	Salaries o	f Elected	d Coun	ty	Constitu	utional O	fficers										e Salaı	y Formula	a ir	1 Chap	ter 145	, F	lorida	Statut	es	
								Ala	chua Co	oun	ty: Fiso	cal Years	s 19	985-86 to	20)18-19										
	Clerk of Cire	cuit Court,	Property																							
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector			sor of Elect	ions				eriff			County	Cor	nmissio	ners			perintenc	lent		School	Board N	embe	ers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	60	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	6 Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Ch	. %	% Chg.
2018-19	\$ 139,259	\$ 1,157	0.8%	\$	139,259	\$ 1,157	0.8%	\$	148,656	\$	1,221	0.8%	\$	77,863	\$	728	0.9%	\$ 139,259	\$	1,157	0.8%	\$	38,408	\$ 3	17	0.8%
2017-18	\$ 138,102	\$ 5,623	4.2%	\$	138,102	\$ 5,623	4.2%	\$	147,435	\$	5,993	4.2%	\$	77,135	\$	3,198	4.3%	\$ 138,102	\$	5,623	4.2%	\$	38,091	\$ 1,5	49	4.2%
2016-17	\$ 132,479	\$ 477	0.4%	\$	132,479	\$ 19,591	17.4%	\$	141,441	\$	490	0.3%	\$	73,937	\$	376	0.5%	\$ 132,479	\$	477	0.4%	\$	36,542	\$ 1	28	0.4%
2015-16	\$ 132,002	\$ 329	0.2%	\$	112,888	\$ 299	0.3%	\$	140,951	\$	339	0.2%	\$	73,561	\$	256	0.3%	\$ 132,002	\$	329	0.2%	\$	36,413	\$	89	0.2%
2014-15	\$ 131,673	\$ 439	0.3%	\$	112,589	\$ 383	0.3%	\$	140,612	\$	463	0.3%	\$	73,305	\$	277	0.4%	\$ 131,673	\$	439	0.3%	\$	36,324	\$ 1	20	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 131,234	\$ 4,670	3.7%	\$	112,205	\$ 3,990	3.7%	\$	140,149	\$	4,990	3.7%	\$	73,028	\$	2,584	3.7%	\$ 131,234	\$	4,670	3.7%	\$	36,204	\$ 1,2	89	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 126,564	\$ 0	0.0%	\$	108,216	\$0	0.0%	\$	135,159	\$	0	0.0%	\$	70,444	\$	0	0.0%	\$ 126,564	\$	0	0.0%	\$	34,916	\$	0	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 126,564	\$ (574)	-0.5%	\$	108,216	\$ (546)	-0.5%	\$	135,159	\$	(574)	-0.4%	\$	70,444	\$	(546)	-0.8%	\$ 126,564	\$	(574)	-0.5%	\$	34,916	\$ (1	52) -	-0.4%
2010-11	\$ 127,137	\$ 248	0.2%	\$	108,762	\$ 236	0.2%	\$	135,733	\$	248	0.2%	\$	70,990	\$	236	0.3%	\$ 127,137	\$	3,091	2.5%	\$	35,067	Se	e note	es
2009-10	\$ 126,889	\$ 312	0.2%	\$	108,526	\$ 297	0.3%	\$	135,485	\$	312	0.2%	\$	70,754	\$	297	0.4%	\$ 124,046		See no	otes	\$	35,002	Se	e note	es
2008-09	\$ 126,577	\$ 383	0.3%	\$	108,229	\$ 351	0.3%	\$	135,173	\$	392	0.3%	\$	70,457	\$	309	0.4%	\$ 126,577	\$	383	0.3%	\$	34,919	\$ 1	03	0.3%
2007-08	\$ 126,195	\$ 193	0.2%	\$	107,878	\$ 184	0.2%	\$	134,781	\$	193	0.1%	\$	70,148	\$	184	0.3%	\$ 126,195	\$	193	0.2%	\$	34,816	-		-
2006-07	\$ 126,002	\$ 4,500	3.7%	\$	107,694	\$ 3,874	3.7%	\$	134,588	\$	4,787	3.7%	\$	69,964	\$	2,612	3.9%	\$ 126,002	\$	4,500	3.7%		N/A	-		-
2005-06	\$ 121,502	\$ 5,123	4.4%	\$	103,821	\$ 4,406	4.4%	\$	129,801	\$	5,453	4.4%	\$	67,352	\$	2,956	4.6%	\$ 121,502	\$	5,123	4.4%		N/A	-		-
2004-05	\$ 116,379	\$ 1,754	1.5%	\$	99,415	\$ 1,514	1.5%	\$	124,348	\$	1,863	1.5%	\$	64,396	\$	1,034	1.6%	\$ 116,379		-	-		N/A	-		-
2003-04	\$ 114,625	\$ 2,574	2.3%	\$	97,901	\$ 2,231	2.3%	\$	122,485	\$	2,729	2.3%	\$	63,362	\$	1,554	2.5%	5	See	notes			N/A	-		-
2002-03	\$ 112,051	\$ 3,067	2.8%	\$	95,670	\$ 2,646	2.8%	\$	119,757	\$	3,259	2.8%	\$	61,808	\$	1,804	3.0%	\$ 112,051	\$	3,067	2.8%		S	ee note	5	
2001-02	\$ 108,984	\$ 3,205	3.0%	\$	93,023	\$ 2,745	3.0%	\$	116,498	\$	3,419	3.0%	\$	60,004	\$	1,802	3.1%	\$ 108,984	\$	3,205	3.0%	\$	30,085	\$8	84	3.0%
2000-01	\$ 105,779	\$ 3,151	3.1%	\$	90,279	\$ 2,715	3.1%	\$	113,079	\$	3,351	3.1%	\$	58,202	\$	1,838	3.3%	\$ 105,779	\$	3,151	3.1%	\$	29,201	\$8	67	3.1%
1999-00	\$ 102,628	\$ 3,783	3.8%	\$	87,564	\$ 3,244	3.8%	\$	109,728	\$	4,033	3.8%	\$	56,364	\$	2,145	4.0%	\$ 102,628	\$	3,783	3.8%	\$	28,334	\$ 1,0	42	3.8%
1998-99	\$ 98,846	\$ 5,117	5.5%	\$	84,320	\$ 4,394	5.5%	\$	105,696	\$	5,451	5.4%	\$	54,219	\$	2,925	5.7%	\$ 98,846	\$	5,117	5.5%	\$	27,292	\$ 1,4	09	5.4%
1997-98	\$ 93,729	\$ 4,664	5.2%	\$	79,926	\$ 4,001	5.3%	\$	100,245	\$	4,973	5.2%	\$	51,294	\$	2,750	5.7%	\$ 93,729	\$	4,664	5.2%	\$	25,883	\$ 1,2	93	5.3%
1996-97	\$ 89,065	\$ 5,127	6.1%	\$	75,925	\$ 4,402	6.2%	\$	95,272	\$	5,461	6.1%	\$	48,544	\$	3,170	7.0%	\$ 89,065	\$	5,127	6.1%	\$	24,590	\$ 1,4	29	6.2%
1995-96	\$ 83,938	\$ 3,501	4.4%	\$	71,523	\$ 3,006	4.4%	\$	89,811	\$	3,730	4.3%	\$	45,374	\$	2,160	5.0%	\$ 83,938	\$	3,501	4.4%	\$	23,161	\$ 9	75	4.4%
1994-95	\$ 80,437	\$ 4,599	6.1%	\$	68,517	\$ 3,947	6.1%	\$	86,081	\$	4,900	6.0%	\$	43,214	\$	2,820	7.0%	\$ 80,437		-	-	\$	22,186	-		-
1993-94	\$ 75,838	\$ 3,002	4.1%	\$	64,570	\$ 2,572	4.1%	\$	81,181	\$	3,204	4.1%	\$	40,394	\$	1,784	4.6%	5	See	notes			S	ee note	5	
1992-93	\$ 72,836	\$ 140	0.2%	\$	61,998	\$ 133	0.2%	\$	77,977	\$	139	0.2%	\$	38,610	\$	240	0.6%	\$ 72,696	\$	-	0.0%	\$	20,027	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 72,696	\$ 2,884	4.1%	\$	61,865	\$ 2,422	4.1%	\$	77,838	\$	3,110	4.2%	\$	38,370	\$	1,145	3.1%	\$ 72,696	\$	2,884	4.1%	\$	20,027	\$ 7	81	4.1%
1990-91	\$ 69,812	\$ 2,976	4.5%	\$	59,443	\$ 2,558	4.5%	\$	74,728	\$	3,171	4.4%	\$	37,225	\$	1,854	5.2%	\$ 69,812	\$	2,976	4.5%	\$	19,246	\$ 8	30	4.5%
1989-90	\$ 66,836	\$ 3,221	5.1%	\$	56,885	\$ 2,759	5.1%	\$	71,557	\$	7,942	12.5%	\$	35,371	\$	1,920	5.7%	\$ 66,836	\$	3,221	5.1%	\$	18,416	\$ 8	95	5.1%
1988-89	\$ 63,615	\$ 7,541	13.4%	\$	54,126	\$ 7,076	15.0%	\$	63,615	\$	3,250	5.4%	\$	33,451	\$	1,940	6.2%	\$ 63,615	\$	3,250	5.4%	\$	17,521	\$ 9	04	5.4%
1987-88	\$ 56,074	\$ 2,827	5.3%	\$	47,050	\$ 2,390	5.4%	\$	60,365	\$	3,031	5.3%	\$	31,511	\$	1,776	6.0%	\$ 60,365	\$	3,031	5.3%	\$	16,617	\$ 8	41	5.3%
1986-87	\$ 53,247	\$ 3,706	7.5%	\$	44,660	\$ 3,134	7.5%	\$	57,334	\$	3,974	7.4%	\$	29,735	\$	2,327	8.5%	\$ 57,334	\$	3,974	7.4%	\$	15,776	\$ 1,1	03	7.5%
1985-86	\$ 49,541	-	-	\$	41,526	-	-	\$	53,360		-	-	\$	27,408		-	-	\$ 53,360		-	-	\$	14,673	-		-
	,		•		,- -		•	• •	.,					,			0	,	•				,. .			

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for be 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Sal	aries c	of E	lected	l Coun	ty	Constitu	utio	onal O	fficers	an	d Scho	ool	Distric	t Offic	ials	s Pursu	ıar	nt to th	e Salar	уF	ormula	a in	Chap	ter 145	, F	lorida	Stat	utes	
											в	aker Co	unt	y: Fisca	I Years	198	85-86 to :	20'	18-19											
	Cle	rk of Cir	cuit	Court, F	Property	Ι																								
Fiscal		Appraise	er & '	Tax Coll	ector		Supervis	sor o	of Elect	ions			Sh	eriff			County	Col	mmissio	ners		School	Sup	erintend	lent		School	Board	d Memb	bers
Year	95	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	GChg.	% Chg.		Salary	•	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	;	Salary	\$ 0	Chg.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$	104,673	\$	794	0.8%	\$	104,673	\$	794	0.8%	\$	114,071	\$	858	0.8%	\$	32,619	\$	298	0.9%	\$	104,673	\$	794	0.8%	\$	27,704	\$	210	0.8%
2017-18	\$	103,879	\$	4,103	4.1%	\$	103,879	\$	4,103	4.1%	\$	113,212	\$	4,473	4.1%	\$	32,321	\$	1,265	4.1%	\$	103,879	\$	4,103	4.1%	\$	27,494	\$	1,086	4.1%
2016-17	\$	99,777	\$	157	0.2%	\$	99,777	\$ 1	17,729	21.6%	\$	108,740	\$	171	0.2%	\$	31,056	\$	55	0.2%	\$	99,777	\$	157	0.2%	\$	26,408	\$	42	0.2%
2015-16	\$	99,619	\$	147	0.1%	\$	82,048	\$	126	0.2%	\$	108,569	\$	157	0.1%	\$	31,001	\$	69	0.2%	\$	99,619	\$	147	0.1%	\$	26,367	\$	39	0.1%
2014-15	\$	99,472	\$	250	0.3%	\$	81,922	\$	204	0.2%	\$	108,412	\$	274	0.3%	\$	30,931	\$	66	0.2%	\$	99,472	\$	250	0.3%	\$	26,328	\$	66	0.3%
2013-14	\$	99,222	\$	3,562	3.7%	\$	81,718	\$	2,934	3.7%	\$	108,137	\$	3,882	3.7%	\$	30,866	\$	1,110	3.7%	\$	99,222	\$	3,562	3.7%	\$	26,262	\$	943	3.7%
2012-13	\$	95,660	\$	(61)	-0.1%	\$	78,784	\$	(58)	-0.1%	\$	104,255	\$	(61)	-0.1%	\$	29,756	\$	(58)	-0.2%	\$	95,660	\$	(61)	-0.1%	\$	25,319	\$	(16)	-0.1%
2011-12	\$	95,720	\$	392	0.4%	\$	78,841	\$	373	0.5%	\$	104,316	\$	392	0.4%	\$	29,813	\$	373	1.3%	\$	95,720	\$	392	0.4%	\$	25,335	\$	104	0.4%
2010-11	\$	95,328	\$	3	0.0%	\$	78,468	\$	3	0.0%	\$	103,924	\$	3	0.0%	\$	29,440	\$	3	0.0%	\$	95,328	\$	1,994	2.1%	\$	25,231		See no	otes
2009-10	\$	95,326	\$	87	0.1%	\$	78,465	\$	82	0.1%	\$	103,921	\$	87	0.1%	\$	29,437	\$	82	0.3%	\$	93,334		See no	tes	\$	25,230		See no	otes
2008-09	\$	95,239	\$	304	0.3%	\$	78,383	\$	276	0.4%	\$	103,835	\$	313	0.3%	\$	29,355	\$	222	0.8%	\$	95,239	\$	304	0.3%	\$	25,207	\$	80	0.3%
2007-08	\$	94,935	\$	337	0.4%	\$	78,107	\$	321	0.4%	\$	103,521	\$	337	0.3%	\$	29,133	\$	322	1.1%	\$	94,935	\$	337	0.4%	\$	25,127		-	-
2006-07	\$	94,598	\$	3,161	3.5%	\$	77,786	\$	2,599	3.5%	\$	103,184	\$	3,448	3.5%	\$	28,811	\$	961	3.5%	\$	94,598	\$	3,161	3.5%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$	91,437	\$	3,809	4.3%	\$	75,187	\$	3,155	4.4%	\$	99,736	\$	4,139	4.3%	\$,	\$	1,272	4.8%	\$	91,437	\$	3,809	4.3%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$	87,628	\$	1,317	1.5%	\$	72,032	\$	1,097	1.5%	\$	95,597	\$	1,426	1.5%	\$	26,578	\$	474	1.8%	\$	87,628		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$	86,311	\$	1,816	2.1%	\$	70,935	\$	1,509	2.2%	\$	94,171	\$	1,970	2.1%	\$	26,104	\$	630	2.5%			iee r	otes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$	84,495	\$	2,186	2.7%	\$			1,808	2.7%	\$	92,201	\$	2,378	2.6%	\$	25,474	\$	715	2.9%	\$	84,495	\$	2,186	2.7%			see no	otes	
2001-02	\$	82,309		2,454	3.1%	\$	67,619		2,029	3.1%	\$	89,823	\$	2,668	3.1%	\$	1	\$	806	3.4%	\$	82,309	\$	2,454	3.1%	\$	21,785		649	3.1%
2000-01	\$	79,855	\$	2,383	3.1%	\$	65,589		1,983	3.1%	\$	87,155	\$	2,583	3.1%	\$	23,953	\$	845	3.7%	\$	79,855	\$	2,383	3.1%	\$	21,136	\$	631	3.1%
1999-00	\$	77,472		2,727	3.6%	\$			2,239	3.6%	\$	84,572	\$	2,977	3.6%	\$,	\$	812	3.6%	\$	77,472	\$	2,727	3.6%	\$	20,505		722	3.6%
1998-99	\$	74,745	\$	3,752	5.3%	\$	61,367	\$	3,094	5.3%	\$	81,595	\$	4,087	5.3%	\$,	\$	1,188	5.6%	\$	74,745	\$	3,752	5.3%	\$	19,783		993	5.3%
1997-98	\$	70,993	\$	3,457	5.1%	\$	58,273	\$	2,851	5.1%	\$	77,508	\$	3,764	5.1%	\$	21,108	\$	1,094	5.5%	\$	70,993	\$	3,457	5.1%	\$	18,790		915	5.1%
1996-97	\$	67,536	\$	3,770	5.9%	\$	55,422		3,110	5.9%	\$	73,744	\$	4,105	5.9%	\$	20,014	\$	1,200	6.4%	\$	67,536	\$	3,770	5.9%	\$	17,875	\$	997	5.9%
1995-96	\$	63,766	\$	2,523	4.1%	\$	52,312		2,075	4.1%	\$	69,639	\$	2,752	4.1%	\$		\$	768	4.3%	\$	63,766	\$	2,523	4.1%	\$		\$	668	4.1%
1994-95	\$	61,243	\$	3,349	5.8%	\$	50,237	\$	2,757	5.8%	\$	66,887	\$	3,651	5.8%	\$		\$	1,036	6.1%	\$	61,243		-	-	\$	16,210		-	-
1993-94	\$	57,894	\$	2,222	4.0%	\$	47,480	\$	1,829	4.0%	\$	63,236	\$	2,422	4.0%	\$,	\$	685	4.2%			iee r	otes				ee no	otes	
1992-93	\$	55,672	\$	80	0.1%	\$,	\$	76	0.2%	\$	60,814	\$	81	0.1%	\$,	\$	77	0.5%	\$	55,592	\$	-	0.0%	\$	14,714		-	0.0%
1991-92	\$	55,592	\$	2,287	4.3%	\$,	\$	1,853	4.2%	\$	60,733	\$	2,513	4.3%	\$,=	\$	561	3.6%	\$	55,592	\$	2,287	4.3%	\$	14,714	\$	605	4.3%
1990-91	\$	53,305	\$	2,221	4.3%	\$	43,722	\$	1,838	4.4%	\$	58,220	\$	2,414	4.3%	\$,	\$	733	4.9%	\$	53,305	\$	2,221	4.3%	\$	14,109	\$	588	4.3%
1989-90	\$	51,084	\$	2,376	4.9%	\$	41,884	\$	1,956	4.9%	\$	55,806	\$	7,098	14.6%	\$	14,954	\$	731	5.1%	\$	51,084	\$	2,376	4.9%	\$.,.	\$	629	4.9%
1988-89	\$	48,708	\$	6,724	16.0%	\$	39,928	\$	6,297	18.7%	\$	48,708	\$	2,433	5.3%	\$	14,223	\$	772	5.7%	\$	48,708	\$	2,433	5.3%	\$	12,892	\$	644	5.3%
1987-88	\$	41,984	\$	2,067	5.2%	\$	33,631		1,667	5.2%	\$	46,275	\$	2,272	5.2%	\$	- / -	\$	709	5.6%	\$	46,275	\$	2,272	5.2%	\$, .	\$	601	5.2%
1986-87	\$	39,917	\$	2,649	7.1%	\$	31,964	\$	2,127	7.1%	\$	44,003	\$	2,916	7.1%	\$	12,742	\$	869	7.3%	\$	44,003	\$	2,916	7.1%	\$	11,647	\$	772	7.1%
1985-86	\$	37,268		-	-	\$	29,837		-	-	\$	41,087		-	-	\$	11,873		-	-	\$	41,087		-	-	\$	10,875	_	-	-
												-																		-

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Elected	d Coun	ty	Constitu	utional C	fficers									e Salar	y Formul	a ir	n Chap	ter 145	, F	lorida	Statute	5
				_				Bay Cou	nty	: Fiscal	Years 1	98	5-86 to 2	018	3-19									
	Clerk of Cir	,																						
Fiscal		r & Tax Col				sor of Elect				eriff			County	-					perintend				Board Me	
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	GChg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	GChg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.
2018-19	1 . / .	\$ 1,232	0.9%	\$		\$ 1,232	0.9%	141,939	\$	1,297	0.9%	\$		\$	1,036	1.5%	\$ 132,542	\$	1,232	0.9%	\$	36,500	\$ 35	
2017-18	\$ 131,309	\$ 5,511	4.4%	\$,	\$ 5,511	4.4%	\$ 140,643	\$	5,881	4.4%	\$		\$	3,238	5.0%	\$ 131,309	\$	5,511	4.4%	\$	36,147	\$ 1,53	0 4.4%
2016-17	\$ 125,798		0.4%	\$	125,798	\$ 19,267	18.1%	\$ 134,761	\$	484	0.4%	\$	65,297	\$	582	0.9%	\$ 125,798	\$	470	0.4%	\$	34,617	\$ 14	1 0.4%
2015-16	\$ 125,328		0.2%	\$,	\$ 215	0.2%	\$ 134,277	\$	251	0.2%	\$	64,714	\$	247	0.4%	\$ 125,328		241	0.2%	\$,	\$ 7	
2014-15	\$ 125,087	\$ 391	0.3%	\$	106,316	\$ 338	0.3%	\$ 134,027	\$	416	0.3%	\$	64,467	\$	265	0.4%	\$ 125,087	\$	391	0.3%	\$	34,405	\$ 11	0 0.3%
2013-14	\$ 124,695	\$ 4,485	3.7%	\$	105,978	\$ 3,813	3.7%	\$ 133,611	\$	4,804	3.7%	\$	64,202	\$	2,324	3.8%	\$ 124,695	\$	4,485	3.7%	\$	34,295	\$ 1,23	4 3.7%
2012-13	\$ 120,211	\$ 46	0.0%	\$	102,166	\$ 44	0.0%	\$ 128,807	\$	46	0.0%	\$	61,879	\$	78	0.1%	\$ 120,211	\$	46	0.0%	\$	33,061	\$ 1	5 0.0%
2011-12	\$ 120,165	\$ (76)	-0.1%	\$	102,122	\$ (73)	-0.1%	\$ 128,761	\$	(76)	-0.1%	\$	61,800	\$	(131)	-0.2%	\$ 120,165	\$	(76)	-0.1%	\$	33,046	\$ (2	4) -0.1%
2010-11	\$ 120,241	\$ 27	0.0%	\$	102,195	\$ 26	0.0%	\$ 128,837	\$	27	0.0%	\$	61,931	\$	47	0.1%	\$ 120,241	\$	2,609	2.2%	\$	33,071	See	notes
2009-10	\$ 120,214	\$ 181	0.2%	\$	102,169	\$ 172	0.2%	\$ 128,810	\$	181	0.1%	\$	61,884	\$	309	0.5%	\$ 117,633		See no	otes	\$	33,062	See	notes
2008-09	\$ 120,033		0.3%	\$	101,997	\$ 328	0.3%	\$ 128,629	\$	368	0.3%	\$	61,575	\$	457	0.7%	\$ 120,033	\$	359	0.3%	\$	33,005	\$ 10	8 0.3%
2007-08	\$ 119,674	\$ 406	0.3%	\$	101,668	\$ 387	0.4%	\$ 128,260	\$	406	0.3%	\$	61,118	\$	697	1.2%	\$ 119,674	\$	406	0.3%	\$	32,896	-	-
2006-07	\$ 119,268	\$ 4,329	3.8%	\$	101,281	\$ 3,711	3.8%	\$ 127,855	\$	4,617	3.7%	\$	60,421	\$	2,605	4.5%	\$ 119,268	\$	4,329	3.8%		N/A	-	-
2005-06	\$ 114,939	\$ 4,930	4.5%	\$	97,570	\$ 4,222	4.5%	\$ 123,238	\$	5,260	4.5%	\$	57,816	\$	2,916	5.3%	\$ 114,939	\$	4,930	4.5%		N/A	-	-
2004-05	\$ 110,009	\$ 1,768	1.6%	\$	93,348	\$ 1,527	1.7%	\$ 117,978	\$	1,877	1.6%	\$	54,900	\$	1,197	2.2%	\$ 110,009		-	-		N/A	-	-
2003-04	\$ 108,241	\$ 2,304	2.2%	\$	91,821	\$ 1,974	2.2%	\$ 116,101	\$	2,459	2.2%	\$	53,703	\$	1,366	2.6%		See	notes			N/A	-	-
2002-03	\$ 105,937	\$ 2,829	2.7%	\$	89,847	\$ 2,419	2.8%	\$ 113,643	\$	3,020	2.7%	\$	52,337	\$	1,635	3.2%	\$ 105,937	\$	2,829	2.7%		S	ee notes	
2001-02	\$ 103,108	\$ 2,770	2.8%	\$	87,427	\$ 2,331	2.7%	\$ 110,622	\$	2,984	2.8%	\$	50,702	\$	1,150	2.3%	\$ 103,108	\$	2,770	2.8%	\$	28,274	\$ 75	2 2.7%
2000-01	\$ 100,338	\$ 2,977	3.1%	\$	85,097	\$ 2,549	3.1%	\$ 107,638	\$	3,177	3.0%	\$	49,552	\$	1,754	3.7%	\$ 100,338	\$	2,977	3.1%	\$	27,522	\$ 82	7 3.1%
1999-00	\$ 97,361	\$ 3,678	3.9%	\$	82,548	\$ 3,145	4.0%	\$ 104,461	\$	3,928	3.9%	\$,	\$	2,111	4.6%	\$ 97,361	\$	3,678	3.9%	\$	26,695	\$ 1,01	9 4.0%
1998-99	\$ 93,683	\$ 4,769	5.4%	\$	79,403	\$ 4,063	5.4%	\$ 100,533	\$	5,104	5.3%	\$	45,687	\$	2,568	6.0%	\$ 93,683	\$	4,769	5.4%	\$	25,676	\$ 1,31	6 5.4%
1997-98	\$ 88,914	\$ 4,434	5.2%	\$	75,340	\$ 3,781	5.3%	\$ 95,429	\$	4,742	5.2%	\$	43,119	\$	2,435	6.0%	\$ 88,914	\$	4,434	5.2%	\$	24,360	\$ 1,22	5 5.3%
1996-97	\$ 84,480	\$ 4,770	6.0%	\$	71,559	\$ 4,062	6.0%	\$ 90,687	\$	5,104	6.0%	\$	40,684	\$	2,558	6.7%	\$ 84,480	\$	4,770	6.0%	\$	23,135	\$ 1,31	5 6.0%
1995-96	\$ 79,710	\$ 3,265	4.3%	\$	67,497	\$ 2,782	4.3%	\$ 85,583	\$	3,495	4.3%	\$	38,126	\$	1,756	4.8%	\$ 79,710	\$	3,265	4.3%	\$	21,820	\$ 90	1 4.3%
1994-95	\$ 76,445	\$ 4,269	5.9%	\$	64,715	\$ 3,633	5.9%	\$ 82,088	\$	4,570	5.9%	\$	36,370	\$	2,256	6.6%	\$ 76,445		-	-	\$	20,919	-	-
1993-94	\$ 72,176	\$ 2,888	4.2%	\$	61,082	\$ 2,463	4.2%	\$ 77,518	\$	3,088	4.1%	\$	34,114	\$	1,586	4.9%		See	notes			S	ee notes	
1992-93	\$ 69,288	\$ 101	0.1%	\$	58,619	\$ 96	0.2%	\$ 74,430	\$	101	0.1%	\$	32,528	\$	174	0.5%	\$ 69,187	\$	-	0.0%	\$	18,913	\$	- 0.0%
1991-92	\$ 69,187	\$ 2,512	3.8%	\$	58,523	\$ 2,068	3.7%	\$ 74,329	\$	2,739	3.8%	\$	32,354	\$	507	1.6%	\$ 69,187	\$	2,512	3.8%	\$	18,913	\$ 66	3 3.6%
1990-91	\$ 66,675	\$ 2,782	4.4%	\$	56,455	\$ 2,372	4.4%	\$ 71,590	\$	2,975	4.3%	\$	31,847	\$	1,520	5.0%	\$ 66,675	\$	2,782	4.4%	\$	18,250	\$ 76	3 4.4%
1989-90	\$ 63,893	\$ 3,097	5.1%	\$	54,083	\$ 2,642	5.1%	\$ 68,615	\$	7,819	12.9%	\$	30,327	\$	1,708	6.0%	\$ 63,893	\$	3,097	5.1%	\$	17,482	\$ 85	6 5.1%
1988-89	\$ 60,796	\$ 7,440	13.9%	\$	51,441	\$ 6,980	15.7%	\$ 60,796	\$	3,150	5.5%	\$	28,619	\$	1,769	6.6%	\$ 60,796	\$	3,150	5.5%	\$	16,626	\$ 87	2 5.5%
1987-88	\$ 53,356	\$ 2,836	5.6%	\$	44,461	\$ 2,399	5.7%	\$ 57,646	\$	3,040	5.6%	\$	26,850	\$	1,791	7.1%	\$ 57,646	\$	3,040	5.6%	\$	15,754	\$ 84	3 5.7%
1986-87	\$ 50,520	\$ 3,618	7.7%	\$	42,062	\$ 3,050	7.8%	\$ 54,606	\$	3,885	7.7%	\$	25,059	\$	2,176	9.5%	\$ 54,606	\$	3,885	7.7%	\$	14,911	\$ 1,07	5 7.8%
1985-86	\$ 46,902	-	-	\$	39,012	-	-	\$ 50,721		-	-	\$	22,883		-	-	\$ 50,721	Ì	-	-	\$	13,836	-	-
									•															

