1% 55,528 2,186 4.1% 12,202 477 4.1% 50,613 1,992 4.1% 12,630 496 4.1% 1.992 1989-90 48.621 2.176 4.7% 39.538 1.765 4.7% 53.342 6.897 14.8% 11.725 466 4.1% 48.621 2.176 4.7% 12.134 \$ 514 4.4%

1985-86 Notes:

1988-89

1987-88

1986-87

46,445

39,858

37,959

35,464

6,587

1,899

2,495

16.5%

5.0%

7.0%

37,773

31,606

30,099

28,119

6,167

1,507

1,980

19.5%

5.0%

7.0%

46,445

44,148

42,045

39.283

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

5.2%

5.0%

7.0%

11,259

10,593

10,079

9.399

666

514

680

6.3%

5.1% \$ 44,148

7.2% \$

46,445

42,045

39.283

2,297

2,103

2,762

5.2%

5.0%

7.0% \$

11,620 \$

10,992

10,464 \$ 696

9.768

628

528

5.7%

5.0%

7.1%

2,297

2,103

2,762

- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Madison County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

	Cle	erk of Cir	cuit Court,	Property																				
Fiscal		Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector	Supervi	sor of Ele	ctions		Sheriff			Co	ommissio	ners		School	Supe	erinten	dent		School	Boar	d Mem	
Year	ÿ	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.	,	Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.	,	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2016-17	\$	97,149	\$ 110	0.1%	\$ 97,149	\$ 17,55	22.1%	\$ 106,112	\$ 124	0.1%	\$ 28,553	\$	10	0.0%	\$	97,149	\$	110	0.1%	\$	25,713	\$	29	0.1%
2015-16	\$	97,039	\$ 77	0.1%	\$ 79,590	\$ 5	0.1%	\$ 105,989	\$ 87	0.1%	\$ 28,544	\$	2	0.0%	\$	97,039	\$	77	0.1%	\$	25,684	\$	20	0.1%
2014-15	\$	96,963	\$ 319	0.3%	\$ 79,531	\$ 26	0.3%	\$ 105,902	\$ 343	0.3%	\$ 28,541	\$	131	0.5%	\$	96,963	\$	319	0.3%	\$	25,664	\$	84	0.3%
2013-14	\$	96,644	\$ 3,443	3.7%	\$ 79,263	\$ 2,82	3.7%	\$ 105,559	\$ 3,763	3.7%	\$ 28,411	\$	997	3.6%	\$	96,644	\$	3,443	3.7%	\$	25,580	\$	911	3.7%
2012-13	\$	93,201	\$ 24	0.0%	\$ 76,442	\$ 2	3 0.0%	\$ 101,796	\$ 24	0.0%	\$ 27,413	\$	23	0.1%	\$	93,201	\$	24	0.0%	\$	24,668	\$	6	0.0%
2011-12	\$	93,177	\$ (357)	-0.4%	\$ 76,419	\$ (34	0) -0.4%	\$ 101,773	\$ (357	-0.4%	\$ 27,391	\$	(340)	-1.2%	\$	93,177	\$	(357)	-0.4%	\$	24,662	\$	(95)	-0.4%
2010-11	\$	93,534	\$ 58	0.1%	\$ 76,759	\$ 5	0.1%	\$ 102,130	\$ 58	0.1%	\$ 27,731	\$	56	0.2%	\$	93,534	\$	1,994	2.2%	\$	24,757		See no	otes
2009-10	\$	93,476	\$ 68	0.1%	\$ 76,704	\$ 6	4 0.1%	\$ 102,072	\$ 68	0.1%	\$ 27,676	\$	64	0.2%	\$	91,540		See no	otes	\$	24,741		See no	otes
2008-09	\$	93,408	\$ 144	0.2%	\$ 76,640	\$ 12	4 0.2%	\$ 102,004	\$ 154	0.2%	\$ 27,612	\$	70	0.3%	\$	93,408	\$	144	0.2%	\$	24,723	\$	38	0.2%
2007-08	\$	93,264	\$ 37	0.0%	\$ 76,515			\$ 101,850	\$ 37	0.0%	\$ 27,541			0.1%	\$	93,264	\$	37	0.0%	\$	24,685		-	-
2006-07	\$	93,227	\$ 3,180	3.5%	\$ 76,480	\$ 2,61	3.5%	\$ 101,813	\$ 3,466	3.5%	\$ 27,506	\$		3.7%	\$	93,227	\$	3,179	3.5%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$	90,047	\$ 3,688	4.3%	\$ 73,864	\$ 3,04		\$ 98,347	\$ 4,018	4.3%	\$ 26,527		, -	4.6%	\$	90,047	\$	3,688	4.3%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$	86,359	\$ 1,245	1.5%	\$ 70,824	\$ 1,02	1.5%	\$ 94,329	\$ 1,354	1.5%	\$ 25,370	\$		1.6%	\$	86,359		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$	85,115	\$ 1,688	2.0%	\$ 69,795	\$ 1,38		\$ - ,-	\$ 1,843	2.0%	\$ 24,964	\$		2.1%			See n	otes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$	83,426	\$ 2,111	2.6%	\$ 68,408	\$ 1,73	2.6%	\$ 91,132	\$ 2,303	2.6%	\$ 24,456	\$	643	2.7%	\$	83,426	\$	2,111	2.6%		S	ee n	otes	
2001-02	\$	81,315	\$ 2,075	2.6%	\$ 66,672	\$ 1,66		\$ 88,829	\$ 2,289	2.6%	\$ 23,813	\$		1.9%	\$	81,315	\$	2,075	2.6%	\$	21,522	\$	549	2.6%
2000-01	\$	79,240	\$ 2,262	2.9%	\$ 65,003	\$ 1,86	7 3.0%	\$ 86,540	\$ 2,462	2.9%	\$ 23,367	\$	729	3.2%	\$	79,240	\$	2,262	2.9%	\$	20,973	\$	598	2.9%
1999-00	\$	76,978	\$ 2,773	3.7%	\$ 63,136	\$ 2,28		\$ 84,078	\$ 3,023	3.7%	\$ 22,638		856	3.9%	\$	76,978	•	2,773	3.7%	\$	20,375		734	3.7%
1998-99	\$	74,205	\$ 3,692	5.2%	\$ 60,853	\$ 3,03	7 5.3%	\$ 81,055	\$ 4,026	5.2%	\$ 21,782	\$	1,131	5.5%	\$	74,205	\$	3,692	5.2%	\$	19,641	\$	978	5.2%
1997-98	\$	70,513	, , ,	5.1%	\$ 57,816	\$ 2,82		\$ 77,029	\$ 3,734	5.1%	\$ 20,651	\$	1,065	5.4%	\$	70,513	\$	3,426	5.1%	\$	18,663	\$	906	5.1%
1996-97	\$	67,087	\$ 3,746	5.9%	\$ 54,994	\$ 3,08		\$ 73,295	\$ 4,081	5.9%	\$ 19,586	\$,	6.4%	\$	67,087	•	3,746	5.9%	\$	17,757	\$	992	5.9%
1995-96	\$	63,341	\$ 2,566	4.2%	\$ 51,907	\$ 2,11		\$ 69,214	\$ 2,795	4.2%	\$ 18,409	\$		4.6%	\$	63,341	\$	2,566	4.2%	\$	16,765	\$	679	4.2%
1994-95	\$	60,775	,	5.8%	\$ 49,791	\$ 2,71		\$,	\$ 3,608	5.7%	\$ 17,600			6.0%	\$	60,775		-	-	\$	16,086		-	-
1993-94	\$	57,469	\$ 2,258	4.1%	\$ 47,075	\$ 1,86	3 4.1%	\$ 62,811	\$ 2,458	4.1%	\$ 16,605	\$	719	4.5%			See n	otes			S	ee n	otes	
1992-93	\$	55,211	\$ (11)	0.0%	\$ 45,212	\$ (1	1) 0.0%	\$ 60,353	\$ (11	0.0%	\$ 15,886	\$,	-0.1%	\$	55,222	\$	-	0.0%	\$	14,616	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$,	\$ 2,445	4.6%	\$ 45,223	\$ 2,00		\$ 60,364	\$ 2,672	4.6%	\$ 15,896			4.7%	\$	55,222		2,445	4.6%	\$	14,616	\$	647	4.6%
1990-91	\$	52,777	\$ 2,173	4.3%	\$ 43,219	\$ 1,79		\$ 57,692	\$ 2,366	4.3%	\$ 15,184	\$		4.7%	\$	52,777		2,173	4.3%	\$	13,969	\$	575	4.3%
1989-90	\$	50,604	\$ 2,320	4.8%	\$ 41,427	\$ 1,90		\$ 55,326	\$ 7,042	14.6%	\$ 14,497			4.9%	\$	50,604	•	2,320	4.8%	\$	13,394	\$	614	4.8%
1988-89	\$	48,284	\$ 6,633	15.9%	\$ 39,525	\$ 6,21		\$,	\$ 2,343	5.1%	\$ 13,819			5.2%	\$	48,284		2,343	5.1%	\$,	\$	620	5.1%
1987-88	\$	41,651	\$ 1,992	5.0%	\$ 33,314	\$ 1,59	5.0%	\$ 45,941	\$ 2,196	5.0%	\$ 13,133	\$	637	5.1%	\$	45,941	\$	2,196	5.0%	\$	12,160	\$	581	5.0%
1986-87	\$	39,659	\$ 2,623	7.1%	\$ 31,718	\$ 2,10	7.1%	\$ 43,745	\$ 2,890	7.1%	\$ 12,496	\$	844	7.2%	\$	43,745	\$	2,890	7.1%	\$	11,579	\$	766	7.1%
1985-86	\$	37,036	-	-	\$ 29,616	-	-	\$ 40,855	-	-	\$ 11,652		-	-	\$	40,855		-	-	\$	10,813		-	-
		•				•																		

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Manatee County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

	Clerk of Cir					4								_				_							
Fiscal		r & Tax Col				sor of Elect		1		Sheriff	1				mmissio		School				<u> </u>	School I			
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		alary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary		Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		•	% Chg.
2016-17		\$ 864	0.6%	_		\$ 20,262	17.1%	_	147,790			\$	79,983	\$	745	0.9%	\$ 138,827	\$	864	0.6%	\$	38,220	\$	231	0.6%
2015-16	\$ 137,963	\$ 533	0.4%	•	,	\$ 493	0.4%		146,913			\$	79,238	\$	449	0.6%	\$ 137,963	\$	533	0.4%	\$	37,990	•	143	0.4%
2014-15	\$ 137,431	\$ 611	0.4%	_	,	\$ 547	0.5%	_	,			\$	78,789	\$	441	0.6%	\$ 137,431	\$	611	0.4%	\$	37,847	\$	166	0.4%
2013-14	\$ 136,819	\$ 5,191	3.9%		117,525	\$ 4,485	4.0%	_	145,735			\$	78,348	\$	3,080	4.1%	\$ 136,819	\$	5,191	3.9%	\$	37,681	\$ 1	1,426	3.9%
2012-13	\$ 131,629	\$ 198	0.2%	_	113,040	\$ 189	0.2%	_	140,224			\$	75,268	\$	189	0.3%	\$ 131,629	\$	198	0.2%	\$	36,255	\$	52	0.1%
2011-12	\$ 131,431	\$ 286	0.2%	•	,	\$ 272	0.2%		140,026			\$	75,079	\$	272	0.4%	\$ 131,431	\$	286	0.2%	\$	36,203	\$	76	0.2%
2010-11	\$ 131,145	\$ 45	0.0%	•	,	\$ 43	0.0%		139,741			\$	74,807	\$	43	0.1%	\$ 131,145	•	2,782	2.2%	\$	36,127		See no	
2009-10	\$ 131,100	\$ 117	0.1%	\$ 1	112,536	\$ 112	0.1%	\$	139,695			\$	74,764	\$	112	0.1%	\$ 128,363		See no		\$	36,115	!	See no	tes
2008-09	\$ 130,982	\$ 631	0.5%	_	– , . – .	\$ 587	0.5%	_	,			\$	74,652	\$	546	0.7%	\$ 130,982	\$	631	0.5%	\$	36,084	\$	168	0.5%
2007-08	\$ 130,351	\$ 254	0.2%	_	,	\$ 242	0.2%	_	138,937			\$	74,107	\$	242	0.3%	+ ,	\$	254	0.2%	\$	35,916		-	-
2006-07	\$ 130,098	\$ 4,919	3.9%	\$ 1	111,595	\$ 4,273	4.0%	\$	138,684			\$	73,864	\$	3,011	4.3%	\$ 130,098		4,919	3.9%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 125,179	\$ 5,477	4.6%	\$ 1	107,322	\$ 4,743	4.6%		133,478	,		\$	70,853	\$	3,293	4.9%	\$ 125,179	\$	5,477	4.6%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 119,702	\$ 2,202	1.9%	\$ 1	102,579	\$ 1,941	1.9%	\$	127,671	\$ 2,31	1.8%	\$	67,560	\$	1,461	2.2%	\$ 119,702		-	-	<u> </u>	N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 117,499	\$ 2,684	2.3%	\$ 1	100,638	\$ 2,335	2.4%	\$	125,359	\$ 2,83	3 2.3%	\$	66,099	\$	1,658	2.6%	8	See n	otes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 114,815	\$ 3,237	2.9%	\$	98,303	\$ 2,808	2.9%	\$	122,521	\$ 3,42	3 2.9%	\$	64,441	\$	1,966	3.1%	\$ 114,815	\$	3,237	2.9%	<u> </u>	S	ee no	otes	
2001-02	\$ 111,579	\$ 3,776	3.5%	\$	95,495	\$ 3,289	3.6%	\$	119,093	\$ 3,99	3.5%	\$	62,475	\$	2,346	3.9%	\$ 111,579	\$	3,776	3.5%	\$	30,771	\$ 1	1,035	3.5%
2000-01	\$ 107,803	\$ 3,278	3.1%	\$	92,206	\$ 2,835	3.2%	\$	115,102	\$ 3,47	3.1%	\$	60,129	\$	1,958	3.4%	\$ 107,803	\$	3,278	3.1%	\$	29,736	\$	900	3.1%
1999-00	\$ 104,525	\$ 3,969	3.9%	\$	89,371	\$ 3,422	4.0%	\$	111,625	\$ 4,21	3.9%	\$	58,171	\$	2,323	4.2%	\$ 104,525	\$	3,969	3.9%	\$	28,836	\$ 1	1,092	3.9%
1998-99	\$ 100,556	\$ 5,134	5.4%	\$	85,949	\$ 4,411	5.4%	\$	107,406	\$ 5,46	5.4%	\$	55,848	\$	2,941	5.6%	\$ 100,556	\$	5,134	5.4%	\$	27,744	\$	1,414	5.4%
1997-98	\$ 95,422	\$ 4,679	5.2%	\$	81,538	\$ 4,014	5.2%	\$	101,937	\$ 4,98	5.1%	\$	52,907	\$	2,661	5.3%	\$ 95,422	\$	4,679	5.2%	\$	26,330	\$ 1	1,289	5.1%
1996-97	\$ 90,743	\$ 5,110	6.0%	\$	77,524	\$ 4,387	6.0%	\$	96,951	\$ 5,44	6.0%	\$	50,246	\$	2,916	6.2%	\$ 90,743	\$	5,110	6.0%	\$	25,041	\$ 1	1,407	6.0%
1995-96	\$ 85,633	\$ 3,541	4.3%	\$	73,137	\$ 3,044	4.3%	\$	91,506	\$ 3,77	4.3%	\$	47,330	\$	2,038	4.5%	\$ 85,633	\$	3,541	4.3%	\$	23,634	\$	976	4.3%
1994-95	\$ 82,092	\$ 4,558	5.9%	\$	70,093	\$ 3,909	5.9%	\$	87,736	\$ 4,86	5.9%	\$	45,292	\$	2,582	6.0%	\$ 82,092		-		\$	22,658		-	-
1993-94	\$ 77,534	\$ 3,072	4.1%	\$	66,184	\$ 2,637	4.1%	\$	82,876	\$ 3,27	2 4.1%	\$	42,710	\$	1,757	4.3%	5	See n	otes			S	ee no	otes	
1992-93	\$ 74,462	\$ 132	0.2%	\$	63,547	\$ 126	0.2%	\$	79,604	\$ 13	2 0.2%	\$	40,953	\$	125	0.3%	\$ 74,330	\$	-	0.0%	\$	20,522	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 74,330	\$ 4,154	5.9%	\$	63,421	\$ 3,632	6.1%	\$	79,472	\$ 4,38	5.8%	\$	40,828	\$	2,979	7.9%	\$ 74,330	\$	4,154	5.9%	\$	20,522	\$ 1	1,161	6.0%
1990-91	\$ 70,176	\$ 3,071	4.6%	\$	59,789	\$ 2,647	4.6%	\$	75,091	\$ 3,26	4.5%	\$	37,849	\$	2,017	5.6%	\$ 70,176	\$	3,071	4.6%	\$	19,361	\$	860	4.6%
1989-90	\$ 67,105	\$ 3,379	5.3%	\$	57,142	\$ 2,910	5.4%	\$	71,826	\$ 8,10	12.7%	\$	35,832	\$	2,191	6.5%	\$ 67,105	\$	3,379	5.3%	\$	18,501	\$	945	5.4%
1988-89	\$ 63,726	\$ 7,662	13.7%	\$	54,232	\$ 7,192	15.3%	\$	63,726	\$ 3,37	2 5.6%	\$	33,641	\$	2,148	6.8%	\$ 63,726	\$	3,372	5.6%	\$	17,556	\$	942	5.7%
1987-88	\$ 56,064	\$ 2,936	5.5%	\$	47,040	\$ 2,494	5.6%	\$	60,354	\$ 3,13	5.5%	\$	31,493	\$	1,963	6.6%	\$ 60,354	\$	3,139	5.5%	\$	16,614	\$	876	5.6%
1986-87	\$ 53,128	\$ 3,717	7.5%	\$	44,546	\$ 3,144	7.6%	\$	57,215	\$ 3,98	7.5%	\$	29,530	\$	2,345	8.6%	\$ 57,215	\$	3,985	7.5%	\$	15,738	\$ 1	1,106	7.6%
1985-86	\$ 49,411	-	-	\$	41,402	-	-	\$	53,230	-	-	\$	27,185		-	-	\$ 53,230		-	-	\$	14,632		- 1	-
			•	•			•		,			•	,								<u> </u>	,			-

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated fatulory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Marion County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

	С	lerk of (Circui	t Court, I	Property																							
Fiscal		Apprai		Tax Col	lector		Supervi	sor of Elec	tions			Sh	eriff			County	Со	mmissio	ners	Schoo	l Sup	perinten	dent		School I	3oarc	d Memi	pers
Year		Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.	•	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	•	Chg.	% Chg.	ĭ	Salary	٠,	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ C	chg.	% Chg.
2016-17	\$	138,28	1 \$	458	0.3%	\$	138,281	\$ 19,849	16.8%	\$	147,244	\$	471	0.3%	\$	79,463	\$	358	0.5%	\$ 138,281	\$	458	0.3%	\$	38,076	\$	123	0.3%
2015-16	\$	137,82	3 \$	317	0.2%	\$	118,432	\$ 287	0.2%	\$	146,772	\$	327	0.2%	\$	79,105	\$	244	0.3%	\$ 137,823	\$	317	0.2%	44	37,953	\$	85	0.2%
2014-15	\$	137,50	6 \$	507	0.4%	\$	118,144	\$ 448	0.4%	\$	146,446	\$	531	0.4%	\$	78,861	\$	342	0.4%	\$ 137,506	\$	507	0.4%	44	37,867	\$	138	0.4%
2013-14	\$	136,99	9 \$	4,994	3.8%	\$	117,696	\$ 4,298	3.8%	\$	145,914	\$	5,314	3.8%	\$	78,519	\$	2,893	3.8%	\$ 136,999	\$	4,994	3.8%	44	37,729	\$ 1	1,374	3.8%
2012-13	\$	132,00	5 \$	29	0.0%	\$	113,398	\$ 27	0.0%	\$	140,601	\$	29	0.0%	\$	75,626	\$	27	0.0%	\$ 132,005	\$	29	0.0%	\$	36,355	\$	8	0.0%
2011-12	\$	131,97	6 \$	55	0.0%	\$	113,371	\$ 53	0.0%	\$	140,572	\$	55	0.0%	\$	75,599	\$	53	0.1%	\$ 131,976	\$	55	0.0%	\$	36,347	\$	15	0.0%
2010-11	\$	131,92	1 \$	66	0.0%	\$	113,318	\$ 63	0.1%	\$	140,517	\$	66	0.0%	\$	75,546	\$	63	0.1%	\$ 131,921	\$	2,981	2.3%	44	36,332		See no	tes
2009-10	\$	131,85	5 \$	284	0.2%	\$	113,255	\$ 271	0.2%	\$	140,451	\$	284	0.2%	\$	75,484	\$	270	0.4%	\$ 128,940		See no	otes	44	36,315		See no	tes
2008-09	\$	131,57	1 \$	785	0.6%	\$	112,985	\$ 734	0.7%	\$	140,167	\$	795	0.6%	\$	75,213	\$	693	0.9%	\$ 131,571	\$	785	0.6%	\$	36,240	\$	209	0.6%
2007-08	\$	130,78	6 \$	652	0.5%	\$, -	\$ 621	0.6%	\$	139,372	\$	652	0.5%	\$	74,520	\$	622	0.8%	\$ 130,786	\$	652	0.5%	\$	36,030		-	-
2006-07	\$	130,13	4 \$	5,075	4.1%	\$	111,629	\$ 4,421	4.1%	\$	138,720	\$	5,362	4.0%	\$	73,899	\$	3,160	4.5%	\$ 130,134	\$	5,075	4.1%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$	125,05	9 \$	5,651	4.7%	\$	107,208	\$ 4,909	4.8%	\$	133,358	\$	5,981	4.7%	\$	70,739	\$	3,459	5.1%	\$ 125,059	\$	5,651	4.7%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$	119,40	8 \$	2,278	1.9%	\$	102,299	\$ 2,013	2.0%	\$	127,377	\$	2,387	1.9%	\$	67,280	\$	1,533	2.3%	\$ 119,408		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	_	117,13	_	2,690	2.4%	\$	100,286	\$ 2,341	2.4%	_	,	•	2,844	2.3%	\$	65,748	\$	1,664	2.6%			notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$	114,44	0 \$	3,148	2.8%	\$	97,945	\$ 2,723	2.9%	\$	122,146	\$	3,339	2.8%	\$	64,084	\$	1,881	3.0%	\$ 114,440	\$	3,148	2.8%			ee no	otes	
2001-02	\$	111,29		3,696	3.4%	\$	95,222	\$ 3,213	3.5%	\$	118,806	\$	3,910	3.4%	\$	62,202	\$	2,270	3.8%	\$ 111,292	\$	3,696	3.4%	\$	30,695	\$	1,013	3.4%
2000-01	\$	107,59	6 \$	3,320	3.2%	\$	92,009	\$ 2,875	3.2%	\$	114,896	\$	3,520	3.2%	\$	59,932	\$	1,998	3.4%	\$ 107,596	\$	3,320	3.2%	\$		\$	912	3.2%
1999-00	\$			3,937	3.9%	\$	89,134	\$ 3,391	4.0%	_	111,376	•	4,187	3.9%	\$	57,934	\$	2,292	4.1%	\$ 104,276	\$	3,937	3.9%	\$,	_	1,083	3.9%
1998-99	\$	100,33		5,285	5.6%	\$	85,743	\$ 4,554	5.6%	•	,		5,619	5.5%	\$	55,642	\$	3,085	5.9%	\$ 100,339	\$	5,285	5.6%	\$,,		1,454	5.5%
1997-98	\$	95,05	4 \$	4,709	5.2%	\$	81,189	\$ 4,044	5.2%	\$	101,570	\$	5,017	5.2%	\$	52,557	\$	2,690	5.4%	\$ 95,054	\$	4,709	5.2%	\$,	_	1,297	5.2%
1996-97	\$	90,34		5,171	6.1%	\$	77,145	\$ 4,445	6.1%	\$	96,553	\$	5,506	6.0%	\$	49,867	\$	2,974	6.3%	\$ 90,345	\$	5,171	6.1%	\$,	\$ 1	1,424	6.1%
1995-96	\$	85,17		3,568	4.4%	\$	72,700	\$ 3,070	4.4%	\$	91,047	\$	3,797	4.4%	\$	46,893	\$	2,064	4.6%	\$ 85,174	\$	3,568	4.4%	\$,	\$	982	4.4%
1994-95	\$	81,60		4,580	5.9%	\$	69,630	\$ 3,929	6.0%	\$	87,250	\$	4,882	5.9%	\$	44,829	\$	2,602	6.2%	\$ 81,606		-	-	\$	22,530		-	-
1993-94	\$	77,02		3,135	4.2%	\$,	\$ 2,699	4.3%	\$	- ,	•	3,336	4.2%	\$	42,227	\$	1,818	4.5%			notes				ee no	otes	
1992-93	\$	73,89		344	0.5%	\$,	\$ 327	0.5%	\$	79,032	\$	343	0.4%	\$	40,409	\$	580	1.5%	\$ 73,547	\$	-	0.0%	\$	20,297		-	0.0%
1991-92	\$	73,54	_	3,491	5.0%	\$,	\$ 3,000	5.0%	\$	78,689	\$	3,718	5.0%	\$	39,829	\$	2,185	5.8%	\$ 73,547	\$	3,491	5.0%	\$	-, -	\$	974	5.0%
1990-91	\$	70,05		3,257	4.9%	\$	59,675	\$ 2,824	5.0%	\$	74,971	\$	3,450	4.8%	\$	37,644	\$	2,335	6.6%	\$ 70,056	\$	3,257	4.9%	\$	19,323	\$	919	5.0%
1989-90	\$	66,79		3,471	5.5%	\$	56,851	\$ 2,999	5.6%	\$	71,521	\$	8,193	12.9%	\$	35,309	\$	2,350	7.1%	\$ 66,799	\$	3,471	5.5%	\$,	\$	975	5.6%
1988-89	\$	63,32		7,762	14.0%	\$,	\$ 7,286	15.6%	\$	63,328	\$	3,472	5.8%	\$	32,959	\$	2,320	7.6%	\$ 63,328	\$	3,472	5.8%	\$	17,429		973	5.9%
1987-88	\$	55,56		3,087	5.9%	\$	46,566	\$ 2,638	6.0%	\$	59,856	_	3,291	5.8%	\$	30,639	\$	2,222	7.8%	\$ 59,856	\$	3,291	5.8%	\$		\$	924	5.9%
1986-87	\$	52,47		3,863	7.9%	\$	43,928	\$ 3,283	8.1%	\$	56,565	\$	4,130	7.9%	\$	28,417	\$	2,595	10.0%	\$ 56,565	\$	4,130	7.9%	\$	15,532	\$	1,152	8.0%
1985-86	\$	48,61	6	-	-	\$	40,645	-	-	\$	52,435		-	-	\$	25,822		-	-	\$ 52,435		-	-	\$	14,380		-	-

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Martin County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

	Clerk of Cir										_				_							
Fiscal		r & Tax Co			sor of Elec			Sheriff				mmissio			_	erinten			School			
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	,	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ C	_	% Chg.
2016-17	\$ 123,194	\$ 349	0.3%	\$ 123,194	\$ 19,027	18.3%	\$ 132,157		0.3%	\$	60,832	374	0.6%	\$ 123,194	\$	349	0.3%	\$	33,790	\$	103	0.3%
2015-16	\$ 122,845	\$ 193	0.2%	\$ 104,167	\$ 169	0.2%	\$ 131,794			\$	60,458	\$ 164	0.3%	\$ 122,845	\$	193	0.2%	\$	33,687	\$	55	0.2%
2014-15	\$ 122,652	\$ 429	0.4%	\$ 103,998	\$ 374	0.4%	\$ 131,592		0.3%	\$	60,294	\$ 330	0.6%	\$ 122,652	\$	429	0.4%	\$	33,632	\$	122	0.4%
2013-14	\$ 122,223	\$ 4,439	3.8%	\$ 103,623	\$ 3,769	3.8%	\$ 131,138	\$ 4,759	3.8%	\$	59,963	\$ 2,245	3.9%	\$ 122,223	\$	4,439	3.8%	\$	33,510	\$	1,219	3.8%
2012-13	\$ 117,784	\$ 40	0.0%	\$ 99,854	\$ 38	0.0%	\$ 126,379	\$ 40	0.0%	\$	57,718	\$ 68	0.1%	\$ 117,784	\$	40	0.0%	\$	32,291	\$	13	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 117,744	\$ 265	0.2%	\$ 99,816	\$ 252	0.3%	\$ 126,340	\$ 265	0.2%	\$	57,650	\$ 453	0.8%	\$ 117,744	\$	265	0.2%	\$	32,278	\$	84	0.3%
2010-11	\$ 117,479	\$ (1)	0.0%	\$,	\$ (1)	0.0%	\$ 126,075			\$	57,196	\$ (2)	0.0%	\$ 117,479	\$	2,363	2.1%	\$	32,194		See no	tes
2009-10	\$ 117,481	\$ 15	0.0%	\$ 99,566	\$ 14	0.0%	\$ 126,076	\$ 15	0.0%	\$	57,198	\$ 24	0.0%	\$ 115,117		See no	otes	\$	32,195		See no	tes
2008-09	\$ 117,466	\$ 246	0.2%	\$,	\$ 221	0.2%	\$ 126,062			\$	57,174	\$ 264	0.5%	\$ 117,466	\$	246	0.2%	\$	32,190	\$	73	0.2%
2007-08	\$ 117,220	\$ 169	0.1%	\$,	\$ 161	0.2%	\$ 125,806			\$	56,910	\$ 291	0.5%	\$ 117,220	\$	169	0.1%	\$	32,117		-	-
2006-07	\$ 117,051	\$ 4,270	3.8%	\$ 99,169	\$ 3,654	3.8%	\$ 125,637	\$ 4,557	3.8%	\$	56,619	\$ 2,502	4.6%	\$ 117,051	\$	4,270	3.8%	<u></u>	N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 112,781	\$ 4,798	4.4%	\$ 95,515	\$ 4,097	4.5%	121,080	\$ 5,128		\$	54,117	\$ 2,689	5.2%	\$ 112,781	\$	4,798	4.4%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 107,983	\$ 1,818	1.7%	\$ 91,418	\$ 1,575	1.8%	\$ 115,952	\$ 1,928	1.7%	\$	51,428	\$ 1,284	2.6%	\$ 107,983		-	-	<u></u>	N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 106,165	\$ 2,291	2.2%	\$ 89,843	\$ 1,961	2.2%	\$ 114,025		2.2%	\$	50,143	\$ 1,342	2.8%		See n	otes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 103,874	\$ 2,784	2.8%	\$ 87,882	\$ 2,377	2.8%	\$ 111,580	\$ 2,976	2.7%	\$	48,801	\$ 1,558	3.3%	\$ 103,874	\$	2,784	2.8%	<u></u>	S	See no	otes	
2001-02	\$ 101,090	\$ 3,362	3.4%	\$ 85,505	\$ 2,894	3.5%	\$ 108,604			\$	47,242	\$ 2,164	4.8%	\$ 101,090	\$	3,362	3.4%	\$	27,633	\$	940	3.5%
2000-01	\$ 97,728	\$ 2,863	3.0%	\$ 82,611	\$ 2,441	3.0%	\$ 105,028	\$ 3,063	3.0%	\$	45,078	\$ 1,559	3.6%	\$ 97,728	\$	2,863	3.0%	\$	26,693	\$	790	3.0%
1999-00	\$ 94,865	\$ 3,599	3.9%	\$,	\$ 3,068	4.0%	\$ 101,965			\$	43,519	\$ 1,975	4.8%	\$ 94,865	\$	3,599	3.9%	\$,	\$	994	4.0%
1998-99	\$ 91,266	\$ 4,608	5.3%	\$ 77,102	\$ 3,910	5.3%	\$ 98,116			\$	41,544	\$ 2,292	5.8%	\$ 91,266	\$	4,608	5.3%	\$,	\$	1,265	5.4%
1997-98	\$ 86,658	\$ 4,284	5.2%	\$ 73,192	\$ 3,639	5.2%	\$ 93,174	\$ 4,592	5.2%	\$	39,252	\$ 2,178	5.9%	\$ 86,658	\$	4,284	5.2%	\$	23,644	\$	1,177	5.2%
1996-97	\$ 82,374	\$ 4,577	5.9%	\$ 69,553	\$ 3,879	5.9%	\$ 88,582	\$ 4,912	5.9%	\$	37,074	\$ 2,228	6.4%	\$ 82,374	\$	4,577	5.9%	\$	22,467	\$	1,254	5.9%
1995-96	\$ 77,797	\$ 3,277	4.4%	\$ 65,674	\$ 2,792	4.4%	\$ 83,670	\$ 3,506	4.4%	\$	34,846	\$ 1,775	5.4%	\$ 77,797	\$	3,277	4.4%	\$	21,213	\$	905	4.5%
1994-95	\$ 74,520	\$ 4,102	5.8%	\$ 62,882	\$ 3,474	5.8%	\$ 80,164	\$ 4,404	5.8%	\$	33,071	\$ 1,969	6.3%	\$ 74,520		-		\$	20,308		-	-
1993-94	\$ 70,418	\$ 2,768	4.1%	\$ 59,408	\$ 2,349	4.1%	\$ 75,760	\$ 2,969	4.1%	\$	31,102	\$ 1,382	4.7%		See n	otes			S	See no	otes	
1992-93	\$ 67,650	\$ 140	0.2%	\$ 57,059	\$ 134	0.2%	\$ 72,791	\$ 139	0.2%	\$	29,720	\$ 241	0.8%	\$ 67,510		-	0.0%	\$	18,381	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 67,510	\$ 3,525	5.5%	\$ 56,925	\$ 3,032	5.6%	\$ 72,652	\$ 3,752	5.4%	\$	29,479	\$ 1,865	6.8%	\$ 67,510	\$	3,525	5.5%	\$	18,381	\$	958	5.5%
1990-91	\$ 63,985	\$ 3,116	5.1%	\$ 53,893	\$ 2,690	5.3%	\$ 68,900	\$ 3,309	5.0%	\$	27,614	\$ 1,655	6.4%	\$ 63,985	\$	3,116	5.1%	\$	17,423	\$	844	5.1%
1989-90	\$ 60,869	\$ 3,237	5.6%	\$ 51,203	\$ 2,775	5.7%	\$ 65,591	\$ 7,959	13.8%	\$	25,959	\$ 1,627	6.7%	\$ 60,869	\$	3,237	5.6%	\$	16,579	\$	878	5.6%
1988-89	\$ 57,632	\$ 7,698	15.4%	\$ 48,428	\$ 7,226	17.5%	\$ 57,632		6.3%	\$	24,332	\$ 1,778	7.9%	\$ 57,632	\$	3,408	6.3%	\$	15,701	\$	924	6.3%
1987-88	\$ 49,934	\$ 2,751	5.8%	\$ 41,202	\$ 2,318	6.0%	\$ 54,224	\$ 2,954	5.8%	\$	22,554	\$ 1,432	6.8%	\$ 54,224	\$	2,954	5.8%	\$	14,777	\$	802	5.7%
1986-87	\$ 47,183	\$ 3,475	8.0%	\$ 38,884	\$ 2,914	8.1%	\$ 51,270	\$ 3,743	7.9%	\$	21,122	\$ 1,752	9.0%	\$ 51,270	\$	3,743	7.9%	\$	13,975	\$ 1	1,017	7.8%
1985-86	\$ 43,708	-	-	\$ 35,970	-	-	\$ 47,527	-	-	\$	19,370	-	-	\$ 47,527		-	-	\$	12,958		-	-
																•						

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Miami-Dade County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

Finnel	Clerk of Cir				0		·!			Observier			0	٥.				0-11	Di 4			0-111			
Fiscal		r & Tax Col		L.,		sor of Elec		-	0-1	Sheriff	Lo/ Ob	_			mmissio				Superinter		_	School I			
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.		lary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.			\$C	_	% Chg.
2016-17	\$ 183,914		0.5%	_	•	\$ 21,190 \$ 713	13.0% 0.4%	_	,		0.5%	\$	96,031 95.888	_	143 106	0.1% 0.1%		33,914 32.954	\$ 960 \$ 736	0.5%	\$	42,681 42,617	\$	64 47	0.1%
2015-16	\$ 182,954			_					,			\$,	\$. ,			_	,-	\$		
2014-15	\$ 182,218	¥ :,0=:	0.6%	_	162,010	\$ 966	0.6%	\$,	,		\$	95,782 95,523	\$	259	0.3%	_	32,218	\$ 1,021	0.6%	\$	42,570	•	115	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 181,197	\$ 7,068	4.1%		,	\$ 6,345	4.1%	\$,	,		\$,-	\$	3,426	3.7%		31,197	\$ 7,068	4.1%	\$	42,455	-	1,523	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 174,128	\$ 329	0.2%	_	154,698	\$ 329	0.2%		182,724			\$	92,097	_	-	0.0%	_	74,128	\$ 329	0.2%	\$	40,932	\$		0.0%
2011-12	\$ 173,800	\$ 394	0.2%	_	,	\$ 394	0.3%	_	182,395			\$	92,097	\$	-	0.0%	•	73,800	\$ 394	0.2%	\$	40,932	\$	1	0.0%
2010-11	\$ 173,405	\$ (81)	0.0%	_	,	\$ (81)	-0.1%	\$	- ,	\$ (81		\$	92,097	\$	- :	0.0%	•	73,405	\$ 3,630	2.1%	\$	40,932		See no	
2009-10	\$ 173,486	\$ 247	0.1%		,	\$ 246	0.2%		182,082	•		\$	92,097	\$	1	0.0%		69,775	See r		\$	40,932		See no	
2008-09	\$ 173,240	\$ 604	0.3%	_	.00,000	\$ 582	0.4%		,			\$	92,096	\$	101	0.1%		73,240	\$ 604	0.3%	\$	40,932	\$	45	0.1%
2007-08	\$ 172,636	\$ 243	0.1%	_	,	\$ 243	0.2%		181,222			\$	91,995	\$	(1)	0.0%		72,636	\$ 243	0.1%	\$	40,887			
2006-07	\$ 172,393	\$ 6,433	3.9%	•	152,984	\$ 5,784	3.9%	_	180,980		3.9%	\$	91,996	\$	3,077	3.5%	•	72,393	\$ 6,433	3.9%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 165,960	\$ 7,114	4.5%	_	,	\$ 6,368	4.5%		174,259	\$ 7,444		\$	88,919	\$	3,536	4.1%		,,,,,,,	\$ 7,114	4.5%		N/A			-
2004-05	\$ 158,846	\$ 2,678	1.7%	_	,	\$ 2,431	1.8%		166,815	,		\$	85,383	\$	1,171	1.4%	\$ 15	58,846	-	-		N/A			
2003-04	\$ 156,168	\$ 3,451	2.3%	_	, -	\$ 3,103	2.3%	_	164,028	,		\$	84,213	\$	1,651	2.0%			ee notes			N/A		<u>:</u>	
2002-03	\$ 152,716	\$ 4,263	2.9%	_	,	\$ 3,830	2.9%	\$,	,		\$	82,562	\$	2,053	2.6%	•	,	\$ 4,263	2.9%			ee no		
2001-02	\$ 148,454	\$ 6,000	4.2%	_	131,468	\$ 5,514	4.4%	\$	155,968	,		\$	80,509	\$	2,298	2.9%	_	48,454	\$ 6,000	4.2%	\$	35,782	•	1,022	2.9%
2000-01	\$ 142,454	\$ 4,388	3.2%	_	125,954	\$ 3,937	3.2%	\$,	,		\$	78,211	\$	2,139	2.8%	•	12,454	\$ 4,388	3.2%	\$	34,760	•	950	2.8%
1999-00	\$ 138,066	\$ 5,119	3.9%	_	122,017	\$ 4,555	3.9%		145,166	,		\$	76,072	\$	2,679	3.7%	•	38,066	\$ 5,119	3.9%	\$	33,810	•	1,191	3.7%
1998-99	\$ 132,947	\$ 6,827	5.4%	_	,	\$ 6,070	5.4%		139,797	, ,	5.4%	\$	73,393	\$	3,582	5.1%	_	32,947	\$ 6,827	5.4%	\$	- ,	_	1,592	5.1%
1997-98	\$ 126,120	\$ 6,310	5.3%	_	111,392	\$ 5,614	5.3%		132,636	, ,,,		\$	69,811	\$	3,299	5.0%	•	26,120	\$ 6,310	5.3%	\$	- ,-		1,466	5.0%
1996-97	\$ 119,810	\$ 6,724	5.9%	-	,	\$ 5,968	6.0%		126,018	+ 1,000	5.9%	\$	66,512	\$	3,588	5.7%	_	19,810	\$ 6,724	5.9%	\$	29,561	•	1,595	5.7%
1995-96	\$ 113,086	\$ 4,833	4.5%	\$	99,810	\$ 4,315	4.5%		118,958	\$ 5,061	4.4%	\$	62,924	\$	2,454	4.1%	•	13,086	\$ 4,833	4.5%	\$	27,966	\$ 1	1,091	4.1%
1994-95	\$ 108,253	\$ 5,466	5.3%	\$,	\$ 4,783	5.3%	_	113,897	\$ 5,768		\$	60,470	\$	3,234	5.7%	\$ 10	08,253	-	-	\$	26,875		-	-
1993-94	\$ 102,787	\$ 4,066	4.1%	\$,	\$ 3,613	4.1%		,	\$ 4,266		\$	57,236	\$	2,148	3.9%			ee notes				ee no	ites	
1992-93	\$ 98,721	\$ 240	0.2%	\$	87,099	\$ 240	0.3%	\$,			\$	55,088	\$	-	0.0%	_	,	\$ -	0.0%	\$	24,484	•	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 98,481	\$ 4,937	5.3%	\$	86,859	\$ 4,425	5.4%	\$,	,		\$	55,088	\$	2,426	4.6%	•	, .	\$ 4,937	5.3%	\$		\$ 1	1,079	4.6%
1990-91	\$ 93,544	\$ 3,999	4.5%	\$	82,434	\$ 3,562	4.5%	\$	98,459	,		\$	52,662	\$	2,075	4.1%		93,544	\$ 3,999	4.5%	\$	23,405	\$	922	4.1%
1989-90	\$ 89,545	\$ 4,379	5.1%	\$	78,872	\$ 3,893	5.2%	\$	94,266	\$ 9,100		\$	50,587	\$	2,300	4.8%	•	39,545	\$ 4,379	5.1%	\$,	\$ 1	1,022	4.8%
1988-89	\$ 85,166	\$ 8,596	11.2%	\$	74,979	\$ 8,107	12.1%	\$	00,.00	\$ 4,306		\$	48,287	\$	2,320	5.0%		35,166	\$ 4,306	5.3%	\$,		1,031	5.0%
1987-88	\$ 76,570	\$ 3,769	5.2%	\$	66,872	\$ 3,308	5.2%	\$	80,860	\$ 3,973	5.2%	\$	45,967	\$	2,184	5.0%	\$	30,860	\$ 3,973	5.2%	\$	20,430	\$	971	5.0%
1986-87	\$ 72,801	\$ 4,874	7.2%	\$	63,564	\$ 4,270	7.2%	\$	76,887	\$ 5,141	7.2%	\$	43,783	\$	2,865	7.0%	\$	76,887	\$ 5,141	7.2%	\$	19,459	\$ 1	1,273	7.0%
1985-86	\$ 67,927	-	-	\$	59,294	-	-	\$	71,746	-	-	\$	40,918		-	-	\$ 7	71,746	-	-	\$	18,186		-	-
																		•							

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Monroe County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

	Cle	rk of Cir	cuit Court,	Property																								
Fiscal	- 1	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector	Supervi	sor o	of Elect	ions			Sh	eriff			County	Со	mmissio	ners		School	Sup	erinten	dent		School I	3oar	d Memi	oers
Year	S	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.	8	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	,	Salary	,	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	5	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	,	Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.
2016-17	\$	110,649	\$ 208	0.2%	\$ 110,649	\$ 1	8,296	19.8%	\$	119,612	\$	222	0.2%	\$	44,611	\$	108	0.2%	\$	110,649	\$	208	0.2%	\$	30,174	\$	56	0.2%
2015-16	\$	110,441	\$ 252	0.2%	\$ 92,354	\$	226	0.2%	\$	119,390	\$	262	0.2%	\$	44,503	\$	173	0.4%	\$	110,441	\$	252	0.2%	\$	30,118	\$	68	0.2%
2014-15	\$	110,189	\$ 476	0.4%	\$ 92,128	\$	418	0.5%	\$	119,128	\$	500	0.4%	\$	44,330	\$	289	0.7%	\$	110,189	\$	476	0.4%	\$	30,050	\$	128	0.4%
2013-14	\$	109,713	\$ 3,993	3.8%	\$ 91,709	\$	3,345	3.8%	\$	118,629	\$	4,313	3.8%	\$	44,042	\$	1,635	3.9%	\$	109,713	\$	3,993	3.8%	\$	29,922	\$	1,089	3.8%
2012-13	\$	105,720	\$ (108)	-0.1%	\$ 88,365	\$	(103)	-0.1%	\$	114,315	\$	(108)	-0.1%	\$	42,406	\$	(103)	-0.2%	\$	105,720	\$	(108)	-0.1%	\$	28,833	\$	(29)	-0.1%
2011-12	\$	105,828	\$ (1,247)	-1.2%	\$ 88,468	\$ ((1,187)	-1.3%	\$	114,424	\$	(1,247)	-1.1%	\$	42,509	\$	(1,187)	-2.7%	\$	105,828	\$	(1,247)	-1.2%	\$	28,862	\$	(330)	-1.1%
2010-11	\$	107,075	\$ 476	0.4%	\$ 89,655	\$	453	0.5%	\$	115,670	\$	476	0.4%	\$	43,697	\$	453	1.0%	\$	107,075	\$	1,874	1.8%	\$	29,192		See no	otes
2009-10	\$	106,599	\$ (749)	-0.7%	\$ 89,202	\$	(713)	-0.8%	\$	115,195	\$	(749)	-0.6%	\$	43,244	\$	(713)	-1.6%	\$	105,201		See no	otes	\$	29,066		See no	tes
2008-09	\$	107,348	\$ (274)	-0.3%	\$ 89,915	\$	(275)	-0.3%	\$	115,944	\$	(265)	-0.2%	\$	43,957	\$	(325)	-0.7%	\$	107,348	\$	(274)	-0.3%	\$	29,264	\$	(72)	-0.2%
2007-08	, \$	107,622	\$ (491)	-0.5%	\$ 90,190	\$	(468)	-0.5%	\$	116,209	\$	(491)	-0.4%	\$	44,283	\$	(467)	-1.0%	\$	107,622	\$	(491)	-0.5%	\$	29,336		-	-
2006-07	\$	108,114	\$ 3,909	3.8%	\$ 90,658	\$	3,311	3.8%	\$	116,700	\$	4,196	3.7%	\$	44,750	\$	1,776	4.1%	\$	108,114	\$	3,909	3.8%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	, \$	104,205	\$ 4,311	4.3%	\$ 87,347	\$	3,632	4.3%	\$	112,504	\$	4,641	4.3%	\$	42,974	\$	1,868	4.5%	\$	104,205	\$	4,311	4.3%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$	99,894	\$ 1,227	1.2%	\$ 83,715	\$	1,012	1.2%	\$	107,863	\$	1,336	1.3%	\$	41,106	\$	428	1.1%	\$	99,894		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$	98,667	\$ 2,061	2.1%	\$ 82,702	\$	1,743	2.2%	\$	106,527	\$	2,216	2.1%	\$	40,678	\$	919	2.3%		S	ee r	notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$	96,605	\$ 2,627	2.8%	\$ 80,960	\$	2,228	2.8%	\$	104,311	44	2,819	2.8%	\$	39,759	\$	1,203	3.1%	\$	96,605	\$	2,627	2.8%		S	ee n	otes	
2001-02	\$	93,978	\$ 1,053	1.1%	\$ 78,732	\$	696	0.9%	\$	101,492	\$	1,268	1.3%	\$	38,556	\$	(451)	-1.2%	\$	93,978	\$	1,053	1.1%	\$	25,618	\$	300	1.2%
2000-01	\$	92,925	\$ 2,837	3.1%	\$ 78,036	\$	2,415	3.2%	\$	100,224	44	3,036	3.1%	\$	39,007	\$	1,347	3.6%	\$	92,925	\$	2,837	3.1%	\$	25,318	\$	770	3.1%
1999-00	\$	90,088	\$ 3,358	3.9%	\$ 75,621	\$	2,839	3.9%	\$	97,188	44	3,608	3.9%	\$	37,660	\$	1,503	4.2%	\$	90,088	\$	3,358	3.9%	\$	24,548	\$	914	3.9%
1998-99	\$	86,730	\$ 4,419	5.4%	\$ 72,782	\$	3,730	5.4%	\$	93,580	44	4,753	5.4%	\$	36,157	\$	1,942	5.7%	\$	86,730	\$	4,419	5.4%	\$	23,634	\$	1,203	5.4%
1997-98	\$	82,311	\$ 3,962	5.1%	\$ 69,052	\$	3,332	5.1%	\$	88,827	44	4,271	5.1%	\$	34,215	\$	1,686	5.2%	\$	82,311	\$	3,962	5.1%	\$	22,431	\$	1,079	5.1%
1996-97	\$	78,349	\$ 4,430	6.0%	\$ 65,720	\$	3,739	6.0%	\$	84,556	44	4,764	6.0%	\$	32,529	\$	1,948	6.4%	\$	78,349	\$	4,430	6.0%	\$	21,352	\$	1,205	6.0%
1995-96	\$	73,919	\$ 2,964	4.2%	\$ 61,981	\$	2,495	4.2%	\$	79,792	44	3,194	4.2%	\$	30,581	\$	1,271	4.3%	\$	73,919	\$	2,964	4.2%	\$	20,147	\$	808	4.2%
1994-95	\$	70,955	\$ 3,923	5.9%	\$ 59,486	\$	3,303	5.9%	\$	76,598	44	4,224	5.8%	\$	29,310	\$	1,689	6.1%	\$	70,955		-	-	\$	19,339		-	-
1993-94	\$	67,032	\$ 2,737	4.3%	\$ 56,183	\$	2,319	4.3%	\$	72,374	44	2,937	4.2%	\$	27,621	\$	1,247	4.7%		S	ee r	notes					otes	
1992-93	\$	64,295	\$ 233	0.4%	\$ 53,864	\$	222	0.4%	\$	69,437	44	233	0.3%	\$	26,374	\$	222	0.8%	\$	64,062	\$	-	0.0%	\$	17,465	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$	64,062	\$ 2,682	4.4%	\$ 53,642	\$	2,230	4.3%	\$	69,204	\$	2,909	4.4%	\$	26,152	\$	1,020	4.1%	\$	64,062	\$	2,682	4.4%	\$	17,465	\$	732	4.4%
1990-91	\$	61,380	\$ 2,697	4.6%	\$ 51,412	\$	2,291	4.7%	\$	66,295	44	2,890	4.6%	\$	25,132	\$	1,255	5.3%	\$	61,380	\$	2,697	4.6%	\$	16,733	\$	733	4.6%
1989-90	\$	58,683	\$ 3,003	5.4%	\$ 49,121	\$	2,552	5.5%	\$	63,405	44	7,725	13.9%	\$	23,877	\$	1,405	6.3%	\$	58,683	\$	3,003	5.4%	\$	16,000	\$	816	5.4%
1988-89	\$	55,680	\$ 7,229	14.9%	\$ 46,569	\$	6,779	17.0%	\$	55,680	44	2,939	5.6%	\$	22,472	\$	1,331	6.3%	\$	55,680	\$	2,939	5.6%	\$	15,184	\$	800	5.6%
1987-88	\$	-, -	\$ 2,516	5.5%	\$ 39,790	\$	2,094	5.6%	\$	52,741	\$	2,719	5.4%	\$	21,141	\$	1,207	6.1%	\$	52,741	\$	2,719	5.4%	\$	14,384	\$	740	5.4%
1986-87	\$	45,935	\$ 3,232	7.6%	\$ 37,696	\$	2,682	7.7%	\$	50,022	\$	3,500	7.5%	\$	19,934	\$	1,520	8.3%	\$	50,022	\$	3,500	7.5%	\$	13,644	\$	952	7.5%
1985-86	\$	42,703	-	-	\$ 35,014		-	-	\$	46,522		-	-	\$	18,414		-	-	\$	46,522		-	-	\$	12,692		-	-

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Nassau County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17 Clerk of Circuit Court, Property **County Commissioners** Fiscal Appraiser & Tax Collector Supervisor of Elections Sheriff School Superintendent **School Board Members** Salary \$ Chg. Salary Salary % Chg. Salary Salary Year \$ Chg. % Chg. \$ Chg. % Chg. \$ Chg. % Chg. \$ Chg. % Chg. Salary \$ Chg. % Chg. 2016-17 120,239 0.4% 45,208 \$ 111,276 | \$ 492 0.4% 111,276 \$ 18,596 20.1% 505 378 0.8% 111,276 \$ 492 0.4% 30,340 \$ 132 0.4% \$ 119,733 \$ 2015-16 \$ 110,784 \$ 300 0.3% 92,680 271 0.3% 310 0.3% 44,830 218 0.5% \$ 110,784 | \$ 300 0.3% 30,208 \$ 80 0.3% 2014-15 \$ 110,484 \$ 544 0.5% 92,409 483 0.5% \$ 119,424 568 0.5% 44,612 354 0.8% \$ 110,484 \$ 544 0.5% 30,128 146 0.5% 2013-14 \$ 109,940 \$ 3,959 3.7% 91,925 \$ 3,312 3.7% \$ 118,855 | \$ 4,279 3.7% 44,258 \$ 1,602 3.8% \$ 109,940 \$ 3,959 3.7% 29,982 \$ 1,079 3.7% 2012-13 \$ 105,981 \$ 95 0.1% 88,614 \$ 91 0.1% \$ 114,577 95 0.1% 42,655 91 0.2% \$ 105,981 \$ 95 0.1% 28,902 \$ 25 0.1% 2011-12 \$ 105,886 \$ 187 0.2% 88,523 \$ 178 0.2% \$ 114,481 187 0.2% 42,564 178 0.4% \$ 105,886 \$ 187 0.2% 28,877 \$ 50 0.2% 2010-11 \$ 105.699 \$ 174 0.2% 88.344 \$ 165 0.2% 114.294 174 0.2% 42.386 165 0.4% \$ 105.699 \$ 2.878 2.8% 28.827 See notes 42,221 576 28,781 2009-10 \$ 105,525 \$ 606 0.6% 88,179 \$ 577 0.7% 114,121 606 0.5% 1.4% 102,821 See notes See notes 41,644 385 2008-09 \$ 104,919 | \$ 471 0.5% 87,602 435 0.5% 113,515 480 0.4% 0.9% 104,919 471 0.5% 28,621 126 0.4% 2007-08 \$ 104,448 \$ 625 0.6% 87,167 595 0.7% 113,035 624 0.6% 41,260 595 1.5% 104,448 \$ 0.6% 28,495 2006-07 \$ 103,824 \$ 3,657 3.7% 86,572 \$ 3,071 3.7% 112,410 3,944 3.6% 40,664 1,536 3.9% 103,824 \$ 3,657 3.7% N/A 2005-06 \$ 100,167 \$ 4,451 4.6% 83,501 \$ 3,765 4.7% 108,466 \$ 4,780 4.6% 39,128 \$ 2,001 5.4% \$ 100,167 \$ 4,450 4.6% N/A

1.9%

2.4%

3.0%

3.0%

3.5%

4.1%

5.5%

2.5%

6.2%

4.3%

5.9%

4.1%

0.3%

3.4%

4.8%

14.2%

5.8%

5.7%

7.7%

37,127

36,177

35.096

33.849

32,824

31,349

29,893

28,129

26,411

24,618

23,472

22.045

21,109

20,923

20,690

19,494

18,348

17,131 \$ 1,103

16,028

14.688

951

1,080

1.248

1.025

1,475

1,456

1,764

1,718

1,793

1,146

1,427

936

186

233

1,196

1,146

1,217

1,340

2.6%

3.1%

3.7%

3.1%

4.7%

4.9%

6.3%

6.5%

7.3%

4.9%

6.5%

4.4%

0.9%

1.1%

6.1%

6.2%

7.1%

6.9%

9.1%

95,716

91.709 \$

89.035 \$

86,432 \$

83,462 \$

80,154 \$

75,921 \$

74,253 \$

69,860 \$

60,500 \$

60,500 \$

58,558 \$

55,852 \$

53,039 \$

50,139 \$

47,453 \$

44.043

66,941

See notes

2.674

2.603

2,970

3,308

4,233

1,668

4,393

2,919

1,942

2,706

2,813

2,900

2,686

3,410

See notes

3.0%

3.0%

3.6%

4.1%

5.6%

2.2%

6.3%

4.4%

0.0%

3.3%

4.8%

5.3%

5.8%

5.7%

7.7%

N/A N/A

24.310

23,599

22.793

20,739

17,717

16,012

21,893 \$

19,652 \$

18,490 \$

16,012 \$

15,498 \$

14,782 \$

14,038 \$

13,270 \$

12,559

11.657

See notes

See notes

711

806

900

1,154

1,087

1,162

773

514

716

744

768

711

902

3.0%

3.5%

4.1%

5.6%

5.5%

6.3%

4.4%

0.0%

3.3%

4.8%

5.3%

5.8%

5.7%

7.7%

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145. Florida Statutes

1985-86 Notes:

2004-05

2003-04

2002-03

2001-02

2000-01

1999-00

1998-99

1997-98

1996-97

1995-96

1994-95

1993-94

1992-93

1991-92

1990-91

1989-90

1988-89

1987-88

1986-87

95,716 \$

93,940 \$

91.709 \$

89.035 \$

86,432 \$

83,462 \$

80,154 \$

75,921 \$

74,253 \$

69,860 \$

66,941 \$

63.180 \$

60,695 | \$

60,500 \$

58,558 \$

55,852 \$

53,039 \$

45,849 \$

43,367 \$

40.224

1,776

2,231

2.674

2.603

2,970

3,308

4,233

1,668

4,393

2,919

3,761

2.485

1,942

2,706

2,813

7,190

2,482

3,143

195

1.9%

2.4%

3.0%

3.0%

3.6%

4.1%

5.6%

2.2%

6.3%

4.4%

6.0%

4.1%

0.3%

3.3%

4.8%

5.3%

15.7%

5.7%

7.8%

79,736 \$ 1,535

76.297

74.025

71,853

69.311

66,518

62,966

61,819

58,116 \$ 2,452

50,435

50,249

55,664 \$

52.515 \$

48,724 \$

46,424 \$

44,054 \$

37,312 \$

35,250 \$ 2,597

32.653

78,201 \$

2.0%

2.5%

3.1%

3.0%

3.7%

4.2%

5.6%

1.9%

6.4%

4.4%

6.0%

4.1%

0.4%

3.1%

5.0%

5.4%

18.1%

5.8%

8.0%

1,904

2.272

2.172

2,542

2,793

3,552

1,147

3,703

3,149

2.080

1,525

2,300

2,370

6,742

2,062

186

103,686 \$

99.415 \$

96.550

93,731

90,562

82,436

75,733 \$ 3,148

72,585 \$ 4,063

65,641

60,573

53,039 \$ 2,900

50,139 \$ 2,686

47,453 \$ 3,410

44.043

87,004 \$

80,461 \$

68.522 \$

65,837 \$

63,473 \$

101,800 \$ 2,385

1,885

2.866

2.819

3,169

3,558

4,568

1,975

4,728

2.685

2,168

2,900

7,534

196

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary fo teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Okaloosa County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