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for be 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Sal	aries o	of E	lected	l Coun	ty	Constitu	utio	onal O											e Salar	уF	ormula	a in	Chap	ter 145	, F	lorida	Stat	utes	
											Bra	adford C	oui	nty: Fise	cal Year	s 19	985-86 to	o 2	018-19											
	Cle	erk of Cir	cuit	Court, F	Property																									
Fiscal		Appraise	er & '	Tax Coll	ector		Supervis	sor o	of Electi	ions			Sh	eriff			County	Coi	mmissio	ners		School	Sup	erintend	lent		School	Boar	d Memb	pers
Year	5	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	44	6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	;	Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$	104,832	\$	787	0.8%	\$	104,832	\$	787	0.8%	\$	114,230	\$	851	0.8%	\$	32,770	\$	291	0.9%	\$	104,832	\$	787	0.8%	\$	27,746	\$	208	0.8%
2017-18	\$	104,046	\$	4,170	4.2%	\$	104,046	\$	4,170	4.2%	\$	113,379	\$	4,541	4.2%	\$	32,479	\$	1,330	4.3%	\$	104,046	\$	4,170	4.2%	\$	27,538	\$	1,104	4.2%
2016-17	\$	99,875	\$	144	0.1%	\$	99,875	\$ [•]	17,722	21.6%	\$	108,838	\$	158	0.1%	\$	31,149	\$	42	0.1%	\$	99,875	\$	144	0.1%	\$	26,434	\$	38	0.1%
2015-16	\$	99,731	\$	146	0.1%	\$	82,154	\$	125	0.2%	\$	108,680	\$	156	0.1%	\$	31,107	\$	68	0.2%	\$	99,731	\$	146	0.1%	\$	26,396	\$	39	0.1%
2014-15	\$	99,585	\$	262	0.3%	\$	82,029	\$	215	0.3%	\$	108,525	\$	286	0.3%	\$	31,039	\$	77	0.2%	\$	99,585	\$	262	0.3%	\$	26,358	\$	69	0.3%
2013-14	\$	99,323	\$	3,104	3.2%	\$	81,814	\$	2,497	3.1%	\$	108,238	\$	3,423	3.3%	\$	30,962	\$	674	2.2%	\$	99,323	\$	3,104	3.2%	\$	26,288	\$	822	3.2%
2012-13	\$	96,219	\$	46	0.0%	\$	79,316	\$	44	0.1%	\$	104,815	\$	46	0.0%	\$	30,288	\$	44	0.1%	\$	96,219	\$	46	0.0%	\$	25,467	\$	12	0.0%
2011-12	\$	96,173	\$	(182)	-0.2%	\$	79,273	\$	(173)	-0.2%	\$	104,769	\$	(182)	-0.2%	\$	30,245	\$	(173)	-0.6%	\$	96,173	\$	(182)	-0.2%	\$	25,455	\$	(48)	-0.2%
2010-11	\$	96,355	\$	8	0.0%	\$	79,446	\$	8	0.0%	\$	104,951	\$	8	0.0%	\$	30,418	\$	8	0.0%	\$	96,355	\$	1,937	2.1%	\$	25,503		See no	tes
2009-10	\$	96,347	\$	2	0.0%	\$	79,438	\$	2	0.0%	\$	104,943	\$	2	0.0%	\$	30,410	\$	1	0.0%	\$	94,418		See no	otes	\$	25,501		See no	otes
2008-09	\$	96,345	\$	268	0.3%	\$	79,436	\$	242	0.3%	\$	104,941	\$	278	0.3%	\$	30,409	\$	188	0.6%	\$	96,345	\$	268	0.3%	\$	25,500	\$	71	0.3%
2007-08	\$	96,077	\$	138	0.1%	\$	79,195	\$	132	0.2%	\$	104,663	\$	138	0.1%	\$	30,221	\$	132	0.4%	\$	96,077	\$	138	0.1%	\$	25,429		-	-
2006-07	\$	95,939	\$	3,326	3.6%	\$	79,063	\$	2,756	3.6%	\$	104,525	\$	3,613	3.6%	\$	30,088	\$	1,118	3.9%	\$	95,939	\$	3,326	3.6%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$	92,613	\$	3,913	4.4%	\$	76,307	\$	3,253	4.5%	\$	100,912	\$	4,243	4.4%	\$	28,970	\$	1,371	5.0%	\$	92,613	\$	3,913	4.4%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$	88,700	\$	1,350	1.5%	\$	73,054	\$	1,129	1.6%	\$	96,669	\$	1,459	1.5%	\$	27,599	\$	506	1.9%	\$	88,700		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$	87,350	\$	1,838	2.1%	\$	71,924	\$	1,530	2.2%	\$	95,210	\$	1,992	2.1%	\$	27,093	\$	651	2.5%		S	iee r	notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$	85,512	\$	2,124	2.5%	\$	70,394	\$	1,748	2.5%	\$	93,218	\$	2,316	2.5%	\$	26,442	\$	655	2.5%	\$	85,512	\$	2,124	2.5%		S	iee n	otes	
2001-02	\$	83,388	\$	2,541	3.1%	\$	68,646	\$	2,113	3.2%	\$	90,902	\$	2,756	3.1%	\$	25,787	\$	889	3.6%	\$	83,388	\$	2,541	3.1%	\$	22,071	\$	673	3.1%
2000-01	\$	80,846	\$	2,250	2.9%	\$	66,533	\$	1,856	2.9%	\$	88,146	\$	2,449	2.9%	\$	24,897	\$	718	3.0%	\$	80,846	\$	2,250	2.9%	\$	21,398	\$	595	2.9%
1999-00	\$	78,596	\$	2,799	3.7%	\$	64,677	\$	2,308	3.7%	\$	85,697	\$	3,050	3.7%	\$	24,179	\$	881	3.8%	\$	78,596	\$	2,799	3.7%	\$	20,803	\$	741	3.7%
1998-99	\$	75,797	\$	3,760	5.2%	\$	62,369	\$	3,102	5.2%	\$	82,647	\$	4,094	5.2%	\$	23,298	\$	1,195	5.4%	\$	75,797	\$	3,760	5.2%	\$	20,062	\$	995	5.2%
1997-98	\$	72,037	\$	3,555	5.2%	\$	59,267	\$	2,944	5.2%	\$	78,553	\$	3,863	5.2%	\$	22,103	\$	1,188	5.7%	\$	72,037	\$	3,555	5.2%	\$	19,067	\$	941	5.2%
1996-97	\$	68,482	\$	3,722	5.7%	\$	56,323	\$	3,065	5.8%	\$	74,690	\$	4,058	5.7%	\$	20,915	\$	1,155	5.8%	\$	68,482	\$	3,722	5.7%	\$	18,126	\$	986	5.8%
1995-96	\$	64,760	\$	2,716	4.4%	\$	53,258	\$	2,258	4.4%	\$	70,632	\$	2,944	4.3%	\$	19,760	\$	951	5.1%	\$	64,760	\$	2,716	4.4%	\$	17,140	\$	718	4.4%
1994-95	\$	62,044	\$	3,369	5.7%	\$	51,000	\$	2,776	5.8%	\$	67,688	\$	3,671	5.7%	\$	18,809	\$	1,055	5.9%	\$	62,044		-	-	\$	16,422		-	-
1993-94	\$	58,675	\$	2,262	4.0%	\$	48,224	\$	1,867	4.0%	\$	64,017	\$	2,462	4.0%	\$	17,754	\$	723	4.2%		S	iee r	notes			S	iee n	otes	
1992-93	\$	56,413	\$	44	0.1%	\$	46,357	\$	42	0.1%	\$	61,555	\$	45	0.1%	\$	17,031	\$	43	0.3%	\$	56,369	\$	-	0.0%	\$	14,919	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$	56,369	\$	2,061	3.8%	\$	46,315	\$	1,638	3.7%	\$	61,510	\$	2,287	3.9%	\$	16,988	\$	346	2.1%	\$	56,369	\$	2,061	3.8%	\$	14,919	\$	545	3.8%
1990-91	\$	54,308	\$	2,230	4.3%	\$	44,677	\$	1,846	4.3%	\$	59,223	\$	2,423	4.3%	\$	16,642	\$	741	4.7%	\$	54,308	\$	2,230	4.3%	\$	14,374	\$	590	4.3%
1989-90	\$	52,078	\$	2,397	4.8%	\$	42,831	\$	1,976	4.8%	\$	56,800	\$	7,119	14.3%	\$	15,901	\$	752	5.0%	\$	52,078	\$	2,397	4.8%	\$	13,784	\$	635	4.8%
1988-89	\$	49,681	\$	6,781	15.8%	\$	40,855	\$	6,352	18.4%	\$	49,681	\$	2,491	5.3%	\$	15,149	\$	826	5.8%	\$	49,681	\$	2,491	5.3%	\$	13,149	\$	659	5.3%
1987-88	\$	42,900	\$	2,050	5.0%	\$	34,503	\$	1,650	5.0%	\$	47,190	\$	2,253	5.0%	\$	14,323	\$	692	5.1%	\$	47,190	\$	2,253	5.0%	\$	12,490	\$	596	5.0%
1986-87	\$	40,850	\$	2,730	7.2%	\$	32,853	\$	2,205	7.2%	\$	44,937	\$	2,998	7.1%	\$	13,631	\$	947	7.5%	\$	44,937	\$	2,998	7.1%	\$	11,894	\$	794	7.2%
1985-86	\$	38,120		-	-	\$	30,648		-	-	\$	41,939		-	-	\$	12,684		-	-	\$	41,939		-	-	\$	11,100		-	-
			•			•																								

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for be 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

:	Salaries o	f Elected	Count	ty (Constitu	utional C											e Salaı	y Formu	a ir	n Chap	ter 145	i, F	lorida	Statu	tes	
								Brev	vard Co	ounty	/: Fisc	al Years	s 19	985-86 to	20	018-19										
	Clerk of Circ	cuit Court, I	Property																							
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervis	sor of Elec	tions			Sher	riff			County	Cor	nmissio	ners	School	Sup	perintend	lent		School	Board	Memb	bers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	S	Salary	\$ 0	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Cł	g.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$ 153,242	\$ 1,193	0.8%	\$	153,242	\$ 1,193	0.8%	\$ [•]	162,639	\$	1,258	0.8%	\$	91,181	\$	763	0.8%	\$ 153,242	\$	1,193	0.8%	\$	42,107	\$	326	0.8%
2017-18	\$ 152,048	\$ 6,192	4.2%	\$	152,048	\$ 6,192	4.2%	\$ ´	161,382	\$	6,562	4.2%	\$	90,418	\$	3,740	4.3%	\$ 152,048	\$	6,192	4.2%	\$	41,780	\$1,	700	4.2%
2016-17	\$ 145,856	\$ 425	0.3%	\$	145,856	\$ 20,179	16.1%	\$ ´	154,819	\$	439	0.3%	\$	86,678	\$	327	0.4%	\$ 145,856	\$	425	0.3%	\$	40,080	\$	115	0.3%
2015-16	\$ 145,431	\$ 250	0.2%	\$	125,678	\$ 224	0.2%	\$ ´	154,381	\$	260	0.2%	\$	86,351	\$	181	0.2%	\$ 145,431	\$	250	0.2%	\$	39,966	\$	68	0.2%
2014-15	\$ 145,181	\$ 455	0.3%	\$	125,454	\$ 399	0.3%	\$ [•]	154,120	\$	480	0.3%	\$	86,170	\$	293	0.3%	\$ 145,181	\$	455	0.3%	\$	39,898	\$	125	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 144,725	\$ 5,200	3.7%	\$		\$ 4,494	3.7%	\$ ´	153,641	\$	5,520	3.7%	\$	85,878	\$	3,089	3.7%	\$ 144,725	\$	5,200	3.7%	\$			429	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 139,525	\$ 39	0.0%	\$	120,560	\$ 37	0.0%	\$	148,121	\$	39	0.0%	\$	82,788	\$	37	0.0%	\$ 139,525	\$	39	0.0%	\$	38,344	\$	10	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 139,486	\$ (264)	-0.2%	\$	120,523	\$ (251)	-0.2%	\$	148,082	\$	(264)	-0.2%	\$	82,751	\$	(251)	-0.3%	\$ 139,486	\$	(264)	-0.2%	\$	38,334	\$	(70)	-0.2%
2010-11	\$ 139,750	\$ (12)	0.0%	\$	120,775	\$ (11)	0.0%	\$	148,346	\$	(12)	0.0%	\$	83,003	\$	(11)	0.0%	\$ 139,750	\$	2,871	2.1%	\$	38,404	S	ee no	otes
2009-10	\$ 139,762	\$ 89	0.1%	\$	120,786	\$ 85	0.1%	\$	148,358	\$	89	0.1%	\$	83,014	\$	84	0.1%	\$ 136,880		See no	tes	\$	38,407	S	ee no	otes
2008-09	\$ 139,673		0.2%	\$	120,701	\$ 318	0.3%	\$	148,269	\$	357	0.2%	\$	82,930	\$	276	0.3%	\$ 139,673	\$	348	0.2%	\$	38,383	\$	94	0.2%
2007-08	\$ 139,325	\$ 236	0.2%	\$	120,384	\$ 225	0.2%	\$	147,912	\$	236	0.2%	\$	82,653	\$	226	0.3%	\$ 139,325	\$	236	0.2%	\$	38,290	-		-
2006-07	\$ 139,089	\$ 4,870	3.6%	\$	120,158	\$ 4,227	3.6%	\$	147,675	\$	5,157	3.6%	\$	82,428	\$	2,965	3.7%	\$ 139,089	\$	4,870	3.6%		N/A	-		-
2005-06	\$ 134,219	\$ 5,609	4.4%	\$	115,931	\$ 4,868	4.4%	\$	142,518	\$	5,939	4.3%	\$	79,463	\$	3,418	4.5%	\$ 134,219	\$	5,609	4.4%		N/A	-		-
2004-05	\$ 128,610	\$ 2,032	1.6%	\$	111,063	\$ 1,779	1.6%	\$	136,579	\$	2,142	1.6%	\$	76,045	\$	1,299	1.7%	\$ 128,610		-	-		N/A	-		-
2003-04	\$ 126,578	\$ 2,653	2.1%	\$	109,284	\$ 2,306	2.2%	\$	134,438	\$	2,807	2.1%	\$	74,746	\$	1,629	2.2%			notes			N/A	-		-
2002-03	\$ 123,925	\$ 3,250	2.7%	\$	106,978	\$ 2,820	2.7%	\$	131,631	\$	3,441	2.7%	\$	73,117	\$	1,978	2.8%	\$ 123,925	\$	3,250	2.7%			ee not	es	
2001-02	\$ 120,675		3.0%	\$	104,158	\$ 2,998	3.0%	\$	128,189		3,686	3.0%	\$	71,139	\$	2,056	3.0%	\$ 120,675	\$	3,471	3.0%	\$	33,177		954	3.0%
2000-01	\$ 117,204	\$ 3,365	3.0%	\$		\$ 2,919	3.0%	\$	124,504		3,565	2.9%	\$	69,083	\$	2,041	3.0%	\$ 117,204	\$	3,365	3.0%	\$	32,223		924	3.0%
1999-00	\$ 113,839	\$ 4,142	3.8%	\$	98,241	\$ 3,586	3.8%		120,939		4,392	3.8%	\$		\$	2,488	3.9%	\$ 113,839	\$	4,142	3.8%	\$	31,299		137	3.8%
1998-99	\$ 109,697	\$ 5,482	5.3%	\$	94,655	\$ 4,742	5.3%	\$	116,547	\$	5,816	5.3%	\$	64,554	\$	3,273	5.3%	\$ 109,697	\$	5,482	5.3%	\$	30,162	\$1,	506	5.3%
1997-98	\$ 104,215	1 2/2 2 2	5.0%	\$	89,913	\$ 4,326	5.1%	\$	110,731		5,314	5.0%	\$	61,281	\$	2,973	5.1%	\$ 104,215	\$	5,006	5.0%	\$			376	5.0%
1996-97	+;	\$ 5,479	5.8%	\$	85,587	\$ 4,738	5.9%	\$ [•]	105,417		5,814	5.8%	\$	58,308	\$	3,266	5.9%	\$ 99,209	\$	5,479	5.8%	\$	27,280		505	5.8%
1995-96	\$ 93,730		4.2%	\$	80,849	\$ 3,278	4.2%	\$	99,603		4,015	4.2%	\$		\$	2,272	4.3%	\$ 93,730	\$	3,786	4.2%	\$	25,775	\$1,	040	4.2%
1994-95		\$ 4,934	5.8%	\$	77,571	\$ 4,266	5.8%	\$	95,588		5,236	5.8%	\$.,	\$	2,940	5.9%	\$ 89,944		-	-	\$	24,735	-		-
1993-94	1	\$ 3,299	4.0%	\$	73,305	\$ 2,854	4.1%	\$	90,352		3,499	4.0%	\$		\$	1,973	4.1%			notes			-	ee not	es	
1992-93	\$ 81,711		0.2%	\$,	\$ 152	0.2%	\$	86,853		160	0.2%	\$,	\$	152	0.3%	\$ 81,552	\$	-	0.0%	\$	22,431		-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 81,522	\$ 3,481	4.5%	\$	70,299	\$ 3,020	4.5%	\$	86,693	\$	3,737	4.5%	\$	47,705	\$	2,024	4.4%	\$ 81,552	\$	3,511	4.5%	\$	22,431	\$	966	4.5%
1990-91	÷,	\$ 3,554	4.8%	\$	67,279	\$ 3,107	4.8%	\$	82,956		3,748	4.7%	\$	45,681	\$	2,256	5.2%	\$ 78,041	\$	3,554	4.8%	\$	21,465		973	4.7%
1989-90	÷ .,	\$ 3,924	5.6%	\$	64,172	\$ 3,429	5.6%	\$	79,208		8,645	12.3%	\$	43,425	\$	2,486	6.1%	\$ 74,487	\$	3,924	5.6%	\$	20,492		074	5.5%
1988-89	\$ 70,563		13.1%	\$	60,743	\$ 7,659	14.4%	\$	70,563		3,863	5.8%	\$.,	\$	2,418	6.3%	\$ 70,563	\$	3,863	5.8%	\$	19,418		058	5.8%
1987-88	\$ 62,410	\$ 3,504	5.9%	\$	53,084	\$ 3,035	6.1%	\$	66,700	\$	3,707	5.9%	\$	38,521	\$	2,342	6.5%	\$ 66,700	\$	3,707	5.9%	\$	18,360	\$1,	014	5.8%
1986-87	\$ 58,906	\$ 4,324	7.9%	\$	50,049	\$ 3,722	8.0%	\$	62,993	\$	4,592	7.9%	\$	36,179	\$	2,815	8.4%	\$ 62,993	\$	4,592	7.9%	\$	17,346	\$1,	260	7.8%
1985-86	\$ 54,582	-	-	\$	46,327	-	-	\$	58,401		-	-	\$	33,364		-	-	\$ 58,401		-	-	\$	16,086	-		-

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendent's salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Electec	I Count	ty (Constitu	utional (and Scho							e Salaı	y Formul	a in Cha	pter 145	5, F	lorida	Statute	s
								Broward Co	ounty: Fi	scal Yea	rs 1	985-86 t	o 2(018-19								
	Clerk of Cire																					
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col				sor of Elec			Sheriff			County	-				Superinter			School		
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	1	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg	. % Chg.
2018-19	+,	\$ 1,567	0.9%	Ŧ		\$ 1,567	0.9%	\$ 188,262	\$ 1,63		\$	100,685	\$	688	0.7%	\$ 178,865	\$ 1,567	0.9%	\$	44,749	\$ 3	
2017-18	\$ 177,298	\$ 7,495	4.4%	\$	177,298	\$ 7,495	4.4%	\$ 186,631			\$	99,997	\$	3,966	4.1%	+,=••	\$ 7,495	4.4%	\$	44,443	\$ 1,7	
2016-17	\$ 169,802	\$ 653	0.4%	\$	169,802	\$ 20,883	14.0%	\$ 178,765	\$ 66		\$	96,031	\$	143	0.1%	\$ 169,802	\$ 653	0.4%	\$	42,681	\$	64 0.1%
2015-16	\$ 169,149		0.3%	\$	148,919		0.3%	\$ 178,099			\$	95,888	\$	106	0.1%	\$ 169,149		0.3%	\$	42,617		0.1%
2014-15	\$ 168,635		0.4%	\$		\$ 633	0.4%	\$ 177,575			\$	95,782	\$	259	0.3%	\$ 168,635	\$ 688	0.4%	\$	42,570		
2013-14	1 . /	\$ 6,317	3.9%	\$, -	\$ 5,594	3.9%	\$ 176,863	\$ 6,63		\$	95,523	\$	3,426	3.7%	\$ 167,948	\$ 6,317	3.9%	\$	42,455	\$ 1,5	
2012-13	\$ 161,630	\$83	0.1%	\$	142,200	\$ 83	0.1%	\$ 170,226	\$	3 0.0%	\$	92,097	\$	-	0.0%	\$ 161,630	\$83	0.1%	\$	40,932	\$	- 0.0%
2011-12	\$ 161,547		0.0%	\$	142,116		0.0%	\$ 170,143			\$	92,097	\$	-	0.0%	\$ 161,547	\$51	0.0%	\$	40,932	\$	- 0.0%
2010-11	\$ 161,495	· · /	-0.1%	\$,	\$ (222	-0.2%	\$ 170,091		,	\$	92,097	\$	-	0.0%	÷ ••••	\$ 2,897	1.8%	\$	40,932		notes
2009-10	\$ 161,718		-0.1%	\$	142,287	\$ (117) -0.1%	\$ 170,313			\$	92,097	\$	1	0.0%	\$ 158,598	See n		\$	40,932	Se	e notes
2008-09	\$ 161,835	\$ 383	0.2%	\$	142,404	\$ 362	0.3%	\$ 170,430			\$	92,096	\$	101	0.1%	\$ 161,835	\$ 383	0.2%	\$	40,932	\$ 4	15 0.1%
2007-08	\$ 161,452		0.1%	\$		\$ 198	0.1%	\$ 170,038			\$	91,995	\$	(1)	0.0%	\$ 161,452	\$ 197	0.1%	\$	40,887	-	-
2006-07	\$ 161,254	\$ 5,675	3.6%	\$	141,845	\$ 5,026	3.7%	\$ 169,841	\$ 5,96	3 3.6%	\$	91,996	\$	3,077	3.5%	\$ 161,254	\$ 5,675	3.6%		N/A	-	-
2005-06	\$ 155,579	\$ 6,561	4.4%	\$	136,819	\$ 5,815	4.4%	\$ 163,878	\$ 6,89	4.4%	\$	88,919	\$	3,536	4.1%	\$ 155,579	\$ 6,561	4.4%		N/A	-	-
2004-05	\$ 149,018	\$ 2,481	1.7%	\$	131,004	\$ 2,234	1.7%	\$ 156,987	\$ 2,59) 1.7%	\$	85,383	\$	1,171	1.4%	\$ 149,018	-	-		N/A	-	
2003-04	\$ 146,537	\$ 3,154	2.2%	\$	128,769	\$ 2,806	2.2%	\$ 154,396	\$ 3,30		\$	84,213	\$	1,651	2.0%	5	See notes			N/A	-	-
2002-03	\$ 143,382	\$ 3,951	2.8%	\$	125,963	\$ 3,517	2.9%	\$ 151,088	\$ 4,14	2 2.8%	\$	82,562	\$	2,053	2.6%	\$ 143,382	\$ 3,951	2.8%		S	ee notes	,
2001-02	\$ 139,432	\$ 5,826	4.4%	\$	122,446	\$ 5,341	4.6%	\$ 146,946	\$ 6,04	4.3%	\$	80,509	\$	2,298	2.9%	\$ 139,432	\$ 5,826	4.4%	\$	35,782	\$ 1,0	21 2.9%
2000-01	\$ 133,606		3.1%	\$	117,105	\$ 3,600	3.2%	\$ 140,905	\$ 4,25		\$	78,211	\$	2,139	2.8%	\$ 133,606	\$ 4,052	3.1%	\$	34,760	\$ 9	50 2.8%
1999-00	\$ 129,544	\$ 5,037	4.0%	\$	113,505	\$ 4,483	4.1%	\$ 136,654	\$ 5,29	4.0%	\$	76,072	\$	2,679	3.7%	\$ 129,554	\$ 5,047	4.1%	\$	33,810	\$ 1,1	3.7%
1998-99	\$ 124,507	\$ 6,467	5.5%	\$	109,022	\$ 5,711	5.5%	\$ 131,357	\$ 6,80	5.5%	\$	73,393	\$	3,582	5.1%	\$ 124,507	\$ 6,467	5.5%	\$	32,619	\$ 1,5	92 5.1%
1997-98	\$ 118,040	\$ 5,911	5.3%	\$	103,311	\$ 5,215	5.3%	\$ 124,556	\$ 6,21	5.3%	\$	69,811	\$	3,299	5.0%	\$ 118,040	\$ 5,911	5.3%	\$	31,027	\$ 1,4	6 5.0%
1996-97	\$ 112,129	\$ 6,317	6.0%	\$	98,096	\$ 5,560	6.0%	\$ 118,337	\$ 6,65	2 6.0%	\$	66,512	\$	3,588	5.7%	\$ 112,129	\$ 6,317	6.0%	\$	29,561	\$ 1,5	5.7%
1995-96	\$ 105,812	\$ 4,371	4.3%	\$	92,536	\$ 3,852	4.3%	\$ 111,685	\$ 4,60) 4.3%	\$	62,924	\$	2,454	4.1%	\$ 105,812	\$ 4,371	4.3%	\$	27,966	\$ 1,0	91 4.1%
1994-95	\$ 101,441	\$ 5,663	5.9%	\$	88,684	\$ 4,981	6.0%	\$ 107,085	\$ 5,96	4 5.9%	\$	60,470	\$	3,234	5.7%	\$ 101,441	-	-	\$	26,875	-	-
1993-94	\$ 95,778	\$ 3,748	4.1%	\$	83,703	\$ 3,296	4.1%	\$ 101,121	\$ 3,95) 4.1%	\$	57,236	\$	2,148	3.9%	5	See notes			S	ee notes	,
1992-93	\$ 92,030	\$ 224	0.2%	\$	80,407	\$ 224	0.3%	\$ 97,171	\$ 22	4 0.2%	\$	55,088	\$	-	0.0%	\$ 91,806	\$-	0.0%	\$	24,484	\$	- 0.0%
1991-92	\$ 91,806	\$ 4,166	4.8%	\$	80,183	\$ 3,653	4.8%	\$ 96,947	\$ 4,39	2 4.7%	\$	55,088	\$	2,426	4.6%	\$ 91,806	\$ 4,166	4.8%	\$	24,484	\$ 1,0	4.6%
1990-91	\$ 87,640	\$ 3,712	4.4%	\$	76,530	\$ 3,275	4.5%	\$ 92,555	\$ 3,90	5 4.4%	\$	52,662	\$	2,075	4.1%	\$ 87,640	\$ 3,712	4.4%	\$	23,405	\$ 93	22 4.1%
1989-90	\$ 83,928	\$ 4,096	5.1%	\$	73,255	\$ 3,611	5.2%	\$ 88,650	\$ 8,81	3 11.0%	\$	50,587	\$	2,300	4.8%	\$ 83,928	\$ 4,096	5.1%	\$	22,483	\$ 1,0	4.8%
1988-89	\$ 79,832	\$ 8,385	11.7%	\$	69,644	\$ 7,895	12.8%	\$ 79,832	\$ 4,09	5 5.4%	\$	48,287	\$	2,320	5.0%	\$ 79,832	\$ 4,095	5.4%	\$	21,461	\$ 1,0	5.0%
1987-88	\$ 71,447	\$ 3,590	5.3%	\$	61,749	\$ 3,129	5.3%	\$ 75,737	\$ 3,79	3 5.3%	\$	45,967	\$	2,184	5.0%	\$ 75,737	\$ 3,793	5.3%	\$	20,430	\$ 9	1 5.0%
1986-87	\$ 67,857	\$ 4,610	7.3%	\$	58,620	\$ 4,005	7.3%	\$ 71,944	\$ 4,87	7 7.3%	\$	43,783	\$	2,865	7.0%	\$ 71,944	\$ 4,877	7.3%	\$	19,459	\$ 1,2	73 7.0%
1985-86	\$ 63,247	-	-	\$	54,615	-	-	\$ 67,067	-	-	\$	40,918		-	-	\$ 67,067	-	-	\$	18,186	-	
<u> </u>	•			<u> </u>													L					

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendent's salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Electec	I Count	ty (Constitu	ution	al O											e Salai	уF	ormula	a in C	hap	ter 145	i, F	lorida	Stat	utes	
									Cal	houn Co	our	nty: Fiso	cal Year	s 19	985-86 to	o 2	018-19											
	Clerk of Circ	uit Court, I	Property																									
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervis	sor of	Elect	ions			Sh	neriff			County	Co	mmissio	ners		School	Superir	tenc	lent		School	Boar	d Meml	bers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ C	hg.	% Chg.		Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Ch	g.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$ 100,378	\$ 833	0.8%	\$	100,378	\$	833	0.8%	\$	109,775	\$	897	0.8%	\$	28,528	\$	335	1.2%	\$	100,378	\$ 8	33	0.8%	\$	26,568	\$	220	0.8%
2017-18	\$ 99,545	\$ 3,959	4.1%	\$	99,545	\$ 3	8,959	4.1%	\$	108,878	\$	4,329	4.1%	\$	28,193	\$	1,128	4.2%	\$	99,545	\$ 3,9	959	4.1%	\$	26,348	\$	1,048	4.1%
2016-17	\$ 95,586	\$ 128	0.1%	\$	95,586	\$ 17	,502	22.4%	\$	104,549	\$	141	0.1%	\$	27,064	\$	27	0.1%	\$	95,586	\$	28	0.1%	\$	25,300	\$	34	0.1%
2015-16	\$ 95,458	\$ 96	0.1%	\$	78,084	\$	77	0.1%	\$	104,408	\$	106	0.1%	\$	27,038	\$	21	0.1%	\$	95,458	\$	96	0.1%	\$	25,266	\$	25	0.1%
2014-15	\$ 95,362	\$ 251	0.3%	\$	78,007	\$	205	0.3%	\$	104,302	\$	276	0.3%	\$	27,017	\$	67	0.2%	\$	95,362	\$ 2	251	0.3%	\$	25,241	\$	67	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 95,111	\$ 3,397	3.7%	\$	77,802	\$ 2	2,777	3.7%	\$	104,026	\$	3,717	3.7%	\$	26,950	\$	953	3.7%	\$	95,111	\$ 3,3	97	3.7%	\$	25,174	\$	899	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 91,714	\$ 19	0.0%	\$	75,026	\$	18	0.0%	\$	100,309	\$	19	0.0%	\$	25,997	\$	18	0.1%	\$	91,714	\$	19	0.0%	\$	24,275	\$	5	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 91,694	\$8	0.0%	\$	75,007	\$	7	0.0%	\$	100,290	\$	8	0.0%	\$	25,979	\$	7	0.0%	\$	91,694	\$	8	0.0%	\$	24,270	\$	2	0.0%
2010-11	\$ 91,687	\$ 94	0.1%	\$	75,000	\$	89	0.1%	\$	100,282	\$	94	0.1%	\$	25,972	\$	89	0.3%	\$	91,687	\$ 1,8	373	2.1%	\$	24,268		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 91,593	\$ (53)	-0.1%	\$	74,910	\$	(51)	-0.1%	\$	100,189	\$	(53)	-0.1%	\$	25,882	\$	(51)	-0.2%	\$	89,813	Se	e no	otes	\$	24,243		See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 91,646	\$ 218	0.2%	\$	74,961	\$	194	0.3%	\$	100,242	\$	227	0.2%	\$	25,933	\$	140	0.5%	\$	91,646	\$ 2	218	0.2%	\$	24,257	\$	58	0.2%
2007-08	\$ 91,428	\$ 53	0.1%	\$	74,767	\$	51	0.1%	\$	100,014	\$	53	0.1%	\$	25,793	\$	51	0.2%	\$	91,428	\$	53	0.1%	\$	24,199		-	-
2006-07	\$ 91,375	\$ 3,160	3.6%	\$	74,717	\$ 2	2,598	3.6%	\$	99,961	\$	3,447	3.6%	\$	25,742	\$	960	3.9%	\$	91,375	\$ 3,*	60	3.6%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 88,215	\$ 3,559	4.2%	\$	72,119	\$ 2	2,917	4.2%	\$	96,514	\$	3,889	4.2%	\$	24,782	\$	1,034	4.4%	\$	88,215	\$ 3,	559	4.2%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 84,656	\$ 1,222	1.5%	\$	69,202	\$ 1	,007	1.5%	\$	92,625	\$	1,331	1.5%	\$	23,748	\$	384	1.6%	\$	84,656	-		-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 83,434	\$ 1,681	2.1%	\$	68,195	\$ 1	,380	2.1%	\$	91,294	\$	1,835	2.1%	\$	23,364	\$	501	2.2%		S	ee note	s			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 81,753	\$ 2,049	2.6%	\$	66,815	\$ 1	,676	2.6%	\$	89,459	\$	2,240	2.6%	\$	22,862	\$	584	2.6%	\$	81,753	\$ 2,0	49	2.6%		S	ee n	otes	
2001-02	\$ 79,705	\$ 1,974	2.5%	\$	65,138	\$ 1	,573	2.5%	\$	87,219	\$	2,189	2.6%	\$	22,279	\$	349	1.6%	\$	79,705	\$ 1,9	074	2.5%	\$	21,096	\$	523	2.5%
2000-01	\$ 77,730	\$ 2,271	3.0%	\$	63,566	\$ 1	,877	3.0%	\$	85,030	\$	2,471	3.0%	\$	21,930	\$	738	3.5%	\$	77,730	\$ 2,2	271	3.0%	\$	20,574	\$	601	3.0%
1999-00	\$ 75,459	\$ 2,836	3.9%	\$	61,689	\$ 2	2,343	3.9%	\$	82,559	\$	3,086	3.9%	\$	21,192	\$	917	4.5%	\$	75,459	\$ 2,8	36	3.9%	\$	19,973	\$	751	3.9%
1998-99	\$ 72,623	\$ 3,635	5.3%	\$	59,346	\$ 2	2,983	5.3%	\$	79,473	\$	3,969	5.3%	\$	20,275	\$	1,076	5.6%	\$	72,623	\$ 3,0	535	5.3%	\$	19,222	\$	962	5.3%
1997-98	\$ 68,988	\$ 3,381	5.2%	\$	56,363	\$ 2	2,778	5.2%	\$	75,504	\$	3,689	5.1%	\$	19,199	\$	1,022	5.6%	\$	68,988	\$ 3,3	881	5.2%	\$	18,260	\$	895	5.2%
1996-97	\$ 65,607	\$ 3,632	5.9%	\$	53,585	\$ 2	2,979	5.9%	\$	71,815	\$	3,967	5.8%	\$	18,177	\$	1,069	6.2%	\$	65,607	\$ 3,0	532	5.9%	\$	17,365	\$	961	5.9%
1995-96	\$ 61,975	\$ 2,435	4.1%	\$	50,606	\$ 1	,991	4.1%	\$	67,848	\$	2,664	4.1%	\$	17,108	\$	685	4.2%	\$	61,975	\$ 2,4	35	4.1%	\$	16,404	\$	645	4.1%
1994-95	\$ 59,540	\$ 3,114	5.5%	\$	48,615	\$ 2	2,533	5.5%	\$	65,184	\$	3,416	5.5%	\$	16,423	\$	811	5.2%	\$	59,540	-		-	\$	15,759		-	-
1993-94	\$ 56,426	\$ 2,236	4.1%	\$	46,082	\$ 1	,842	4.2%	\$	61,768	\$	2,437	4.1%	\$	15,612	\$	699	4.7%		S	ee note	s			S	ee n	otes	
1992-93	\$ 54,190	\$ 39	0.1%	\$	44,240	\$	38	0.1%	\$	59,331	\$	39	0.1%	\$	14,913	\$	37	0.2%	\$	54,151		-		\$	14,333	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 54,151	\$ 2,338	4.5%	\$	44,202	\$ 1	,902	4.5%	\$	59,292	\$	2,564	4.5%	\$	14,876	\$	610	4.3%	\$	54,151	\$ 2,3	38	4.5%	\$	14,333	\$	619	4.5%
1990-91	\$ 51,813	\$ 2,204	4.4%	\$	42,300	\$ 1	,821	4.5%	\$	56,728	\$	2,398	4.4%	\$	14,266	\$	717	5.3%	\$	51,813	\$ 2,2	204	4.4%	\$	13,714	\$	583	4.4%
1989-90	\$ 49,609	\$ 2,362	5.0%	\$	40,479	\$ 1	,942	5.0%	\$	54,330	\$	7,083	15.0%	\$	13,549	\$	763	6.0%	\$	49,609	\$ 2,3	62	5.0%	\$	13,131	\$	663	5.3%
1988-89	\$ 47,247	\$ 6,561	16.1%	\$	38,537	\$ 6	5,143	19.0%	\$	47,247	\$	2,271	5.0%	\$	12,786	\$	616	5.1%	\$	47,247	\$ 2,2	271	5.0%	\$	12,468	\$	600	5.1%
1987-88	\$ 40,686	\$ 1,965	5.1%	\$	32,394	\$ 1	,569	5.1%	\$	44,976	\$	2,168	5.1%	\$	12,170	\$	639	5.5%	\$	44,976	\$ 2,	68	5.1%	\$	11,868	\$	598	5.3%
1986-87	\$ 38,721	\$ 2,559	7.1%	\$	30,825	\$ 2	2,041	7.1%	\$	42,808	\$	2,827	7.1%	\$	11,531	\$	804	7.5%	\$	42,808	\$ 2,8	327	7.1%	\$	11,270	\$	764	7.3%
1985-86	\$ 36,162	-	-	\$	28,784			-	\$	39,981		-	-	\$	10,727		-	-	\$	39,981	-		-	\$	10,506		-	-
				<u> </u>					<u> </u>				(· 1				<u> </u>	· I								

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendent's salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Elected	I Count	ty (Constitu	itional											e Salar	y Formul	a ir	n Chap	ter 145	5, F	lorida	Stat	utes	
								Cha	arlotte C	ount	ty: Fis	cal Year	's 1	985-86 t	o 2	018-19										
	Clerk of Cire																									
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col			Supervis					She				County						perintenc			School			
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg	% Chg.		Salary	\$0	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ C	hg.	% Chg.
2018-19	+	\$ 1,165	0.9%	\$	131,825	\$ 1,10			141,223		1,229	0.9%	\$	68,342	\$	921	1.4%	\$ 131,825	\$	1,165	0.9%	\$	36,272	\$	332	0.9%
2017-18	\$ 130,660	\$ 5,553	4.4%	\$	130,660	\$ 5,5	3 4.4%	\$	139,993	\$	5,923	4.4%	\$	67,422	\$	3,310	5.2%	\$ 130,660	\$	5,553	4.4%	\$	35,941	\$	1,543	4.5%
2016-17	\$ 125,107		0.4%	\$	125,107	\$ 19,24	8 18.2%	\$	134,070	\$	499	0.4%	\$	64,112	\$	608	1.0%	\$ 125,107	\$	486	0.4%	\$	34,397	\$	146	0.4%
2015-16	\$ 124,622		0.2%	\$	105,859			\$	133,571	\$	236	0.2%	\$	63,504	\$	221	0.3%	\$ 124,622	\$	226	0.2%	\$		\$	66	0.2%
2014-15	\$ 124,396	\$ 373	0.3%	\$		\$ 32		\$	133,335		397	0.3%	\$	63,282	\$	233	0.4%	\$ 124,396	\$	373	0.3%	\$	34,185	\$	104	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 124,023	\$ 4,759	4.0%	\$	105,338	\$ 4,07	4 4.0%	\$	132,938	\$	5,079	4.0%	\$	63,049	\$	2,794	4.6%	\$ 124,023	\$	4,759	4.0%	\$	34,081	\$	1,321	4.0%
2012-13	\$ 119,264	\$ 52	0.0%	\$	101,264	\$!	0 0.0%	\$	127,859	\$	52	0.0%	\$	60,255	\$	89	0.1%	\$ 119,264	\$	52	0.0%	\$	32,760	\$	17	0.1%
2011-12	\$ 119,212	\$ (588)	-0.5%	\$	101,214	\$ (50	0) -0.6%	\$	127,807	\$	(588)	-0.5%	\$	60,166	\$	(1,009)	-1.6%	\$ 119,212	\$	(588)	-0.5%	\$	32,744	\$	(187)	-0.6%
2010-11	\$ 119,800	\$ (35)	0.0%	\$	101,774	\$ (3	3) 0.0%	\$	128,396	\$	(35)	0.0%	\$	61,175	\$	(60)	-0.1%	\$ 119,800	\$	2,488	2.1%	\$	32,931		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 119,835	\$ 129	0.1%	\$	101,808	\$ 12	3 0.1%	\$	128,431	\$	129	0.1%	\$	61,235	\$	221	0.4%	\$ 117,312		See no	otes	\$	32,942		See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 119,706	\$ 590	0.5%	\$	101,685	\$ 54	8 0.5%	\$	128,301	\$	599	0.5%	\$	61,014	\$	852	1.4%	\$ 119,706	\$	590	0.5%	\$	32,901	\$	182	0.6%
2007-08	\$ 119,116	\$ 673	0.6%	\$	101,137	\$ 64	1 0.6%	\$	127,702	\$	673	0.5%	\$	60,161	\$	1,156	2.0%	\$ 119,116	\$	673	0.6%	\$	32,719		-	-
2006-07	\$ 118,443	\$ 3,655	3.2%	\$	100,495	\$ 3,00	9 3.2%	\$	127,029	\$	3,942	3.2%	\$	59,006	\$	1,448	2.5%	\$ 118,443	\$	3,655	3.2%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 114,788	\$ 5,061	4.6%	\$	97,426	\$ 4,34	7 4.7%	\$	123,087	\$	5,391	4.6%	\$	57,558	\$	3,141	5.8%	\$ 114,788	\$	5,062	4.6%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 109,727	\$ 1,845	1.7%	\$	93,079	\$ 1,60	1 1.8%	\$	117,696	\$	1,955	1.7%	\$	54,417	\$	1,331	2.5%	\$ 109,727		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 107,881	\$ 2,495	2.4%	\$	91,478	\$ 2,1	5 2.4%	\$	115,741	\$	2,649	2.3%	\$	53,086	\$	1,693	3.3%	5	See	notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 105,386	\$ 2,897	2.8%	\$	89,322	\$ 2,48	5 2.9%	\$	113,092	\$	3,089	2.8%	\$	51,393	\$	1,752	3.5%	\$ 105,386	\$	2,897	2.8%		S	iee no	otes	
2001-02	\$ 102,489	\$ 3,369	3.4%	\$	86,838	\$ 2,90	1 3.5%	\$	110,003	\$	3,583	3.4%	\$	49,641	\$	2,176	4.6%	\$ 102,489	\$	3,369	3.4%	\$	28,077	\$	942	3.5%
2000-01	\$ 99,120	\$ 2,987	3.1%	\$	83,937	\$ 2,5	9 3.1%	\$	106,420	\$	3,187	3.1%	\$	47,465	\$	1,773	3.9%	\$ 99,120	\$	2,987	3.1%	\$	27,135	\$	830	3.2%
1999-00	\$ 96,133	\$ 3,587	3.9%	\$	81,378	\$ 3,0	7 3.9%	\$	103,233	\$	3,837	3.9%	\$	45,692	\$	1,954	4.5%	\$ 96,133	\$	3,587	3.9%	\$	26,305	\$	990	3.9%
1998-99	\$ 92,546	\$ 4,666	5.3%	\$	78,321	\$ 3,90	5 5.3%	\$	99,396	\$	5,000	5.3%	\$	43,738	\$	2,391	5.8%	\$ 92,546	\$	4,666	5.3%	\$	25,315	\$ '	1,283	5.3%
1997-98	\$ 87,880	\$ 4,295	5.1%	\$	74,356	\$ 3,64	9 5.2%	\$	94,396	\$	4,603	5.1%	\$	41,347	\$	2,197	5.6%	\$ 87,880	\$	4,295	5.1%	\$	24,032	\$ '	1,181	5.2%
1996-97	\$ 83,585	\$ 4,712	6.0%	\$	70,707	\$ 4,00	8 6.0%	\$	89,793	\$	5,047	6.0%	\$	39,150	\$	2,459	6.7%	\$ 83,585	\$	4,712	6.0%	\$	22,851	\$ '	1,297	6.0%
1995-96	\$ 78,873	\$ 3,301	4.4%	\$	66,699	\$ 2,8	5 4.4%	\$	84,746	\$	3,530	4.3%	\$	36,691	\$	1,817	5.2%	\$ 78,873	\$	3,301	4.4%	\$	21,554	\$	912	4.4%
1994-95	\$ 75,572	\$ 4,242	5.9%	\$	63,884	\$ 3,60	8 6.0%	\$	81,216	\$	4,544	5.9%	\$	34,874	\$	2,210	6.8%	\$ 75,572		-	-	\$	20,642		-	-
1993-94	\$ 71,330	\$ 2,878	4.2%	\$	60,276	\$ 2,4	4 4.2%	\$	76,672	\$	3,079	4.2%	\$	32,664	\$	1,570	5.0%		See	notes			S	ee no	otes	
1992-93	\$ 68,452	\$ 295	0.4%	\$	57,822	\$ 28	0 0.5%	\$	73,593	\$	294	0.4%	\$	31,094	\$	505	1.7%	\$ 68,157	\$	-	0.0%	\$	18,586	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 68,157	\$ 3,792	5.9%	\$	57,542	\$ 3,28	7 6.1%	\$	73,299	\$	4,018	5.8%	\$	30,589	\$	2,613	9.3%	\$ 68,157	\$	3,792	5.9%	\$	18,586	\$	1,063	6.1%
1990-91	\$ 64,365	\$ 3,354	5.5%	\$	54,255	\$ 2,9	7 5.7%	\$	69,281	\$	3,548	5.4%	\$	27,976	\$	1,881	7.2%	\$ 64,365	\$	3,354	5.5%	\$	17,523	\$	907	5.5%
1989-90	\$ 61,011	\$ 3,478	6.0%	\$	51,338	\$ 3,00		\$	65,733		8,200	14.3%	\$,	\$	1,858	7.7%	\$ 61,011	\$	3,478	6.0%	\$	16,616		942	6.0%
1988-89	\$ 57,533	\$ 7,731	15.5%	\$		\$ 7,2	8 17.7%	\$	57,533		3,441	6.4%	\$,	\$	1,809	8.1%	\$ 57,533	\$	3,441	6.4%	\$	15,674		932	6.3%
1987-88		\$ 2,917	6.2%	\$,	\$ 2,4		\$	54,092		3,121	6.1%	\$,	\$	1,590	7.6%	\$ 54,092	\$	3,121	6.1%	\$	14,742		846	6.1%
1986-87	. ,	\$ 3,574	8.3%	\$	38,600	\$ 3,00		\$	50,971		3,841	8.1%	\$	20,838	\$	1,845	9.7%	\$ 50,971	\$	3,841	8.1%	\$	13,896		1,043	8.1%
1985-86	\$ 43,311	-	-	\$	35,593	-	-	\$	47,130		-	-	\$	18,993	· ·	-	-	\$ 47,130	É	-		\$	12,853	•	-	-
	,			, ř	,•			17	,				Ţ	-,				,				Ŧ	_,			