Piscal Appraiser & Tax Collector Superviser of Elections Shortif County Commissioners School Superintender School Superviser School School Superviser		CI	lerk of	Circu	uit Court,	Property																						
2015-16 \$ 127,582 \$.463 0.4% \$ 108,583 \$.504 0.5% \$ 138,648 \$.482 0.3% \$.86,867 \$.399 0.5% \$ 127,881 \$.328 0.3% \$.35,181 \$.278 0.39 0.3% \$.35,181 \$.278 0.39 0.39 0.39 0.39 0.39 0.39 0.39 0.39	Fiscal		Appr	aiser	& Tax Co	llector	Supervi	sor of Ele	ctions	ı		Sh	eriff			County	Со	mmissio	ners	Schoo	l Su	perinten	dent		School I	Boar	d Memi	bers
2014-15	Year		Salar	′	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	٠,	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	ï	Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15 \$ 127,152 \$ 463 0.4% \$ 108,283 \$ 407 0.4% \$ 136,092 \$ 488 0.4% \$ 66,008 \$ 3.88 0.6% \$ 127,152 \$ 463 0.4% \$ 35,060 \$ 133 0.4% \$ 103,415 \$ 103,435 \$ 88 0.1% \$ 130,419 \$ 92 0.1% \$ 66,445 \$ 158 0.2% \$ 121,451 \$ 1,666 1.3% \$ 33,484 \$ 2.90 0.1% \$ 2011-12 \$ 121,461 \$ 1,666 1.3% \$ 103,435 \$ 88 0.1% \$ 130,419 \$ 92 0.1% \$ 66,445 \$ 158 0.2% \$ 121,451 \$ 1,666 1.3% \$ 33,484 \$ 2.90 0.1% \$ 2011-12 \$ 121,461 \$ 1,666 1.3% \$ 103,437 \$ (1,577) 1.5% \$ 130,047 \$ (1,656) 1.3% \$ 66,445 \$ 125,009 \$ 123,045 \$ (1,656) 1.3% \$ 103,437 \$ (1,577) 1.5% \$ 130,047 \$ (1,656) 1.3% \$ 66,445 \$ (1,656) 1.3% \$ 103,437 \$ (1,577) 1.5% \$ 130,047 \$ (1,656) 1.3% \$ 66,445 \$ (1,656) 1.3% \$ 103,437 \$ (1,577) 1.5% \$ 130,047 \$ (1,656) 1.3% \$ 66,445 \$ (251) 0.4% \$ 123,045 \$ (246) 0.1% \$ 131,047 \$ (1,656) 1.3% \$ 66,445 \$ (251) 0.4% \$ 123,045 \$ (246) 0.1% \$ (246) 0.1% \$ 131,047 \$ (1,656) 1.3% \$ 66,445 \$ (251) 0.4% \$ 123,045 \$ (246) 0.1%	2016-17	\$	127,8	81 \$	328	0.3%	\$ 127,881	\$ 19,23	17.7%	\$	136,844	\$	342	0.3%	\$	68,867	\$	339	0.5%	\$ 127,881	\$	328	0.3%	\$	35,278	\$	96	0.3%
2013-14 \$ 126,689 \$ 5,146 4.2% \$ 107,877 \$ 4,442 4.3% \$ 135,604 \$ 5,465 4.2% \$ 67,620 \$ 3,467 5.4% \$ 126,689 \$ 5,146 4.2% \$ 34,928 \$ 1,444 4.3% \$ 2012-13 \$ 121,543 \$ 92 0.1% \$ 103,435 \$ 88 0.1% \$ 130,139 \$ 92 0.1% \$ 64,163 \$ 158 0.2% \$ 121,543 \$ 92 0.1% \$ 33,484 \$ 29 0.1% \$ 2011-12 \$ 121,451 \$ (1,656) -1.3% \$ 103,347 \$ (1,657) -1.5% \$ 130,139 \$ 92 0.1% \$ 64,163 \$ 158 0.2% \$ 121,543 \$ 92 0.1% \$ 33,484 \$ 29 0.1% \$ 2011-12 \$ 121,451 \$ (1,656) -1.3% \$ 103,347 \$ (1,657) -1.5% \$ 130,139 \$ 104,047 \$ (1,656) -1.3% \$ 64,005 \$ (2,839) -4.2% \$ 121,451 \$ (1,656) -1.3% \$ 33,484 \$ 29 0.1% \$ 2010-11 \$ 123,108 \$ (146) -0.1% \$ 104,924 \$ (139) -0.1% \$ 131,703 \$ (1,660) -0.1% \$ 66,844 \$ (251) -0.4% \$ 123,108 \$ 2,431 \$ 2.0% \$ 33,980 \$ 80e notes \$ 2000-10 \$ 123,549 \$ 114 0.1% \$ 104,955 \$ 511 0.5% \$ 131,703 \$ (146) -0.1% \$ 67,095 \$ 195 0.3% \$ 120,677 \$ 8e notes \$ 34,027 \$ 800-200-90 \$ 123,139 \$ 550 0.4% \$ 104,955 \$ 511 0.5% \$ 131,735 \$ 560 0.4% \$ 66,900 \$ 785 1.2% \$ 123,139 \$ 550 0.4% \$ 33,990 \$ 169 0.5% \$ 2000-00 \$ 122,599 \$ 399 0.3% \$ 104,444 \$ 380 0.4% \$ 131,7175 \$ 399 0.3% \$ 66,115 \$ 686 1.0% \$ 122,599 \$ 399 0.3% \$ 33,821 \$ \$ 2005-06 \$ 117,775 \$ 117,775 \$ 1399 \$ 104,444 \$ 300 0.4% \$ 131,775 \$ 390 0.4% \$ 104,775 \$ 104,7	2015-16	\$	127,	52 \$	400	0.3%	\$ 108,650	\$ 36	0.3%	\$	136,502	\$	410	0.3%	\$	68,528	\$	520	0.8%	\$ 127,552	\$	400	0.3%	\$	35,181	\$	121	0.3%
2011-12 \$ 121,451 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2014-15	\$	127,1	52 \$	463	0.4%	\$ 108,283	\$ 40	0.4%	\$	136,092	\$	488	0.4%	44	68,008	\$	388	0.6%	\$ 127,152	\$	463	0.4%	\$	35,060	\$	133	0.4%
2011-12 \$ 121,451 \$ (1,656) -1.3% \$ 103,347 \$ (1,577) -1.5% \$ 130,047 \$ (1,656) -1.3% \$ 64,005 \$ (2,839) -4.2% \$ 121,451 \$ (1,656) -1.3% \$ 33,455 \$ (526) -1.5%	2013-14	\$	126,6	89 \$	5,146	4.2%	\$ 107,877	\$ 4,44	4.3%	\$	135,604	\$	5,465	4.2%	\$	67,620	\$	3,457	5.4%	\$ 126,689	\$	5,146	4.2%	\$	34,928	\$	1,444	4.3%
2009-10 \$ 123,108 \$ (146) 0.11% \$ 104,924 \$ (199) 0.15% \$ 131,703 \$ (146) 0.11% \$ 66,844 \$ (251) 0.4% \$ 120,677 \$ See notes \$ 34,027 \$ See notes 34,027 \$ See notes \$ 34,027 \$ S	2012-13	\$	121,5	43 \$	92	0.1%	\$ 103,435	\$ 8	0.1%	\$	130,139	\$	92	0.1%	44	64,163	\$	158	0.2%	\$ 121,543	\$	92	0.1%	\$	33,484	\$	29	0.1%
2008-09 \$ 123,139 \$ 550 0.4% \$ 105,064 \$ 109 0.1% \$ 131,849 \$ 114 0.1% \$ 67,095 \$ 195 0.3% \$ 120,677 \$ See notes \$ 34,027 \$ See notes \$ 2008-09 \$ 123,139 \$ 550 0.4% \$ 104,955 \$ 511 0.5% \$ 131,755 \$ 550 0.4% \$ 66,900 \$ 785 1.2% \$ 1.2% \$ 123,139 \$ 550 0.4% \$ 33,990 \$ 109 0.5% \$ 2007-08 \$ 122,599 \$ 399 0.3% \$ 104,444 \$ 380 0.4% \$ 131,175 \$ 399 0.3% \$ 66,915 \$ 686 1.0% \$ 122,589 \$ 399 0.3% \$ 304,444 \$ 380 0.4% \$ 131,175 \$ 399 0.3% \$ 66,915 \$ 686 1.0% \$ 122,589 \$ 399 0.3% \$ 33,821 \$ - \$ - \$ 2006-07 \$ 122,190 \$ 4,415 3.7% \$ 104,064 \$ 3,793 3.8% \$ 130,776 \$ 4,702 3.7% \$ 65,429 \$ 2,751 4.4% \$ 122,190 \$ 4,414 3.7% \$ NAA \$ - \$ 2005-06 \$ 117,775 \$ 5,149 4.6% \$ 100,271 \$ 4,431 4.6% \$ 120,579 \$ 2,2551 \$ 4,40 \$ 122,190 \$ 4,414 3.7% \$ NAA \$ - \$ 2004-05 \$ 112,626 \$ 1,950 1.8% \$ 95,840 \$ 1,700 1.8% \$ 120,595 \$ 2,059 1.7% \$ 59,887 \$ 1,510 2.6% \$ 112,626 \$ - \$ - \$ N/A \$ - \$ 2002-03 \$ 108,168 \$ 2,967 2.8% \$ 91,972 \$ 2,2551 \$ 2,95 \$ 115,874 \$ 3,116,874 \$ 2,368 \$ 2,967 2.8% \$ 103,027 \$ 3,175 3.2% \$ 89,421 \$ 1,763 2.9% \$ 110,576 \$ 2,388 2.2% \$ 54,290 \$ 1.28 \$ 105,201 \$ 2,174 2.1% \$ 2,893 8 1.85 \$ 2,060 \$ 2,967 2.8% \$ 103,027 \$ 3,175 3.2% \$ 89,421 \$ 1,763 2.9% \$ 110,675 \$ 2,388 2.2% \$ 54,290 \$ 1.28 \$ 0.2% \$ 105,201 \$ 2,174 2.1% \$ 2,893 8 563 2.0% \$ 2000-01 \$ 103,027 \$ 3,175 3.2% \$ 87,658 \$ 2,777 3.2% \$ 110,675 \$ 5,445 \$ 4.0% \$ 52,662 \$ 1.90 \$ 2,445 \$ 1.2% \$ 2,444 \$ 1.2% \$	2011-12	\$	121,4	51 \$	(1,656	-1.3%	\$ 103,347	\$ (1,57	') -1.5%	\$	130,047	\$	(1,656)	-1.3%	\$	64,005	\$	(2,839)	-4.2%	\$ 121,451	\$	(1,656)	-1.3%	\$	33,455	\$	(526)	-1.5%
2008-09 \$ 123,139 \$ 550 0.4% \$ 104,955 \$ 511 0.5% \$ 131,735 \$ 560 0.4% \$ 66,900 \$ 785 1.2% \$ 123,139 \$ 550 0.4% \$ 33,990 \$ 169 0.5% 2007-08 \$ 122,599 \$ 399 0.3% \$ 104,444 \$ 380 0.4% \$ 131,175 \$ 399 0.3% \$ 66,115 \$ 686 1.0% \$ 122,599 \$ 399 0.3% \$ 33,821 2005-07 \$ 122,190 \$ 4,415 3.7% \$ 104,644 \$ 3,793 3.8% \$ 130,776 \$ 4,702 3.7% \$ 65,429 \$ 2,751 4.4% \$ 122,190 \$ 4,414 3.7% \$ N/A 2005-06 \$ 117,775 \$ 5,149 4.6% \$ 100,271 \$ 4,431 4.6% \$ 126,074 \$ 5,479 4.5% \$ 66,429 \$ 7,510 2.6% \$ 117,775 \$ 5,149 4.6% \$ N/A 2005-06 \$ 117,775 \$ 5,149 4.6% \$ 100,271 \$ 4,431 4.6% \$ 126,074 \$ 5,479 4.5% \$ 62,662 \$ 3,291 5.5% \$ 117,775 \$ 5,149 4.6% \$ N/A 2003-04 \$ 110,676 \$ 2,500 2.3% \$ 94,140 \$ 2,168 2.4% \$ 118,536 \$ 2,662 2.3% \$ 5,887 \$ 1,510 2.6% \$ 117,775 \$ 5,149 4.6% \$ N/A 2002-03 \$ 108,168 \$ 2,967 2.8% \$ 91,972 \$ 2,551 2.9% \$ 115,874 \$ 3,159 2.8% \$ 56,142 \$ 1,872 3.4% \$ 108,168 \$ 2,967 2.8% \$ See notes \$ N/A 2002-03 \$ 108,168 \$ 2,967 2.8% \$ 91,972 \$ 2,551 2.9% \$ 116,874 \$ 3,159 2.8% \$ 56,142 \$ 1,872 3.4% \$ 108,168 \$ 2,967 2.8% \$ See notes \$ N/A 2002-01 \$ 103,027 \$ 3,175 3.2% \$ 87,658 \$ 2,273 3.2% \$ 110,327 \$ 3,374 3.2% \$ 54,162 \$ 2,093 4.0% \$ 103,027 \$ 3,175 3.2% \$ 28,938 \$ 563 2.0% \$ 1998-09 \$ 99,852 \$ 3,904 4.1% \$ 84,921 \$ 3,360 4.1% \$ 100,327 \$ 3,374 3.2% \$ 54,029 \$ 1.28 0.2% \$ 103,027 \$ 3,175 3.2% \$ 28,938 \$ 563 2.0% \$ 1998-99 \$ 99,895 \$ 3,904 4.1% \$ 84,921 \$ 3,360 4.1% \$ 100,327 \$ 3,374 3.2% \$ 54,029 \$ 1.28 0.2% \$ 9,9852 \$ 3,904 4.1% \$ 2,7486 \$ 1,091 4.1% \$ 1998-97 \$ 86,006 \$ 4,978 \$ 6,142 \$ 5,778 \$ 102,788 \$ 5,482 \$ 5,48	2010-11	\$	123,1	08 \$	(146)	-0.1%	\$ 104,924	\$ (13	-0.1%	\$	131,703	\$	(146)	-0.1%	44	66,844	\$	(251)	-0.4%	\$ 123,108	\$	2,431	2.0%	\$	33,980		See no	otes
2007-08 \$ 122,589 \$ 399 0.3% \$ 104,444 \$ 380 0.4% \$ 131,175 \$ 399 0.3% \$ 66,115 \$ 686 1.0% \$ 122,589 \$ 399 0.3% \$ 33,821 - - -	2009-10	\$	123,2	54 \$	114	0.1%	\$ 105,064	\$ 10	0.1%	\$	131,849	\$	114	0.1%	\$	67,095	\$	195	0.3%	\$ 120,677		See no	otes	\$	34,027		See no	otes
2006-07 \$ 122,190 \$ 4,415 3.7% \$ 104,064 \$ 3,793 3.8% \$ 130,776 \$ 4,702 3.7% \$ 65,429 \$ 2,751 4.4% \$ 122,190 \$ 4,414 3.7% N/A 2005-06 \$ 117,775 \$ 5,149 4.6% \$ 100,271 \$ 4,431 4.6% \$ 126,674 \$ 5,479 4.5% \$ 62,678 \$ 3,291 5.5% \$ 117,775 \$ 5,149 4.6% N/A 2002-06 \$ 112,626 \$ 1,950 1.8% \$ 98,840 \$ 1,700 1.8% \$ 126,595 \$ 2,059 1.7% \$ 59,387 \$ 1,510 2.6% \$ 117,775 \$ 5,149 4.6% N/A 2002-04 \$ 110,676 \$ 2,508 2.3% \$ 94,140 \$ 2,168 2.4% \$ 118,536 \$ 2,662 2.3% \$ 57,877 \$ 1,716 3.1% \$ Senotes	2008-09	\$	123,1	39 \$	550	0.4%	\$ 104,955	\$ 51	0.5%	\$	131,735	\$	560	0.4%	\$	66,900	\$	785	1.2%	\$ 123,139	\$	550	0.4%	\$	33,990	\$	169	0.5%
2005-06 \$ 117,775 \$ 5,149 4.6% \$ 100,271 \$ 4,431 4.6% \$ 126,074 \$ 5,479 4.5% \$ 62,678 \$ 3,291 5.5% \$ 117,775 \$ 5,149 4.6% N/A N/A	2007-08	\$	122,	89 \$			\$ 104,444			\$	131,175	\$			\$,	\$		1.0%	\$ 122,589	\$		0.3%	\$			-	-
2004-05 \$ 112,626 \$ 1,950 1.8% \$ 95,840 \$ 1,700 1.8% \$ 120,595 \$ 2,059 1.7% \$ 59,387 \$ 1,510 2.6% \$ 111,626 N/A 2003-04 \$ 110,676 \$ 2,508 2.3% \$ 94,140 \$ 2,168 2.4% \$ 118,536 \$ 2,662 2.3% \$ 57,877 \$ 1,716 3.1% See notes N/A N/A N/A	2006-07	\$	122,1	90 \$	4,415	3.7%	\$ 104,064	\$ 3,79	3.8%	\$	130,776	\$	4,702		\$	65,429	\$	2,751	4.4%	\$ 122,190	\$	4,414	3.7%		N/A		-	-
2003-04 \$ 110,676 \$ 2,508 2.3% \$ 94,140 \$ 2,168 2.4% \$ 118,536 \$ 2,662 2.3% \$ 57,877 \$ 1,716 3.1% See notes N/A	2005-06	\$	117,7	75 \$	5,149	4.6%	\$ 100,271	\$ 4,43	4.6%	\$	126,074	\$	-, -		\$	- ,	\$	3,291	5.5%	\$ 117,775	\$	5,149	4.6%				-	-
2002-03 \$ 108,168 \$ 2,967 2.8% \$ 91,972 \$ 2,551 2.9% \$ 115,874 \$ 3,159 2.8% \$ 56,162 \$ 1,872 3.4% \$ 108,168 \$ 2,967 2.8% \$ See notes 2001-02 \$ 105,201 \$ 2,174 2.1% \$ 89,421 \$ 1,763 2.0% \$ 112,715 \$ 2,388 2.2% \$ 54,290 \$ 128 0.2% \$ 105,201 \$ 2,174 2.1% \$ 28,938 \$ 563 2.0% 2000-01 \$ 103,027 \$ 3,175 3.2% \$ 87,668 \$ 2,737 3.2% \$ 106,953 \$ 4,155 4.0% \$ 52,069 \$ 2,499 \$ 5.0% \$ 99,852 \$ 3,904 4.1% \$ 84,921 \$ 3,360 4.1% \$ 106,953 \$ 4,155 4.0% \$ 52,069 \$ 2,499 \$ 5.0% \$ 99,852 \$ 3,904 4.1% \$ 27,486 \$ 1,091 4.1% 1998-99 \$ 95,948 \$ 5,148 5.7% \$ 81,561 \$ 4,424 5.7% \$ 102,798 \$ 5,482 5.6% \$ 49,570 \$ 3,217 6.9% \$ 99,852 \$ 3,904 4.1% \$ 27,486 \$ 1,091 4.1% 1996-97 \$ 86,306 \$ 4,494 5.2% \$ 77,137 \$ 3,839 \$ 5.2% \$ 97,316 \$ 4,803 \$ 5.2% \$ 46,353 \$ 2,559 5.8% \$ 90,800 \$ 4,494 5.2% \$ 24,959 \$ 1,244 5.2% 1995-96 \$ 81,328 \$ 3,440 4.4% \$ 69,037 \$ 2,948 4.5% \$ 87,200 \$ 3,669 4.4% \$ 40,898 \$ 2,055 5.3% \$ 81,328 \$ 3,440 4.4% \$ 22,333 \$ 956 4.5% 1993-94 \$ 73,421 \$ 3,007 4.3% \$ 62,268 \$ 2,577 4.3% \$ 75,407 \$ 3,208 \$ 3,208 4.2% \$ 36,249 \$ 1,791 5.2% 1993-94 \$ 70,266 \$ 2,251 3.3% \$ 59,691 \$ 1,410 0.2% \$ 75,555 \$ 148 0.2% \$ 3,408 \$ 1,991 5.0% \$ 70,266 \$ 2,251 3.3% \$ 19,255 \$ 5.80 3,1% 1990-91 \$ 68,015 \$ 2,872 4.4% \$ 57,731 \$ 2,458 4.4% \$ 72,930 \$ 3,066 4.4% \$ 34,403 \$ 5.2% \$ 70,266 \$ 2,251 3.3% \$ 59,550 \$ 1,819 3.2% \$ 75,5407 \$ 2,477 3.4% \$ 34,203 \$ 5.90 0.2% \$ 70,266 \$ 2,251 3.3% \$ 19,255 \$ 5.80 3,1% 1990-91 \$ 68,015 \$ 2,872 4.4% \$ 57,731 \$ 2,458 4.4% \$ 72,930 \$ 3,066 4.4% \$ 34,404 \$ 1,675 \$ 5.2% \$ 68,015 \$ 2,872 4.4% \$ 18,675 \$ 797 4.5% 1990-91 \$ 68,015 \$ 2,872 4.4% \$ 57,731 \$ 2,458 4.4% \$ 72,930 \$ 3,066 4.4% \$ 34,404 \$ 1,675 \$ 5.2% \$ 68,015 \$ 2,872 4.4% \$ 18,675 \$ 797 4.5% 1990-91 \$ 68,015 \$ 2,872 4.4% \$ 57,731 \$ 2,458 4.4% \$ 72,930 \$ 3,066 4.4% \$ 34,404 \$ 1,675 \$ 5.2% \$ 68,015 \$ 2,872 4.4% \$ 18,675 \$ 797 4.5% 1990-92 \$ 68,143 \$ 3,256 \$ 5.3% \$ 55,273 \$ 2,793 \$ 5.3% \$ 69,864 \$ 7,977	2004-05	\$	112,6	26	1,950	1.8%	\$ 95,840	\$ 1,70	1.8%	\$	120,595	\$	2,059		\$	59,387	\$	1,510	2.6%	\$ 112,626		-	-		N/A		-	-
2001-02 \$ 105,201 \$ 2,174 2.1% \$ 89,421 \$ 1,763 2.0% \$ 112,715 \$ 2,388 2.2% \$ 54,290 \$ 128 0.2% \$ 105,201 \$ 2,174 2.1% \$ 28,938 \$ 563 2.0% 2000-01 \$ 103,027 \$ 3,175 3.2% \$ 87,658 \$ 2,737 3.2% \$ 110,327 \$ 3,374 3.2% \$ 54,162 \$ 2,093 4.0% \$ 103,027 \$ 3,175 3.2% \$ 28,375 \$ 889 3.2% 1999-00 \$ 99,852 \$ 3,904 4.1% \$ 84,921 \$ 3,360 4.1% \$ 106,527 \$ 3,374 3.2% \$ 54,162 \$ 2,093 4.0% \$ 103,027 \$ 3,175 3.2% \$ 28,375 \$ 889 3.2% 1998-99 \$ 95,948 \$ 5,148 \$ 5.7% \$ 81,561 \$ 4,424 \$ 5.7% \$ 102,798 \$ 5,482 \$ 5.6% \$ 49,570 \$ 3,217 \$ 6.9% \$ 99,852 \$ 3,904 4.1% \$ 26,395 \$ 1,436 \$ 5.8% 1997-98 \$ 90,800 \$ 4,494 \$ 5.2% \$ 77,137 \$ 3,839 \$ 5.2% \$ 97,316 \$ 4,803 \$ 5.2% \$ 46,353 \$ 2,539 5.8% \$ 90,800 \$ 4,494 \$ 5.2% \$ 24,959 \$ 1,244 \$ 5.2% \$ 1995-96 \$ 81,328 \$ 3,440 4.4% \$ 69,037 \$ 2,948 4.5% \$ 87,200 \$ 3,669 4.4% \$ 40,898 \$ 2,055 5.3% \$ 81,328 \$ 3,440 4.4% \$ 22,333 \$ 956 4.5% 1994-95 \$ 77,888 \$ 4,467 6.1% \$ 66,089 \$ 3,821 6.1% \$ 83,531 \$ 4,768 6.1% \$ 3,834 \$ 2,594 \$ 70,266 \$ 2,251 3.3% \$ 62,268 \$ 2,577 \$ 4.3% \$ 78,763 \$ 3,208 \$ 4.2% \$ 36,249 \$ 1,791 5.2% \$ 80,005 \$ 2,251 3.3% \$ 19,255 \$ 5.00 \$ 1991-92 \$ 70,266 \$ 2,251 3.3% \$ 59,550 \$ 1,819 3.2% \$ 75,407 \$ 2,477 3.4% \$ 34,203 \$ 5.9 0.2% \$ 70,266 \$ 2,251 3.3% \$ 19,255 \$ 5.90 \$ 1,889 9.90 \$ 65,143 \$ 3,256 5.3% \$ 19,045 \$ 5,731 \$ 2,488 4.4% \$ 72,930 \$ 3,066 4.4% \$ 34,444 \$ 1,675 5.2% \$ 68,015 \$ 2,872 4.4% \$ 18,675 \$ 797 4.5% 1989-90 \$ 65,143 \$ 3,256 5.3% \$ 55,731 \$ 2,488 4.4% \$ 72,930 \$ 3,066 4.4% \$ 34,444 \$ 1,675 5.2% \$ 68,015 \$ 2,872 4.4% \$ 18,675 \$ 797 4.5% 1989-90 \$ 65,143 \$ 3,256 5.3% \$ 57,731 \$ 2,488 4.4% \$ 72,930 \$ 3,066 4.4% \$ 34,444 \$ 1,675 5.2% \$ 68,015 \$ 2,872 4.4% \$ 18,675 \$ 797 4.5% 1989-90 \$ 65,143 \$ 3,256 5.3% \$ 57,31 \$ 2,488 4.4% \$ 72,930 \$ 3,066 4.4% \$ 34,444 \$ 1,675 5.2% \$ 68,015 \$ 2,872 4.4% \$ 18,675 \$ 797 4.5% 1989-90 \$ 65,143 \$ 3,256 5.3% \$ 57,31 \$ 2,488 4.4% \$ 72,930 \$ 3,066 4.4% \$ 34,444 \$ 1,675 5.2% \$ 68,015 \$ 2,872 4.4% \$ 18,675 \$ 797 4.5% 1989-90 \$ 65,143 \$ 3,256 5.3% \$ 57,33 5.2,793 5.3% \$ 69,864 \$ 7,977 12.9% \$ 32,469 \$ 1,981 6.5% \$ 66,015 \$ 2,872 4.4% \$ 18,675 \$ 7	2003-04	\$	110,6	76	2,508	2.3%	\$ 94,140	\$ 2,16	2.4%	\$	118,536	\$	2,662	2.3%	44	57,877	\$	1,716	3.1%		See	notes			N/A		-	-
2000-01 \$ 103,027 \$ 3,175 \$ 3.2% \$ 87,658 \$ 2,737 \$ 3.2% \$ 110,327 \$ 3,374 \$ 3.2% \$ 54,162 \$ 2,093 \$ 4.0% \$ 100,027 \$ 3,175 \$ 3.2% \$ 28,375 \$ 889 \$ 3.2% \$ 1998-90 \$ 99,852 \$ 3,904 \$ 4.1% \$ 84,921 \$ 3,360 \$ 4.1% \$ 106,953 \$ 4,155 \$ 4.0% \$ 52,069 \$ 2,499 \$ 5.0% \$ 99,852 \$ 3,904 \$ 4.1% \$ 27,486 \$ 1,091 \$ 4.1% \$ 1988-99 \$ 95,948 \$ 5,148 \$ 5,7% \$ 81,561 \$ 4.424 \$ 5.7% \$ 102,798 \$ 5,482 \$ 5.6% \$ 49,570 \$ 3,217 \$ 6.9% \$ 99,852 \$ 3,904 \$ 4.1% \$ 27,486 \$ 1,091 \$ 4.1% \$ 1997-98 \$ 90,800 \$ 4,494 \$ 5.2% \$ 77,137 \$ 3,383 \$ 5.2% \$ 97,316 \$ 4,803 \$ 5.2% \$ 46,353 \$ 2,539 \$ 5.8% \$ 90,800 \$ 4,494 \$ 5.2% \$ 24,959 \$ 1,244 \$ 5.2% \$ 1996-97 \$ 86,306 \$ 4,978 \$ 6.1% \$ 73,298 \$ 4,261 \$ 6.2% \$ 92,513 \$ 5,313 \$ 6.1% \$ 43,814 \$ 2,916 \$ 7.1% \$ 86,306 \$ 4,978 \$ 6.1% \$ 23,715 \$ 1,382 \$ 6.2% \$ 1995-96 \$ 81,328 \$ 3,440 \$ 4.4% \$ 69,037 \$ 2,948 \$ 4.5% \$ 87,200 \$ 3,669 \$ 4.4% \$ 40,898 \$ 2,055 \$ 5.3% \$ 81,328 \$ 3,440 \$ 4.4% \$ 22,333 \$ 956 \$ 4.5% \$ 1994-95 \$ 77,888 \$ 4,467 \$ 6.1% \$ 66,089 \$ 3,821 \$ 6.1% \$ 83,531 \$ 4,768 \$ 6.1% \$ 38,843 \$ 2,594 \$ 7.2% \$ 77,888 \$ \$ 21,377 \$ 1993-94 \$ 73,421 \$ 3,007 \$ 4.3% \$ 66,089 \$ 3,821 \$ 6.1% \$ 83,531 \$ 4,768 \$ 6.1% \$ 34,845 \$ 2,555 \$ 5.3% \$ 81,328 \$ 3,440 \$ 4.4% \$ 22,333 \$ 956 \$ 4.5% \$ 1994-95 \$ 77,888 \$ 7,446 \$ 6.1% \$ 83,531 \$ 4,768 \$ 6.1% \$ 38,643 \$ 2,594 \$ 7.2% \$ 77,888 \$ \$ 21,377 \$ 1993-94 \$ 73,421 \$ 3,007 \$ 4.3% \$ 66,268 \$ 2,577 \$ 4.3% \$ 78,763 \$ 3,208 \$ 4.2% \$ 34,458 \$ 255 \$ 0.7% \$ 70,266 \$ 2,251 \$ 3.3% \$ 19,255 \$ 580 \$ 3.1% \$ 1990-91 \$ 68,015 \$ 2,872 \$ 4.4% \$ 57,731 \$ 2,458 \$ 4.4% \$ 72,930 \$ 3,066 \$ 4.4% \$ 34,144 \$ 1,675 \$ 5.2% \$ 68,015 \$ 2,872 \$ 4.4% \$ 18,675 \$ 797 \$ 4.5% \$ 1989-90 \$ 65,143 \$ 3,256 \$ 5.3% \$ 55,273 \$ 2,793 \$ 5.3% \$ 69,864 \$ 7,977 \$ 12,9% \$ 32,469 \$ 1,981 \$ 6.5% \$ 65,143 \$ 3,256 \$ 5.3% \$ 17,878 \$ 906 \$ 5.3% \$ 1988-89 \$ 61,887 \$ 7,602 \$ 14.0% \$ 52,480 \$ 7,134 \$ 15.7% \$ 61,887 \$ 3,312 \$ 5.7% \$ 30,448 \$ 2,045 \$ 7.2% \$ 55,668 \$ 3,984 \$ 7.7% \$ 15,184 \$ 1,106 \$ 7.9% \$ 1986-87 \$ 51,381 \$ 3,716 \$ 7.8% \$ 42,883 \$ 3,144 \$ 7.9% \$ 55,468 \$ 3,984 \$ 7.7% \$ 52,648 \$ 3,984 \$ 7.7% \$ 55,468	2002-03	\$	108,1	68	2,967	2.8%	\$ 91,972	\$ 2,55	2.9%	\$	115,874	\$	3,159	2.8%	\$	56,162	\$	1,872	3.4%	\$ 108,168	\$	2,967	2.8%		S	ee n	otes	
1999-00 \$ 99,852 \$ 3,904 4.1% \$ 84,921 \$ 3,360 4.1% \$ 106,953 \$ 4,155 4.0% \$ 52,069 \$ 2,499 5.0% \$ 99,852 \$ 3,904 4.1% \$ 27,486 \$ 1,091 4.1% 1998-99 \$ 95,948 \$ 5,148 5.7% \$ 81,561 \$ 4,424 5.7% \$ 102,798 \$ 5,482 5.6% \$ 49,570 \$ 3,217 6.9% \$ 95,948 \$ 5,148 5.7% \$ 26,395 \$ 1,436 5.8% 1997-98 \$ 90,800 \$ 4,494 5.2% \$ 77,137 \$ 3,839 5.2% \$ 97,316 \$ 4,803 5.2% \$ 4,603 \$ 5.2% \$ 4,603 \$ 5.2% \$ 4,983 \$ 5,481 \$ 5,148 5.7% \$ 26,395 \$ 1,436 5.8% 1996-97 \$ 86,306 \$ 4,978 6.1% \$ 73,298 \$ 4,261 6.2% \$ 92,513 \$ 5,313 6.1% \$ 43,814 \$ 2,916 7.1% \$ 86,306 \$ 4,978 6.1% \$ 23,715 \$ 1,382 6.2% \$ 1995-96 \$ 81,328 \$ 3,440 4.4% \$ 69,037 \$ 2,948 4.5% \$ 87,200 \$ 3,669 4.4% \$ 40,898 \$ 2,055 5.3% \$ 81,328 \$ 3,440 4.4% \$ 22,333 \$ 956 4.5% 1994-95 \$ 77,888 \$ 4,467 6.1% \$ 66,089 \$ 3,821 6.1% \$ 83,531 \$ 4,768 6.1% \$ 38,843 \$ 2,594 7.2% \$ 77,888 \$ 21,377 1993-94 \$ 73,421 \$ 3,007 4.3% \$ 62,268 \$ 2,577 4.3% \$ 78,763 \$ 3,208 4.2% \$ 36,249 \$ 1,791 5.2% \$ See notes \$ See notes 1992-93 \$ 70,414 \$ 148 0.2% \$ 59,691 \$ 141 0.2% \$ 75,555 \$ 148 0.2% \$ 34,458 \$ 255 0.7% \$ 70,266 \$ 2,251 3.3% \$ 19,255 \$ 580 3.1% 1990-91 \$ 68,015 \$ 2,872 4.4% \$ 57,731 \$ 2,458 4.4% \$ 72,930 \$ 3,066 4.4% \$ 34,444 \$ 1,675 5.2% \$ 68,015 \$ 2,872 4.4% \$ 18,675 \$ 797 4.5% 1988-90 \$ 65,143 \$ 3,256 5.3% \$ 55,273 \$ 2,793 5.3% \$ 69,864 \$ 7,977 12,9% \$ 32,469 \$ 1,981 6.5% \$ 65,143 \$ 3,256 5.3% \$ 17,878 \$ 906 5.3% 1988-89 \$ 61,887 \$ 7,602 14.0% \$ 52,480 \$ 7,134 15.7% \$ 61,887 \$ 3,312 5.7% \$ 30,488 \$ 2,045 7.2% \$ 55,668 \$ 3,984 7.7% \$ 15,184 \$ 1,106 7.9%		\$	105,2	01 \$	2,174	2.1%	\$ 89,421	\$ 1,76		\$	112,715	\$			\$	- ,	\$		0.2%	, .	\$	2,174		\$	28,938	\$		
1998-99 \$ 95,948 \$ 5,148 5.7% \$ 81,561 \$ 4,424 5.7% \$ 102,798 \$ 5,482 5.6% \$ 49,570 \$ 3,217 6.9% \$ 99,948 \$ 5,148 5.7% \$ 26,395 \$ 1,436 5.8% 1997-98 \$ 90,800 \$ 4,494 5.2% \$ 77,137 \$ 3,839 5.2% \$ 97,316 \$ 4,803 5.2% \$ 46,353 \$ 2,539 5.8% \$ 90,800 \$ 4,494 5.2% \$ 24,959 \$ 1,244 5.2% 1996-97 \$ 86,306 \$ 4,978 6.1% \$ 73,298 \$ 4,261 6.2% \$ 92,513 \$ 5,313 6.1% \$ 43,814 \$ 2,916 7.1% \$ 86,306 \$ 4,978 6.1% \$ 23,715 \$ 1,382 6.2% 1995-96 \$ 81,328 \$ 3,440 4.4% \$ 69,037 \$ 2,948 4.5% \$ 87,200 \$ 83,669 4.4% \$ 40,898 \$ 2,055 5.3% \$ 81,328 \$ 3,440 4.4% \$ 22,333 \$ 956 4.5% 1994-95 \$ 77,888 \$ 4,467 6.1% \$ 66,089 \$ 3,821 6.1% \$ 83,531 \$ 4,768 6.1% \$ 38,843 \$ 2,594 7.2% \$ 77,888 \$ 21,377 1993-94 \$ 73,421 \$ 3,007 4.3% \$ 62,268 \$ 2,777 4.3% \$ 78,763 \$ 3,208 4.2% \$ 36,249 \$ 1,791 5.2% \$ See notes \$ See notes \$ 1992-93 \$ 70,414 \$ 148 0.2% \$ 59,691 \$ 1,411 0.2% \$ 75,555 \$ 148 0.2% \$ 34,458 \$ 255 0.7% \$ 70,266 \$ 2,251 3.3% \$ 19,255 \$ 580 3.1% 1990-91 \$ 68,015 \$ 2,872 4.4% \$ 57,731 \$ 2,458 4.4% \$ 72,930 \$ 3,066 4.4% \$ 34,144 \$ 1,675 5.2% \$ 68,015 \$ 2,872 4.4% \$ 18,675 \$ 797 4.5% 1988-99 \$ 61,887 \$ 7,602 14.0% \$ 52,480 \$ 7,134 15.7% \$ 61,887 \$ 3,312 5.7% \$ 30,448 \$ 2,045 7.2% \$ 61,887 \$ 3,312 5.7% \$ 16,049 \$ 865 5.7% 1986-87 \$ 51,381 \$ 3,716 7.8% \$ 42,883 \$ 3,144 7.9% \$ 55,468 \$ 3,984 7.7% \$ 26,536 \$ 2,344 9.7% \$ 55,468 \$ 3,984 7.7% \$ 15,184 \$ 1,106 7.9%	2000-01	\$	103,0	27 \$	3,175	3.2%	\$ 87,658	\$ 2,73	3.2%	\$	110,327	\$	3,374	3.2%	\$	54,162	\$	2,093	4.0%	\$ 103,027	\$	3,175	3.2%	\$	28,375	\$	889	3.2%
1997-98 \$ 90,800 \$ 4,494 \$ 5.2% \$ 77,137 \$ 3,839 \$ 5.2% \$ 97,316 \$ 4,803 \$ 5.2% \$ 46,353 \$ 2,539 \$ 5.8% \$ 90,800 \$ 4,494 \$ 5.2% \$ 24,959 \$ 1,244 \$ 5.2% \$ 1996-97 \$ 86,306 \$ 4,978 \$ 6.1% \$ 73,298 \$ 4,261 \$ 6.2% \$ 92,513 \$ 5,313 \$ 6.1% \$ 4,804 \$ 2,916 \$ 7.1% \$ 86,306 \$ 4,978 \$ 6.1% \$ 23,715 \$ 1,382 \$ 6.2% \$ 1995-96 \$ 81,328 \$ 3,440 \$ 4.4% \$ 69,037 \$ 2,948 \$ 4.5% \$ 87,200 \$ 3,669 \$ 4.4% \$ 40,898 \$ 2,055 \$ 5.3% \$ 81,328 \$ 3,440 \$ 4.4% \$ 22,333 \$ 956 \$ 4.5% \$ 1994-95 \$ 77,888 \$ 4,467 \$ 6.1% \$ 66,089 \$ 3,821 \$ 6.1% \$ 83,531 \$ 4,768 \$ 6.1% \$ 36,249 \$ 1,791 \$ 5.2% \$ 50,600 \$	1999-00	\$	99,8	52 \$	3,904	4.1%	\$ 84,921	\$ 3,36	4.1%	\$	106,953	\$	4,155	4.0%	44	52,069	\$	2,499	5.0%	\$ 99,852	\$	3,904	4.1%	\$	27,486	\$	1,091	4.1%
1996-97 \$ 86,306 \$ 4,978 6.1% \$ 73,298 \$ 4,261 6.2% \$ 92,513 \$ 5,313 6.1% \$ 43,814 \$ 2,916 7.1% \$ 88,306 \$ 4,978 6.1% \$ 23,715 \$ 1,382 6.2% 1995-96 \$ 81,328 \$ 3,440 4.4% \$ 69,037 \$ 2,948 4.5% \$ 87,200 \$ 3,669 4.4% \$ 40,898 \$ 2,055 5.3% \$ 81,328 \$ 3,440 4.4% \$ 22,333 \$ 956 4.5% 1994-95 \$ 77,888 \$ 4,467 6.1% \$ 66,089 \$ 3,821 6.1% \$ 83,531 \$ 4,768 6.1% \$ 38,843 \$ 2,594 7.2% \$ 77,888 \$ 21,377 1993-94 \$ 73,421 \$ 3,007 4.3% \$ 62,268 \$ 2,577 4.3% \$ 78,763 \$ 3,208 4.2% \$ 36,249 \$ 1,791 5.2% See notes 1992-93 \$ 70,414 \$ 148 0.2% \$ 59,691 \$ 141 0.2% \$ 75,555 \$ 148 0.2% \$ 34,458 \$ 255 0.7% \$ 70,266 \$ - 0.0% \$ 19,255 \$ - 0.0% 1991-92 \$ 70,266 \$ 2,251 3.3% \$ 59,550 \$ 1,819 3.2% \$ 75,407 \$ 2,477 3.4% \$ 34,203 \$ 59 0.2% \$ 70,266 \$ 2,251 3.3% \$ 19,255 \$ 580 3.1% 1990-91 \$ 68,015 \$ 2,872 4.4% \$ 57,731 \$ 2,458 4.4% \$ 72,930 \$ 3,066 4.4% \$ 34,144 \$ 1,675 5.2% \$ 68,015 \$ 2,872 4.4% \$ 18,675 \$ 797 4.5% 1988-99 \$ 65,143 \$ 3,256 5.3% \$ 55,273 \$ 2,793 5.3% \$ 69,864 \$ 7,977 12.9% \$ 32,469 \$ 1,981 6.5% \$ 65,143 \$ 3,256 5.3% \$ 17,878 \$ 906 5.3% 1987-99.90 \$ 65,143 \$ 3,256 5.3% \$ 52,480 \$ 7,134 15.7% \$ 61,887 \$ 3,312 5.7% \$ 30,488 \$ 2,045 7.2% \$ 58,675 \$ 3,107 5.6% \$ 16,049 \$ 865 5.7% 1986-87 \$ 51,381 \$ 3,716 7.8% \$ 42,883 \$ 3,144 7.9% \$ 55,468 \$ 3,984 7.7% \$ 26,536 \$ 2,344 9.7% \$ 55,468 \$ 3,984 7.7% \$ 15,184 \$ 1,106 7.9%	1998-99	\$	95,9	48 \$	5,148		\$ 81,561			\$	102,798	\$	5,482		\$	49,570	\$	-,		\$ 95,948	\$	5,148		\$	26,395			
1995-96 \$ 81,328 \$ 3,440 4.4% \$ 69,037 \$ 2,948 4.5% \$ 87,200 \$ 3,669 4.4% \$ 40,898 \$ 2,055 5.3% \$ 81,328 \$ 3,440 4.4% \$ 22,333 \$ 956 4.5% \$ 94,495 \$ 77,888 \$ 4,467 6.1% \$ 66,089 \$ 3,821 6.1% \$ 83,531 \$ 4,768 6.1% \$ 38,843 \$ 2,594 7.2% \$ 77,888 -	1997-98	\$	90,8	00 \$	4,494	5.2%	\$ 77,137	\$ 3,83	5.2%	\$	97,316	\$	4,803	5.2%	\$	46,353	\$	2,539	5.8%	\$ 90,800	\$	4,494	5.2%	\$	24,959	\$	1,244	5.2%
1994-95 \$ 77,888 \$ 4,467 6.1% \$ 66,089 \$ 3,821 6.1% \$ 83,531 \$ 4,768 6.1% \$ 38,843 \$ 2,594 7.2% \$ 77,888 \$ 21,377 1993-94 \$ 73,421 \$ 3,007 4.3% \$ 62,268 \$ 2,577 4.3% \$ 78,763 \$ 3,208 4.2% \$ 36,249 \$ 1,791 5.2% See notes 1992-93 \$ 70,414 \$ 148 0.2% \$ 59,691 \$ 141 0.2% \$ 75,555 \$ 148 0.2% \$ 34,458 \$ 255 0.7% \$ 70,266 \$ - 0.0% \$ 19,255 \$ - 0.0% 1991-92 \$ 70,266 \$ 2,251 3.3% \$ 59,550 \$ 1,819 3.2% \$ 75,407 \$ 2,477 3.4% \$ 34,203 \$ 59 0.2% \$ 70,266 \$ 2,251 3.3% \$ 19,255 \$ 580 3.1% 1990-91 \$ 68,015 \$ 2,872 4.4% \$ 57,731 \$ 2,458 4.4% \$ 72,930 \$ 3,066 4.4% \$ 34,144 \$ 1,675 5.2% \$ 68,015 \$ 2,872 4.4% \$ 18,675 \$ 797 4.5% 1989-90 \$ 65,143 \$ 3,256 5.3% \$ 55,273 \$ 2,793 5.3% \$ 69,864 \$ 7,977 12.9% \$ 32,469 \$ 1,981 6.5% \$ 65,143 \$ 3,256 5.3% \$ 17,878 \$ 906 5.3% 1988-99 \$ 61,887 \$ 7,602 14.0% \$ 52,480 \$ 7,134 15.7% \$ 61,887 \$ 3,312 5.7% \$ 30,488 \$ 2,045 7.2% \$ 61,887 \$ 3,3107 5.6% \$ 16,049 \$ 865 5.7% 1986-87 \$ 51,381 \$ 3,716 7.8% \$ 42,883 \$ 3,144 7.9% \$ 55,468 \$ 3,984 7.7% \$ 26,536 \$ 2,344 9.7% \$ 55,468 \$ 3,984 7.7% \$ 15,184 \$ 1,106 7.9%	1996-97	\$	86,3	06 \$		6.1%	\$ -,			\$	- ,	\$			\$	43,814	\$,	\$	4,978	6.1%	\$	-, -	\$,	
1993-94 \$ 73,421 \$ 3,007 4.3% \$ 62,268 \$ 2,577 4.3% \$ 78,763 \$ 3,208 4.2% \$ 36,249 \$ 1,791 5.2% See notes 1992-93 \$ 70,414 \$ 148 0.2% \$ 59,691 \$ 141 0.2% \$ 75,555 \$ 148 0.2% \$ 34,458 \$ 255 0.7% \$ 70,266 \$ - 0.0% \$ 19,255 \$ - 0.0% \$ 1991-92 \$ 70,266 \$ 2,251 3.3% \$ 59,550 \$ 1,819 3.2% \$ 75,407 \$ 2,477 3.4% \$ 34,203 \$ 59 0.2% \$ 70,266 \$ 2,251 3.3% \$ 19,255 \$ 580 3.1% \$ 1990-91 \$ 68,015 \$ 2,872 4.4% \$ 57,731 \$ 2,458 4.4% \$ 72,930 \$ 30,66 4.4% \$ 34,144 \$ 1,675 5.2% \$ 68,015 \$ 2,872 4.4% \$ 18,675 \$ 797 4.5% \$ 1989-90 \$ 65,143 \$ 3,256 5.3% \$ 55,273 \$ 2,793 5.3% \$ 69,864 \$ 7,977 12.9% \$ 32,469 \$ 1,981 6.5% \$ 65,143 \$ 3,256 5.3% \$ 17,878 \$ 906 5.3% \$ 1988-99 \$ 61,887 \$ 7,602 14.0% \$ 52,480 \$ 7,134 15.7% \$ 61,887 \$ 3,312 5.7% \$ 30,488 \$ 2,045 7.2% \$ 61,887 \$ 3,3107 5.6% \$ 16,049 \$ 865 5.7% \$ 1986-87 \$ 51,381 \$ 3,716 7.8% \$ 42,883 \$ 3,144 7.9% \$ 55,468 \$ 3,984 7.7% \$ 26,536 \$ 2,344 9.7% \$ 55,468 \$ 3,984 7.7% \$ 15,184 \$ 1,106 7.9%	1995-96	\$	81,3	28 \$	3,440	4.4%	\$ 69,037			\$		\$			\$	-,	\$	_		, , , , ,	\$	3,440	4.4%	\$	22,333	\$	956	4.5%
1992-93 \$ 70,414 \$ 148 0.2% \$ 59,691 \$ 141 0.2% \$ 75,555 \$ 148 0.2% \$ 34,458 \$ 255 0.7% \$ 70,266 \$ - 0.0% \$ 19,255 \$ - 0.0% 1991-92 \$ 70,266 \$ 2,251 3.3% \$ 59,550 \$ 1,819 3.2% \$ 75,407 \$ 2,477 3.4% \$ 34,203 \$ 59 0.2% \$ 70,266 \$ 2,251 3.3% \$ 19,255 \$ 580 3.1% 1990-91 \$ 68,015 \$ 2,872 4.4% \$ 57,731 \$ 2,458 4.4% \$ 72,930 \$ 3,066 4.4% \$ 34,144 \$ 1,675 5.2% \$ 68,015 \$ 2,872 4.4% \$ 18,675 \$ 797 4.5% 1988-90 \$ 65,143 \$ 3,256 5.3% \$ 55,273 \$ 2,793 5.3% \$ 69,864 \$ 7,977 12.9% \$ 32,469 \$ 1,981 6.5% \$ 65,143 \$ 3,256 5.3% \$ 17,878 \$ 906 5.3% 1987-89 \$ 61,887 \$ 7,602 14.0% \$ 52,480 \$ 7,134 15.7% \$ 61,887 \$ 3,312 5.7% \$ 30,488 \$ 2,045 7.2%	1994-95	\$			4,467		\$,			\$	83,531	\$			\$,	\$			\$ 77,888		-	-	\$	21,377		-	-
1991-92 \$ 70,266 \$ 2,251 3.3% \$ 59,550 \$ 1,819 3.2% \$ 75,407 \$ 2,477 3.4% \$ 34,203 \$ 59 0.2% \$ 70,266 \$ 2,251 3.3% \$ 19,255 \$ 580 3.1% 1990-91 \$ 68,015 \$ 2,872 4.4% \$ 57,731 \$ 2,458 4.4% \$ 72,930 \$ 3,066 4.4% \$ 34,144 \$ 1,675 5.2% \$ 68,015 \$ 2,872 4.4% \$ 18,675 \$ 797 4.5% 1989-90 \$ 65,143 \$ 3,256 5.3% \$ 55,273 \$ 2,793 5.3% \$ 69,864 \$ 7,977 12.9% \$ 32,469 \$ 1,981 6.5% \$ 65,143 \$ 3,256 5.3% \$ 17,878 \$ 906 5.3% 1988-89 \$ 61,887 \$ 7,602 14.0% \$ 52,480 \$ 7,134 15.7% \$ 61,887 \$ 3,312 5.7% \$ 30,488 \$ 2,045 7.2% \$ 61,887 \$ 3,312 5.7% \$ 16,972 \$ 923 5.8% 1987-89 \$ 54,285 \$ 2,994 5.7% \$ 45,	1993-94	\$	73,4	21 \$	-,		\$ 62,268	, ,		\$	-,		3,208		\$,	\$, -				notes		Ш.			otes	
1990-91 \$ 68,015 \$ 2,872 4.4% \$ 57,731 \$ 2,458 4.4% \$ 72,930 \$ 3,066 4.4% \$ 34,144 \$ 1,675 5.2% \$ 68,015 \$ 2,872 4.4% \$ 18,675 \$ 797 4.5% 1989-90 \$ 65,143 \$ 3,256 5.3% \$ 55,273 \$ 2,793 5.3% \$ 69,864 \$ 7,977 12.9% \$ 32,469 \$ 1,981 6.5% \$ 65,143 \$ 3,256 5.3% \$ 17,878 \$ 906 5.3% 1988-89 \$ 61,887 \$ 7,602 14.0% \$ 52,480 \$ 7,134 15.7% \$ 61,887 \$ 3,312 5.7% \$ 61,887 \$ 3,312 5.7% \$ 16,972 \$ 923 5.8% 1987-88 \$ 54,285 \$ 2,904 5.7% \$ 45,346 \$ 2,463 5.7% \$ 58,575 \$ 3,107 5.6% \$ 28,443 \$ 1,907 7.2% \$ 58,575 \$ 3,107 5.6% \$ 2,484 \$ 1,907 7.2% \$ 58,575 \$ 3,107 5.6% \$ 2,444 \$ 1,907 7.2% \$ 58,575 \$ 3,107 5.6% \$ 2,434 9.7% \$ 55,468 \$ 3,984 7.7% \$ 15,184	1992-93	\$	70,4	14 \$		0.2%	\$ 59,691			\$		\$		0.2%	\$	34,458	\$	255	0.7%	\$ 70,266	\$	-	0.0%	\$	19,255	\$	-	0.0%
1989-90 \$ 65,143 \$ 3,256 5.3% \$ 55,273 \$ 2,793 5.3% \$ 69,864 \$ 7,977 12.9% \$ 32,469 \$ 1,981 6.5% \$ 65,143 \$ 3,256 5.3% \$ 17,878 \$ 906 5.3% 1988-89 \$ 61,887 \$ 7,602 14.0% \$ 52,480 \$ 7,134 15.7% \$ 61,887 \$ 3,312 5.7% \$ 61,887 \$ 3,312 5.7% \$ 16,972 \$ 923 5.8% 1987-88 \$ 54,285 \$ 2,904 5.7% \$ 45,346 \$ 2,463 5.7% \$ 58,575 \$ 3,107 5.6% \$ 28,443 \$ 1,907 7.2% \$ 58,575 \$ 3,107 5.6% \$ 5.7% 1986-87 \$ 51,381 \$ 3,716 7.8% \$ 42,883 \$ 3,144 7.9% \$ 55,468 \$ 3,984 7.7% \$ 26,536 \$ 2,344 9.7% \$ 55,468 \$ 3,984 7.7% \$ 15,184 \$ 1,106 7.9%	1991-92	\$	70,2	66		3.3%	\$			\$	75,407	\$	2,477		\$	- ,	\$.,	\$			\$	19,255	\$		
1988-89 \$ 61,887 \$ 7,602 14.0% \$ 52,480 \$ 7,134 15.7% \$ 61,887 \$ 3,312 5.7% \$ 61,887 \$ 3,312 5.7% \$ 61,887 \$ 3,312 5.7% \$ 16,972 \$ 923 5.8% 1987-88 \$ 54,285 \$ 2,904 5.7% \$ 45,346 \$ 2,463 5.7% \$ 58,575 \$ 3,107 5.6% \$ 28,443 \$ 1,907 7.2% \$ 58,575 \$ 3,107 5.6% \$ 16,049 \$ 865 5.7% 1986-87 \$ 51,381 \$ 3,716 7.8% \$ 42,883 \$ 3,144 7.9% \$ 55,468 \$ 3,984 7.7% \$ 55,468 \$ 3,984 7.7% \$ 55,468 \$ 3,984 7.7% \$ 55,468 \$ 3,984 7.7% \$ 55,468 \$ 3,984 7.7% \$ 55,468 \$ 3,984 7.7% \$ 55,468 \$ 3,984 7.7% \$ 55,468 \$ 3,984 7.7% \$ 55,468 \$ 3,984 7.7% \$ 55,468 \$ 3,984 7.7% \$ 55,468 \$ 3,984 7.7% \$ 55,468 \$ 3,984 7.7% \$ 55,468 \$ 3,984 7.7% \$ 55,468 \$ 3,984 7.7% \$ 55,468 \$ 3,984 <td>1990-91</td> <td>\$</td> <td>68,0</td> <td>15 \$</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$ - , -</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$</td> <td>72,930</td> <td>\$</td> <td>3,066</td> <td></td> <td>\$</td> <td>- ,</td> <td>\$</td> <td>1,675</td> <td></td> <td> ,</td> <td>\$</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$</td> <td>18,675</td> <td>\$</td> <td>797</td> <td></td>	1990-91	\$	68,0	15 \$			\$ - , -			\$	72,930	\$	3,066		\$	- ,	\$	1,675		,	\$			\$	18,675	\$	797	
1987-88 \$ 54,285 \$ 2,904 5.7% \$ 45,346 \$ 2,463 5.7% \$ 58,575 \$ 3,107 5.6% \$ 28,443 \$ 1,907 7.2% \$ 58,575 \$ 3,107 5.6% \$ 16,049 \$ 865 5.7% 1986-87 \$ 51,381 \$ 3,716 7.8% \$ 42,883 \$ 3,144 7.9% \$ 55,468 \$ 3,984 7.7% \$ 55,468 \$ 3,984 7.7% \$ 15,184 \$ 1,106 7.9%		\$					\$ •	, , .		\$,	\$			\$	- ,	\$,			\$			\$,	•		
1986-87 \$ 51,381 \$ 3,716 7.8% \$ 42,883 \$ 3,144 7.9% \$ 55,468 \$ 3,984 7.7% \$ 26,536 \$ 2,344 9.7% \$ 55,468 \$ 3,984 7.7% \$ 15,184 \$ 1,106 7.9%	1988-89	\$, ,		\$ 			\$	61,887	\$	3,312		\$	30,488	\$	_		, , , , , ,	\$	3,312		\$	-,-	•		
	1987-88	\$	54,2	85 \$	2,904	5.7%	\$ 45,346	\$ 2,46	5.7%	\$	58,575	\$	3,107	5.6%	\$	28,443	\$	1,907			\$	3,107	5.6%	\$	16,049	\$	865	5.7%
1985-86 \$ 47,665 - - \$ 39,739 - - \$ 51,484 - - \$ 24,192 - - \$ 51,484 - - \$ 14,078 - -	1986-87	\$			3,716	7.8%	\$ 	\$ 3,14	7.9%	\$	55,468	\$	3,984	7.7%	\$	26,536	\$	2,344	9.7%		\$	3,984	7.7%	\$		\$	1,106	7.9%
	1985-86	\$	47,6	65	-	-	\$ 39,739	-	-	\$	51,484		-	-	\$	24,192		-	-	\$ 51,484		-	-	\$	14,078		-	-

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Okeechobee County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