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendent's salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Elected	d Coun	ty	Constitu	utional O	fficers	and Sch	ool D	istric	ct Offic	ials	s Pursu	an	it to th	e Salar	y Formula	a in	Chap	ter 145	, F	lorida	Statutes	5
								Citrus Co	ounty:	Fisca	al Years	198	35-86 to	201	8-19									
	Clerk of Cire	cuit Court, I	Property																					
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervis	sor of Elect	ions		Sheri	ff			County	Cor	nmissio	ners	School	Supe	erintend	lent		School	Board Me	mbers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ C	hg.	% Chg.	, ,	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Ş	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$ 128,428	\$ 964	0.8%	\$	128,428	\$ 964	0.8%	\$ 137,826	\$ 1	,028	0.8%	\$	62,519	\$	576	0.9%	\$ 128,428	\$	964	0.8%	\$	35,194	\$ 26	8 0.8%
2017-18	\$ 127,464	\$ 5,229	4.3%	\$	127,464	\$ 5,229	4.3%	\$ 136,797	\$ 5	600	4.3%	\$	61,942	\$	2,755	4.7%	\$ 127,464	\$	5,229	4.3%	\$	34,926	\$ 1,44	0 4.3%
2016-17	\$ 122,235	\$ 261	0.2%	\$	122,235	\$ 18,897	18.3%	\$ 131,197	\$	274	0.2%	\$	59,187	\$	223	0.4%	\$ 122,235	\$	261	0.2%	\$	33,486	\$ 7	5 0.2%
2015-16	\$ 121,974	\$ 166	0.1%	\$	103,337	\$ 144	0.1%	\$ 130,923	\$	176	0.1%	\$	58,964	\$	119	0.2%	\$ 121,974	\$	166	0.1%	\$	33,411	\$ 4	7 0.1%
2014-15	\$ 121,807	\$ 303	0.2%	\$	103,193	\$ 254	0.2%	\$ 130,747	\$	327	0.3%	\$	58,846	\$	113	0.2%	\$ 121,807	\$	303	0.2%	\$	33,364	\$ 8	2 0.2%
2013-14	\$ 121,505	\$ 4,337	3.7%	\$	102,940	\$ 3,672	3.7%	\$ 130,420	\$ 4	,657	3.7%	\$	58,733	\$	2,071	3.7%	\$ 121,505	\$	4,337	3.7%	\$	33,282	\$ 1,18	7 3.7%
2012-13	\$ 117,168	\$ (30)	0.0%	\$	99,268	\$ (29)	0.0%	\$ 125,764	\$	(30)	0.0%	\$	56,662	\$	(52)	-0.1%	\$ 117,168	\$	(30)	0.0%	\$	32,095	\$ (1	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 117,198	\$ (148)	-0.1%	\$	99,296	\$ (140)	-0.1%	\$ 125,794	\$	(148)	-0.1%	\$	56,714	\$	(253)	-0.4%	\$ 117,198	\$	(148)	-0.1%	\$	32,105	\$ (4	7) -0.1%
2010-11	\$ 117,345	\$61	0.1%	\$	99,437	\$ 58	0.1%	\$ 125,941	\$	61	0.0%	\$	56,967	\$	104	0.2%	\$ 117,345	\$	2,609	2.3%	\$	32,152	See	notes
2009-10	\$ 117,285	\$ 207	0.2%	\$	99,379	\$ 197	0.2%	\$ 125,880	\$	207	0.2%	\$	56,862	\$	354	0.6%	\$ 114,736		See no	tes	\$	32,132	See	notes
2008-09	\$ 117,078	\$ 491	0.4%	\$	99,182	\$ 454	0.5%	\$ 125,673	\$	500	0.4%	\$	56,508	\$	683	1.2%	\$ 117,078	\$	491	0.4%	\$	32,067	\$ 15	0.5%
2007-08	\$ 116,587	\$ 440	0.4%	\$	98,728	\$ 419	0.4%	\$ 125,173	\$	440	0.4%	\$	55,825	\$	756	1.4%	\$ 116,587	\$	440	0.4%	\$	31,917	-	-
2006-07	\$ 116,147	\$ 4,250	3.8%	\$	98,308	\$ 3,636	3.8%	\$ 124,733	\$ 4	,537	3.8%	\$	55,069	\$	2,468	4.7%	\$ 116,147	\$	4,250	3.8%		N/A	-	- 1
2005-06	\$ 111,897	\$ 4,779	4.5%	\$	94,672	\$ 4,078	4.5%	\$ 120,196	\$ 5	i,109	4.4%	\$	52,601	\$	2,657	5.3%	\$ 111,897	\$	4,779	4.5%		N/A	-	- 1
2004-05	\$ 107,118	\$ 1,743	1.7%	\$	90,594	\$ 1,504	1.7%	\$ 115,087	\$ 1	,852	1.6%	\$	49,944	\$	1,156	2.4%	\$ 107,118		-	-		N/A	-	- 1
2003-04	\$ 105,375	\$ 2,310	2.2%	\$	89,091	\$ 1,979	2.3%	\$ 113,234	\$ 2	,464	2.2%	\$	48,789	\$	1,375	2.9%	S	See n	otes			N/A	-	
2002-03	\$ 103,065	\$ 2,787	2.8%	\$	87,112	\$ 2,380	2.8%	\$ 110,771	\$ 2	,979	2.8%	\$	47,414	\$	1,563	3.4%	\$ 103,065	\$	2,787	2.8%		S	ee notes	
2001-02	\$ 100,278	\$ 3,154	3.2%	\$	84,732	\$ 2,696	3.3%	\$ 107,792	\$ 3	,368	3.2%	\$	45,850	\$	1,807	4.1%	\$ 100,278	\$	3,154	3.2%	\$	27,375	\$ 87	4 3.3%
2000-01	\$ 97,124	\$ 2,876	3.1%	\$	82,036	\$ 2,453	3.1%	\$ 104,424	\$ 3	,076	3.0%	\$	44,043	\$	1,581	3.7%	\$ 97,124	\$	2,876	3.1%	\$	26,502	\$ 79	5 3.1%
1999-00	\$ 94,248	\$ 3,528	3.9%	\$	79,583	\$ 3,001	3.9%	\$ 101,348	\$ 3	,778	3.9%	\$	42,462	\$	1,854	4.6%	\$ 94,248	\$	3,528	3.9%	\$	25,707	\$ 97	1 3.9%
1998-99	\$ 90,720	\$ 4,598	5.3%	\$	76,582	\$ 3,900	5.4%	\$ 97,570	\$ 4	,932	5.3%	\$	40,608	\$	2,274	5.9%	\$ 90,720	\$	4,598	5.3%	\$	24,736	\$ 1,26	2 5.4%
1997-98	\$ 86,122	\$ 4,258	5.2%	\$	72,682	\$ 3,614	5.2%	\$ 92,638	\$ 4	,566	5.2%	\$	38,334	\$	2,134	5.9%	\$ 86,122	\$	4,258	5.2%	\$	23,474	\$ 1,16	9 5.2%
1996-97	\$ 81,864	\$ 4,609	6.0%	\$	69,068	\$ 3,910	6.0%	\$ 88,072	\$ 4	,944	5.9%	\$	36,200	\$	2,283	6.7%	\$ 81,864	\$	4,609	6.0%	\$	22,305	\$ 1,26	4 6.0%
1995-96	\$ 77,255	\$ 3,155	4.3%	\$	65,158	\$ 2,676	4.3%	\$ 83,128	\$ 3	,384	4.2%	\$	33,917	\$	1,566	4.8%	\$ 77,255	\$	3,155	4.3%	\$	21,041	\$ 86	6 4.3%
1994-95	\$ 74,100	\$ 4,238	6.1%	\$	62,482	\$ 3,604	6.1%	\$ 79,744	\$ 4	,540	6.0%	\$	32,351	\$	2,035	6.7%	\$ 74,100		-	-	\$	20,175	-	
1993-94	\$ 69,862	\$ 3,040	4.5%	\$	58,878	\$ 2,608	4.6%	\$ 75,204	\$ 3	,241	4.5%	\$	30,316	\$	1,536	5.3%	S	See n	otes			S	ee notes	
1992-93	\$ 66,822	\$ 370	0.6%	\$	56,270	\$ 352	0.6%	\$ 71,963	\$	370	0.5%	\$	28,780	\$	352	1.2%	\$ 66,452	\$	-	0.0%	\$	18,098	\$	- 0.0%
1991-92	\$ 66,452	\$ 3,229	5.1%	\$	55,918	\$ 2,750	5.2%	\$ 71,593	\$ 3	,454	5.1%	\$	28,428	\$	1,540	5.7%	\$ 66,452	\$	3,229	5.1%	\$	18,098	\$ 87	7 5.1%
1990-91	\$ 63,223	\$ 3,231	5.4%	\$	53,168	\$ 2,801	5.6%	\$ 68,139	\$ 3	,426	5.3%	\$	26,888	\$	1,764	7.0%	\$ 63,223	\$	3,231	5.4%	\$	17,221	\$ 87	5 5.4%
1989-90	\$ 59,992	\$ 3,320	5.9%	\$	50,367	\$ 2,853	6.0%	\$ 64,713	\$8	,041	14.2%	\$	25,124	\$	1,706	7.3%	\$ 59,992	\$	3,320	5.9%	\$	16,346	\$ 90	5.8%
1988-89	\$ 56,672	\$ 7,603	15.5%	\$	47,514	\$ 7,136	17.7%	\$ 56,672	\$ 3	,313	6.2%	\$	23,418	\$	1,688	7.8%	\$ 56,672	\$	3,313	6.2%	\$	15,446	\$ 89	6.2%
1987-88	\$ 49,069	\$ 2,944	6.4%	\$	40,378	\$ 2,501	6.6%	\$ 53,359	\$ 3	,147	6.3%	\$	21,730	\$	1,616	8.0%	\$ 53,359	\$	3,147	6.3%	\$	14,548	\$ 85	3 6.2%
1986-87	\$ 46,125	\$ 3,430	8.0%	\$	37,877	\$ 2,871	8.2%	\$ 50,212		,698	8.0%	\$	20,114	\$	1,708	9.3%	\$ 50,212	\$	3,698	8.0%	\$	13,695	\$ 1,00	5 7.9%
1985-86	\$ 42,695	-	-	\$	35,006	-	-	\$ 46,514	-		-	\$	18,406		-	-	\$ 46,514		-	-	\$	12,690	-	
	. ,		•		,		•					· ·	-,	L			,	•				,		

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for be 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Elected	l Coun	ty	Constitu	utional C	officers										e Salar	y Form	ula	in Chap	oter 145	5, F	lorida	Statute	s
				_					Clay Cou	nty:	Fisca	I Years 1	98	5-86 to 2	01	8-19						_			
	Clerk of Cir													. .	_										
Fiscal		r & Tax Col				sor of Elect				Sher				County	-					Superinten				Board Me	
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	1	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$ 135,632	1 7 3	0.9%	\$		\$ 1,152	0.9%		145,029		1,216	0.8%	\$	1	\$	723	1.0%	\$ 135,6	-	\$ 1,152	0.9%	\$	37,449	\$ 31	
2017-18	÷,	\$ 5,606	4.3%	\$,	\$ 5,606	4.3%		143,813		5,976	4.3%	\$	- /	\$	3,181	4.5%	\$ 134,4		\$ 5,606	4.3%	\$		\$ 1,54	
2016-17	\$ 128,874		0.4%	\$	- / -	\$ 19,506	17.8%		137,837	\$	582	0.4%	\$,	\$	685	1.0%	\$ 128,8		\$ 568	0.4%	\$,	\$ 16	
2015-16	\$ 128,306		0.5%	\$,	\$ 606	0.6%		137,256		662	0.5%	\$		\$	951	1.4%	\$ 128,3		\$ 652	0.5%	\$,	\$ 20	
2014-15	\$ 127,654		0.3%	\$		\$ 376	0.3%		136,594		456	0.3%	\$,	\$	334	0.5%	\$ 127,6	-	\$ 432	0.3%	\$	35,220	\$ 12	
2013-14	, , ,	\$ 4,663	3.8%	\$,	\$ 3,982	3.8%		136,138		4,982	3.8%	\$		\$	2,629	4.0%	\$ 127,2	-	\$ 4,663	3.8%	\$	35,097	\$ 1,29	
2012-13	\$ 122,560		0.0%			\$ 28	0.0%		,	\$	30	0.0%	\$,	\$	51	0.1%	\$ 122,5		\$ 30	0.0%	\$	33,807	7	9 0.0%
2011-12	1 1	\$ 608	0.5%	\$		\$ 579	0.6%	\$	131,126	\$	608	0.5%	\$,	\$	1,042	1.6%	\$ 122,5		\$ 608	0.5%	\$	33,797	\$ 19	3 0.6%
2010-11	\$ 121,923	\$4	0.0%	\$	103,796	\$4	0.0%	\$	130,518	\$	4	0.0%	\$	64,813	\$	7	0.0%	\$ 121,9	23	\$ 2,499	2.1%	\$	33,604	See	notes
2009-10	\$ 121,918	\$57	0.0%	\$	103,792	\$54	0.1%	\$	130,514	\$	57	0.0%	\$	64,806	\$	97	0.1%	\$ 119,4	24	See no	otes	\$	33,603	See	notes
2008-09	\$ 121,861	\$ 965	0.8%	\$	103,737	\$ 905	0.9%	\$	130,457	\$	974	0.8%	\$	64,709	\$	1,496	2.4%	\$ 121,8	61	\$ 965	0.8%	\$	33,585	\$ 30	1 0.9%
2007-08	\$ 120,896	\$ 780	0.6%	\$	102,832	\$ 743	0.7%	\$	129,482	\$	780	0.6%	\$	63,213	\$	1,338	2.2%	\$ 120,8	96	\$ 780	0.6%	\$	33,284	-	-
2006-07	\$ 120,116	\$ 4,656	4.0%	\$	102,089	\$ 4,023	4.1%	\$	128,703	\$	4,944	4.0%	\$	61,875	\$	3,166	5.4%	\$ 120,1	16	\$ 4,656	4.0%		N/A	-	-
2005-06	\$ 115,460	\$ 5,333	4.8%	\$	98,066	\$ 4,606	4.9%	\$	123,759	\$	5,663	4.8%	\$	58,709	\$	3,606	6.5%	\$ 115,4	60	\$ 5,333	4.8%		N/A	-	-
2004-05	\$ 110,127	\$ 2,110	2.0%	\$	93,460	\$ 1,853	2.0%	\$	118,096	\$	2,219	1.9%	\$	55,103	\$	1,784	3.3%	\$ 110,1	27	-	-		N/A	-	-
2003-04	\$ 108,017	\$ 2,797	2.7%	\$	91,607	\$ 2,443	2.7%	\$	115,877	\$	2,952	2.6%	\$	53,318	\$	2,211	4.3%		Se	ee notes			N/A	-	-
2002-03	\$ 105,219	\$ 2,807	2.7%	\$	89,163	\$ 2,398	2.8%	\$	112,925	\$	2,998	2.7%	\$	51,107	\$	1,597	3.2%	\$ 105,2	19	\$ 2,807	2.7%		S	ee notes	-
2001-02	\$ 102,413	\$ 3,032	3.1%	\$	86,765	\$ 2,580	3.1%	\$	109,927	\$	3,246	3.0%	\$	49,510	\$	1,598	3.3%	\$ 102,4	13	\$ 3,032	3.1%	\$	28,053	\$ 83	5 3.1%
2000-01	\$ 99,381	\$ 3,170	3.3%	\$	84,185	\$ 2,733	3.4%	\$	106,681	\$	3,370	3.3%	\$	47,912	\$	2,086	4.6%	\$ 99,3	81	\$ 3,170	3.3%	\$	27,218	\$ 88	8 3.4%
1999-00	\$ 96,211	\$ 3,954	4.3%	\$	81,452	\$ 3,407	4.4%	\$	103,311	\$	4,204	4.2%	\$	45,826	\$	2,584	6.0%	\$ 96,2	11	\$ 3,954	4.3%	\$	26,330	\$ 1,10	7 4.4%
1998-99		\$ 4,706	5.4%	\$	78,045	\$ 4,002	5.4%	\$	99,107	\$	5,040	5.4%	\$	43,242	\$	2,459	6.0%	\$ 92,2	57	\$ 4,706	5.4%	\$	25,223	\$ 1,29	5 5.4%
1997-98	\$ 87,551	\$ 4,490	5.4%	\$	74,043	\$ 3,835	5.5%	\$	94,067	\$	4,798	5.4%	\$	40,783	\$	2,530	6.6%	\$ 87,5	51	\$ 4,490	5.4%	\$	23,928	\$ 1,24	3 5.5%
1996-97	\$ 83,061	\$ 4,709	6.0%	\$	70,208	\$ 4,006	6.1%	\$	89,269	\$	5,045	6.0%	\$	38,253	\$	2,456	6.9%	\$ 83,0	61	\$ 4,709	6.0%	\$	22,685	\$ 1,29	6 6.1%
1995-96	\$ 78,352	\$ 3,258	4.3%	\$	66,202	\$ 2,773	4.4%	\$	84,224	\$	3,486	4.3%	\$	35,797	\$	1,742	5.1%	\$ 78,3	52	\$ 3,258	4.3%	\$	21,389	\$ 89	8 4.4%
1994-95	\$ 75,094	\$ 4,118	5.8%	\$	63,429	\$ 3,490	5.8%	\$	80,738		4,420	5.8%	\$,	\$	1,997	6.2%	\$ 75,0		-	-	\$	20,491	-	-
1993-94	\$ 70,976	\$ 2,998	4.4%	\$	59,939	\$ 2,568	4.5%	\$	76,318		3,198	4.4%	\$,	\$	1,775	5.9%		Se	ee notes			S	ee notes	
1992-93		\$ 141	0.2%	\$		\$ 134	0.2%	\$	73,120	\$	142	0.2%	\$		\$	243	0.8%	\$ 67,8			0.0%	\$	18,485		- 0.0%
1991-92		\$ 3,184	4.9%	\$	57,237	\$ 2,708	5.0%	\$	72,978	\$	3,410	4.9%	\$		\$	1,659	5.8%	\$ 67,8		\$ 3,184	4.9%	\$,	\$ 87	
1990-91	\$ 64,653	\$ 2,830	4.6%	\$	54,529	\$ 2,417	4.6%	\$	69,568		3,023	4.5%	\$	28,381	\$	1,513	5.6%	\$ 64,6	-	\$ 2,830	4.6%	\$	- /	\$ 77	
1989-90		\$ 3,331	5.7%	\$	52,112	\$ 2.865	5.8%	\$	66,545		8,053	13.8%	\$	26.868	\$	1.717	6.8%	\$ 61.8		\$ 3.331	5.7%	\$		\$ 90	
1988-89	\$ 58,492		15.5%	\$	- /	\$ 7,365	17.6%	\$	58,492		3,554	6.5%	\$	25,151	\$	1,917	8.3%	\$ 58.4	-	\$ 3,554	6.5%	\$	15,928		
1987-88	. ,	\$ 2,919	6.1%	\$	41.882	\$ 2,478	6.3%	\$	54,938	· ·	3,123	6.0%	\$,	\$	1,592	7.4%	\$ 54,9	-	\$ 3.123	6.0%	\$,	\$ 84	
1986-87	\$ 47,729	\$ 3,750	8.5%	\$	1	\$ 3,175	8.8%	\$	51,815		4,017	8.4%	\$	21,642	ŝ	2,014	10.3%	\$ 51,8		\$ 4,017	8.4%	\$,	\$ 1,09	
1985-86	\$ 43,979		-	\$	36.229	-	-	\$	47.798	Ŧ	-	-	\$	19.628	¥	-,514	-	\$ 47.7		-	-	\$	13.029		
	÷ -0,070		L	ιΨ	50,220	1	I	L ¥	11,100			h	Ψ	.0,020			h	÷ -1,1				Ψ	.0,020		

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for be 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Elected	l Coun	ty	Constitu	utional C	fficers	and	l Scho	ol Dist	rict Offi	cial	s Pursu	lan	nt to th	e Salar	y Formula	a in Cł	apter 14	5, F	lorida	Statutes	;
								Col	llier Co	unty: Fi	cal Year	's 19	85-86 to	20	18-19								
	Clerk of Cir	cuit Court, I	Property																				
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervis	sor of Elect	ions			Sheriff			County	Cor	nmissio	ners	School	Superint	endent		School	Board Me	nbers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	S	alary	\$ Chg	% Chg		Salary	\$	GChg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg	. % Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$ 146,128	\$ 1,507	1.0%	\$	146,128	\$ 1,507	1.0%	\$1	55,525	\$ 1,57	1 1.0%	\$	84,406	\$	1,061	1.3%	\$ 146,128	\$ 1,5	07 1.0%	\$	40,224	\$ 409	1.0%
2017-18	\$ 144,621	\$ 6,166	4.5%	\$	144,621	\$ 6,166	4.5%	\$1	53,954	\$ 6,53	6 4.4%	\$	83,345	\$	3,715	4.7%	\$ 144,621	\$ 6,1	6 4.5%	\$	39,815	\$ 1,693	4.4%
2016-17	\$ 138,455	\$ 677	0.5%	\$	138,455	\$ 20,066	16.9%	\$ 1	47,418	\$ 69	1 0.5%	\$	79,629	\$	567	0.7%	\$ 138,455	\$ 6	7 0.5%	\$	38,122	\$ 181	0.5%
2015-16	\$ 137,778	\$ 362	0.3%	\$	118,389	\$ 330	0.3%	\$ 1	46,727	\$ 37	2 0.3%	\$	79,062	\$	287	0.4%	\$ 137,778	\$ 3	62 0.3%	\$	37,941	\$ 97	0.3%
2014-15	\$ 137,416	\$ 627	0.5%	\$	118,058	\$ 562	0.5%	\$1	46,356	\$ 65	1 0.4%	\$	78,775	\$	456	0.6%	\$ 137,416	\$ 6	0.5%	\$	37,843	\$ 170	0.5%
2013-14	\$ 136,789	\$ 5,297	4.0%	\$	117,496	\$ 4,586	4.1%	\$1	45,704	\$ 5,61	7 4.0%	\$	78,319	\$	3,181	4.2%	\$ 136,789	\$ 5,2	4.0%	\$	37,673	\$ 1,455	4.0%
2012-13	\$ 131,492	\$ 146	0.1%	\$	112,910	\$ 139	0.1%	\$ 1	40,088	\$ 14	6 0.1%	\$	75,138	\$	139	0.2%	\$ 131,492	\$ 1	6 0.1%	\$	36,219	\$ 39	0.1%
2011-12	\$ 131,346	\$ (742)	-0.6%	\$	112,771	\$ (707)	-0.6%	\$ 1	39,942	\$ (74	2) -0.5%	\$	74,999	\$	(707)	-0.9%	\$ 131,346	\$ (7	12) -0.6%	\$	36,180	\$ (196	i) -0.5%
2010-11	\$ 132,088		0.0%	\$	113,477	\$ 11	0.0%	\$1	40,684	\$ 1		\$	75,705	\$	11	0.0%	\$ 132,088	\$ 2,5	2.0%	\$	36,376	See	notes
2009-10	\$ 132,077	\$ (64)	0.0%	\$	113,466	\$ (61)	-0.1%	\$ 1	40,672	\$ (6	4) 0.0%	\$	75,694	\$	(61)	-0.1%	\$ 129,498	Se	notes	\$	36,373	See	notes
2008-09	\$ 132,141	\$ 609	0.5%	\$	113,527	\$ 566	0.5%	\$1	40,736	\$ 61	8 0.4%	\$	75,756	\$	525	0.7%	\$ 132,141	\$6	0.5%	\$	36,390	\$ 163	0.4%
2007-08	\$ 131,532	\$ 570	0.4%	\$	112,961	\$ 543	0.5%	\$1	40,118	\$ 57	0 0.4%	\$	75,231	\$	543	0.7%	\$ 131,532	\$ 5	0 0.4%	\$	36,228	-	-
2006-07	\$ 130,962	\$ 5,102	4.1%	\$	112,418	\$ 4,447	4.1%	\$ 1	39,548	\$ 5,38	9 4.0%	\$	74,688	\$	3,186	4.5%	\$ 130,962	\$ 5,1	92 4.1%		N/A	-	-
2005-06	\$ 125,860	\$ 5,825	4.9%	\$	107,971	\$ 5,075	4.9%	\$ 1	34,159	\$ 6,15	5 4.8%	\$	71,502	\$	3,624	5.3%	\$ 125,860	\$ 5,8	4.9%		N/A	-	-
2004-05	\$ 120,035	\$ 2,530	2.2%	\$	102,896	\$ 2,253	2.2%	\$ 1	28,004	\$ 2,64	0 2.1%	\$	67,878	\$	1,773	2.7%	\$ 120,035	-	-		N/A	-	-
2003-04	\$ 117,505	\$ 3,053	2.7%	\$	100,643	\$ 2,687	2.7%	\$1	25,365	\$ 3,20		\$	66,105	\$	2,010	3.1%		ee note			N/A	-	-
2002-03	\$ 114,452	\$ 3,584	3.2%	\$	97,956	\$ 3,139	3.3%	\$1	22,157	\$ 3,77		\$	64,095	\$	2,297	3.7%	\$ 114,452	\$ 3,5	34 3.2%			see notes	
2001-02	\$ 110,867	\$ 4,900	4.6%	\$	• 1,• 11	\$ 4,359	4.8%	\$1	18,382	\$ 5,11	5 4.5%	\$	61,798	\$	3,417	5.9%	\$ 110,867	\$ 4,9	00 4.6%	\$	30,583	\$ 1,332	4.6%
2000-01	\$ 105,967	\$ 3,409	3.3%	\$	90,458	\$ 2,960	3.4%	\$1	13,267	\$ 3,60		\$	58,381	\$	2,083	3.7%	\$ 105,967	\$ 3,4	9 3.3%	\$	29,251	\$ 935	3.3%
1999-00	\$ 102,558	\$ 4,129	4.2%	\$	87,498	\$ 3,574	4.3%	\$1	09,658	\$ 4,37	9 4.2%	\$	56,298	\$	2,475	4.6%	\$ 102,558	\$ 4,1	4.2%	\$	28,316	\$ 1,134	4.2%
1998-99	\$ 98,429	\$ 5,372	5.8%	\$	83,924	\$ 4,637	5.8%	\$ 1	05,279	\$ 5,70	6 5.7%	\$	53,823	\$	3,600	7.2%	\$ 98,429	\$ 5,3	72 5.8%	\$	27,182	\$ 1,507	5.9%
1997-98	\$ 93,057	\$ 4,905	5.6%	\$	79,287	\$ 4,231	5.6%	\$	99,573	\$ 5,21	3 5.5%	\$	50,223	\$	3,243	6.9%	\$ 93,057	\$ 4,9	5.6%	\$	25,675	\$ 1,374	5.7%
1996-97	\$ 88,152	\$ 5,193	6.3%	\$	75,056	\$ 4,466	6.3%		94,360	\$ 5,52	6.2%	\$	46,980	\$	3,285	7.5%	\$ 88,152	\$ 5,1	6.3%	\$	24,301	\$ 1,450	6.3%
1995-96	\$ 82,959	\$ 3,650	4.6%	\$	70,590	\$ 3,147	4.7%	\$	88,832	\$ 3,87		\$	43,695	\$	2,415	5.9%	\$ 82,959	\$ 3,6	50 4.6%	\$	22,851	\$ 1,023	4.7%
1994-95	\$ 79,309	\$ 4,652	6.2%	\$	67,443	\$ 3,998	6.3%	\$	84,953	\$ 4,95		\$	41,280	\$	2,911	7.6%	\$ 79,309	-	-	\$	21,828	-	-
1993-94	\$ 74,657	\$ 3,246	4.5%	\$	63,445	\$ 2,805	4.6%		79,999	\$ 3,44	7 4.5%	\$	38,369	\$	2,202	6.1%		see notes	;		S	see notes	
1992-93	\$ 71,411	\$ 611	0.9%	\$	60,640	\$ 581	1.0%	\$	76,552	\$ 61	0.8%	\$	36,167	\$	1,047	3.0%	\$ 70,800	\$	- 0.0%	\$	19,425	\$	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 70,800	\$ 3,571	5.3%	\$	60,059	\$ 3,077	5.4%	\$	75,942	\$ 3,79	8 5.3%	\$	35,120	\$	2,324	7.1%	\$ 70,800	\$ 3,5	71 5.3%	\$	19,425	\$ 999	5.4%
1990-91	\$ 67,229	\$ 3,258	5.1%	\$	56,982	\$ 2,825	5.2%	\$	72,144	\$ 3,45	2 5.0%	\$	32,796	\$	2,336	7.7%	\$ 67,229	\$ 3,2	58 5.1%	\$	18,426	\$ 919	5.2%
1989-90	\$ 63,971	\$ 3,346	5.5%	\$	54,157	\$ 2,879	5.6%	\$	68,692	\$ 8,06	7 13.3%	\$	30,460	\$	2,135	7.5%	\$ 63,971	\$ 3,3	6 5.5%	\$	17,507	\$ 935	5.6%
1988-89	\$ 60,625	\$ 7,521	14.2%	\$	51,278	\$ 7,057	16.0%	\$	60,625	\$ 3,23	1 5.6%	\$	28,325	\$	1,907	7.2%	\$ 60,625	\$ 3,2	5.6%	\$	16,572	\$ 897	5.7%
1987-88	\$ 53,104	\$ 2,803	5.6%	\$	44,221	\$ 2,367	5.7%	\$	57,394	\$ 3,00	6 5.5%	\$	26,418	\$	1,734	7.0%	\$ 57,394	\$ 3,0	6 5.5%	\$	15,675	\$ 834	5.6%
1986-87	\$ 50,301	\$ 3,578	7.7%	\$	41,854	\$ 3,011	7.8%	\$	54,388	\$ 3,84	5 7.6%	\$	24,684	\$	2,106	9.3%	\$ 54,388	\$ 3,8	15 7.6%	\$	14,841	\$ 1,062	7.7%
1985-86	\$ 46,723	-	-	\$	38,843	-	-	\$	50,543	-	-	\$	22,578		-	-	\$ 50,543	-	-	\$	13,779	-	-

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for be 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Elected	l Coun	ty	Constitu	utional O										e Salar	y Form	ula	in Chap	ter 145	, F	lorida	Statutes	
								Columbia (Count	y: Fis	cal Year	's 1	985-86 t	o 2	018-19									
	Clerk of Cir	cuit Court, I	Property																					
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervis	sor of Elect	ions		Sheri	iff			County	Con	nmissio	ners	Scho	ol S	uperintenc	lent		School	Board Mer	nbers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ C	hg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$ 114,528	\$ 888	0.8%	\$	114,528	\$ 888	0.8%	\$ 123,925	\$	952	0.8%	\$	45,360	\$	410	0.9%	\$ 114,52	28 \$	\$888	0.8%	\$	31,244	\$ 241	0.8%
2017-18	\$ 113,640	\$ 4,616	4.2%	\$	113,640	\$ 4,616	4.2%	\$ 122,973	\$ 4	4,986	4.2%	\$	44,950	\$	1,886	4.4%	\$ 113,64	10 \$	\$ 4,616	4.2%	\$	31,002	\$ 1,258	4.2%
2016-17	\$ 109,024	\$ 253	0.2%	\$	109,024	\$ 18,261	20.1%	\$ 117,987	\$	266	0.2%	\$	43,064	\$	150	0.4%	\$ 109,02	24 \$	\$ 253	0.2%	\$	29,744	\$ 68	0.2%
2015-16	\$ 108,771		0.2%	\$	90,764	\$ 187	0.2%	\$ 117,721	\$	221	0.2%	\$	42,913	\$	134	0.3%	\$ 108,77	71 \$	\$211	0.2%	\$	29,676	\$ 57	0.2%
2014-15	\$ 108,561	\$ 230	0.2%	\$	90,577	\$ 184	0.2%	\$ 117,500	\$	254	0.2%	\$	42,780	\$	55	0.1%	\$ 108,56	51 5	\$ 230	0.2%	\$	29,619	\$ 63	0.2%
2013-14	\$ 108,331	\$ 3,937	3.8%	\$	90,393	\$ 3,291	3.8%	\$ 117,246	\$ 4	4,257	3.8%	\$	42,725	\$	1,582	3.8%	\$ 108,33	31 \$	\$ 3,937	3.8%	\$	29,556	\$ 1,074	3.8%
2012-13	\$ 104,394	\$ (1)	0.0%	\$	87,102	\$ (1)	0.0%	\$ 112,989	\$	(1)	0.0%	\$	41,143	\$	(1)	0.0%	\$ 104,39	94 9	\$ (1)	0.0%	\$	28,482	\$ (0) 0.0%
2011-12	\$ 104,394	\$ 289	0.3%	\$	87,102	\$ 276	0.3%	\$ 112,990	\$	289	0.3%	\$	41,144	\$	276	0.7%	\$ 104,39	94 9	\$ 289	0.3%	\$	28,482	\$ 77	0.3%
2010-11		\$ 74	0.1%	\$	86,827	\$ 71	0.1%	\$ 112,701	\$	74	0.1%	\$		\$	71	0.2%	\$ 104,10)5 \$	\$ 2,345	2.3%	\$	28,405	See	notes
2009-10	\$ 104,031	\$ 194	0.2%	\$	86,756	\$ 184	0.2%	\$ 112,627	\$	194	0.2%	\$	40,798	\$	184	0.5%	\$ 101,76	61	See no	otes	\$	28,386	See	notes
2008-09	\$ 103,837	\$ 587	0.6%	\$	86,572	\$ 545	0.6%	\$ 112,433	\$	596	0.5%	\$	40,614	\$	495	1.2%	\$ 103,83	37 \$	\$587	0.6%	\$	28,335	\$ 156	0.6%
2007-08	\$ 103,251	\$ 533	0.5%	\$	86,027	\$ 507	0.6%	\$ 111,837	\$	533	0.5%	\$	40,119	\$	508	1.3%	\$ 103,25	51	\$ 533	0.5%	\$	28,178	-	
2006-07	\$ 102,718	\$ 3,687	3.7%	\$	85,519	\$ 3,100	3.8%	\$ 111,304	\$ 3	3,974	3.7%	\$	39,611	\$	1,565	4.1%	\$ 102,7	8	\$ 3,687	3.7%		N/A	-	-
2005-06	\$ 99,031	\$ 4,312	4.6%	\$,	\$ 3,633	4.6%	\$ 107,330		4,642	4.5%	\$	38,046	\$	1,868	5.2%	\$ 99,03	31 :	\$ 4,312	4.6%		N/A	-	-
2004-05	\$ 94,719	\$ 1,421	1.5%	\$	78,786	\$ 1,196	1.5%	\$ 102,688	\$ 1	1,530	1.5%	\$	36,178	\$	612	1.7%	\$ 94,7 <i>°</i>	9	-	-		N/A	-	-
2003-04	\$ 93,298	\$ 2,131	2.3%	\$	77,589	\$ 1,808	2.4%	\$ 101,158		2,285	2.3%	\$	35,565	\$	985	2.8%		Se	e notes			N/A	-	-
2002-03	\$ 91,168	\$ 2,392	2.7%	\$	75,781	\$ 2,003	2.7%	\$ 98,874	\$ 2	2,583	2.7%	\$	34,581	\$	979	2.9%	\$ 91,10	68	\$ 2,392	2.7%			ee notes	
2001-02	\$ 88,776	\$ 2,534	2.9%	\$		\$ 2,106	2.9%	\$ 96,290		2,749	2.9%	\$	33,602	\$	959	2.9%	\$ 88,77	-	\$ 2,534	2.9%	\$,	\$ 692	
2000-01	\$ 86,242	\$ 2,603	3.1%	\$	71,672	\$ 2,193	3.2%	\$ 93,542		2,803	3.1%	\$	32,643	\$	1,125	3.6%	\$ 86,24	12	\$ 2,603	3.1%	\$	23,549	\$ 709	
1999-00	\$ 83,639	\$ 3,291	4.1%	\$,	\$ 2,776	4.2%	\$ 90,739		3,541	4.1%	\$	31,518	\$	1,440	4.8%	\$ 83,63		\$ 3,291	4.1%	\$	22,840	\$ 896	
1998-99	\$ 80,348	\$ 4,140	5.4%	\$	66,703	\$ 3,464	5.5%	\$ 87,198	\$ 4	4,475	5.4%	\$	30,078	\$	1,676	5.9%	\$ 80,34	-	\$ 4,140	5.4%	\$	21,944	\$ 1,129	5.4%
1997-98	\$ 76,208	\$ 4,008	5.6%	\$	63,239	\$ 3,375	5.6%	\$ 82,723		4,315	5.5%	\$	28,402	\$	1,729	6.5%	\$ 76,20		\$ 4,008	5.6%	\$	20,815	\$ 1,091	5.5%
1996-97	\$ 72,200	\$ 2,004	2.9%	\$	59,864	\$ 1,428	2.4%	\$ 78,408	\$ 2	2,339	3.1%	\$	26,673	\$	1,735	7.0%	\$ 72,20	00	\$ 2,004	2.9%	\$	19,724	\$ 1,146	6.2%
1995-96	\$ 70,196	\$ 3,259	4.9%	\$	58,436	\$ 2,776	5.0%	\$ 76,069	\$ 3	3,488	4.8%	\$	24,938	\$	1,470	6.3%	\$ 70,19	96	\$ 3,259	4.9%	\$	18,578	\$ 862	4.9%
1994-95	\$ 66,937	\$ 3,828	6.1%	\$	55,660	\$ 3,213	6.1%	\$ 72,581	\$ ∠	4,130	6.0%	\$	23,468	\$	1,491	6.8%	\$ 66,93	37	-	-	\$	17,716	-	-
1993-94	\$ 63,109	\$ 2,688	4.4%	\$	52,447	\$ 2,273	4.5%	\$ 68,451	\$ 2	2,889	4.4%	\$	21,977	\$	1,129	5.4%		Se	e notes			S	ee notes	
1992-93	\$ 60,421	\$ 177	0.3%	\$	50,174	\$ 169	0.3%	\$ 65,562	\$	177	0.3%	\$	20,848	\$	169	0.8%	\$ 60,24	14 :	\$-	0.0%	\$	15,944	\$.	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 60,244	\$ 2,480	4.3%	\$	50,005	\$ 2,037	4.2%	\$ 65,385	\$ 2	2,706	4.3%	\$	20,679	\$	746	3.7%	\$ 60,24	14	\$ 2,480	4.3%	\$	15,944	\$ 656	4.3%
1990-91	\$ 57,764	\$ 2,549	4.6%	\$	47,968	\$ 2,149	4.7%	\$ 62,679	\$ 2	2,742	4.6%	\$	19,933	\$	1,045	5.5%	\$ 57,70	64	\$ 2,549	4.6%	\$	15,288	\$ 674	4.6%
1989-90	\$ 55,215	\$ 2,596	4.9%	\$	45,819	\$ 2,166	5.0%	\$ 59,937	\$ 7	7,318	13.9%	\$	18,888	\$	940	5.2%	\$ 55,2	5	\$ 2,596	4.9%	\$	14,614	\$ 688	4.9%
1988-89	\$ 52,619	\$ 6,993	15.3%	\$	43,653	\$ 6,554	17.7%	\$ 52,619	\$ 2	2,703	5.4%	\$	17,948	\$	1,030	6.1%	\$ 52,67	9	\$ 2,703	5.4%	\$	13,926	\$ 715	5.4%
1987-88	\$ 45,626	\$ 2,330	5.4%	\$	37,099	\$ 1,917	5.4%	\$ 49,916	\$ 2	2,534	5.3%	\$	16,918	\$	958	6.0%	\$ 49,9	6	\$ 2,534	5.3%	\$	13,211	\$ 670	5.3%
1986-87	\$ 43,296	\$ 2,943	7.3%	\$	35,182	\$ 2,406	7.3%	\$ 47,382	\$ 3	3,210	7.3%	\$	15,960	\$	1,249	8.5%	\$ 47,38	32	\$ 3,210	7.3%	\$	12,541	\$ 850	7.3%
1985-86	\$ 40,353	-	-	\$	32,776	-	-	\$ 44,172		-	-	\$	14,711		-	-	\$ 44,17	72	-	-	\$	11,691	-	-

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for be 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Purs														Ian	nt to th	e Salaı	уF	Formula	a in (Chap	ter 145	, F	lorida	Statu	tes	
	DeSoto County: Fiscal Years 198														20	18-19											
	Clerk of Circuit Court, Property																										
Fiscal	Appraiser & Tax Collector Supervisor of Elections Sheriff County Commissioners															ners		School	Super	rintenc	lent		School	Board	Memb	bers	
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	1	Salary	\$	GChg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	GChg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ C	hg.	% Chg.	1	Salary	\$ Cł	ıg.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$ 107,644	\$ 903	0.8%	\$	107,644	\$ 903	0.8%	\$	117,041	\$	967	0.8%	\$	35,448	\$	402	1.1%	\$	107,644	\$	903	0.8%	\$	28,490	\$	239	0.8%
2017-18	\$ 106,741	\$ 4,356	4.3%	\$	106,741	\$ 4,356	4.3%	\$	116,074	\$	4,726	4.2%	\$	35,046	\$	1,507	4.5%	\$	106,741	\$ 4	4,356	4.3%	\$	28,251	\$ 1	153	4.3%
2016-17	\$ 102,385	\$ 270	0.3%	\$	102,385	\$ 17,961	21.3%	\$	111,348	\$	284	0.3%	\$	33,540	\$	162	0.5%	\$	102,385	\$	270	0.3%	\$	27,098	\$	72	0.3%
2015-16	\$ 102,115		0.1%	\$	84,424	\$ 112	0.1%	\$	111,064	\$	143	0.1%	\$	33,377	\$	56	0.2%	\$	102,115	\$	133	0.1%	\$	27,027	\$	35	0.1%
2014-15	\$ 101,982	\$ 262	0.3%	\$	84,312	\$ 215	0.3%	\$	110,921	\$	287	0.3%	\$	33,322	\$	77	0.2%	\$	101,982	\$	262	0.3%	\$	26,992	\$	69	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 101,719	\$ 3,552	3.6%	\$	84,096	\$ 2,924	3.6%	\$	110,635	\$	3,871	3.6%	\$	33,244	\$	1,100	3.4%	\$	101,719	\$ 3	3,552	3.6%	\$	26,922	\$	940	3.6%
2012-13	\$ 98,168	\$ (50)	-0.1%	\$	81,172	\$ (47)	-0.1%	\$	106,764	\$	(50)	0.0%	\$	32,144	\$	(47)	-0.1%	\$	98,168	\$	(50)	-0.1%	\$	25,982	\$	(13)	-0.1%
2011-12	\$ 98,218	\$ 23	0.0%	\$	81,220	\$ 21	0.0%	\$	106,813	\$	23	0.0%	\$	32,191	\$	21	0.1%	\$	98,218	\$	23	0.0%	\$	25,995	\$	6	0.0%
2010-11	\$ 98,195	\$98	0.1%	\$	81,198	\$ 94	0.1%	\$	106,791	\$	98	0.1%	\$	32,170	\$	94	0.3%	\$	98,195	\$ 2	2,220	2.3%	\$	25,989	See n	otes	
2009-10	\$ 98,097	\$ 163	0.2%	\$		\$ 155	0.2%	\$	106,692	\$	163	0.2%	\$	32,076	\$	155	0.5%	\$	95,975	See r	notes		\$	25,963	See n	otes	
2008-09	\$ 97,934	\$ 371	0.4%	\$	80,949	\$ 340	0.4%	\$	106,529	\$	381	0.4%	\$	31,921	\$	286	0.9%	\$	97,934	\$	371	0.4%	\$	25,920	\$	98	0.4%
2007-08	\$ 97,562	\$ 179	0.2%	\$	80,609	\$ 170	0.2%	\$	106,149	\$	179	0.2%	\$	31,635	\$	171	0.5%	\$	97,562	\$	179	0.2%	\$	25,822	-		-
2006-07	\$ 97,384	\$ 2,791	3.0%	\$	80,439	\$ 2,246	2.9%	\$	105,970	\$	3,077	3.0%	\$	31,464	\$	608	2.0%	\$	97,384	\$ 2	2,790	2.9%		N/A	-		-
2005-06	\$ 94,593	\$ 3,878	4.3%	\$	78,193	\$ 3,221	4.3%	\$	102,893	\$	4,209	4.3%	\$		\$	1,338	4.5%	\$	94,593	\$ 3	8,879	4.3%		N/A	-		-
2004-05	\$ 90,715	\$ 1,513	1.7%	\$	74,972	\$ 1,285	1.7%	\$	98,684	\$	1,623	1.7%	\$	29,518	\$	662	2.3%	\$	90,715		-	-		N/A	-		-
2003-04	\$ 89,202	\$ 1,766	2.0%	\$	73,688	\$ 1,461	2.0%	\$	97,061	\$	1,920	2.0%	\$	28,856	\$	583	2.1%		S	iee no	tes			N/A	-		-
2002-03	\$ 87,435	\$ 2,323	2.7%	\$	72,226	\$ 1,937	2.8%	\$	95,141	\$	2,514	2.7%	\$	28,274	\$	845	3.1%	\$	87,435	\$ 2	2,323	2.7%		5	see not	es	
2001-02	\$ 85,112	\$ 3,462	4.2%	\$	70,289	\$ 2,990	4.4%	\$	92,627	\$	3,677	4.1%	\$	27,429	\$	1,766	6.9%	\$	85,112	\$ 3	3,462	4.2%	\$	22,527	\$	916	4.2%
2000-01	\$ 81,650	\$ 2,369	3.0%	\$	67,299	\$ 1,970	3.0%	\$	88,950	\$	2,569	3.0%	\$	25,663	\$	831	3.3%	\$	81,650	\$ 2	2,369	3.0%	\$	21,611		627	3.0%
1999-00	\$ 79,281	\$ 2,972	3.9%	\$	65,329	\$ 2,473	3.9%	\$	86,381	\$	3,222	3.9%	\$	24,832	\$	1,047	4.4%	\$	79,281	\$ 2	2,972	3.9%	\$	20,984	\$	787	3.9%
1998-99	\$ 76,309	\$ 3,848	5.3%	\$	62,856	\$ 3,185	5.3%	\$	83,159	\$	4,183	5.3%	\$	23,785	\$	1,279	5.7%	\$	76,309	\$ 3	8,848	5.3%	\$	20,197	\$ 1	018	5.3%
1997-98	\$ 72,461	\$ 3,443	5.0%	\$	59,671	\$ 2,838	5.0%	\$	78,976	\$	3,750	5.0%	\$	22,506	\$	1,080	5.0%	\$	72,461	\$ 3	3,443	5.0%	\$	19,179	\$	912	5.0%
1996-97	\$ 69,018	\$ 3,807	5.8%	\$	56,833	\$ 3,145	5.9%	\$	75,226	\$	4,142	5.8%	\$	21,426	\$	1,236	6.1%	\$	69,018	\$ 3	3,807	5.8%	\$	18,267	\$ 1	007	5.8%
1995-96	\$ 65,211	\$ 2,712	4.3%	\$	53,688	\$ 2,255	4.4%	\$	71,084	\$	2,941	4.3%	\$	20,190	\$	948	4.9%	\$	65,211	\$ 2	2,712	4.3%	\$	17,260	\$	718	4.3%
1994-95	\$ 62,499	\$ 3,469	5.9%	\$	51,433	\$ 2,871	5.9%	\$	68,143	\$	3,771	5.9%	\$	19,242	\$	1,150	6.4%	\$	62,499		-	-	\$	16,542	-		-
1993-94	\$ 59,030	\$ 2,272	4.0%	\$	48,562	\$ 1,877	4.0%	\$	64,372	\$	2,473	4.0%	\$	18,092	\$	733	4.2%		S	iee no	tes			5	see not	es	
1992-93	\$ 56,758	\$ 129	0.2%	\$	46,685	\$ 123	0.3%	\$	61,899	\$	129	0.2%	\$	17,359	\$	123	0.7%	\$	56,629	\$	-	0.0%	\$	14,988		-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 56,629	\$ 2,418	4.5%	\$	46,562	\$ 1,978	4.4%	\$	61,770	\$	2,644	4.5%	\$	17,236	\$	686	4.1%	\$	56,629	\$ 2	2,418	4.5%	\$	14,988	\$	640	4.5%
1990-91	\$ 54,211	\$ 2,288	4.4%	\$	44,584	\$ 1,902	4.5%	\$	59,126	\$	2,482	4.4%	\$	16,550	\$	798	5.1%	\$	54,211	\$ 2	2,288	4.4%	\$	14,348	\$	605	4.4%
1989-90	\$ 51,923	\$ 2,450	5.0%	\$	42,682	\$ 2,025	5.0%	\$	56,644	\$	7,171	14.5%	\$	15,752	\$	801	5.4%	\$	51,923		2,450	5.0%	\$	13,743		649	5.0%
1988-89	\$ 49,473	\$ 6,764	15.8%	\$	40,657	\$ 6,336	18.5%	\$	49,473	\$	2,474	5.3%	\$	14,951	\$	810	5.7%	\$	49,473	\$ 2	2,474	5.3%	\$	13,094	\$	654	5.3%
1987-88	\$ 42,709	\$ 2,139	5.3%	\$	34,321	\$ 1,734	5.3%	\$	46,999	\$	2,342	5.2%	\$	14,141	\$	776	5.8%	\$	46,999	\$ 2	2,342	5.2%	\$	12,440	\$	620	5.2%
1986-87	\$ 40,570	\$ 2,718	7.2%	\$	32,587	\$ 2,194	7.2%	\$	44,657	\$	2,986	7.2%	\$	13,365	\$	936	7.5%	\$	44,657	\$ 2	2,986	7.2%	\$	11,820	\$	791	7.2%
1985-86	\$ 37,852	-	-	\$	30,393	-	-	\$	41,671		-	-	\$	12,429		-	-	\$	41,671		-	-	\$	11,029	-		-