2015-16 \$103,528 \$137 \$0.1% \$86,151 \$116 \$0.1% \$112,877 \$147 \$0.1% \$35,014 \$60 \$0.2% \$103,790 \$267 \$0.3% \$27,567 \$61 \$0.2% \$27,567 \$61 \$		CI	erk of Cir	cuit Cou	ırt, Pı	roperty																								
2015-16 \$ 104,158 \$ 220 0.2% \$ 104,158 \$ 1	Fiscal		Appraise	r & Tax	Colle	ector		Supervi	sor c	of Elect	ions		Sh	eriff			County	Со	mmissio	ners		School	Supe	erintend	dent		School I	Boar	d Memi	bers
2014-15 \$ 103,329 \$ 137 0.1% \$ 86,515 \$.116 0.1% \$ 112,877 \$ 1.47 0.1% \$ 35,104 \$ 60 0.2% \$ 103,928 \$ 137 0.1% \$ 27,506 \$ 36 0.1% \$ 2014-15 \$ 103,790 \$ 2.67 0.3% \$ 86,034 \$ 219 0.3% \$ 112,730 \$ 2.91 0.3% \$ 35,044 \$ 81 0.2% \$ 103,790 \$ 2.67 0.3% \$ 27,506 \$ 36 0.1% \$ 2012-14 \$ 103,524 \$ 3.692 3.7% \$ 85,815 \$ 3.058 3.7% \$ 112,439 \$ 4,012 3.7% \$ 34,963 \$ 1,234 3.7% \$ 103,524 \$ 3.692 3.7% \$ 27,399 \$ 977 3.7% \$ 2012-13 \$ 99,832 \$ (41) 0.0% \$ 82,757 \$ (39) 0.0% \$ 108,428 \$ (41) 0.0% \$ 33,768 \$ 9.00 \$ 1.2% \$ 103,790 \$ 2.07 \$ 1.03% \$ 27,399 \$ 977 3.7% \$ 1011-12 \$ 99,778 \$ (41) 0.0% \$ 82,757 \$ (39) 0.0% \$ 108,428 \$ (41) 0.0% \$ 33,768 \$ 90 0.1% \$ 99,827 \$ (41) 0.0% \$ 26,422 \$ (11) 0.0% \$ 2012-13 \$ 99,872 \$ 9 40 0.1% \$ 82,756 \$ 90 0.1% \$ 108,428 \$ (41) 0.0% \$ 33,768 \$ 90 0.3% \$ 99,872 \$ 9 40 0.1% \$ 26,422 \$ (11) 0.0% \$ 2012-13 \$ 99,872 \$ 1 3 40 0.3% \$ 99,872 \$ 9 40 0.1% \$ 82,756 \$ 90 0.1% \$ 108,428 \$ (41) 0.0% \$ 33,768 \$ 90 0.3% \$ 99,872 \$ 9 40 0.1% \$ 26,422 \$ (11) 0.0% \$ 2010-11 \$ 99,778 \$ (97) 0.1% \$ 82,766 \$ 90 0.1% \$ 108,428 \$ (41) 0.0% \$ 33,768 \$ 90 0.0% \$ 99,872 \$ 9 40 0.1% \$ 2000-10 \$ 99,872 \$ 99,872 \$ 9 40 0.1% \$ 82,766 \$ 90 0.1% \$ 108,428 \$ 90 0.1% \$ 33,678 \$ 90,00 \$ 99,872 \$ 9 40 0.1% \$ 26,422 \$ (11) 0.0% \$ 26,422 \$ (11) 0.0% \$ 2000-10 \$ 99,872 \$ 99,872 \$ 9 40 0.1% \$ 82,760 \$ 90 0.1% \$ 108,428 \$ 90 0.1% \$ 33,678 \$ 90,00 \$ 99,872 \$ 90 0.1% \$ 2000-10 \$ 99,872 \$ 99,873 \$ 100,90 \$ 99,875 \$ 100,90 \$ 99,875 \$ 100,90 \$ 99,875 \$ 100,90 \$ 99,875 \$ 100,90 \$ 99,875 \$ 100,90 \$ 99,875 \$ 100,90 \$ 99,875 \$ 100,90	Year		Salary	\$ Chg	j. '	% Chg.	•	Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	•	Chg.	% Chg.	•	Salary	•	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	•	Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.
2013-14 \$ 103,524 \$ 3,682 \$ 3.7% \$ 85,615 \$ 3,058 \$ 3.7% \$ \$ 112,730 \$ 201 \$ 3.7% \$ \$ 35,044 \$ 8 81 \$ 0.2% \$ \$ 103,790 \$ 267 \$ 0.3% \$ \$ 2,7470 \$ \$ 71 \$ 0.3% \$ 103,524 \$ 3,682 \$ 3.7% \$ 85,815 \$ 3,058 \$ 3.7% \$ \$ 112,730 \$ 201 \$ 3.7% \$ 3,058 \$ 3,058 \$ 3.7% \$ 112,730 \$ 201 \$ 3.7% \$ 3,058 \$ 3.7% \$ 3,058 \$ 3.7% \$ 112,730 \$ 2.0% \$ 3.7% \$ 3,058 \$ 3.7% \$ 3,058 \$ 3.7% \$ 112,730 \$ 2.0% \$ 3.7% \$ 3,058 \$ 3.7% \$ 3,058 \$ 3.7% \$ 3,058 \$ 3.7% \$ 112,730 \$ 2.0% \$ 3.7% \$ 3,058 \$ 3,058 \$ 3,058 \$ 3,058 \$ 3,058 \$ 3,058 \$ 3,058 \$ 3,058 \$ 3,058 \$ 3,058 \$ 3,058 \$ 3,058 \$ 3,058 \$ 3,058 \$ 3,058 \$ 3,058 \$ 3,058 \$	2016-17	\$	104,158	\$ 2	30	0.2%	\$	104,158	\$ 1	8,007	20.9%	\$ 113,121	\$	244	0.2%	\$	35,228	\$	124	0.4%	\$	104,158	\$	230	0.2%	\$	27,567	\$	61	0.2%
2013-14 \$ 103,524 \$ 3,692 3.7% \$ 85,615 \$ 3,068 3.7% \$ 112,439 \$ 4,012 3.7% \$ 34,963 \$ 1,234 3.7% \$ 103,524 \$ 3,692 3.7% \$ 27,399 \$ 977 3.7% \$ 2012-13 \$ 99,832 \$ (41) 0.0% \$ 82,757 \$ (39) 0.0% \$ 108,428 \$ (41) 0.0% \$ 33,768 \$ 90 0.3% \$ 99,872 \$ 94 0.1% \$ 26,433 \$ 25 0.1% \$ 108,428 \$ (41) 0.0% \$ 33,768 \$ 90 0.3% \$ 99,872 \$ 94 0.1% \$ 26,433 \$ 26,213 \$ 25 0.1% \$ 108,428 \$ (41) 0.0% \$ 33,768 \$ 90 0.3% \$ 99,872 \$ 94 0.1% \$ 26,433 \$ 26,433 \$ 25 0.1% \$ 108,428 \$ (41) 0.0% \$ 33,768 \$ 99,872 \$ 94 0.1% \$ 26,433 \$ 26,493 \$ 26,433 \$ 26,493 \$ 299 0.1% \$ 108,374 \$ (97) 0.1% \$ 33,768 \$ (92) 0.3% \$ 99,872 \$ 99,789 \$ 26,808 \$ 2	2015-16	\$	103,928	\$ 1	37	0.1%	44	86,151	\$	116	0.1%	\$ 112,877	\$	147	0.1%	\$	35,104	\$	60	0.2%	\$	103,928	\$	137	0.1%	\$	27,506	\$	36	0.1%
201-12 \$ 99,822 \$ (41) 0.0% \$ 82,757 \$ (39) 0.0% \$ 108,428 \$ (41) 0.0% \$ 33,768 \$ 90 0.1% \$ 99,832 \$ (41) 0.0% \$ 26,422 \$ (11) 0.0% \$ 201-12 \$ 99,872 \$ 94 0.1% \$ 82,766 \$ 90 0.1% \$ 108,468 \$ 94 0.1% \$ 33,768 \$ 90 0.3% \$ 99,872 \$ 94 0.1% \$ 26,433 \$ 25 0.1% \$ 201-11 \$ 99,778 \$ (97) 0.1% \$ 82,766 \$ 902 0.1% \$ 108,468 \$ 94 0.1% \$ 33,768 \$ 90 0.3% \$ 99,872 \$ 94 0.1% \$ 26,433 \$ 25 0.1% \$ 201-11 \$ 99,778 \$ (97) 0.1% \$ 82,766 \$ 902 0.3% \$ 99,778 \$ 2.09 2.3% \$ 26,434 \$ 200-10 \$ 99,875 \$ 314 0.3% \$ 82,798 \$ 299 0.4% \$ 108,470 \$ 314 0.3% \$ 33,770 \$ 299 0.9% \$ 97,769 \$ 26,434 \$ 266 26,434 \$ 200-10 \$ 99,875 \$ 314 0.3% \$ 227 0.2% \$ 24,494 \$ 200-20 \$ 289 0.3% \$ 33,770 \$ 299 0.9% \$ 97,569 \$ 227 0.2% \$ 26,434 \$ 200-20 \$ 200	2014-15	\$	103,790	\$ 2	67	0.3%	44	86,034	\$	219	0.3%	\$ 112,730	\$	291	0.3%	\$	35,044	\$		0.2%	\$	103,790	\$	267	0.3%	\$	27,470	\$	71	0.3%
2010-11 \$ 99,872 \$ 94	2013-14	\$	103,524	\$ 3,6	92	3.7%	44	85,815	\$	3,058	3.7%	\$ 112,439	\$	4,012	3.7%	\$	34,963	\$	1,234	3.7%	\$	103,524	\$	3,692	3.7%	\$	27,399	\$	977	3.7%
2010-11 \$ 99,778 \$ (97) -0.1% \$ 82,706 \$ (92) -0.1% \$ 108,374 \$ (97) -0.1% \$ 33,678 \$ (92) -0.3% \$ 99,778 \$ 2,209 2.3% \$ 26,408 See notes	2012-13	\$	99,832	\$ (41)	0.0%	\$	82,757	\$	(39)	0.0%	\$ 108,428	\$	(41)	0.0%	\$	33,729	\$	(39)	-0.1%	\$	99,832	\$	(41)	0.0%	\$	26,422	\$	(11)	0.0%
2008-09 5 99,576 5 314 0.3% 8 82,798 \$ 299 0.4% 5 108,470 \$ 314 0.3% \$ 33,770 \$ 299 0.9% \$ 97,569 \$ Ser notes \$ 26,434 See notes 2008-09 \$ 99,560 \$ 227 0.2% \$ 82,499 \$ 202 0.2% \$ 108,156 \$ 236 0.2% \$ 33,471 \$ 148 0.4% \$ 99,560 \$ 227 0.2% \$ 26,551 \$ 60 0.2% 2007-08 \$ 99,334 \$ 289 0.3% \$ 82,296 \$ 275 0.3% \$ 107,920 \$ 289 0.3% \$ 33,222 \$ 276 0.8% \$ 99,334 \$ 289 0.3% \$ 82,206 \$ 275 0.3% \$ 107,920 \$ 289 0.3% \$ 33,322 \$ 276 0.8% \$ 99,334 \$ 289 0.3% \$ 26,291 \$ - \$ 2006-07 \$ 99,045 \$ 3,238 3.4% \$ 82,021 \$ 2,672 3.4% \$ 107,631 \$ 3,525 3.4% \$ 33,046 \$ 1,034 3.2% \$ 99,045 \$ 3,238 3.4% \$ N/A \$ - \$ 2004-05 \$ 97,688 \$ 1,460 1.6% \$ 75,975 \$ 1,234 1.7% \$ 99,737 \$ 1,569 1.6% \$ 30,521 \$ 611 2.0% \$ 99,768 \$ - \$ N/A \$ - \$ 2004-05 \$ 99,768 \$ 1,460 1.6% \$ 75,975 \$ 1,234 1.7% \$ 99,737 \$ 1,569 1.6% \$ 30,521 \$ 611 2.0% \$ 99,768 \$ - \$ N/A \$ - \$ 2002-03 \$ 88,421 \$ 2,266 2.6% \$ 73,165 \$ 1,883 2.6% \$ 96,127 \$ 2,457 2.6% \$ 29,910 \$ 697 2.4% \$ See notes \$ N/A \$ - \$ 2002-03 \$ 88,421 \$ 2,266 2.6% \$ 73,165 \$ 1,883 2.6% \$ 96,127 \$ 2,784 3.1% \$ 28,422 \$ 915 3.3% \$ 86,155 \$ 2,569 3.1% \$ 22,003 \$ 680 3.1% \$ 2000-01 \$ 83,586 \$ 2,406 3.0% \$ 69,143 \$ 2,006 3.0% \$ 90,886 \$ 2,784 3.1% \$ 28,422 \$ 915 3.3% \$ 86,155 \$ 2,569 3.1% \$ 22,003 \$ 680 3.1% \$ 1990-01 \$ 83,586 \$ 2,406 3.0% \$ 69,143 \$ 2,006 3.0% \$ 90,886 \$ 2,606 \$ 3,096 \$ 2,275 \$ 1,507 \$ 2.8% \$ 33,886 \$ 2.406 3.0% \$ 22,203 \$ 680 \$ 3,996 \$ 2,203 \$ 8,404 \$ 2,203 \$ 3,888 \$ 2.2% \$ 3,011 \$ 3.3% \$ 3,686 \$ 2.2% \$ 3,011 \$ 3.3% \$ 3,686 \$ 2.2% \$ 3,011 \$ 3.3% \$ 3,686 \$ 2.2% \$ 3,011 \$ 3.3% \$ 3,686 \$ 2.2% \$ 3,011 \$ 3.2% \$ 3,008 \$ 3,008 \$ 3,008 \$ 3,008 \$ 3,008 \$ 3,008 \$ 3,008 \$ 3,008 \$ 3,00	2011-12	\$	99,872	\$	94	0.1%	\$	82,796	\$	90	0.1%	\$ 108,468	\$	94	0.1%	\$	33,768	\$	90	0.3%	\$	99,872	\$	94	0.1%	\$	26,433	\$	25	0.1%
2008-09 \$ 99,560 \$ 227 0.2% \$ 82,499 \$ 202 0.2% \$ 108,156 \$ 236 0.2% \$ 33,471 \$ 148 0.4% \$ 99,560 \$ 227 0.2% \$ 26,351 \$ 60 0.2% 2007-08 \$ 99,334 \$ 289 0.3% \$ 3,238 3.4% \$ 82,296 \$ 275 0.3% \$ 107,920 \$ 289 0.3% \$ 33,322 \$ 276 0.8% \$ 99,334 \$ 289 0.3% \$ 26,291 \$ - 2006-07 \$ 99,045 \$ 3,238 3.4% \$ 82,21 \$ 2,672 3.4% \$ 107,631 \$ 3,525 3.4% \$ 33,046 \$ 1,034 3.2% \$ 99,045 \$ 3,238 3.4% \$ N/A \$ - 2005-06 \$ 95,807 \$ 4,039 4.4% \$ 79,349 \$ 3,374 4.4% \$ 104,106 \$ 4,369 4.4% \$ 32,012 \$ 1,491 4.9% \$ 95,807 \$ 4,039 4.4% \$ N/A \$ - 2004-05 \$ 91,768 \$ 1,480 1.6% \$ 75,975 \$ 1,234 1.7% \$ 99,737 \$ 1,569 1.6% \$ 30,521 \$ 611 2.0% \$ 91,768 \$ - \$ N/A \$ - 2003-04 \$ 90,308 \$ 1,887 2.1% \$ 74,741 \$ 1,576 2.2% \$ 98,168 \$ 2,041 2.1% \$ 29,910 \$ 697 2.4% \$ Senotes \$ N/A \$ - 2002-03 \$ 88,421 \$ 2,266 2.6% \$ 73,165 \$ 1,883 2.6% \$ 96,127 \$ 2,457 2.6% \$ 2,2941 2.1% \$ 29,910 \$ 697 2.4% \$ Senotes \$ N/A \$ - 2000-01 \$ 86,155 \$ 2,569 3.1% \$ 71,282 \$ 2,139 3.1% \$ 93,687 \$ 2,278 4 3.1% \$ 28,422 \$ 915 3.3% \$ 86,155 \$ 2,569 3.1% \$ 71,282 \$ 2,139 3.1% \$ 93,687 \$ 2,266 3.6% \$ 22,70 \$ 3.0% \$ 22,707 \$ 867 3.3% \$ 86,155 \$ 2,569 3.1% \$ 22,803 \$ 680 3.1% \$ 99,048 \$ 3,368 \$ 5,2466 3.0% \$ 2,246 2.0% \$ 2,244 2.0%	2010-11	\$	99,778	\$ (97)	-0.1%	44	82,706	\$	(92)	-0.1%	\$ 108,374	\$	(97)	-0.1%	\$	33,678	\$	(92)	-0.3%	\$	99,778	\$	2,209	2.3%	\$	26,408		See no	otes
2007-08 \$ 99,334 \$ 289 0.3% \$ 82,296 \$ 275 0.3% \$ 107,920 \$ 289 0.3% \$ 33,322 \$ 276 0.8% \$ 99,334 \$ 289 0.3% \$ 26,291	2009-10	\$	99,875	\$ 3	14	0.3%	44	82,798	\$	299	0.4%	\$ 108,470	\$	314	0.3%	\$	33,770	\$	299	0.9%	\$	97,569		See no	tes	\$	26,434		See no	otes
2006-07 \$ 99,045 \$ 3,238 3.4% \$ 82,021 \$ 2,672 3.4% \$ 107,631 \$ 3,525 3.4% \$ 33,046 \$ 1,034 3.2% \$ 99,045 \$ 3,238 3.4% N/A	2008-09	\$	99,560	\$ 2	27	0.2%	44	82,499	\$		0.2%	\$ 108,156	\$	236	0.2%	\$	33,471	\$	148	0.4%	\$	99,560	\$	227	0.2%	\$	26,351	\$	60	0.2%
2005-06 \$ 95,807 \$ 4,039 4.4% \$ 79,349 \$ 3,374 4.4% \$ 104,106 \$ 4,369 4.4% \$ 32,012 \$ 1,491 4.9% \$ 95,807 \$ 4,039 4.4% N/A	2007-08	\$	99,334	•		0.3%	\$	82,296	\$			\$ 107,920	\$		0.3%	\$	33,322	\$		0.8%	\$	99,334	\$		0.3%	\$			-	-
2004-05 \$ 91,768 \$ 1,460 1.6% \$ 75,975 \$ 1,234 1.7% \$ 99,737 \$ 1,569 1.6% \$ 30,521 \$ 611 2.0% \$ 91,768 N/A 2003-04 \$ 90,308 \$ 1,887 2.1% \$ 74,741 \$ 1,576 2.2% \$ 99,168 \$ 2,044 2.1% \$ 29,910 \$ 697 2.4% See notes N/A 2002-03 \$ 88,421 \$ 2,266 2.6% \$ 73,165 \$ 1,883 2.6% \$ 96,127 \$ 2,457 2.6% \$ 29,910 \$ 697 2.4% See notes N/A 2002-03 \$ 88,421 \$ 2,266 2.6% \$ 73,165 \$ 1,883 2.6% \$ 96,127 \$ 2,457 2.6% \$ 29,910 \$ 697 2.4% See notes	2006-07	\$	99,045	\$ 3,2	38	3.4%	\$	82,021	\$	2,672	3.4%	\$ 107,631	\$	3,525	3.4%	\$	33,046	\$	1,034	3.2%	\$	99,045	\$	3,238	3.4%	Щ.	N/A	Ш.	-	-
2003-04 \$ 99,308 \$ 1,887	2005-06	\$	95,807	\$ 4,0	39	4.4%	\$	79,349	\$	3,374		\$ 104,106	\$			\$	- ,-	\$		4.9%	\$	95,807	\$	4,039	4.4%				-	-
2002-03 \$ 88,421 \$ 2,266	2004-05	\$	91,768	\$ 1,4	60	1.6%	\$	75,975	\$	1,234	1.7%	\$ 99,737	\$	1,569	1.6%	\$	30,521	\$	611	2.0%	\$	91,768		-	-	Щ.	N/A	Ш.	-	-
2001-02 \$ 86,155 \$ 2,569 3.1% \$ 71,282 \$ 2,139 3.1% \$ 93,670 \$ 2,784 3.1% \$ 28,422 \$ 915 3.3% \$ 86,155 \$ 2,569 3.1% \$ 22,803 \$ 680 3.1% 2000-01 \$ 83,586 \$ 2,406 3.0% \$ 69,143 \$ 2,006 3.0% \$ 90,886 \$ 2,606 3.0% \$ 27,507 \$ 867 3.3% \$ 83,586 \$ 2,406 3.0% \$ 22,123 \$ 637 3.0% 1999-00 \$ 81,180 \$ 2,939 3.8% \$ 67,137 \$ 2,440 3.8% \$ 88,280 \$ 3,189 3.7% \$ 26,640 \$ 1,015 4.0% \$ 81,180 \$ 2,939 3.8% \$ 21,486 \$ 778 3.8% 1998-99 \$ 78,241 \$ 4,088 5.5% \$ 64,697 \$ 3,415 5.6% \$ 85,091 \$ 4,422 5.5% \$ 25,625 \$ 1,507 6.2% \$ 78,241 \$ 4,088 5.5% \$ 20,708 \$ 1,082 5.5% 1997-98 \$ 74,153 \$ 3,688 5.2% \$ 61,282 \$ 3,071 5.3% \$ 80,669 \$ 3,996 5.2% \$ 24,118 \$ 1,314 5.8% \$ 74,153 \$ 3,688 5.2% \$ 19,626 \$ 976 5.2% 1996-97 \$ 70,465 \$ 3,918 5.9% \$ 58,211 \$ 3,251 5.9% \$ 76,673 \$ 4,253 5.9% \$ 22,804 \$ 1,342 6.3% \$ 70,465 \$ 3,918 5.9% \$ 18,650 \$ 1,037 5.9% 1995-96 \$ 66,547 \$ 2,715 4.3% \$ 54,960 \$ 2,258 4.3% \$ 72,420 \$ 2,944 4.2% \$ 21,462 \$ 951 4.6% \$ 66,547 \$ 2,715 4.3% \$ 17,613 \$ 719 4.3% 1994-95 \$ 63,832 \$ 3,545 5.9% \$ 52,702 \$ 2,943 5.9% \$ 69,476 \$ 3,847 5.9% \$ 20,511 \$ 1,222 6.3% \$ 63,832 \$ 16,894 \$ 1992-93 \$ 57,843 \$ 103 0.2% \$ 47,719 \$ 98 0.2% \$ 62,881 \$ 2,711 4.5% \$ 18,294 \$ 750 4.3% \$ 57,740 \$ 2,485 4.5% \$ 15,282 \$ - 0.0% 1991-92 \$ 57,740 \$ 2,485 4.5% \$ 45,578 \$ 1,994 4.6% \$ 60,170 \$ 2,580 4.5% \$ 18,294 \$ 750 4.3% \$ 52,669 \$ 2,576 5.1% \$ 13,993 \$ 688 5.5% \$ 13,913 \$ 69,90 5.5% \$ 52,600 \$ 5.5% \$ 13,311 \$ 689 5.5% \$ 1987-88 \$ 50,293 \$ 6,896 15.5% \$ 44,438 \$ 6,462 18.5% \$ 50,293 \$ 2,606 5.5% \$ 15,733 \$ 937 6.3% \$ 50,293 \$ 2,666 5.5% \$ 13,311 \$ 689 5.5% \$ 1987-88 \$ 50,293 \$ 6,896 15.5% \$ 41,438 \$ 6,462 18.5% \$ 50,293 \$ 2,606 5.5% \$ 14,796 \$ 998 7.2% \$ 47,687 \$ 2,575 5.7% \$ 12,622 \$ 682 5.7%	2003-04	\$	90,308	\$ 1,8	87	2.1%	44	74,741	\$	1,576	2.2%	\$ 98,168	\$	2,041	2.1%	\$	29,910	\$	697	2.4%		S	ee n	otes			N/A		-	-
2000-01 \$ 83,586 \$ 2,406 \$ 3.0% \$ 69,143 \$ 2,006 \$ 3.0% \$ 90,886 \$ 2,606 \$ 3.0% \$ 27,507 \$ 867 \$ 3.3% \$ 83,586 \$ 2,406 \$ 3.0% \$ 22,123 \$ 637 \$ 3.0% \$ 1998-90 \$ 81,180 \$ 2,939 \$ 3.8% \$ 67,137 \$ 2,440 \$ 3.8% \$ 88,280 \$ 3,189 \$ 3.7% \$ 26,640 \$ 1,015 \$ 4.0% \$ 81,180 \$ 2,939 \$ 3.8% \$ 21,486 \$ 778 \$ 3.8% \$ 1998-99 \$ 78,241 \$ 4,088 \$ 5.5% \$ 64,697 \$ 3,415 \$ 5.6% \$ 85,091 \$ 4,422 \$ 5.5% \$ 25,625 \$ 1,507 \$ 6.2% \$ 78,241 \$ 4,088 \$ 5.5% \$ 20,708 \$ 1,082 \$ 5.5% \$ 19,626 \$ 976 \$ 5.2% \$ 19,926 \$ 976 \$ 5.2% \$ 19,926 \$ 976 \$ 5.2% \$ 19,626 \$ 976 \$ 5.2% \$ 19,926 \$ 976 \$ 5.2% \$ 19,926 \$ 976 \$ 5.2% \$ 19,926 \$ 976 \$ 5.2% \$ 19,926 \$ 976 \$ 5.2% \$ 19,926 \$ 976 \$ 5.2% \$ 19,929 \$ 10,927	2002-03	\$	88,421	\$ 2,2	66	2.6%	\$	73,165	\$	1,883	2.6%	\$ 96,127	\$	2,457	2.6%	\$	29,212	\$	790	2.8%	\$	88,421	\$	2,266	2.6%	Щ.	S	ee n	otes	
1999-00 \$ 81,180 \$ 2,939 3.8% \$ 67,137 \$ 2,440 3.8% \$ 88,280 \$ 3,189 3.7% \$ 26,640 \$ 1,015 4.0% \$ 81,180 \$ 2,939 3.8% \$ 21,486 \$ 778 3.8% \$ 198-99 \$ 78,241 \$ 4,088 5.5% \$ 64,697 \$ 3,415 5.6% \$ 85,091 \$ 4,422 5.5% \$ 25,625 \$ 1,507 6.2% \$ 78,241 \$ 4,088 5.5% \$ 20,708 \$ 1,082 5.5% \$ 197-98 \$ 74,153 \$ 3,688 5.2% \$ 61,282 \$ 3,071 5.3% \$ 80,669 \$ 3,996 5.2% \$ 24,118 \$ 1,314 5.8% \$ 74,153 \$ 3,688 5.2% \$ 19,626 \$ 976 5.2% \$ 196-97 \$ 70,465 \$ 3,918 5.9% \$ 58,211 \$ 3,251 5.9% \$ 76,673 \$ 4,253 5.9% \$ 22,804 \$ 1,342 6.3% \$ 70,465 \$ 3,918 5.9% \$ 18,650 \$ 1,037 5.9% \$ 1995-96 \$ 66,547 \$ 2,715 4.3% \$ 54,960 \$ 2,258 4.3% \$ 72,420 \$ 2,944 4.2% \$ 21,462 \$ 951 4.6% \$ 66,547 \$ 2,715 4.3% \$ 17,613 \$ 719 4.3% \$ 193-94 \$ 60,287 \$ 2,444 4.2% \$ 49,759 \$ 2,040 4.3% \$ 65,629 \$ 2,644 4.2% \$ 19,289 \$ 896 4.9% \$ See notes \$ 1992-93 \$ 57,843 \$ 103 0.2% \$ 47,719 \$ 98 0.2% \$ 62,881 \$ 2,711 4.5% \$ 18,393 \$ 99 0.5% \$ 57,740 \$ 2,485 4.5% \$ 15,282 \$ - 0.0% \$ 1990-91 \$ 55,255 \$ 2,386 4.5% \$ 45,578 \$ 1,994 4.6% \$ 60,170 \$ 2,580 4.5% \$ 18,294 \$ 750 4.3% \$ 52,255 5.7% \$ 13,993 \$ 688 5.5% \$ 13,911 \$ 899 5.5% \$ 19,899 \$ 52,869 \$ 2,576 5.1% \$ 43,584 \$ 2,146 5.2% \$ 57,590 \$ 7,297 4.5% \$ 16,653 \$ 920 5.8% \$ 52,669 \$ 2,576 5.1% \$ 13,993 \$ 689 5.5% \$ 14,687 \$ 2,575 5.7% \$ 14,687 \$ 2,575 5.7% \$ 14,687 \$ 2,575 5.7% \$ 14,687 \$ 2,575 5.7% \$ 12,622 \$ 682 5.7% \$ 19,898 \$ 30,898 \$ 3,397 \$ 3,397 \$ 3,398 \$ 3,399 \$ 3,398 \$ 3,399 \$ 3,398	2001-02	\$	86,155	\$ 2,5	69	3.1%	\$	71,282	\$	2,139		\$,	\$			\$	-,	\$		3.3%	\$		\$	2,569	3.1%	\$	22,803	\$		3.1%
1998-99 \$ 76,241 \$ 4,088 5.5% \$ 64,697 \$ 3,415 5.6% \$ 85,091 \$ 4,422 5.5% \$ 25,625 \$ 1,507 6.2% \$ 78,241 \$ 4,088 5.5% \$ 20,708 \$ 1,082 5.5% \$ 1,997-98 \$ 74,153 \$ 3,688 5.2% \$ 61,282 \$ 3,071 5.3% \$ 80,669 \$ 3,996 5.2% \$ 24,118 \$ 1,314 5.8% \$ 74,153 \$ 3,688 5.2% \$ 19,626 \$ 976 5.2% \$ 1,997-98 \$ 70,465 \$ 3,918 5.9% \$ 58,211 \$ 3,251 5.9% \$ 76,673 \$ 4,253 5.9% \$ 22,804 \$ 1,342 6.3% \$ 70,465 \$ 3,918 5.9% \$ 18,650 \$ 1,037 5.9% \$ 1,998-99 \$ 66,547 \$ 2,715 4.3% \$ 54,960 \$ 2,258 4.3% \$ 72,420 \$ 2,944 4.2% \$ 21,462 \$ 951 4.6% \$ 66,547 \$ 2,715 4.3% \$ 17,613 \$ 719 4.3% \$ 1,994 4.2% \$ 1,929 \$ 8,964 4.2% \$ 1,929 \$ 8,964 4.9% \$ 1,929	2000-01	\$	83,586	\$ 2,4	06	3.0%	\$	69,143	\$	2,006	3.0%	\$ 90,886	\$	2,606	3.0%	\$	27,507	\$	867	3.3%	\$	83,586	\$	2,406	3.0%	\$	22,123	\$	637	3.0%
1997-98 \$ 74,153 \$ 3,688 5.2% \$ 61,282 \$ 3,071 5.3% \$ 80,669 \$ 3,996 5.2% \$ 24,118 \$ 1,314 5.8% \$ 74,153 \$ 3,688 5.2% \$ 19,626 \$ 976 5.2% \$ 1996-97 \$ 70,465 \$ 3,918 5.9% \$ 58,211 \$ 3,251 5.9% \$ 76,673 \$ 4,253 5.9% \$ 22,804 \$ 1,342 6.3% \$ 70,465 \$ 3,918 5.9% \$ 18,650 \$ 1,037 5.9% \$ 1995-96 \$ 66,547 \$ 2,715 4.3% \$ 54,960 \$ 2,258 4.3% \$ 72,420 \$ 2,944 4.2% \$ 21,462 \$ 951 4.6% \$ 66,547 \$ 2,715 4.3% \$ 17,613 \$ 719 4.3% \$ 1993-94 \$ 60,287 \$ 2,444 4.2% \$ 49,759 \$ 2,040 4.3% \$ 65,629 \$ 2,644 4.2% \$ 19,289 \$ 896 4.9% \$ 80,089 \$ 80,089 \$ 19,929 \$ 57,740 \$ 2,485 4.5% \$ 47,719 \$ 98 0.2% \$ 62,881 \$ 2,711 4.5% \$ 18,393 \$ 99 0.5% \$ 57,740 \$ 2,485 4.5% \$ 15,282 \$ 638 4.5% \$ 19,289 \$ 19,909 \$ 55,255 \$ 2,386 4.5% \$ 44,538 \$ 1,994 4.6% \$ 60,170 \$ 2,580 4.5% \$ 17,544 \$ 891 5.4% \$ 50,293 \$ 2,669 \$ 2,576 5.1% \$ 43,584 \$ 2,146 5.2% \$ 50,293 \$ 2,606 5.5% \$ 11,573 \$ 9,309 \$ 7,297 14.5% \$ 14,796 \$ 998 7.2% \$ 47,687 \$ 2,575 5.7% \$ 12,622 \$ 682 5.7% \$ 19,878 \$ 47,687 \$ 2,575 5.7% \$ 12,622 \$ 682 5.7% \$ 19,878 \$ 43,397 \$ 2,371 5.8% \$ 34,976 \$ 1,956 5.9% \$ 47,687 \$ 2,575 5.7% \$ 14,796 \$ 998 7.2% \$ 47,687 \$ 2,575 5.7% \$ 12,622 \$ 682 5.7% \$ 19,878 \$ 43,397 \$ 2,371 5.8% \$ 34,976 \$ 1,956 5.9% \$ 47,687 \$ 2,575 5.7% \$ 14,796 \$ 998 7.2% \$ 47,687 \$ 2,575 5.7% \$ 12,622 \$ 682 5.7% \$ 19,878 \$ 47,687 \$ 2,575 5.7% \$ 14,796 \$ 998 7.2% \$ 47,687 \$ 2,575 5.7% \$ 12,622 \$ 682 5.7% \$ 19,878 \$ 47,687 \$ 2,575 5.7% \$ 14,796 \$ 998 7.2% \$ 47,687 \$ 2,575 5.7% \$ 12,622 \$ 682 5.7% \$ 19,878 \$ 47,687 \$ 2,575 5.7% \$ 14,796 \$ 998 7.2% \$ 47,687 \$ 2,575 5.7% \$ 12,622 \$ 682 5.7% \$ 19,878 \$ 12,622 \$ 682 5.7% \$ 12,622 \$ 682 5.7% \$ 12,622 \$ 682	1999-00	\$	- ,	, ,-			\$	67,137	•	, -		\$ 88,280	\$			\$	-,-	\$,		\$					\$				3.8%
1996-97 \$ 70,465 \$ 3,918 5.9% \$ 58,211 \$ 3,251 5.9% \$ 70,673 \$ 4,253 5.9% \$ 22,804 \$ 1,342 6.3% \$ 70,465 \$ 3,918 5.9% \$ 18,650 \$ 1,037 5.9% \$ 1995-96 \$ 66,547 \$ 2,715 4.3% \$ 54,960 \$ 2,258 4.3% \$ 72,420 \$ 2,944 4.2% \$ 21,462 \$ 951 4.6% \$ 66,547 \$ 2,715 4.3% \$ 17,613 \$ 719 4.3% \$ 1994-95 \$ 63,832 \$ 3,545 5.9% \$ 52,702 \$ 2,943 5.9% \$ 66,766 \$ 3,847 5.9% \$ 20,511 \$ 1,222 6.3% \$ 63,832 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1998-99	\$	78,241	\$ 4,0	88		\$	64,697	\$	3,415		\$ 85,091	\$	4,422		\$	25,625	\$,		\$	-,	_	,		\$	20,708	\$,	5.5%
1995-96 \$ 66,547 \$ 2,715 4.3% \$ 54,960 \$ 2,258 4.3% \$ 72,420 \$ 2,944 4.2% \$ 21,462 \$ 951 4.6% \$ 66,547 \$ 2,715 4.3% \$ 17,613 \$ 719 4.3% 194-95 \$ 63,832 \$ 3,545 5.9% \$ 52,702 \$ 2,943 5.9% \$ 69,476 \$ 3,847 5.9% \$ 20,511 \$ 1,222 6.3% \$ 63,832 \$ 16,894 1993-94 \$ 60,287 \$ 2,444 4.2% \$ 49,759 \$ 2,040 4.3% \$ 65,629 \$ 2,644 4.2% \$ 19,289 \$ 896 4.9% See notes 1992-93 \$ 57,843 \$ 103 0.2% \$ 47,719 \$ 98 0.2% \$ 62,985 \$ 104 0.2% \$ 18,393 \$ 99 0.5% \$ 57,740 \$ - 0.0% \$ 15,282 \$ - 0.0% 1991-92 \$ 57,740 \$ 2,485 4.5% \$ 47,621 \$ 2,043 4.5% \$ 60,170 \$ 2,588 4.5% \$ 18,294 \$ 750 4.3% \$ 55,255 \$ 2,386 4.5% \$ 15,282 \$ 638 4.5% 1989-90 \$ 52,266 \$ 2,566 \$ 2,565 \$ 1,994 4.6% \$ 60,170 \$ 2,580 4.5% \$ 17,544 \$ 891 5.4% \$ 55,255 \$ 2,386 4.5% \$ 14,438 \$ 6,462 18.5% \$ 57,590 \$ 7,297 14.5% \$ 16,653 \$ 920 5.8% \$ 52,699 \$ 2,576 5.1% \$ 13,993 \$ 682 5.1% 1987-98 \$ 50,293 \$ 6,896 15.9% \$ 41,438 \$ 6,462 18.5% \$ 50,293 \$ 2,606 5.5% \$ 15,733 \$ 937 6.3% \$ 50,293 \$ 2,606 5.5% \$ 13,311 \$ 689 5.5% 1987-88 \$ 43,397 \$ 2,371 5.8% \$ 34,976 \$ 1,956 5.9% \$ 47,687 \$ 2,575 5.7% \$ 14,796 \$ 998 7.2% \$ 47,687 \$ 2,575 5.7% \$ 12,622 \$ 682 5.7%	1997-98	\$					\$					\$ 80,669	\$			\$		\$			\$					\$				5.2%
1994-95 \$ 63,832 \$ 3,545 \$ 5.9% \$ 52,702 \$ 2,943 \$ 5.9% \$ 66476 \$ 3,847 \$ 5.9% \$ 20,511 \$ 1,222 \$ 6.3% \$ 63,832 \$ - \$ \$ 16,894 \$ - \$ 1993-94 \$ 60,287 \$ 2,444 \$ 4.2% \$ 49,759 \$ 2,040 \$ 4.3% \$ 65,629 \$ 2,644 \$ 4.2% \$ 19,289 \$ 896 \$ 4.9% \$ See notes \$ See notes \$ 1992-93 \$ 57,843 \$ 103 0.2% \$ 47,719 \$ 98 0.2% \$ 62,985 \$ 104 0.2% \$ 18,393 \$ 99 0.5% \$ 57,740 \$ - 0.0% \$ 15,282 \$ - 0.0% \$ 1992-93 \$ 57,740 \$ 2,485 \$ 4.5% \$ 47,621 \$ 2,043 \$ 4.5% \$ 62,881 \$ 2,711 \$ 4.5% \$ 18,294 \$ 750 \$ 4.3% \$ 57,740 \$ 2,485 \$ 4.5% \$ 15,282 \$ 658 \$ 4.5% \$ 1999-91 \$ 55,255 \$ 2,386 \$ 4.5% \$ 45,578 \$ 1,994 \$ 60,170 \$ 2,580 \$ 4.5% \$ 17,544 \$ 891 5.4% \$ 55,255 \$ 2,386 \$ 4.5% \$ 14,624 \$ 631 4.5% \$ 1989-90 \$ 52,869 \$ 2,576 5.1% \$ 43,584 \$ 2,446 5.2% \$ 57,590 \$ 7,297 \$ 14.5% \$ 16,653 \$ 920 5.8% \$ 52,869 \$ 2,576 5.1% \$ 13,993 \$ 682 5.1% \$ 1988-99 \$ 50,293 \$ 6,896 15.9% \$ 41,438 \$ 6,462 18.5% \$ 50,293 \$ 2,606 5.5% \$ 15,733 \$ 937 6.3% \$ 50,293 \$ 2,606 5.5% \$ 13,311 \$ 689 5.5% \$ 1987-88 \$ 43,397 \$ 2,371 5.8% \$ 34,976 \$ 1,956 5.9% \$ 47,687 \$ 2,575 5.7% \$ 14,796 \$ 998 7.2% \$ 47,687 \$ 2,575 5.7% \$ 12,622 \$ 682 5.7%	1996-97	\$	70,465				\$	58,211				\$ 76,673	\$			\$		\$,-		\$	-,			5.9%	\$	18,650	\$,	5.9%
1993-94 \$ 60,287 \$ 2,444 4.2% \$ 49,759 \$ 2,040 4.3% \$ 65,629 \$ 2,644 4.2% \$ 19,289 \$ 896 4.9% See notes 1992-93 \$ 57,843 \$ 103 0.2% \$ 47,719 \$ 98 0.2% \$ 62,985 \$ 104 0.2% \$ 18,393 \$ 99 0.5% \$ 57,740 \$ - 0.0% \$ 15,282 \$ - 0.0% \$ 19,192 \$ 57,740 \$ 2,485 4.5% \$ 47,621 \$ 2,043 4.5% \$ 62,881 \$ 2,711 4.5% \$ 18,294 \$ 750 4.3% \$ 57,740 \$ 2,485 4.5% \$ 15,282 \$ 658 4.5% \$ 19,904 4.6% \$ 60,170 \$ 2,580 4.5% \$ 17,544 \$ 891 5.4% \$ 55,255 \$ 2,386 4.5% \$ 14,624 \$ 631 4.5% \$ 19,899 \$ 52,869 \$ 2,576 5.1% \$ 43,584 \$ 2,146 5.2% \$ 57,590 \$ 7,297 14.5% \$ 16,653 \$ 920 5.8% \$ 52,869 \$ 2,576 5.1% \$ 13,993 \$ 682 5.1% \$ 19,88-89 \$ 50,293 \$ 6,896 15.9% \$ 41,438 \$ 6,462 18.5% \$ 50,293 \$ 2,606 5.5% \$ 15,733 \$ 937 6.3% \$ 50,293 \$ 2,606 5.5% \$ 13,311 \$ 689 5.5% \$ 19,874 \$ 47,687 \$ 2,575 5.7% \$ 14,796 \$ 998 7.2% \$ 47,687 \$ 2,575 5.7% \$ 12,622 \$ 682 5.7%	1995-96	\$	66,547			4.3%	\$,		\$ 72,420	\$			\$, -	\$		4.6%	\$, -	\$	2,715	4.3%	\$	17,613	\$	719	4.3%
1992-93 \$ 57,843 \$ 103 0.2% \$ 47,719 \$ 98 0.2% \$ 62,985 \$ 104 0.2% \$ 18,393 \$ 99 0.5% \$ 57,740 \$ - 0.0% \$ 15,282 \$ - 0.0% 1991-92 \$ 57,740 \$ 2,485 4.5% \$ 47,621 \$ 2,043 4.5% \$ 62,881 \$ 2,711 4.5% \$ 18,294 \$ 750 4.3% \$ 57,740 \$ 2,485 4.5% \$ 15,282 \$ 658 4.5% 1990-91 \$ 55,255 \$ 2,386 4.5% \$ 45,578 \$ 1,994 4.6% \$ 60,170 \$ 2,580 4.5% \$ 57,740 \$ 2,485 4.5% \$ 14,624 \$ 631 4.5% 1989-90 \$ 52,869 \$ 2,576 5.1% \$ 43,584 \$ 2,146 5.2% \$ 57,590 \$ 7,297 14.5% \$ 16,653 \$ 920 5.8% \$ 52,256 5.1% \$ 13,393 \$ 682 5.1% 1988-98 \$ 50,293 \$ 6,896 15.9% \$ 41,438 \$ 6,462 18.5% \$ 50,293 \$ 2,669 \$ 2,576 5.1%<	1994-95	\$	63,832	\$ 3,5	45		\$					\$ 69,476	\$			\$	- , -	\$			\$	63,832		-	-	\$	16,894	ш_	-	-
1991-92 \$ 57,740 \$ 2,485 4.5% \$ 47,621 \$ 2,043 4.5% \$ 62,881 \$ 2,711 4.5% \$ 18,294 \$ 750 4.3% \$ 57,740 \$ 2,485 4.5% \$ 15,282 \$ 658 4.5% 1990-91 \$ 55,255 \$ 2,386 4.5% \$ 45,578 \$ 1,994 4.6% \$ 60,170 \$ 2,580 4.5% \$ 15,255 \$ 2,386 4.5% \$ 14,624 631 4.5% 1989-90 \$ 52,869 \$ 2,576 5.1% \$ 43,584 \$ 2,146 5.5% \$ 57,90 \$ 7,297 14.5% \$ 16,653 920 5.8% \$ 52,669 \$ 2,576 5.1% \$ 13,993 \$ 682 5.1% 1987-88 \$ 43,397 \$ 2,371 5.8% \$ 34,976 \$ 1,956 5.9% \$ 47,687 \$ 2,575 5.7% \$ 14,796 \$ 998 7.2% \$ 47,687 \$ 2,575 5.7% \$ 12,622 \$ 682 5.7%	1993-94	\$	60,287	• ,			\$		\$	2,040		\$ 65,629	\$,-		\$		\$						otes					otes	
1990-91 \$ 55,255 \$ 2,386 4.5% \$ 45,578 \$ 1,994 4.6% \$ 60,170 \$ 2,580 4.5% \$ 15,255 \$ 2,386 4.5% \$ 14,624 \$ 631 4.5% 1989-90 \$ 52,869 \$ 2,576 5.1% \$ 43,584 \$ 2,146 5.2% \$ 57,590 \$ 7,297 14.5% \$ 16,653 \$ 920 5.8% \$ 52,869 \$ 2,576 5.1% \$ 13,993 \$ 682 5.1% 1988-89 \$ 50,293 \$ 6,896 15.9% \$ 41,438 \$ 6,462 18.5% \$ 50,293 \$ 2,606 5.5% \$ 15,733 \$ 937 6.3% \$ 50,293 \$ 2,606 5.5% \$ 13,311 \$ 689 5.5% 1987-88 \$ 43,397 \$ 2,371 5.8% \$ 34,976 \$ 1,956 5.9% \$ 47,687 \$ 2,575 5.7% \$ 14,796 \$ 998 7.2% \$ 47,687 \$ 2,575 5.7% \$ 12,622 \$ 682 5.7%	1992-93	\$	57,843	•		0.2%	\$	47,719				\$ 62,985	\$			\$	18,393	\$		0.5%	\$			-	0.0,0	\$	15,282	\$	-	0.0%
1989-90 \$ 52,869 \$ 2,576 5.1% \$ 43,584 \$ 2,146 5.2% \$ 57,590 \$ 7,297 14.5% \$ 16,653 \$ 920 5.8% \$ 52,869 \$ 2,576 5.1% \$ 13,993 \$ 682 5.1% 1988-89 \$ 50,293 \$ 6,896 15.9% \$ 41,438 \$ 6,462 18.5% \$ 50,293 \$ 2,606 5.5% \$ 50,293 \$ 50,293 \$ 2,606 5.5% \$ 13,311 \$ 689 5.5% 1987-88 \$ 43,397 \$ 2,371 5.8% \$ 34,976 \$ 1,956 5.9% \$ 47,687 \$ 2,575 5.7% \$ 14,796 \$ 998 7.2% \$ 47,687 \$ 2,575 5.7% \$ 12,622 \$ 682 5.7%	1991-92	\$	-,-	\$ 2,4	85		\$,-				\$ 62,881	\$			\$	-, -	\$		4.3%	\$	- , -	\$	2,485		\$	15,282	\$		4.5%
1988-89 \$ 50,293 \$ 6,896 15.9% \$ 41,438 \$ 6,462 18.5% \$ 50,293 \$ 2,606 5.5% \$ 50,293 \$ 2,606 5.5% \$ 13,311 \$ 689 5.5% 1987-88 \$ 43,397 \$ 2,371 5.8% \$ 34,976 \$ 1,956 5.9% \$ 47,687 \$ 2,575 5.7% \$ 14,768 \$ 98 7.2% \$ 47,687 \$ 2,575 5.7% \$ 12,622 \$ 682 5.7%	1990-91	\$					\$	45,578		,		\$,	\$	2,580		\$	17,544	\$			\$					\$	14,624	\$	631	4.5%
1987-88 \$ 43,397 \$ 2,371 5.8% \$ 34,976 \$ 1,956 5.9% \$ 47,687 \$ 2,575 5.7% \$ 14,796 \$ 998 7.2% \$ 47,687 \$ 2,575 5.7% \$ 12,622 \$ 682 5.7%	1989-90	\$	52,869	\$ 2,5	76	5.1%	\$	43,584	\$	2,146		\$ 57,590	\$	7,297		\$	16,653	\$		5.8%	\$	52,869	\$	2,576		\$	13,993	\$	682	5.1%
	1988-89	\$	50,293	,.			\$	41,438		., .		\$ 50,293	\$			\$	-,	\$			\$,				\$		+		5.5%
1986-87 \$ 41 026 \$ 2780 73% \$ 33 020 \$ 2251 73% \$ 45 112 \$ 3.047 72% \$ 13 798 \$ 994 7.8% \$ 45 112 \$ 3.047 7.2% \$ 11 040 \$ 806 7.2%	1987-88	\$	43,397	\$ 2,3	71		\$	34,976	\$	1,956		\$ 47,687	\$	2,575	5.7%	\$	14,796	\$		7.2%	\$		\$	2,575	5.7%	\$	12,622	\$	682	5.7%
	1986-87	\$	41,026	\$ 2,7	80	7.3%	\$	33,020	\$	2,251	7.3%	\$ 45,112	\$	3,047	7.2%	\$	13,798	\$	994	7.8%	\$	45,112	\$	3,047	7.2%	\$	11,940	\$	806	7.2%
1985-86 \$ 38,246 - - \$ 30,769 - - \$ 42,065 - - \$ 12,804 - - \$ 42,065 - - \$ 11,134 - -	1985-86	\$	38,246	-		-	\$	30,769		-	-	\$ 42,065		-	-	\$	12,804		-	-	\$	42,065		-	-	\$	11,134		-	-

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Orange County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17 Clerk of Circuit Court, Property Fiscal Appraiser & Tax Collector Supervisor of Elections **County Commissioners School Superintendent School Board Members** Year Salary \$ Chg. Salary \$ Chg. % Cha. Salarv \$ Chg. % Chg. \$ Chg. % Chg. Salary \$ Chg. % Chg. Salary \$ Chg. % Chg. 2016-17 \$ 159,986 \$ 654 0.4% 159,986 \$ 20,885 15.0% 168,949 668 0.4% 96,031 143 0.1% \$ 159,986 654 0.4% \$ 42,681 \$ 64 0.1% 2015-16 \$ 159.332 \$ 602 0.4% 139,102 \$ 580 0.4% 168,281 612 0.4% 95.888 106 0.1% \$ 159.332 \$ 602 0.4% \$ 42,617 \$ 47 0.1% 2014-15 \$ 158,729 \$ 0.6% 138.522 \$ 834 0.6% 167,669 0.5% 95.782 259 0.3% \$ 158,729 \$ 42,570 \$ 115 0.3% 889 913 889 0.6% 2013-14 \$ 157,841 \$ 5,966 3.9% 137,687 \$ 5,243 4.0% 166,756 \$ 6,285 3.9% 95,523 \$ 3,426 3.7% \$ 157,841 5,966 3.9% \$ 42,455 \$ 1,523 3.7% 2012-13 \$ 151,875 \$ 186 0.1% 132,445 \$ 186 0.1% 160,471 186 0.1% 92,097 0.0% \$ 151,875 186 0.1% \$ 40,932 \$ 0.0% 2011-12 \$ 151,689 \$ 607 0.4% \$ 132,258 \$ 607 0.5% 160,284 \$ 607 0.4% 92,097 0.0% \$ 151,689 \$ 607 0.4% \$ 40,932 \$ 0.0% 2010-11 \$ 151.082 (100) -0.1% \$ 131.651 \$ (100)-0.1% 159.677 (100) -0.1% 92.097 0.0% \$ 151.082 \$ 3.075 2.1% \$ 40.932 See notes 2009-10 \$ 151.181 \$ 131.751 \$ \$ 159,777 154 92.097 0.0% \$ 148,006 \$ 40.932 See notes \$ 151,027 2008-09 \$ 151.027 592 0.4% \$ 131.597 571 0.4% 159,623 602 0.4% 92,096 0.1% 592 0.4% \$ 40,932 0.1% 2007-08 \$ 150,435 0.4% \$ 131.026 \$ 589 0.5% 159.021 588 0.4% 91.995 \$ 150.435 589 0.4% \$ 40.887 589 0.0% 2006-07 \$ 149,846 \$ 5,478 3.8% \$ 130,437 \$ 4,829 3.8% \$ 158,432 5,764 3.8% 91,996 3,077 3.5% \$ 149,846 \$ 5,478 3.8% N/A 2005-06 \$ 144,368 \$ 6,287 4.6% \$ 125,608 \$ 5,525 4.6% \$ 152,668 \$ 6,618 4.5% 88,919 3,855 4.5% \$ 144,368 6,288 4.6% N/A 2,539 2004-05 \$ 138,081 \$ 2,429 \$ 120,083 \$ 2,157 1.8% \$ 146,050 \$ 1.8% 2.0% \$ 138,081 1.8% 85,064 \$ 1,677 N/A -2003-04 \$ 135,651 \$ 3,156 2.4% \$ 117,926 \$ 2,785 2.4% \$ 143,511 \$ 3,310 2.4% 83,387 \$ 2,108 2.6% See notes N/A 2002-03 \$ 132,495 \$ 3,928 3.1% \$ 115,140 \$ 3,466 3.1% \$ 140,201 \$ 4,119 3.0% 81.279 2,624 3.3% \$ 132,495 \$ 3,928 3.1% See notes \$ 2001-02 \$ 128,567 \$ 4,583 3.7% \$ 111,674 \$ 4,057 3.8% \$ 136,081 \$ 4,797 3.7% 78,655 3,115 4.1% \$ 128,567 4,583 3.7% 3.7% 35,266 \$ 1,248 \$ 2000-01 \$ 123,984 \$ 3,785 3.1% 75,540 \$ 107,617 \$ 3,319 3.2% \$ 131,284 \$ 3,985 3.1% 2.442 3.3% \$ 123,984 3.785 3.1% 34,018 \$ 1,035 3.1% \$ 1999-00 \$ 120,199 \$ 4,584 4.0% \$ 104,298 \$ 4,007 4.0% \$ 127,299 \$ 4,834 3.9% 73,098 2.908 4.1% \$ 120,199 \$ 4,584 4.0% 32,983 \$ 1,254 4.0% 1998-99 \$ 115,615 \$ 6,067 5.5% \$ 100,291 \$ 5,299 5.6% 122,465 \$ 6,401 5.5% 70.190 3,830 5.8% \$ 115,615 6.067 5.5% 31,729 \$ 1,661 5.5% 30,068 \$ 1997-98 \$ 109.548 \$ 5.466 5.3% 94.992 \$ 4.764 5.3% \$ 116,064 \$ 5,774 5.2% 66.360 \$ 3.411 5.4% \$ 109.548 ₩, 5.466 5.3% \$ 1.498 5.2% \$ 28,570 \$ 1996-97 \$ 104,082 \$ 5,891 6.0% 90.228 \$ 5.131 6.0% 110.290 \$ 6.226 6.0% 62,949 \$ 3.659 6.2% \$ 104.082 \$ 5.891 6.0% \$ 1.614 6.0% 1995-96 98,191 \$ 4,004 4.3% 85,097 \$ 3,485 4.3% 104,064 4,233 4.2% 59,290 2,478 4.4% 98,191 \$ 4,004 \$ 26,956 \$ 1,097 4.2% 1994-95 94,187 5,239 5.9% 81,612 4,557 5.9% 99,831 5,541 5.9% 56,812 3,231 6.0% 94,187 25,859 1993-94 88,948 3,484 4.1% 77,055 \$ 3,031 4.1% 94,290 \$ 3,685 4.1% 53,581 2,150 4.2% See notes See notes \$ 1992-93 85,464 306 0.4% 74,024 291 0.4% 90,605 305 0.3% 51,431 291 0.6% 85,158 0.0% 23,386 \$ 0.0% \$ 1991-92 85,158 23,386 85.158 4,039 5.0% 73,733 3,522 5.0% 90,300 4,266 5.0% 51.140 2,528 5.2% 5.0% 5.0%

1985-86 Notes:

1990-91

1989-90

1988-89

1987-88

1986-87

81,119

77.562

73,809

65,700

62,341

58.031

3,557

3.753

8,109

3,359

4,310

4.6%

5.1%

12.3%

5.4%

7.4%

70,211

67.101

63,834

56,217

53,321

49.612

3,110

3.267

7,617

2,896

\$ 3,709

4.6%

5.1%

13.5%

5.4%

7.5%

86,034

82.283

73,809

69,990

66,428

61.850

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

4.6%

11.5%

5.5%

5.4%

7.4%

48,612

46.353

44,030

41,655

39,450

36.649

2,259

2.323

2,375

2,205

2,801

4.9%

5.3%

5.7%

5.6% \$ 69,990

7.6% \$

81,119

77.562

73,809

66,428

61.850

3,557

3.753

3,819

3,562

4,578

4.6%

5.1%

5.5%

5.4% \$

7.4% \$

\$

22,279

21.306 \$ 1.028

19,231

18,255

16.999

20,278 \$

\$ 1,256

973

1,047

976

4.6%

5.1%

5.4%

5.3%

7.4%

3,751

8.474

3,819

3,562

4,578

- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Osceola County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