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries	of Electe	d Coun	ty	Constitu	utional C	Officers									e Salar	ъ	ormula	a in C	hap	ter 145	, F	lorida	Stat	utes	
								Dixi	e Cou	nty: Fisca	I Years	198	5-86 to 2	2018	8-19											
	Clerk of Ci	rcuit Court,	Property																							
Fiscal															nmissio	ners		School	Superi	ntend	lent		School	Board	d Meml	oers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Sala	ary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Cł	ng.	% Chg.	Ş	Salary	\$ C	Chg.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$ 100,986	\$ 673	0.7%	\$	100,986	\$ 673	0.7%	\$ 110	0,383	\$ 737	0.7%	\$	29,107	\$	183	0.6%	\$	100,986	\$	673	0.7%	\$	26,729	\$	178	0.7%
2017-18	\$ 100,312	\$ 4,081	4.2%	\$	100,312	\$ 4,081	4.2%	\$ 109	9,645	\$ 4,451	4.2%	\$	28,924	\$	1,245	4.5%	\$	100,312	\$ 4	081	4.2%	\$	26,551	\$	1,080	4.2%
2016-17	\$ 96,231	\$ 181	0.2%	\$	96,231	\$ 17,583	22.4%	\$ 105	5,194	\$ 194	0.2%	\$	27,679	\$	77	0.3%	\$	96,231	\$	181	0.2%	\$	25,471	\$	48	0.2%
2015-16	\$ 96,050	\$ 138	0.1%	\$	78,648	\$ 117	0.1%	\$ 105	5,000	\$ 147	0.1%	\$	27,602	\$	60	0.2%	\$	96,050	\$	138	0.1%	\$	25,423	\$	36	0.1%
2014-15	\$ 95,913	\$ 248	0.3%	\$	78,532	\$ 201	0.3%	\$ 104	4,852	\$ 272	0.3%	\$	27,541	\$	63	0.2%	\$	95,913	\$	248	0.3%	\$	25,386	\$	66	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 95,665	\$ 3,403	3.7%	\$	78,330	\$ 2,783	3.7%	\$ 104	4,580	\$ 3,723	3.7%	\$	27,478	\$	959	3.6%	\$	95,665	\$ 3	403	3.7%	\$	25,321	\$	901	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 92,262	\$ (12) 0.0%	\$	75,547	\$ (11)	0.0%	\$ 100	0,857	\$ (12)	0.0%	\$	26,519	\$	(11)	0.0%	\$	92,262	\$	(12)	0.0%	\$	24,420	\$	(3)	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 92,274	\$ 65	0.1%	\$	75,559	\$ 62	0.1%	\$ 100	0,869	\$65	0.1%	\$	26,531	\$	62	0.2%	\$	92,274	\$	65	0.1%	\$	24,423	\$	17	0.1%
2010-11	\$ 92,209	\$83	0.1%	\$	75,497	\$ 79	0.1%	\$ 100	0,805	\$83	0.1%	\$	26,469	\$	79	0.3%	\$	92,209	\$ 1	975	2.2%	\$	24,406		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 92,126	\$51	0.1%	\$	75,418	\$ 48	0.1%	\$ 100	0,721	\$51	0.1%	\$	26,390	\$	48	0.2%	\$	90,234	S	ee no	otes	\$	24,384		See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 92,075	\$ 143	0.2%	\$	75,370	\$ 123	0.2%	\$ 100	0,671	\$ 153	0.2%	\$	26,342	\$	69	0.3%	\$	92,075	\$	143	0.2%	\$	24,371	\$	38	0.2%
2007-08	\$ 91,932	\$ 96	0.1%	\$	75,247	\$91	0.1%	\$ 100	0,518	\$ 96	0.1%	\$	26,273	\$	92	0.4%	\$	91,932	\$	96	0.1%	\$	24,333		-	
2006-07	\$ 91,836	\$ 3,211	3.6%	\$	75,156	\$ 2,647	3.7%	\$ 100	0,423	\$ 3,499	3.6%	\$	26,181	\$	1,009	4.0%	\$	91,836	\$ 3	211	3.6%		N/A		-	
2005-06	\$ 88,625	\$ 3,596	4.2%	\$	72,509	\$ 2,951	4.2%	\$ 96	6,924	\$ 3,926	4.2%	\$	25,172	\$	1,069	4.4%	\$	88,625	\$ 3	596	4.2%		N/A		-	
2004-05	\$ 85,029	\$ 1,233	1.5%	\$	69,558	\$ 1,018	1.5%	\$ 92	2,998	\$ 1,342	1.5%	\$	24,103	\$	395	1.7%	\$	85,029	-		-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 83,796	\$ 1,758	2.1%	\$	68,540	\$ 1,453	2.2%	\$ 91	1,656	\$ 1,912	2.1%	\$	23,708	\$	575	2.5%		S	ee not	es			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 82,038	\$ 2,105	2.6%	\$	67,086	\$ 1,730	2.6%	\$ 89	9,744	\$ 2,297	2.6%	\$	23,134	\$	638	2.8%	\$	82,038	\$ 2	105	2.6%		S	See no	otes	
2001-02	\$ 79,933	\$ 2,377	3.1%	\$	65,356	\$ 1,957	3.1%	\$ 87	7,447	\$ 2,592	3.1%	\$	22,496	\$	733	3.4%	\$	79,933	\$ 2	377	3.1%	\$	21,157	\$	629	3.1%
2000-01	\$ 77,555	\$ 2,196	2.9%	\$	63,399	\$ 1,805	2.9%	\$ 84	4,855	\$ 2,396	2.9%	\$	21,763	\$	667	3.2%	\$	77,555	\$ 2	196	2.9%	\$	20,528	\$	582	2.9%
1999-00	\$ 75,359	\$ 2,694	3.7%	\$	61,594	\$ 2,208	3.7%		-,	\$ 2,944	3.7%	\$	21,096	\$	781	3.8%	\$	75,359	\$ 2	694	3.7%	\$	19,946	\$	713	3.7%
1998-99	\$ 72,665	\$ 3,653	5.3%	\$	59,386	\$ 3,000	5.3%	\$ 79	9,515	\$ 3,987	5.3%	\$	20,315	\$	1,093	5.7%	\$	72,665	\$ 3	653	5.3%	\$	19,233	\$	967	5.3%
1997-98	\$ 69,012	\$ 3,305	5.0%	\$	56,386	\$ 2,706	5.0%	\$ 75	5,528	\$ 3,613	5.0%	\$	19,222	\$	950	5.2%	\$	69,012	\$ 3	305	5.0%	\$	18,266	\$	874	5.0%
1996-97	\$ 65,707	\$ 3,603	5.8%	\$	53,680	\$ 2,952	5.8%	\$ 71	1,915	\$ 3,939	5.8%	\$	18,272	\$	1,041	6.0%	\$	65,707	\$ 3	603	5.8%	\$	17,392	\$	954	5.8%
1995-96	\$ 62,104	\$ 2,494	4.2%	\$	50,728	\$ 2,046	4.2%	\$ 67	7,976	\$ 2,722	4.2%	\$	17,231	\$	741	4.5%	\$	62,104	\$ 2	494	4.2%	\$	16,438	\$	660	4.2%
1994-95	\$ 59,610	\$ 3,364	6.0%	\$	48,682	\$ 2,771	6.0%	\$ 65	5,254	\$ 3,666	6.0%	\$	16,490	\$	1,049	6.8%	\$	59,610	-		-	\$	15,778		-	-
1993-94	\$ 56,246	\$ 2,188	4.0%	\$,	\$ 1,797	4.1%	\$ 61	1,588	\$ 2,388	4.0%	\$	15,441	\$	653	4.4%			ee not	es			S	See no	otes	
1992-93	\$ 54,058			\$,	\$ (10)	0.0%		-,	\$ (10)	0.0%	\$,	\$	(10)	-0.1%	\$	54,068		-	0.0%	\$	14,311	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 54,068	\$ 2,335	4.5%	\$	44,124	\$ 1,900	4.5%	\$ 59	9,210	\$ 2,562	4.5%	\$	14,798	\$	609	4.3%	\$	54,068	\$ 2	335	4.5%	\$	14,311	\$	618	4.5%
1990-91	\$ 51,733	\$ 2,146	4.3%	\$	42,224	\$ 1,766	4.4%	\$ 56	6,648	\$ 2,340	4.3%	\$	14,189	\$	661	4.9%	\$	51,733	\$ 2	146	4.3%	\$	13,693	\$	568	4.3%
1989-90	\$ 49,587	\$ 2,315	4.9%	\$	40,458	\$ 1,897	4.9%	\$ 54	4,308	\$ 7,036	14.9%	\$	13,528	\$	695	5.4%	\$	49,587	\$ 2	315	4.9%	\$	13,125	\$	631	5.1%
1988-89	\$ 47,272	\$ 6,617	16.3%	\$	38,561	\$ 6,196	19.1%	\$ 47	7,272	\$ 2,327	5.2%	\$	12,833	\$	722	6.0%	\$	47,272	\$ 2	327	5.2%	\$	12,494	\$	659	5.6%
1987-88	\$ 40,655	\$ 1,980	5.1%	\$	32,365	\$ 1,584	5.1%	\$ 44	4,945	\$ 2,183	5.1%	\$	12,111	\$	667	5.8%	\$	44,945	\$ 2	183	5.1%	\$	11,835	\$	613	5.5%
1986-87	\$ 38,675	\$ 2,542	7.0%	\$	30,781	\$ 2,025	7.0%	\$ 42	2,762	\$ 2,810	7.0%	\$	11,444	\$	771	7.2%	\$	42,762	\$ 2	810	7.0%	\$	11,222	\$	747	7.1%
1985-86	\$ 36,133	-	-	\$	28,756	-	-	\$ 39	9,952	-	-	\$	10,673		-	-	\$	39,952	-		-	\$	10,475		-	-
											•	-														

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for be 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Elected	l Coun	ty	Constitu	utional O	fficers	and Scho	ol Distri	ct Offic	ials	s Pursu	ant	to th	e Salar	y Formul	a in C	hap	ter 145	, F	lorida S	Statute	s
						al Years	198	35-86 to 2	2018	8-19													
	Clerk of Cir	cuit Court, I	Property																				
Fiscal	Appraiser & Tax Collector Supervisor of Elections Sheriff County Comm															School	Superi	ntend	ent		School	Board M	mbers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ C	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Ch	g.	% Chg.	5	Salary	\$ Chg	% Chg.
2018-19	\$ 161,737	\$ 1,412	0.9%	\$	161,737	\$ 1,412	0.9%	\$ 171,134	\$ 1,476	0.9%	\$	99,271	\$	971	1.0%	\$ 161,737	\$1,	412	0.9%	\$	44,356	\$ 31	4 0.9%
2017-18	\$ 160,325	\$ 6,764	4.4%	\$	160,325	\$ 6,764	4.4%	\$ 169,658	\$ 7,134	4.4%	\$	98,301	\$	4,284	4.6%	\$ 160,325	\$6,	764	4.4%	\$	43,972	\$ 1,8	4.4%
2016-17	\$ 153,561	\$ 576	0.4%	\$	153,561	\$ 20,689	15.6%	\$ 162,524	\$ 589	0.4%	\$	94,016	\$	471	0.5%	\$ 153,561	\$	576	0.4%	\$	42,120	\$ 1	5 0.4%
2015-16	\$ 152,986	\$ 482	0.3%	\$	132,872	\$ 445	0.3%	\$ 161,935	\$ 492	0.3%	\$	93,546	\$	401	0.4%	\$ 152,986	\$	482	0.3%	\$	41,966	\$ 12	9 0.3%
2014-15	\$ 152,504	\$ 554	0.4%	\$	132,428	\$ 493	0.4%	\$ 161,443	\$ 578	0.4%	\$	93,144	\$	387	0.4%	\$ 152,504	\$	554	0.4%	\$	41,836	\$ 1	0.4%
2013-14	\$ 151,949	\$ 5,560	3.8%	\$	131,934	\$ 4,837	3.8%	\$ 160,865	\$ 5,880	3.8%	\$	92,757	\$	3,432	3.8%	\$ 151,949	\$5,	560	3.8%	\$	41,686	\$ 1,5	4 3.8%
2012-13	\$ 146,389	\$ 7	0.0%	\$	127,098	\$ 7	0.0%	\$ 154,985	\$ 7	0.0%	\$	89,326	\$	7	0.0%	\$ 146,389	\$	7	0.0%	\$	40,161	\$	2 0.0%
2011-12	\$ 146,382	\$ (779)	-0.5%	\$		\$ (742)	-0.6%	\$ 154,978	\$ (779)	-0.5%	\$	89,319	\$	(742)	-0.8%	\$ 146,382	\$ (779)	-0.5%	\$	40,160	\$ (20	6) -0.5%
2010-11	\$ 147,161	\$ (96)	-0.1%	\$	127,833	\$ (91)	-0.1%	\$ 155,757	\$ (96)	-0.1%	\$	90,061	\$	(91)	-0.1%	\$ 147,161	\$3,	006	2.1%	\$	40,366	See	notes
2009-10	\$ 147,257		0.1%	\$	127,924	\$ 152	0.1%	\$ 155,853	\$ 159	0.1%	\$	90,152	\$	151	0.2%	\$ 144,156	s	ee no	tes	\$	40,391	See	notes
2008-09	\$ 147,097	\$ 556	0.4%	\$	127,772	\$ 516	0.4%	\$ 155,693	\$ 565	0.4%	\$	90,000	\$	474	0.5%	\$ 147,097	\$	556	0.4%	\$	40,349	\$ 14	9 0.4%
2007-08	\$ 146,542	\$ 387	0.3%	\$	127,256	\$ 368	0.3%	\$ 155,128	\$ 387	0.2%	\$	89,526	\$	369	0.4%	\$ 146,542	\$	387	0.3%	\$	40,200	-	-
2006-07	\$ 146,155	\$ 5,317	3.8%	\$	126,888	\$ 4,652	3.8%	\$ 154,741	\$ 5,604	3.8%	\$	89,157	\$	3,390	4.0%	\$ 146,155	\$5,	317	3.8%		N/A	-	-
2005-06	\$ 140,838		4.4%	\$	122,236	\$ 5,130	4.4%	\$ 149,137	\$ 6,213	4.3%	\$	85,767	\$	3,680	4.5%	\$ 140,838	\$5,	883	4.4%		N/A	-	-
2004-05	\$ 134,955	\$ 2,182	1.6%	\$	117,106	\$ 1,921	1.7%	\$ 142,924	\$ 2,291	1.6%	\$	82,087	\$	1,441	1.8%	\$ 134,955	-		-		N/A	-	-
2003-04	\$ 132,773	\$ 2,901	2.2%	\$	115,184	\$ 2,542	2.3%	\$ 140,633	\$ 3,055	2.2%	\$	80,646		1,865	2.4%		See not	es			N/A	-	-
2002-03	\$ 129,872	\$ 3,512	2.8%	\$	112,643	\$ 3,070	2.8%	\$ 137,578	\$ 3,703	2.8%	\$	78,781	\$	2,228	2.9%	\$ 129,872	\$3,	512	2.8%		S	ee notes	
2001-02		\$ 3,900	3.2%	\$		\$ 3,407	3.2%	\$ 133,875	\$ 4,114	3.2%	\$	76,553		2,464	3.3%	\$ 126,361	\$3,	900	3.2%	\$	34,682		
2000-01	\$ 122,461	\$ 3,510	3.0%	\$		\$ 3,056	3.0%	\$ 129,760	\$ 3,709	2.9%	\$	74,089		2,179	3.0%	\$ 122,461	\$3,	510	3.0%	\$		\$ 90	
1999-00	\$ 118,951	\$ 4,400	3.8%	\$		\$ 3,832	3.9%	\$ 126,051	\$ 4,650	3.8%	\$	71,910		2,733	4.0%	\$ 118,951	\$4,	400	3.8%	\$	32,653	\$ 1,20	6 3.8%
1998-99	\$ 114,551		5.3%	\$	99,278	\$ 5,048	5.4%	\$ 121,401	\$ 6,137	5.3%	\$	69,177	\$	3,579	5.5%	\$ 114,551	\$5,	803	5.3%	\$	- /	\$ 1,59	1 5.3%
1997-98	\$ 108,748	\$ 5,296	5.1%	\$	94,230	\$ 4,603	5.1%	\$ 115,264	\$ 5,604	5.1%	\$	65,598		3,249	5.2%	\$ 108,748	\$5,	296	5.1%	\$	29,856	\$ 1,4	
1996-97	\$ 103,452	\$ 5,695	5.8%	\$	89,627	\$ 4,943	5.8%	\$ 109,660	\$ 6,030	5.8%	\$	62,349	\$	3,472	5.9%	\$ 103,452	\$5,	695	5.8%	\$	28,403	\$ 1,50	5.8%
1995-96	\$ 97,757		4.2%	\$	84,684	\$ 3,423	4.2%	\$ 103,630	\$ 4,168	4.2%	\$	58,877		2,417	4.3%	\$ 97,757	\$3,	939	4.2%	\$	26,841	\$ 1,08	0 4.2%
1994-95	+;	\$ 5,125	5.8%	\$	81,261	\$ 4,448	5.8%	\$ 99,462	\$ 5,427	5.8%	\$,		3,122	5.9%	\$ 93,818	-		-	\$	25,761	-	-
1993-94	\$ 88,693	\$ 3,482	4.1%	\$	76,813	\$ 3,029	4.1%	\$ 94,035	\$ 3,683	4.1%	\$,	\$	2,148	4.2%		See not	es				ee notes	
1992-93		\$ 111	0.1%	\$,	\$ 106	0.1%	\$ 90,352	\$ 110	0.1%	\$		\$	105	0.2%	\$ 85,100		-	0.0%	\$	23,371		- 0.0%
1991-92	\$ 85,100	\$ 3,584	4.4%	\$	73,678	\$ 3,089	4.4%	\$ 90,242	\$ 3,811	4.4%	\$	51,085	\$	2,094	4.3%	\$ 85,100	\$3,	584	4.4%	\$	23,371	\$ 98	6 4.4%
1990-91	\$ 81,516	\$ 3,322	4.2%	\$	70,589	\$ 2,886	4.3%	\$ 86,431	\$ 3,515	4.2%	\$	48,991		2,035	4.3%	\$ 81,516		322	4.2%	\$	22,385		
1989-90	, .	\$ 3,700	5.0%	\$	67,703	\$ 3,216	5.0%	\$ 82,916	\$ 8,422	11.3%	\$	46,956		2,273	5.1%	\$ 78,194		700	5.0%	\$	21,474	1 / 1	
1988-89	\$ 74,494	. ,	12.1%	\$	64,487	\$ 7,577	13.3%	\$ 74,494	\$ 3,776	5.3%	\$	44,683	\$	2,335	5.5%	\$ 74,494	\$3,	776	5.3%	\$	20,459	\$ 1,03	
1987-88	1	\$ 3,376	5.4%	\$	56,910	\$ 2,914	5.4%	\$ 70,718	\$ 3,581	5.3%	\$	1		2,222	5.5%	\$ 70,718		581	5.3%	\$	19,424		
1986-87	\$ 63,051	\$ 4,301	7.3%	\$	53,996	\$ 3,700	7.4%	\$ 67,137	\$ 4,568	7.3%	\$	40,126	\$	2,793	7.5%	\$ 67,137	\$4,	568	7.3%	\$	18,442	\$ 1,2	3 7.3%
1985-86	\$ 58,750	-	-	\$	50,296	-	-	\$ 62,569	-	-	\$	37,333		-	-	\$ 62,569	-		-	\$	17,189	-	-