2015-16 \$136,070 \$1,060 0.8% \$136,070 \$2,0317 7.76% \$143,070 \$1,070 \$7,080 \$7,385 \$9.32 1.2% \$136,070 \$1,060 0.8% \$37,040 \$2.233 0.8% \$2.05% \$15,733 \$5.20 \$5.76 \$1,573 \$5.25 \$5.70 \$5.76 \$5.7		C	lerk	of Circ	cuit	Court, F	Property																					
2016-16 \$ 136,070 \$ 1,060 0.8% \$ 136,070 \$ 20.317 17.6% \$ 143,503 \$ 1,074 0.7% \$ 77,385 \$ 9.32 1.2% \$ 136,070 \$ 1,060 0.8% \$ 37,404 \$ 2.283 0.8% \$ 2015-16 \$ 335,010 \$ 5.20 0.5% \$ 115,733 \$ 5.857 0.5% \$ 143,506 \$ 1.430 0.8% \$ 77,885 \$ 9.32 1.2% \$ 136,070 \$ 1.060 0.8% \$ 37,040 \$ 2.233 0.8% \$ 2015-16 \$ 133,371 \$ \$ 3.650 0.6% \$ 115,166 \$ 7.89 0.7% \$ 143,318 \$ 5.889 0.6% \$ 75,862 \$ 6.83 0.9% \$ 134,379 \$ 8.65 0.6% \$ 37,040 \$ 2.233 0.6% \$ 2015-14 \$ 133,514 \$ 5,240 4.1% \$ 114,377 \$ 4,552 4.1% \$ 143,318 \$ 5.889 0.6% \$ 75,862 \$ 6.83 0.9% \$ 134,379 \$ 8.65 0.6% \$ 37,040 \$ 2.233 0.6% \$ 2015-14 \$ 133,514 \$ 5,240 4.1% \$ 114,377 \$ 4,552 4.1% \$ 143,318 \$ 5.889 0.6% \$ 75,862 \$ 6.83 0.9% \$ 134,379 \$ 8.65 0.6% \$ 37,040 \$ 2.233 0.6% \$ 2015-14 \$ 124,274 \$ 334 0.3% \$ 109,845 \$ 138 0.3% \$ 134,579 \$ 3.40 0.8% \$ 134,579 \$ 3.40 0.8% \$ 37,040 \$ 2.233 0.6% \$ 2015-14 \$ 124,274 \$ 334 0.3% \$ 109,845 \$ 138,070 \$ 318 0.3% \$ 134,579 \$ 3.240 \$ 14.1% \$ 336,007 \$ 1.439 4.1% \$ 2011-12 \$ 127,940 \$ (255) 0.2% \$ 109,787 \$ (25) 0.2% \$ 136,505 \$ (265) 0.2% \$ 17,755 \$ (252) 0.2% \$ 136,500 \$ (265) 0.2% \$ 35,240 \$ 4.1% \$ 336,007 \$ 1.439 4.1% \$ 2011-12 \$ 128,044 \$ (26) 0.0% \$ 109,778 \$ (27) 0.4% \$ 136,800 \$ (26) 0.0% \$ 109,778 \$ (27) 0.4% \$ 136,800 \$ (26) 0.0% \$ 109,778 \$ (27) 0.4% \$ 136,800 \$ (27) 0.1% \$ 128,244 \$ 3.40 0.4% \$ 109,835 \$ 460 0.4% \$ 136,850 \$ 400 0.4% \$ 136,850 \$ 400 0.4% \$ 136,850 \$ 400 0.4% \$ 136,850 \$ 400 0.4% \$ 109,835 \$ 400 0.4% \$ 109,835 \$ 400 0.4% \$ 136,850 \$	Fiscal		Ap	oraise	r & `	Tax Coll	ector	Supervi	sor of El	ections		Sh	eriff			County	Со	mmissio	ners	Schoo	l Su	perinten	dent		School I	Boar	d Memi	oers
2014-15	Year		Sala	ary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg	% Chg	Salary	٠,	Chg.	% Chg.	ï	Salary	٠,	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	•	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15 \$ 134,379 \$ 865 0.6% \$ 115,166 \$ 789 0.7% \$ 143,318 \$ 889 0.6% \$ 75,882 \$ 633 0.9% \$ 134,379 \$ 865 0.6% \$ 37,040 \$ 233 0.6% \$ 213,014 \$ 133,514 \$ 5,240 4.1% \$ 114,377 \$ 4,532 4.1% \$ 142,429 \$ 5,560 4.1% \$ 75,882 \$ 63,09% \$ 3,127 4.3% \$ 133,514 \$ 5,240 4.1% \$ 36,007 \$ 34,40 \$ 212,131 \$ 3,000 \$ 136,536 \$ 233 4.1% \$ 136,536 \$ 233 4.1% \$ 136,536 \$ 233 4.1% \$ 136,536 \$ 233 4.1% \$ 136,536 \$ 233 4.1% \$ 136,536 \$ 233 4.1% \$ 136,536 \$ 233 4.1% \$ 136,536 \$ 233 4.1% \$ 136,536 \$ 233 4.1% \$ 136,536 \$ 233 4.1% \$ 136,636 \$ 136,636 \$ 136	2016-17	\$	136	6,070	\$	1,060	0.8%	\$ 136,070	\$ 20,3	7 17.6%	\$ 145,033	\$	1,074	0.7%	\$	77,358	\$	932	1.2%	\$ 136,070	\$	1,060	0.8%	\$	37,491	\$	283	0.8%
2013-14 \$ 133,514 \$ 5,240 4.1% \$ 114,377 \$ 4,522 4.1% \$ 142,429 \$ 5,560 4.1% \$ 75,200 \$ 3,127 4.3% \$ 133,514 \$ 5,240 4.1% \$ 36,807 \$ 1,439 4.1% \$ 2012-13 \$ 128,224 \$ 3,34 0.3% \$ 109,845 \$ 318 0.3% \$ 136,870 \$ 334 0.2% \$ 72,073 \$ 310 0.4% \$ 5128,724 \$ 334 0.3% \$ 35,808 \$ 88 0.3% \$ 2011-12 \$ 127,940 \$ (255) -0.2% \$ 105,527 \$ (252) -0.2% \$ 136,870 \$ 334 0.2% \$ 77,007 \$ (27) \$ (27) \$ (255) -0.2% \$ 35,279 \$ (70) -0.2% \$ 100,001 \$ (27)	2015-16	\$	135	5,010	\$	632	0.5%	\$ 115,753	\$ 5	7 0.5%	\$ 143,960	\$	642	0.4%	\$	76,426	\$	544	0.7%	\$ 135,010	\$	632	0.5%	\$	37,209	\$	169	0.5%
201-12 \$ 128,274 \$ 334 0.3% \$ 109,845 \$ 318 0.3% \$ 136,870 \$ 334 0.2% \$ 72,073 \$ 318 0.4% \$ 128,274 \$ 334 0.3% \$ 35,368 \$ 88 0.3% \$ 2011-12 \$ 127,744 \$ 10,000 \$ 109,778 \$ (57) -0.2% \$ 136,536 \$ (265) -0.2% \$ 136,536 \$ (265) -0.2% \$ 136,536 \$ (265) -0.2% \$ 136,536 \$ (265) -0.2% \$ 136,536 \$ (265) -0.2% \$ 136,536 \$ (265) -0.2% \$ 128,264 \$ (59) -0.0% \$ 109,778 \$ (57) -0.1% \$ 136,800 \$ (59) -0.0% \$ 72,007 \$ (77) -0.1% \$ 128,204 \$ 2.986 2.4% \$ 33,5349 \$ 80 -0.05% \$ 2008-09 \$ 128,264 \$ 490 0.4% \$ 109,835 \$ 466 0.4% \$ 136,859 \$ 490 0.4% \$ 72,063 \$ 466 0.7% \$ 125,218 \$ 80 -0.05% \$ 35,354 \$ 80 -0.05% \$ 127,774 \$ 799 0.6% \$ 136,859 \$ 400 0.4% \$ 72,063 \$ 466 0.7% \$ 125,218 \$ 80 -0.05% \$ 35,354 \$ 80 -0.05% \$ 2008-09 \$ 127,774 \$ 799 0.6% \$ 136,859 \$ 400 0.4% \$ 72,063 \$ 466 0.7% \$ 125,218 \$ 80 -0.05% \$ 35,354 \$ 80 -0.05% \$ 2008-09 \$ 126,975 \$ 1,335 1.1% \$ 109,602 \$ 1,271 1.2% \$ 135,562 \$ 1,335 1.0% \$ 70,891 \$ 1,272 1.8% \$ 126,975 \$ 1,335 1.1% \$ 35,023 2006-07 \$ 125,641 \$ 4,783 4.0% \$ 100,802 \$ 4,483 4.0% \$ 142,277 \$ 5,005 \$ 4,484 4.0% \$ 134,277 \$ 5,005 \$ 4,484 4.0% \$ 134,277 \$ 5,005 \$ 2,881 \$ 3,240 \$ 2,885 \$ 3,240 \$ 2,885 \$ 3,483 \$ 3,485 \$ 3	2014-15	\$	134	1,379	\$	865	0.6%	\$ 115,166			\$ 143,318	\$		0.6%	44	75,882	\$	683	0.9%	\$ 134,379	\$	865	0.6%	\$	37,040	\$	233	0.6%
2011-11 \$ 127,940 \$ (265) 0.2% \$ 109,527 \$ (252) 0.2% \$ 136,536 \$ (265) 0.2% \$ 71,755 \$ (252) 0.3% \$ 127,940 \$ (265) 0.2% \$ 35,279 \$ (70) 0.2%	2013-14	\$	133	3,514	\$	5,240	4.1%	\$ 114,377	\$ 4,5	2 4.1%	\$ 142,429	\$	5,560	4.1%	\$	75,200	\$	3,127	4.3%	\$ 133,514	\$	5,240	4.1%	\$	36,807	\$	1,439	4.1%
2019-101 \$ 128,204 \$ (59) 0.0% \$ 109,778 \$ (57) -0.1% \$ 136,800 \$ (59) 0.0% \$ 72,007 \$ (57) -0.1% \$ \$ 128,204 \$ 2.986 2.4% \$ 35,349 See notes	2012-13	\$	128	3,274	\$	334	0.3%	\$ 109,845	\$ 3	8 0.3%	\$ 136,870	\$	334	0.2%	44	72,073	\$	318	0.4%	\$ 128,274	\$	334	0.3%	\$	35,368	\$	88	0.3%
2008-09 \$ 128,264 \$ 490 0.4% \$ 109,835 \$ 466 0.4% \$ 136,855 \$ 490 0.4% \$ 72,063 \$ 466 0.7% \$ 125,218 \$ See notes \$ 35,365 \$ See notes \$ 2008-09 \$ 127,774 \$ 799 0.6% \$ 35,235 \$ 213 0.6% \$ 2007-08 \$ 126,975 \$ 1,335 1.1% \$ 108,622 \$ 1,271 1.2% \$ 136,570 \$ 1,335 1.0% \$ 70,891 \$ 1,272 1.8% \$ 126,975 \$ 1,335 1.1% \$ 108,622 \$ 1,271 1.2% \$ 135,562 \$ 1,335 1.0% \$ 70,891 \$ 1,272 1.8% \$ 126,975 \$ 1,335 1.1% \$ 108,622 \$ 1,271 1.2% \$ 133,562 \$ 1,335 1.0% \$ 70,891 \$ 1,272 1.8% \$ 126,975 \$ 1,335 1.1% \$ 108,622 \$ 1,271 1.2% \$ 133,562 \$ 1,335 1.0% \$ 70,891 \$ 1,272 1.8% \$ 126,975 \$ 1,335 1.1% \$ 108,622 \$ 1,271 1.2% \$ 133,562 \$ 1,335 1.0% \$ 70,891 \$ 1,272 1.8% \$ 126,975 \$ 1,335 1.1% \$ 108,622 \$ 1,271 1.2% \$ 133,562 \$ 1,335 1.0% \$ 70,891 \$ 1,272 1.8% \$ 126,975 \$ 1,335 1.1% \$ 108,622 \$ 1,271 1.2% \$ 133,562 \$ 1,335 1.0% \$ 50,595 \$ 1,265 \$ 1,335 1.0% \$ 1,272 1.8% \$ 126,975 \$ 1,335 1.1% \$ 108,622 \$ 1,271 1.2% \$ 1,335 1.0% \$ 1,285 1.2% 1,285 1.2% \$ 1,285 1.2% \$ 1,285 1.2% \$ 1,285	2011-12	\$	127	7,940	\$	(265)	-0.2%	\$ 109,527	\$ (2	2) -0.2%	\$ 136,536	\$	(265)	-0.2%	\$	71,755	\$	(252)	-0.3%	\$ 127,940	\$	(265)	-0.2%	\$	35,279	\$	(70)	-0.2%
2008-09 \$ 127,774 \$ 799 0.6% \$ 109,369 \$ 747 0.7% \$ 136,370 \$ 808 0.6% \$ 71,597 \$ 705 1.0% \$ 127,774 \$ 799 0.6% \$ 35,236 \$ 213 0.6% 2007-08 \$ 126,957 \$ 1,335 1.1% \$ 108,622 \$ 1,271 1.2% \$ 135,562 \$ 1,335 1.0% \$ 70,891 \$ 1,272 1.8% \$ 126,975 \$ 1,335 1.1% \$ 35,023 2005-06 \$ 126,858 \$ 4,783 4.0% \$ 107,550 \$ 4,143 4.0% \$ 134,227 \$ 5,070 3.9% \$ 69,620 \$ 2,862 4.3% \$ 126,641 \$ 4,783 4.0% \$ 107,500 \$ 4,143 4.0% \$ 107,500 \$ 4,143 4.0% \$ 107,500 \$ 4,040 \$ 4,040 \$	2010-11	\$	128	3,204	\$	(59)	0.0%	\$ 109,778	\$ (7) -0.1%	\$ 136,800	\$	(59)	0.0%	44	72,007	\$	(57)	-0.1%	\$ 128,204	\$	2,986	2.4%	\$	35,349		See no	otes
2007-08 \$ 126,975 \$ 1,335 1.1% \$ 108,622 \$ 1,271 1.2% \$ 135,562 \$ 1,335 1.0% \$ 70,891 \$ 1,272 1.8% \$ 126,975 \$ 1,335 1.1% \$ 35,023 2006-06 \$ 126,641 \$ 4,783 4.0% \$ 107,350 \$ 4,143 4.0% \$ 134,227 \$ 5,070 3.9% \$ 66,738 \$ 3,529 5.6% \$ 126,851 \$ 4,783 4.0% N/A 2003-06 \$ 120,858 \$ 5,725 5.0% \$ 103,307 \$ 4,989 5.1% \$ 129,157 \$ 6,055 4.9% \$ 66,738 \$ 3,529 5.6% \$ 120,858 \$ 5,725 5.0% N/A 2003-04 \$ 112,266 \$ 3,552 3.2% \$ 95,673 \$ 3,143 3.4% \$ 123,102 \$ 2,956 2.5% \$ 63,209 \$ 2,572 4.2% \$ 115,133 N/A 2003-04 \$ 112,266 \$ 3,552 3.2% \$ 95,673 \$ 3,143 3.4% \$ 129,167 \$ 3,557 3.2% \$ 5,668 3.2% \$ 50,687 \$ 3,471 6.1% \$ 50,687 \$ 3,366 3.2% \$ 50,000 \$ 2,572 4.2% \$ 115,133 N/A N/A -	2009-10	\$	128	3,264	\$	490	0.4%	\$ 109,835	\$ 40	6 0.4%	\$ 136,859	\$	490	0.4%	\$	72,063	\$	466	0.7%	\$ 125,218		See no	otes	\$	35,365	1	See no	otes
2006-07 \$ 125,641 \$ 4,783 4.0% \$ 107,350 \$ 4,143 4.0% \$ 134,227 \$ 5,070 3.9% \$ 69,620 \$ 2,882 4.3% \$ 125,641 \$ 4,783 4.0% N/A	2008-09	\$	127	7,774	\$	799	0.6%	\$ 109,369	\$ 74	7 0.7%	\$ 136,370	\$	808	0.6%	\$	71,597	\$	705	1.0%	\$ 127,774	\$	799	0.6%	\$	35,236	\$	213	0.6%
2005-06 \$ 120,858 \$ 5,725 5.0% \$ 103,207 \$ 4,980 5.1% \$ 129,157 \$ 6,055 4.9% \$ 66,738 \$ 3,529 5.6% \$ 120,858 \$ 5,725 5.0% N/A 2004-05 \$ 115,133 \$ 2,846 2.5% \$ 98,227 \$ 2,554 2.7% \$ 123,102 \$ 2,956 2.5% \$ 63,209 \$ 2,572 4.2% \$ 115,133 N/A 2002-03 \$ 108,754 \$ 3,366 3.2% \$ 92,530 \$ 2,931 3.3% \$ 116,460 \$ 3,557 3.2% \$ 57,166 \$ 2,555 4.7% \$ 108,754 \$ 3,366 3.2% \$ 50,000 \$ 2,000-01 \$ 105,388 \$ 4,388 4.3% \$ 89,599 \$ 3,871 4.5% \$ 112,902 \$ 4,602 4.2% \$ 54,611 \$ 3,924 7.7% \$ 105,388 \$ 4,388 4.3% \$ 28,997 \$ 1,265 4.6% 2000-01 \$ 101,000 \$ 3,531 3.6% \$ 85,728 \$ 3,077 3.7% \$ 108,300 \$ 3,731 3.6% \$ 50,687 \$ 2,700 \$ 5,687 \$ 2,700 \$ 5,687 \$ 3,461 \$ 3,351 3.6% \$ 2,7732 \$ 1,003 3.8% 1999-00 \$ 97,469 \$ 3,851 4.1% \$ 82,651 \$ 3,309 4.2% \$ 104,468 \$ 5,237 5.5% \$ 47,793 \$ 2,407 5.3% \$ 93,618 \$ 4,433 5.3% \$ 75,151 \$ 3,781 5.5% \$ 95,231 \$ 4,741 5.2% \$ 4,741 5.2% \$ 4,0345 \$ 2,871 7.7% \$ 84,282 \$ 4,952 6.2% \$ 23,072 \$ 1,255 5.3% 1995-96 \$ 79,330 \$ 3,477 4.6% \$ 67,135 \$ 2,984 4.7% \$ 85,203 \$ 3,706 4.5% \$ 3,744 \$ 2,118 6.0% \$ 79,330 \$ 3,477 4.6% \$ 2,3072 \$ 1,003 \$ 3,571 5.3% \$ 90,409 \$ 5,287 6.2% \$ 40,345 \$ 2,871 7.7% \$ 84,282 \$ 4,952 6.2% \$ 23,072 \$ 1,073 6.3% 1995-96 \$ 79,330 \$ 3,477 4.6% \$ 67,135 \$ 2,984 4.7% \$ 85,203 \$ 3,706 4.5% \$ 3,368 \$ 3,744 \$ 2,118 6.0% \$ 79,330 \$ 3,477 4.6% \$ 21,699 \$ 968 4.7% 1994-95 \$ 75,853 \$ 4,443 5.0% \$ 57,752 \$ 409 0.7% \$ 73,519 \$ 429 0.6% \$ 30,988 \$ 73,625 6.2% \$ 57,949 \$ 3,821 6.0% \$ 57,752 \$ 409 0.7% \$ 73,519 \$ 429 0.6% \$ 30,988 \$ 73,625 6.2% \$ 57,949 \$ 3,821 6.0% \$ 57,343 \$ 3,314 6.1% \$ 57,424 \$ 7,994 \$ 5,424 \$ 7,994 \$ 5,424 \$ 7,221 1.6% \$ 50,438 \$ 5,4433 \$ 3,	2007-08	\$	126	3,975	\$		1.1%	\$ 108,622			\$ 135,562	\$	1,335		\$	70,891	\$,	1.8%	\$ 126,975	\$		1.1%	\$			-	-
2004-05 \$ 115,133 \$ 2,846 2.5% \$ 98,227 \$ 2,554 2.7% \$ 123,102 \$ 2,956 2.5% \$ 63,209 \$ 2,572 4.2% \$ 115,133 N/A 2003-04 \$ 112,286 \$ 3,552 3.2% \$ 95,673 \$ 3,143 3.4% \$ 120,146 \$ 3,686 3.2% \$ 60,637 \$ 3,471 6.1% See notes N/A	2006-07	\$	125	,641	\$	4,783	4.0%	\$ 107,350	\$ 4,14	3 4.0%	\$ 134,227	\$	5,070	3.9%	\$	69,620	\$	2,882	4.3%	\$ 125,641	\$	4,783	4.0%	<u></u>	N/A		-	-
2003-04 \$ 112,286 \$ 3,532 3.2% \$ 95,673 \$ 3,143 3.4% \$ 120,146 \$ 3,686 3.2% \$ 60,637 \$ 3,471 6.1% See notes N/A	2005-06	\$	120	,858	\$	5,725	5.0%	\$ 103,207			\$ 129,157	\$	6,055		\$	66,738	\$	3,529	5.6%	\$ 120,858	\$	5,725	5.0%				-	-
2002-03 \$ 108,754 \$ 3,366 3.2% \$ 92,530 \$ 2,931 3.3% \$ 116,460 \$ 3,557 3.2% \$ 57,166 \$ 2,555 4.7% \$ 108,754 \$ 3,366 3.2% See notes 2001-02 \$ 105,388 \$ 4,388 4.3% \$ 89,599 \$ 3,871 4.5% \$ 112,902 \$ 4,602 4.2% \$ 54,611 \$ 3,924 7.7% \$ 105,388 \$ 4,388 4.3% \$ 28,997 \$ 1,265 4.6% 2000-01 \$ 101,000 \$ 3,531 3.6% \$ 85,728 \$ 3,077 3.7% \$ 108,300 \$ 3,731 3.6% \$ 50,687 \$ 2,704 5.6% \$ 101,000 \$ 3,531 3.6% \$ 27,732 \$ 1,003 3.8% 1999-00 \$ 97,469 \$ 3,851 4.1% \$ 82,651 \$ 3,309 4.2% \$ 104,569 \$ 4,101 4.1% \$ 47,983 \$ 2,407 5.3% \$ 97,469 \$ 3,851 4.1% \$ 26,729 \$ 1,074 4.2% 1998-99 \$ 93,618 \$ 4,903 5.5% \$ 79,342 \$ 4,191 5.6% \$ 104,569 \$ 4,101 4.1% \$ 47,983 \$ 2,407 5.3% \$ 93,618 \$ 4,903 5.5% \$ 25,655 \$ 1,358 5.6% 1997-98 \$ 88,8715 \$ 4,433 5.3% \$ 75,151 \$ 3,781 5.3% \$ 95,231 \$ 4,741 5.2% \$ 42,779 \$ 2,434 6.0% \$ 88,715 \$ 4,433 5.3% \$ 24,277 \$ 1,225 5.3% 1996-97 \$ 84,282 \$ 4,952 6.2% \$ 71,370 \$ 4,235 6.3% \$ 90,490 \$ 5,287 \$ 6.2% \$ 40,345 \$ 2,871 7.7% \$ 84,282 \$ 4,952 6.2% \$ 23,072 \$ 1,273 6.3% \$ 1994-95 \$ 75,853 \$ 4,451 6.2% \$ 67,135 \$ 2,994 4.7% \$ 85,203 \$ 3,706 4.5% \$ 37,474 \$ 2,118 6.0% \$ 79,330 \$ 3,477 4.6% \$ 67,135 \$ 2,994 4.7% \$ 85,203 \$ 3,706 4.5% \$ 37,474 \$ 2,118 6.0% \$ 79,330 \$ 3,477 4.6% \$ 21,699 \$ 968 4.7% 1994-95 \$ 75,853 \$ 4,451 6.2% \$ 64,151 \$ 3,806 6.3% \$ 81,497 \$ 4,753 6.2% \$ 33,034 5.9% \$ 75,853 \$ \$ 20,731 1993-94 \$ 71,402 \$ 3,024 4.4% \$ 60,345 \$ 2,593 4.5% \$ 76,744 \$ 3,225 4.4% \$ 3,225 4.4% \$ 3,225 4.4% \$ 3,225 4.4% \$ 3,225 4.4% \$ 3,225 4.4% \$ 3,236 5.9% \$ 86,004 \$ 3,851 6.0% \$ 18,520 \$ \$ 20,731 1993-94 \$ 71,402 \$ 3,024 4.4% \$ 60,345 \$ 2,593 4.5% \$ 73,000 \$ 4,047 5.9% \$ 30,024 2.8 8.9% \$ 67,949 \$ 3,821 6.0% \$ 18,520 \$ \$ 20,731 1993-94 \$ 71,402 \$ 3,031 5.0% \$ 57,343 \$ 3,314 6.6% \$ 57,309 \$ 4,047 5.9% \$ 30,232 \$ 2,482 8.9% \$ 67,949 \$ 3,821 6.0% \$ 18,520 \$ 0.0% 1991-92 \$ 67,949 \$ 3,821 6.0% \$ 57,343 \$ 3,314 6.6% \$ 65,818 \$ 8,376 14.6% \$ 2,475 \$ 3,403 6.3% \$ 17,460 \$ 821 4.9% 1999-91 \$ 64,128 \$ 3,031 5.0% \$ 57,422 \$ 3,403 6.3% \$ 57,424 \$ 3,403 6.3% \$ 15,650 \$ 9,226 6.3% \$ 10,660 \$ 57,422 \$	2004-05	\$	115	5,133	\$	2,846	2.5%	\$ 98,227	\$ 2,5	4 2.7%	\$ 123,102	\$	2,956		\$	63,209	\$	2,572	4.2%	\$ 115,133		-		<u></u>	N/A		-	-
2001-02 \$ 105,388 \$ 4,388 4.3% \$ 89,599 \$ 3,871 4.5% \$ 112,902 \$ 4,602 4.2% \$ 54,611 \$ 3,924 7.7% \$ 105,388 \$ 4,388 4.3% \$ 28,997 \$ 1,265 4.6% 2000-01 \$ 101,000 \$ 3,531 3.6% \$ 85,728 \$ 3,077 3.7% \$ 108,300 \$ 3,731 3.6% \$ 50,687 \$ 2,704 5.6% \$ 101,000 \$ 3,531 3.6% \$ 27,732 \$ 1,003 3.8% 1999-00 \$ 97,469 \$ 3,851 4.1% \$ 82,651 \$ 3,309 4.2% \$ 104,569 \$ 4,101 4.1% \$ 47,983 \$ 2,407 5.3% \$ 97,469 \$ 3,851 4.1% \$ 26,729 \$ 1,074 4.2% 1998-99 \$ 93,618 \$ 4,493 5.5% \$ 79,342 \$ 4,191 5.6% \$ 104,668 \$ 5,237 5.5% \$ 45,576 \$ 2,797 6.5% \$ 93,618 \$ 4,903 5.5% \$ 25,655 \$ 1,358 5.3% 1999-99 \$ 88,715 \$ 4,433 5.3% \$ 75,151 \$ 3,781 5.3% \$ 95,231 \$ 4,741 5.2% \$ 42,779 \$ 2,434 6.0% \$ 88,715 \$ 4,433 5.3% \$ 24,297 \$ 1,225 5.3% 196-97 \$ 84,282 \$ 4,952 6.2% \$ 71,370 \$ 4,235 6.3% \$ 90,490 \$ 5,287 6.2% \$ 40,345 \$ 2,671 7.7% \$ 84,282 \$ 4,952 6.2% \$ 23,072 \$ 1,373 6.3% 1995-96 \$ 79,330 \$ 3,477 4.6% \$ 67,135 \$ 2,984 4.7% \$ 85,203 \$ 3,706 4.5% \$ 37,474 \$ 2,118 6.0% \$ 79,330 \$ 3,477 4.6% \$ 21,699 \$ 968 4.7% 1994-95 \$ 75,853 \$ 4,451 6.2% \$ 64,151 \$ 3,806 6.3% \$ 81,497 \$ 4,733 6.2% \$ 37,474 \$ 2,118 6.0% \$ 79,330 \$ 3,477 4.6% \$ 21,699 \$ 968 4.7% 1994-95 \$ 75,853 \$ 4,451 6.2% \$ 64,151 \$ 3,806 6.3% \$ 81,497 \$ 4,735 6.2% \$ 33,2788 \$ 1,820 5.9% \$ 89,490 \$ 3,821 6.0% \$ 18,520 \$ - \$ 20,731 -	2003-04	\$	112	2,286	\$	3,532	3.2%	\$ 95,673	\$ 3,14	3.4%	\$ 120,146	\$	3,686	3.2%	44	60,637	\$	3,471	6.1%		See	notes			N/A		-	-
2000-01 \$ 101,000 \$ 3,531 \$ 3.6% \$ 85,728 \$ 3,077 \$ 3.7% \$ 108,300 \$ 3,731 \$ 3.6% \$ 50,687 \$ 2,704 \$ 5.6% \$ 101,000 \$ 3,531 \$ 3.6% \$ 27,732 \$ 1,003 \$ 3.8% \$ 1998-90 \$ 97,469 \$ 3,851 \$ 4.1% \$ 82,651 \$ 3,309 \$ 4.2% \$ 104,569 \$ 4,101 \$ 4.1% \$ 47,983 \$ 2,407 \$ 5.3% \$ 97,469 \$ 3,851 \$ 4.1% \$ 26,729 \$ 1,074 \$ 4.2% \$ 1997-98 \$ 93,618 \$ 4,903 \$ 5.5% \$ 79,342 \$ 4,191 \$ 5.6% \$ 100,468 \$ 5,237 \$ 5.5% \$ 45,576 \$ 2,797 \$ 6.5% \$ 93,618 \$ 4,903 \$ 5.5% \$ 25,655 \$ 1,358 \$ 5.6% \$ 1998-99 \$ 93,618 \$ 4,433 \$ 5.3% \$ 75,151 \$ 3,781 \$ 5.3% \$ 95,231 \$ 4,741 \$ 5.2% \$ 42,779 \$ 2,434 \$ 6.0% \$ 88,715 \$ 4,433 \$ 5.3% \$ 24,297 \$ 1,225 \$ 5.3% \$ 1995-96 \$ 79,330 \$ 3,477 \$ 4.6% \$ 67,135 \$ 2,984 \$ 4.7% \$ 85,203 \$ 3,706 \$ 4.5% \$ 37,474 \$ 2,118 \$ 6.0% \$ 79,330 \$ 3,477 \$ 4.6% \$ 21,699 \$ 968 \$ 4.7% \$ 1994-95 \$ 75,853 \$ 4,451 \$ 6.2% \$ 64,151 \$ 3,806 \$ 6.3% \$ 81,497 \$ 4.753 \$ 6.2% \$ 33,356 \$ 2,568 \$ 75,853 \$ \$ 20,731 \$ \$ 1993-94 \$ 71,402 \$ 3,024 \$ 4.4% \$ 60,345 \$ 2,593 \$ 4.5% \$ 77,519 \$ 4.29 \$ 0.6% \$ 30,988 \$ 73,608 \$ 71,402 \$ 3,024 \$ 4.4% \$ 60,345 \$ 2,593 \$ 4.5% \$ 77,674 \$ 3,225 \$ 4.4% \$ 32,788 \$ 1,820 \$ 5.9% \$ 57,949 \$ 3,821 \$ 6.0% \$ 18,520 \$ - 0.0% \$ 1991-92 \$ 67,949 \$ 3,821 \$ 6.0% \$ 57,343 \$ 3,314 \$ 6.1% \$ 73,090 \$ 4,047 \$ 5.9% \$ 30,232 \$ 2,482 \$ 8.9% \$ 67,949 \$ 3,821 \$ 6.0% \$ 18,520 \$ 1,060 \$ 6.1% \$ 1990-91 \$ 64,128 \$ 3,031 \$ 5.0% \$ 51,420 \$ 3,173 \$ 6.6% \$ 65,818 \$ 8,376 \$ 4.9% \$ 2,7750 \$ 1,574 \$ 6.0% \$ 64,128 \$ 3,031 \$ 5.0% \$ 11,460 \$ 821 \$ 4.9% \$ 1988-99 \$ 57,442 \$ 7,694 \$ 5.5% \$ 51,420 \$ 3,173 \$ 6.6% \$ 55,818 \$ 8,376 \$ 4.9% \$ 27,750 \$ 1,574 \$ 6.0% \$ 64,128 \$ 3,031 \$ 5.0% \$ 11,460 \$ 821 \$ 4.9% \$ 1988-99 \$ 57,442 \$ 7,694 \$ 15.5% \$ 48,247 \$ 7,221 \$ 17.6% \$ 57,442 \$ 3,403 \$ 6.3% \$ 22,577 \$ 1,668 \$ 8.1% \$ 50,409 \$ 3,695 \$ 3,409 \$ 3,403 \$ 6.3% \$ 14,476 \$ 8.9% \$ 14,476 \$ 8.9% \$ 14,476 \$ 8.9% \$ 14,476 \$ 8.9% \$ 14,476 \$ 8.9% \$ 14,476 \$ 8.9% \$ 14,476 \$ 8.9% \$ 14,476 \$ 8.9% \$ 14,476 \$ 8.9% \$ 14,476 \$ 8.9% \$ 14,476 \$ 8.9% \$ 14,476 \$ 8.9% \$ 14,476 \$ 8.9% \$ 14,476 \$ 8.9% \$ 14,476 \$ 8.9% \$ 14,476 \$ 8.9% \$ 14,476 \$ 8.9% \$ 14,476 \$ 8.9% \$ 14,476 \$ 8.9%	2002-03	\$	108	3,754	\$	3,366	3.2%	\$ 92,530	\$ 2,93	1 3.3%	\$ 116,460	\$	3,557	3.2%	\$	57,166	\$	2,555	4.7%	\$ 108,754	\$	3,366	3.2%	<u></u>	S	ee n	otes	
1999-00 \$ 97,469 \$ 3,851 4.1% \$ 82,651 \$ 3,309 4.2% \$ 104,569 \$ 4,101 4.1% \$ 47,983 \$ 2,407 5.3% \$ 97,469 \$ 3,851 4.1% \$ 26,729 \$ 1,074 4.2% 1998-99 \$ 93,618 \$ 4,903 5.5% \$ 79,342 \$ 4,191 5.6% \$ 100,468 \$ 5,237 5.5% \$ 45,576 \$ 2,797 6.5% \$ 93,618 \$ 4,903 5.5% \$ 25,655 \$ 1,358 5.6% 1997-98 \$ 84,828 \$ 4,952 6.2% \$ 71,370 \$ 4,235 6.3% \$ 90,490 \$ 5,287 6.2% \$ 40,345 \$ 2,841 7.7% \$ 84,282 \$ 4,952 6.2% \$ 23,072 \$ 1,373 6.3% \$ 90,490 \$ 5,287 6.2% \$ 40,345 \$ 2,811 7.7% \$ 84,282 \$ 4,952 6.2% \$ 23,072 \$ 1,373 6.3% \$ 14,074		\$	105	5,388	\$	4,388	4.3%	\$ 89,599			\$ 112,902	\$			\$	- /-	\$	3,924	7.7%	\$ 105,388	\$			\$	28,997	\$	1,265	
1998-99 \$ 93,618 \$ 4,903 5.5% \$ 79,342 \$ 4,191 5.6% \$ 100,468 \$ 5,237 5.5% \$ 45,576 \$ 2,797 6.5% \$ 93,618 \$ 4,903 5.5% \$ 25,655 \$ 1,358 5.6% \$ 1997-98 \$ 88,715 \$ 4,433 5.3% \$ 75,151 \$ 3,781 5.3% \$ 95,231 \$ 4,741 5.2% \$ 42,779 \$ 2,434 6.0% \$ 88,715 \$ 4,433 5.3% \$ 24,297 \$ 1,225 5.3% \$ 1996-97 \$ 84,282 \$ 4,952 6.2% \$ 71,370 \$ 4,235 6.3% \$ 90,490 \$ 5,287 6.2% \$ 40,345 \$ 2,871 7.7% \$ 84,282 \$ 4,952 6.2% \$ 23,072 \$ 1,373 6.3% \$ 1995-96 \$ 79,330 \$ 3,477 4.6% \$ 67,135 \$ 2,984 4.7% \$ 85,203 \$ 3,706 4.5% \$ 37,474 \$ 2,118 6.0% \$ 79,330 \$ 3,477 4.6% \$ 21,699 \$ 968 4.7% \$ 1994-95 \$ 75,853 \$ 4,451 6.2% \$ 64,151 \$ 3,806 6.3% \$ 81,497 \$ 4,753 6.2% \$ 35,356 \$ 2,568 7.8% \$ 75,853 2,0731 - - 1993-94 \$ 71,402 \$ 3,024 4.4% \$ 60,345 \$ 2,593 4.5% \$ 76,744 \$ 3,225 4.4% \$ 32,788 \$ 1,820 5.9% See notes \$ 1992-93 \$ 68,378 \$ 429 0.6% \$ 57,752 \$ 409 0.7% \$ 73,519 \$ 429 0.6% \$ 30,968 \$ 736 2.4% \$ 67,949 \$ - 0.0% \$ 18,520 \$ - 0.0% \$ 1991-92 \$ 67,949 \$ 3,821 6.0% \$ 57,343 \$ 3,314 6.1% \$ 73,090 \$ 4,047 5.9% \$ 30,232 \$ 2,482 8.9% \$ 67,949 \$ 3,821 6.0% \$ 18,520 \$ 1,060 6.1% \$ 1990-91 \$ 64,128 \$ 3,031 5.0% \$ 54,029 \$ 2,609 5.1% \$ 69,043 \$ 3,225 4.9% \$ 27,750 \$ 1,574 6.0% \$ 64,128 \$ 3,031 5.0% \$ 17,460 \$ 821 4.9% 1988-89 \$ 57,442 \$ 3,655 6.4% \$ 41,026 \$ 2,554 6.6% \$ 54,039 \$ 3,203 6.3% \$ 22,377 \$ 1,668 8.1% \$ 54,039 \$ 3,203 6.3% \$ 14,728 \$ 868 6.3% 1986-87 \$ 46,750 \$ 3,909 9.1% \$ 38,472 \$ 3,327 9.5% \$ 50,836 \$ 4,176 8.9% \$ 20,709 \$ 2,164 11.7% \$ 50,836 \$ 4,176 8.9% \$ 13,860 \$ 1,132 8.9% \$ 1,132 8.9% \$ 1,132 8.9% \$ 1,132 8.9% \$ 1,132 8.9% \$ 1,132 8.9% \$ 1,132 8.9% \$ 1,132 8.9% \$ 1,132 8.9% \$ 1,132 8.9% \$ 1,1	2000-01	\$	101	,000	\$	3,531	3.6%	\$ 85,728			\$ 		•		\$,	\$	2,704	5.6%	, , , , , , , ,		•		\$,			
1997-98 \$ 88,715 \$ 4,433 5.3% \$ 75,151 \$ 3,781 5.3% \$ 95,231 \$ 4,741 5.2% \$ 42,779 \$ 2,434 6.0% \$ 88,715 \$ 4,433 5.3% \$ 24,297 \$ 1,225 5.3% \$ 1,996-97 \$ 84,282 \$ 4,952 6.2% \$ 71,370 \$ 4,235 6.3% \$ 90,490 \$ 5,287 6.2% \$ 40,345 \$ 2,871 7.7% \$ 84,282 \$ 4,952 6.2% \$ 23,072 \$ 1,373 6.3% \$ 1,325 6.3% \$ 1,325 6.3% \$ 1,325 6.2% \$ 3,347 \$ 4.6% \$ 67,135 \$ 2,984 4.7% \$ 85,203 \$ 3,706 4.5% \$ 37,474 \$ 2,118 6.0% \$ 79,330 \$ 3,477 4.6% \$ 21,699 \$ 968 4.7% \$ 1,993-94 \$ 71,402 \$ 3,024 4.4% \$ 60,345 \$ 2,593 4.5% \$ 76,744 \$ 3,225 4.4% \$ 3,225 4.4% \$ 32,788 \$ 1,820 5.9% \$ 5e notes \$ 5e notes \$ 1992-93 \$ 68,378 \$ 429 0.6% \$ 57,752 \$ 409 0.7% \$ 73,519 \$ 429 0.6% \$ 30,968 \$ 736 2.4% \$ 67,949 \$ - 0.0% \$ 18,520 \$ - 0.0% \$ 1991-92 \$ 67,949 \$ 3,821 6.0% \$ 57,343 \$ 3,314 6.1% \$ 73,090 \$ 4,047 5.9% \$ 30,232 \$ 2,482 8.9% \$ 67,949 \$ 3,821 6.0% \$ 18,520 \$ 1,060 6.1% \$ 1990-91 \$ 64,128 \$ 3,031 5.0% \$ 54,029 \$ 2,609 5.1% \$ 69,043 \$ 3,225 4.9% \$ 27,750 \$ 1,574 6.0% \$ 64,128 \$ 3,031 5.0% \$ 17,460 \$ 821 4.9% \$ 198-90 \$ 61,097 \$ 3,655 6.4% \$ 51,420 \$ 3,173 6.6% \$ 55,4029 \$ 2,609 5.1% \$ 69,043 \$ 3,225 4.9% \$ 27,750 \$ 1,574 6.0% \$ 64,128 \$ 3,031 5.0% \$ 17,460 \$ 821 4.9% \$ 198-90 \$ 61,097 \$ 3,655 6.4% \$ 3,275 6.6% \$ 57,442 \$ 3,225 4.9% \$ 27,750 \$ 1,574 6.0% \$ 61,097 \$ 3,655 6.4% \$ 16,639 \$ 989 6.3% \$ 198-90 \$ 61,097 \$ 3,655 6.4% \$ 41,026 \$ 2,554 6.6% \$ 54,039 \$ 3,203 6.3% \$ 24,151 \$ 1,777 7.9% \$ 50,836 \$ 4,176 8.9% \$ 14,800 \$ 1,132 8.9% \$ 1,860 \$ 1,132 8.9% \$ 1,860 \$ 1,132 8.9% \$ 1,860 \$ 1,132 8.9% \$ 1,860 \$ 1,132 8.9% \$ 1,860 \$ 1,132 8.9% \$ 1,860 \$ 1,132 8.9% \$ 1,860 \$ 1,132 8.9% \$ 1,860 \$ 1,132 8.9% \$ 1,860 \$ 1,132 8.9%	1999-00	\$	97	,469	\$	3,851		\$ - ,	\$ 3,30	-	\$ 104,569	\$			\$,	\$, -						\$	-, -	•	,-	
1996-97 \$ 84,282 \$ 4,952 6.2% \$ 71,370 \$ 4,235 6.3% \$ 90,490 \$ 5,287 6.2% \$ 40,345 \$ 2,871 7.7% \$ 84,282 \$ 4,952 6.2% \$ 23,072 \$ 1,373 6.3% 1995-96 \$ 79,330 \$ 3,477 4.6% \$ 67,135 \$ 2,984 4.7% \$ 85,203 \$ 3,706 4.5% \$ 37,474 \$ 2,118 6.0% \$ 79,330 \$ 3,477 4.6% \$ 21,699 \$ 968 4.7% 1994-95 \$ 75,853 \$ 4,451 6.2% \$ 64,151 \$ 3,806 6.3% \$ 81,497 \$ 4,753 6.2% \$ 35,356 \$ 2,568 7.8% \$ 75,853 \$ -	1998-99	\$	93	3,618	\$	4,903		\$ - , -	. ,		\$,	\$			\$	45,576	\$	2,797	6.5%	,		4,903		\$	-,			
1995-96 \$ 79,330 \$ 3,477 4.6% \$ 67,135 \$ 2,984 4.7% \$ 85,203 \$ 3,706 4.5% \$ 37,474 \$ 2,118 6.0% \$ 79,330 \$ 3,477 4.6% \$ 21,699 \$ 968 4.7% \$ 1994-95 \$ 75,853 \$ 4,451 6.2% \$ 64,151 \$ 3,806 6.3% \$ 81,497 \$ 4,753 6.2% \$ 35,356 \$ 2,568 7.8% \$ 75,853 \$ \$ 20,731 193-94 \$ 71,402 \$ 3,024 4.4% \$ 60,345 \$ 2,593 4.5% \$ 76,744 \$ 3,225 4.4% \$ 3,2788 \$ 1,820 5.9% See notes	1997-98	\$	- 88	3,715	\$			\$ 75,151			\$ 95,231	\$	•		\$	42,779	\$					•		\$,			
1994-95 \$ 75,853 \$ 4,451 6.2% \$ 64,151 \$ 3,806 6.3% \$ 81,497 \$ 4,753 6.2% \$ 35,356 \$ 2,568 7.8% \$ 75,853 \$ 20,731 193-94 \$ 71,402 \$ 3,024 4.4% \$ 60,345 \$ 2,593 4.5% \$ 76,744 \$ 3,225 4.4% \$ 32,788 \$ 1,820 5.9% See notes 1992-93 \$ 68,378 \$ 429 0.6% \$ 57,752 \$ 409 0.7% \$ 73,519 \$ 429 0.6% \$ 30,968 \$ 736 2.4% \$ 67,949 \$ - 0.0% \$ 18,520 \$ - 0.0% 1991-92 \$ 67,949 \$ 3,821 6.0% \$ 57,343 \$ 3,314 6.1% \$ 73,090 \$ 4,047 5.9% \$ 30,232 \$ 2,482 8.9% \$ 67,949 \$ 3,821 6.0% \$ 18,520 \$ 1,060 6.1% 1990-91 \$ 64,128 \$ 3,031 5.0% \$ 54,029 \$ 2,609 5.1% \$ 69,043 \$ 3,225 4.9% \$ 2,7750 \$ 1,574 6.0% \$ 64,128 \$ 3,031 5.0% \$ 17,460 \$ 821 4.9% 1989-90 \$ 61,097 \$ 3,655 6.4% \$ 51,420 \$ 3,173 6.6% \$ 65,818 \$ 8,376 14.6% \$ 26,176 \$ 2,025 8.4% \$ 61,097 \$ 3,655 6.4% \$ 16,639 \$ 989 6.3% 1987-88 \$ 49,748 \$ 2,998 6.4% \$ 41,026 \$ 2,554 6.6% \$ 54,039 \$ 3,203 6.3% \$ 22,377 \$ 1,668 8.1% \$ 54,039 \$ 3,203 6.3% \$ 14,728 \$ 868 6.3% 1986-87 \$ 46,750 \$ 3,909 9.1% \$ 3,8472 \$ 3,327 9.5% \$ 50,836 \$ 4,176 8.9% \$ 20,709 \$ 2,164 11.7% \$ 50,836 \$ 4,176 8.9% \$ 13,860 \$ 1,132 8.9%	1996-97	\$, -	\$	4,952		\$ 71,370			\$ 90,490	\$			\$	40,345	\$	2,871				4,952		\$	23,072	\$	1,373	
1993-94 \$ 71,402 \$ 3,024 4.4% \$ 60,345 \$ 2,593 4.5% \$ 76,744 \$ 3,225 4.4% \$ 32,788 \$ 1,820 5.9% See notes 1992-93 \$ 68,378 \$ 429 0.6% \$ 57,752 \$ 409 0.7% \$ 73,519 \$ 429 0.6% \$ 30,968 \$ 736 2.4% \$ 67,949 \$ - 0.0% \$ 18,520 \$ - 0.0% 1991-92 \$ 67,949 \$ 3,821 6.0% \$ 57,343 \$ 3,314 6.1% \$ 73,090 \$ 4,047 5.9% \$ 30,232 \$ 2,482 8.9% \$ 67,949 \$ 3,821 6.0% \$ 18,520 \$ 1,060 6.1% 1990-91 \$ 64,128 \$ 3,031 5.0% \$ 54,029 \$ 2,609 5.1% \$ 69,043 \$ 3,225 4.9% \$ 2,7750 \$ 1,574 6.0% \$ 64,128 \$ 3,031 5.0% \$ 17,460 \$ 821 4.9% 1988-99 \$ 61,097 \$ 3,655 6.4% \$ 51,420 \$ 3,173 6.6% \$ 65,818 \$ 8,376 14.6% \$ 26,176 \$ 2,025 8.4% \$ 61,097 \$ 3,655 6.4% \$ 16,639 \$ 989 6.3% 1987-88 \$ 49,748 \$ 2,998 6.4% \$ 41,26 \$ 2,554 6.6% \$ 54,039 \$ 3,203 6.3% \$ 22,377 \$ 1,668 8.1% \$ 54,039 \$ 3,203 6.3% \$ 14,728 \$ 868 6.3% 1986-87 \$ 46,750 \$ 3,909 9.1% \$ 3,8472 \$ 3,327 9.5% \$ 50,836 \$ 4,176 8.9% \$ 20,709 \$ 2,164 11.7% \$ 50,836 \$ 4,176 8.9% \$ 13,860 \$ 1,132 8.9%	1995-96	\$,	\$	3,477		\$ 67,135			\$ 85,203	\$	•		\$	- ,	\$	2,118	6.0%			3,477	4.6%	\$,	\$	968	4.7%
1992-93 \$ 66,378 \$ 429 0.6% \$ 57,752 \$ 409 0.7% \$ 73,519 \$ 429 0.6% \$ 30,968 \$ 736 2.4% \$ 67,949 \$ - 0.0% \$ 18,520 \$ - 0.0% 1991-92 \$ 67,949 \$ 3,821 6.0% \$ 57,343 \$ 3,314 6.1% \$ 73,090 \$ 4,047 5.9% \$ 30,232 \$ 2,482 8.9% \$ 67,949 \$ 3,821 6.0% \$ 18,520 \$ 1,060 6.1% 1990-91 \$ 64,128 \$ 3,031 5.0% \$ 54,029 \$ 2,609 5.1% \$ 69,043 \$ 3,225 4.9% \$ 27,750 \$ 1,574 6.0% \$ 64,128 \$ 3,031 5.0% \$ 17,460 \$ 821 4.9% 1989-90 \$ 61,097 \$ 3,655 6.4% \$ 51,420 \$ 3,173 6.6% \$ 65,818 \$ 8,376 14.6% \$ 26,176 \$ 2,025 8.4% \$ 61,097 \$ 3,655 6.4% \$ 16,639 \$ 989 6.3% 1987-88 \$ 49,748 \$ 2,998 6.4% \$ 41,026 \$ 2,554 6.6% \$ 54,039 \$ 3,203 6.3% \$ 22,377 \$ 1,668 8.1% \$ 54,039 \$ 3,203 6.3% \$ 14,768 8.9% \$ 13,860 \$ 1,132 8.9% \$ 1986-87 \$ 46,750 \$ 3,909 9.1% \$ 38,472 \$ 3,327 9.5% \$ 50,836 \$ 4,176 8.9% \$ 20,709 \$ 2,164 11.7% \$ 50,836 \$ 4,176 8.9% \$ 13,860 \$ 1,132 8.9%	1994-95	\$	75	,853	\$		6.2%	\$ 64,151			\$ - , -				\$,	\$			\$ 75,853		-	-	\$	20,731		-	-
1991-92 \$ 67,949 \$ 3,821 6.0% \$ 57,343 \$ 3,314 6.1% \$ 73,090 \$ 4,047 5.9% \$ 30,232 \$ 2,482 8.9% \$ 67,949 \$ 3,821 6.0% \$ 18,520 \$ 1,060 6.1% 1990-91 \$ 64,128 \$ 3,031 5.0% \$ 54,029 \$ 2,609 5.1% \$ 69,043 \$ 3,225 4.9% \$ 27,750 \$ 1,574 6.0% \$ 64,128 \$ 3,031 5.0% \$ 17,460 \$ 821 4.9% 1989-90 \$ 61,097 \$ 3,655 6.4% \$ 51,420 \$ 3,173 6.6% \$ 65,818 \$ 8,376 14.6% \$ 2,025 8.4% \$ 61,097 \$ 3,655 6.4% \$ 16,639 \$ 989 6.3% 1988-89 \$ 7,442 \$ 7,694 \$ 5,7442 \$ 7,242 \$ 3,403 6.3% \$ 24,151 \$ 1,774 7.9% \$ 57,442 \$ 3,403 6.3% \$ 24,151 \$ 1,774 7.9% \$ 57,442 \$ 3,403 6.3% \$ 24,151 \$ 1,774 7.9% \$ 57,442 \$ 3,403 6.3%	1993-94	\$	71	,402	\$	- , -		\$ 60,345	\$ 2,59		\$ -,		-,		\$	32,788	\$,				notes		_			otes	
1990-91 \$ 64,128 \$ 3,031 5.0% \$ 54,029 \$ 2,609 5.1% \$ 69,043 \$ 3,225 4.9% \$ 27,750 \$ 1,574 6.0% \$ 64,128 \$ 3,031 5.0% \$ 17,460 \$ 821 4.9% 1989-90 \$ 61,097 \$ 3,655 6.4% \$ 51,420 \$ 3,173 6.6% \$ 65,818 \$ 8,376 14.6% \$ 26,176 \$ 2,025 8.4% \$ 61,097 \$ 3,655 6.4% \$ 16,639 \$ 989 6.3% 1988-89 \$ 57,442 \$ 7,694 15.5% \$ 48,247 \$ 7,221 17.6% \$ 57,442 \$ 3,403 6.3% \$ 24,151 \$ 1,774 7.9% \$ 57,442 \$ 3,403 6.3% \$ 15,650 \$ 922 6.3% 1987-88 \$ 49,748 \$ 2,998 6.4% \$ 41,026 \$ 2,554 6.6% \$ 54,039 \$ 3,203 6.3% \$ 22,377 \$ 1,668 8.1% \$ 54,039 \$ 3,203 6.3% \$ 14,728 8 868 6.3% 1986-87 \$ 46,750 \$ 3,909 9.1% \$	1992-93	\$	- 68	3,378	\$	429	0.6%	\$	•		\$ 73,519	\$	429	0.6%	\$	30,968	\$	736	2.4%	\$ 67,949	\$	-	0.0%	\$	18,520	•	-	0.070
1989-90 \$ 61,097 \$ 3,655 6.4% \$ 51,420 \$ 3,173 6.6% \$ 65,818 \$ 8,376 14.6% \$ 26,176 \$ 2,025 8.4% \$ 61,097 \$ 3,655 6.4% \$ 16,639 \$ 989 6.3% 1988-89 \$ 57,442 \$ 7,694 15.5% \$ 48,247 \$ 7,221 17.6% \$ 57,442 \$ 3,403 6.3% \$ 24,151 \$ 1,774 7.9% \$ 57,442 \$ 3,403 6.3% \$ 15,650 \$ 922 6.3% 1987-88 \$ 49,748 \$ 2,998 6.4% \$ 41,026 \$ 2,554 6.6% \$ 54,039 \$ 3,203 6.3% \$ 22,377 \$ 1,668 8.1% \$ 54,039 \$ 3,203 6.3% \$ 14,728 \$ 868 6.3% 1986-87 \$ 46,750 \$ 3,909 9.1% \$ 38,472 \$ 3,327 9.5% \$ 50,836 \$ 4,176 8.9% \$ 20,709 \$ 2,164 11.7% \$ 50,836 \$ 4,176 8.9% \$ 13,860 \$ 1,132 8.9%	1991-92	\$	67	,949	\$	3,821	6.0%	\$ 57,343			\$ 73,090	\$			\$, -	\$		8.9%	\$ 67,949	\$	3,821		\$	18,520	\$		
1988-89 \$ 57,442 \$ 7,694 15.5% \$ 48,247 \$ 7,221 17.6% \$ 57,442 \$ 3,403 6.3% \$ 24,151 \$ 1,774 7.9% \$ 57,442 \$ 3,403 6.3% \$ 15,650 \$ 922 6.3% 1987-88 \$ 49,748 \$ 2,998 6.4% \$ 41,026 \$ 2,554 6.6% \$ 54,039 \$ 3,203 6.3% \$ 22,377 \$ 1,668 8.1% \$ 54,039 \$ 3,203 6.3% \$ 14,728 \$ 868 6.3% 1986-87 \$ 46,750 \$ 3,909 9.1% \$ 38,472 \$ 3,327 9.5% \$ 50,836 \$ 4,176 8.9% \$ 20,709 \$ 2,164 11.7% \$ 50,836 \$ 4,176 8.9% \$ 13,860 \$ 1,132 8.9%	1990-91	\$, .	\$		5.0%	\$ 54,029			\$ 69,043	\$	3,225		\$	27,750	\$	1,574	6.0%	\$ 64,128	\$	•	5.0%	\$	17,460	\$	821	
1987-88 \$ 49,748 \$ 2,998 6.4% \$ 41,026 \$ 2,554 6.6% \$ 54,039 \$ 3,203 6.3% \$ 14,728 \$ 868 6.3% 1986-87 \$ 46,750 \$ 3,909 9.1% \$ 38,472 \$ 3,327 9.5% \$ 50,836 \$ 4,176 8.9% \$ 20,709 \$ 2,164 11.7% \$ 50,836 \$ 4,176 8.9% \$ 13,860 \$ 1,132 8.9%		\$,	\$.,		\$ - , -			\$,-				\$	-,	\$, , , , , ,				\$	-,	+		
1986-87 \$ 46,750 \$ 3,909 9.1% \$ 38,472 \$ 3,327 9.5% \$ 50,836 \$ 4,176 8.9% \$ 20,709 \$ 2,164 11.7% \$ 50,836 \$ 4,176 8.9% \$ 13,860 \$ 1,132 8.9%	1988-89	\$	57	,442	\$	7,694	15.5%	\$ 48,247			\$ 		•		\$		\$	1,774		,		•		\$	-,	•		
	1987-88	\$	49	,748	\$	2,998	6.4%	\$ 41,026	\$ 2,5		\$ 54,039	\$	3,203	6.3%	\$	22,377	\$	1,668	8.1%			3,203	6.3%	\$	14,728	\$	868	6.3%
1085.86 \$ 42.841 - - \$ 35.145 - - \$ 46.660 - - \$ 46.660 - - \$ 47.729 - -	1986-87	\$,	\$	3,909	9.1%	\$	\$ 3,3	7 9.5%	\$ 50,836	\$	4,176	8.9%	\$	-,	\$	2,164	11.7%			4,176	8.9%	\$,	\$	1,132	8.9%
1000-00 ψ	1985-86	\$	42	2,841		-	-	\$ 35,145	-	-	\$ 46,660		-	-	\$	18,545		-	-	\$ 46,660		-	-	\$	12,728		-	-

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Palm Beach County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

Fiscal	Clerk of Circ	uit Court, F			Cupordi	sor of Elect	ione			Sheriff			County	Cai	mmissio	nore	Sahaal	Superinter	dont		School I	Poord	Momb	noro
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		alarv	\$ Chq.	% Chg.	Sa	lary	\$ Chq.	% Chg.		Salary		Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	_	Salary	\$ C		% Chg.
	\$ 162.138		0.3%			\$ 20,782	14.7%		71,101		0.3%	ψ,	96.031	_	143	0.1%	\$ 162,138	\$ 552	0.3%	\$	42,681	\$	64	0.1%
2015-16		\$ 427	0.3%	•		\$ 405	0.3%		70,536		0.3%	\$	95,888		106	0.1%	\$ 161,586	\$ 427	0.3%	\$		\$	47	0.1%
2014-15	, ,,,,,,	\$ 610	0.4%	•	,	\$ 555	0.4%		70.099	•	0.4%	\$	95.782	\$	259	0.3%	\$ 161,159	\$ 610	0.4%	\$	42,570	\$	115	0.3%
2013-14	*	\$ 5,916	3.8%	_		\$ 5,194	3.8%	_	69,464	•	3.8%	\$	95.523	\$	3,426	3.7%	\$ 160,549	\$ 5,916	3.8%	\$	42,455		1,523	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 154.632	. ,	0.1%	\$ 1	35.202	\$ 92	0.1%		63.228		0.1%	\$	92.097	\$	-	0.0%	\$ 154,632	\$ 92	0.1%	\$			-	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 154,540	\$ 537	0.3%	\$ 1	35,110	\$ 537	0.4%	\$ 16	63,136	\$ 537	0.3%	\$	92,097	\$	-	0.0%	\$ 154,540	\$ 537	0.3%	\$	40,932	\$	-	0.0%
2010-11	\$ 154,004	\$ (120)	-0.1%	\$ 1	34,573	\$ (120)	-0.1%	\$ 16	62,599	\$ (120)	-0.1%	\$	92,097	\$	-	0.0%	\$ 154,004	\$ 2,958	2.0%	\$	40,932	•	See no	tes
2009-10	\$ 154,123	\$ (5)	0.0%	\$ 1	34,693	\$ (5)	0.0%	\$ 16	62,719	\$ (5)	0.0%	\$	92,097	\$	1	0.0%	\$ 151,046	See n	otes	\$	40,932		See no	
2008-09	\$ 154,129	\$ 285	0.2%	\$ 1	34,698	\$ 263	0.2%	\$ 16	62,724	\$ 294	0.2%	\$	92,096	\$	101	0.1%	\$ 154,129	\$ 285	0.2%	\$	40,932	\$	45	0.1%
2007-08	\$ 153,844	\$ 360	0.2%	\$ 1	34,435	\$ 360	0.3%	\$ 16	62,430	\$ 359	0.2%	\$	91,995	\$	(1)	0.0%	\$ 153,844	\$ 360	0.2%	\$	40,887	-	-	-
2006-07	\$ 153,484	\$ 5,506	3.7%	\$ 1	34,075	\$ 4,857	3.8%	\$ 16	62,071	\$ 5,794	3.7%	\$	91,996	\$	3,077	3.5%	\$ 153,484	\$ 5,506	3.7%		N/A	-	-	-
2005-06	\$ 147,978	\$ 6,352	4.5%	\$ 1	29,218	\$ 5,606	4.5%	\$ 15	56,277	\$ 6,682	4.5%	\$	88,919	\$	3,536	4.1%	\$ 147,978	\$ 6,352	4.5%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 141,626	\$ 2,364	1.7%	\$ 1	123,612	\$ 2,118	1.7%	\$ 14	49,595	\$ 2,474	1.7%	\$	85,383	\$	1,171	1.4%	\$ 141,626	•	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 139,261	\$ 3,151	2.3%	\$ 1	121,494	\$ 2,803	2.4%	\$ 14	47,121	\$ 3,305	2.3%	\$	84,213	\$	1,651	2.0%		ee notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 136,110	,	2.8%	\$ 1	118,691	\$ 3,285	2.8%	\$ 14	43,816	,	2.8%	\$	82,562	\$	2,053	2.6%	\$ 136,110	\$ 3,718	2.8%			ee no	tes	
2001-02	\$ 132,392	\$ 5,017	3.9%	•	,	\$ 4,531	4.1%	•	39,906	\$ 5,231	3.9%	\$	80,509	\$	2,298	2.9%	\$ 132,392	\$ 5,017	3.9%	\$	35,782	•	1,022	2.9%
2000-01	\$ 127,375	\$ 3,777	3.1%	•	-,	\$ 3,326	3.1%		34,675		3.0%	\$	78,211	\$	2,139	2.8%	\$ 127,375	\$ 3,777	3.1%	\$	34,760		950	2.8%
1999-00	\$ 123,598	\$ 4,570	3.8%	•	07,549	\$ 4,006	3.9%	_	30,699	\$ 4,821	3.8%	\$	76,072	\$	2,679	3.7%	\$ 123,598	\$ 4,570	3.8%	\$	33,810	•	1,191	3.7%
1998-99	\$ 119,028	\$ 6,153	5.5%	•	,	\$ 5,382	5.5%	_	,	\$ 6,487	5.4%	\$	73,393	\$	3,864	5.6%	\$ 119,028	\$ 6,153	5.5%	\$	32,619	•	1,670	5.4%
1997-98	\$ 112,875	,	5.2%	•	, .	\$ 4,921	5.3%	•	19,391	\$ 5,938	5.2%	\$	69,529	\$	3,567	5.4%	\$ 112,875	\$ 5,630	5.2%	\$,		1,541	5.2%
1996-97	\$ 107,245	+ -,	6.1%	•	,	\$ 5,388	6.1%	_	13,453	,	6.1%	\$	65,962	\$	3,917		\$ 107,245	\$ 6,161	6.1%	\$	29,408	•	1,686	6.1%
1995-96	\$ 101,084	\$ 4,210	4.3%	•	. ,	\$ 3,680	4.4%		06,957	\$ 4,439	4.3%	\$	62,045	\$	2,674	4.5%	\$ 101,084	\$ 4,210	4.3%	\$	27,722	\$ 1	1,152	4.3%
1994-95	+,	\$ 5,464	6.0%		- ,	\$ 4,772	6.0%		- ,	\$ 5,766	6.0%	\$	59,371	\$	3,445	6.2%	\$ 96,874	-	-	\$	26,570			-
1993-94	\$ 91,410		4.1%			\$ 3,151	4.1%		96,752		4.1%	\$	55,926	\$	2,270	4.2%		ee notes				ee no	tes	
1992-93	¥ 0.,000	\$ 251	0.3%	_	,	\$ 238	0.3%	_	92,941	\$ 250	0.3%	\$	53,656	\$	239	0.4%	\$ 87,549	\$ -	0.0%	\$	24,019	•	-	0.0%
1991-92	,	\$ 3,831	4.6%	•	-,-	\$ 3,325	4.6%	_	, ,	\$ 4,058	4.6%	\$	53,417	\$	2,329	4.6%	\$ 87,549	\$ 3,831	4.6%	\$,	•	1,051	4.6%
1990-91	, .	\$ 3,704	4.6%	•	,	\$ 3,250	4.7%		,	\$ 3,898	4.6%	\$	51,088	\$	2,399	4.9%	\$ 83,718	\$ 3,704	4.6%	\$,		1,013	4.6%
1989-90	+,	\$ 4,101	5.4%	•	,	\$ 3,598	5.5%		,	\$ 8,822	11.6%	\$	48,689	\$	2,655	5.8%	\$ 80,014	\$ 4,101	5.4%	\$		•	1,120	5.4%
1988-89	¥,	\$ 8,344	12.3%		,	\$ 7,841	13.5%		75,913	\$ 4,054	5.6%	\$	46,034	\$	2,599	6.0%	\$ 75,913	\$ 4,054	5.6%	\$,		1,109	5.6%
1987-88 1986-87	\$ 67,569 \$ 63,962	\$ 3,607	5.6% 7.5%	_	,	\$ 3,133	5.7% 7.6%	_	71,859 68.048	\$ 3,811	5.6% 7.5%	\$	43,435 40.993	\$	2,442	6.0% 7.8%	\$ 71,859 \$ 68.048	\$ 3,811	5.6% 7.5%	\$,	•	1,042	5.6% 7.5%
1985-87	\$ 63,962	\$ 4,478	7.5%	•	50.996	\$ 3,868	1.0%		63.303	\$ 4,745	1.5%	\$	38.033	\$	2,960	7.8%	\$ 68,048	\$ 4,745	7.5%	\$	17,384	\$ 1	1,300	7.5%
1905-00	a 39,464	-	•	\$	50,990	-	-	\$ 6	03,303	-	-	Þ	30,033	L	-	-	φ 03,303			Þ	17,304			

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated fatulory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Pasco County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

	Clerk of C	rcuit Court,	Property																					
Fiscal	Apprais	er & Tax Co	llector	S	upervi	sor of Elect	ions			Sheriff		County	Co	mmissio	ners	School	Sup	erinten	dent		School I	Boar	d Memb	oers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Sala	ary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	S	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	9	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	,	Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.
2016-17	\$ 144,196	\$ 399	0.3%	\$ 14	4,196	\$ 20,075	16.2%	\$	153,158	\$ 413	0.3%	\$ 85,096	\$	303	0.4%	\$ 144,196	\$	399	0.3%	\$	39,641	\$	108	0.3%
2015-16	\$ 143,796	\$ 288	0.2%	\$ 12	4,121	\$ 260	0.2%	\$	152,746	\$ 298	0.2%	\$ 84,794	\$	217	0.3%	\$ 143,796	\$	288	0.2%	\$	39,533	\$	78	0.2%
2014-15	\$ 143,508	\$ 500	0.3%	\$ 123	3,860	\$ 441	0.4%	\$	152,447	\$ 524	0.3%	\$ 84,577	\$	335	0.4%	\$ 143,508	\$	500	0.3%	\$	39,455	\$	136	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 143,008	\$ 5,173	3.8%	\$ 123	3,419	\$ 4,468	3.8%	\$	151,923	\$ 5,492	3.8%	\$ 84,242	\$	3,063	3.8%	\$ 143,008	\$	5,173	3.8%	\$	39,318	\$	1,422	3.8%
2012-13	\$ 137,835	\$ 39	0.0%	\$ 118	8,951	\$ 38	0.0%	\$	146,431	\$ 39	0.0%	\$ 81,179	\$	38	0.0%	\$ 137,835	\$	39	0.0%	\$	37,897	\$	10	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 137,796	\$ 535	0.4%	\$ 118	8,913	\$ 510	0.4%	\$	146,391	\$ 535	0.4%	\$ 81,141	\$	510	0.6%	\$ 137,796	\$	535	0.4%	\$	37,886	\$	142	0.4%
2010-11	\$ 137,260	\$ 24	0.0%	\$ 118	8,403	\$ 23	0.0%	\$	145,856	\$ 24	0.0%	\$ 80,631	\$	23	0.0%	\$ 137,260	\$	2,859	2.1%	\$	37,744		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 137,236	\$ 92	0.1%	\$ 118	8,380	\$ 88	0.1%	\$	145,832	\$ 92	0.1%	\$ 80,608	\$	87	0.1%	\$ 134,401		See no	otes	\$	37,738		See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 137,144	\$ 367	0.3%	\$ 118	8,293	\$ 336	0.3%	\$	145,740	\$ 376	0.3%	\$ 80,521	\$	294	0.4%	\$ 137,144	\$	367	0.3%	\$	37,714	\$	99	0.3%
2007-08	\$ 136,777		0.3%	\$ 11	7,957	\$ 356	0.3%	\$	145,364			\$ 80,227	\$	356	0.4%	\$ 136,777	\$	373	0.3%	\$	37,615		-	-
2006-07	\$ 136,404	\$ 5,341	4.1%	\$ 117	7,601	\$ 4,675	4.1%	\$	144,991	\$ 5,629	4.0%	\$ 79,871	\$	3,414	4.5%	\$ 136,404	\$	5,341	4.1%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 131,063	\$ 6,076	4.9%	\$ 112	2,926	\$ 5,313	4.9%	\$	139,362	\$ 6,406		\$ 76,457	\$	3,863	5.3%	\$ 131,063	\$	6,076	4.9%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 124,987	\$ 2,530	2.1%	\$ 10	7,613	\$ 2,253	2.1%	\$	132,956	\$ 2,639	2.0%	\$ 72,594	\$	1,773	2.5%	\$ 124,987		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 122,457	\$ 2,925	2.4%	\$ 10	5,360	\$ 2,565	2.5%	\$	130,317	\$ 3,079	2.4%	\$ 70,821	\$	1,888	2.7%	00	See r	notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 119,532	\$ 3,402	2.9%	\$ 102	2,795	\$ 2,965	3.0%	\$	127,238	\$ 3,593	2.9%	\$ 68,933	\$	2,123	3.2%	\$ 119,532	\$	3,402	2.9%		S	ee n	otes	
2001-02	\$ 116,130	\$ 4,315	3.9%	\$ 99	9,830	\$ 3,803	4.0%	\$	123,645	, ,	3.8%	\$ 66,810	\$	2,860	4.5%	\$ 116,130	\$	4,315	3.9%	\$	31,975	_	1,178	3.8%
2000-01	\$ 111,815	\$ 3,347	3.1%	\$ 9	6,027	\$ 2,901	3.1%	\$	119,115	\$ 3,547	3.1%	\$ 63,950	\$	2,024	3.3%	\$ 111,815	\$	3,347	3.1%	\$	30,797	\$	918	3.1%
1999-00	\$ 108,468	, , , , , ,	3.9%	\$ 93	3,126	\$ 3,538	3.9%	\$	115,568	, ,-	3.9%	\$ 61,926	\$	2,439	4.1%	\$ 108,468	\$	4,091	3.9%	\$,_,	\$	1,124	3.9%
1998-99	\$ 104,377	\$ 5,380	5.4%	\$ 89	9,588	\$ 4,645	5.5%	\$	111,227	\$ 5,714	5.4%	\$ 59,487	\$	3,176	5.6%	\$ 104,377	\$	5,380	5.4%	\$,	\$	1,479	5.4%
1997-98	\$ 98,997	\$ 4,882	5.2%	\$ 8	4,943	\$ 4,208	5.2%	\$	105,513		5.2%	\$ 56,311	\$	2,854	5.3%	\$ 98,997	\$	4,882	5.2%	\$,	\$	1,343	5.2%
1996-97	\$ 94,115	\$ 5,373	6.1%	\$ 8	0,735	\$ 4,637	6.1%	\$	100,323	\$ 5,709		\$ 53,457	\$	3,166	6.3%	\$ 94,115	\$	5,373	6.1%	\$	25,933	\$	1,478	6.0%
1995-96	\$ 88,742	\$ 3,668	4.3%	\$ 7	6,098	\$ 3,165	4.3%	\$	94,614	\$ 3,896		\$ 50,291	\$	2,158	4.5%	\$ 88,742	\$	3,668	4.3%	\$	24,455	\$	1,008	4.3%
1994-95	\$ 85,074	, , , , , ,	5.8%	\$ 7	2,933	\$ 4,041	5.9%	\$	90,718	\$ 4,999		\$ 48,133	\$	2,715	6.0%	\$ 85,074		-	-	\$	23,447		-	-
1993-94	\$ 80,377	\$ 3,205	4.2%	\$ 68	8,892	\$ 2,765	4.2%	\$	85,719	\$ 3,405		\$ 45,418	\$	1,884	4.3%			notes					otes	
1992-93	\$ 77,172		0.2%	\$ 6	6,127	\$ 156	0.2%	\$	82,314			\$ 43,534	\$	157	0.4%	\$ 77,008	\$	-	0.0%	\$	21,229	_	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 77,008	, .	5.1%	_	5,971	\$ 3,212	5.1%	\$	82,149	\$ 3,939		\$ 43,377	\$	2,216	5.4%	\$ 77,008	\$	3,713	5.1%	\$, -	_	1,019	5.0%
1990-91	\$ 73,295	\$ 3,174	4.5%	\$ 62	2,759	\$ 2,745	4.6%	\$	78,210	\$ 3,368	4.5%	\$ 41,161	\$	1,894	4.8%	\$ 73,295	\$	3,174	4.5%	\$	20,210	\$	872	4.5%
1989-90	\$ 70,121	\$ 3,514	5.3%	\$ 6	0,014	\$ 3,039	5.3%	\$	74,842	\$ 8,235		\$ 39,267	\$	2,096	5.6%	\$ 70,121	\$	3,514	5.3%	\$	19,338	\$	966	5.3%
1988-89	\$ 66,607	\$ 7,799	13.3%	\$ 5	6,975	\$ 7,322	14.7%	\$	66,607	\$ 3,509		\$ 37,171	\$	2,080	5.9%	\$ 66,607	\$	3,509	5.6%	\$	18,372	\$	964	5.5%
1987-88	\$ 58,808	\$ 3,156	5.7%	\$ 49	9,653	\$ 2,704	5.8%	\$	63,098	\$ 3,360	5.6%	\$ 35,091	\$	2,012	6.1%	\$ 63,098	\$	3,360	5.6%	\$	17,408	_	923	5.6%
1986-87	\$ 55,652	\$ 3,855	7.4%	\$ 40	6,949	\$ 3,275	7.5%	\$	59,738	\$ 4,122	7.4%	\$ 33,079	\$	2,368	7.7%	\$ 59,738	\$	4,122	7.4%	\$	16,485	\$	1,135	7.4%
1985-86	\$ 51,797	-	-	\$ 43	3,674	-	-	\$	55,616	-	-	\$ 30,711		-	-	\$ 55,616		-	-	\$	15,350		-	-

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Pinellas County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

		ircuit Court,											_										
Fiscal		er & Tax Co			sor of Elect			Sheri						mmissio			Superint			School I			
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ CI	,	% Chg.	Ţ	Salary		Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.		% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2016-17	\$ 154,444		0.3%	\$ 154,444	\$ 20,651	15.4%	\$ 163,407	\$	506	0.3%	\$	94,857		391	0.4%	154,444	\$ 49		0.3%	\$ 42,354	\$	133	0.3%
2015-16	\$ 153,952	2 \$ 319	0.2%	\$ 133,793	\$ 290	0.2%	\$ 162,902	\$	329	0.2%	\$	94,466	\$	246	0.3%	\$ 153,952	\$ 31	9 (0.2%	\$ 42,222	\$	86	0.2%
2014-15	\$ 153,633	\$ 555	0.4%	\$ 133,503	\$ 494	0.4%	\$ 162,573	\$	579	0.4%	44	94,220	\$	387	0.4%	\$ 153,633	\$ 55	5 (0.4%	\$ 42,135	\$	151	0.4%
2013-14	\$ 153,078	\$ 5,531	3.7%	\$ 133,010	\$ 4,809	3.8%	\$ 161,994	\$ 5	,851	3.7%	\$	93,833	\$	3,404	3.8%	\$ 153,078	\$ 5,53	1 :	3.7%	\$ 41,985	\$	1,517	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 147,547	\$ 42	0.0%	\$ 128,201	\$ 40	0.0%	\$ 156,143	\$	42	0.0%	\$	90,429	\$	40	0.0%	\$ 147,547	\$ 4	2 (0.0%	\$ 40,468	\$	11	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 147,50	\$ (313)	-0.2%	\$ 128,161	\$ (298)	-0.2%	\$ 156,101	\$	(313)	-0.2%	\$	90,389	\$	(298)	-0.3%	\$ 147,505	\$ (31	3) -	-0.2%	\$ 40,457	\$	(83)	-0.2%
2010-11	\$ 147,819	\$ (158)	-0.1%	\$ 128,459	\$ (150)	-0.1%	\$ 156,414	\$	(158)	-0.1%	44	90,687	\$	(150)	-0.2%	\$ 147,819	\$ 2,68	2	1.8%	\$ 40,540		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 147,977	\$ (122)	-0.1%	\$ 128,609	\$ (117)	-0.1%	\$ 156,572	\$	(122)	-0.1%	\$	90,837	\$	(117)	-0.1%	\$ 145,137	See	note	es	\$ 40,582		See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 148,099	\$ 79	0.1%	\$ 128,726	\$ 62	0.0%	\$ 156,695	\$	88	0.1%	\$	90,954	\$	20	0.0%	\$ 148,099	\$ 7	9 (0.1%	\$ 40,614	\$	22	0.1%
2007-08	\$ 148,020		0.0%	\$ 128,664	\$ 6	0.0%	\$ 156,606	•	6	0.0%	\$	90,934	\$	6	0.0%	\$ 148,020	*		0.0%	\$ 40,592		-	-
2006-07	\$ 148,014	\$ 5,035	3.5%	\$ 128,658	\$ 4,384	3.5%	\$ 156,600	\$ 5	,322	3.5%	\$	90,928	\$	3,122	3.6%	\$ 148,014	\$ 5,03	5 :	3.5%	N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 142,979	, .	4.2%	\$ 124,274	\$ 5,013	4.2%	\$ 151,278		,091	4.2%	\$	87,806	\$	3,564	4.2%	142,979	\$ 5,76	1 4	4.2%	N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 137,218	\$ 1,996	1.5%	\$ 119,261	\$ 1,745	1.5%	\$ 145,187	\$ 2	,106	1.5%	\$	84,242	\$	1,265	1.5%	\$ 137,218	-		-	N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 135,222	2 \$ 2,743	2.1%	\$ 117,516	\$ 2,391	2.1%	\$ 143,081		,897	2.1%	\$	82,978	\$	1,714	2.1%		ee notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 132,479	\$ 3,439	2.7%	\$ 115,125	\$ 3,001	2.7%	\$ 140,185		,631	2.7%	\$	81,264	\$	2,159	2.7%	\$ 132,479	\$ 3,43		2.7%			otes	
2001-02	\$ 129,040	\$ 4,098	3.3%	\$ 112,124	\$ 3,595	3.3%	\$ 136,554		,313	3.3%	\$	79,105	\$	2,653	3.5%	\$ 129,040	\$ 4,09		3.3%	\$ 35,391	\$	1,120	3.3%
2000-01	\$ 124,942	2 \$ 3,535	2.9%	\$ 108,529	\$ 3,080	2.9%	\$ 132,241	\$ 3	,734	2.9%	\$	76,452	\$	2,203	3.0%	\$ 124,942	\$ 3,53	5 2	2.9%	\$ 34,271	\$	968	2.9%
1999-00	\$ 121,407	, ,-	3.7%	\$ 105,449	\$ 3,780	3.7%	\$ 128,507		,594	3.7%	\$	74,249	\$	2,680	3.7%	\$ 121,407	\$ 4,34		3.7%	\$,	•	1,191	3.7%
1998-99	\$ 117,063	\$ 5,824	5.2%	\$ 101,669	\$ 5,066	5.2%	\$ 123,913	\$ 6	,158	5.2%	\$	71,569	\$	3,598	5.3%	\$ 117,063	\$ 5,82	4 !	5.2%	\$ 32,112	\$	1,596	5.2%
1997-98	\$ 111,239	,	5.0%	\$ 96,603	\$ 4,643	5.0%	117,755		,646	5.0%	\$	67,971	\$	3,289	5.1%	\$ 111,239	\$ 5,33		5.0%	\$ 30,516	\$	1,464	5.0%
1996-97	\$ 105,90	7 -,	5.8%	\$ 91,960	\$ 5,037	5.8%	\$ 112,109		,128	5.8%	\$	64,682	\$	3,566	5.8%	\$ 105,901	\$ 5,79	3	5.8%	\$,	\$	1,589	5.8%
1995-96	\$ 100,108	\$ 3,985	4.1%	\$ 86,923	\$ 3,467	4.2%	\$ 105,981		,214	4.1%	\$	61,116	\$	2,461	4.2%	\$ 100,108	\$ 3,98	5 4	4.1%	\$ 27,463	\$	1,092	4.1%
1994-95	\$ 96,123	, .	5.7%	\$,	\$ 4,517	5.7%	\$ - , -		,499	5.7%	\$	58,655	\$	3,190	5.8%	\$ 96,123	-		-	\$ 26,371		-	-
1993-94	\$ 90,926	\$ 3,477	4.0%	\$ 78,939	\$ 3,024	4.0%	\$ 96,268	\$ 3	,677	4.0%	\$	55,465	\$	2,143	4.0%	S	ee notes			S	ee n	otes	
1992-93	\$ 87,449		0.1%	\$,	\$ 50	0.1%	\$ 92,591	\$	53	0.1%	\$	53,322	\$	50	0.1%	\$,	\$		0.0%	\$ 23,979		-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 87,397	,	4.5%	\$ -,	\$ 3,297	4.5%	\$ - ,	•	,029	4.6%	\$	53,272	\$	2,302	4.5%	\$ 87,397	\$ 3,80		4.5%	\$		1,044	4.6%
1990-91	\$ 83,594	\$ 3,477	4.3%	\$ 72,568	\$ 3,034	4.4%	\$ 88,509	\$ 3	,671	4.3%	\$	50,970	\$	2,183	4.5%	\$ 83,594	\$ 3,47	7 4	4.3%	\$ 22,935	\$	952	4.3%
1989-90	\$ 80,117	\$ 3,769	4.9%	\$ 69,534	\$ 3,281	5.0%	\$ 84,838		,490	11.1%	\$	48,787	\$	2,338	5.0%	\$ 80,117	\$ 3,76		4.9%	\$,000	\$	1,033	4.9%
1988-89	\$ 76,348	\$ 8,094	11.9%	\$ 66,253	\$ 7,603	13.0%	\$ 76,348	\$,804	5.2%	44	46,449	\$	2,361	5.4%	\$ 76,348	\$ 3,80	4 !	5.2%	\$ 20,950	\$	1,043	5.2%
1987-88	\$ 68,254	\$ 3,407	5.3%	\$ 58,650	\$ 2,943	5.3%	\$ 72,544	\$ 3	,610	5.2%	\$	44,088	\$	2,251	5.4%	\$ 72,544	\$ 3,61	0 :	5.2%	\$ 19,907	\$	989	5.2%
1986-87	\$ 64,847	\$ 4,402	7.3%	\$ 55,707	\$ 3,796	7.3%	\$ 68,934	\$ 4	,670	7.3%	\$	41,837	\$	2,889	7.4%	\$ 68,934	\$ 4,67	0	7.3%	\$ 18,918	\$	1,280	7.3%
1985-86	\$ 60,445	i -	-	\$ 51,911	-	-	\$ 64,264	-		-	\$	38,948		-	-	\$ 64,264	-		-	\$ 17,638		-	-
																			-			•	

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Polk County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

Piscal Appraiser & Tax Collector Superviser of Elections Shortif County Commissioners School Superintender School Superviser School Superintender School Superviser School S		Cleri	k of Circ	uit Court,	Property																					
2016-16 147,455 3	Fiscal	A	ppraise	& Tax Col	lector	Supervi	sor of Elec	tions		She	riff			County	Со	mmissio	ners	School	ol Su	perinten	dent		School I			
2015-16 \$ 147/014 \$ 369 0.3% \$ 127/185 \$.337 0.3% \$ 155,964 \$.370 0.2% \$ 87,869 \$.294 0.3% \$ 147/014 \$.360 0.3% \$ 40,385 \$.99 0.2% \$.0241-15 \$ 146,645 \$.544 0.4% \$.326,848 \$.457 0.4% \$.458,545 0.4% \$.458,645 \$.548,645 \$.554 0.4% \$.40,285 \$.551 0.4% \$.458,645 \$.548,645 \$.554 0.4% \$.40,285 \$.551 0.4% \$.458,645 \$.548,645 \$.554 0.4% \$.40,285 \$.551 0.4% \$.458,645 \$.554 0.4% \$.40,285 \$.40,285 \$	Year	Sa	alary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.	,	Salary	,	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	-	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.
2014-14 \$ 146,091 \$ 5,285 \$ 3.87 \$ 126,385 \$ 3.87 \$ 1.45,095 \$ 5,850 \$ 3.87 \$ 0.4% \$ 155,885 \$ 5.70 \$ 0.4% \$ 8 7,565 \$ 3.87 \$ 0.4% \$ 146,091 \$ 5,285 \$ 3.8% \$ 126,355 \$ 3.8% \$ 155,006 \$ 5,500 \$ 3.8% \$ 17,170 \$ 3.8% \$ 3,170 \$ 3.8% \$ 146,091 \$ 5,285 \$ 3.8% \$ 40,135 \$ 1.451 \$ 3.8% \$ 121,170 \$ 5 5 5 0.0% \$ 149,040 \$ 5 5 5 0.0% \$ 149,040 \$ 5 5 5 0.0% \$ 149,040 \$ 5 5 5 0.0% \$ 149,040 \$ 5 5 5 0.0% \$ 149,040 \$ 5 5 5 0.0% \$ 149,040 \$ 5 5 5 0.0% \$ 149,040 \$ 5 5 5 0.0% \$ 149,040 \$ 5 5 5 0.0% \$ 149,040 \$ 5 5 5 0.0% \$ 149,040 \$ 5 5 5 0.0% \$ 149,040 \$ 1 5 0.0% \$ 149,040 \$ 1 0.0% \$ 149,040 \$	2016-17	\$ 14	47,455	\$ 441	0.3%	\$ 147,455	\$ 20,270	15.9%	\$ 156,418	\$	454	0.3%	\$	88,200	\$	342	0.4%	\$ 147,45	5 \$	441	0.3%	\$		\$	119	0.3%
2013-14 \$ 146,091 \$ 5,285	2015-16	\$ 14	47,014	\$ 369	0.3%	\$ 127,185	\$ 337	0.3%	\$ 155,964	\$	379	0.2%	\$	87,859	\$	294	0.3%	\$ 147,014	1 \$	369	0.3%	\$	40,385	\$	99	0.2%
2011-12 \$ 140,748 \$ 381 0.3% \$ 121,725 \$ 363 0.3% \$ 149,402 \$ 58 0.0% \$ 84,008 \$ 55 0.1% \$ 140,806 \$ 58 0.0% \$ 38,683 \$ 15 0.0% \$ 2011-12 \$ 140,748 \$ 381 0.3% \$ 121,725 \$ 363 0.3% \$ 149,344 \$ 381 0.3% \$ 83,953 \$ 363 0.4% \$ 140,748 \$ 381 0.3% \$ 38,668 \$ 101 0.3% \$ 2011-11 \$ 140,67 \$ (30) 0.0% \$ 121,362 \$ (28) 0.0% \$ 148,962 \$ (30) 0.0% \$ 83,593 \$ (28) 0.0% \$ 140,367 \$ 2,277 2.1% \$ 38,567 \$ See notes \$ 2009-10 \$ 140,297 \$ 498 0.4% \$ 121,294 \$ 461 0.4% \$ 148,981 \$ 507 0.3% \$ 83,562 \$ 360 0.0% \$ 140,997 \$ 101 0.1% \$ 121,300 \$ 96 0.1% \$ 148,982 \$ 101 0.1% \$ 83,518 \$ 96 0.1% \$ 137,489 \$ See notes \$ 38,575 \$ See notes \$ 38,	2014-15	\$ 14	46,645	\$ 554	0.4%	\$ 126,848		0.4%	\$ 155,585	\$	579	0.4%	\$	87,565	\$	387	0.4%	\$ 146,64	5 \$	554	0.4%	\$	40,285	\$	151	0.4%
201-11 \$ 140,748 \$ 381 0.3% \$ 121,725 \$ 363 0.3% \$ 149,344 \$ 381 0.3% \$ 83,953 \$ 363 0.4% \$ \$ 140,367 \$ 2,877 2.1% \$ 36,567 \$ Sen notes	2013-14	\$ 14	46,091	\$ 5,285	3.8%	\$ 126,355	\$ 4,575	3.8%	\$ 155,006	\$	5,604	3.8%	\$	87,178	\$	3,170	3.8%	\$ 146,09°	\$	5,285	3.8%	\$	40,135	\$	1,451	3.8%
2010-11 \$ 140,367 \$ (30) 0.0% \$ 121,362 \$ (28) 0.0% \$ 148,962 \$ (30) 0.0% \$ 83,590 \$ (28) 0.0% \$ 140,367 \$ 2,877 2.1% \$ 38,567 See notes	2012-13	\$ 14	40,806	\$ 58	0.0%	\$ 121,780	\$ 55	0.0%	\$ 149,402	\$	58	0.0%	\$	84,008	\$	55	0.1%	\$ 140,800	\$	58	0.0%	\$	38,683	\$	15	0.0%
2008-09 \$ 140,397 \$ 101 0.1% \$ 121,390 \$ 96 0.1% \$ 148,992 \$ 101 0.1% \$ 83,618 \$ 96 0.1% \$ 137,488 \$ See notes \$ 38,575 \$ See notes \$ 2008-09 \$ 140,295 \$ 498 0.4% \$ 121,294 \$ 461 0.4% \$ 148,991 \$ 507 0.3% \$ 83,522 \$ 419 0.5% \$ 140,295 \$ 498 0.4% \$ 38,415 \$ 2006-07 \$ 139,301 \$ 4,938 3.7% \$ 120,380 \$ 4,291 3.7% \$ 147,887 \$ 5,225 3.7% \$ 88,3103 \$ 474 0.6% \$ 139,798 \$ 497 0.4% \$ 38,415 \$ 2006-07 \$ 139,301 \$ 4,938 3.7% \$ 120,380 \$ 4,291 3.7% \$ 147,887 \$ 5,225 3.7% \$ 82,630 \$ 3,030 3.8% \$ 139,301 \$ 4,938 3.7% \$ NAA \$ 2005-06 \$ 128,692 \$ 1,952 1.5% \$ 111,141 \$ 1,702 1.6% \$ 136,661 \$ 2,061 1.5% \$ 76,123 \$ 1,222 1.6% \$ 128,692 NAA \$ 2002-03 \$ 124,136 \$ 3,316 2.7% \$ 2,883 2.8% \$ 131,841 \$ 3,507 2.7% \$ 74,901 \$ 1,883 2.2% \$ 120,820 \$ 3,618 3.1% \$ 104,296 \$ 3,138 3.1% \$ 128,334 \$ 3,307 2.7% \$ 2,883 3.7% \$ 104,296 \$ 3,138 3.1% \$ 128,334 \$ 3,307 2.7% \$ 3,316 2.7% \$ 2,260 2.1% \$ 104,296 \$ 3,138 3.1% \$ 128,334 \$ 3,362 2.0% \$ 3,618 3.1% \$ 3,362 2.0% \$ 3,618 3.1% \$ 3,316 2.7% \$ 2,260 2.1% \$ 3,346 2.2% \$ 3,671 2.2% 3.6% 3,336 2.7% \$ 3,346 2.2% \$ 3,671 2.2% 3.6% 3,338 2.2% \$ 3,572 2.1% \$ 3,362 2.0% \$ 3,618 3.1% \$ 3,316 2.7% \$ 3,272 3.2% \$ 3,284 3.383 3.1% \$ 3,272 2.9% \$ 3,243 3.3% \$ 3,242 3.2% \$ 3,338 3.1% \$ 3,362 2.0% \$ 3,618 3.1% \$ 3,362 2.0% \$ 3,242 3.2% \$ 3,338 3.1% \$ 3,362 2.0% \$ 3,648 3.3% \$ 3,448 3.3	2011-12	\$ 14	40,748	\$ 381	0.3%	\$ 121,725	\$ 363	0.3%	\$ 149,344	\$	381	0.3%	\$	83,953	\$	363	0.4%	\$ 140,748	3 \$	381	0.3%	\$	38,668	\$	101	0.3%
2008-09 \$ 140,295 \$ 498 0.4% \$ 121,294 \$ 461 0.4% \$ 148,891 \$ 507 0.3% \$ 83,522 \$ 419 0.5% \$ 140,295 \$ 498 0.4% \$ 38,415 2006-07 \$ 139,301 \$ 4,938 3.7% \$ 120,833 \$ 473 0.4% \$ 148,891 \$ 507 0.3% \$ 83,103 \$ 474 0.6% \$ 139,798 \$ 497 0.4% \$ 38,415 2006-07 \$ 139,301 \$ 4,938 3.7% \$ 120,830 \$ 4,938 3.7% \$ 120,830 \$ 4,938 3.7% \$ 120,830 \$ 4,938 3.7% \$ 120,830 \$ 4,938 3.7% \$ 120,830 \$ 4,938 3.7% \$ 120,830 \$ 4,938 3.7% \$ 120,830 \$ 4,938 3.7% \$ 120,830 \$ 4,938 3.7% \$ 120,830 \$ 4,938 3.7% \$ 120,830 \$ 4,938 3.7% \$ 120,830 \$ 4,938 3.7% \$ 120,830 \$ 4,938 3.7% \$ 120,830 \$ 4,938 3.7% \$ 120,830 \$ 4,938 \$ 3,780 \$ 4,938 \$ 3,780 \$ 4,938 \$ 3,780 \$ 4,938 \$ 3,780 \$ 4,938 \$ 3,780 \$ 4,938 \$ 3,780 \$ 4,938 \$ 3,780 \$ 4,938 \$ 3,780 \$ 4,938 \$ 3,780 \$ 4,938 \$ 3,780 \$ 4,938 \$ 3,780 \$ 4,938 \$ 3,780 \$ 4,938 \$ 3,780 \$ 4,938 \$ 3,780 \$ 4,938 \$ 3,780 \$ 4,938 \$ 3,780 \$ 4,938 \$ 3,780 \$ 4,938 \$ 4,938 \$ 3,780 \$ 4,938 \$ 4,938 \$ 3,780 \$ 4,938 \$ 4,938 \$ 3,780 \$ 4,938 \$ 4,938 \$ 3,780 \$ 4,938 \$ 4,780 \$ 3,480 \$ 3,480 \$ 3,480 \$ 3,380 \$ 3,390 \$ 3,390 \$ 3,390 \$ 3,390 \$ 3,930 \$ 3,930 \$ 4,938 \$ 3,780 \$ 3,900 \$ 3,477 \$ 4,698 \$ 3,480 \$ 4,480 \$	2010-11	\$ 14	40,367	\$ (30)	0.0%	\$ 121,362	\$ (28)	0.0%	\$ 148,962	\$	(30)	0.0%	\$	83,590	\$	(28)	0.0%	\$ 140,367	7 \$	2,877	2.1%	\$	38,567		See no	otes
2007-08 \$ 139,798 \$ 497 0.4% \$ 120,833 \$ 473 0.4% \$ 148,384 \$ 497 0.3% \$ 83,103 \$ 474 0.6% \$ 139,798 \$ 497 0.4% \$ 38,415	2009-10	\$ 14	40,397	\$ 101	0.1%	\$ 121,390	\$ 96	0.1%	\$ 148,992	\$	101	0.1%	\$	83,618	\$	96	0.1%	\$ 137,489	9	See no	otes	\$	38,575		See no	otes
2006-07 \$ 139,301 \$ 4,938 3.7% \$ 120,360 \$ 4,291 3.7% \$ 147,887 \$ 5,225 3.7% \$ 82,630 \$ 3,030 3.8% \$ 139,301 \$ 4,938 3.7% N/A	2008-09	\$ 14	40,295	\$ 498	0.4%	\$ 121,294	\$ 461	0.4%	\$ 148,891	\$	507	0.3%	\$	83,522	\$	419	0.5%	\$ 140,29	5 \$	498	0.4%	\$	38,548	\$	133	0.3%
2005-06 \$ 134,363 \$ 5,671 4.4% \$ 110,069 \$ 4,928 4.4% \$ 142,662 \$ 6,001 4.4% \$ 79,600 \$ 3,477 4.6% \$ 134,363 \$ 5,671 4.4% N/A	2007-08	\$ 13	39,798			\$ 120,833			\$ 148,384				\$	83,103	\$		0.6%	\$ 139,798	3 \$		0.4%	\$			-	-
2004-05 \$ 128,692 \$ 1,952 \$ 1.5% \$ 111,141 \$ 1,702 \$ 1.6% \$ 136,661 \$ 2,061 \$ 1.5% \$ 76,123 \$ 1,222 \$ 1.6% \$ 128,692 \$ - - N/A - - - 2003-04 \$ 126,741 \$ 2,605 \$ 2.1% \$ 109,439 \$ 2,260 \$ 2.1% \$ 134,600 \$ 2,759 \$ 2.1% \$ 74,901 \$ 1,563 \$ 2.2% See notes N/A - - - 2003-04 \$ 124,136 \$ 3,316 \$ 2.7% \$ 109,439 \$ 2,260 \$ 2.1% \$ 134,600 \$ 2,759 \$ 2.1% \$ 74,901 \$ 1,563 \$ 2.2% See notes N/A - - - 2003-04 \$ 124,136 \$ 3,316 \$ 2.7% \$ 107,179 \$ 2,883 \$ 2.8% \$ 131,841 \$ 3,507 \$ 2.7% \$ 73,317 \$ 2,041 \$ 2.9% \$ 124,136 \$ 3,316 \$ 2.7% \$ See notes \$ 2001-02 \$ 120,820 \$ 3,618 \$ 3.4% \$ 104,296 \$ 3,138 \$ 3.1% \$ 128,343 \$ 3,832 \$ 3.1% \$ 71,276 \$ 2,195 \$ 3.2% \$ 120,820 \$ 3,618 \$ 3.4% \$ 33,215 \$ 993 \$ 3.1% \$ 2000-01 \$ 117,202 \$ 3,362 \$ 3.0% \$ 101,158 \$ 2,916 \$ 3.0% \$ 124,502 \$ 3,562 \$ 2.9% \$ 69,081 \$ 2,039 \$ 3.0% \$ 117,202 \$ 3,362 \$ 3.0% \$ 32,222 \$ 923 \$ 2.9% \$ 199,714 \$ 5,457 \$ 5,2% \$ 4,671 \$ 4,718 \$ 5.2% \$ 116,604 \$ 5,792 \$ 2,472 \$ 3.8% \$ 113,840 \$ 4,126 \$ 3.8% \$ 31,299 \$ 1,133 \$ 3.8% \$ 120,940 \$ 4,376 \$ 3.8% \$ 57,042 \$ 2,472 \$ 3.8% \$ 113,840 \$ 4,126 \$ 3.8% \$ 31,299 \$ 1,133 \$ 3.8% \$ 104,257 \$ 5,076 \$ 5.1% \$ 89,953 \$ 4,393 \$ 5.1% \$ 110,772 \$ 5,383 \$ 5.1% \$ 61,321 \$ 3,040 \$ 5.2% \$ 104,257 \$ 5,076 \$ 5.1% \$ 2,667 \$ 1,394 \$ 5.1% \$ 199-99 \$ 99,181 \$ 5,435 \$ 5,457 \$ 5,2% \$ 40,404 \$ 3,424 \$	2006-07	\$ 13	39,301	\$ 4,938	3.7%	\$ 120,360	\$ 4,291	3.7%	\$ 147,887	\$	5,225	3.7%	\$	82,630	\$	3,030	3.8%	\$ 139,30	1 \$	4,938	3.7%		N/A		-	-
2003-04 \$ 126,741 \$ 2,665 2.1% \$ 109,439 \$ 2,260 2.1% \$ 134,600 \$ 2,759 2.1% \$ 74,901 \$ 1,583 2.2% \$ See notes N/A	2005-06	\$ 13	34,363	\$ 5,671	4.4%	\$ 116,069	\$ 4,928		\$ 142,662				\$	79,600	\$	3,477	4.6%	\$ 134,363	3 \$	5,671	4.4%				-	-
2002-03 \$ 124,136 \$ 3,316	2004-05	\$ 12	28,692	\$ 1,952	1.5%	\$ 111,141	\$ 1,702	1.6%	\$ 136,661	\$	2,061	1.5%	\$	76,123	\$	1,222	1.6%	\$ 128,692	2	-	-		N/A		-	-
2001-02 \$ 120,820 \$ 3,618 3.1% \$ 104,296 \$ 3,138 3.1% \$ 128,334 \$ 3,832 3.1% \$ 71,276 \$ 2,195 3.2% \$ 120,820 \$ 3,618 3.1% \$ 33,215 \$ 993 3.1% 2000-01 \$ 117,202 \$ 3,362 3.0% \$ 101,158 \$ 2,916 3.0% \$ 124,502 \$ 3,562 2.9% \$ 69,081 \$ 2,039 3.0% \$ 117,202 \$ 3,362 3.0% \$ 32,222 \$ 923 2.9% 1999-00 \$ 113,840 \$ 4,126 3.8% \$ 98,242 \$ 3,571 3.8% \$ 120,940 \$ 4,376 3.8% \$ 67,042 \$ 2,472 3.8% \$ 113,840 \$ 4,126 3.8% \$ 31,299 \$ 1,133 3.8% 1998-99 \$ 109,714 \$ 5,457 5.2% \$ 94,671 \$ 4,718 5.2% \$ 116,564 \$ 5,792 5.2% \$ 64,570 \$ 3,249 5.3% \$ 109,714 \$ 5,457 5.2% \$ 30,166 \$ 1,499 5.2% 1997-98 \$ 104,257 \$ 5,076 5.1% \$ 89,953 \$ 4,393 5.1% \$ 110,772 \$ 5,383 5.1% \$ 61,321 \$ 3,040 5.2% \$ 104,257 \$ 5,076 5.1% \$ 28,667 \$ 1,394 5.1% 1996-97 \$ 99,181 \$ 5,438 5.8% \$ 85,560 \$ 4,699 5.8% \$ 105,389 \$ 5,773 5.8% \$ 58,281 \$ 3,227 5.9% \$ 99,181 \$ 5,438 5.8% \$ 27,273 \$ 1,495 5.8% 1995-96 \$ 93,743 \$ 3,758 4.2% \$ 80,861 \$ 3,251 4.2% \$ 99,616 \$ 3,987 4.2% \$ 55,054 \$ 2,245 4.3% \$ 93,743 \$ 3,758 4.2% \$ 25,778 \$ 1,032 4.2% 1994-95 \$ 89,985 \$ 4,933 5.8% \$ 77,610 \$ 4,265 5.8% \$ 95,629 \$ 5,235 5.8% \$ 52,809 \$ 2,939 5.9% \$ 89,985 \$ \$ 24,746 1993-94 \$ 85,052 \$ 3,272 4.0% \$ 73,345 \$ 2,829 4.0% \$ 90,394 \$ 3,473 4.0% \$ 49,870 \$ 1,947 4.1% \$ See notes 1992-93 \$ 81,661 \$ 3,530 4.5% \$ 70,402 \$ 3,036 4.5% \$ 86,802 \$ 3,756 4.5% \$ 47,809 \$ 2,042 4.5% \$ 81,661 \$ 3,530 4.5% \$ 22,460 \$ - 0.0% 1991-92 \$ 81,661 \$ 3,530 4.5% \$ 70,402 \$ 3,036 4.5% \$ 86,802 \$ 3,756 4.5% \$ 47,809 \$ 2,042 4.5% \$ 81,661 \$ 3,530 4.5% \$ 22,460 \$ - 0.0% 1991-92 \$ 81,661 \$ 3,530 4.5% \$ 70,402 \$ 3,036 4.5% \$ 86,802 \$ 3,756 4.5% \$ 47,809 \$ 2,042 4.5% \$ 81,661 \$ 3,530 4.5% \$ 22,460 \$ - 0.0% 1991-92 \$ 81,661 \$ 3,530 \$ 3,782 5.3% \$ 66,594 \$ 3,094 5 3,756 4.5% \$ 47,809 \$ 2,042 4.5% \$ 81,661 \$ 3,530 4.5% \$ 22,460 \$ - 0.0% 1991-92 \$ 81,661 \$ 3,530 \$ 4.5% \$ 67,366 \$ 2,772 4.3% \$ 83,046 \$ 3,395 4.3% \$ 47,809 \$ 2,042 4.5% \$ 81,661 \$ 3,530 4.5% \$ 22,460 \$ - 0.0% 1991-92 \$ 81,661 \$ 3,530 \$ 4.5% \$ 67,366 \$ 2,772 4.3% \$ 83,046 \$ 3,395 4.3% \$ 47,809 \$ 2,042 4.5% \$ 81,661 \$ 3,530 4.5% \$ 22,460 \$ - 0.0% \$ 19	2003-04	\$ 12	26,741	\$ 2,605	2.1%	\$ 109,439	\$ 2,260	2.1%	\$ 134,600	\$	2,759	2.1%	\$	74,901	\$	1,583	2.2%		See	notes			N/A		-	-
2000-01 \$ 117,202 \$ 3,362 3.0% \$ 101,158 \$ 2,916 3.0% \$ 124,502 \$ 3,562 2.9% \$ 69,081 \$ 2,039 3.0% \$ 117,202 \$ 3,362 3.0% \$ 32,222 \$ 923 2.9% 1999-00 \$ 113,840 \$ 4,126 3.8% \$ 98,242 \$ 3,571 3.8% \$ 120,940 \$ 4,376 3.8% \$ 67,042 \$ 2,472 3.8% \$ 113,840 \$ 4,126 3.8% \$ 31,299 \$ 1,133 3.8% 1998-99 \$ 109,714 \$ 5,457 5.2% \$ 94,671 \$ 4,718 5.2% \$ 116,564 \$ 5,792 5.2% \$ 64,570 \$ 3,249 5.3% \$ 109,714 \$ 5,457 5.2% \$ 30,166 \$ 1,499 5.2% \$ 1997-98 \$ 104,257 \$ 5,076 5.1% \$ 89,953 \$ 4,393 5.1% \$ 110,772 \$ 5,383 5.1% \$ 61,321 \$ 3,040 5.2% \$ 104,257 \$ 5,076 5.1% \$ 28,667 \$ 1,394 5.1% \$ 1995-96 \$ 99,181 \$ 5,438 5.8% \$ 85,560 \$ 4,699 5.8% \$ 105,389 \$ 5,773 5.8% \$ 58,281 \$ 3,227 5.9% \$ 99,181 \$ 5,438 5.8% \$ 27,273 \$ 1,495 5.8% \$ 1995-96 \$ 93,743 \$ 3,758 4.2% \$ 80,861 \$ 3,251 4.2% \$ 99,616 \$ 3,987 4.2% \$ 55,054 \$ 2,245 4.3% \$ 93,743 \$ 3,758 4.2% \$ 25,778 \$ 1,032 4.2% \$ 1994-95 \$ 89,985 \$ 4,933 5.8% \$ 77,610 \$ 4,265 5.8% \$ 95,629 \$ 5,235 5.8% \$ 52,809 \$ 2,939 5.9% \$ 89,985 \$ 24,746 1993-94 \$ 85,052 \$ 3,272 4.0% \$ 70,402 \$ 3,036 4.5% \$ 86,802 \$ 3,756 4.5% \$ 47,809 \$ 2,042 4.5% \$ 81,661 \$ 3,500 4.5% \$ 22,460 \$ 971 4.5% \$ 1990-91 \$ 78,131 \$ 3,201 4.3% \$ 67,366 \$ 2,772 4.3% \$ 83,046 \$ 3,395 4.3% \$ 45,767 \$ 1,920 4.4% \$ 78,131 \$ 3,201 4.3% \$ 21,489 \$ 880 4.3% 1989-90 \$ 74,930 \$ 3,782 5.3% \$ 64,594 \$ 3,294 5.4% \$ 79,651 \$ 8,602 \$ 3,756 4.5% \$ 47,809 \$ 2,042 4.5% \$ 81,661 \$ 3,500 4.5% \$ 22,460 \$ 971 4.5% \$ 1990-91 \$ 78,131 \$ 3,201 4.3% \$ 67,366 \$ 2,772 4.3% \$ 83,046 \$ 3,395 4.3% \$ 45,767 \$ 1,920 4.4% \$ 78,131 \$ 3,201 4.3% \$ 21,489 \$ 880 4.3% 1989-90 \$ 74,930 \$ 3,782 5.3% \$ 64,594 \$ 3,294 5.4% \$ 79,651 \$ 8,503 12.0% \$ 43,847 \$ 2,251 5.9% \$ 67,361 \$ 3,547 5.6% \$ 19,573 \$ 1,038 5.6% \$ 17,563 \$ 1,231 7.5% \$ 1986-87 \$ 59,728 \$ 4,219 7.6% \$ 50,831 \$ 3,622 7.7% \$ 63,814 \$ 4,486 7.6% \$ 36,661 \$ 2,775 7.9% \$ 63,814 \$ 4,486 7.6% \$ 17,563 \$ 1,231 7.5%	2002-03	\$ 12	24,136	\$ 3,316	2.7%	\$ 107,179	\$ 2,883	2.8%	\$ 131,841	\$	3,507	2.7%	\$	73,317	\$	2,041	2.9%	\$ 124,136	\$	3,316	2.7%		S	ee n	otes	
1999-00 \$ 113,840 \$ 4,126 3.8% \$ 99,242 \$ 3,571 3.8% \$ 120,940 \$ 4,376 3.8% \$ 67,042 \$ 2,472 3.8% \$ 113,840 \$ 4,126 3.8% \$ 31,299 \$ 1,133 3.8% 1998-99 \$ 109,714 \$ 5,457 5.2% \$ 94,671 \$ 4,718 5.2% \$ 116,564 \$ 5,792 5.2% \$ 64,570 \$ 3,249 5.3% \$ 109,714 \$ 5,457 5.2% \$ 30,166 \$ 1,499 5.2% 1997-98 \$ 104,257 \$ 5,076 5.1% \$ 89,953 \$ 4,393 5.1% \$ 110,772 \$ 5,383 5.1% \$ 64,570 \$ 3,249 5.3% \$ 109,714 \$ 5,457 5.2% \$ 30,166 \$ 1,499 5.2% 1996-97 \$ 99,181 \$ 5,438 5.8% \$ 85,550 \$ 4,699 5.8% 105,389 \$ 5,773 5.8% \$ 58,281 \$ 3,227 5.9% \$ 99,181 \$ 5,438 5.8% \$ 27,273 \$ 1,495 5.8% 1995-96 \$ 93,743 \$ 3,758 4.2% \$ 80,861 \$ 3,251 4.2% \$ 99,616 \$ 3,987 4.2% \$ 55,054 \$ 2,245 4.3% \$ 93,743 \$ 3,758 4.2% \$ 25,778 \$ 1,032 4.2% 1994-95 \$ 89,985 \$ 4,933 5.8% \$ 77,610 \$ 4,265 5.8% \$ 95,629 \$ 5,235 5.8% \$ 52,809 \$ 2,939 5.9% \$ 89,985 \$ 24,746 1993-94 \$ 85,052 \$ 3,272 4.0% \$ 73,345 \$ 2,829 4.0% \$ 90,394 \$ 3,473 4.0% \$ 49,870 \$ 1,947 4.1% \$ See notes \$ See notes \$ 1992-93 \$ 81,780 \$ 119 0.1% \$ 70,516 \$ 114 0.2% \$ 86,921 \$ 119 0.1% \$ 47,923 \$ 114 0.2% \$ 81,661 \$ 3,530 4.5% \$ 22,460 \$ 971 4.5% 1990-91 \$ 78,131 \$ 3,201 4.3% \$ 67,366 \$ 2,772 4.3% \$ 83,046 \$ 3,395 4.3% \$ 47,809 \$ 2,042 4.5% \$ 81,661 \$ 3,530 4.5% \$ 22,460 \$ 971 4.5% 1990-91 \$ 78,131 \$ 3,201 4.3% \$ 67,366 \$ 2,772 4.3% \$ 83,046 \$ 3,395 4.3% \$ 45,767 \$ 1,920 4.4% \$ 78,131 \$ 3,201 4.3% \$ 21,489 \$ 880 4.3% 1989-90 \$ 74,930 \$ 3,782 5.3% \$ 64,594 \$ 3,294 5.4% \$ 79,651 \$ 8,503 12.0% \$ 43,847 \$ 2,351 5.7% \$ 74,930 \$ 3,782 5.3% \$ 20,609 \$ 1,036 5.3% 1988-89 \$ 77,148 \$ 8,077 1 2.8% \$ 64,504 \$ 7,566 \$ 50,814 \$ 4,486 7.6% \$ 39,151 \$ 2,190 5.9% \$ 67,361 \$ 3,547 5.6% \$ 39,151 \$ 2,190 5.9% \$ 67,361 \$ 3,547 5.6% \$ 39,151 \$ 2,190 5.9% \$ 67,361 \$ 3,547 5.6% \$ 39,151 \$ 2,190 5.9% \$ 63,814 \$ 4,486 7.6% \$ 17,563 \$ 1,231 7.5%		\$ 12	20,820	\$ 3,618	3.1%	\$ 104,296	\$ 3,138	3.1%	\$ 128,334				\$, -	\$	2,195	3.2%	\$ 120,820	\$		3.1%	\$	33,215	\$		
1998-99 \$ 109,714 \$ 5,457 5.2% \$ 94,671 \$ 4,718 5.2% \$ 116,564 \$ 5,792 5.2% \$ 64,570 \$ 3,249 5.3% \$ 109,714 \$ 5,457 5.2% \$ 30,166 \$ 1,499 5.2% \$ 1997-98 \$ 104,257 \$ 5,076 5.1% \$ 89,953 \$ 4,393 5.1% \$ 110,772 \$ 5,383 5.1% \$ 61,321 \$ 3,040 5.2% \$ 104,257 \$ 5,076 5.1% \$ 28,667 \$ 1,394 5.1% \$ 1997-98 \$ 104,257 \$ 5,076 5.1% \$ 89,953 \$ 4,393 5.1% \$ 110,772 \$ 5,383 5.1% \$ 61,321 \$ 3,040 5.2% \$ 104,257 \$ 5,076 5.1% \$ 28,667 \$ 1,394 5.1% \$ 1997-98 \$ 104,257 \$ 5,378 \$ 2.8% \$ 27,273 \$ 1,495 5.8% \$ 195,389 \$ 5,773 \$ 1,895 \$ 3,227 5.9% \$ 99,181 \$ 5,438 5.8% \$ 27,273 \$ 1,495 5.8% \$ 195,968 \$ 3,774 \$ 3,758 4.2% \$ 80,861 \$ 3,251 4.2% \$ 99,616 \$ 3,987 4.2% \$ 55,054 \$ 2,245 4.3% \$ 99,818 \$ 5,438 5.8% \$ 25,778 \$ 1,032 4.2% \$ 1994-95 \$ 89,985 \$ 4,933 5.8% \$ 77,610 \$ 4,265 5.8% \$ 95,629 \$ 5,235 5.8% \$ 52,809 \$ 2,939 5.9% \$ 89,985 \$ -	2000-01	\$ 1	17,202	\$ 3,362	3.0%	\$ 101,158			\$ 124,502				\$,	\$	•	3.0%	\$ 117,202	2 \$			\$				
1997-98 \$ 104,257 \$ 5,076 \$5.1% \$ 89,953 \$ 4,393 \$5.1% \$ 110,772 \$ 5,383 \$5.1% \$ 61,321 \$ 3,040 \$5.2% \$ 104,257 \$ 5,076 \$5.1% \$ 28,667 \$ 1,394 \$5.1% \$ 1996-97 \$ 99,181 \$ 5,438 \$5.8% \$ 85,560 \$ 4,699 \$5.8% \$ 105,389 \$ 5,773 \$5.8% \$ 58,281 \$ 3,227 \$5.9% \$ 99,181 \$ 5,438 \$5.8% \$ 27,273 \$ 1,495 \$5.8% \$ 1996-96 \$ 93,743 \$ 3,758 \$4.2% \$ 80,861 \$ 3,251 \$4.2% \$ 99,616 \$ 3,987 \$4.2% \$ 55,054 \$ 2,245 \$4.3% \$ 93,743 \$ 3,758 \$4.2% \$ 25,778 \$ 1,032 \$4.2% \$ 1994-95 \$ 89,985 \$ 4,933 \$5.8% \$ 77,610 \$ 4,265 \$5.8% \$ 95,629 \$ 5,235 \$5.8% \$ 52,809 \$ 2,939 \$5.9% \$ 89,985 \$-	1999-00	\$ 11	13,840	, , .		\$ 98,242			\$ 120,940				\$	- ,-	\$,		\$ 113,840	\$, -		\$. ,	\$	1,133	
1996-97 \$ 99,181 \$ 5,438 5.8% \$ 85,560 \$ 4,699 5.8% \$ 105,389 \$ 5,773 5.8% \$ 58,281 \$ 3,227 5.9% \$ 99,181 \$ 5,438 5.8% \$ 27,273 \$ 1,495 5.8% \$ 1995-96 \$ 93,743 \$ 3,758 4.2% \$ 80,861 \$ 3,251 4.2% \$ 99,616 \$ 3,987 4.2% \$ 55,054 \$ 2,245 4.3% \$ 93,743 \$ 3,758 4.2% \$ 25,778 \$ 1,032 4.2% \$ 1994-95 \$ 89,985 \$ 4,933 5.8% \$ 77,610 \$ 4,265 5.8% \$ 95,629 \$ 5,235 5.8% \$ 52,809 \$ 2,939 5.9% \$ 89,985 \$ - \$ \$ 24,746 \$ - \$ 1993-94 \$ 85,052 \$ 3,272 4.0% \$ 73,345 \$ 2,829 4.0% \$ 90,394 \$ 3,473 4.0% \$ 49,870 \$ 1,947 4.1% \$ See notes \$ 1992-93 \$ 81,780 \$ 119 0.1% \$ 70,516 \$ 114 0.2% \$ 86,921 \$ 119 0.1% \$ 47,923 \$ 114 0.2% \$ 81,661 \$ - \$ 0.0% \$ 22,460 \$ - \$ 0.0% \$ 1991-92 \$ 81,661 \$ 3,530 4.5% \$ 70,402 \$ 3,036 4.5% \$ 86,802 \$ 3,756 4.5% \$ 47,809 \$ 2,042 4.5% \$ 81,661 \$ 3,530 4.5% \$ 22,460 \$ 971 4.5% \$ 1989-90 \$ 74,930 \$ 3,782 5.3% \$ 64,594 \$ 3,294 5.4% \$ 79,651 \$ 8,503 12.0% \$ 43,847 \$ 2,351 5.7% \$ 74,930 \$ 3,782 5.6% \$ 20,609 \$ 1,036 5.3% \$ 1988-89 \$ 71,148 \$ 8,077 12.8% \$ 61,300 \$ 7,586 14.1% \$ 71,148 \$ 3,787 5.6% \$ 3,9151 \$ 2,190 5.9% \$ 67,361 \$ 3,547 5.6% \$ 1986-87 \$ 59,728 \$ 4,219 7.6% \$ 50,831 \$ 3,622 7.7% \$ 63,814 \$ 4,486 7.6% \$ 36,961 \$ 2,715 7.9% \$ 63,814 \$ 4,486 7.6% \$ 17,563 \$ 1,231 7.5% \$ 1,231 7.5% \$ 1,248	1998-99	\$ 10	09,714	\$ 5,457	5.2%	\$ 94,671	\$ 4,718		\$ 116,564	\$	5,792		\$	64,570	\$	3,249		\$ 109,714	1 \$	5,457	5.2%	\$,			
1995-96 \$ 93,743 \$ 3,758 4.2% \$ 80,861 \$ 3,251 4.2% \$ 99,616 \$ 3,987 4.2% \$ 55,054 \$ 2,245 4.3% \$ 93,743 \$ 3,758 4.2% \$ 25,778 \$ 1,032 4.2% \$ 1994-95 \$ 89,985 \$ 4,933 5.8% \$ 77,610 \$ 4,265 5.8% \$ 95,629 \$ 5,235 5.8% \$ 52,809 \$ 2,939 5.9% \$ 89,985 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1997-98	\$ 10	04,257	\$ 5,076		\$ _			\$ -,				\$	- ,-	\$	•		, , ,		5,076		\$				
1994-95 \$ 89,985 \$ 4,933 \$ 5.8% \$ 77,610 \$ 4,265 \$ 5.8% \$ 95,629 \$ 5,235 \$ 5.8% \$ 52,809 \$ 2,939 \$ 5.9% \$ 89,985 \$ \$ 24,746 \$ 1993-94 \$ 85,052 \$ 3,272 \$ 4.0% \$ 73,345 \$ 2,829 \$ 4.0% \$ 90,394 \$ 3,473 \$ 4.0% \$ 49,870 \$ 1,947 \$ 4.1% \$ See notes \$ See notes \$ 1992-93 \$ 81,780 \$ 119 \$ 0.1% \$ 70,516 \$ 114 \$ 0.2% \$ 86,921 \$ 119 \$ 0.1% \$ 47,923 \$ 114 \$ 0.2% \$ 81,661 \$ - 0.0% \$ 22,460 \$ - 0.0% \$ 1991-92 \$ 81,661 \$ 3,530 \$ 4.5% \$ 70,402 \$ 3,036 \$ 4.5% \$ 86,802 \$ 3,756 \$ 4.5% \$ 47,809 \$ 2,042 \$ 4.5% \$ 81,661 \$ 3,530 \$ 4.5% \$ 22,460 \$ 971 \$ 4.5% \$ 1990-91 \$ 78,131 \$ 3,201 \$ 4.3% \$ 67,366 \$ 2,772 \$ 4.3% \$ 83,046 \$ 3,395 \$ 4.3% \$ 45,767 \$ 1,920 \$ 4.4% \$ 78,131 \$ 3,201 \$ 4.3% \$ 21,489 \$ 880 \$ 4.3% \$ 1989-90 \$ 74,930 \$ 3,782 \$ 5.3% \$ 64,594 \$ 3,294 \$ 5.4% \$ 79,651 \$ 8,503 \$ 12.0% \$ 43,847 \$ 2,351 \$ 5.7% \$ 74,930 \$ 3,782 \$ 5.3% \$ 20,609 \$ 1,036 \$ 5.3% \$ 1988-99 \$ 71,148 \$ 8,077 \$ 12.8% \$ 61,300 \$ 7,586 \$ 14.1% \$ 71,148 \$ 3,787 \$ 5.6% \$ 41,496 \$ 2,2345 \$ 6.0% \$ 71,148 \$ 3,547 \$ 5.6% \$ 19,573 \$ 1,038 \$ 5.6% \$ 1987-88 \$ 63,071 \$ 3,343 \$ 5.6% \$ 53,714 \$ 2,883 \$ 5.7% \$ 67,361 \$ 3,547 \$ 5.6% \$ 39,151 \$ 2,190 \$ 5.9% \$ 67,361 \$ 3,547 \$ 5.6% \$ 11,251 \$ 7.5% \$ 1986-87 \$ 59,728 \$ 4,219 \$ 7.6% \$ 50,831 \$ 3,622 \$ 7.7% \$ 63,814 \$ 4,486 \$ 7.6% \$ 36,961 \$ 2,715 \$ 7.9% \$ 63,814 \$ 4,486 \$ 7.6% \$ 17,563 \$ 1,231 \$ 7.5%	1996-97	\$ 9	99,181	,		\$ 85,560			\$ 105,389				\$, -	\$	-,		, .	_	-,		\$, -	\$	1,495	
1993-94 \$ 85,052 \$ 3,272 4.0% \$ 73,345 \$ 2,829 4.0% \$ 90,394 \$ 3,473 4.0% \$ 49,870 \$ 1,947 4.1% See notes See notes 1992-93 \$ 81,780 \$ 119 0.1% \$ 70,516 \$ 114 0.2% \$ 86,921 \$ 119 0.1% \$ 47,923 \$ 114 0.2% \$ 81,661 \$ - 0.0% \$ 22,460 \$ - 0.0% 1991-92 \$ 81,661 \$ 3,530 4.5% \$ 70,402 \$ 3,036 4.5% \$ 86,802 \$ 3,756 4.5% \$ 47,809 \$ 2,042 4.5% \$ 81,661 \$ 3,530 4.5% \$ 22,460 \$ 971 4.5% 1990-91 \$ 78,131 \$ 3,201 4.3% \$ 67,366 \$ 2,772 4.3% \$ 83,046 \$ 3,395 4.3% \$ 45,767 \$ 1,920 4.4% \$ 78,131 \$ 3,201 4.3% \$ 21,489 \$ 880 4.3% 1989-90 \$ 74,930 \$ 3,782 5.3% \$ 64,594 \$ 3,294 5.4% \$ 79,651 \$ 8,503 12.0% \$ 43,847 \$ 2,351 5.7% \$ 74,930 \$ 3,782 5.3% \$ 20,609 \$ 1,036 5.3% 1988-89 \$ 71,148 \$ 8,077 12.8% \$ 61,300 \$ 7,586 14.1% \$ 71,148 \$ 3,787 5.6% \$ 41,496 \$ 2,345 6.0% \$ 71,148 \$ 3,787 5.6% \$ 19,573 \$ 1,038 5.6% 1987-88 \$ 63,071 \$ 3,343 5.6% \$ 53,714 \$ 2,883 5.7% \$ 67,361 \$ 3,547 5.6% \$ 39,151 \$ 2,190 5.9% \$ 67,361 \$ 3,547 5.6% \$ 11,251 7.5% 1986-87 \$ 59,728 \$ 4,219 7.6% \$ 50,831 \$ 3,622 7.7% \$ 63,814 \$ 4,486 7.6% \$ 36,961 \$ 2,715 7.9% \$ 63,814 \$ 4,486 7.6% \$ 17,563 \$ 1,231 7.5% 1987-88 \$ 63,071 \$ 3,343 5.6% \$ 50,831 \$ 3,622 7.7% \$ 63,814 \$ 4,486 7.6% \$ 36,961 \$ 2,715 7.9% \$ 63,814 \$ 4,486 7.6% \$ 17,563 \$ 1,231 7.5% 1988-87 \$ 59,728 \$ 4,219 7.6% \$ 50,831 \$ 3,622 7.7% \$ 63,814 \$ 4,486 7.6% \$ 36,961 \$ 2,715 7.9% \$ 63,814 \$ 4,486 7.6% \$ 17,563 \$ 1,231 7.5% 1988-88 \$ 59,728 \$ 4,219 7.6% \$ 50,831 \$ 3,622 7.7% \$ 63,814 \$ 4,486 7.6% \$ 36,961 \$ 2,715 7.9% \$ 63,814 \$ 4,486 7.6% \$ 17,563 \$ 1,231 7.5% 1988-89 \$ 50,728 \$ 4,219 7.6% \$ 50,831 \$ 3,622 7.7% \$ 63,814 \$ 4,486 7.6% \$ 36,961 \$ 2,715 7.9% \$ 63,	1995-96	\$ 9	93,743	\$ 3,758	4.2%	\$ 80,861			\$ 99,616				\$,	\$	2,245				3,758	4.2%	\$	25,778	\$	1,032	4.2%
1992-93 \$ 81,780 \$ 119 0.1% \$ 70,516 \$ 114 0.2% \$ 86,921 \$ 119 0.1% \$ 47,923 \$ 114 0.2% \$ 81,661 \$ - 0.0% \$ 22,460 \$ - 0.0% 1991-92 \$ 81,661 \$ 3,530 4.5% \$ 70,402 \$ 3,036 4.5% \$ 86,802 \$ 3,756 4.5% \$ 47,809 \$ 2,042 4.5% \$ 81,661 \$ 3,530 4.5% \$ 22,460 \$ 971 4.5% 1990-91 \$ 78,131 \$ 3,201 4.3% \$ 67,366 \$ 2,772 4.3% \$ 83,046 \$ 3,395 4.3% \$ 45,767 \$ 1,920 4.4% \$ 78,131 \$ 3,201 4.3% \$ 21,489 \$ 880 4.3% 1989-90 \$ 74,930 \$ 3,782 5.3% \$ 64,594 \$ 3,294 5.4% \$ 79,651 \$ 8,503 12.0% \$ 43,847 \$ 2,351 5.7% \$ 74,930 \$ 3,782 5.6% \$ 20,609 \$ 1,036 5.3% 1987-98 \$ 63,071 \$ 3,343 5.6% \$ 53,714 \$ 2,883 5.7% \$ 67,361 \$ 3,547 5.6% \$ 39,151 \$ 2,190 5.9% \$ 67,361 \$ 3,587 5.6% \$ 11,231 7.5% 1986-87 \$ 59,728 \$ 4,219 7.6% \$ 50,831 \$ 3,622 7.7% \$ 63,814 \$ 4,486 7.6% \$ 36,961 \$ 2,715 7.9% \$ 63,814 \$ 4,486 7.6% \$ 17,563 \$ 1,231 7.5%			,			\$,			\$,				\$	- ,	\$			\$ 89,98		-	-	\$			-	-
1991-92 \$ 81,661 \$ 3,530 4.5% \$ 70,402 \$ 3,036 4.5% \$ 86,802 \$ 3,756 4.5% \$ 47,809 \$ 2,042 4.5% \$ 81,661 \$ 3,530 4.5% \$ 22,460 \$ 971 4.5% 1990-91 \$ 76,131 \$ 3,201 4.3% \$ 67,366 \$ 2,772 4.3% \$ 83,046 \$ 3,395 4.3% \$ 45,767 \$ 1,920 4.4% \$ 78,131 \$ 3,201 4.3% \$ 21,489 \$ 880 4.3% 1989-90 \$ 74,930 \$ 3,782 5.3% \$ 64,594 \$ 3,294 5.4% \$ 79,651 \$ 8,503 12.0% \$ 43,847 \$ 2,351 5.7% \$ 74,930 \$ 3,782 5.3% \$ 61,300 \$ 7,586 14.1% \$ 71,148 \$ 3,877 5.6% \$ 41,496 \$ 2,345 6.0% \$ 71,148 \$ 3,787 5.6% \$ 39,151 \$ 2,190 5.9% \$ 67,366 \$ 19,573 \$ 1,036 5.5% 1987-89 \$ 50,714 \$ 2,883 5.7% \$ 67,361 \$ 3,547 5.6% \$ 39,151	1993-94	\$ 8	85,052	,		\$ 73,345	, ,		\$,	\$	3,473		\$	-,	\$,-				notes		Ш.			otes	
1990-91 \$ 78,131 \$ 3,201 4.3% \$ 67,366 \$ 2,772 4.3% \$ 83,046 \$ 3,395 4.3% \$ 45,767 \$ 1,920 4.4% \$ 78,131 \$ 3,201 4.3% \$ 21,489 \$ 880 4.3% 1989-90 \$ 74,930 \$ 3,782 5.3% \$ 64,594 \$ 3,294 5.4% \$ 79,651 \$ 8,503 12.0% \$ 43,847 \$ 2,351 5.7% \$ 74,930 \$ 3,782 5.3% \$ 20,609 \$ 1,036 5.3% 1988-89 \$ 71,148 \$ 8,077 12.8% \$ 61,300 \$ 7,586 14.1% \$ 71,148 \$ 3,787 5.6% \$ 41,496 \$ 2,345 6.0% \$ 71,148 \$ 3,787 5.6% \$ 19,573 \$ 1,036 5.6% 1987-88 \$ 63,071 \$ 3,343 5.6% \$ 53,714 \$ 2,883 5.7% \$ 67,361 \$ 3,547 5.6% \$ 39,151 \$ 2,190 5.9% \$ 67,361 \$ 3,547 5.6% \$ 36,961 \$ 2,715 7.9% \$ 63,814 \$ 4,486 7.6% \$ 17,563 \$ 1,231	1992-93	\$ 8	81,780		0.1%	\$ 70,516	· · · ·		\$ 86,921				\$	47,923	\$		0.2%	\$ 81,66	1 \$	-		\$	22,460	\$	-	0.070
1989-90 \$ 74,930 \$ 3,782 5.3% \$ 64,594 \$ 3,294 5.4% \$ 79,651 \$ 8,503 12.0% \$ 43,847 \$ 2,351 5.7% \$ 74,930 \$ 3,782 5.3% \$ 20,609 \$ 1,036 5.3% 1988-89 \$ 71,148 \$ 8,077 12.8% \$ 61,300 \$ 7,586 14.1% \$ 71,148 \$ 3,787 5.6% \$ 41,496 \$ 2,345 6.0% \$ 71,148 \$ 3,787 5.6% \$ 19,573 \$ 1,036 5.6% 1987-88 \$ 63,071 \$ 3,343 5.6% \$ 53,714 \$ 2,883 5.7% \$ 67,361 \$ 3,547 5.6% \$ 39,151 \$ 2,190 5.9% \$ 67,361 \$ 3,547 5.6% \$ 18,535 \$ 972 5.5% 1986-87 \$ 59,728 \$ 4,219 7.6% \$ 50,831 \$ 3,622 7.7% \$ 63,814 \$ 4,486 7.6% \$ 36,961 \$ 2,715 7.9% \$ 63,814 \$ 4,486 7.6% \$ 17,563 \$ 1,231 7.5%	1991-92		. ,			\$			\$ 00,002				\$,	\$, , , , ,	_			\$,	\$		
1988-89 \$ 71,148 \$ 8,077 12.8% \$ 61,300 \$ 7,586 14.1% \$ 71,148 \$ 3,787 5.6% \$ 41,496 \$ 2,345 6.0% \$ 71,148 \$ 3,787 5.6% \$ 19,573 \$ 1,038 5.6% 1987-88 \$ 63,071 \$ 3,343 5.6% \$ 53,714 \$ 2,883 5.7% \$ 67,361 \$ 3,547 5.6% \$ 39,151 \$ 2,190 5.9% \$ 67,361 \$ 3,547 5.6% \$ 18,535 \$ 972 5.5% 1986-87 \$ 59,728 \$ 4,219 7.6% \$ 50,831 \$ 3,622 7.7% \$ 63,814 \$ 4,486 7.6% \$ 36,961 \$ 2,715 7.9% \$ 63,814 \$ 4,486 7.6% \$ 17,563 \$ 1,231 7.5%	1990-91	\$ 7	78,131	\$ 3,201		\$ 67,366	<u> </u>		\$ 83,046				\$	45,767	\$	•		\$ 78,13	1 \$			\$,	\$	880	
1987-88 \$ 63,071 \$ 3,343 5.6% \$ 53,714 \$ 2,883 5.7% \$ 67,361 \$ 3,547 5.6% \$ 67,361 \$ 3,547 5.6% \$ 18,535 \$ 972 5.5% 1986-87 \$ 59,728 \$ 4,219 7.6% \$ 50,831 \$ 3,622 7.7% \$ 63,814 \$ 4,486 7.6% \$ 2,715 7.9% \$ 63,814 \$ 4,486 7.6% \$ 17,563 \$ 1,231 7.5%		_		, .		\$ - ,			\$ -,				\$	-,-	\$				_			\$	-,	_		
1986-87 \$ 59,728 \$ 4,219 7.6% \$ 50,831 \$ 3,622 7.7% \$ 63,814 \$ 4,486 7.6% \$ 2,715 7.9% \$ 63,814 \$ 4,486 7.6% \$ 17,563 \$ 1,231 7.5%	1988-89	\$ 7	71,148	,-	12.8%	\$ 61,300			\$ 				\$,	\$	2,345		,				\$		\$		
	1987-88	\$ 6	63,071	\$ 3,343	5.6%	\$ 53,714	\$ 2,883		\$ 67,361	\$	3,547	5.6%	\$	39,151	\$	2,190	5.9%			3,547	5.6%	\$	18,535	\$	972	
1985-86 \$ 55.509 - - \$ 47.209 - - \$ 59.328 - - \$ 34.246 - - \$ 59.328 - - \$ 16.332 - -	1986-87		, .	\$ 4,219	7.6%	\$,	\$ 3,622	7.7%	\$ 63,814	\$	4,486	7.6%	\$,	\$	2,715	7.9%			4,486	7.6%	\$		\$	1,231	7.5%
	1985-86	\$!	55,509	-	-	\$ 47,209	-	-	\$ 59,328		-	-	\$	34,246		-	-	\$ 59,328	3	-	-	\$	16,332		-	-

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Putnam County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

	Clerk of Cir									,																
Fiscal		er & Tax Col			sor of Elec	tions			_	eriff					mmissio			School	Sup	erinten	dent		School I			
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	,	Salary	٠,	Chg.	% Chg.	S	alary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	•	Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.
2016-17	\$ 110,259	\$ 227	0.2%	\$ 110,259	\$ 18,295	19.9%	\$	119,222	\$	240	0.2%	\$	44,240	\$	126	0.3%	\$ ^	110,259	\$	227	0.2%	\$	30,071	\$	61	0.2%
2015-16	\$ 110,032	\$ 100	0.1%	\$ 91,965	\$ 81	0.1%	\$	118,982	\$	110	0.1%	\$	44,114	\$	28	0.1%	\$ '	110,032	\$	100	0.1%	\$	30,009	\$	27	0.1%
2014-15	\$ 109,933	\$ 150	0.1%	\$ 91,884	\$ 108	0.1%	\$	118,872	\$	174	0.1%	\$	44,087	44	(22)	0.0%	\$ `	109,933	\$	150	0.1%	44	29,982	\$	42	0.1%
2013-14	\$ 109,783	\$ 3,707	3.5%	\$ 91,776	\$ 3,072	3.5%	\$	118,698	\$	4,027	3.5%	\$	44,108	44	1,362	3.2%	\$ `	109,783	\$	3,707	3.5%	44	29,940	\$	1,013	3.5%
2012-13	\$ 106,076	\$ (80)	-0.1%	\$ 88,704	\$ (77)	-0.1%	\$	114,672	\$	(80)	-0.1%	\$	42,746	44	(77)	-0.2%	\$ `	06,076	\$	(80)	-0.1%	44	28,927	\$	(21)	-0.1%
2011-12	\$ 106,156	\$ (63)	-0.1%	\$ 88,781	\$ (60)	-0.1%	\$	114,752	\$	(63)	-0.1%	\$	42,822	\$	(60)	-0.1%	\$ 1	106,156	\$	(63)	-0.1%	\$	28,949	\$	(17)	-0.1%
2010-11	\$ 106,219	\$ (98)	-0.1%	\$ 88,840	\$ (94)	-0.1%	\$	114,815	\$	(98)	-0.1%	\$	42,882	\$	(94)	-0.2%	\$ '	106,219	\$	2,077	2.0%	\$	28,965		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 106,318	\$ 50	0.0%	\$ 88,934	•	0.1%	\$	114,913	\$	50	0.0%	\$,	\$	47	0.1%		104,143		See no		\$	28,991		See no	
2008-09	\$ 106,268	\$ 215	0.2%	\$,	\$ 192	0.2%		114,864		225	0.2%	\$,	\$	141	0.3%	\$ '	106,268	\$	215	0.2%	\$	28,978	\$	58	0.2%
2007-08	\$ 106,053	\$ 167	0.2%	\$,	\$ 159	0.2%	_	114,639	•	167	0.1%	\$,-	\$	159	0.4%		106,053	\$	167	0.2%	\$	28,920		-	-
2006-07	\$ 105,886	\$ 3,675	3.6%	\$,	\$ 3,088	3.6%	\$	114,472	\$	3,962	3.6%	\$	42,628	\$	1,553	3.8%	\$ ^	105,886	\$	3,675	3.6%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 102,211	\$ 4,365	4.5%	\$	\$ 3,684	4.5%	\$	110,510	\$	4,695	4.4%	\$	41,075	\$	1,919	4.9%		- /	\$	4,364	4.5%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 97,846	\$ 1,493	1.5%	\$ 	\$ 1,265	1.6%	\$,	•	1,602	1.5%	\$	39,156	\$	681	1.8%	\$	97,846		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 96,354	\$ 2,006	2.1%	\$ 80,499	, , , , , ,	2.1%	\$	- , -	\$	2,160	2.1%	\$,	\$	866	2.3%				notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 94,347	\$ 2,436	2.6%	\$ -,	\$ 2,045	2.7%	\$,	\$	2,627	2.6%	\$,	\$	1,020	2.8%	\$,	_	2,436	2.6%				otes	
2001-02	\$ 91,912	\$ 2,085	2.3%	\$ 76,764	\$ 1,678	2.2%	\$,	\$	2,300	2.4%	\$	36,588	\$	531	1.5%	\$,	_	2,085	2.3%	\$	- , -		573	2.3%
2000-01	\$ 89,827	\$ 2,762	3.2%	\$ 75,086	\$ 2,344	3.2%	\$	97,126	\$	2,961	3.1%	\$	36,057	\$	1,276	3.7%	\$	89,827	\$	2,762	3.2%	\$	24,498	\$	751	3.2%
1999-00	\$ 87,065	\$ 3,314	4.0%	\$ 72,742	\$ 2,798	4.0%	\$	94,165	\$	3,564	3.9%	\$	34,781	\$	1,462	4.4%	\$	87,065	\$	3,314	4.0%	\$,	\$	902	3.9%
1998-99	\$ 83,751	\$ 4,079	5.1%	\$ 69,944	\$ 3,406	5.1%	\$	90,601	\$	4,413	5.1%	\$	33,319	\$	1,618	5.1%	\$	83,751	\$	4,079	5.1%	\$	22,845	_	1,112	5.1%
1997-98	\$ 79,672	\$ 3,909	5.2%	\$ 66,538	, .	5.2%	\$,	\$	4,218	5.1%	\$	31,701	\$	1,635	5.4%	\$,	\$	3,909	5.2%	\$,		1,066	5.2%
1996-97	\$ 75,763	\$ 4,182	5.8%	\$,	\$ 3,503	5.9%	\$,	\$	4,516	5.8%	\$	30,066	\$	1,712	6.0%	\$	75,763	\$	4,182	5.8%	\$	20,667		1,139	5.8%
1995-96	\$ 71,581	\$ 3,021	4.4%	\$ 59,754	\$ 2,548	4.5%	\$, -	\$	3,250	4.4%	\$	28,354	\$	1,324	4.9%	\$,	\$	3,021	4.4%	\$	19,528	\$	823	4.4%
1994-95	\$ 68,560	\$ 3,646	5.6%	\$ 57,206	\$ 3,040	5.6%	\$		\$	3,948	5.6%	\$	27,030	\$	1,426	5.6%	\$	68,560		-	-	\$	18,705		-	-
1993-94	\$ 64,914	\$ 2,706	4.3%	\$ 	\$ 2,290	4.4%	\$		\$	2,907	4.3%	\$	25,604	\$	1,218	5.0%				notes					otes	
1992-93	\$ 62,208	\$ 144	0.2%	\$ 51,876		0.3%	\$	- ,	\$	143	0.2%	\$	24,386	\$	137	0.6%	\$	62,064	_	-	0.0%	\$	16,936		-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 62,064	\$ 3,064	5.2%	\$ - ,	\$ 2,594	5.3%	\$		\$	3,291	5.1%	\$	24,249	\$	1,383	6.0%	\$	- ,		3,064	5.2%	\$	-,	\$	833	5.2%
1990-91	\$ 59,000	\$ 2,624	4.7%	\$ -,	\$ 2,221	4.7%	\$,	\$	2,817	4.6%	\$	22,866	\$	1,186	5.5%	\$,	•	2,624	4.7%	\$	16,103	•	714	4.6%
1989-90	\$ 56,376	\$ 2,325	4.3%	\$ 46,924	\$ 1,907	4.2%	\$	- ,	\$	7,047	13.0%	\$	21,680	\$	759	3.6%	\$	00,0.0	\$	2,325	4.3%	\$	-,	\$	637	4.3%
1988-89	\$ 54,051	\$ 7,401	15.9%	\$ 45,017	\$ 6,942	18.2%	\$	- ,	\$	3,111	6.1%	\$	20,921	\$	1,495	7.7%	\$	54,051	\$	3,111	6.1%	\$, -	\$	845	6.1%
1987-88	\$ 46,650	\$ 2,419	5.5%	\$ 38,075	\$ 2,003	5.6%	\$,		2,623	5.4%	\$	19,426	\$	1,116	6.1%	\$	50,940	\$	2,623	5.4%	\$	-,	\$	714	5.4%
1986-87	\$ 44,231	\$ 3,076	7.5%	\$ 36,072	\$ 2,533	7.6%	\$	-,-	\$	3,343	7.4%	\$	18,310	\$	1,371	8.1%	\$	48,317	\$	3,343	7.4%	\$	-,	\$	911	7.4%
1985-86	\$ 41,155	-	-	\$ 33,539	-	-	\$	44,974		-	-	\$	16,939		-	-	\$	44,974		-	-	\$	12,282		-	-
ı																										

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes St. Johns County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