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for be 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Elected	d Coun	ty	Constitu	utiona	Office										e Salar	ry Formul	a iı	n Chap	ter 145	, F	lorida	Statu	es	
				_				E	scambia C	ou	nty: Fis	cal Yea	rs 1	985-86 t	o 2	018-19										
	Clerk of Cir	,																								
Fiscal	Appraiser & Tax Collector Supervisor of Elections Sheriff County Commiss Salary \$ Chg. % Chg. \$ Chg. % Chg. \$ Salary \$ Chg. \$ Chg.																			perintenc			School			
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.							\$	5	<u> </u>			\$	5	% Chg.	Salary	1	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Ch	•	% Chg.
2018-19	1	\$ 1,214	0.9%		- / -	\$ 1,2			\$ 152,418	\$	1,279	0.8%	\$	- / -	\$	783	1.0%	\$ 143,021	\$	1,214	0.9%	\$	39,402		332	0.8%
2017-18	1 1 1 2 2	\$ 5,829	4.3%	\$	1	\$ 5,8			\$ 151,139	\$	6,199	4.3%	\$		\$	3,394	4.4%	\$ 141,806	\$	5,829	4.3%	\$	39,070	\$1,	504	4.3%
2016-17	\$ 135,978		0.3%		/	\$ 19,6		-	\$ 144,940	\$	420	0.3%	\$	77,270	\$	309	0.4%	\$ 135,978		407	0.3%	\$	- / -	<u> </u>	110	0.3%
2015-16	\$ 135,571		0.2%		116,287		0.3%		\$ 144,521	\$	347	0.2%	\$.,	\$	263	0.3%	\$ 135,571	\$	337	0.2%	\$	37,357	\$	91	0.2%
2014-15	\$ 135,234		0.4%	\$	115,980	\$ 4	6 0.4%		\$ 144,174	\$	498	0.3%	\$.,	\$	310	0.4%	\$ 135,234	\$	474	0.4%	\$	37,266	\$	129	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 134,760	\$ 4,849	3.7%	\$	115,564	\$ 4,1	50 3.7 %		\$ 143,676	\$	5,169	3.7%	\$	76,387	\$	2,755	3.7%	\$ 134,760	\$	4,849	3.7%	\$	37,137	\$1,	336	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 129,911		0.1%		,		0.1%		\$ 138,507	\$	106	0.1%	\$,=	\$	101	0.1%	\$ 129,911	\$	106	0.1%	\$	35,801	\$	28	0.1%
2011-12	\$ 129,805		-0.8%		,	1 1	43) -0.8%	-	\$ 138,401	\$	(990)	-0.7%	\$,	\$	(943)	-1.3%	\$ 129,805	\$	(990)	-0.8%	\$	35,773	\$ (2	262)	-0.7%
2010-11	\$ 130,795	\$ (32)	0.0%	\$	112,246	\$	81) 0.0%	,	\$ 139,391	\$	(32)	0.0%	\$	74,474	\$	(31)	0.0%	\$ 130,795	\$	2,693	2.1%	\$	36,035	S	e no	tes
2009-10	\$ 130,828	\$ 111	0.1%	\$	112,277	\$ 1	0.1%	5	\$ 139,423	\$	111	0.1%	\$	74,505	\$	105	0.1%	\$ 128,103		See no	otes	\$	36,043	S	e no	tes
2008-09	\$ 130,717		0.2%	\$	112,172		54 0.2%	5	\$ 139,313	\$	290	0.2%	\$	74,400	\$	212	0.3%	\$ 130,717	\$	281	0.2%	\$	36,014	\$	76	0.2%
2007-08	\$ 130,436	\$ 387	0.3%	\$	111,918	\$ 3	68 0.3%	5	\$ 139,022	\$	386	0.3%	\$	74,188	\$	369	0.5%	\$ 130,436	\$	387	0.3%	\$	35,938	-		-
2006-07	\$ 130,050	\$ 4,125	3.3%	\$	111,550	\$ 3,5	3.3%	5	\$ 138,636	\$	4,412	3.3%	\$	73,819	\$	2,255	3.2%	\$ 130,050	\$	4,125	3.3%		N/A	-		-
2005-06	\$ 125,925	\$ 5,242	4.3%	\$	108,033	\$ 4,5	9 4.4%	5	\$ 134,224	\$	5,572	4.3%	\$	71,564	\$	3,069	4.5%	\$ 125,925	\$	5,241	4.3%		N/A	-		-
2004-05	\$ 120,683	\$ 1,880	1.6%	\$	103,514	\$ 1,6	34 1.6%	5	\$ 128,652	\$	1,989	1.6%	\$	68,495	\$	1,154	1.7%	\$ 120,683		-	-		N/A	-		-
2003-04	\$ 118,803	\$ 2,489	2.1%	\$	101,880	\$ 2,1	50 2.2%	5	\$ 126,663	\$	2,643	2.1%	\$	67,341	\$	1,473	2.2%		See	notes			N/A	-		-
2002-03	\$ 116,314	\$ 3,022	2.7%	\$	99,730	\$ 2,6	03 2.7%	5	\$ 124,020	\$	3,214	2.7%	\$	65,869	\$	1,761	2.7%	\$ 116,314	\$	3,022	2.7%		S	ee note	s	
2001-02	\$ 113,293	\$ 2,840	2.6%	\$	97,127	\$ 2,3	97 2.5%	5	\$ 120,807	\$	3,054	2.6%	\$	64,107	\$	1,455	2.3%	\$ 113,293	\$	2,840	2.6%	\$	31,224	\$	787	2.6%
2000-01	\$ 110,453	\$ 3,311	3.1%	\$	94,730	\$ 2,8	67 3.1%		\$ 117,752	\$	3,511	3.1%	\$	62,653	\$	1,990	3.3%	\$ 110,453	\$	3,311	3.1%	\$	30,437	\$	909	3.1%
1999-00	\$ 107,142	\$ 4,031	3.9%	\$	91,863	\$ 3,4	31 3.9%	5	\$ 114,242	\$	4,281	3.9%	\$	60,663	\$	2,382	4.1%	\$ 107,142	\$	4,031	3.9%	\$	29,528	\$ 1,	108	3.9%
1998-99	\$ 103,110	\$ 5,268	5.4%	\$	88,381	\$ 4,5	38 5.4%	5	\$ 109,960	\$	5,602	5.4%	\$	58,281	\$	3,070	5.6%	\$ 103,110	\$	5,268	5.4%	\$	28,420	\$ 1,	150	5.4%
1997-98	\$ 97,842	\$ 4,790	5.1%	\$	83,843	\$ 4,1	20 5.2%	5	\$ 104,358	\$	5,098	5.1%	\$	55,211	\$	2,767	5.3%	\$ 97,842	\$	4,790	5.1%	\$	26,970	\$ 1,	318	5.1%
1996-97	\$ 93,052	\$ 5,270	6.0%	\$	79,723	\$ 4,5	6.0%	5	\$ 99,260	\$	5,605	6.0%	\$	52,444	\$	3,067	6.2%	\$ 93,052	\$	5,270	6.0%	\$	25,652	\$ 1,	150	6.0%
1995-96	\$ 87,782	\$ 3,634	4.3%	\$	75,184	\$ 3,1	33 4.3%	5	\$ 93,655	\$	3,863	4.3%	\$	49,377	\$	2,127	4.5%	\$ 87,782	\$	3,634	4.3%	\$	24,202	\$ 1,	000	4.3%
1994-95	\$ 84,148	\$ 4,672	5.9%	\$	72,051	\$ 4,0	6 5.9%	5	\$ 89,792	\$	4,974	5.9%	\$	47,250	\$	2,690	6.0%	\$ 84,148		-	-	\$	23,202	-		-
1993-94	\$ 79,476	\$ 3,086	4.0%	\$	68,035	\$ 2,6	53 4.1%	5	\$ 84,818	\$	3,287	4.0%	\$	44,560	\$	1,771	4.1%		See	notes			S	ee note	s	-
1992-93	\$ 76,390	\$ 89	0.1%	\$	65,382	\$	34 0.1%	5	\$ 81,531	\$	89	0.1%	\$	42,789	\$	85	0.2%	\$ 76,301	\$	-	0.0%	\$	21,043	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 76,301	\$ 2,527	3.4%	\$	65,298	\$ 2,0	32 3.3%	5	\$ 81,442	\$	2,753	3.5%	\$	42,704	\$	1,086	2.6%	\$ 76,301	\$	2,527	3.4%	\$	21,043	\$	707	3.5%
1990-91	\$ 73,774	\$ 3,011	4.3%	\$	63,216	\$ 2,5	4.3%	5	\$ 78,689	\$	3,205	4.2%	\$	41,618	\$	1,740	4.4%	\$ 73,774	\$	3,011	4.3%	\$	20,336	\$	329	4.2%
1989-90	\$ 70,763	\$ 3,354	5.0%	\$	60,626	\$ 2,8	37 5.0%	5	\$ 75,484	\$	8,075	12.0%	\$	39,878	\$	1,943	5.1%	\$ 70,763	\$	3,354	5.0%	\$	19,507	\$	923	5.0%
1988-89	\$ 67,409		12.9%	\$	57,739	\$ 7,2	30 14.39	6	\$ 67,409	\$	3,413	5.3%	\$	37,935	\$	1,988	5.5%	\$ 67,409	\$	3,413	5.3%	\$,	\$	939	5.3%
1987-88	. ,	\$ 3,091	5.5%	\$	50,509	\$ 2,6			\$ 63,996	\$	3,294	5.4%	\$		\$	1,950	5.7%	\$ 63,996	\$	3,294	5.4%	\$,	\$	905	5.4%
1986-87	\$ 56,615	\$ 3,933	7.5%	\$,	\$ 3,3			\$ 60,702	\$	4,201	7.4%	\$	33,997	\$	2,443	7.7%	\$ 60,702	\$	4,201	7.4%	\$	16,740		156	7.4%
1985-86	\$ 52,682	-	-	\$	44,517	-	-	_	\$ 56,501	<u> </u>	-	-	\$	31,554		-	-	\$ 56,501	Ė	-	-	\$	15,584	-		-
	,		•	. *	/# • •			_	,,					. ,	L								.,			

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for be 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Elected	l Coun	ty	Constitu	utional O	fficers									e Salaı	y Formula	a in (Chap	ter 145	, F	lorida	Statute	S
Flagler County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2018-19																								
	Clerk of Circuit Court, Property scal Appraiser & Tax Collector Supervisor of Elections Sheriff County Commissioners School Superintendent School Board																							
Fiscal	Appraiser & Tax Collector Supervisor of Elections Sheriff County Commissioners School Superintendent School Boar Salary \$ Chg. % Chg. Salary \$ Chg. % Chg.															Board Me	mbers							
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg	•	Salary	44	6 Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ C	hg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$ 123,889	\$ 1,087	0.9%	\$	123,889	\$ 1,087	0.9%	\$	133,286	\$ 1,15	1 0.9%	\$	54,737	\$	786	1.5%	\$ 123,889	\$ 1	1,087	0.9%	\$	33,754	\$ 30	7 0.9%
2017-18	\$ 122,802	\$ 5,066	4.3%	\$	122,802	\$ 5,066	4.3%	\$	132,135	\$ 5,43	6 4.3%	\$	53,951	\$	2,474	4.8%	\$ 122,802	\$ 5	5,066	4.3%	\$	33,447	\$ 1,38	9 4.3%
2016-17	\$ 117,736	\$ 563	0.5%	\$	117,736	\$ 18,971	19.2%	\$	126,699	\$ 57	6 0.5%	\$	51,477	\$	561	1.1%	\$ 117,736	\$	563	0.5%	\$	32,059	\$ 15	8 0.5%
2015-16	\$ 117,174	\$ 472	0.4%	\$	98,766	\$ 436	0.4%	\$	126,123	\$ 48	2 0.4%	\$	50,916	\$	383	0.8%	\$ 117,174	\$	472	0.4%	\$	31,900	\$ 12	6 0.4%
2014-15	\$ 116,701	\$ 499	0.4%	\$	98,330	\$ 440	0.4%	\$	125,641	\$ 52	3 0.4%	\$	50,533	\$	311	0.6%	\$ 116,701	\$	499	0.4%	\$	31,774	\$ 13	4 0.4%
2013-14	\$ 116,203	\$ 4,405	3.9%	\$	97,890	\$ 3,737	4.0%	\$	125,118	\$ 4,72	4 3.9%	\$	50,222	\$	2,027	4.2%	\$ 116,203	\$ 4	4,405	3.9%	\$	31,640	\$ 1,19	3 3.9%
2012-13	\$ 111,798	\$ 141	0.1%	\$	94,153	\$ 134	0.1%	\$	120,394	\$ 14	1 0.1%	\$	48,195	\$	134	0.3%	\$ 111,798	\$	141	0.1%	\$	30,442	\$ 3	7 0.1%
2011-12	\$ 111,657	\$ 205	0.2%	\$	94,019	\$ 195	0.2%	\$	120,253	\$ 20	5 0.2%	\$	48,061	\$	195	0.4%	\$ 111,657	\$	205	0.2%	\$	30,405	\$5	4 0.2%
2010-11	\$ 111,452	\$ (158)	-0.1%	\$	93,824	\$ (150)	-0.2%	\$	120,048	\$ (15	B) -0.1%	\$	47,866	\$	(150)	-0.3%	\$ 111,452	\$ 2	2,567	2.4%	\$	30,351	See	notes
2009-10	\$ 111,610	\$ 502	0.5%	\$	93,974	\$ 478	0.5%	\$	120,206	\$ 50	2 0.4%	\$	48,016	\$	478	1.0%	\$ 108,886	;	See no	otes	\$	30,392	See	notes
2008-09	\$ 111,108	\$ 1,279	1.2%	\$	93,496	\$ 1,205	1.3%	\$	119,704	\$ 1,28	9 1.1%	\$	47,538	\$	1,154	2.5%	\$ 111,108	\$ 1	1,279	1.2%	\$	30,260	\$ 34	0 1.1%
2007-08	\$ 109,829	\$ 2,693	2.5%	\$	92,291	\$ 2,565	2.9%	\$	118,415	\$ 2,69	3 2.3%	\$	46,384	\$	2,565	5.9%	\$ 109,829	\$ 2	2,693	2.5%	\$	29,920	-	-
2006-07	\$ 107,136	\$ 5,807	5.7%	\$	89,727	\$ 5,119	6.0%	\$	115,722	\$ 6,09	4 5.6%	\$	43,819	\$	3,584	8.9%	\$ 107,136	\$ 5	5,807	5.7%		N/A	-	-
2005-06	\$ 101,329	\$ 5,976	6.3%	\$	84,608	\$ 5,219	6.6%	\$	109,628	\$ 6,30	6 6.1%	\$	40,235	\$	3,454	9.4%	\$ 101,329	\$ 5	5,976	6.3%		N/A	-	-
2004-05	\$ 95,353	\$ 2,429	2.6%	\$	79,389	\$ 2,156	2.8%	\$	103,322	\$ 2,53	8 2.5%	\$	36,781	\$	1,572	4.5%	\$ 95,353		-	-		N/A	-	-
2003-04	\$ 92,924	\$ 2,682	3.0%	\$	77,233	\$ 2,334	3.1%	\$	100,784	\$ 2,83	6 2.9%	\$	35,209	\$	1,510	4.5%		ee no	otes			N/A	-	-
2002-03	\$ 90,242	\$ 164	0.2%	\$	74,899	\$ (119)	-0.2%	\$	97,948	\$ 35	5 0.4%	\$	33,699	\$	1,540	4.8%	\$ 90,242	\$	164	0.2%		S	ee notes	
2001-02	\$ 90,078	\$ 3,670	4.2%	\$	75,018	\$ 3,188	4.4%	\$	97,593	\$ 3,88	5 4.1%	\$	32,159	\$	1,965	6.5%	\$ 90,078	\$ 3	3,670	4.2%	\$	23,840	\$ 97	1 4.2%
2000-01	\$ 86,408	\$ 2,996	3.6%	\$	71,830	\$ 2,567	3.7%	\$	93,708	\$ 3,19	6 3.5%	\$	30,194	\$	1,428	5.0%	\$ 86,408	\$ 2	2,996	3.6%	\$	22,869	\$ 79	3 3.6%
1999-00	\$ 83,412	\$ 3,516	4.4%	\$	69,263	\$ 2,990	4.5%	\$	90,512	\$ 3,76	6 4.3%	\$	28,766	\$	1,564	5.7%	\$ 83,412	\$ 3	3,516	4.4%	\$	22,076	\$ 93	0 4.4%
1998-99	\$ 79,896	\$ 4,421	5.9%	\$	66,273	\$ 3,732	6.0%	\$	86,746	\$ 4,75	6 5.8%	\$	27,202	\$	1,825	7.2%	\$ 79,896	\$4	4,421	5.9%	\$	21,146	\$ 1,17	5.9%
1997-98	\$ 75,475	\$ 4,046	5.7%	\$	62,541	\$ 3,411	5.8%	\$	81,990	\$ 4,35	3 5.6%	\$	25,377	\$	1,655	7.0%	\$ 75,475	\$4	4,046	5.7%	\$	19,976	\$ 1,07	1 5.7%
1996-97	\$ 71,429	\$ 4,229	6.3%	\$	59,130	\$ 3,548	6.4%	\$	77,637	\$ 4,56	4 6.2%	\$	23,722	\$	1,638	7.4%	\$ 71,429	\$4	4,229	6.3%	\$	18,905	\$ 1,11	9 6.3%
1995-96	\$ 67,200	\$ 2,990	4.7%	\$	55,582	\$ 2,520	4.7%	\$	73,073	\$ 3,21	9 4.6%	\$	22,084	\$	1,213	5.8%	\$ 67,200	\$ 2	2,990	4.7%	\$	17,786	\$ 79	2 4.7%
1994-95	\$ 64,210	\$ 3,743	6.2%	\$	53,062	\$ 3,132	6.3%	\$	69,854	\$ 4,04	5 6.1%	\$	20,871	\$	1,411	7.3%	\$ 64,210	-	-	-	\$	16,994	-	-
1993-94	\$ 60,467	\$ 2,566	4.4%	\$	49,930	\$ 2,156	4.5%	\$	65,809	\$ 2,76	6 4.4%	\$	19,460	\$	1,012	5.5%		ee no	otes			S	ee notes	
1992-93	\$ 57,901	\$ 340	0.6%	\$	47,774	\$ 324	0.7%	\$	63,043	\$ 34	0 0.5%	\$	18,448	\$	324	1.8%	\$ 57,561	\$	-	0.0%	\$	15,235	\$	- 0.0%
1991-92	\$ 57,561	\$ 3,418	6.3%	\$	47,450	\$ 2,930	6.6%	\$	62,703	\$ 3,64	5 6.2%	\$	18,124	\$	1,639	9.9%	\$ 57,561	\$	3,418	6.3%	\$	15,235	\$ 90	5 6.3%
1990-91	\$ 54,143	\$ 2,573	5.0%	\$	44,520	\$ 2,173	5.1%	\$	59,058	\$ 2,76	6 4.9%	\$	16,485	\$	1,068	6.9%	\$ 54,143	\$ 2	2,573	5.0%	\$	14,330	\$ 68	5.0%
1989-90	\$ 51,570	\$ 2,714	5.6%	\$	42,347	\$ 2,277	5.7%	\$	56,292	\$ 7,43	6 15.2%	\$	15,417	\$	1,053	7.3%	\$ 51,570	\$ 2	2,714	5.6%	\$	13,650	\$ 71	5.6%
1988-89	\$ 48,856	\$ 6,920	16.5%	\$	40,070	\$ 6,485	19.3%	\$	48,856	\$ 2,63	0 5.7%	\$	14,364	\$	960	7.2%	\$ 48,856	\$ 2	2,630	5.7%	\$	12,931	\$ 69	6 5.7%
1987-88	\$ 41,936	\$ 2,213	5.6%	\$	33,585	\$ 1,805	5.7%	\$	46,226	\$ 2,41	6 5.5%	\$	13,404	\$	846	6.7%	\$ 46,226	\$ 2	2,416	5.5%	\$	12,235	\$ 63	9 5.5%
1986-87	\$ 39,723	\$ 2,776	7.5%	\$	31,780	\$ 2,248	7.6%	\$	43,810	\$ 3,04	4 7.5%	\$	12,558	\$	990	8.6%	\$ 43,810	\$ 3	3,044	7.5%	\$	11,596	\$ 80	6 7.5%
1985-86	\$ 36,947	-	-	\$	29,532	-	-	\$	40,766	-	-	\$	11,568	1	-	-	\$ 40,766		-	-	\$	10,790	-	-

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officia Franklin County: Fiscal Years																		e Salar	ъ	ormula	a in	Chap	ter 145	, F	lorida	Stat	utes		
											Fra	anklin Co	our	nty: Fiso	al Years	s 19	985-86 to	o 20	018-19											
	Cle	erk of Cir	cuit	Court, F	Property																									
Fiscal																County	Co	mmissio	ners		School	Sup	erintenc	lent		School	Board	l Meml	bers	
Year		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Ch	J.	% Chg.		Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	;	Salary	\$ C	hg.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$	99,377	\$	764	0.8%	\$	99,377	\$	64	0.8%	\$	108,774	\$	829	0.8%	\$	27,575	\$	270	1.0%	\$	99,377	\$	764	0.8%	\$	26,303	\$	202	0.8%
2017-18	\$	98,612	\$	3,937	4.2%	\$	98,612	\$3,	37	4.2%	\$	107,945	\$	4,307	4.2%	\$	27,305	\$	1,107	4.2%	\$	98,612	\$	3,937	4.2%	\$	26,101	\$	1,042	4.2%
2016-17	\$	94,676	\$	157	0.2%	\$	94,676	\$ 17,	86	22.7%	\$	103,639	\$	170	0.2%	\$	26,197	\$	54	0.2%	\$	94,676	\$	157	0.2%	\$	25,059	\$	41	0.2%
2015-16	\$	94,519	\$	182	0.2%	\$	77,190	\$	60	0.2%	\$	103,469	\$	192	0.2%	\$	26,144	\$	103	0.4%	\$	94,519	\$	182	0.2%	\$	25,018	\$	48	0.2%
2014-15	\$	94,337	\$	266	0.3%	\$	77,031	\$	219	0.3%	\$	103,276	\$	290	0.3%	\$	26,041	\$	81	0.3%	\$	94,337	\$	266	0.3%	\$	24,969	\$	70	0.3%
2013-14	\$	94,071	\$	3,375	3.7%	\$	76,812	\$ 2,	'56	3.7%	\$	102,986	\$	3,695	3.7%	\$	25,960	\$	932	3.7%	\$	94,071	\$	3,375	3.7%	\$	24,899	\$	893	3.7%
2012-13	\$	90,696	\$	(7)	0.0%	\$	74,056	\$	(7)	0.0%	\$	99,291	\$	(7)	0.0%	\$	25,028	\$	(7)	0.0%	\$	90,696	\$	(7)	0.0%	\$	24,006	\$	(2)	0.0%
2011-12	\$	90,703	\$	(279)	-0.3%	\$	74,063	\$ (266)	-0.4%	\$	99,299	\$	(279)	-0.3%	\$	25,035	\$	(266)	-1.0%	\$	90,703	\$	(279)	-0.3%	\$	24,008	\$	(74)	-0.3%
2010-11	\$	90,982	\$	27	0.0%	\$	74,328	\$	25	0.0%	\$	99,577	\$	27	0.0%	\$	25,300	\$	25	0.1%	\$	90,982	\$	1,872	2.1%	\$	24,081		See no	otes
2009-10	\$	90,955	\$	27	0.0%	\$		\$	26	0.0%	\$	99,551	\$	27	0.0%	\$	25,275	\$	25	0.1%	\$	89,109		See no	otes	\$	24,074		See no	otes
2008-09	\$	90,928	\$	207	0.2%	\$	74,277	\$	84	0.2%	\$	99,524	\$	217	0.2%	\$	25,249	\$	130	0.5%	\$	90,928	\$	207	0.2%	\$	24,067	\$	55	0.2%
2007-08	\$	90,721	\$	344	0.4%	\$	74,094		28	0.4%	\$	99,307	\$	344	0.3%	\$	25,120	\$	328	1.3%	\$	90,721	\$	344	0.4%	\$	24,012		-	-
2006-07	\$	90,377	\$	3,083	3.5%	\$	73,766	\$2,	525	3.5%	\$	98,963	\$	3,370	3.5%	\$	24,791	\$	887	3.7%	\$	90,377	\$	3,083	3.5%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$	87,294	\$	3,522	4.2%	\$	71,241	\$2,	81	4.2%	\$	95,593	\$	3,852	4.2%	\$	23,904	\$	998	4.4%	\$	87,294	\$	3,522	4.2%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$	83,772	\$	1,242	1.5%	\$	68,360	\$1,	27	1.5%	\$	91,741	\$	1,352	1.5%	\$	22,906	\$	404	1.8%	\$	83,772		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$	82,529	\$	1,318	1.6%	\$	67,333	\$1,	35	1.6%	\$	90,389	\$	1,472	1.7%	\$	22,502	\$	156	0.7%		S	iee r	notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$	81,211	\$	2,059	2.6%	\$	66,299	\$1,	686	2.6%	\$	88,917	\$	2,251	2.6%	\$	22,346	\$	593	2.7%	\$	81,211	\$	2,059	2.6%		5	See no	otes	
2001-02	\$	79,152	\$	2,310	3.0%	\$	64,612	\$ 1,	93	3.0%	\$	86,666	\$	2,525	3.0%	\$	21,753	\$	669	3.2%	\$	79,152	\$	2,310	3.0%	\$	20,950	\$	611	3.0%
2000-01	\$	76,842	\$	2,137	2.9%	\$	62,720	\$ 1,		2.9%	\$	84,142	\$	2,337	2.9%	\$	21,084	\$	611	3.0%	\$	76,842	\$	2,137	2.9%	\$	20,339	\$	566	2.9%
1999-00	\$	74,705	\$	2,693	3.7%	\$	60,971	\$ 2,	207	3.8%	\$	81,805	\$	2,943	3.7%	\$		\$	780	4.0%	\$	74,705	\$	2,693	3.7%	\$	19,773	\$	713	3.7%
1998-99	\$	72,012	\$	3,543	5.2%	\$, .		95	5.2%	\$	78,862	\$	3,878	5.2%	\$	19,693	\$	989	5.3%	\$	72,012	\$	3,543	5.2%	\$	19,060	\$	937	5.2%
1997-98	\$	68,469	\$	3,270	5.0%	\$	55,869		672	5.0%	\$	74,984	\$	3,577	5.0%	\$	18,704	\$	915	5.1%	\$	68,469	\$	3,270	5.0%	\$		\$	866	5.0%
1996-97	\$	65,199	\$	3,570	5.8%	\$	53,197	\$2,	21	5.8%	\$	71,407	\$	3,905	5.8%	\$	17,789	\$	1,011	6.0%	\$	65,199	\$	3,570	5.8%	\$	17,257	\$	946	5.8%
1995-96	\$	61,629	\$	2,450	4.1%	\$		\$2,		4.2%	\$	67,502	\$	2,679	4.1%	\$,	\$	743	4.6%	\$	61,629	\$	2,450	4.1%	\$		\$	685	4.4%
1994-95	\$	59,179	\$	3,246	5.8%	\$	48,271	\$2,	59	5.8%	\$	64,823	\$	3,548	5.8%	\$	16,035	\$	1,013	6.7%	\$	59,179		-	-	\$	15,626		-	-
1993-94	\$	55,933	\$	2,128	4.0%	\$		\$ 1,	'39	4.0%	\$	61,275	\$	2,328	3.9%	\$	15,022	\$	618	4.3%				notes				See no	otes	
1992-93	\$	53,805	\$	49	0.1%	\$,	\$	46	0.1%	\$	58,947	\$	49	0.1%	\$,	\$	93	0.6%	\$	53,756	\$	-	0.0%	\$	1.	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$	53,756	\$	2,420	4.7%	\$	43,827	\$ 1,	81	4.7%	\$	58,898	\$	2,647	4.7%	\$	14,311	\$	732	5.4%	\$	53,756	\$	2,420	4.7%	\$	14,071	\$	676	5.0%
1990-91	\$	51,336	\$	2,032	4.1%	\$	41,846	\$1,		4.1%	\$	56,251	\$	2,226	4.1%	\$	- ,	\$	552	4.2%	\$	51,336	\$	2,032	4.1%	\$.,	\$	538	4.2%
1989-90	\$	49,304	\$	2,257	4.8%	\$	40,189	\$1,	42	4.8%	\$	54,025	\$	6,978	14.8%	\$	13,027	\$	621	5.0%	\$	49,304	\$	2,257	4.8%	\$,	\$	600	4.9%
1988-89	\$	47,047	\$	6,557	16.2%	\$	38,347	\$6,	39	19.1%	\$	47,047	\$	2,266	5.1%	\$	12,406	\$	608	5.2%	\$	47,047	\$	2,266	5.1%	\$	12,257	\$	596	5.1%
1987-88	\$	40,490	\$	1,937	5.0%	\$	32,208	\$1,	643	5.0%	\$	44,781	\$	2,142	5.0%	\$	11,798	\$	588	5.2%	\$	44,781	\$	2,142	5.0%	\$	11,661	\$	569	5.1%
1986-87	\$	38,553	\$	2,545	7.1%	\$	30,665	\$2,	27	7.1%	\$	42,639	\$	2,812	7.1%	\$	11,210	\$	775	7.4%	\$	42,639	\$	2,812	7.1%	\$	11,092	\$	749	7.2%
1985-86	\$	36,008		-	-	\$	28,638	-		-	\$	39,827		-	-	\$	10,435		-	-	\$	39,827		-	-	\$	10,343		-	-