	Clerk of Circ					4=								_				_							
Fiscal		& Tax Coll				sor of Ele				Sheriff					mmissio		School	_				School			
Year	Salary		% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	,	Salary		Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ C	Chg.	% Chg.
	\$ 129,700		0.5%	•		\$ 19,58		_	,		0.4%	\$	71,291		498	0.7%	\$ 129,700	\$	604	0.5%	\$	35,807	\$	162	0.5%
2015-16		\$ 539	0.4%		110,121	\$ 499			138,046		0.4%	\$	70,794		455	0.6%	\$ 129,096	\$	539	0.4%	\$	35,645	\$	144	0.4%
2014-15	\$ 128,558	\$ 889	0.7%	\$	109,622	\$ 812		_	- , -	\$ 913	0.7%	\$	70,338	\$	1,039	1.5%	\$ 128,558	\$	889	0.7%	\$	35,501	\$	262	0.7%
2013-14	\$ 127,669	\$ 4,925	4.0%	\$	108,810	\$ 4,23		\$	136,584	\$ 5,245	4.0%	\$	69,299	\$	3,078	4.6%	\$ 127,669	\$	4,925	4.0%	\$	35,238	\$	1,374	4.1%
2012-13	\$ 122,744	7	0.2%	\$	104,578			\$	131,340		0.2%	\$	66,221	\$	518	0.8%	\$ 122,744	\$	302	0.2%	\$	33,865	\$	96	0.3%
2011-12	\$ 122,442		0.6%	\$	104,290	\$ 662	0.6%	\$	131,037		0.5%	\$	65,703	\$	1,191	1.8%	\$ 122,442	\$	695	0.6%	\$	33,769	\$	221	0.7%
2010-11	\$ 121,747	\$ 257	0.2%	\$	103,628	\$ 24	0.2%	\$	130,342	\$ 257	0.2%	\$	64,512	\$	441	0.7%	\$ 121,747	\$	3,450	2.9%	\$	33,548		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 121,490	\$ 779	0.6%	\$	103,384	\$ 742	0.7%	\$	130,085	\$ 779	0.6%	\$	64,071	\$	1,335	2.1%	\$ 118,296		See no	otes	\$	33,467		See no	tes
2008-09	\$ 120,711	\$ 1,060	0.9%	\$	102,642	\$ 99	1.0%	\$	129,306	\$ 1,070	0.8%	\$	62,736	\$	1,659	2.7%	\$ 120,711	\$	1,060	0.9%	\$	33,220	\$	331	1.0%
2007-08	\$ 119,650		0.7%	\$	101,645			\$	128,236	\$ 859	0.7%	\$	61,077	\$	1,474	2.5%	\$ 119,650	\$	859	0.7%	\$	32,889		-	-
2006-07	\$ 118,791	\$ 4,796	4.2%	\$	100,827	\$ 4,15	4.3%	\$	127,378	\$ 5,084	4.2%	\$	59,603	\$	3,406	6.1%	\$ 118,791	\$	4,797	4.2%	<u>ı </u>	N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 113,995	\$ 5,478	5.0%	\$	96,671	\$ 4,74	5.2%	\$	122,294	\$ 5,808	5.0%	\$	56,197	\$	3,854	7.4%	\$ 113,995	\$	5,478	5.0%	ı	N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 108,517	\$ 2,067	1.9%	\$	91,927	\$ 1,812	2.0%	\$	116,486	\$ 2,176	1.9%	\$	52,343	\$	1,711	3.4%	\$ 108,517		-		i	N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 106,450	\$ 2,602	2.5%	\$	90,115	\$ 2,25	2.6%	\$	114,310	\$ 2,756	2.5%	\$	50,632	\$	1,876	3.8%	00	See n	otes		ı	N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 103,848	\$ 3,096	3.1%	\$	87,858	\$ 2,67	3.1%	\$	111,554	\$ 3,288	3.0%	\$	48,756	\$	2,093	4.5%	\$ 103,848	\$	3,096	3.1%	<u>ı </u>	S	ee no	otes	
2001-02	\$ 100,752	\$ 3,715	3.8%	\$	85,184	\$ 3,23	3.9%	\$	108,266	\$ 3,929	3.8%	\$	46,663	\$	2,770	6.3%	\$ 100,752	\$	3,715	3.8%	\$	27,526	\$	1,052	4.0%
2000-01	\$ 97,037	\$ 3,013	3.2%	\$	81,953	\$ 2,58	3.3%	\$	104,337	\$ 3,213	3.2%	\$	43,893	\$	1,816	4.3%	\$ 97,037	\$	3,013	3.2%	\$	26,474	\$	838	3.3%
1999-00	\$ 94,024	\$ 3,648	4.0%	\$	79,370	\$ 3,110	4.1%	\$	101,124	\$ 3,898	4.0%	\$	42,077	\$	2,059	5.1%	\$ 94,024	\$	3,648	4.0%	\$	25,636	\$	1,010	4.1%
1998-99	\$ 90,376	\$ 4,755	5.6%	\$	76,254	\$ 4,05	5.6%	\$	97,226	\$ 5,090	5.5%	\$	40,018	\$	2,544	6.8%	\$ 90,376	\$	4,755	5.6%	\$	24,626	\$	1,311	5.6%
1997-98	\$ 85,621	\$ 4,519	5.6%	\$	72,204	\$ 3,86	5.7%	\$	92,136	\$ 4,826	5.5%	\$	37,474	\$	2,323	6.6%	\$ 85,621	\$	4,519	5.6%	\$	23,315	\$	1,234	5.6%
1996-97	\$ 81,102	\$ 4,979	6.5%	\$	68,342	\$ 4,26	6.7%	\$	87,310	\$ 5,314	6.5%	\$	35,151	\$	2,471	7.6%	\$ 81,102	\$	4,979	6.5%	\$	22,081	\$	1,351	6.5%
1995-96	\$ 76,123	\$ 3,572	4.9%	\$	64,080	\$ 3,07	5.0%	\$	81,996	\$ 3,801	4.9%	\$	32,680	\$	1,849	6.0%	\$ 76,123	\$	3,572	4.9%	\$	20,730	\$	968	4.9%
1994-95	\$ 72,551	\$ 4,325	6.3%	\$	61,007	\$ 3,68	6.4%	\$	78,195	\$ 4,627	6.3%	\$	30,831	\$	2,073	7.2%	\$ 72,551		-	-	\$	19,762		-	-
1993-94	\$ 68,226	\$ 2,915	4.5%	\$	57,320	\$ 2,48	4.5%	\$	73,568	\$ 3,116	4.4%	\$	28,758	\$	1,417	5.2%	9	See n	otes		i	S	ee no	otes	
1992-93	\$ 65,311	\$ 353	0.5%	\$	54,831	\$ 330	0.6%	\$	70,452	\$ 353	0.5%	\$	27,341	\$	336	1.2%	\$ 64,958	\$		0.0%	\$	17,702	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 64,958	\$ 2,779	4.5%	\$	54,495	\$ 2,32	4.5%	\$	70,099	\$ 3,004	4.5%	\$	27,005	\$	1,111	4.3%	\$ 64,958	\$	2,779	4.5%	\$	17,702	\$	758	4.5%
1990-91	\$ 62,179	\$ 3,032	5.1%	\$	52,173	\$ 2,61	5.3%	\$	67,095	\$ 3,226	5.1%	\$	25,894	\$	1,575	6.5%	\$ 62,179	\$	3,032	5.1%	\$	16,944	\$	821	5.1%
1989-90	\$ 59,147	\$ 3,385	6.1%	\$	49,563	\$ 2,91	6.3%	\$	63,869	\$ 8,107	14.5%	\$	24,319	\$	1,768	7.8%	\$ 59,147	\$	3,385	6.1%	\$	16,123	\$	918	6.0%
1988-89	\$ 55,762	\$ 7,231	14.9%	\$	46,647	\$ 6,78	17.0%	\$	55,762	\$ 2,941	5.6%	\$	22,551	\$	1,333	6.3%	\$ 55,762	\$	2,941	5.6%	\$	15,205	\$	800	5.6%
1987-88	\$ 48,531	\$ 2,829	6.2%	\$	39,866	\$ 2,39	6.4%	\$	52,821	\$ 3,033	6.1%	\$	21,218	\$	1,507	7.6%	\$ 52,821	\$	3,033	6.1%	\$	14,405	\$	823	6.1%
1986-87	\$ 45,702	\$ 3,527	8.4%	\$	37,473	\$ 2,96	8.6%	\$	49,788	\$ 3,794	8.2%	\$	19,711	\$	1,800	10.0%	\$ 49,788	\$	3,794	8.2%	\$	13,582	\$	1,030	8.2%
1985-86	\$ 42,175	-		\$	34,511	-	-	\$	45,994	-	-	\$	17,911			-	\$ 45,994		-	-	\$	12,552		-	-
							•		-				-												

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes St. Lucie County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

	Clerk of Circ																					
Fiscal		r & Tax Col				sor of Elec				Sheriff				mmissio			 perinten		School I			
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	•	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salar	/	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	•	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	 Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.
2016-17	, , , , , ,	\$ 532	0.4%	_		\$ 19,748		\$ 143,6			0.4%	\$	76,041	428	0.6%	\$ 134,687	\$ 532	0.4%	\$ 37,126	\$	143	0.4%
2015-16	\$ 134,156	\$ 261	0.2%	\$	114,939	\$ 234	0.2%	\$ 143,1	05		0.2%	\$	75,612	\$ 190	0.3%	\$ 134,156	\$ 261	0.2%	\$ 36,983	\$	71	0.2%
2014-15	\$ 133,895	\$ 416	0.3%	\$	114,705	\$ 361	0.3%	\$ 142,8	35		0.3%	\$	75,422	\$ 255	0.3%	\$ 133,895	\$ 416	0.3%	\$ 36,912	\$	114	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 133,480	\$ 4,830	3.8%	\$	114,344	\$ 4,142	3.8%	\$ 142,3	95	\$ 5,150	3.8%	\$	75,167	\$ 2,736	3.8%	\$ 133,480	\$ 4,830	3.8%	\$ 36,798	\$	1,331	3.8%
2012-13	\$ 128,650		0.1%	\$	110,203	•	0.1%	\$ 137,2	245		0.1%	\$	72,431	\$ 117	0.2%	\$ 128,650	\$ 123	0.1%	\$ 35,467	\$	33	0.1%
2011-12	\$ 128,527	\$ 318	0.2%	\$	110,086	\$ 302	0.3%	\$ 137,1	22	\$ 318	0.2%	44	72,314	\$ 302	0.4%	\$ 128,527	\$ 318	0.2%	\$ 35,435	\$	84	0.2%
2010-11	\$ 128,209		-0.2%	\$	109,783	\$ (228		\$ 136,8	805		-0.2%	\$	72,011	\$ (228)	-0.3%	\$ 128,209	\$ 2,622	2.1%	\$ 35,351		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 128,449	\$ 299	0.2%	\$	110,012	\$ 285	0.3%	\$ 137,0	145	\$ 299	0.2%	44	72,240	\$ 284	0.4%	\$ 125,587	See no	otes	\$ 35,414		See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 128,150	\$ 955	0.8%	\$	109,727	\$ 896	0.8%	\$ 136,7	'46		0.7%	\$	71,955	\$ 855	1.2%	\$ 128,150	\$ 955	0.8%	\$ 35,335	\$	254	0.7%
2007-08	\$ 127,195	, , .	1.0%	\$	108,831	\$ 1,181	1.1%	\$ 135,7	' 81	\$ 1,240	0.9%	\$	71,101	\$ 1,181	1.7%	\$ 127,195	\$ 1,240	1.0%	\$ 35,081	ш_	-	-
2006-07	\$ 125,955		4.2%	\$	107,650	\$ 4,420	4.3%	\$ 134,5	41	\$ 5,359	4.1%	\$	69,919	\$ 3,157	4.7%	\$ 125,955	\$ 5,073	4.2%	N/A	ш_	-	-
2005-06	\$ 120,882	\$ 5,662	4.9%	\$	103,230	\$ 4,920	5.0%	\$ 129,1	82	\$ 5,993	4.9%	\$	66,762	\$ 3,470	5.5%	\$ 120,882	\$ 5,663	4.9%	N/A		-	-
2004-05		\$ 2,083	1.8%	\$,	\$ 1,827	1.9%	\$ 123,1	89	\$ 2,192	1.8%	\$	63,292	\$ 1,347	2.2%	\$ 115,220	-	-	N/A	ш_	-	-
	, .	\$ 2,580	2.3%	\$	96,483	\$ 2,236	2.4%	\$ 120,9	-	\$ 2,734	2.3%	\$	61,945	\$ 1,688	2.8%		notes		N/A	ш_	-	-
2002-03	\$ 110,557	\$ 3,271	3.0%	\$	94,247	\$ 2,841	3.1%	\$ 118,2		,	3.0%	\$	60,257	\$ 2,393	4.1%	\$ 110,557	\$ 3,271	3.0%			otes	
2001-02	\$ 107,286	\$ 3,591	3.5%	\$	91,406	\$ 3,112	3.5%	\$ 114,8		\$ 3,806	3.4%	\$	57,864	\$ 2,558	4.6%	\$ 107,286	\$ 3,591	3.5%	\$ 29,599	•	1,012	3.5%
2000-01	\$ 103,695	\$ 3,163	3.1%	\$	88,294	\$ 2,726	3.2%	\$ 110,9	94	\$ 3,362	3.1%	\$	55,306	\$ 2,073	3.9%	\$ 103,695	\$ 3,163	3.1%	\$ 28,587	\$	886	3.2%
1999-00	\$ 100,532	,	4.0%	\$	85,568	\$ 3,347	4.1%	\$ 107,6	32	\$ 4,141	4.0%	\$	53,233	\$ 2,474	4.9%	\$ 100,532	\$ 3,891	4.0%	\$ 27,701	\$	1,087	4.1%
1998-99	\$ 96,641	\$ 5,015	5.5%	\$	82,221	\$ 4,298	5.5%	\$ 103,4	_	\$ 5,350	5.5%	\$	50,759	\$ 2,991	6.3%	\$ 96,641	\$ 5,015	5.5%	\$ 26,614	_	1,393	5.5%
1997-98	\$ 91,626	\$ 4,664	5.4%	\$	77,923	\$ 4,000	5.4%	\$ 98,1	41	\$ 4,972	5.3%	\$	47,768	\$ 2,829	6.3%	\$ 91,626	\$ 4,664	5.4%	\$ 25,221	•	1,298	5.4%
1996-97	\$ 86,962	\$ 5,012	6.1%	\$	73,923	\$ 4,293	6.2%	\$ 93,1	69	\$ 5,346	6.1%	\$	44,939	\$ 2,973	7.1%	\$ 86,962	\$ 5,012	6.1%	\$,	•	1,392	6.2%
1995-96	\$ 81,950	\$ 3,450	4.4%	\$	69,630	\$ 2,958	4.4%	\$ 87,8	323	\$ 3,679	4.4%	\$	41,966	\$ 2,073	5.2%	\$ 81,950	\$ 3,450	4.4%	\$,	\$	960	4.5%
1994-95	\$ 78,500	\$ 4,482	6.1%	\$	66,672	\$ 3,836	6.1%	\$ 84,1		\$ 4,784	6.0%	\$	39,893	\$ 2,620	7.0%	\$ 78,500	-	-	\$ 21,571	ш_	-	-
1993-94		\$ 3,024	4.3%	\$	62,836	\$ 2,592	4.3%	\$ 79,3		\$ 3,224	4.2%	\$	37,273	\$ 1,820	5.1%		notes				otes	
1992-93	+,	\$ 317	0.4%	\$	60,244	\$ 303	0.5%	\$ 76,1	36	\$ 318	0.4%	\$	35,453	\$ 545	1.6%	\$ 70,677	\$ -	0.0%	\$ 19,386	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	,.	\$ 3,541	5.3%	\$	59,941	\$ 3,047	5.4%	\$ 75,8	318	\$ 3,767	5.2%	\$	34,908	\$ 2,270	7.0%	\$ 70,677	\$ 3,541	5.3%	\$ -,	\$	990	5.4%
1990-91	\$ 67,136	\$ 3,112	4.9%	\$	56,894	\$ 2,687	5.0%	\$ 72,0		\$ 3,306	4.8%	\$	32,638	\$ 2,087	6.8%	\$ 67,136	\$ 3,112	4.9%	\$ 18,396	\$	873	5.0%
1989-90	+,	\$ 3,301	5.4%	\$	54,207	\$ 2,835	5.5%	\$ 68,7		\$ 8,022	13.2%	\$	30,551	\$ 2,057	7.2%	\$ 64,024	\$ 3,301	5.4%	\$,	\$	920	5.5%
1988-89	\$ 60,723	\$ 7,567	14.2%	\$	51,372	\$ 7,101	16.0%	\$ 60,7	23	\$ 3,276	5.7%	\$	28,494	\$ 1,985	7.5%	\$ 60,723	\$ 3,276	5.7%	\$ 16,603	\$	912	5.8%
1987-88	\$ 53,156	\$ 2,803	5.6%	\$	44,271	\$ 2,368	5.7%	\$ 57,4	47	\$ 3,008	5.5%	\$	26,509	\$ 1,736	7.0%	\$ 57,447	\$ 3,008	5.5%	\$ 15,691	\$	833	5.6%
1986-87	\$ 50,353	\$ 3,537	7.6%	\$	41,903	\$ 2,972	7.6%	\$ 54,4	139	\$ 3,804	7.5%	\$	24,773	\$ 2,036	9.0%	\$ 54,439	\$ 3,804	7.5%	\$ 14,858	\$	1,049	7.6%
1985-86	\$ 46,816	-	-	\$	38,931	-	-	\$ 50,6	35	•	-	\$	22,737	-	-	\$ 50,635	-	-	\$ 13,809		-	-
	-																					

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Santa Rosa County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

	Clerk of Circ	uit Court, I	Property																		
Fiscal	Appraise	& Tax Col	lector	S	upervi	sor of Elect	ions		Sheriff		County	Cor	nmissio	ners	School	Superinte	ndent	School	Board	l Memb	ers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Sal	ary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ C	hg.	% Chg.
2016-17	\$ 124,635	\$ 537	0.4%	\$ 12	4,635	\$ 19,275	18.3%	\$ 133,598	\$ 550	0.4%	\$ 63,302	\$	697	1.1%	\$ 124,635	\$ 537	0.4%	\$ 34,248	\$	163	0.5%
2015-16	\$ 124,098	\$ 413	0.3%	\$ 10	5,360	\$ 379	0.4%	\$ 133,047	\$ 423	0.3%	\$ 62,606	\$	542	0.9%	\$ 124,098	\$ 413	0.3%	\$ 34,085	\$	125	0.4%
2014-15	\$ 123,685	\$ 550	0.4%	\$ 10	4,981	\$ 489	0.5%	\$ 132,624	\$ 574	0.4%	\$ 62,064	\$	536	0.9%	\$ 123,685	\$ 550	0.4%	\$ 33,960	\$	160	0.5%
2013-14	\$ 123,135	\$ 4,469	3.8%	\$ 10	4,492	\$ 3,798	3.8%	\$ 132,050	\$ 4,789	3.8%	\$ 61,527	\$	2,297	3.9%	\$ 123,135	\$ 4,469	3.8%	\$ 33,800	\$ 1	1,229	3.8%
2012-13	\$ 118,666	\$ 379	0.3%	\$ 10	0,695	\$ 361	0.4%	\$ 127,262	\$ 379	0.3%	\$ 59,231	\$	650	1.1%	\$ 118,666	\$ 379	0.3%	\$ 32,571	\$	120	0.4%
2011-12	\$ 118,287	\$ 738	0.6%	\$ 10	0,333	\$ 702	0.7%	\$ 126,883	\$ 738	0.6%	\$ 58,581	\$	1,264	2.2%	\$ 118,287	\$ 738	0.6%	\$ 32,450	\$	234	0.7%
2010-11	\$ 117,549	\$ 40	0.0%	\$ 9	9,631	\$ 38	0.0%	\$ 126,145	\$ 40	0.0%	\$ 57,316	\$	69	0.1%	\$ 117,549	\$ 2,601	2.3%	\$ 32,216	,	See no	tes
2009-10	\$ 117,509	\$ 215	0.2%	\$ 9	9,593	\$ 204	0.2%	\$ 126,105	\$ 215	0.2%	\$ 57,248	\$	367	0.6%	\$ 114,949	See	notes	\$ 32,204	,	See no	tes
2008-09	\$ 117,295		0.2%	\$ 9	9,388		0.2%	\$ 125,890		0.2%	\$ 56,881	\$	194	0.3%	\$ 117,295	\$ 206		\$ 32,136	\$	60	0.2%
2007-08	\$ 117,089	\$ 534	0.5%	\$ 9	9,206	\$ 508	0.5%	\$ 125,675		0.4%	\$ 56,686	\$	917	1.6%	\$ 117,089	\$ 534		\$ 32,076		-	-
2006-07	\$ 116,555	\$ 4,180	3.7%	\$ 9	8,698	\$ 3,570	3.8%	\$ 125,142	\$ 4,468	3.7%	\$ 55,770	\$	2,349	4.4%	\$ 116,555	\$ 4,180	3.7%	N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 112,375	\$ 4,950	4.6%	\$ 9	5,128	\$ 4,241	4.7%	\$ 120,674	\$ 5,280	4.6%	\$ 53,421	\$	2,950	5.8%	\$ 112,375	\$ 4,950	4.6%	N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 107,425	\$ 1,859	1.8%	\$ 9	0,887	\$ 1,614	1.8%	\$ 115,394	\$ 1,968	1.7%	\$ 50,471	\$	1,354	2.8%	\$ 107,425	-	-	N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 105,566	\$ 2,415	2.3%	\$ 8	9,273	\$ 2,079	2.4%	\$ 113,426		2.3%	\$ 49,117	\$	1,555	3.3%		ee notes		N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 103,151	\$ 2,906	2.9%	\$ 8	7,194	\$ 2,493	2.9%	\$ 110,857	,	2.9%	\$ 47,562	\$	1,767	3.9%	\$ 103,151	\$ 2,906	2.9%		ee no	tes	
2001-02	\$ 100,246	\$ 3,329	3.4%		4,701	\$ 2,862	3.5%	\$ 107,760	\$ 3,543	3.4%	\$ 45,795	\$	2,107	4.8%	\$ 100,246	\$ 3,329	3.4%	\$ 27,365	\$	929	3.5%
2000-01	\$ 96,917	\$ 3,078	3.3%	\$ 8	1,839	\$ 2,645	3.3%	\$ 104,217	\$ 3,278	3.2%	\$ 43,688	\$	1,927	4.6%	\$ 96,917	\$ 3,078	3.3%	\$ 26,436	\$	859	3.4%
1999-00	\$ 93,839	\$ 3,773	4.2%	\$ 7	9,194	\$ 3,236	4.3%	\$ 100,939	\$ 4,023	4.2%	\$ 41,761	\$	2,275	5.8%	\$ 93,839	\$ 3,773		\$,	_	1,049	4.3%
1998-99	\$ 90,066	\$ 4,881	5.7%	\$ 7	5,958	\$ 4,169	5.8%	\$ 96,916	\$ 5,215	5.7%	\$ 39,486	\$	2,534	6.9%	\$ 90,066	\$ 4,881	5.7%	\$ 24,528		1,336	5.8%
1997-98	\$ 85,185	, , -	5.5%	\$ 7	1,789	\$ 3,819	5.6%	\$ 91,701	\$ 4,781	5.5%	\$ 36,952	\$	2,172	6.2%	\$ 85,185	\$ 4,473		\$ 23,192		1,214	5.5%
1996-97	\$ 80,712	, ,	6.3%	\$ 6	7,970	\$ 4,049	6.3%	\$ 86,920	\$ 5,091	6.2%	\$ 34,780	\$	2,259	6.9%	\$ 80,712	\$ 4,756		\$ 21,978	\$ 1	1,292	6.2%
1995-96	\$ 75,956	\$ 3,563	4.9%	\$ 6	3,921	\$ 3,065	5.0%	\$ 81,829	\$ 3,793	4.9%	\$ 32,521	\$	1,841	6.0%	\$ 75,956	\$ 3,563	4.9%	\$ 20,686	\$	966	4.9%
1994-95	+,	\$ 4,235	6.2%		0,856	\$ 3,601	6.3%	\$ 78,036	\$ 4,536	6.2%	\$ 30,680	\$	1,987	6.9%	\$ 72,393	-	-	\$ 19,720		-	-
1993-94	\$ 68,158	\$ 3,184	4.9%	\$ 5	7,255	\$ 2,745	5.0%	\$ 73,500	\$ 3,385	4.8%	\$ 28,693	\$	1,673	6.2%		ee notes			ee no	tes	
1992-93	\$ 64,974		0.6%	\$ 5	4,510	\$ 341	0.6%	\$ 70,115		0.5%	\$ 27,020	\$	342	1.3%	\$ 64,615		0.0%	\$ 17,612	_	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 64,615	, ,	7.8%		4,169	\$ 4,104	8.2%	\$ 69,757	\$ 4,876	7.5%	\$ 26,678	\$	2,892	12.2%	\$ 64,615	\$ 4,649		\$ 17,612		1,254	7.7%
1990-91	\$ 59,966	\$ 2,687	4.7%	\$ 5	0,065	\$ 2,281	4.8%	\$ 64,881	\$ 2,880	4.6%	\$ 23,786	\$	1,246	5.5%	\$ 59,966	\$ 2,687	4.7%	\$ 16,358	\$	730	4.7%
1989-90	\$ 57,279	\$ 2,722	5.0%	\$ 4	7,784	\$ 2,284	5.0%	\$ 62,001	\$ 7,444	13.6%	\$ 22,540	\$	1,137	5.3%	\$ 57,279	\$ 2,722	5.0%	\$ 15,628	\$	742	5.0%
1988-89	\$ 54,557	\$ 7,078	14.9%	\$ 4	5,500	\$ 6,635	17.1%	\$ 54,557	\$ 2,787	5.4%	\$ 21,403	\$	1,187	5.9%	\$ 54,557	\$ 2,787	5.4%	\$ 14,886	\$	759	5.4%
1987-88	+,	\$ 2,445	5.4%	_	8,865	\$ 2,027	5.5%	\$ 51,770	\$ 2,649	5.4%	\$ 20,216	\$	1,140	6.0%	\$ 51,770	\$ 2,649		\$ 14,127		721	5.4%
1986-87	\$ 45,034	\$ 3,122	7.4%		6,838	\$ 2,578	7.5%	,	\$ 3,390	7.4%	\$ 19,076	\$	1,416	8.0%	\$ 49,121	\$ 3,390	7.4%	\$ 13,406	\$	924	7.4%
1985-86	\$ 41,912	-	-	\$ 3	4,260	-	-	\$ 45,731	-	-	\$ 17,660		-	-	\$ 45,731	-	-	\$ 12,482		<u>- I</u>	-

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Sarasota County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

2016-17 \$ 141,701 \$ 543 0.4% \$ 141,701 \$ 20,093 16.5% \$ 150,664 \$ 557 0.4% \$ 82,721 \$ 440 0.5% \$ 141,701 \$ 543 0.4% \$ 38,980 \$ 466 0.2015-16 \$ 141,185 \$ 200 0.2% \$ 121,008 \$ 253 0.2% \$ 150,107 \$ 290 0.2% \$ 82,281 \$ 209 0.3% \$ 141,701 \$ 543 0.4% \$ 38,980 \$ 466 0.2015-16 \$ 140,878 \$ 490 0.3% \$ 121,355 \$ 432 0.4% \$ 150,4981 \$ 251 0.2% \$ 150,4981 \$ 251 0.2% \$ 150,4981 \$ 251 0.2% \$ 140,878 \$ 400 0.3% \$ 121,355 \$ 432 0.4% \$ 140,997 \$ 514 0.3% \$ 82,072 \$ 326 0.4% \$ 140,878 \$ 400 0.3% \$ 38,959 \$ 140,878 \$ 140,878 \$ 400 0.3% \$ 38,959 \$ 140,878 \$ 140,878 \$ 400 0.3% \$ 121,355 \$ 432 0.4% \$ 140,903 \$ 5,506 0.8% \$ 81,746 \$ 3,076 3.9% \$ 140,878 \$ 400 0.3% \$ 38,855 \$ 140,878 \$ 400 0.3% \$ 121,001 \$ 2010-14 \$ 140,878 \$ 1		CI	lerk of C	ircuit	t Court, I	Property																							
2016-17 \$ 141,701 \$ 5.43 0.4% \$ 141,701 \$ 20,093 16.5% \$ 150,664 \$.557 0.4% \$ 82,721 \$.440 0.5% \$ 141,1701 \$.543 0.4% \$ 38,990 \$.146 0.2015-16 \$ 141,1518 \$.280 0.2% \$.212,1081 \$.253 0.2% \$.150,007 \$.290 0.2% \$.82,281 \$.209 0.3% \$.141,1701 \$.543 0.4% \$.38,990 \$.76 0.2014-15 \$.140,878 \$.490 0.3% \$.121,355 \$.432 0.4% \$.149,917 \$.714 0.3% \$.82,072 \$.326 0.4% \$.140,878 \$.490 0.3% \$.38,835 \$.76 0.2013-14 \$.140,878 \$.490 0.3% \$.121,355 \$.432 0.4% \$.149,917 \$.714 0.3% \$.82,072 \$.326 0.4% \$.140,878 \$.490 0.3% \$.38,835 \$.76 0.2013-14 \$.140,878 \$.140,878 \$.490 0.3% \$.141,918 \$.2003 \$.4481 3.8% \$.149,903 \$.5,506 3.8% \$.81,746 \$.30,76 3.9% \$.140,387 \$.5186 3.8% \$.38,625 \$.1,425 3.2011-12 \$.135,080 \$.636) 0.5% \$.116,327 \$.606) 0.5% \$.143,977 \$.143,977 \$.143,977 \$.143,977 \$.143,977 \$.144,143,143,144,144,144,144,144,144,144,	Fiscal		Apprais			lector		Supervi	sor of Ele	ctions	ı		Sh	eriff			County	Со	mmissio	ners	School	Sup	erinten	dent	i	School I	Boar	d Memi	bers
2015-16 \$ 141,158 \$ 280 0.2% \$ 121,608 \$ 253 0.2% \$ 150,107 \$ 290 0.2% \$ 82,281 \$ 209 0.3% \$ 141,158 \$ 280 0.2% \$ 38,834 \$ 76 0.2014-15 \$ 140,387 \$ 5,186 3.8% \$ 120,923 \$ 4,481 3.8% \$ 149,817 \$ 5,516 3.8% \$ 82,772 \$ 326 0.4% \$ 140,878 \$ 490 0.3% \$ 38,759 \$ 1.44,525 3.2012-13 \$ 135,201 \$ 121 0.1% \$ 116,442 \$ 115 0.1% \$ 143,797 \$ 121 0.1% \$ 78,670 \$ 115 0.1% \$ 135,201 \$ 121 0.1% \$ 37,200 \$ 32 0.2% \$ 38,834 \$ 76 0.2012-13 \$ 135,201 \$ 121 0.1% \$ 116,442 \$ 115 0.1% \$ 143,797 \$ 121 0.1% \$ 78,670 \$ 115 0.1% \$ 135,201 \$ 121 0.1% \$ 37,200 \$ 32 0.2% \$ 116,442 \$ 115 0.1% \$ 143,797 \$ 121 0.1% \$ 78,670 \$ 115 0.1% \$ 135,201 \$ 121 0.1% \$ 37,200 \$ 32 0.2% \$ 116,323 \$ (263) 0.2% \$ 144,343 \$ (276) 0.2% \$ 79,611 \$ (263) 0.3% \$ 135,707 \$ 2,833 2.1% \$ 37,336 \$ 860 0.2% \$ 144,589 \$ 397 0.3% \$ 79,425 \$ 378 0.5% \$ 135,884 \$ 860 0.5% \$ 37,336 \$ 860 0.5% \$ 37,336 \$ 860 0.5% \$ 37,304 \$ 800 0.5% \$ 37,	Year		Salary	4	Chg.	% Chg.	-	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	97	Chg.	% Chg.	•	Salary	٠,	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.
2014-15 \$ 140,878 \$ 490 0.3% \$ 121,355 \$ 432 0.4% \$ 149,817 \$ 514 0.3% \$ 82,072 \$ 326 0.4% \$ 140,878 \$ 490 0.3% \$ 38,759 \$ 134 0.2013-14 \$ 140,387 \$ 5,186 3.8% \$ 120,923 \$ 4,481 3.8% \$ 149,303 \$ 5,506 3.8% \$ 81,746 \$ 3,076 3.9% \$ 140,387 \$ 5,186 3.8% \$ 38,625 \$ 1,425 3.20 \$ 2012-13 \$ 135,201 \$ 121 0.1% \$ 116,442 \$ 115 0.1% \$ 116,442 \$ 115 0.1% \$ 116,442 \$ 115 0.1% \$ 143,797 \$ 121 0.1% \$ 78,670 \$ 115 0.1% \$ 135,001 \$ 121 0.1% \$ 37,200 \$ 32 0.20 \$ 121 0.1% \$ 135,001 \$ 121 0.1% \$ 335,001 \$ 121 0.1% \$ 37,200 \$ 32 0.20 \$ 121 0.1% \$ 315,001 \$ 121 0.1% \$ 315,001 \$ 121 0.1% \$ 315,001 \$ 121 0.1% \$ 315,001 \$ 121 0.1% \$ 315,001 \$ 121 0.1% \$ 315,001 \$ 121 0.1% \$ 315,001 \$ 121 0.1% \$ 315,001 \$ 121 0.1% \$ 315,001 \$ 121 0.1% \$ 37,001 \$ 37,000 \$ 32 0.00 \$ 135,001 \$ 121 0.1% \$ 37,000 \$	2016-17	\$	141,701	\$	543	0.4%	\$	141,701	\$ 20,09	16.5%	\$	150,664	\$	557	0.4%	\$	82,721	\$	440	0.5%	\$ 141,701	\$	543	0.4%	\$	38,980	\$	146	0.4%
2013-14 \$ 140,387 \$ 5,186 3.8% \$ 120,923 \$ 4,481 3.8% \$ 149,303 \$ 5,506 3.8% \$ 81,746 \$ 3,076 3.9% \$ 140,387 \$ 5,186 3.8% \$ 38,625 \$ 1,425 3.2012-13 \$ 135,201 \$ 121 0.1% \$ 116,442 \$ 115 0.1% \$ 143,767 \$ 121 0.1% \$ 78,670 \$ 115 0.1% \$ 135,201 \$ 121 0.1% \$ 37,200 \$ 32 0.2011-12 \$ 135,200 \$ (630) -0.5% \$ 116,327 \$ (600) -0.5% \$ 143,767 \$ (630) -0.4% \$ 78,555 \$ (600) -0.8% \$ 135,000 \$ (630) -0.5% \$ 116,327 \$ (600) -0.5% \$ 144,313 \$ (276) -0.2% \$ 79,161 \$ (263) -0.3% \$ 135,717 \$ 2,833 2.1% \$ 37,336 \$ See notes \$ 2009-10 \$ 135,590 \$ 669 0.5% \$ 116,818 \$ 624 0.5% \$ 144,182 \$ 678 0.5% \$ 79,047 \$ 582 0.7% \$ 135,595 \$ 669 0.5% \$ 37,048 \$ 40,000 \$ 135,596 \$ 669 0.5% \$ 116,918 \$ 620 0.5% \$ 144,182 \$ 678 0.5% \$ 79,047 \$ 582 0.7% \$ 135,595 \$ 690 0.5% \$ 37,048 \$ 40,000 \$ 135,596 \$ 690 0.5% \$ 116,918 \$ 620 0.5% \$ 144,192 \$ 678 0.5% \$ 79,047 \$ 582 0.7% \$ 135,595 \$ 690 0.5% \$ 37,048 \$ 40,000 \$ 135,596 \$ 678 0.5% \$ 144,192 \$ 678 0.5% \$ 79,047 \$ 582 0.7% \$ 135,595 \$ 690 0.5% \$ 37,048 \$ 40,000 \$ 44,492 \$ 4.0% \$ 143,513 \$ 740 0.5% \$ 78,465 \$ 706 0.9% \$ 134,927 \$ 740 0.6% \$ 37,126 - 2006-07 \$ 134,187 \$ 5,083 3.9% \$ 116,195 \$ 705 0.6% \$ 143,513 \$ 740 0.5% \$ 77,590 \$ 3,167 4.2% \$ 134,187 \$ 5,083 3.9% \$ 140,000 \$ 3	2015-16	\$	141,158	\$	280	0.2%	\$	121,608	\$ 25	0.2%	\$	150,107	\$	290	0.2%	44	82,281	\$	209	0.3%	\$ 141,158	\$	280	0.2%	\$	38,834	\$	76	0.2%
2012-13 \$ 135,201 \$ 121 0.1% \$ 116,442 \$ 115 0.1% \$ 143,797 \$ 121 0.1% \$ 78,670 \$ 115 0.1% \$ 135,201 \$ 121 0.1% \$ 37,200 \$ 32 0.	2014-15	\$	140,878	\$	490	0.3%	\$	121,355	\$ 432	0.4%	\$	149,817	\$		0.3%	44	82,072	\$	326	0.4%	\$ 140,878	\$	490	0.3%	\$	38,759	\$	134	0.3%
2011-12 \$ 135,080 \$ (636) -0.5% \$ 116,327 \$ (606) -0.5% \$ 143,676 \$ (636) -0.4% \$ 78,555 \$ (606) -0.8% \$ 135,080 \$ (636) -0.5% \$ 37,168 \$ (168) -0.2010-11 \$ 135,777 \$ (276) -0.2% \$ 116,933 \$ (263) -0.2% \$ 144,313 \$ (276) -0.2% \$ 79,161 \$ (263) -0.3% \$ 135,717 \$ 2,633 2.1% \$ 37,308 \$ See notes \$ 2009-10 \$ 135,939 \$ 397 0.3% \$ 111,7197 \$ 378 0.3% \$ 144,813 \$ (276) -0.2% \$ 79,161 \$ (263) -0.3% \$ 135,717 \$ 2,633 2.1% \$ 37,309 \$ See notes \$ 2008-09 \$ 135,596 \$ 669 0.5% \$ 116,818 \$ 624 0.5% \$ 144,813 \$ 627 0.5% \$ 79,047 \$ 582 0.7% \$ 135,596 \$ 669 0.5% \$ 37,409 \$ See notes \$ 2008-09 \$ 134,927 \$ 740 0.6% \$ 116,895 \$ 740 0.6% \$ 116,895 \$ 740 0.6% \$ 116,995 \$ 740 0.6% \$ 144,913 \$ 740 0.5% \$ 79,047 \$ 582 0.7% \$ 134,927 \$ 740 0.6% \$ 37,126 - \$ \$ 2006-07 \$ 134,927 \$ 5,083 3.9% \$ 5115,490 \$ 4,292 4.0% \$ 142,773 \$ 5,370 3.9% \$ 77,759 \$ 3,167 4.2% \$ 134,187 \$ 5,083 3.9% \$ 115,490 \$ 4,492 4.0% \$ 142,773 \$ 5,370 3.9% \$ 77,759 \$ 3,167 4.2% \$ 134,187 \$ 5,083 3.9% \$ 115,490 \$ 4,492 4.0% \$ 142,773 \$ 5,370 3.9% \$ 77,759 \$ 3,167 4.2% \$ 134,187 \$ 5,083 3.9% \$ 114,041 \$ 4,960 4.7% \$ 137,403 \$ 6,034 4.6% \$ 74,592 \$ 3,510 4.9% \$ 129,104 \$ 5,704 4.6% \$ 144,041 \$ 4,960 4.7% \$ 137,403 \$ 6,034 4.6% \$ 74,592 \$ 3,510 4.9% \$ 129,104 \$ 5,704 4.6% \$ 144,041 \$ 4,960 4.7% \$ 134,041 \$ 4,960 4.7% \$ 134,041 \$ 4,960 4.7% \$ 134,041 \$ 4,960 4.7% \$ 134,041 \$ 4,960 4.7% \$ 134,041 \$ 4,960 4.7% \$ 134,041 \$ 4,960 4.7% \$ 134,041 \$ 4,960 4.7% \$ 134,041 \$ 4,960 4.7% \$ 134,041 \$ 4,960 4.7% \$ 134,041 \$ 4,960 4.7% \$ 134,041 \$ 4,960 4.7% \$ 134,041 \$ 4,960 4.7% \$ 134,041 \$ 4,960 4.7% \$ 134,041 \$ 4,960 4.7% \$ 134,041 \$ 4,960 4.7% \$ 134,041 \$ 4,960 4.7% \$	2013-14	\$	140,387	\$	5,186	3.8%	\$	120,923	\$ 4,48	3.8%	\$	149,303	\$	5,506	3.8%	44	81,746	\$	3,076	3.9%	\$ 140,387	\$	5,186	3.8%	\$	38,625	\$	1,425	3.8%
2010-11	2012-13	\$	135,201	\$	121	0.1%	\$	116,442	\$ 11	0.1%	\$	143,797	\$	121	0.1%	44	78,670	\$	115	0.1%	\$ 135,201	\$	121	0.1%	\$	37,200	\$	32	0.1%
2009-10 \$ 135,993 \$ 397 0.3% \$ 117,197 \$ 378 0.3% \$ 144,589 \$ 397 0.3% \$ 79,425 \$ 378 0.5% \$ 132,884 \$ See notes \$ 37,409 \$ See notes 2008-09 \$ 135,596 \$ 669 0.5% \$ 116,818 \$ 624 0.5% \$ 144,192 \$ 678 0.5% \$ 79,047 \$ 582 0.7% \$ 135,596 \$ 669 0.5% \$ 37,304 \$ 179 0.2 2007-08 \$ 134,927 \$ 740 0.6% \$ 116,195 \$ 705 0.6% \$ 143,513 \$ 740 0.5% \$ 79,047 \$ 582 0.7% \$ 135,596 \$ 669 0.5% \$ 37,304 \$ 179 0.2 2006-07 \$ 134,187 \$ 5,083 3.9% \$ 115,490 \$ 4,429 4.0% \$ 142,773 \$ 5,370 3.9% \$ 77,759 \$ 3,167 4.2% \$ 134,187 \$ 5,083 3.9% \$ N/A - 2005-06 \$ 129,104 \$ 5,704 4.6% \$ 111,061 \$ 4,960 4.7% \$ 137,403 \$ 6,034 4.6% \$ 74,592 \$ 3,510 4.9% \$ 129,104 \$ 5,704 4.6% \$ N/A - 2005-06 \$ 129,104 \$ 5,704 4.6% \$ 106,101 \$ 1,964 1.9% \$ 131,369 \$ 2,336 1.8% \$ 71,082 \$ 1,484 2.1% \$ 123,400 N/A - 2003-04 \$ 121,173 \$ 2,702 2.3% \$ 104,137 \$ 2,353 2.3% \$ 129,033 \$ 2,336 1.8% \$ 71,082 \$ 1,484 2.1% \$ 123,400 N/A - 2002-03 \$ 118,471 \$ 3,401 3.0% \$ 101,784 \$ 2,964 3.0% \$ 126,177 \$ 3,552 2.9% \$ 67,923 \$ 2,122 3.2% \$ 118,471 \$ 3,401 3.0% \$ See notes 2001-02 \$ 115,070 \$ 3,554 3.2% \$ 98,820 \$ 3,077 3.2% \$ 126,177 \$ 3,552 2.9% \$ 67,923 \$ 2,122 3.2% \$ 118,471 \$ 3,401 3.0% \$ See notes 2001-02 \$ 115,070 \$ 3,554 3.2% \$ 98,820 \$ 3,077 3.2% \$ 126,177 \$ 3,552 2.9% \$ 67,923 \$ 2,122 3.2% \$ 118,471 \$ 3,401 3.0% \$ See notes 2001-02 \$ 115,070 \$ 3,554 3.2% \$ 98,820 \$ 3,077 3.2% \$ 126,177 \$ 3,552 2.9% \$ 67,923 \$ 2,122 3.2% \$ 118,471 \$ 3,401 3.0% \$ See notes 2001-02 \$ 115,070 \$ 3,554 3.2% \$ 98,820 \$ 3,077 3.2% \$ 126,177 \$ 3,552 2.9% \$ 67,923 \$ 2,122 3.2% \$ 118,471 \$ 3,401 3.0% \$ See notes 2001-02 \$ 115,070 \$ 3,554 3.2% \$ 98,820 \$ 3,077 3.2% \$ 126,177 \$ 3,552 2.9% \$ 67,923 \$ 2,122 3.2% \$ 118,471 \$ 3,401 3.0% \$ See notes 2001-02 \$ 115,070 \$ 3,554 3.2% \$ 98,820 \$ 3,077 3.2% \$ 126,177 \$ 3,552 2.9% \$ 67,923 \$ 2,122 3.2% \$ 118,471 \$ 3,401 3.0% \$ See notes 2001-02 \$ 115,176 \$ 3,317 3.1% \$ 9,573 \$ 3,914 3.0% \$ 111,516 \$ 3,317 3.1% \$ 9,287 \$ 3,988 \$ 3,977 \$ 3,106 6.2% \$ 93,926 \$ 5,310 6.0% \$ 2,287 \$ 3,144 5.0% \$ 3,144 5.0% \$ 3,144 5.0% \$ 3,144 5.0% \$ 3,144 5.0% \$ 3,144 5	2011-12	\$	135,080	\$	(636)	-0.5%	\$	116,327	\$ (60	-0.5%	\$	143,676	\$	(636)	-0.4%	\$	78,555	\$	(606)	-0.8%	\$ 135,080	\$	(636)	-0.5%	\$	37,168	\$	(168)	-0.5%
2008-09 \$ 135,596 \$ 669 0.5% \$ 116,818 \$ 624 0.5% \$ 144,192 \$ 678 0.5% \$ 79,047 \$ 582 0.7% \$ 135,596 \$ 669 0.5% \$ 37,304 \$ 179 0.2007-08 \$ 134,927 \$ 740 0.6% \$ 116,195 \$ 705 0.6% \$ 143,513 \$ 740 0.5% \$ 78,465 \$ 706 0.9% \$ 134,927 \$ 740 0.6% \$ 37,126 - 2006-07 \$ 134,187 \$ 5,083 3.9% \$ 115,490 \$ 4,429 4.0% \$ 142,773 \$ 5,370 3.9% \$ 77,759 \$ 3,167 4.2% \$ 134,927 \$ 740 0.6% \$ 37,126 - 2005-06 \$ 129,104 \$ 5,704 4.6% \$ 111,061 \$ 4,960 4.7% \$ 137,403 \$ 6,034 4.6% \$ 74,592 \$ 3,510 4.9% \$ 129,104 \$ 5,704 4.6% \$ 74,902 4.6% \$ 142,773 \$ 5,370 4.6% \$ 74,592 \$ 3,510 4.9% \$ 129,104 \$ 5,704 4.6% \$ 74,902 4.6% 4.6% \$ 74,592 \$ 3,510 4.9% \$ 129,104 \$ 5,704 4.6% 4.6% 7 4,960 4.7% \$ 131,369 \$ 2,336 1.8% \$ 71,082 \$ 1,484 2.1% \$ 123,400 N/A - 2003-04 \$ 121,173 \$ 3,401 \$ 3,	2010-11	\$	135,717	\$	(276)	-0.2%	\$	116,933	\$ (26	-0.2%	\$	144,313	\$	(276)	-0.2%	44	79,161	\$	(263)	-0.3%	\$ 135,717	\$	2,833	2.1%	\$	37,336		See no	otes
2007-08 \$ 134,927 \$ 740 0.6% \$ 116,195 \$ 705 0.6% \$ 143,513 \$ 740 0.5% \$ 78,465 \$ 706 0.9% \$ 134,927 \$ 740 0.6% \$ 37,126 - 2006-07 \$ 134,187 \$ 5,083 3.9% \$ 115,490 \$ 4,429 4.0% \$ 142,773 \$ 5,370 3.9% \$ 77,759 \$ 3,167 4.2% \$ 134,187 \$ 5,083 3.9% N/A - 2005-06 \$ 129,104 \$ 5,704 4.6% \$ 111,061 \$ 4,960 4.7% \$ 137,403 \$ 6,034 4.6% \$ 74,592 \$ 3,510 4.9% \$ 129,104 \$ 5,704 4.6% N/A - 2002-05 \$ 123,400 \$ 2,227 1.8% \$ 106,101 \$ 1,964 1.9% \$ 131,369 \$ 2,236 1.8% \$ 71,082 \$ 1,484 2.1% \$ 123,400 N/A - 2003-04 \$ 121,173 \$ 2,702 2.3% \$ 104,137 \$ 2,353 2.3% \$ 129,033 \$ 2,856 2.3% \$ 69,598 \$ 1,676 2.5% See notes N/A - 2002-03 \$ 118,471 \$ 3,401 3.0% \$ 98,820 \$ 3,077 3.2% \$ 122,585 \$ 3,769 3.2% \$ 67,923 \$ 2,122 3.2% \$ 118,471 \$ 3,401 3.0% See notes 2001-02 \$ 115,070 \$ 3,554 3.2% \$ 98,820 \$ 3,077 3.2% \$ 122,585 \$ 3,769 3.2% \$ 65,801 \$ 2,135 3.4% \$ 115,070 \$ 3,554 3.2% \$ 31,694 \$ 99,761 3.1999-00 \$ 108,199 \$ 4,066 3.9% \$ 92,870 \$ 3,514 3.9% \$ 118,816 \$ 3,697 \$ 3,316 \$ 3,401 \$ 3,000 \$ 3,331 \$ 3,401 \$ 3,000 \$ 3,354 \$ 3,401 \$ 3,000 \$ 3,554 \$ 3,514 3.9% \$ 118,816 \$ 3,666 \$ 1,996 3.2% \$ 111,516 \$ 3,317 3.1% \$ 3,001 \$ 3,554 \$ 3,000 \$ 3,0	2009-10	\$	135,993	\$	397	0.3%	\$	117,197	\$ 378	0.3%	\$	144,589	\$	397	0.3%	\$	79,425	\$	378	0.5%	\$ 132,884		See no	otes	\$	37,409	ı	See no	otes
2006-07 \$ 134,187 \$ 5,083	2008-09	\$	135,596	\$		0.5%	\$	116,818	\$ 624	0.5%	\$	144,192	\$	678	0.5%	\$	79,047	\$	582	0.7%	\$ 135,596	\$	669	0.5%	\$	37,304	\$	179	0.5%
2005-06 \$ 129,104 \$ 5,704 \$ 4.6% \$ 111,061 \$ 4,960 \$ 4.7% \$ 137,403 \$ 6,034 \$ 4.6% \$ 74,592 \$ 3,510 \$ 4.9% \$ 129,104 \$ 5,704 \$ 4.6% \$ N/A \$ - 2004-05 \$ 123,400 \$ 2,227 \$ 1.8% \$ 106,101 \$ 1,964 \$ 1.9% \$ 131,369 \$ 2,336 \$ 1.8% \$ 71,082 \$ 1,484 \$ 2.1% \$ 123,400 \$ - \$ N/A \$ - 2003-04 \$ 121,173 \$ 2,702 \$ 2.3% \$ 104,137 \$ 2,353 \$ 2.3% \$ 129,033 \$ 2,856 \$ 2.3% \$ 69,598 \$ 1,676 \$ 2.5% \$ See notes \$ N/A \$ - 2002-03 \$ 118,471 \$ 3,401 \$ 3.0% \$ 101,784 \$ 2,964 \$ 3.0% \$ 126,177 \$ 3,592 \$ 2.9% \$ 67,923 \$ 2,122 \$ 3.2% \$ 118,471 \$ 3,401 \$ 3.0% \$ 50 enotes \$ 2001-02 \$ 115,070 \$ 3,554 \$ 3.2% \$ 98,820 \$ 3,077 \$ 3.2% \$ 122,585 \$ 3,769 \$ 3.2% \$ 65,801 \$ 2,135 \$ 3.4% \$ 115,070 \$ 3,554 \$ 3.2% \$ 31,694 \$ 976 \$ 3. 2000-01 \$ 111,516 \$ 3,317 \$ 3.1% \$ 95,743 \$ 2,873 \$ 3.1% \$ 118,816 \$ 3,517 \$ 3.1% \$ 63,666 \$ 1,996 \$ 3.2% \$ 111,516 \$ 3,317 \$ 3.1% \$ 30,718 \$ 911 \$ 3. 1999-00 \$ 108,199 \$ 4,066 \$ 3.9% \$ 92,870 \$ 3,514 \$ 3.9% \$ 115,299 \$ 4,316 \$ 3.9% \$ 61,670 \$ 2,415 \$ 4.1% \$ 108,199 \$ 4,066 \$ 3.9% \$ 29,807 \$ 1,117 \$ 3. 1998-99 \$ 104,133 \$ 5,336 \$ 5.4% \$ 89,356 \$ 4,603 \$ 5.4% \$ 100,134 \$ 5,670 \$ 5.4% \$ 59,255 \$ 3,104 \$ 6.2% \$ 93,926 \$ 5,310 \$ 6.0% \$ 225,883 \$ 1,340 \$ 5.995-96 \$ 88,616 \$ 3,684 \$ 4.3% \$ 75,978 \$ 3,180 \$ 4.4% \$ 94,489 \$ 3,913 \$ 4.3% \$ 50,171 \$ 2,174 \$ 4.5% \$ 88,616 \$ 3,684 \$ 4.3% \$ 24,422 \$ 1,012 \$ 4. 1994-95 \$ 84,932 \$ 4,678 \$ 5.8% \$ 72,798 \$ 4,023 \$ 5.8% \$ 90,576 \$ 4,980 \$ 5.3% \$ 44,530 \$ 1,800 \$ 5.3% \$ 76,878 \$ 3,896 \$ 5.3% \$ 21,195 \$ 1,068 \$ 5.5% \$ 76,878 \$ 3,896 \$ 5.3% \$ 65,848 \$ 3,386 \$ 5.4% \$ 82,020 \$ 4,123 \$ 5.3% \$ 43,254 \$ 2,391 \$ 5.9% \$ 76,878 \$ 3,896 \$ 5.3% \$ 21,195 \$ 1,068 \$ 5.	2007-08	\$	134,927	' \$		0.6%	\$	116,195			\$	143,513	\$			\$	78,465	\$		0.9%	\$ 134,927	\$		0.6%	\$	- , -		-	-
2004-05 \$ 123,400 \$ 2,227 1.8% \$ 105,101 \$ 1,964 1.9% \$ 131,369 \$ 2,336 1.8% \$ 71,082 \$ 1,484 2.1% \$ 123,400 N/A - 2003-04 \$ 121,173 \$ 2,702 2.3% \$ 104,137 \$ 2,353 2.3% \$ 129,033 \$ 2,856 2.3% \$ 69,598 \$ 1,676 2.5% \$ See notes	2006-07	\$	134,187	\$	5,083	3.9%	\$	115,490	\$ 4,42	4.0%	\$	142,773	\$	5,370	3.9%	\$	77,759	\$	3,167	4.2%	\$ 134,187	\$	5,083	3.9%		N/A	Ш.	-	-
2003-04 \$ 121,173 \$ 2,702 2.3% \$ 104,137 \$ 2,353 2.3% \$ 129,033 \$ 2,856 2.3% \$ 66,598 \$ 1,676 2.5% See notes N/A - 2002-03 \$ 118,471 \$ 3,401 3.0% \$ 101,784 \$ 2,964 3.0% \$ 126,177 \$ 3,592 2.9% \$ 67,923 \$ 2,122 3.2% \$ 118,471 \$ 3,401 3.0% See notes 2001-02 \$ 115,070 \$ 3,554 3.2% \$ 98,820 \$ 3,077 3.2% \$ 122,585 \$ 3,769 3.2% \$ 65,801 \$ 2,135 3.4% \$ 115,070 \$ 3,554 3.2% \$ 31,694 \$ 976 3.2 2000-01 \$ 111,516 \$ 3,317 3.1% \$ 99,743 \$ 2,873 3.1% \$ 118,816 \$ 3,517 3.1% \$ 63,666 \$ 1,996 3.2% \$ 111,516 \$ 3,317 3.1% \$ 30,718 \$ 911 3. 1999-00 \$ 108,199 \$ 4,066 3.9% \$ 92,870 \$ 3,514 3.9% \$ 115,299 \$ 4,316 3.9% \$ 61,670 \$ 2,415 4.1% \$ 108,199 \$ 4,066 3.9% \$ 29,870 \$ 1,467 5. 1997-98 \$ 98,797 \$ 4,871 5.2% \$ 84,753 \$ 4,197 5.2% \$ 105,313 \$ 5,179 5.2% \$ 56,121 \$ 2,844 5.3% \$ 98,777 \$ 4,871 5.2% \$ 27,223 \$ 1,340 5. 1996-97 \$ 93,926 \$ 5,310 6.0% \$ 80,556 \$ 4,578 6.0% \$ 100,134 \$ 5,645 6.0% \$ 53,277 \$ 3,106 6.2% \$ 93,926 \$ 5,310 6.0% \$ 25,883 \$ 1,461 6. 1995-96 \$ 88,616 \$ 3,684 4.3% \$ 75,978 \$ 3,180 4.4% \$ 94,489 \$ 3,913 4.3% \$ 50,171 \$ 2,174 4.5% \$ 88,616 \$ 3,684 4.3% \$ 24,422 \$ 1,012 4. 1994-95 \$ 84,932 \$ 4,678 5.8% \$ 72,798 \$ 4,023 5.8% \$ 90,576 \$ 4,890 5.8% \$ 44,907 \$ 2,696 6.0% \$ 84,932 \$ 23,410 1994-95 \$ 80,254 \$ 3,169 4 4.1% \$ 68,775 \$ 2,731 4.1% \$ 86,596 \$ 3,076 \$ 4,890 5.8% \$ 43,451 \$ 197 0.5% \$ 76,878 \$ - 0.0% \$ 21,195 \$ - 0.1991-92 \$ 76,878 \$ 3,896 5.3% \$ 66,044 \$ 196 0.3% \$ 82,226 \$ 2,006 0.3% \$ 43,254 \$ 2,391 5.9% \$ 76,878 \$ 3,896 5.3% \$ 21,195 \$ 1,068 5.	2005-06	\$	129,104	\$	5,704	4.6%	\$	111,061	\$ 4,96	4.7%	\$	137,403	\$			\$	74,592	\$	3,510	4.9%	\$ 129,104	\$	5,704	4.6%				-	-
2002-03 \$ 118,471 \$ 3,401 3.0% \$ 101,784 \$ 2,964 3.0% \$ 126,177 \$ 3,592 2.9% \$ 67,923 \$ 2,122 3.2% \$ 118,471 \$ 3,401 3.0% \$ See notes 2001-02 \$ 115,070 \$ 3,554 3.2% \$ 98,820 \$ 3,077 3.2% \$ 122,585 \$ 3,769 3.2% \$ 65,801 \$ 2,135 3.4% \$ 115,070 \$ 3,554 3.2% \$ 31,694 \$ 976 3. 2000-01 \$ 111,516 \$ 3,317 3.1% \$ 95,743 \$ 2,873 3.1% \$ 118,816 \$ 3,517 3.1% \$ 63,666 \$ 1,996 3.2% \$ 111,516 \$ 3,317 3.1% \$ 30,718 \$ 911 3. 1999-00 \$ 108,199 \$ 4,066 3.9% \$ 92,870 \$ 3,514 3.9% \$ 116,299 \$ 4,316 3.9% \$ 61,670 \$ 2,415 4.1% \$ 108,199 \$ 4,066 3.9% \$ 29,870 \$ 1,467 5. 1997-98 \$ 98,797 \$ 4,871 5.2% \$ 84,753 \$ 4,197 5.2% \$ 105,313 \$ 5,179 5.2% \$ 56,121 \$ 2,844 5.3% \$ 98,797 \$ 4,871 5.2% \$ 27,223 \$ 1,340 5. 1996-97 \$ 93,926 \$ 5,310 6.0% \$ 80,556 \$ 4,578 6.0% \$ 100,134 \$ 5,645 6.0% \$ 53,277 \$ 3,106 6.2% \$ 93,926 \$ 5,310 6.0% \$ 25,883 \$ 1,461 6.1 1995-96 \$ 88,616 \$ 3,684 4.3% \$ 75,978 \$ 3,180 4.4% \$ 94,489 \$ 3,913 4.3% \$ 50,171 \$ 2,174 4.5% \$ 88,616 \$ 3,684 4.3% \$ 24,422 \$ 1,012 4. 1994-95 \$ 84,932 \$ 4,678 5.8% \$ 72,798 \$ 4,023 5.8% \$ 90,576 \$ 4,890 5.8% \$ 47,997 \$ 2,696 6.0% \$ 84,932 \$ 23,410 - 1994-95 \$ 84,023 \$ 3,169 4.1% \$ 66,044 \$ 196 0.3% \$ 88,596 \$ 3,370 4.1% \$ 43,501 \$ 1,850 4.3% \$ 56,878 \$ - 0.0% \$ 21,195 \$ - 0.1 1991-92 \$ 76,878 \$ 3,896 5.3% \$ 65,848 \$ 3,386 5.4% \$ 82,020 \$ 4,123 5.3% \$ 43,254 \$ 2,391 5.9% \$ 76,878 \$ 3,896 5.3% \$ 21,195 \$ 1,068 5.	2004-05	\$	123,400	\$	2,227	1.8%	\$	106,101	\$ 1,96	1.9%	\$	131,369	\$	2,336	1.8%	\$	71,082	\$	1,484	2.1%	\$ 123,400		-	-		N/A	Ш.	-	-
2001-02 \$ 115,070 \$ 3,554 \$ 3.2% \$ 98,820 \$ 3,077 \$ 3.2% \$ 122,585 \$ 3,769 \$ 3.2% \$ 66,801 \$ 2,135 \$ 3.4% \$ 115,070 \$ 3,554 \$ 3.2% \$ 31,694 \$ 976 \$ 3.2000-01 \$ 111,516 \$ 3,317 \$ 3.1% \$ 95,743 \$ 2,873 \$ 3.1% \$ 118,816 \$ 3,517 \$ 3.1% \$ 63,666 \$ 1,996 \$ 3.2% \$ 111,516 \$ 3,317 \$ 3.1% \$ 30,718 \$ 911 \$ 3.1999-00 \$ 108,199 \$ 4,066 \$ 3.9% \$ 92,870 \$ 3,514 \$ 3.9% \$ 115,299 \$ 4,316 \$ 3.9% \$ 61,670 \$ 2,415 \$ 4.1% \$ 108,199 \$ 4,066 \$ 3.9% \$ 29,807 \$ 1,147 \$ 3.1998-99 \$ 104,133 \$ 5,336 \$ 5.4% \$ 89,356 \$ 4,603 \$ 5.4% \$ 110,983 \$ 5,670 \$ 5.4% \$ 59,255 \$ 3,134 \$ 5.6% \$ 104,133 \$ 5,336 \$ 5.4% \$ 28,690 \$ 1,467 \$ 5.2% \$ 98,797 \$ 4,871 \$ 5.2% \$ 84,753 \$ 4,197 \$ 5.2% \$ 105,313 \$ 5,179 \$ 5.2% \$ 56,121 \$ 2,844 \$ 5.3% \$ 98,797 \$ 4,871 \$ 5.2% \$ 27,223 \$ 1,340 \$ 5.1996-97 \$ 93,926 \$ 5,310 \$ 6.0% \$ 84,556 \$ 4,578 \$ 6.0% \$ 100,134 \$ 5,645 \$ 6.0% \$ 53,277 \$ 3,106 \$ 6.2% \$ 93,926 \$ 5,310 \$ 6.0% \$ 25,883 \$ 1,461 \$ 6.1995-96 \$ 88,616 \$ 3,684 \$ 4.3% \$ 75,978 \$ 3,180 \$ 4.4% \$ 94,489 \$ 3,913 \$ 4.3% \$ 50,171 \$ 2,174 \$ 4.5% \$ 88,616 \$ 3,684 \$ 4.3% \$ 24,422 \$ 1,012 \$ 4.1994-95 \$ 84,932 \$ 4,678 \$ 5.8% \$ 72,798 \$ 4,023 \$ 5.8% \$ 90,576 \$ 4,980 \$ 5.8% \$ 47,997 \$ 2,696 \$ 6.0% \$ 84,932 \$ -	2003-04	\$	121,173	\$	2,702	2.3%	\$	104,137	\$ 2,35	2.3%	\$	129,033	\$	2,856	2.3%	44	69,598	\$	1,676	2.5%	0,	See ı	notes			N/A		-	-
2000-01 \$ 111,516 \$ 3,317 3.1% \$ 95,743 \$ 2,873 3.1% \$ 118,816 \$ 3,517 3.1% \$ 63,666 \$ 1,996 3.2% \$ 111,516 \$ 3,317 3.1% \$ 30,718 \$ 911 3. 1999-00 \$ 108,199 \$ 4,066 3.9% \$ 92,870 \$ 3,514 3.9% \$ 115,299 \$ 4,316 3.9% \$ 61,670 \$ 2,415 4.1% \$ 108,199 \$ 4,066 3.9% \$ 29,807 \$ 1,117 3. 1998-99 \$ 104,133 \$ 5,336 5.4% \$ 89,356 \$ 4,603 5.4% \$ 110,983 \$ 5,670 5.4% \$ 59,255 \$ 3,134 5.6% \$ 104,133 \$ 5,336 5.4% \$ 28,690 \$ 1,467 5. 1997-98 \$ 98,797 \$ 4,871 5.2% \$ 84,753 \$ 4,197 5.2% \$ 105,313 \$ 5,179 5.2% \$ 56,121 \$ 2,844 5.3% \$ 98,797 \$ 4,871 5.2% \$ 27,223 \$ 1,340 5. 1995-96 \$ 88,616 \$ 3,684 4.3% \$ 75,978 \$ 3,180 4.4% \$ 94,489 \$ 3,913 4.3% \$ 50,171 \$ 2,174 4.5% \$ 88,616 \$ 3,684 4.3% \$ 24,422 \$ 1,012 4. 1994-95 \$ 84,932 \$ 4,678 5.8% \$ 72,798 \$ 4,023 5.8% \$ 90,576 \$ 4,980 5.8% \$ 4,930 5.8% \$ 4,932 \$ \$ 23,410 \$ - 1939-94 \$ 80,254 \$ 3,169 4.1% \$ 66,044 \$ 196 0.3% \$ 82,226 \$ 206 0.3% \$ 43,451 \$ 197 0.5% \$ 76,878 \$ 3,896 5.3% \$ 21,195 \$ 1,068 5.	2002-03	\$	118,471	\$	3,401	3.0%	\$	101,784	\$ 2,96	3.0%	\$	126,177	\$	3,592	2.9%	\$	67,923	\$	2,122	3.2%	\$ 118,471	\$	3,401	3.0%		S	ee n	otes	
1999-00 \$ 108,199 \$ 4,066 3.9% \$ 92,870 \$ 3,514 3.9% \$ 115,299 \$ 4,316 3.9% \$ 61,670 \$ 2,415 4.1% \$ 108,199 \$ 4,066 3.9% \$ 29,807 \$ 1,117 3.1998-99 \$ 104,133 \$ 5,336 5.4% \$ 89,356 \$ 4,603 5.4% \$ 110,983 \$ 5,670 5.4% \$ 59,255 \$ 3,134 5.6% \$ 104,133 \$ 5,336 5.4% \$ 28,690 \$ 1,467 5.1997-98 \$ 98,797 \$ 4,871 5.2% \$ 84,753 \$ 4,197 5.2% \$ 105,313 \$ 5,179 5.2% \$ 56,121 \$ 2,844 5.3% \$ 98,797 \$ 4,871 5.2% \$ 27,223 \$ 1,340 5.1996-97 \$ 93,926 \$ 5,310 6.0% \$ 80,556 \$ 4,578 6.0% \$ 100,134 \$ 5,645 6.0% \$ 53,277 \$ 3,106 6.2% \$ 93,926 \$ 5,310 6.0% \$ 25,883 \$ 1,461 6.1995-96 \$ 88,616 \$ 3,684 4.3% \$ 75,978 \$ 3,180 4.4% \$ 94,489 \$ 3,913 4.3% \$ 50,711 \$ 2,174 4.5% \$ 88,616 \$ 3,684 4.3% \$ 24,422 \$ 1,012 4.1994-95 \$ 84,932 \$ 4,678 5.8% \$ 72,798 \$ 4,023 5.8% \$ 90,576 \$ 4,980 5.8% \$ 47,997 \$ 2,696 6.0% \$ 84,932 - \$ 2,23410 - \$ 2,23410 \$ 2,23410 \$ 2,23410 \$ 2,23410 \$ 2,24410 \$ 2,	2001-02	\$	115,070) \$	3,554	3.2%	\$	98,820			\$	122,585	\$			\$	65,801	\$	2,135	3.4%	\$ 115,070	\$	3,554	3.2%	\$	31,694	\$		3.2%
198-99 \$ 104,133 \$ 5,336 5.4% \$ 89,356 \$ 4,603 5.4% \$ 110,983 \$ 5,670 5.4% \$ 59,255 \$ 3,134 5.6% \$ 104,133 \$ 5,336 5.4% \$ 28,690 \$ 1,467 5.2% \$ 1997-98 \$ 98,797 \$ 4,871 5.2% \$ 84,753 \$ 4,197 5.2% \$ 105,313 \$ 5,179 5.2% \$ 56,121 \$ 2,844 5.3% \$ 98,797 \$ 4,871 5.2% \$ 27,223 \$ 1,340 5.1996-97 \$ 93,926 \$ 5,310 6.0% \$ 80,556 \$ 4,578 6.0% \$ 100,134 \$ 5,645 6.0% \$ 53,277 \$ 3,106 6.2% \$ 93,926 \$ 5,310 6.0% \$ 25,883 \$ 1,461 6.1995-96 \$ 88,616 \$ 3,684 4.3% \$ 75,978 \$ 3,180 4.4% \$ 94,489 \$ 3,913 4.3% \$ 5,071 \$ 2,174 4.5% \$ 88,616 \$ 3,684 4.3% \$ 24,422 \$ 1,012 4.1994-95 \$ 84,932 \$ 4,678 5.8% \$ 72,798 \$ 4,023 5.8% \$ 90,576 \$ 4,980 5.8% \$ 47,997 \$ 2,696 6.0% \$ 84,932 -	2000-01	\$	111,516	\$	3,317	3.1%	\$	95,743	\$ 2,87	3.1%	\$	118,816	\$	3,517	3.1%	\$	63,666	\$	1,996	3.2%	\$ 111,516	\$	3,317	3.1%	\$	30,718	\$	911	3.1%
1997-98 \$ 98,797 \$ 4,871 5.2% \$ 84,753 \$ 4,197 5.2% \$ 105,313 \$ 5,179 5.2% \$ 56,121 \$ 2,844 5.3% \$ 98,797 \$ 4,871 5.2% \$ 27,223 \$ 1,340 5.2	1999-00	\$	108,199	\$,	3.9%	\$	92,870			\$	115,299	\$			\$		\$			\$ 108,199	\$,		\$		\$	1,117	3.9%
1996-97 \$ 93,926 \$ 5,310 6.0% \$ 80,556 \$ 4,578 6.0% \$ 100,134 \$ 5,645 6.0% \$ 53,277 \$ 3,106 6.2% \$ 93,926 \$ 5,310 6.0% \$ 25,883 \$ 1,461 6.0 1995-96 \$ 88,616 \$ 3,684 4.3% \$ 75,978 \$ 3,180 4.4% \$ 94,489 \$ 3,913 4.3% \$ 50,171 \$ 2,174 4.5% \$ 88,616 \$ 3,684 4.3% \$ 24,422 \$ 1,012 4. 1994-95 \$ 84,932 \$ 4,678 5.8% \$ 72,798 \$ 4,023 5.8% \$ 90,576 \$ 4,980 5.8% \$ 2,696 6.0% \$ 84,932 - - \$ 23,410 - 1993-94 \$ 80,254 \$ 3,169 4.1% \$ 66,775 \$ 2,731 4.1% \$ 85,596 \$ 3,370 4.1% \$ 45,301 \$ 1,850 4.3% See notes 1992-93 \$ 77,085 \$ 207 0.3% \$ 66,044 \$ 196 0.3% \$ 82,226 \$ 206 0.3% \$ 43,451 \$ 197	1998-99	\$	104,133	\$	5,336		\$	89,356	\$ 4,60		\$	110,983	\$	5,670		\$,	\$				\$			\$	-,	\$	1,467	5.4%
1995-96 \$ 88,616 \$ 3,684 4.3% \$ 75,978 \$ 3,180 4.4% \$ 94,489 \$ 3,913 4.3% \$ 50,171 \$ 2,174 4.5% \$ 88,616 \$ 3,684 4.3% \$ 24,422 \$ 1,012 4.1 1994-95 \$ 84,932 \$ 4,678 5.8% \$ 72,798 \$ 4,023 5.8% \$ 90,576 \$ 4,980 5.8% \$ 47,997 \$ 2,696 6.0% \$ 84,932 - - \$ 23,410 - 1993-94 \$ 80,254 \$ 3,169 4.1% \$ 66,775 \$ 2,731 4.1% \$ 85,596 \$ 3,370 4.1% \$ 45,301 \$ 1,850 4.3% See notes See notes 1992-93 \$ 77,085 \$ 207 0.3% \$ 66,044 \$ 196 0.3% \$ 82,226 \$ 206 0.3% \$ 43,451 \$ 197 0.5% \$ 76,878 \$ -0.0% \$ 21,195 \$ 1,068 5. 1991-92 \$ 76,878 \$ 3,896 5.3% \$ 65,848 \$ 3,386 5.4% \$ 82,020 \$ 4,123 5.3% \$ 43,254	1997-98	\$	98,797	\$,		\$				\$			•		\$		\$				\$,		\$		\$	1,340	5.2%
1994-95 \$ 84,932 \$ 4,678 5.8% \$ 72,798 \$ 4,023 5.8% \$ 90,576 \$ 4,980 5.8% \$ 47,997 \$ 2,696 6.0% \$ 84,932 \$ 23,410 - 1993-94 \$ 80,254 \$ 3,169 4.1% \$ 68,775 \$ 2,731 4.1% \$ 85,596 \$ 3,370 4.1% \$ 45,301 \$ 1,850 4.3% See notes 1992-93 \$ 77,085 \$ 207 0.3% \$ 66,044 \$ 196 0.3% \$ 82,226 \$ 206 0.3% \$ 43,451 \$ 197 0.5% \$ 76,878 \$ - 0.0% \$ 21,195 \$ - 0.1991-92 \$ 76,878 \$ 3,896 5.3% \$ 65,848 \$ 3,386 5.4% \$ 82,020 \$ 4,123 5.3% \$ 43,254 \$ 2,391 5.9% \$ 76,878 \$ 3,896 5.3% \$ 21,195 \$ 1,068 5.5%		\$,-		-,		\$				\$	100,134	\$			\$,	\$	-,			\$			\$	-,	•	, .	6.0%
1993-94 \$ 80,254 \$ 3,169 4.1% \$ 68,775 \$ 2,731 4.1% \$ 85,596 \$ 3,370 4.1% \$ 45,301 \$ 1,850 4.3% See notes See notes 1992-93 \$ 77,085 \$ 207 0.3% \$ 66,044 \$ 196 0.3% \$ 82,226 \$ 206 0.3% \$ 43,451 \$ 197 0.5% \$ 76,878 \$ - 0.0% \$ 21,195 \$ - 0.1 1991-92 \$ 76,878 \$ 3,896 5.3% \$ 65,848 \$ 3,386 5.4% \$ 82,020 \$ 41,23 5.3% \$ 43,254 \$ 2,391 5.9% \$ 76,878 \$ 3,896 5.3% \$ 21,195 \$ 1,068 5.3%		\$,-		-,		\$	•			\$, ,	•	•		\$,	\$,	\$	3,684	4.3%	\$,	\$	1,012	4.3%
1992-93 \$ 77,085 \$ 207 0.3% \$ 66,044 \$ 196 0.3% \$ 82,226 \$ 206 0.3% \$ 43,451 \$ 197 0.5% \$ 76,878 \$ - 0.0% \$ 21,195 \$ - 0.1991-92 \$ 76,878 \$ 3,896 5.3% \$ 65,848 \$ 3,386 5.4% \$ 82,020 \$ 4,123 5.3% \$ 43,254 \$ 2,391 5.9% \$ 76,878 \$ 3,896 5.3% \$ 21,195 \$ 1,068 5.3%		\$		_	•		\$				\$,				\$,	\$, ,,,,		-	-	\$			-	-
1991-92 \$ 76,878 \$ 3,896 5.3% \$ 65,848 \$ 3,386 5.4% \$ 82,020 \$ 4,123 5.3% \$ 43,254 \$ 2,391 5.9% \$ 76,878 \$ 3,896 5.3% \$ 21,195 \$ 1,068 5.	1993-94	\$	80,254	\$	-,		\$	68,775	\$ 2,73		\$	85,596	\$	-,		\$	45,301	\$,				notes					otes	
		\$		_			\$,-				,	\$			\$	-, -	\$				\$	-	****	_	,	•	-	0.0%
		\$		_	-,		\$				\$	0-,0-0	\$			\$	-, -	\$				\$,		\$		\$		5.3%
	1990-91	\$	72,982	2 \$	3,098	4.4%	\$	62,462	\$ 2,67		\$	77,897		3,291	4.4%	\$	40,863	\$	1,821	4.7%	, , , , ,	\$	3,098	4.4%	\$	20,127	\$	852	4.4%
		\$,		-,		\$				\$,	\$			\$,	\$,	\$,		\$	-, -	\$		5.1%
	1988-89	\$,		,	13.1%	\$	56,864			\$	66,490	\$	•		\$	- ,	\$	1,983		,	\$,		\$	18,341	\$		5.4%
		\$,		•		\$				\$,				\$,-	\$				\$,		*	, -	+		5.3%
	1986-87	\$			3,847	7.4%	\$		\$ 3,26	7.5%	\$		\$	4,114	7.4%	\$		\$	2,360	7.6%		\$	4,114	7.4%	\$		\$	1,133	7.4%
1985-86 \$ 51,950 \$ 43,820 \$ 55,769 \$ 30,857 \$ 55,769 \$ 15,390 -	1985-86	\$	51,950)	-	-	\$	43,820	-	-	\$	55,769		-	-	\$	30,857		-	-	\$ 55,769		-	-	\$	15,390		-	-

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Seminole County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

Year S	Appraiser Salary	& Tax Col	ector	C																	
	Salarv			Super	isor of Elect	tions		Sheriff			County	Com	nmissio	ners	School	Superinter	ndent		School I	Board Me	mbers
2016-17 \$		\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	,	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	"	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.
	143,194	\$ 344	0.2%	\$ 143,194	\$ 19,974	16.2%	\$ 152,157	\$ 357	0.2%	\$	84,143	\$	249	0.3%	\$ 143,194	\$ 344	0.2%	\$	39,376	\$ 93	0.2%
2015-16 \$	142,851	\$ 293	0.2%	\$ 123,220	\$ 264	0.2%	\$ 151,800	\$ 302	0.2%	\$	83,893	\$	221	0.3%	\$ 142,851	\$ 293	0.2%	\$	39,282	\$ 79	0.2%
2014-15 \$	142,558	\$ 452	0.3%	\$ 122,956	\$ 396	0.3%	\$ 151,498	\$ 476	0.3%	\$	83,672	\$	290	0.3%	\$ 142,558	\$ 452	0.3%	\$	39,203	\$ 124	0.3%
2013-14 \$	142,106	\$ 5,172	3.8%	\$ 122,560	\$ 4,468	3.8%	\$ 151,022	\$ 5,492	3.8%	\$	83,383	\$	3,063	3.8%	\$ 142,106	\$ 5,172	3.8%	\$	39,080	\$ 1,422	3.8%
2012-13 \$	136,934	\$ 40	0.0%	\$ 118,092	\$ 38	0.0%	\$ 145,529	\$ 40	0.0%	\$	80,320	\$	38	0.0%	\$ 136,934	\$ 40	0.0%	\$	37,658	\$ 11	0.0%
2011-12 \$	136,894	\$ (22)	0.0%	\$ 118,054	\$ (21)	0.0%	\$ 145,489	\$ (22)	0.0%	\$	80,282	\$	(21)	0.0%	\$ 136,894	\$ (22)	0.0%	\$	37,647	\$ (6	0.0%
2010-11 \$	136,916	\$ (57)	0.0%	\$ 118,075	\$ (54)	0.0%	\$ 145,512	\$ (57)	0.0%	\$	80,303	\$	(54)	-0.1%	\$ 136,916	\$ 2,698	2.0%	\$	37,653	See	notes
2009-10 \$	136,973	\$ 16	0.0%	\$ 118,130	\$ 15	0.0%	\$ 145,569	\$ 16	0.0%	\$	80,358	\$	15	0.0%	\$ 134,218	See n	otes	\$	37,668	See	notes
2008-09 \$	136,957	\$ 258	0.2%	\$ 118,114	\$ 233	0.2%	\$ 145,552	\$ 268	0.2%	\$	80,343	\$	191	0.2%	\$ 136,957	\$ 258	0.2%	\$	37,664	\$ 70	0.2%
2007-08 \$	136,698	\$ 190	0.1%	\$ 117,882		0.2%	\$ 145,285		0.1%	\$	80,151	\$	182	0.2%	\$ 136,698	\$ 190	0.1%	\$	37,594		-
2006-07 \$	136,508	\$ 4,739	3.6%	\$ 117,700	\$ 4,101	3.6%	\$ 145,095	\$ 5,027	3.6%	\$	79,970	\$	2,840	3.7%	\$ 136,508	\$ 4,739	3.6%		N/A	-	-
	131,769	\$ 5,611		\$ 113,599	\$ 4,872	4.5%	\$ 140,068	\$ 5,941	4.4%	\$	77,130	\$	3,421	4.6%	\$ 131,769	\$ 5,612	4.4%		N/A		-
2004-05 \$	126,158	\$ 2,158	1.7%	\$ 108,727	\$ 1,899	1.8%	\$ 134,127	\$ 2,268	1.7%	\$	73,709	\$	1,419	2.0%	\$ 126,158	-	-		N/A	-	-
2003-04 \$	123,999	\$ 2,989	2.5%	\$ 106,828	\$ 2,626	2.5%	\$ 131,859	\$ 3,143	2.4%	\$	72,290	\$	1,949	2.8%		ee notes			N/A		-
	,	\$ 3,728	3.2%	\$ 104,202	\$ 3,276	3.2%	\$ 128,716	,	3.1%	\$	70,341		2,434	3.6%	\$ 121,010	\$ 3,728	3.2%			ee notes	
2001-02 \$	117,282	\$ 3,953	3.5%	\$ 100,926	\$ 3,457	3.5%	\$ 124,796	\$ 4,168	3.5%	\$	67,907	\$	2,515	3.8%	\$ 117,282	\$ 3,953	3.5%	\$	32,279	, ,	
2000-01 \$	113,329	\$ 3,578	3.3%	\$ 97,469		3.3%	\$ 120,628	\$ 3,777	3.2%	\$	65,392		2,244	3.6%	\$ 113,329	\$ 3,578	3.3%	\$	31,198		
	109,751	\$ 4,259	4.0%	\$ 94,348	\$ 3,698	4.1%	\$ 116,851	\$ 4,509	4.0%	\$	63,148	•	2,599	4.3%	\$ 109,751	\$ 4,259	4.0%	\$	30,218	\$ 1,168	
1998-99 \$	105,492	\$ 5,562	5.6%	\$ 90,650	. ,	5.6%	\$ 112,342	\$ 5,896	5.5%	\$	60,549	\$	3,349	5.9%	\$ 105,492	\$ 5,562	5.6%	\$	29,050	\$ 1,528	
1997-98 \$	99,930	\$ 4,951	5.2%	\$ 85,832		5.2%	\$ 106,446	\$ 5,259	5.2%	\$	57,200		2,921	5.4%	\$ 99,930	\$ 4,951	5.2%	\$,	\$ 1,36	
1996-97 \$	94,979	\$ 5,458	6.1%	\$ 81,558	. , .	6.1%	\$ 101,187	\$ 5,793	6.1%	\$	54,279		3,246	6.4%	\$ 94,979	\$ 5,458	6.1%	\$	26,161	\$ 1,499	
1995-96 \$	89,521	\$ 3,730	4.3%	\$ 76,840	\$ 3,225	4.4%	\$ 95,394	\$ 3,960	4.3%	\$	51,033	\$	2,218	4.5%	\$ 89,521	\$ 3,730	4.3%	\$,	\$ 1,025	4.3%
1994-95 \$	85,791	, ,	5.9%	\$ 73,615	. , .	5.9%	\$ 91,434	\$ 5,090	5.9%	\$	48,815	\$	2,802	6.1%	\$ 85,791	-	-	\$	23,637	-	-
1993-94 \$	81,002	\$ 3,342	4.3%	\$ 69,487	\$ 2,895	4.3%	, .	\$ 3,543	4.3%	\$	46,013	\$	2,014	4.6%		ee notes				ee notes	
1992-93 \$	77,660		0.5%	\$ 66,592		0.6%	\$ 82,801	\$ 405	0.5%	\$	43,999	\$	387	0.9%	+,		0.0%	\$	21,295	\$	0.0%
1991-92 \$	77,254	\$ 3,641	4.9%	\$ 66,206	,	5.0%	\$ 82,396	\$ 3,868	4.9%	\$	43,612	_	2,148	5.2%	\$ 77,254	\$ 3,641	4.9%	\$,	\$ 1,00	
1990-91 \$	73,613	\$ 3,394	4.8%	\$ 63,062	\$ 2,955	4.9%	\$ 78,528	\$ 3,588	4.8%	\$	41,464	\$	2,104	5.3%	\$ 73,613	\$ 3,394	4.8%	\$	20,294	\$ 931	
1989-90 \$	70,219	\$ 3,608	5.4%	\$ 60,107	,	5.5%	\$ 74,940	\$ 8,329	12.5%	\$	39,360	\$	2,184	5.9%	\$ 70,219	\$ 3,608	5.4%	\$	19,363	\$ 990	
1988-89 \$	66,611	\$ 7,926	13.5%	\$ 56,980	\$ 7,443	15.0%	\$ 66,611	\$ 3,635	5.8%	\$	37,176	\$	2,201	6.3%	\$ 66,611	\$ 3,635	5.8%	\$	18,373	\$ 997	5.7%
1987-88 \$	58,685	\$ 3,136	5.6%	\$ 49,537	\$ 2,685	5.7%	\$ 62,976	\$ 3,340	5.6%	\$	34,975	\$	1,993	6.0%	\$ 62,976	\$ 3,340	5.6%	\$	17,376	\$ 918	5.6%
1986-87 \$	55,549	\$ 4,066	7.9%	\$ 46,852	\$ 3,476	8.0%	+,	\$ 4,334	7.8%	\$	32,982	\$	2,569	8.4%	\$ 59,636	\$ 4,334	7.8%	\$	16,458	\$ 1,19	7.8%
1985-86 \$	51,483	-	-	\$ 43,376	-	-	\$ 55,302	-	-	\$	30,413		-	-	\$ 55,302	-	-	\$	15,267	-	-

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Sumter County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

	Clerk of	Circ	uit Court,	Property							<u> </u>																
Fiscal	Appr	aiser	& Tax Col	lector	Supervi	sor	of Elect	ions		Sh	eriff			County	Co	mmissio	ners		School	Sup	perinten	dent		School			bers
Year	Salar	′	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	₩	Chg.	% Chg.	•	Salary	97	Chg.	% Chg.	S	alary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	<i>",</i>	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2016-17	\$ 119,	39	\$ 685	0.6%	119,339	\$	19,163	19.1%	128,302	\$	698	0.5%	\$	- /	\$	950	1.8%		-,	\$	685	0.6%	\$	32,567	\$	209	0.6%
2015-16	\$ 118,0	54	\$ 804	0.7%	\$ 100,176	\$	752	0.8%	\$ 127,604	44	814	0.6%	\$	53,274	4	1,212	2.3%	\$ 1	118,654	\$	804	0.7%	\$	32,358	\$	249	0.8%
2014-15	\$ 117,8	50	\$ 866	0.7%	\$ 99,424	\$	790	0.8%	\$ 126,790	44	890	0.7%	\$	52,061	4	1,078	2.1%	\$ 1	17,850	\$	866	0.7%	\$	32,108	\$	260	0.8%
2013-14	\$ 116,9	84	\$ 5,090	4.5%	\$ 98,634	\$	4,389	4.7%	\$ 125,900	44	5,410	4.5%	\$	50,983	4	2,696	5.6%	\$ 1	116,984	\$	5,090	4.5%	\$	31,848	\$	1,380	4.5%
2012-13	\$ 111,8	94	\$ 824	0.7%	\$ 94,245	\$	785	0.8%	\$ 120,490	44	824	0.7%	\$	48,287	4	785	1.7%	\$ 1	111,894	\$	824	0.7%	\$	30,468	\$	218	0.7%
2011-12	\$ 111,0	70	\$ (492)	-0.4%	\$ 93,461	\$	(468)	-0.5%	\$ 119,666	44	(492)	-0.4%	\$	47,502	4	(468)	-1.0%	\$ 1	11,070	\$	(492)	-0.4%	\$	30,250	\$	(130)	-0.4%
2010-11	\$ 111,	62	\$ 591	0.5%	\$ 93,929	\$	563	0.6%	\$ 120,158	\$	591	0.5%	\$	47,970	44	563	1.2%	\$ 1	11,562	\$	3,636	3.4%	\$	30,380		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 110,9	71	\$ 842	0.8%	\$ 93,366	\$	802	0.9%	\$ 119,567	44	842	0.7%	\$	47,407	4	802	1.7%	\$ 1	107,926		See no	otes	\$	30,223		See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 110,	29	\$ 1,968	1.8%	\$ 92,564	\$	1,861	2.1%	118,724	\$	1,978	1.7%	\$	-,	\$	1,811	4.0%	\$ 1	110,129	\$	1,968	1.8%	\$	30,000	\$	522	1.8%
2007-08	\$ 108,	60	\$ 2,200	2.1%	\$ 90,703	\$	2,096	2.4%	\$ 116,747	\$	2,200	1.9%	\$	44,795	\$	2,096	4.9%	\$ 1	108,160	\$	2,200	2.1%	\$	29,478		-	-
2006-07	\$ 105,9	60	\$ 5,445	5.4%	\$ 88,607	\$	4,774	5.7%	\$ 114,546	\$	5,732	5.3%	\$	42,699	\$	3,239	8.2%	\$ 1	105,960	\$	5,445	5.4%	<u>. </u>	N/A	<u> </u>	-	-
2005-06	\$ 100,	15	\$ 4,813	5.0%	\$ 83,833	\$	4,111	5.2%	\$ 108,814	\$	5,143	5.0%	\$	39,460	\$	2,346	6.3%	\$ 1	100,515	\$	4,813	5.0%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 95,	02	\$ 1,702	1.8%	\$ 79,722	\$	1,464	1.9%	\$ 103,671	\$	1,811	1.8%	\$	37,114	\$	880	2.4%	\$	95,702		-	-	<u>. </u>	N/A	<u> </u>	-	-
2003-04	\$ 94,0	00	\$ 2,863	3.1%	\$ 78,258	\$	2,506	3.3%	\$ 101,860	\$	3,017	3.1%	\$, -	\$	1,682	4.9%				notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 91,	37	\$ 3,075	3.5%	\$ 75,752	\$	2,654	3.6%	\$ 98,843	\$	3,266	3.4%	\$	34,551	\$	1,629	4.9%	\$	91,137	\$	3,075	3.5%				otes	
2001-02	\$ 88,0	62	\$ 3,066	3.6%	\$ 73,098	\$	2,613	3.7%	\$ 95,576	\$	3,281	3.6%	\$	32,922	\$	1,466	4.7%		88,062	\$	3,066	3.6%	\$	24,052	\$	833	3.6%
2000-01	\$ 84,9		\$ 395	0.5%	\$ 70,485	\$	90	0.1%	\$ 92,295	\$	594	0.6%	\$.,	\$	1,558	5.2%		,	\$	395	0.5%	\$,	\$	828	3.7%
1999-00	\$ 84,0	01	\$ 3,889	4.8%	\$ 70,395	\$	3,345	5.0%	\$ 91,701	\$	4,139	4.7%	\$	29,898	\$	1,919	6.9%	\$	84,601	\$	3,889	4.8%	\$	22,391	\$	1,029	4.8%
1998-99	\$ 80,		\$ 4,861	6.4%	\$ 67,050	\$	4,150	6.6%	\$ 87,562	\$	5,195	6.3%	\$,	\$	2,244	8.7%	•	,	\$	4,861	6.4%	\$	21,362	\$	1,287	6.4%
1997-98	\$ 75,8	51	\$ 4,548	6.4%	\$ 62,900	\$	3,890	6.6%	\$ 82,367	\$	4,856	6.3%	\$	25,735	\$	2,133	9.0%		-,	\$	4,548	6.4%	\$	20,075	\$	1,203	6.4%
1996-97	\$ 71,3		\$ 4,125	6.1%	\$ 59,010	\$	3,449	6.2%	\$ 77,511	\$	4,461	6.1%	\$,	\$	1,539	7.0%	_	71,303	\$	4,125	6.1%	\$	18,872	\$	1,092	6.1%
1995-96	\$ 67,	78	\$ 2,911	4.5%	\$ 55,561	\$	2,444	4.6%	\$ 73,050	\$	3,139	4.5%	\$	22,063	\$	1,138	5.4%		- , -	\$	2,911	4.5%	\$,	\$	770	4.5%
1994-95	\$ 64,2		\$ 3,589	5.9%	\$ 53,117	\$	2,985	6.0%	\$ 69,911	\$	3,890	5.9%	\$	-,	\$	1,263	6.4%	\$	64,267		-	-	\$	17,010		-	-
1993-94	\$ 60,0		\$ 2,478	4.3%	\$ 50,132	\$	2,073	4.3%	\$ 66,021	\$	2,680	4.2%	\$	19,662	\$	929	5.0%				notes		<u>. </u>			otes	
1992-93	\$ 58,2		\$ 84	0.1%	\$ 48,059	\$	80	0.2%	\$ 63,341	\$	84	0.1%	\$		\$	81	0.4%	•	58,116	\$	-	0.0%	\$	15,382		-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 58,		\$ 2,618	4.7%	\$ 47,979	\$	2,169	4.7%	\$ 63,257	\$	2,844	4.7%	\$	18,652	\$	877	4.9%	•	,	\$	2,618	4.7%	\$	15,382	\$	693	4.7%
1990-91	\$ 55,4	98	\$ 2,410	4.5%	\$ 45,810	\$	2,017	4.6%	\$ 60,413	\$	2,603	4.5%	\$	17,775	\$	913	5.4%	\$	55,498	\$	2,410	4.5%	\$	14,689	\$	638	4.5%
1989-90	\$ 53,0		\$ 2,531	5.0%	\$ 43,793	\$	2,103	5.0%	\$ 57,810	\$	7,253	14.3%	\$	-,	\$	878	5.5%	•	53,088	\$	2,531	5.0%	\$	14,051	\$	670	5.0%
1988-89	\$ 50,	57	\$ 6,842	15.7%	\$ 41,690	\$	6,411	18.2%	\$ 50,557	\$	2,552	5.3%	\$	15,984	\$	885	5.9%	\$	50,557	\$	2,552	5.3%	\$	13,381	\$	675	5.3%
1987-88	\$ 43,	15	\$ 2,247	5.4%	\$ 35,279	\$	1,838	5.5%	\$ 48,005	\$	2,450	5.4%	\$	15,099	\$	879	6.2%	•	48,005	\$	2,450	5.4%	\$,	\$	649	5.4%
1986-87	\$ 41,4	68	\$ 2,843	7.4%	\$ 33,441	\$	2,312	7.4%	\$ 45,555	\$	3,111	7.3%	\$	14,220	\$	1,055	8.0%	\$	45,555	\$	3,111	7.3%	\$. = ,	\$	823	7.3%
1985-86	\$ 38,0	25	-	-	\$ 31,129		-	-	\$ 42,444		-	-	\$	13,165		-	-	\$	42,444		-	-	\$	11,234		-	-
1986-87	\$ 41,4	68	. ,	7.4%	\$ 33,441	\$,		\$ 45,555	-			\$ \$	14,220	_			\$	45,555	\$,	7.3%	\$	12,057	-		

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Suwannee County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

			cuit Court,																								
Fiscal		Appraise	r & Tax Co	llector	Supervi						-	eriff					mmissio		School					School I			
Year	•	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ C	ng.	% Chg.	•	Salary	9	Chg.	% Chg.	•	Salary	:	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	•	Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.
2016-17	\$	105,637	\$ 253	0.2%	\$ 105,637	\$ 18	,099	20.7%	\$	114,600	\$	266	0.2%	\$	36,637	\$	145	0.4%	\$ 105,637	\$	253	0.2%	\$	27,958	\$	67	0.2%
2015-16	\$	105,384	\$ 216	0.2%	\$ 87,538	\$	191	0.2%	\$	114,334	\$	225	0.2%	44	36,491	\$	135	0.4%	\$ 105,384	\$	216	0.2%	\$	27,892	\$	57	0.2%
2014-15	\$	105,169	\$ 310	0.3%	\$ 87,347	\$	261	0.3%	\$	114,108	\$	335	0.3%	44	36,357	\$	123	0.3%	\$ 105,169	\$	310	0.3%	\$	27,835	\$	82	0.3%
2013-14	\$	104,858	\$ 3,948	3.9%	\$ 87,086	\$,302	3.9%	\$	113,774	\$	4,268	3.9%	44	36,234	\$	1,478	4.3%	\$ 104,858	\$	3,948	3.9%	\$	27,752	\$	1,045	3.9%
2012-13	\$	100,910	\$ 536	0.5%	\$ 83,784	\$	511	0.6%	\$	109,506	\$	536	0.5%	44	34,756	\$	511	1.5%	\$ 100,910	\$	536	0.5%	\$	26,707	\$	142	0.5%
2011-12	\$	100,374	\$ 426	0.4%	\$ 83,273	\$	406	0.5%	\$	108,969	\$	426	0.4%	44	34,245	\$	406	1.2%	\$ 100,374	\$	426	0.4%	\$	26,566	\$	113	0.4%
2010-11	\$	99,948	\$ (225) -0.2%	\$ 82,868	\$	(214)	-0.3%	\$	108,544	\$	(225)	-0.2%	\$	33,839	\$	(214)	-0.6%	\$ 99,948	\$	2,196	2.2%	\$	26,453		See no	tes
2009-10	\$	100,173	\$ 426	0.4%	\$ 83,082	\$	405	0.5%	\$	108,768	\$	426	0.4%	\$	34,053	\$	405	1.2%	\$ 97,752		See no	otes	\$	26,512		See no	tes
2008-09	\$	99,747	\$ 370	0.4%	\$ 82,676	\$	339	0.4%	\$	108,342	\$	380	0.4%	\$	33,648	\$	285	0.9%	\$ 99,747	\$	370	0.4%	\$	26,400	\$	98	0.4%
2007-08	\$	99,377		0.2%	\$ 82,337	\$	191	0.2%	\$	107,963	\$	200	0.2%	\$	33,363	\$	191	0.6%	\$ 99,377	\$	200	0.2%	\$	26,302		-	-
2006-07	\$	99,177	\$ 3,461	3.6%	\$ 82,146	\$,883,	3.6%	\$	107,763	\$	3,748	3.6%	44	33,172	\$	1,246	3.9%	\$ 99,177	\$	3,460	3.6%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$	95,716	\$ 3,960	4.3%	\$ 79,263	\$,299	4.3%	\$	104,015	\$	4,290	4.3%	44	31,926	\$	1,416	4.6%	\$ 95,716	\$	3,960	4.3%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$	91,756	\$ 1,691	1.9%	\$ 75,964	\$ 1	,454	2.0%	\$	99,725	\$	1,801	1.8%	44	30,510	\$	831	2.8%	\$ 91,756		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$	90,065	\$ 1,775	2.0%	\$ 74,510	\$,469	2.0%	\$	97,925	\$	1,929	2.0%	44	29,679	\$	591	2.0%	S	ee r	notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$	88,290	\$ 2,435	2.8%	\$ 73,041	\$ 2	,045	2.9%	\$	95,996	\$	2,627	2.8%	\$	29,088	\$	952	3.4%	\$ 88,290	\$	2,435	2.8%		S	ee n	otes	
2001-02	\$	85,855	\$ 2,576	3.1%	\$ 70,996	\$ 2	,146	3.1%	\$	93,369	\$	2,791	3.1%	\$	28,136	\$	922	3.4%	\$ 85,855	\$	2,576	3.1%	\$	22,723	\$	682	3.1%
2000-01	\$	83,279	\$ 2,448		\$ 68,850		,046	3.1%	\$	90,578	\$	2,647	3.0%	\$	27,214	\$	907	3.4%	\$ 83,279	\$	2,448	3.0%	\$	22,041		647	3.0%
1999-00	\$	80,831	\$ 2,981	3.8%	\$ 66,804	\$ 2	,480	3.9%	\$	87,931	\$	3,231	3.8%	\$	26,307	\$	1,054	4.2%	\$ 80,831	\$	2,981	3.8%	\$	21,394	\$	789	3.8%
1998-99	\$	77,850	\$ 4,239		\$ 64,324	\$ 3	,558	5.9%	\$	84,700	\$	4,573	5.7%	\$	25,253	\$	1,651	7.0%	\$ 77,850	\$	4,239	5.8%	\$,	\$	1,122	5.8%
1997-98	\$	73,611	\$ 3,686		\$ 60,766	\$ 3	,069	5.3%	\$	80,127	\$	3,995	5.2%	\$	23,602	\$	1,313	5.9%	\$ 73,611	\$	3,686	5.3%	\$,	\$	976	5.3%
1996-97	\$	69,925	\$ 4,045	6.1%	\$ 57,697	\$ 3	,372	6.2%	\$	76,132	\$	4,379	6.1%	\$	22,289	\$	1,462	7.0%	\$ 69,925	\$	4,045	6.1%	\$	18,507	\$	1,070	6.1%
1995-96	\$	65,880	\$ 2,717	4.3%	\$ 54,325	\$ 2	,260	4.3%	\$	71,753	\$	2,946	4.3%	\$	20,827	\$	953	4.8%	\$ 65,880	\$	2,717	4.3%	\$	17,437	\$	719	4.3%
1994-95	\$	63,163	\$ 3,585		\$ 52,065	\$ 2	,982	6.1%	\$	68,807	\$	3,887	6.0%	\$	19,874	\$		6.8%	\$ 63,163		-	-	\$	16,718		-	-
1993-94	\$	59,578	. , .		\$ 49,083	\$ 1	,876	4.0%	\$	64,920	\$	2,473	4.0%	\$	18,614	\$	734	4.1%			notes				ee n	otes	
1992-93	\$	57,305			\$ 47,207	\$	109	0.2%	\$	62,447	\$	115	0.2%	\$	17,880	\$	109	0.6%	\$.,	\$	-	0.0%	\$	15,137	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$	- , -	\$ 2,352	4.3%	\$ 47,098	\$ 1	,915	4.2%	\$	62,332	\$	2,578	4.3%	\$	17,771	\$	623	3.6%	\$ 57,191	\$	2,352	4.3%	\$	15,137	_	622	4.3%
1990-91	\$	54,839	\$ 2,320	4.4%	\$ 45,183	\$ 1	,932	4.5%	\$	59,754	\$	2,514	4.4%	\$	17,148	\$	828	5.1%	\$ 54,839	\$	2,320	4.4%	\$	14,515	\$	615	4.4%
1989-90	\$	52,519	\$ 2,482		\$ 43,251		,056	5.0%	\$	57,240	\$	7,203	14.4%	\$	16,320	\$	831	5.4%	\$ 52,519	\$	2,482	5.0%	\$	13,900		656	5.0%
1988-89	\$	50,037	\$ 6,757	15.6%	\$ 41,195	\$ 6	,330	18.2%	\$	50,037	\$	2,467	5.2%	\$	15,489	\$	804	5.5%	\$ 50,037	\$	2,467	5.2%	\$	13,244	\$	653	5.2%
1987-88	\$	43,280	\$ 2,130		\$ 34,865	\$ 1	,727	5.2%	\$	47,570	\$	2,334	5.2%	\$	14,685	\$	768	5.5%	\$ 47,570	\$	2,334	5.2%	\$	12,591	\$	618	5.2%
1986-87	\$	41,150	\$ 2,770	7.2%	\$ 33,138	\$ 2	,241	7.3%	\$	45,236	\$	3,037	7.2%	\$	13,917	\$	985	7.6%	\$ 45,236	\$	3,037	7.2%	\$	11,973	\$	804	7.2%
1985-86	\$	38,380	-	-	\$ 30,897			-	\$	42,199		-	-	\$	12,932		-	-	\$ 42,199		-	-	\$	11,169		- 1	-

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Taylor County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

	Cle	erk of Cir	cuit Court,	Property						-		<u>-</u>																
Fiscal		Appraise	r & Tax Co	llector	Supervi	sor c	of Elect	ions				neriff			County	Со	ommissio	ners		School	Sup	erinten	dent		School I	Boar	d Memb	oers
Year	,	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.	-	Salary	4	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	ï	Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.	,	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	,	Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.
2016-17	\$	98,368	\$ 110	0.1%	\$ 98,368	\$ 1	17,617	21.8%	\$	107,330	\$	124	0.1%	\$	29,713	\$	10	0.0%	\$	98,368	\$	110	0.1%	\$	26,036	\$	29	0.1%
2015-16	\$	98,257	\$ 80	0.1%	\$ 80,750	\$	62	0.1%	\$	107,207	\$	90	0.1%	\$	29,704	\$	5	0.0%	\$	98,257	\$	80	0.1%	\$	26,006	\$	21	0.1%
2014-15	\$	98,177	\$ 306	0.3%	\$ 80,688	\$	257	0.3%	\$	107,117	\$	330	0.3%	\$	29,698	\$	119	0.4%	\$	98,177	\$	306	0.3%	\$	25,985	\$	81	0.3%
2013-14	\$	97,871	\$ 3,639	3.9%	\$ 80,432	\$	3,007	3.9%	\$	106,787	\$	3,958	3.8%	\$	29,580	\$	1,183	4.2%	\$	97,871	\$	3,639	3.9%	\$	25,904	\$	963	3.9%
2012-13	\$	94,233	\$ (23)	0.0%	\$ 77,425	\$	(21)	0.0%	\$	102,828	\$	(23)	0.0%	\$	28,396	\$	(21)	-0.1%	\$	94,233	\$	(23)	0.0%	\$	24,941	\$	(6)	0.0%
2011-12	\$	94,255	\$ (191)	-0.2%	\$ 77,446	\$	(182)	-0.2%	\$	102,851	\$	(191)	-0.2%	\$	28,418	\$	(182)	-0.6%	\$	94,255	\$	(191)	-0.2%	\$	24,947	\$	(51)	-0.2%
2010-11	\$	94,447	\$ (11)	0.0%	\$ 77,628	\$	(11)	0.0%	\$	103,043	\$	(11)	0.0%	\$	28,600	\$	(11)	0.0%	\$	94,447	\$	2,094	2.3%	\$	24,998		See no	tes
2009-10	\$	94,458	\$ 221	0.2%	\$ 77,639	\$	210	0.3%	\$	103,054	\$	221	0.2%	\$	28,611	\$	210	0.7%	\$	92,353		See no	otes	\$	25,001		See no	tes
2008-09	\$	94,237	\$ 440	0.5%	\$ 77,429	\$	406	0.5%	\$	102,833	\$	449	0.4%	\$	28,401	\$	352	1.3%	\$	94,237	\$	440	0.5%	\$	24,943	\$	116	0.5%
2007-08	\$	93,797	\$ 51	0.1%	\$ 77,024	\$	49	0.1%	\$	102,384	\$	51	0.0%	\$	28,050	\$	49	0.2%	\$	93,797	\$	51	0.1%	\$	24,826		-	-
2006-07	\$	93,747	\$ 3,250	3.6%	\$ 76,975	\$	2,684	3.6%	\$	102,333	\$	3,537	3.6%	\$	28,000	\$	1,045	3.9%	\$	93,747	\$	3,250	3.6%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$	90,497	\$ 3,687	4.2%	\$ 74,291	\$	3,038	4.3%	\$	98,796	\$	4,017	4.2%	\$	26,955	\$	1,156	4.5%	\$	90,497	\$	3,687	4.2%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$	86,810	\$ 1,439	1.7%	\$ 71,253	\$	1,214	1.7%	\$	94,779	\$	1,549	1.7%	\$	25,799	\$	591	2.3%	\$	86,810		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$	85,370	\$ 1,754	2.1%	\$ 70,039	\$	1,450	2.1%	\$	93,230	\$	1,908	2.1%	\$	25,208	\$	571	2.3%		S	ee r	notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$	83,617	\$ 2,154	2.6%	\$ 68,589	\$	1,777	2.7%	\$	91,322	\$	2,346	2.6%	\$	24,637	\$	684	2.9%	\$	83,617	\$	2,154	2.6%		S	ee n	otes	
2001-02	\$	81,463	\$ 2,167	2.7%	\$ 66,813	\$	1,756	2.7%	\$	88,977	\$	2,382	2.8%	44	23,953	\$		2.3%	\$	81,463	\$	2,167	2.7%	\$	21,561	\$	573	2.7%
2000-01	\$	79,296	\$ 2,251	2.9%	\$ 65,057	\$	1,858	2.9%	\$	86,595	\$	2,450	2.9%	44	23,421	\$	719	3.2%	\$	79,296	\$	2,251	2.9%	\$	20,988	\$	596	2.9%
1999-00	\$	77,045	\$ 2,802	3.8%	\$ 63,199	\$	2,310	3.8%	\$	84,145	\$	3,052	3.8%	44	22,702	\$	884	4.1%	\$	77,045	\$	2,802	3.8%	\$	20,392	\$	741	3.8%
1998-99	\$	74,243	\$ 3,662	5.2%	\$ 60,889	\$	3,009	5.2%	\$	81,093	\$	3,997	5.2%	44	21,818	\$	1,102	5.3%	\$	74,243	\$	3,662	5.2%	\$	19,651	\$	970	5.2%
1997-98	\$	70,581	\$ 3,499	5.2%	\$ 57,880	\$	2,891	5.3%	\$	77,096	\$	3,807	5.2%	44	20,716	\$	1,135	5.8%	\$	70,581	\$	3,499	5.2%	\$	18,681	\$	926	5.2%
1996-97	\$	67,082	\$ 3,809	6.0%	\$ 54,989	\$	3,147	6.1%	\$	73,289	\$	4,143	6.0%	44	19,581	\$	1,236	6.7%	\$	67,082	\$	3,809	6.0%	\$	17,755	\$	1,008	6.0%
1995-96	\$	63,273	\$ 2,486	4.1%	\$ 51,842	\$	2,039	4.1%	\$	69,146	\$	2,715	4.1%	44	18,345	\$	733	4.2%	\$	63,273	\$	2,486	4.1%	\$	16,747	\$	658	4.1%
1994-95	\$	60,787	\$ 3,240	5.6%	\$ 49,803	\$	2,654	5.6%	\$	66,431	\$	3,542	5.6%	44	17,612	\$	933	5.6%	\$	60,787		-	-	\$	16,089		-	-
1993-94	\$	57,547	\$ 2,175	3.9%	\$ 47,149	\$	1,783	3.9%	\$	62,889	\$	2,375	3.9%	\$	16,679	\$	639	4.0%		S	ee r	notes			S	ee n	otes	
1992-93	\$	55,372	\$ 45	0.1%	\$ 45,366	\$	44	0.1%	\$	60,514	\$	46	0.1%	\$	16,040	\$	44	0.3%	\$	55,327	\$	-	0.0%	\$	14,644	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$	55,327	\$ 1,958	3.7%	\$ 45,322	\$	1,540	3.5%	\$	60,468	\$	2,184	3.7%	44	15,996	\$	248	1.6%	\$	55,327	\$	1,958	3.7%	\$	14,644	\$	518	3.7%
1990-91	\$	53,369	\$ 2,245	4.4%	\$ 43,782	\$	1,860	4.4%	\$	58,284	\$	2,438	4.4%	\$	15,748	\$	756	5.0%	\$	53,369	\$	2,245	4.4%	\$	14,126	\$	594	4.4%
1989-90	\$	51,124	\$ 2,347	4.8%	\$ 41,922	\$	1,927	4.8%	\$	55,846	\$	7,069	14.5%	\$	14,992	\$		4.9%	\$	51,124	\$	2,347	4.8%	\$	13,532	\$	622	4.8%
1988-89	\$	48,777	\$ 6,715	16.0%	\$ 39,995	\$	6,289	18.7%	\$	48,777	\$	2,424	5.2%	\$	14,289	\$	764	5.6%	\$	48,777	\$	2,424	5.2%	\$	12,910	\$	641	5.2%
1987-88	\$	42,062	\$ 2,060	5.1%	\$ 33,706	\$	1,661	5.2%	\$	46,353	\$	2,265	5.1%	\$	13,525	\$	702	5.5%	\$	46,353	\$	2,265	5.1%	\$	12,269	\$	600	5.1%
1986-87	\$	40,002	\$ 2,655	7.1%	\$ 32,045	\$	2,132	7.1%	\$	44,088	\$	2,921	7.1%	\$	12,823	\$	874	7.3%	\$	44,088	\$	2,921	7.1%	\$	11,669	\$	773	7.1%
1985-86	\$	37,347	-	-	\$ 29,913		-	-	\$	41,167		-	-	\$	11,949		-	-	\$	41,167		-	-	\$	10,896		-	-
																				•					•			

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Union County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

	Cle	erk of Cir	cuit Court,	Property																						
Fiscal		Appraise	r & Tax Co	llector	Supervi	sor of Elec	tions		Sh	eriff			County	Со	mmissio	ners		School	Supe	erintend	dent		School	Board	J Memb	bers
Year	,	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	**	Chg.	% Chg.	ï	Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.	,	Salary	\$ 0	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ C	Chg.	% Chg.
2016-17	\$	96,046	\$ 234	0.2%	\$ 96,046	\$ 17,625	22.5%	\$ 105,009	\$	247	0.2%	\$	27,503	\$	128	0.5%	\$	96,046	\$	234	0.2%	\$	25,422	\$	62	0.2%
2015-16	\$	95,812	\$ 161	0.2%	\$ 78,422	\$ 139	0.2%	\$ 104,762	\$	171	0.2%	\$	27,375	\$	83	0.3%	\$	95,812	\$	161	0.2%	\$	25,360	\$	43	0.2%
2014-15	\$	95,651	\$ 250	0.3%	\$ 78,282	\$ 203	0.3%	\$ 104,591	\$	274	0.3%	\$	27,292	\$	65	0.2%	\$	95,651	\$	250	0.3%	\$	25,317	\$	66	0.3%
2013-14	\$	95,401	\$ 3,434	3.7%	\$ 78,079	\$ 2,812	3.7%	\$ 104,317	\$	3,753	3.7%	\$	27,227	\$	988	3.8%	\$	95,401	\$	3,434	3.7%	\$	25,251	\$	909	3.7%
2012-13	\$	91,968	\$ (20	0.0%	\$ 75,267	\$ (19)	0.0%	\$ 100,563	\$	(20)	0.0%	\$	26,239	\$	(19)	-0.1%	\$	91,968	\$	(20)	0.0%	\$	24,342	\$	(5)	0.0%
2011-12	\$	91,988	\$ (13	0.0%	\$ 75,286	\$ (13)	0.0%	\$ 100,583	\$	(13)	0.0%	\$	26,258	\$	(13)	0.0%	\$	91,988	\$	(13)	0.0%	\$	24,347	\$	(3)	0.0%
2010-11	\$	92,001	\$ (128	-0.1%	\$ 75,299	\$ (122)	-0.2%	\$ 100,597	\$	(128)	-0.1%	\$	26,271	\$	(122)	-0.5%	\$	92,001	\$	1,794	2.0%	\$	24,351		See no	tes
2009-10	\$	92,129	\$ 82	0.1%	\$ 75,421	\$ 78	0.1%	\$ 100,725	\$	82	0.1%	\$	26,393	\$	78	0.3%	\$	90,206		See no	tes	\$	24,385		See no	tes
2008-09	\$	92,047	\$ 325	0.4%	\$ 75,343	\$ 296	0.4%	\$ 100,643	\$	334	0.3%	\$	26,316	\$	242	0.9%	\$	92,047	\$	325	0.4%	\$	24,363	\$	86	0.4%
2007-08	\$	91,723	\$ (7	0.0%	\$ 75,048	\$ (6)	0.0%	\$ 100,309	\$	(7)	0.0%	\$	26,074	\$	(6)	0.0%	\$	91,723	\$	(7)	0.0%	\$	24,277		-	-
2006-07	\$	91,730	\$ 3,201	3.6%	\$ 75,054	\$ 2,636	3.6%	\$ 100,316	\$	3,488	3.6%	44	26,080	\$	999	4.0%	\$	91,730	\$	3,200	3.6%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$	88,529	\$ 3,787	4.5%	\$ 72,418	\$ 3,134	4.5%	\$ 96,828	\$	4,117	4.4%	44	25,081	\$	1,252	5.3%	\$	88,529	\$	3,788	4.5%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$	84,742	\$ 1,142	1.4%	\$ 69,284	\$ 931	1.4%	\$ 92,711	\$	1,251	1.4%	44	23,829	\$	308	1.3%	\$	84,742		-			N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$	83,600	\$ 1,717	2.1%	\$ 68,353	\$ 1,415	2.1%	\$ 91,460	\$	1,872	2.1%	44	23,522	\$	536	2.3%		S	See no	otes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$	81,883	\$ 2,058	2.6%	\$ 66,938	\$ 1,686	2.6%	\$ 89,589	\$	2,250	2.6%	\$	22,986	\$	593	2.6%	\$	81,883	\$	2,058	2.6%		S	See no	otes	
2001-02	\$	79,824	\$ 2,171	2.8%	\$ 65,252	\$ 1,760	2.8%	\$ 87,338		2,386	2.8%	\$	22,393	\$	537	2.5%	\$	79,824	\$	2,171	2.8%	\$	21,128	\$	575	2.8%
2000-01	\$	77,653	\$ 2,224	2.9%	\$ 63,492	\$ 1,832	3.0%	\$ 84,952	\$	2,423	2.9%	\$	21,856	\$	693	3.3%	\$	77,653		2,224	2.9%	\$	20,553	•	588	2.9%
1999-00	\$	75,429	\$ 2,748	3.8%	\$ 61,660	\$ 2,258	3.8%	\$ 82,529	\$	2,998	3.8%	\$	21,163	\$	832	4.1%	\$	75,429		2,748	3.8%	\$	19,965	\$	727	3.8%
1998-99	\$	72,681	\$ 3,566	5.2%	\$ 59,402	\$ 2,918	5.2%	\$ 79,531	\$	3,900	5.2%	\$	20,331	\$	1,011	5.2%	\$	72,681		3,566	5.2%	\$,	\$	944	5.2%
1997-98	\$	69,115	\$ 3,354	5.1%	\$ 56,484	\$ 2,763	5.1%	\$ 75,631	\$	3,663	5.1%	\$	19,320	\$	997	5.4%	\$	69,115		3,354	5.1%	\$	18,294	\$	888	5.1%
1996-97	\$	65,761	\$ 3,573	5.7%	\$ 53,721	\$ 2,912	5.7%	\$ 71,968	\$	3,907	5.7%	\$	18,323	\$	1,012	5.8%	\$			3,573	5.7%	\$,	\$	946	5.7%
1995-96	\$	62,188	\$ 2,531	4.2%	\$ 50,809	\$ 2,083	4.3%	\$ 68,061	\$	2,761	4.2%	\$	17,311	\$	776	4.7%	\$	62,188	\$	2,531	4.2%	\$	16,460	\$	670	4.2%
1994-95	\$	59,657	\$ 3,309	5.9%	\$ 48,726	\$ 2,718	5.9%	\$ 65,300	\$	3,610	5.9%	\$	16,535	\$	997	6.4%	\$	59,657		-	-	\$	15,790		-	-
1993-94	\$	56,348	\$ 2,274	4.2%	\$ 46,008	\$ 1,878	4.3%	\$ 61,690	\$	2,474	4.2%	\$	15,538	\$	735	5.0%			See no	otes		Ш.		See no	otes	
1992-93	\$	54,074	\$ 70	0.1%	\$ 44,130	\$ 67	0.2%	\$ 59,216	\$	70	0.1%	\$	14,803	\$	67	0.5%	\$	54,004		-	0.0%	\$	14,294		-	0.0%
1991-92	\$	54,004	\$ 2,337	4.5%	\$ 44,063	\$ 1,902	4.5%	\$ 59,146	\$	2,564	4.5%	\$	14,736	\$	610	4.3%	\$	54,004	\$	2,337	4.5%	\$	14,294	\$	619	4.5%
1990-91	\$	51,667	\$ 2,089	4.2%	\$ 42,161	\$ 1,712	4.2%	\$ 56,582	\$	2,283	4.2%	\$	14,126	\$	607	4.5%	\$	51,667	\$	2,089	4.2%	\$	13,675	\$	552	4.2%
1989-90	\$	49,578	\$ 2,162	4.6%	\$ 40,449	\$ 1,751	4.5%	\$ 54,299	\$	6,883	14.5%	\$	13,519	\$	526	4.0%	\$	49,578		2,162	4.6%	\$	13,123	\$	573	4.6%
1988-89	\$	47,416	\$ 6,592	16.1%	\$ 38,698	\$ 6,172	19.0%	\$ 47,416	\$	2,302	5.1%	\$	12,993	\$	648	5.2%	\$	47,416		2,302	5.1%	\$,	\$	609	5.1%
1987-88	\$	40,824	\$ 1,922	4.9%	\$ 32,526	\$ 1,529	4.9%	\$ 45,114	\$	2,126	4.9%	\$	12,345	\$	569	4.8%	\$	45,114	\$	2,126	4.9%	\$	11,941	\$	563	4.9%
1986-87	\$	38,902	\$ 2,574	7.1%	\$ 30,997	\$ 2,055	7.1%	\$ 42,988	\$	2,841	7.1%	\$	11,776	\$	798	7.3%	\$	42,988	\$	2,841	7.1%	\$	11,378	\$	752	7.1%
1985-86	\$	36,328	-	-	\$ 28,942	-	-	\$ 40,147		-	-	\$	10,978		-	-	\$	40,147		-	-	\$	10,626		-	-

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Volusia County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

2016-17 \$ 144,709 \$ 364 0.3% \$ 144,709 \$ 20,666 6.1% \$ 153,672 \$ 378 0.2% \$ 85,585 \$ 269 0.3% \$ 144,709 \$ 664 0.3% \$ 39,777 \$ 99 0.2 \$ 2015-16 \$ 144,344 \$ 269 0.2% \$ 124,643 \$ 242 0.2% \$ 153,045 \$ 455 0.3% \$ 85,118 \$ 269 0.2% \$ 39,678 \$ 73 0.2 \$ 2014-15 \$ 144,076 \$ 431 0.3% \$ 39,678 \$ 124,047 \$ 376 0.3% \$ 152,601 \$ 39,005 \$ 118 0.3 \$ 2014-15 \$ 144,076 \$ 431 0.3% \$ 39,605 \$ 118 0.3 \$ 2014-15 \$ 134,076 \$ 431 0.3% \$ 39,605 \$ 118 0.3 \$ 2014-15 \$ 134,047 \$ 5 ,018 \$ 2014-15 \$ 138,045 \$ 5,189 3.7% \$ 124,025 \$ 4,484 3.8% \$ 152,560 \$ 5,509 3.7% \$ 84,848 \$ 3,079 3.8% \$ 144,076 \$ 431 0.3% \$ 39,487 \$ 1,426 3.7 \$ 2014-15 \$ 138,435 \$ 17 0.0% \$ 119,541 \$ 17 0.0% \$ 147,031 \$ (269) 0.2% \$ 81,753 \$ (256) 0.3% \$ 138,435 \$ 17 0.0% \$ 38,061 \$ 5 0.0 \$ 2014-15 \$ 138,435 \$ (269) 0.2% \$ 119,781 \$ (75) 0.1% \$ 147,031 \$ (269) 0.2% \$ 81,753 \$ (256) 0.3% \$ 138,435 \$ (269) 0.2% \$ 38,128 \$ 80,000 \$ 138,785 \$ 276 0.0% \$ 38,065 \$ 170 0.0% \$ 138,785 \$ 124,025 \$ 144,074 \$ 376 0.0% \$ 144,074 \$ 144		Clerk of Circuit Court, Property																												
2016-17 \$ 144,709 \$ 364 0.3% \$ 144,709 \$ 20,666 16.1% \$ 153,672 \$ 378 0.2% \$ 85,585 \$ 269 0.3% \$ 144,709 \$ 664 0.3% \$ 39,777 \$ 99 0.2	Fiscal	ı	Apprais	er & 1	Tax Coll	lector											County	Со	mmissio	ners	School	ol Su	perinten	dent						
2015-16 \$ 144,344 \$ 269 0.2% \$ 124,643 \$ 242 0.2% \$ 153,294 \$ 279 0.2% \$ 8,5316 \$ 198 0.2% \$ 144,344 \$ 269 0.2% \$ 39,678 \$ 73 0.2	Year		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	•	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	,	Salary	"	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	•	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.	
2014-15 \$ 144,076 \$ 431 0.3% \$ 124,001 \$ 376 0.3% \$ 153,015 \$ 455 0.3% \$ 85,118 \$ 269 0.3% \$ 144,076 \$ 431 0.3% \$ 39,605 \$ 118 0.3 2013-14 \$ 143,645 \$ 5,189 3.7% \$ 124,025 \$ 4,484 3.8% \$ 152,560 \$ 5,509 3.7% \$ 84,848 \$ 3,079 3.8% \$ 143,645 \$ 5,189 3.7% \$ 39,487 \$ 1,426 3.7 2012-13 \$ 138,455 \$ 17 0.0% \$ 119,541 \$ 17 0.0% \$ 147,031 \$ 17 0.0% \$ 81,770 \$ 17 0.0% \$ 138,455 \$ 17 0.0% \$ 38,061 \$ 5 0.0 2011-12 \$ 138,438 \$ (269) -0.2% \$ 119,525 \$ (256) -0.2% \$ 147,034 \$ (269) -0.2% \$ 81,753 \$ (256) -0.3% \$ 138,438 \$ (269) -0.2% \$ 38,056 \$ (71) -0.2 2010-11 \$ 138,785 \$ 60 0.0% \$ 119,525 \$ (256) -0.2% \$ 147,034 \$ (269) -0.2% \$ 81,753 \$ (256) -0.3% \$ 138,438 \$ (269) -0.2% \$ 38,056 \$ (71) -0.2 2009-10 \$ 138,785 \$ 60 0.0% \$ 119,525 \$ (256) -0.2% \$ 147,331 \$ (76) -0.1% \$ 82,009 \$ (75) -0.1% \$ 138,707 \$ (276) \$ (2.0% \$ 38,128 \$ 80,009 \$ (2.0% \$ 119,525 \$ 119,751 \$ (2.0% \$ 147,331 \$ (2.0%	2016-17	\$	144,709	\$	364	0.3%	\$	144,709	\$ 20,066	16.1%	\$	153,672	\$	378	0.2%	\$	85,585	\$	269	0.3%	\$ 144,709	\$	364	0.3%	\$	39,777	\$	99	0.2%	
2013-14 \$ 143,645 \$ 5,189 3.7% \$ 124,025 \$ 4,484 3.8% \$ 152,560 \$ 5,509 3.7% \$ 84,848 \$ 3,079 3.8% \$ 143,645 \$ 5,189 3.7% \$ 39,487 \$ 1,426 3.7	2015-16	\$	144,344	\$	269	0.2%	\$	124,643	\$ 242	0.2%	\$	153,294	\$	279	0.2%	\$	85,316	\$	198	0.2%	\$ 144,344	\$	269	0.2%	\$	39,678	\$	73	0.2%	
2012-13 \$ 138,455 \$ 17 0.0% \$ 119,541 \$ 17 0.0% \$ 147,051 \$ 17 0.0% \$ 81,770 \$ 17 0.0% \$ 138,455 \$ 17 0.0% \$ 38,061 \$ 5 0.0 \$ 2011-12 \$ 138,438 \$ (269) -0.2% \$ 119,525 \$ (266) -0.2% \$ 147,034 \$ (269) -0.2% \$ 81,753 \$ (256) -0.3% \$ 138,438 \$ (269) -0.2% \$ 38,056 \$ (71) -0.2 \$ (2010-11 \$ 138,707 \$ (78) -0.1% \$ 119,781 \$ (75) -0.1% \$ 147,033 \$ (78) -0.1% \$ 120,009 \$ (75) -0.1% \$ 138,707 \$ 2,756 2.0% \$ 38,128 \$ See notes \$ 2009-10 \$ 138,726 \$ 242 0.2% \$ 119,786 \$ 57 0.0% \$ 147,381 \$ 60 0.0% \$ 82,084 \$ 56 0.1% \$ 138,795 \$ See notes \$ 38,148 \$ See notes \$ 2007-08 \$ 138,726 \$ 242 0.2% \$ 119,799 \$ 217 0.2% \$ 147,707 \$ 196 0.1% \$ 138,707 \$ 138,707 \$ 2,756 0.2% \$ 38,132 \$ See notes \$ 38,148 \$ See notes \$ 2007-08 \$ 138,726 \$ 242 0.2% \$ 119,799 \$ 217 0.2% \$ 147,707 \$ 196 0.1% \$ 138,825 \$ 187 0.2% \$ 138,484 \$ 196 0.1% \$ 119,552 \$ 187 0.2% \$ 147,707 \$ 196 0.1% \$ 138,825 \$ 187 0.2% \$ 138,484 \$ 196 0.1% \$ 38,067 \$ 100,000 \$ 119,552 \$ 187 0.2% \$ 147,707 \$ 196 0.1% \$ 138,707 \$ 138,708 \$ 138,484 \$ 196 0.1% \$ 38,067 \$ 100,000 \$ 147,321 \$ 251 0.2% \$ 82,027 \$ 175 0.2% \$ 138,484 \$ 196 0.1% \$ 38,067 \$ 100,000 \$ 119,552 \$ 187 0.2% \$ 147,707 \$ 196 0.1% \$ 138,525 187 0.2% \$ 138,484 \$ 196 0.1% \$ 38,067 \$ 100,000 \$ 100,000 \$ 110,	2014-15	\$	144,076	\$	431	0.3%	\$	124,401	\$ 376	0.3%	\$	153,015	\$		0.3%	\$	85,118	\$	269	0.3%	\$ 144,070	\$	431	0.3%	\$	39,605	\$	118	0.3%	
2011-12 \$ 138,438 \$ (269) -0.2% \$ 119,525 \$ (256) -0.2% \$ 147,034 \$ (269) -0.2% \$ 81,753 \$ (256) -0.3% \$ 138,438 \$ (269) -0.2% \$ 38,056 \$ (71) -0.2 \$ 2010-11 \$ 138,707 \$ (78) -0.1% \$ 119,781 \$ (75) -0.1% \$ 147,303 \$ (78) -0.1% \$ 82,009 \$ (75) -0.1% \$ 138,707 \$ 2,756 2.0% \$ 38,128 See notes \$ 2009-10 \$ 138,785 \$ 60 0.0% \$ 147,381 \$ 60 0.0% \$ 147,381 \$ 60 0.0% \$ 82,004 \$ 56 0.1% \$ 138,705 \$ 2,756 2.0% \$ 38,128 See notes \$ 2008-09 \$ 138,726 \$ 242 0.2% \$ 119,799 \$ 217 0.2% \$ 147,381 \$ 251 0.2% \$ 82,004 \$ 56 0.1% \$ 138,726 \$ 242 0.2% \$ 38,132 \$ 66 0.2 \$ 2007-08 \$ 138,484 \$ 196 0.1% \$ 119,592 \$ 187 0.2% \$ 147,370 \$ 196 0.1% \$ 81,852 \$ 187 0.2% \$ 138,484 \$ 196 0.1% \$ 38,067 2006-07 \$ 138,288 \$ 4,840 3.6% \$ 119,395 \$ 4,198 3.6% \$ 146,874 \$ 5,127 3.6% \$ 81,665 \$ 2,937 3.7% \$ 138,288 \$ 4,840 3.6% \$ 119,595 \$ 4,198 3.6% \$ 146,874 \$ 5,127 3.6% \$ 81,665 \$ 2,937 3.7% \$ 138,288 \$ 4,840 3.6% \$ 119,395 \$ 4,198 3.6% \$ 144,747 \$ 5,906 4.3% \$ 78,728 \$ 3,366 4.5% \$ 138,384 \$ 5,575 4.4% \$ 140,404 \$ 141,474 \$ 5,906 4.3% \$ 78,728 \$ 3,366 4.5% \$ 138,288 \$ 4,840 3.6% \$ 119,395 \$ 4,198 3.6% \$ 146,874 \$ 5,127 3.6% \$ 81,665 \$ 2,937 3.7% \$ 138,288 \$ 4,840 3.6% \$ 119,395 \$ 4,198 3.6% \$ 146,874 \$ 5,127 3.6% \$ 81,665 \$ 2,237 3.7% \$ 138,288 \$ 4,840 3.6% \$ 119,406 \$ 110,406 \$ 1,719 1.6% \$ 146,874 \$ 5,100 \$ 140,400	2013-14	\$	143,645	\$	5,189	3.7%	\$	124,025	\$ 4,484	3.8%	\$	152,560	\$	5,509	3.7%	\$	84,848	\$	3,079	3.8%	\$ 143,64	5 \$	5,189	3.7%	\$	39,487	\$	1,426	3.7%	
2010-11 \$ 138,707 \$ (78) -0.1% \$ 119,781 \$ (75) -0.1% \$ 147,303 \$ (78) -0.1% \$ 82,009 \$ (75) -0.1% \$ 138,707 \$ 2,756 \$ 2.0% \$ 38,128 \$ See □Ues	2012-13	\$	138,455	\$	17	0.0%	\$	119,541	\$ 17	0.0%	\$	147,051	\$	17	0.0%	\$	81,770	\$	17	0.0%	\$ 138,45	\$	17	0.0%	\$	38,061	\$	5	0.0%	
2009-10 \$ 138,785 \$ 60 0.0% \$ 119,856 \$ 57 0.0% \$ 147,381 \$ 60 0.0% \$ 82,084 \$ 56 0.1% \$ 135,951 See notes \$ 38,148 See notes 2008-09 \$ 138,726 \$ 242 0.2% \$ 119,799 \$ 217 0.2% \$ 147,321 \$ 251 0.2% \$ 82,027 \$ 175 0.2% \$ 138,726 \$ 242 0.2% \$ 38,132 \$ 66 0.2 \$ 2007-08 \$ 138,848 \$ 196 0.1% \$ 119,582 \$ 187 0.2% \$ 147,707 \$ 196 0.1% \$ 81,852 \$ 187 0.2% \$ 138,726 \$ 242 0.2% \$ 38,132 \$ 66 0.2 \$ 2006-07 \$ 138,288 \$ 4,840 3.6% \$ 119,582 \$ 187 0.2% \$ 147,707 \$ 196 0.1% \$ 81,852 \$ 187 0.2% \$ 138,484 \$ 196 0.1% \$ 38,067 - 2006-07 \$ 138,288 \$ 4,840 3.6% \$ 119,585 \$ 4,198 3.6% \$ 146,874 \$ 5,127 3.6% \$ 81,665 \$ 2,937 3.7% \$ 138,288 \$ 4,840 3.6% N/A -	2011-12	\$	138,438	\$	(269)	-0.2%	\$	119,525	\$ (256	-0.2%	\$	147,034	\$	(269)	-0.2%	\$	81,753	\$	(256)	-0.3%	\$ 138,438	3 \$	(269)	-0.2%	\$	38,056	\$	(71)	-0.2%	
2008-09 \$ 138,726 \$ 242 0.2% \$ 119,799 \$ 217 0.2% \$ 147,321 \$ 251 0.2% \$ 82,027 \$ 175 0.2% \$ 138,726 \$ 242 0.2% \$ 38,132 \$ 66 0.2	2010-11	\$	138,707	\$	(78)	-0.1%	\$	119,781	\$ (75	-0.1%	\$	147,303	\$	(78)	-0.1%	\$	82,009	\$	(75)	-0.1%	\$ 138,707	\$	2,756	2.0%	\$	38,128		See no	otes	
2007-08 \$ 138,484 \$ 196 0.1% \$ 119,582 \$ 187 0.2% \$ 147,070 \$ 196 0.1% \$ 81,852 \$ 187 0.2% \$ 138,484 \$ 196 0.1% \$ 38,067 2006-07 \$ 138,288 \$ 4,840 3.6% \$ 119,395 \$ 4,198 3.6% \$ 146,874 \$ 5,127 3.6% \$ 81,665 \$ 2,937 3.7% \$ 138,288 \$ 4,840 3.6% \$ N/A 2005-06 \$ 133,448 \$ 5,576 4.4% \$ 115,197 \$ 4,837 4.4% \$ 141,747 \$ 5,906 4.3% \$ 78,728 \$ 3,386 4.5% \$ 133,448 \$ 5,575 4.4% \$ N/A 2004-05 \$ 127,872 \$ 1,970 1.6% \$ 110,360 \$ 1,719 1.6% \$ 135,841 \$ 2,079 1.6% \$ 75,342 \$ 1,239 1.7% \$ \$ 127,872 \$ N/A N/A 2002-03 \$ 123,287 \$ 3,229 2.7% \$ 106,371 \$ 2,801 2.7% \$ 130,993 \$ 3,421 2.7% \$ 72,509 \$ 1,959 2.8% \$ 123,287 \$ 3,229 2.7% \$ See notes 2001-02 \$ 120,057 \$ 3,729 3.2% \$ 103,570 \$ 3,244 3.2% \$ 127,572 \$ 3,944 3.2% \$ 70,550 \$ 2,301 3.4% \$ 120,057 \$ 3,729 3.2% \$ 33,013 \$ 1,022 3.2* 2000-01 \$ 116,328 \$ 3,294 2.9% \$ 100,326 \$ 2,852 2.9% \$ 123,628 \$ 3,494 2.9% \$ 68,249 \$ 1,975 3.0% \$ 116,328 \$ 3,294 2.9% \$ 31,991 \$ 905 2.9* 1999-00 \$ 113,034 \$ 4,097 3.8% \$ 97,474 \$ 3,543 3.8% \$ 120,134 \$ 4,347 3.8% \$ 66,274 \$ 2,444 3.8% \$ 110,893 \$ 5,422 5.2% \$ 93,931 \$ 4,684 5.2% \$ 115,787 \$ 5,756 5.2% \$ 63,830 \$ 3,215 5.3% \$ 108,937 \$ 5,422 5.2% \$ 93,931 \$ 4,684 5.2% \$ 115,787 \$ 5,756 5.2% \$ 63,830 \$ 3,215 5.3% \$ 108,937 \$ 5,422 5.2% \$ 93,931 \$ 4,684 5.2% \$ 115,787 \$ 5,756 5.2% \$ 63,830 \$ 3,215 5.3% \$ 108,937 \$ 5,422 5.2% \$ 93,931 \$ 4,684 5.2% \$ 115,787 \$ 5,756 5.2% \$ 63,830 \$ 3,215 5.3% \$ 108,937 \$ 5,422 5.2% \$ 29,961 \$ 1,490 5.2* 1997-98 \$ 108,937 \$ 5,422 5.2% \$ 93,931 \$ 4,684 5.2% \$ 115,787 \$ 5,756 5.2% \$ 63,830 \$ 3,215 5.3% \$ 108,937 \$ 5,422 5.2% \$ 29,961 \$ 1,490 5.2* 1997-98 \$ 103,515 \$ 4,958 5.0% \$ 84,966 \$ 4,767 5.9% \$ 104,765 \$ 5,844 5.9% \$ 57,687 \$ 3,295 6.1% \$ 98,557 \$ 5,509 5.9% \$ 27,108 \$ 1,514 5.9\$ 1995-96 \$ 93,048 \$ 3,906 4.4% \$ 80,199 \$ 3,392 4.4% \$ 98,921 \$ 4,135 4.4% \$ 54,939 \$ 2,200 \$ 5,402 \$ 5.2% \$ 8,940 \$ 1,514 5.9\$ 1995-96 \$ 93,048 \$ 3,906 4.4% \$ 80,199 \$ 3,392 4.4% \$ 89,473 \$ 3,639 \$ 4.2% \$ 84,893 \$ 2,106 4.5% \$ 89,142 \$ \$ 24,523 \$ \$ 24,523 \$	2009-10	\$	138,785	\$	60	0.0%	\$	119,856	\$ 57	0.0%	\$	147,381	\$	60	0.0%	\$	82,084	\$	56	0.1%	\$ 135,95°		See no	otes	\$	38,148		See no	otes	
2006-07 \$ 138,288 \$ 4,840 \$ 3.6% \$ 119,395 \$ 4,198 \$ 3.6% \$ 146,874 \$ 5,127 \$ 3.6% \$ 81,665 \$ 2,937 \$ 3.7% \$ 138,288 \$ 4,840 \$ 3.6% \$ N/A 2005-06 \$ 133,448 \$ 5,576 \$ 4.4% \$ 115,197 \$ 4,837 \$ 4.4% \$ 141,747 \$ 5,906 \$ 4.3% \$ 78,728 \$ 3,386 \$ 4.5% \$ 133,448 \$ 5,575 \$ 4.4% \$ N/A 2004-05 \$ 127,872 \$ 1,970 \$ 1.6% \$ 110,360 \$ 1,719 \$ 1.6% \$ 135,841 \$ 2,079 \$ 1.6% \$ 75,342 \$ 1,239 \$ 1.7% \$ 127,872 \$ \$ N/A 2003-04 \$ 125,903 \$ 2,616 \$ 2.1% \$ 108,641 \$ 2,270 \$ 2.1% \$ 133,762 \$ 2,770 \$ 2.1% \$ 74,102 \$ 1,593 \$ 2.2% \$ See notes \$ N/A 2002-03 \$ 123,287 \$ 3,229 \$ 2.7% \$ 106,371 \$ 2,280 \$ 2.7% \$ 130,993 \$ 3,421 \$ 2.7% \$ 72,509 \$ 1,959 \$ 2.8% \$ 123,287 \$ 3,229 \$ 2.7% \$ See notes \$ 2001-02 \$ 120,057 \$ 3,729 \$ 3.2% \$ 106,371 \$ 2,801 \$ 2.7% \$ 130,993 \$ 3,421 \$ 2.7% \$ 70,550 \$ 2,301 \$ 3.4% \$ 120,057 \$ 3,729 \$ 3.2% \$ 33,013 \$ 1,022 \$ 3.200-01 \$ 116,328 \$ 3,294 \$ 2.9% \$ 100,326 \$ 2,852 \$ 2.9% \$ 123,628 \$ 3,494 \$ 2.9% \$ 68,249 \$ 1,975 \$ 3.0% \$ 116,328 \$ 3,294 \$ 2.9% \$ 31,991 \$ 905 \$ 2.9 \$ 1999-00 \$ 113,034 \$ 4,097 \$ 3.8% \$ 97,474 \$ 3,543 \$ 3.8% \$ 120,134 \$ 4,347 \$ 3.8% \$ 66,274 \$ 2,444 \$ 3.8% \$ 110,304 \$ 4,097 \$ 3.8% \$ 31,086 \$ 1,125 \$ 3.8 \$ 1997-98 \$ 108,937 \$ 5,422 \$ 5.2% \$ 9,3931 \$ 4,684 \$ 5.9% \$ 115,787 \$ 5,756 \$ 5.2% \$ 63,830 \$ 3,215 \$ 5.3% \$ 108,937 \$ 5,422 \$ 5.2% \$ 9,961 \$ 1,490 \$ 5.2 \$ 1997-98 \$ 103,515 \$ 4,958 \$ 5.0% \$ 89,247 \$ 4,281 \$ 5.0% \$ 110,031 \$ 5,266 \$ 5.0% \$ 60,615 \$ 2,928 \$ 5.1% \$ 103,515 \$ 4,958 \$ 5.0% \$ 28,471 \$ 1,363 \$ 5.0% \$ 1996-97 \$ 98,557 \$ 5,509 \$ 5.9% \$ 84,966 \$ 4,767 \$ 5.9% \$ 104,765 \$ 5,844 \$ 5.9% \$ 57,687 \$ 3,295 \$ 6.1% \$ 98,557 \$ 5,509 \$ 5.9% \$ 27,108 \$ 1,514 \$ 5.9% \$ 1993-94 \$ 80,692 \$ 230 \$ 0.3% \$ 60,880 \$ 2,986 \$ 4,397 \$ 3,339 \$ 4.4% \$ 89,471 \$ 3,633 \$ 4.2% \$ 89,472 \$ 4,335 \$ 4.4% \$ 54,392 \$ 2,385 \$ 4.6% \$ 93,048 \$ 3,906 \$ 4.4% \$ 25,594 \$ 1,071 \$ 4.4% \$ 1994-95 \$ 80,442 \$ 5.0% \$ 50,444 \$ 80,497 \$ 80,445 \$ 5.0% \$ 80,492 \$ 2.00 \$ 5.00 \$ 50,400 \$ 5.00 \$	2008-09	\$	138,726	\$	242	0.2%	\$	119,799	\$ 217	0.2%	\$	147,321	\$	251	0.2%	\$	82,027	\$	175	0.2%	\$ 138,720	\$	242	0.2%	\$	38,132	\$	66	0.2%	
2005-06 \$ 133,448 \$ 5,576	2007-08	\$	138,484	\$		0.1%	\$	119,582			\$	147,070	\$			\$	81,852	\$			\$ 138,484	↓ \$		0.1%	\$	38,067		-	-	
2004-05 \$ 127,872 \$ 1,970	2006-07	\$	138,288	\$	4,840	3.6%	\$	119,395	\$ 4,198	3.6%	\$	146,874	\$	5,127	3.6%	\$	81,665	\$	2,937	3.7%	\$ 138,288	\$	4,840	3.6%	Ш.	N/A		-	-	
2003-04 \$ 125,903 \$ 2,616 2.1% \$ 108,641 \$ 2,270 2.1% \$ 133,762 \$ 2,770 2.1% \$ 74,102 \$ 1,593 2.2% See notes 2004-03 \$ 123,287 \$ 3,229 2.7% \$ 106,371 \$ 2,801 2.7% \$ 130,993 \$ 3,421 2.7% \$ 72,509 \$ 1,959 2.8% \$ 123,287 \$ 3,229 2.7% See notes 2004-02 \$ 120,057 \$ 3,729 3.2% \$ 103,570 \$ 3,244 3.2% \$ 127,572 \$ 3,944 3.2% \$ 70,550 \$ 2,301 3.4% \$ 120,057 \$ 3,729 3.2% \$ 33,013 \$ 1,022 3.2% \$ 2000-01 \$ 116,328 \$ 3,294 2.9% \$ 100,326 \$ 2,852 2.9% \$ 123,628 \$ 3,494 2.9% \$ 68,249 \$ 1,975 3.0% \$ 116,328 \$ 3,294 2.9% \$ 31,991 \$ 905 2.9 \$ 1999-00 \$ 113,034 \$ 4,097 3.8% \$ 97,474 \$ 3,543 3.8% \$ 120,134 \$ 4,347 3.8% \$ 66,274 \$ 2,444 3.8% \$ 113,034 \$ 4,097 3.8% \$ 31,086 \$ 1,125 3.8% \$ 1998-99 \$ 108,937 \$ 5,422 5.2% \$ 93,931 \$ 4,684 5.2% \$ 115,787 \$ 5,756 5.2% \$ 63,830 \$ 3,215 5.3% \$ 108,937 \$ 5,422 5.2% \$ 29,961 \$ 1,490 5.2 1997-98 \$ 103,515 \$ 4,958 5.0% \$ 89,247 \$ 4,281 5.0% \$ 110,031 \$ 5,266 5.0% \$ 60,615 \$ 2,928 5.1% \$ 103,515 \$ 4,958 5.0% \$ 28,471 \$ 1,363 5.0% 1996-97 \$ 98,557 \$ 5,509 5.9% \$ 84,966 \$ 4,767 5.9% \$ 104,765 \$ 5,844 5.9% \$ 57,687 \$ 3,295 \$ 5,509 5.9% \$ 27,108 \$ 1,514 5.9% 1995-96 \$ 93,048 \$ 3,906 4.4% \$ 80,199 \$ 3,392 4.4% \$ 98,921 \$ 4,435 4.4% \$ 54,392 \$ 2,385 4.6% \$ 93,048 \$ 3,906 4.4% \$ 25,594 \$ 1,071 4.4% 1994-95 \$ 89,142 \$ 5,011 6.0% \$ 76,807 \$ 4,339 6.0% \$ 94,786 \$ 5,331 5.9% \$ 54,007 \$ 3,014 6.2% \$ 89,142 24,523 24,5	2005-06	\$	133,448	\$	5,576	4.4%	\$	115,197	\$ 4,837		\$	141,747	\$			\$	78,728	\$	3,386	4.5%	\$ 133,448	3 \$	5,575	4.4%				-	-	
2002-03 \$ 123,287 \$ 3,229 2.7% \$ 106,371 \$ 2,801 2.7% \$ 130,993 \$ 3,421 2.7% \$ 72,509 \$ 1,959 2.8% \$ 123,287 \$ 3,229 2.7% \$ See notes 2001-02 \$ 120,057 \$ 3,729 3.2% \$ 103,570 \$ 3,244 3.2% \$ 127,572 \$ 3,944 3.2% \$ 70,550 \$ 2,301 3.4% \$ 120,057 \$ 3,729 3.2% \$ 33,013 \$ 1,022 3.2 2000-01 \$ 116,328 \$ 3,294 2.9% \$ 100,326 \$ 2,852 2.9% \$ 123,628 \$ 3,494 2.9% \$ 68,249 \$ 1,975 3.0% \$ 116,328 \$ 3,294 2.9% \$ 31,991 \$ 905 2.9 1999-00 \$ 113,034 \$ 4,097 3.8% \$ 97,474 \$ 3,543 3.8% \$ 120,134 \$ 4,347 3.8% \$ 66,274 \$ 2,444 3.8% \$ 113,034 \$ 4,097 3.8% \$ 31,981 \$ 905 2.9 1999-09 \$ 108,937 \$ 5,422 5.2% \$ 93,931 \$ 4,684 5.2% \$ 115,787 \$ 5,756 5.2% \$ 63,830 \$ 3,215 5.3% \$ 108,937 \$ 5,422 5.2% \$ 29,961 \$ 1,490 5.2 1997-98 \$ 103,515 \$ 4,958 5.0% \$ 89,247 \$ 4,281 5.0% \$ 110,031 \$ 5,266 5.0% \$ 60,615 \$ 2,928 5.1% \$ 103,515 \$ 4,958 5.0% \$ 28,471 \$ 1,363 5.0 1996-97 \$ 98,557 \$ 5,509 5.9% \$ 84,966 \$ 4,767 5.9% \$ 104,765 \$ 5,844 5.9% \$ 57,687 \$ 3,295 6.1% \$ 98,557 \$ 5,509 5.9% \$ 27,108 \$ 1,514 5.9 1994-95 \$ 89,142 \$ 5,011 6.0% \$ 76,807 \$ 4,339 6.0% \$ 94,786 \$ 5,313 5.9% \$ 54,392 \$ 2,385 4.6% \$ 93,048 \$ 3,906 4.4% \$ 25,594 \$ 1,071 4.4* 1993-94 \$ 84,131 \$ 3,439 4.3% \$ 72,468 \$ 2,988 4.3% \$ 89,473 \$ 3,639 4.2% \$ 48,993 \$ 2,106 4.5% \$ See notes 1992-93 \$ 80,692 \$ 230 0.3% \$ 69,480 \$ 219 0.3% \$ 85,834 \$ 230 0.3% \$ 46,887 \$ 220 0.5% \$ 80,462 \$ - 0.0% \$ 22,143 \$ - 0.00	2004-05	\$	127,872	\$	1,970	1.6%	\$	110,360	\$ 1,719	1.6%	\$	135,841	\$	2,079	1.6%	\$	75,342	\$	1,239	1.7%	\$ 127,872	2	-	-	Ш.	N/A		-	-	
2001-02 \$ 120,057 \$ 3,729 3.2% \$ 103,570 \$ 3,244 3.2% \$ 127,572 \$ 3,944 3.2% \$ 70,550 \$ 2,301 3.4% \$ 120,057 \$ 3,729 3.2% \$ 33,013 \$ 1,022 3.2° 2000-01 \$ 116,328 \$ 3,294 2.9% \$ 100,326 \$ 2,852 2.9% \$ 123,628 \$ 3,494 2.9% \$ 68,249 \$ 1,975 3.0% \$ 116,328 \$ 3,294 2.9% \$ 31,991 \$ 905 2.9° 1999-00 \$ 113,034 \$ 4,097 3.8% \$ 97,474 \$ 3,543 3.8% \$ 120,134 \$ 4,347 3.8% \$ 66,274 \$ 2,444 3.8% \$ 113,034 \$ 4,097 3.8% \$ 31,091 \$ 905 2.9° 1999-99 \$ 108,937 \$ 5,422 5.2% \$ 93,931 \$ 4,684 5.2% \$ 115,787 \$ 5,756 5.2% \$ 66,274 \$ 2,444 3.8% \$ 113,034 \$ 4,097 3.8% \$ 31,086 \$ 1,125 3.8° 1997-98 \$ 103,515 \$ 4,958 5.0% \$ 89,247 \$ 4,281 5.0% \$ 110,031 \$ 5,266 5.0% \$ 60,615 \$ 2,928 5.1% \$ 103,515 \$ 4,958 5.0% \$ 28,471 \$ 1,363 5.0° 1996-97 \$ 98,557 \$ 5,509 5.9% \$ 84,966 \$ 4,767 5.9% \$ 104,765 \$ 5,844 5.9% \$ 57,687 \$ 3,295 6.1% \$ 98,557 \$ 5,509 5.9% \$ 27,108 \$ 1,514 5.9° 1995-96 \$ 93,048 \$ 3,906 4.4% \$ 80,199 \$ 3,392 4.4% \$ 98,921 \$ 4,135 4.4% \$ 54,392 \$ 2,385 4.6% \$ 93,048 \$ 3,906 4.4% \$ 25,594 \$ 1,071 4.4° 1994-95 \$ 89,142 \$ 5,011 6.0% \$ 76,807 \$ 4,339 6.0% \$ 94,786 \$ 5,313 5.9% \$ 54,007 \$ 3,007 \$ 80,462 \$ - 0.0% \$ 22,143 \$ - 0.0° 1992-93 \$ 80,692 \$ 230 0.3% \$ 69,480 \$ 219 0.3% \$ 85,834 \$ 230 0.3% \$ 46,887 \$ 220 0.5% \$ 80,462 \$ - 0.0% \$ 22,143 \$ - 0.0°	2003-04	\$	125,903	\$	2,616	2.1%	\$	108,641	\$ 2,270	2.1%	\$	133,762	\$	2,770	2.1%	\$	74,102	\$	1,593	2.2%		See	notes			N/A		-	-	
2000-01 \$ 116,328 \$ 3,294	2002-03	\$	123,287	\$	3,229	2.7%	\$	106,371	\$ 2,801	2.7%	\$	130,993	\$	3,421	2.7%	\$	72,509	\$	1,959	2.8%	\$ 123,287	\$	3,229	2.7%	Ш.	S	ee n	otes		
1999-00 \$ 113,034 \$ 4,097 \$ 3.8% \$ 97,474 \$ 3,543 \$ 3.8% \$ 120,134 \$ 4,347 \$ 3.8% \$ 66,274 \$ 2,444 \$ 3.8% \$ 113,034 \$ 4,097 \$ 3.8% \$ 31,086 \$ 1,125 \$ 3.8 \$ 198-99 \$ 108,937 \$ 5,422 \$ 5.2% \$ 93,931 \$ 4,684 \$ 5.2% \$ 115,787 \$ 5,756 \$ 5.2% \$ 63,830 \$ 3,215 \$ 5.3% \$ 108,937 \$ 5,422 \$ 5.2% \$ 29,961 \$ 1,490 \$ 5.2 \$ 1997-98 \$ 103,515 \$ 4,958 \$ 5.0% \$ 89,247 \$ 4,281 \$ 5.0% \$ 110,031 \$ 5,266 \$ 5.0% \$ 60,615 \$ 2,928 \$ 5.1% \$ 103,515 \$ 4,958 \$ 5.0% \$ 28,471 \$ 1,363 \$ 5.0 \$ 1996-97 \$ 98,557 \$ 5,509 \$ 5.9% \$ 84,966 \$ 4,767 \$ 5.9% \$ 104,765 \$ 5,844 \$ 5.9% \$ 57,687 \$ 3,295 \$ 6.1% \$ 98,957 \$ 5,509 \$ 5.9% \$ 27,108 \$ 1,514 \$ 5.9 \$ 1995-96 \$ 93,048 \$ 3,906 \$ 4.4% \$ 80,199 \$ 3,392 \$ 4.4% \$ 98,921 \$ 4,135 \$ 4.4% \$ 54,392 \$ 2,385 \$ 4.6% \$ 93,048 \$ 3,906 \$ 4.4% \$ 25,594 \$ 1,071 \$ 4.4 \$ 1994-95 \$ 89,142 \$ 5,011 \$ 6.0% \$ 76,807 \$ 4,333 \$ 6.0% \$ 94,786 \$ 5,313 \$ 5.9% \$ 52,007 \$ 3,014 \$ 6.2% \$ 89,142 \$ - \$ 24,523 \$ - \$ 1993-94 \$ 84,131 \$ 3,439 \$ 4.3% \$ 72,468 \$ 2,988 \$ 4.3% \$ 89,473 \$ 3,639 \$ 4.2% \$ 48,993 \$ 2,106 \$ 4.5% \$ See notes \$ See notes \$ 1992-93 \$ 80,692 \$ 230 \$ 0.3% \$ 69,480 \$ 219 \$ 0.3% \$ 85,834 \$ 230 \$ 0.3% \$ 46,887 \$ 220 \$ 0.5% \$ 80,462 \$ - \$ 0.0% \$ 22,143 \$ - \$ 0.00 \$ 10,000 \$ 1.000 \$		\$	120,057	\$	3,729	3.2%	\$	103,570	,		\$	127,572	\$	3,944		\$	70,550	\$	2,301	3.4%	\$ 120,057	\$	3,729	3.2%	\$	33,013	\$	1,022	3.2%	
198-99 \$ 108,937 \$ 5,422 5.2% \$ 93,931 \$ 4,684 5.2% \$ 115,787 \$ 5,756 5.2% \$ 63,830 \$ 3,215 5.3% \$ 108,937 \$ 5,422 5.2% \$ 29,961 \$ 1,490 5.2 1997-98 \$ 103,515 \$ 4,958 5.0% \$ 89,247 \$ 4,281 5.0% \$ 110,031 \$ 5,266 5.0% \$ 60,615 \$ 2,928 5.1% \$ 103,515 \$ 4,958 5.0% \$ 28,471 \$ 1,363 5.0 1996-97 \$ 98,557 \$ 5,509 5.9% \$ 84,966 \$ 4,767 5.9% \$ 104,765 \$ 5,844 5.9% \$ 57,687 \$ 3,295 6.1% \$ 98,557 \$ 5,509 5.9% \$ 27,108 \$ 1,514 5.9 1995-96 \$ 93,048 \$ 3,906 4.4% \$ 80,199 \$ 3,392 4.4% \$ 98,921 \$ 4,135 4.4% \$ 54,392 \$ 2,385 4.6% \$ 93,048 \$ 3,906 4.4% \$ 25,594 \$ 1,071 4.4 1994-95 \$ 89,142 \$ 5,011 6.0% \$ 76,807 \$ 4,339 6.0% \$ 94,786 \$ 5,313 5.9% \$ 52,007 \$ 3,014 6.2% \$ 89,142 -	2000-01	\$	116,328	\$	3,294	2.9%	\$	100,326			\$	123,628	\$			\$		\$	_	3.0%	\$ 116,328	3 \$			\$,	+		2.9%	
1997-98 \$ 103,515 \$ 4,958 \$ 5.0% \$ 89,247 \$ 4,281 \$ 5.0% \$ 110,031 \$ 5,266 \$ 5.0% \$ 60,615 \$ 2,928 \$ 5.1% \$ 103,515 \$ 4,958 \$ 5.0% \$ 28,471 \$ 1,363 \$ 5.00 \$ 1996-97 \$ 98,557 \$ 5,509 \$ 5.9% \$ 84,966 \$ 4,767 \$ 5.9% \$ 104,765 \$ 5,844 \$ 5.9% \$ 57,687 \$ 3,295 \$ 6.1% \$ 98,557 \$ 5,509 \$ 5.9% \$ 27,108 \$ 1,514 \$ 5.90 \$ 1995-96 \$ 93,048 \$ 3,906 \$ 4.4% \$ 80,199 \$ 3,392 \$ 4.4% \$ 98,921 \$ 4,135 \$ 4.4% \$ 54,392 \$ 2,385 \$ 4.6% \$ 93,048 \$ 3,906 \$ 4.4% \$ 25,594 \$ 1,071 \$ 4.4% \$ 1994-95 \$ 89,142 \$ 5,011 \$ 6.0% \$ 76,807 \$ 4,339 \$ 6.0% \$ 94,786 \$ 5,313 \$ 5.9% \$ 5,2007 \$ 3,014 \$ 6.2% \$ 89,142 \$ - \$ 24,523 \$ - \$ 24,52	1999-00	\$	113,034	\$,		\$	97,474			\$	120,134	\$			\$,	\$			\$ 113,034	↓ \$			\$. ,	\$	1,125	3.8%	
1996-97 \$ 98,557 \$ 5,509 5.9% \$ 84,966 \$ 4,767 5.9% \$ 104,765 \$ 5,844 5.9% \$ 57,687 \$ 3,295 6.1% \$ 98,557 \$ 5,509 5.9% \$ 27,108 \$ 1,514 5.99 1995-96 \$ 93,048 \$ 3,906 4.4% \$ 80,199 \$ 3,392 4.4% \$ 98,921 \$ 4,135 4.4% \$ 54,392 \$ 2,385 4.6% \$ 93,048 \$ 3,906 4.4% \$ 25,594 \$ 1,071 4.4% 1994-95 \$ 89,142 \$ 5,011 6.0% \$ 76,807 \$ 4,339 6.0% \$ 94,786 \$ 5,313 5.9% \$ 52,007 \$ 3,014 6.2% \$ 89,142 - - \$ 24,523 - - 1993-94 \$ 84,131 \$ 3,439 4.3% \$ 72,468 \$ 2,988 4.3% \$ 89,473 \$ 3,639 4.2% \$ 48,993 \$ 2,106 4.5% See notes See notes 1992-93 \$ 80,692 \$ 230 0.3% \$ 69,480 219 0.3% \$ 85,834 230	1998-99	\$	108,937	\$	5,422	5.2%	\$	93,931	\$ 4,684		\$	115,787	\$	5,756		\$	63,830	\$	3,215	5.3%	\$ 108,937	' \$	-,		\$	- ,			5.2%	
1995-96 \$ 93,048 \$ 3,906 4.4% \$ 80,199 \$ 3,392 4.4% \$ 98,921 \$ 4,135 4.4% \$ 54,392 \$ 2,385 4.6% \$ 93,048 \$ 3,906 4.4% \$ 25,594 \$ 1,071 4.4* 1994-95 \$ 89,142 \$ 5,011 6.0% \$ 76,807 \$ 4,339 6.0% \$ 94,786 \$ 5,313 5.9% \$ 52,007 \$ 3,014 6.2% \$ 89,142 \$ 24,523 1993-94 \$ 84,131 \$ 3,439 4.3% \$ 72,468 \$ 2,988 4.3% \$ 89,473 \$ 3,639 4.2% \$ 48,993 \$ 2,106 4.5%	1997-98	\$		\$	•		\$	89,247			\$	110,031	\$			\$,	\$	_		, ,,,	_			\$	-,			5.0%	
1994-95 \$ 89,142 \$ 5,011 6.0% \$ 76,807 \$ 4,339 6.0% \$ 94,786 \$ 5,313 5.9% \$ 52,007 \$ 3,014 6.2% \$ 89,142 \$ 24,523 1993-94 \$ 84,131 \$ 3,439 4.3% \$ 72,468 \$ 2,988 4.3% \$ 89,473 \$ 3,639 4.2% \$ 48,993 \$ 2,106 4.5% See notes 1992-93 \$ 80,692 \$ 230 0.3% \$ 69,480 \$ 219 0.3% \$ 85,834 \$ 230 0.3% \$ 46,887 \$ 220 0.5% \$ 80,462 \$ - 0.0% \$ 22,143 \$ - 0.0%		\$,		.,		\$. ,			\$	- ,	\$			\$	- ,	\$	-,		,				\$,	•	,-	5.9%	
1993-94 \$ 84,131 \$ 3,439 4.3% \$ 72,468 \$ 2,988 4.3% \$ 89,473 \$ 3,639 4.2% \$ 48,993 \$ 2,106 4.5% See notes 1992-93 \$ 80,692 \$ 230 0.3% \$ 69,480 \$ 219 0.3% \$ 85,834 \$ 230 0.3% \$ 46,887 \$ 220 0.5% \$ 80,462 \$ - 0.0% \$ 22,143 \$ - 0.0%	1995-96	\$	93,048	\$	3,906	4.4%	\$	80,199			\$	98,921	\$			\$	- ,	\$	2,385	4.6%	, ,,,		3,906	4.4%	\$	-,	\$	1,071	4.4%	
1992-93 \$ 80,692 \$ 230 0.3% \$ 69,480 \$ 219 0.3% \$ 85,834 \$ 230 0.3% \$ 46,887 \$ 220 0.5% \$ 80,462 \$ - 0.0% \$ 22,143 \$ - 0.00		\$,	\$	- , -		\$	-,			\$	- ,				\$		\$			\$ 89,142	_	-	-	\$			-	-	
	1993-94	\$	84,131	\$.,		\$	72,468	, , , , , ,		\$	89,473	\$	-,		\$	-,	\$,				notes		Щ.			otes		
1991-92 \$ 80,462 \$ 3,937 5.1% \$ 69,261 \$ 3,425 5.2% \$ 85,604 \$ 4,164 5.1% \$ 46,667 \$ 2,429 5.5% \$ 80,462 \$ 3,937 5.1% \$ 22,143 \$ 1,079 5.1	1992-93	\$	80,692	\$	230	0.3%	\$	69,480			\$	85,834	\$			\$	46,887	\$	220	0.5%	\$ 80,462	2 \$	-	0.0%	\$	22,143	•	-	0.0%	
	1991-92	\$,				\$,			\$	00,00.				\$	-,	\$, .				\$	•	\$		5.1%	
	1990-91	\$	76,525	\$	•		\$	65,836			\$	81,440	\$			\$	44,238	\$	2,207	5.3%	\$ 76,52	5 \$	3,502		\$,	\$	959	4.8%	
		\$	-,-	\$.,		\$	- , -			\$,				\$,	\$							\$	-,	_		5.5%	
	1988-89	\$	69,184	\$	•		\$	59,429			\$	69,184	\$	3,707		\$,	\$	_	6.1%	, ,,,		3,707		\$	19,054	\$		5.6%	
	1987-88	\$	61,186	\$	3,274		\$	51,919	\$ 2,816		\$	65,477	\$	3,478	5.6%	\$	37,356	\$	2,124	6.0%	\$ 65,477	\$	3,478	5.6%	\$	18,037	\$	954	5.6%	
	1986-87	\$			4,123	7.7%	\$		\$ 3,532	7.8%	\$	61,999	\$	4,391	7.6%	\$		\$	2,624	8.0%		_	4,391	7.6%	\$,	\$	1,206	7.6%	
1985-86 \$ 53,789 - - \$ 45,571 - - \$ 57,608 - - \$ 57,608 - - \$ 15,877 - -	1985-86	\$	53,789		-	-	\$	45,571	-	-	\$	57,608		-	-	\$	32,608		-	-	\$ 57,608	3	-	-	\$	15,877		-	-	

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Wakulla County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

	Clerk of Circuit Court, Property									,																					
Fiscal	Appraiser & Tax Collector						Supervi	Sheriff						County	mmissio	ners	School Superintendent					School Board Members									
Year	;	Salary	\$ CI	hg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	,	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	,	Salary	\$ C	hg.	% Chg.	1	Salary	\$ C	Chg.	% Chg.		
2016-17	\$	101,211	\$	150	0.1%	\$	101,211	\$ 17,791	21.3%	\$	110,174	\$	164	0.1%	\$	32,421	\$	48	0.1%	\$	101,211	\$	150	0.1%	\$	26,788	\$	40	0.1%		
2015-16	\$	101,060	\$	251	0.2%	\$	83,420	\$ 225	0.3%	\$	110,010	\$	261	0.2%	\$	32,373	\$	169	0.5%	\$	101,060	\$	251	0.2%	\$	26,748	\$	67	0.2%		
2014-15	\$	100,809	\$	306	0.3%	\$	83,195	\$ 256	0.3%	\$	109,749	\$	330	0.3%	\$	32,205	\$	118	0.4%	\$	100,809	\$	306	0.3%	\$	26,681	\$	81	0.3%		
2013-14	\$	100,503	\$ 3	,570	3.7%	\$	82,938	\$ 2,942	3.7%	\$	109,419	\$	3,890	3.7%	\$	32,086	\$	1,118	3.6%	\$	100,503	\$ 3	3,570	3.7%	\$	26,601	\$	945	3.7%		
2012-13	\$	96,933	\$	33	0.0%	\$	79,996	\$ 31	0.0%	\$	105,529	\$	33	0.0%	\$	30,968	\$	31	0.1%	\$	96,933	\$	33	0.0%	\$	25,656	\$	9	0.0%		
2011-12	\$	96,900	\$	(327)	-0.3%	\$	79,965	\$ (312	-0.4%	\$	105,496	\$	(327)	-0.3%	\$	30,937	\$	(312)	-1.0%	\$	96,900	\$	(327)	-0.3%	\$	25,647	\$	(87)	-0.3%		
2010-11	\$	97,228	\$	346	0.4%	\$	80,277	\$ 330	0.4%	\$	105,823	\$	346	0.3%	\$	31,249	\$	330	1.1%	\$	97,228	\$ 2	2,695	2.9%	\$	25,733		See no	tes		
2009-10	\$	96,881	\$	420	0.4%	\$	79,947	\$ 400	0.5%	\$	105,477	\$	420	0.4%	\$	30,919	\$	399	1.3%	\$	94,533		See no	otes	\$	25,642		See no	tes		
2008-09	\$	96,462	\$	436	0.5%	\$	79,548	\$ 401	0.5%	\$	105,057	\$	445	0.4%	\$	30,520	\$	348	1.2%	\$	96,462	\$	436	0.5%	\$	25,531	\$	115	0.5%		
2007-08	\$	96,026	\$	490	0.5%	\$,	\$ 467	0.6%	\$	104,612	\$	490	0.5%	\$	30,172	\$	468	1.6%	\$	96,026	\$	490	0.5%	\$	25,416		-	-		
2006-07	\$	95,536	\$ 3	,619	3.9%	\$	78,679	\$ 3,035	4.0%	\$	104,122	44	3,906	3.9%	44	29,705	\$	1,398	4.9%	\$	95,536	\$ 3	3,619	3.9%		N/A		-	-		
2005-06	\$	91,917	\$ 3	,825	4.3%	\$	75,644	\$ 3,169	4.4%	\$	100,216	\$	4,154	4.3%	\$	28,307	\$	1,287	4.8%	\$	91,917	\$ 3	3,825	4.3%		N/A		-	-		
2004-05	\$	88,092	\$ 1	,420	1.6%	\$	72,475	\$ 1,196	1.7%	\$	96,062	44	1,529	1.6%	44	27,020	\$	573	2.2%	\$	88,092					N/A		-	-		
2003-04	\$	86,672	\$ 1	,817	2.1%	\$	71,279	\$ 1,510	2.2%	\$	94,532	44	1,971	2.1%	44	26,448	\$	631	2.4%		S	ee no	otes			N/A		-	-		
2002-03	\$	84,855	\$ 2	,376	2.9%	\$	69,769	\$ 1,988	2.9%	\$	92,561	\$	2,568	2.9%	\$	25,816	\$	895	3.6%	\$	84,855	\$ 2	2,376	2.9%		S	otes				
2001-02	\$	82,479	\$ 2	,961	3.7%	\$	67,781	\$ 2,513	3.8%	\$	89,993	\$	3,175	3.7%	\$	24,921	\$	1,289	5.5%	\$	82,479	\$ 2	2,961	3.7%	\$	21,830	\$	783	3.7%		
2000-01	\$	79,518	\$ 2	,393	3.1%	\$	65,268	\$ 1,993	3.1%	\$	86,818	\$	2,593	3.1%	\$	23,632	\$	854	3.7%	\$	79,518	\$ 2	2,393	3.1%	\$	21,047	\$	634	3.1%		
1999-00	\$	77,125	\$ 3	,016	4.1%	\$	63,275	\$ 2,514	4.1%	\$	84,225	\$	3,266	4.0%	\$	22,778	\$	1,088	5.0%	\$	77,125	\$ 3	3,016	4.1%	\$	20,413	•	798	4.1%		
1998-99	\$	74,109		,773	5.4%	\$	60,761	\$ 3,114	5.4%	\$	80,959	\$	4,107	5.3%	\$	21,690	\$	1,207	5.9%	\$	74,109		3,773	5.4%	\$	19,615		998	5.4%		
1997-98	\$	70,336		,561	5.3%	\$	57,647	\$ 2,950	5.4%	\$	76,852	\$	3,869	5.3%	\$	20,483	\$	1,194	6.2%	\$	70,336	_	3,561	5.3%	\$	18,617	\$	943	5.3%		
1996-97	\$	66,775		,726	5.9%	\$	54,697	\$ 3,069	5.9%	\$	72,983	\$	4,062	5.9%	\$	19,289	\$	1,158	6.4%	\$	66,775	\$ 3	3,726	5.9%	\$	17,674	\$	986	5.9%		
1995-96	\$	63,049	\$ 2	,679	4.4%	\$	51,628	\$ 2,223	4.5%	\$	68,921	\$	2,907	4.4%	\$	18,131	\$	917	5.3%	\$	63,049	\$ 2	2,679	4.4%	\$	16,688	\$	709	4.4%		
1994-95	\$	60,370	\$ 3	,377	5.9%	\$	49,405	\$ 2,783	6.0%	\$	66,014	\$	3,679	5.9%	\$	17,214	\$	1,062	6.6%	\$	60,370		-	-	\$	15,979		-	-		
1993-94	\$	56,993		,181	4.0%	\$	46,622	\$ 1,790	4.0%	\$	62,335	\$	2,381	4.0%	\$	16,152	\$	646	4.2%			ee no	otes		Ш.		See no	otes			
1992-93	\$	54,812	•	46	0.1%	\$	44,832	\$ 44	0.1%	\$	59,954	\$	47	0.1%	\$	15,506	\$	44	0.3%	\$	54,766		-	0.0%	\$	14,496	\$	-	0.0%		
1991-92	\$	54,766	\$ 2	,360	4.5%	\$	44,788	\$ 1,923	4.5%	\$	59,907	\$	2,586	4.5%	\$	15,462	\$	632	4.3%	\$	54,766	\$ 2	2,360	4.5%	\$	14,496	\$	625	4.5%		
1990-91	\$	52,406	\$ 2	,140	4.3%	\$	42,865	\$ 1,760	4.3%	\$	57,321	\$	2,333	4.2%	\$	14,830	\$	655	4.6%	\$	52,406	\$ 2	2,140	4.3%	\$	13,871	\$	566	4.3%		
1989-90	\$	50,266		,347	4.9%	\$	41,105	\$ 1,928	4.9%	\$	54,988	_	7,069	14.8%	\$	14,175	\$	704	5.2%	\$	50,266		2,347	4.9%	\$	13,305	\$	622	4.9%		
1988-89	\$	47,919		,611	16.0%	\$	39,177	\$ 6,190	18.8%	\$	47,919	\$	2,321	5.1%	\$	13,471	\$	664	5.2%	\$	47,919	_	2,321	5.1%	\$,	\$	614	5.1%		
1987-88	\$	41,308	\$ 2	,027	5.2%	\$	32,987	\$ 1,629	5.2%	\$	45,598	\$	2,231	5.1%	\$	12,807	\$	670	5.5%	\$	45,598	_	2,231	5.1%	\$	12,069	\$	590	5.1%		
1986-87	\$	39,281	\$ 2	,637	7.2%	\$	31,358	\$ 2,115	7.2%	\$	43,367	\$	2,904	7.2%	\$	12,137	\$	858	7.6%	\$	43,367	\$ 2	2,904	7.2%	\$	11,479	\$	769	7.2%		
1985-86	\$	36,644			-	\$	29,243	-	-	\$	40,463		-	-	\$	11,279		-	-	\$	40,463		•	-	\$	10,710		-	-		

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S., and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Walton County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

	Clerk of Circuit Court, Property																												
Fiscal		Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector	Supervisor of Elections					Sheriff						County	mmissio	ners	School Superintendent					School Board Members					
Year	,	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	"	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	,	Salary	,	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	,	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	,	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2016-17	\$	107,014	\$ 400	0.4%	\$	107,014	\$	18,305	20.6%	\$	115,977	\$	413	0.4%	\$	41,149	\$	290	0.7%	\$	107,014	\$	400	0.4%	\$	29,212	\$	107	0.4%
2015-16	\$	106,615	\$ 658	0.6%	\$	88,710	\$	613	0.7%	44	115,564	44	668	0.6%	\$	40,859	\$	560	1.4%	\$	106,615	\$	658	0.6%	\$	29,105	\$	175	0.6%
2014-15	\$	105,956	\$ 505	0.5%	\$	88,097	\$	446	0.5%	44	114,896	44	529	0.5%	\$	40,300	\$	316	0.8%	\$	105,956	\$	505	0.5%	\$	28,929	\$	136	0.5%
2013-14	\$	105,452	\$ 4,173	4.1%	\$	87,651	\$	3,516	4.2%	44	114,367	44	4,493	4.1%	\$	39,983	\$	1,806	4.7%	\$	105,452	\$	4,173	4.1%	\$	28,793	\$	1,136	4.1%
2012-13	\$	101,279	\$ 105	0.1%	\$	84,135	\$	100	0.1%	44	109,875	44	105	0.1%	\$	38,177	\$	100	0.3%	\$	101,279	\$	105	0.1%	\$	27,657	\$	28	0.1%
2011-12	\$	101,174	\$ (741)	-0.7%	\$	84,035	\$	(706)	-0.8%	44	109,770	44	(741)	-0.7%	\$	38,077	\$	(706)	-1.8%	\$	101,174	\$	(741)	-0.7%	\$	27,629	\$	(196)	-0.7%
2010-11	\$	101,915	\$ 34	0.0%	\$	84,741	\$	33	0.0%	44	110,511	44	34	0.0%	\$	38,783	\$	33	0.1%	\$	101,915	\$	2,247	2.3%	\$	27,826		See no	otes
2009-10	\$	101,881	\$ 179	0.2%	\$	84,709	\$	170	0.2%	44	110,477	44	179	0.2%	\$	38,750	\$	170	0.4%	\$	99,668		See no		\$	27,817		See no	tes
2008-09	\$	101,702	\$ 448	0.4%	\$	84,538	\$	414	0.5%	\$	110,298	\$	458	0.4%	\$	38,580	\$	363	0.9%	\$	101,702	\$	448	0.4%	\$	27,769	\$	120	0.4%
2007-08	\$	101,254	\$ 581	0.6%	\$	84,125	\$	554	0.7%	\$	109,840	\$	581	0.5%	\$	38,217	\$	554	1.5%	\$	101,254	\$	581	0.6%	\$	27,650		-	-
2006-07	\$	100,672	\$ 4,109	4.3%	\$	83,571	\$	3,502	4.4%	\$	109,259	\$	4,397	4.2%	\$	37,663	\$	1,967	5.5%	\$	100,672	\$	4,109	4.3%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$	96,563	, , ,	2.0%	\$	80,069	\$	1,296	1.6%	\$	104,862	\$	2,188	2.1%	\$	35,696	\$	2,378	7.1%	\$	96,563	\$	1,858	2.0%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$	94,705	\$ 1,754	1.9%	\$	78,773	\$	1,514	2.0%	\$	102,674	\$	1,863	1.8%	\$	33,318	\$	890	2.7%	\$	94,705		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$	92,952		3.0%	\$	77,259	\$	2,334	3.1%	\$,-	\$	2,837	2.9%	\$	32,428	\$	1,455	4.7%			ee r	notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$	90,269	\$ 2,792	3.2%	\$	74,925	\$	2,384	3.3%	\$	97,975	\$	2,983	3.1%	\$	30,972	\$	1,291	4.3%	\$	90,269	\$	2,792	3.2%				otes	
2001-02	\$	87,477	\$ 2,534	3.0%	\$	72,541	\$	2,106	3.0%	\$	94,991	\$	2,748	3.0%	\$	29,681	\$	882	3.1%	\$	87,477	\$	2,534	3.0%	\$	23,152	\$	670	3.0%
2000-01	\$	84,943	\$ 2,899	3.5%	\$	70,435	\$	2,475	3.6%	\$	92,243	\$	3,099	3.5%	\$	28,799	\$	1,336	4.9%	\$	84,943	\$	2,899	3.5%	\$	22,482	\$	767	3.5%
1999-00	\$	82,044	\$ 3,457	4.4%	\$	67,960	\$	2,934	4.5%	\$	89,144	\$	3,707	4.3%	\$	27,463	\$	1,508	5.8%	\$	82,044	\$	3,457	4.4%	\$	21,715	\$	915	4.4%
1998-99	\$	78,587	\$ 4,267	5.7%	\$	65,026	\$	3,584	5.8%	\$	85,437	\$	4,601	5.7%	\$	25,955	\$	1,677	6.9%	\$	78,587	\$	4,267	5.7%	\$,	\$	1,130	5.7%
1997-98	\$	74,320	\$ 3,725	5.3%	\$	61,442	\$	3,106	5.3%	\$	80,836	\$	4,033	5.3%	\$	24,278	\$	1,350	5.9%	\$	74,320	\$	3,725	5.3%	\$,	\$	985	5.3%
1996-97	\$	70,595	\$ 4,151	6.2%	\$	58,336	\$	3,474	6.3%	\$	76,803	\$	4,486	6.2%	\$	22,928	\$	1,563	7.3%	\$	70,595	\$	4,151	6.2%	\$,	\$	1,099	6.2%
1995-96	\$	66,444	\$ 2,864	4.5%	\$	54,862	\$	2,400	4.6%	\$	72,317	\$	3,093	4.5%	\$	21,365	\$	1,094	5.4%	\$	66,444	\$	2,864	4.5%	\$	17,586	\$	758	4.5%
1994-95	\$	63,580	\$ 3,576	6.0%	\$	52,462	\$	2,973	6.0%	\$	69,224	\$	3,878	5.9%	\$	20,271	\$	1,252	6.6%	\$	63,580		-	-	\$	16,828		-	-
1993-94	\$	60,004	\$ 2,342	4.1%	\$	49,489	\$	1,943	4.1%	\$	65,346	\$	2,542	4.0%	\$	19,019	\$	799	4.4%			ee r	notes					otes	
1992-93	\$	57,662		0.5%	\$	47,546	\$	268	0.6%	\$,	\$	283	0.5%	\$	18,220	\$	269	1.5%	\$,	\$	-	0.0%	\$	15,187		-	0.0%
1991-92	\$	57,380	\$ 2,309	4.2%	\$	47,278	\$	1,874	4.1%	\$	62,521	\$	2,535	4.2%	\$	17,951	\$	582	3.4%	\$	57,380	\$	2,309	4.2%	\$	15,187	_	611	4.2%
1990-91	\$	55,071	\$ 2,304	4.4%	\$	45,404	\$	1,917	4.4%	\$	59,986	\$	2,497	4.3%	\$	17,369	\$	812	4.9%	\$	55,071	\$	2,304	4.4%	\$	14,576	\$	610	4.4%
1989-90	\$	52,767	\$ 2,514	5.0%	\$	43,487	\$	2,087	5.0%	\$	57,489	\$	7,236	14.4%	\$	16,557	\$	862	5.5%	\$	52,767	\$	2,514	5.0%	\$	13,966	\$	665	5.0%
1988-89	\$	50,253	\$ 6,881	15.9%	\$	41,400	\$	6,447	18.4%	\$	50,253	\$	2,591	5.4%	\$	15,695	\$	923	6.2%	\$	50,253	\$	2,591	5.4%	\$	13,301	\$	687	5.4%
1987-88	\$	43,372	\$ 2,176	5.3%	\$	34,953	\$	1,771	5.3%	\$	47,662	\$	2,380	5.3%	\$	14,772	\$	812	5.8%	\$	47,662	\$	2,380	5.3%	\$	12,614	\$	629	5.2%
1986-87	\$,	\$ 2,902	7.6%	\$	33,182	\$	2,367	7.7%	\$	45,282	\$	3,169	7.5%	\$	13,960	\$	1,109	8.6%	\$	45,282	\$	3,169	7.5%	\$	11,985	\$	839	7.5%
1985-86	\$	38,294	-	-	\$	30,815		-	-	\$	42,113		-	-	\$	12,851		-	-	\$	42,113		-	-	\$	11,146		-	-

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuant to the Salary Formula in Chapter 145, Florida Statutes Washington County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2016-17

	Clerk of Circuit Court, Property																												
Fiscal		Appraise	r & Tax Co		Supervisor of Elections					Sheriff						mmissio	ners	School Superintendent						School Board Members					
Year	٠,	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	ï	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	•	Salary	**	Chg.	% Chg.	٠,	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	9,	Salary	\$ '	Chg.	% Chg.	
2016-17	\$	99,090	\$ 153	0.2%	\$		\$ 17,692	21.7%	\$	108,053	\$	166	0.2%	\$	30,402	\$	50	0.2%	\$	99,090	\$	153	0.2%	\$	26,227	\$	41	0.2%	
2015-16	\$	98,937	\$ 165	0.2%	\$	81,398	\$ 143	0.2%	\$	107,887	\$	175	0.2%	\$	30,351	\$	87	0.3%	\$	98,937	\$	165	0.2%	\$	26,186	\$	44	0.2%	
2014-15	\$	98,772	\$ 224	0.2%	\$,	\$ 179	0.2%	\$	107,712	\$	248	0.2%	\$	30,265	\$	41	0.1%	\$,	\$	224	0.2%	\$	26,143	\$	59	0.2%	
2013-14	\$	98,548	\$ 3,626	3.8%	\$	81,076	\$ 2,995	3.8%	\$	107,463	\$	3,946	3.8%	\$	30,224	\$	1,171	4.0%	\$	98,548	\$	3,626	3.8%	\$	26,083	\$	960	3.8%	
2012-13	\$	94,922	\$ (83)	-0.1%	\$,	\$ (79)	-0.1%	\$	103,518	\$	(83)	-0.1%	\$	29,053	\$	(79)	-0.3%	\$	94,922	\$	(83)	-0.1%	\$	-,	\$	(22)	-0.1%	
2011-12	\$	95,005	\$ 56	0.1%	\$	78,160	\$ 54	0.1%	\$	103,601	\$	56	0.1%	\$	29,132	\$	54	0.2%	\$	95,005	\$	56	0.1%	\$	25,146	\$	15	0.1%	
2010-11	\$	94,949	\$ (19)	0.0%	\$	-,	\$ (18)	0.0%	\$	103,544	\$	(19)	0.0%	\$	29,078	\$	(18)	-0.1%	\$,	\$	2,216	2.4%	\$	25,131		See no	otes	
2009-10	\$	\$ 94,967 \$ 342 0.4%				78,124	\$ 326	0.4%	\$	103,563	\$	342	0.3%	44	29,096	\$	326	1.1%	\$	92,733		See no		49	25,136		See no	otes	
2008-09	\$	94,625	\$ 312	0.3%	\$	77,798		0.4%	\$	103,221	\$	321	0.3%	\$	28,771	\$	230	0.8%	\$	94,625	_	312	0.3%	\$	25,045	\$	83	0.3%	
2007-08	\$. ,	\$ (9)		\$	77,515		0.0%	\$	102,899	\$	(9)	0.0%	\$	28,541	\$	(8)	0.0%	\$	94,313	\$	(9)	0.0%	\$	24,963		-	-	
2006-07	\$	94,322	\$ 3,361	3.7%	\$		\$ 2,789	3.7%	\$	102,908	\$	3,648	3.7%	\$	28,548	\$	1,151	4.2%	\$	94,322	\$	3,361	3.7%		N/A		-	-	
2005-06	\$	90,961	\$ 3,773	4.3%	\$	74,734	\$ 3,120	4.4%	\$	99,260	\$	4,102	4.3%	\$	27,397	\$	1,238	4.7%	\$	90,961	\$	3,773	4.3%		N/A		-	-	
2004-05	\$	87,188	\$ 1,273	1.5%	\$	71,614	\$ 1,056	1.5%	\$	95,158	\$	1,382	1.5%	\$	26,159	\$	433	1.7%	\$	87,188		-	-		N/A		-	-	
2003-04	\$	85,915	\$ 1,745	2.1%	\$	70,558	\$ 1,441	2.1%	\$	93,775	\$	1,899	2.1%	\$	25,727	\$	563	2.2%			ee r	notes			N/A		-	-	
2002-03	\$	84,170	\$ 2,224	2.7%	\$	69,117	\$ 1,843	2.7%	\$	91,876	\$	2,415	2.7%	\$	25,164	\$	750	3.1%	\$	- ,	\$	2,224	2.7%			ee n	otes		
2001-02	\$	81,946	\$ 2,015	2.5%	\$. ,	\$ 1,612	2.5%	\$	89,461	\$	2,231	2.6%	\$	24,414	\$	389	1.6%	\$	81,946	\$	2,015	2.5%	\$,	\$	533	2.5%	
2000-01	\$	79,931	\$ 2,409	3.1%	\$	65,661	\$ 2,008	3.2%	\$	87,230	\$	2,608	3.1%	\$	24,025	\$	869	3.8%	\$	79,931	\$	2,409	3.1%	\$	21,156		638	3.1%	
1999-00	\$	77,522	\$ 3,039	4.1%	\$	63,653	, , ,	4.1%	\$	84,622	\$	3,289	4.0%	\$	23,156	\$	1,110	5.0%	\$	77,522	\$	3,039	4.1%	\$	20,518	\$	804	4.1%	
1998-99	\$	74,483	\$ 3,724	5.3%	\$.,	\$ 3,067	5.3%	\$	81,333	\$	4,059	5.3%	\$	22,046	\$	1,161	5.6%	\$,	\$	3,724	5.3%	\$,	\$	986	5.3%	
1997-98	\$	70,759	\$ 3,517	5.2%	\$,	\$ 2,908	5.3%	\$	77,274	\$	3,824	5.2%	\$	20,885	\$	1,151	5.8%	\$,	\$	3,517	5.2%	\$,	\$	930	5.2%	
1996-97	\$	67,242	\$ 3,825	6.0%	\$	55,142	,	6.1%	\$	73,450	\$	4,160	6.0%	\$	19,734	\$	1,252	6.8%	\$,	\$	3,825	6.0%	\$,	\$	1,013	6.0%	
1995-96	\$	63,417	\$ 2,591	4.3%	\$	0.,0.0	\$ 2,140	4.3%	\$	69,290	\$	2,821	4.2%	\$	18,482	\$	834	4.7%	\$,	\$	2,591	4.3%	\$	-,	\$	686	4.3%	
1994-95	\$	60,826	\$ 3,277	5.7%	\$	49,839	, ,	5.7%	\$	66,469	\$	3,578	5.7%	\$	17,648	\$	967	5.8%	\$	60,826		-	-	\$	16,099		-	-	
1993-94	\$	57,549	\$ 2,200	4.0%	\$, -	\$ 1,808	4.0%	\$	62,891	\$	2,401	4.0%	\$	16,681	\$	664	4.1%				notes					otes		
1992-93	\$,	\$ 59	0.1%	\$	45,343		0.1%	\$	60,490	\$	59	0.1%	\$	16,017	\$	56	0.4%	\$	55,290	_	-	0.0%	\$	14,634	\$	-	0.0%	
1991-92	\$	55,290	\$ 2,498	4.7%	\$	45,287	\$ 2,054	4.8%	\$	60,431	\$	2,724	4.7%	\$	15,961	\$	763	5.0%	\$	55,290	\$	2,498	4.7%	\$,	\$	661	4.7%	
1990-91	\$	52,792	\$ 2,166	4.3%	\$	43,233	\$ 1,785	4.3%	\$	57,707	\$	2,359	4.3%	\$	15,198	\$	680	4.7%	\$	52,792	\$	2,166	4.3%	\$	13,973	\$	573	4.3%	
1989-90	\$	50,626	\$ 2,411	5.0%	\$	41,448	\$ 1,989	5.0%	\$	55,348	\$	7,133	14.8%	\$	14,518	\$	765	5.6%	\$	50,626	\$	2,411	5.0%	\$	-,	\$	638	5.0%	
1988-89	\$	48,215	\$ 6,623	15.9%	\$	39,459	\$ 6,202	18.6%	\$	48,215	\$	2,333	5.1%	\$	13,753	\$	676	5.2%	\$	48,215	\$	2,333	5.1%	\$, -	\$	618	5.1%	
1987-88	\$	41,592	\$ 2,030	5.1%	\$,	\$ 1,631	5.2%	\$	45,882	\$	2,234	5.1%	\$	13,077	\$	673	5.4%	\$,	\$	2,234	5.1%	\$,	\$	591	5.1%	
1986-87	\$	39,562	\$ 2,608	7.1%	\$	0.,000	\$ 2,087	7.1%	\$	43,648	\$	2,875	7.1%	\$	12,404	\$	830	7.2%	\$	-,-	\$	2,875	7.1%	\$,	\$	761	7.1%	
1985-86	\$	36,954	-	-	\$	29,539	-	-	\$	40,773		-	-	\$	11,574		-	-	\$	40,773		-	-	\$	10,792		-	-	

- 1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.
- 2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries. the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.
- 3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.
- 4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.
- 6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.
- 8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.
- 9) Chapter 2016-157, L.O.F., amended s. 145.09(1), F.S., to make the base salaries and group rates used to calculate a supervisor of election's salary the same as the current base salaries and group rates used to calculate the salaries of clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, property appraisers, and tax collectors.