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Elected	d Coun	ty	Constitu	utional O											e Salaı	уF	ormula	a in (Chap	oter 145	, F	lorida	Stat	utes	
	Gadsden County: Fiscal Years 1985-86 to 2018-19																										
Fiscal	Appraiser & Tax Collector Supervisor of Elections Sheriff County Commissioners School Superintendent School Boar Salary \$ Chg. % Chg. Salary \$ Chg. % Chg. Salary \$ Chg. % Chg. % Chg. Salary \$ Chg. % Chg.																										
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	6 Chg.	% Chg.	S	alary	\$ C	hg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$ 112,099	\$ 688	0.6%	\$	112,099	\$ 688	0.6%	\$	121,496	\$	752	0.6%	\$	39,691	\$	197	0.5%	\$ 1	12,099	\$	688	0.6%	\$	29,669	\$	182	0.6%
2017-18	\$ 111,412	\$ 4,476	4.2%	\$	111,412	\$ 4,476	4.2%	\$	120,745	\$	4,846	4.2%	\$	39,494	\$	1,621	4.3%	\$ 1	11,412	\$,476	4.2%	\$	29,487	\$	1,185	4.2%
2016-17	\$ 106,935	\$ 233	0.2%	\$	106,935	\$ 18,142	20.4%	\$	115,898	\$	246	0.2%	\$	37,873	\$	126	0.3%	\$ 1	06,935	\$	233	0.2%	\$	28,302	\$	62	0.2%
2015-16	\$ 106,702	\$ 288	0.3%	\$	88,793	\$ 261	0.3%	\$	115,652	\$	298	0.3%	\$	37,747	\$	204	0.5%	\$ 1	06,702	\$	288	0.3%	\$	28,240	\$	76	0.3%
2014-15	\$ 106,414	\$ 315	0.3%	\$	88,533	\$ 266	0.3%	\$	115,354	\$	340	0.3%	\$	37,543	\$	128	0.3%	\$ 1	06,414	\$	315	0.3%	\$	28,164	\$	83	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 106,098	\$ 3,582	3.5%	\$	88,267	\$ 2,953	3.5%	\$	115,014	\$	3,901	3.5%	\$	37,415	\$	1,129	3.1%	\$ 1	06,098	\$ 3	,582	3.5%	\$	28,080	\$	948	3.5%
2012-13	\$ 102,517	\$ 584	0.6%	\$	85,314	\$ 556	0.7%	\$	111,113	\$	584	0.5%	\$	36,286	\$	556	1.6%	\$ 1	02,517	\$	584	0.6%	\$	27,133	\$	154	0.6%
2011-12	\$ 101,933	\$ 2,048	2.0%	\$	84,758	\$ 1,950	2.4%	\$	110,529	\$	2,048	1.9%	\$	35,730	\$	(1,120)	-3.0%	\$ 1	01,933	\$ 2	,048	2.0%	\$	26,978	\$	(310)	-1.1%
2010-11	\$ 99,886	\$ (146)	-0.1%	\$	82,808	\$ (139)	-0.2%	\$	108,481	\$	(146)	-0.1%	\$	36,850	\$	(139)	-0.4%	\$	99,886	\$	(959)	-1.0%	\$	27,288		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 100,031	\$ (2,871)	-2.8%	\$	82,947	\$ (2,735)	-3.2%	\$	108,627	\$	(2,871)	-2.6%	\$	36,989	\$	335	0.9%	\$ 1	00,844		See no	otes	\$	27,327		See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 102,902	\$ 500	0.5%	\$	85,682	\$ 463	0.5%	\$	111,498	\$	510	0.5%	\$	36,654	\$	409	1.1%	\$ 1	02,902	\$	500	0.5%	\$	27,235	\$	132	0.5%
2007-08	\$ 102,402	\$ 154	0.2%	\$	85,218	\$ 147	0.2%	\$	110,988	\$	154	0.1%	\$	36,244	\$	147	0.4%	\$ 1	02,402	\$	154	0.2%	\$	27,102		-	-
2006-07	\$ 102,248	\$ 3,686	3.7%	\$	85,072	\$ 3,099	3.8%	\$	110,834	\$	3,973	3.7%	\$	36,097	\$	1,461	4.2%	\$1	02,248	\$ 3	,686	3.7%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 98,562	\$ 4,029	4.3%	\$	81,973	\$ 3,364	4.3%	\$	106,861	\$	4,358	4.3%	\$	34,636	\$	1,481	4.5%	\$	98,562	\$4	,029	4.3%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 94,533	\$ 1,467	1.6%	\$	78,609	\$ 1,240	1.6%	\$	102,503	\$	1,576	1.6%	\$	33,155	\$	617	1.9%	\$	94,533	-		-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 93,066	\$ 2,005	2.2%	\$	77,369	\$ 1,689	2.2%	\$	100,926	\$	2,159	2.2%	\$	32,537	\$	810	2.6%		S	See no	tes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 91,061	\$ 2,320	2.6%	\$	75,680	\$ 1,935	2.6%	\$	98,767	\$	2,512	2.6%	\$	31,727	\$	842	2.7%	\$	91,061	\$ 2	,320	2.6%		S	iee n	otes	
2001-02	\$ 88,741	\$ 3,602	4.2%	\$	73,745	\$ 3,123	4.4%	\$	96,255	\$	3,817	4.1%	\$	30,885	\$	(707)	-2.2%	\$	88,741	\$ 3	,602	4.2%	\$	23,487	\$	230	1.0%
2000-01	\$ 85,139	\$ 2,469	3.0%	\$	70,622	\$ 2,065	3.0%	\$	92,439	\$	2,668	3.0%	\$	31,593	\$	998	3.3%	\$	85,139	\$ 2	,469	3.0%	\$	23,257	\$	673	3.0%
1999-00	\$ 82,670	\$ 578	0.7%	\$	68,557	\$ 192	0.3%	\$	89,770	\$	828	0.9%	\$	30,595	\$	1,302	4.4%	\$	82,670	\$	578	0.7%	\$	22,584	\$	857	3.9%
1998-99	\$ 82,093	\$ 4,842	6.3%	\$	68,365	\$ 4,132	6.4%	\$	88,943	\$	5,176	6.2%	\$	29,294	\$	2,225	8.2%	\$	82,093	\$4	,842	6.3%	\$	21,727	\$	1,281	6.3%
1997-98	\$ 77,251	\$ 4,021	5.5%	\$	64,233	\$ 3,388	5.6%	\$	83,767	\$	4,329	5.4%	\$	27,069	\$	1,632	6.4%	\$	77,251	\$4	,021	5.5%	\$	20,446	\$	1,064	5.5%
1996-97	\$ 73,230	\$ 3,924	5.7%	\$	60,845	\$ 3,257	5.7%	\$	79,438	\$	4,259	5.7%	\$	25,437	\$	1,347	5.6%	\$	73,230	\$ 3	,924	5.7%	\$	19,382	\$	1,039	5.7%
1995-96	\$ 69,306	\$ 3,044	4.6%	\$	57,588	\$ 2,571	4.7%	\$	75,179	\$	3,274	4.6%	\$	24,090	\$	1,265	5.5%	\$	69,306	\$ 3	,044	4.6%	\$	18,343	\$	806	4.6%
1994-95	\$ 66,262	\$ 3,697	5.9%	\$	55,017	\$ 3,089	5.9%	\$	71,905	\$	3,998	5.9%	\$	22,825	\$	1,367	6.4%	\$	66,262	-		-	\$	17,537		-	-
1993-94	\$ 62,565	\$ 2,402	4.0%	\$	51,928	\$ 2,000	4.0%	\$	67,907	\$	2,603	4.0%	\$	21,458	\$	856	4.2%		S	See no	tes			S	iee n	otes	
1992-93	\$ 60,163	\$ 210	0.4%	\$	49,928	\$ 200	0.4%	\$	65,304	\$	210	0.3%	\$	20,602	\$	200	1.0%	\$	59,953	\$	-	0.0%	\$	15,868	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 59,953	\$ 1,805	3.1%	\$	49,728	\$ 1,394	2.9%	\$	65,094	\$	2,031	3.2%	\$	20,402	\$	103	0.5%	\$	59,953	\$ 1	,805	3.1%	\$	15,868	\$	478	3.1%
1990-91	\$ 58,148	\$ 2,163	3.9%	\$	48,334	\$ 1,783	3.8%	\$	63,063	\$	2,357	3.9%	\$	20,299	\$	678	3.5%	\$	58,148	\$ 2	,163	3.9%	\$	15,390	\$	573	3.9%
1989-90	\$ 55,985	\$ 2,575	4.8%	\$	46,551	\$ 2,144	4.8%	\$	60,706	\$	7,296	13.7%	\$	19,621	\$	920	4.9%	\$	55,985	\$ 2	,575	4.8%	\$	14,817	\$	681	4.8%
1988-89	\$ 53,410	\$ 6,930	14.9%	\$	44,407	\$ 6,495	17.1%	\$	53,410	\$	2,640	5.2%	\$	18,701	\$	969	5.5%	\$	53,410	\$ 2	,640	5.2%	\$	14,136	\$	699	5.2%
1987-88	\$ 46,480	\$ 2,332	5.3%	\$	37,912	\$ 1,918	5.3%	\$	50,770	\$	2,536	5.3%	\$	17,732	\$	960	5.7%	\$	50,770	\$ 2	,536	5.3%	\$	13,437	\$	671	5.3%
1986-87	\$ 44,148	\$ 3,042	7.4%	\$	35,994	\$ 2,501	7.5%	\$	48,234	\$	3,309	7.4%	\$	16,772	\$	1,243	8.0%	\$	48,234	\$ 3	,309	7.4%	\$	12,766	\$	876	7.4%
1985-86	\$ 41,106	-	-	\$	33,493	-	-	\$	44,925		-	-	\$	15,529		-	-	\$	44,925	-		-	\$	11,890		-	-
																				•			-				

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for be 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officia																e Salar	уF	ormula	a in	Chap	ter 145	, F	lorida	Stat	tutes	
	Gilchrist County: Fisca														2	018-19											
	Clerk of Cire	cuit Court, I	Property																								
Fiscal	Appraiser & Tax Collector Supervisor of Elections Sheriff County Con															nmissio	ners		School	Supe	erintend	lent		School	Boar	d Meml	bers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.	;	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$ 101,161	\$ 822	0.8%	\$	101,161	\$ 822	0.8%	\$	110,558	\$	887	0.8%	\$	29,274	\$	325	1.1%	\$	101,161	\$	822	0.8%	\$	26,775	\$	218	0.8%
2017-18	\$ 100,339	\$ 3,983	4.1%	\$	100,339	\$ 3,983	4.1%	\$	109,672	\$	4,353	4.1%	\$	28,949	\$	1,151	4.1%	\$	100,339	\$	3,983	4.1%	\$	26,558	\$	1,054	4.1%
2016-17	\$ 96,356	\$ 139	0.1%	\$	96,356	\$ 17,549	22.3%	\$	105,319	\$	152	0.1%	\$	27,798	\$	37	0.1%	\$	96,356	\$	139	0.1%	\$	25,504	\$	37	0.1%
2015-16	\$ 96,217	\$ 97	0.1%	\$	78,807	\$ 79	0.1%	\$	105,167	\$	107	0.1%	\$	27,761	\$	22	0.1%	\$	96,217	\$	97	0.1%	\$	25,467	\$	26	0.1%
2014-15	\$ 96,120	\$ 238	0.2%	\$	78,728	\$ 192	0.2%	\$	105,059	\$	262	0.3%	\$	27,738	\$	54	0.2%	\$	96,120	\$	238	0.2%	\$	25,441	\$	63	0.2%
2013-14	\$ 95,881	\$ 3,427	3.7%	\$	78,536	\$ 2,805	3.7%	\$	104,797	\$	3,747	3.7%	\$	27,684	\$	982	3.7%	\$	95,881	\$	3,427	3.7%	\$	25,378	\$	907	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 92,454	\$ 14	0.0%	\$	75,731	\$ 14	0.0%	\$	101,050	\$	14	0.0%	\$	26,703	\$	14	0.1%	\$	92,454	\$	14	0.0%	\$	24,471	\$	4	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 92,440	\$ (146)	-0.2%	\$	75,717	\$ (139)	-0.2%	\$	101,036	\$	(146)	-0.1%	\$	26,689	\$	(139)	-0.5%	\$	92,440	\$	(146)	-0.2%	\$	24,467	\$	(39)	-0.2%
2010-11	\$ 92,587	\$ 44	0.0%	\$	75,857	\$ 42	0.1%	\$	101,182	\$	44	0.0%	\$	26,829	\$	42	0.2%	\$	92,587	\$	1,943	2.1%	\$	24,506		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 92,542	\$ 49	0.1%	\$	75,815	\$ 47	0.1%	\$	101,138	\$	49	0.0%	\$	26,787	\$	46	0.2%	\$	90,644		See no	otes	\$	24,494		See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 92,494	\$ 231	0.3%	\$	75,768	\$ 207	0.3%	\$	101,089	\$	241	0.2%	\$	26,740	\$	153	0.6%	\$	92,494	\$	231	0.3%	\$	24,481	\$	61	0.3%
2007-08	\$ 92,262	\$ 154	0.2%	\$	75,561	\$ 147	0.2%	\$	100,848	\$	154	0.2%	\$	26,587	\$	148	0.6%	\$	92,262	\$	154	0.2%	\$	24,420		-	-
2006-07	\$ 92,108	\$ 3,180	3.6%	\$	75,414	\$ 2,617	3.6%	\$	100,694	\$	3,467	3.6%	\$	26,440	\$	979	3.8%	\$	92,108	\$	3,180	3.6%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 88,928	\$ 3,651	4.3%	\$	72,797	\$ 3,004	4.3%	\$	97,227	\$	3,981	4.3%	\$	25,461	\$	1,122	4.6%	\$	88,928	\$	3,651	4.3%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 85,277	\$ 1,315	1.6%	\$	69,793	\$ 1,095	1.6%	\$	93,246	\$	1,424	1.6%	\$	24,339	\$	472	2.0%	\$	85,277		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 83,962	\$ 1,739	2.1%	\$	68,698	\$ 1,436	2.1%	\$	91,822	\$	1,893	2.1%	\$	23,867	\$	557	2.4%		S	iee n	otes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 82,223	\$ 2,118	2.6%	\$	67,262	\$ 1,743	2.7%	\$	89,929	\$	2,310	2.6%	\$	23,310	\$	650	2.9%	\$	82,223	\$	2,118	2.6%		S	See n	otes	
2001-02	\$ 80,105	\$ 2,569	3.3%	\$	65,519	\$ 2,139	3.4%	\$	87,619	\$	2,784	3.3%	\$	22,660	\$	916	4.2%	\$	80,105	\$	2,569	3.3%	\$	21,202	\$	680	3.3%
2000-01	\$ 77,536	\$ 2,191	2.9%	\$	63,380	\$ 1,801	2.9%	\$	84,835	\$	2,391	2.9%	\$	21,744	\$	662	3.1%	\$	77,536	\$	2,191	2.9%	\$	20,522	\$	580	2.9%
1999-00	\$ 75,344	\$ 2,810	3.9%	\$	61,579	\$ 2,318	3.9%	\$	82,444	\$	3,060	3.9%	\$	21,082	\$	891	4.4%	\$	75,344	\$	2,810	3.9%	\$	19,942	\$	744	3.9%
1998-99	\$ 72,534	\$ 3,633	5.3%	\$	59,262	\$ 2,981	5.3%	\$	79,384	\$	3,967	5.3%	\$	20,191	\$	1,074	5.6%	\$	72,534	\$	3,633	5.3%	\$	19,199	\$	962	5.3%
1997-98	\$ 68,901	\$ 3,317	5.1%	\$	56,281	\$ 2,718	5.1%	\$	75,417	\$	3,625	5.0%	\$	19,117	\$	962	5.3%	\$	68,901	\$	3,317	5.1%	\$	18,237	\$	878	5.1%
1996-97	\$ 65,584	\$ 3,618	5.8%	\$	53,563	\$ 2,966	5.9%	\$	71,792	\$	3,953	5.8%	\$	18,155	\$	1,055	6.2%	\$	65,584	\$	3,618	5.8%	\$	17,359	\$	958	5.8%
1995-96	\$ 61,966	\$ 2,586	4.4%	\$	50,597	\$ 2,135	4.4%	\$	67,839	\$	2,816	4.3%	\$	17,100	\$	829	5.1%	\$	61,966	\$	2,586	4.4%	\$	16,401	\$	684	4.4%
1994-95	\$ 59,380	\$ 3,281	5.8%	\$	48,462	\$ 2,692	5.9%	\$	65,023	\$	3,582	5.8%	\$	16,271	\$	971	6.3%	\$	59,380		-	-	\$	15,717		-	-
1993-94	\$ 56,099	\$ 2,147	4.0%	\$	45,770	\$ 1,757	4.0%	\$	61,441	\$	2,347	4.0%	\$	15,300	\$	616	4.2%		S	iee n	otes			S	See n	otes	
1992-93	\$ 53,952	\$61	0.1%	\$	44,013	\$ 58	0.1%	\$	59,094	\$	61	0.1%	\$	14,684	\$	116	0.8%	\$	53,891	\$	-	0.0%	\$	14,213	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 53,891	\$ 2,734	5.3%	\$	43,955	\$ 2,279	5.5%	\$	59,033	\$	2,961	5.3%	\$	14,568	\$	1,329	10.0%	\$	53,891	\$	2,734	5.3%	\$	14,213	\$	1,007	7.6%
1990-91	\$ 51,157	\$ 2,067	4.2%	\$	41,676	\$ 1,691	4.2%	\$	56,072	\$	2,260	4.2%	\$	13,239	\$	619	4.9%	\$	51,157	\$	2,067	4.2%	\$	13,206	\$	575	4.6%
1989-90	\$ 49,090	\$ 2,286	4.9%	\$	39,985	\$ 1,870	4.9%	\$	53,812	\$	7,008	15.0%	\$	12,620	\$	678	5.7%	\$	49,090	\$	2,286	4.9%	\$	12,631	\$	632	5.3%
1988-89	\$ 46,804	\$ 6,543	16.3%	\$	38,115	\$ 6,125	19.1%	\$	46,804	\$	2,253	5.1%	\$	11,942	\$	582	5.1%	\$	46,804	\$	2,253	5.1%	\$	11,999	\$	581	5.1%
1987-88	\$ 40,261	\$ 1,923	5.0%	\$	31,990	\$ 1,529	5.0%	\$	44,551	\$	2,126	5.0%	\$	11,360	\$	558	5.2%	\$	44,551	\$	2,126	5.0%	\$	11,418	\$	552	5.1%
1986-87	\$ 38,338	\$ 2,505	7.0%	\$	30,461	\$ 1,990	7.0%	\$	42,425	\$	2,773	7.0%	\$	10,802	\$	700	6.9%	\$	42,425	\$	2,773	7.0%	\$	10,866	\$	708	7.0%
1985-86	\$ 35,833	-	-	\$	28,471	-	-	\$	39,652		-	-	\$	10,102		-	-	\$	39,652		-	-	\$	10,158		-	-

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for be 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

Glades County: Fiscal Years 1986-56 to 2018-19 Glades County: Fiscal Years 1986-56 to 2018-19 Glades County: Fiscal Years 1986-56 to 2018-19 School Superintement 201616 \$ 9,703 \$ 9,703 \$ 6,86 0.7% \$ 9,9703 \$ 6,97 % 5 2,25,22 \$ 9,10 3,75 \$ 20,522 \$ 9,10 % 5 2,214 \$ 1,067 % 0,7% <t< th=""><th></th><th colspan="14">Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuan</th><th>nt to th</th><th>e Salaı</th><th>ry F</th><th>Formula</th><th>a in</th><th>n Chap</th><th>ter 145</th><th>, F</th><th>lorida</th><th>Stat</th><th>tutes</th><th></th></t<>		Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pursuan														nt to th	e Salaı	ry F	Formula	a in	n Chap	ter 145	, F	lorida	Stat	tutes				
Fiscal Supervisor of Election Supervisor of Election County Commissioner School Supervisor																85-86 to	20	18-19												
Year Schg.		Cl	erk of Cir	cuit C	court, P	roperty																								
2013-19 5 99/703 5 99/703 5 691/74 5 99/703 5 695 0.7% 5 27.881 5 004 5 99/703 5 665 0.7% 5 22.881 5 1160 44% 5 99/008 5 3.982 4.2% 5 27.881 5 1.16 4.4% 5 99/008 5 3.892 4.2% 5 27.881 5 1.16 4.4% 5 99/008 5 3.42 0.1% 5 2.5,016 5 1.42 0.1% 5 2.5,017 5 1.12 4 4 0.2% 5 1.05,017 5 2.7.83 5 2.5,017 5 2.5,017 5 2.5,017 5 2.5,017 5 2.5,017 5 2.5,017 5 2.5,017 5 2.5,017 5 2.5,017 5 2.5,017 5 2.5,017 5 2.5,017 5 2.5,017 5 2.5,017 5 2.5,017 5 2.5,017 5 2.5,017 5 2.5,017 5 <td>Fiscal</td> <td colspan="15"></td> <td></td> <td>School</td> <td>Sup</td> <td>erintend</td> <td>lent</td> <td></td> <td>School</td> <td>Boar</td> <td>d Memb</td> <td>pers</td>	Fiscal																	School	Sup	erintend	lent		School	Boar	d Memb	pers				
2017-18 5 99.008 5 3.992 4.2% 5 1.081 4.4% 5 99.008 5 3.992 4.2% 5 1.067 4.2% 5 27.682 5 1.060 4.4% 5 99.008 5 3.992 4.2% 5 25.616 5 4.2% 5 25.616 5 4.2% 5 25.616 5 4.2% 5 25.616 5 4.2% 5 26.622 5 0.03% 5 94.704 5 25.616 5 25.616 5 77.817 5 27.75 1 1 103.368 5 26.222 3.7% 5 26.30% 5 94.704 5 220.03% 5 26.30% 5 0.1% 5 21.0% 5 22.0% 3.7% 5 26.30% 5 0.3% 5 94.704 5 22.0% 3.7% 5 26.30% 5 0.410 5 24.121 5 1.057 6 26.30% 5 94.716 5 26.10% 5 94.715 5 26.00%<	Year		Salary	\$ C	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	;	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2016-17 5 95/016 5 17.48 22.6% 5 103/97 5 155 6 96/016 5 142 0.1% 5 26/37 5 96/30 5 10/30 8 96/30 5 10/30 8 96/30 8 10/30 8 96/30 8 10/30 8 96/30 8 10/30 8 96/30 8 10/30 8 96/30 8 10/30 8 96/30 10/30 8 96/30	2018-19	\$	99,703	\$	695	0.7%	\$	99,703	\$ 695	0.7%	\$	109,100	\$	759	0.7%	\$	27,885	\$	204	0.7%	\$	99,703	\$	695	0.7%	\$	26,390	\$	184	0.7%
2015-16 9 9 77 02% 5 77.581 5 148 02% 5 26.482 5 91 0.3% 5 94.744 5 72.511 5 67 0.3% 5 94.744 5 72.511 5 67 0.3% 5 94.744 5 72.511 5 67 0.3% 5 94.744 5 72.511 5 67 0.3% 5 94.744 5 225.112 5 67 0.3% 5 94.744 5 225.112 5 67 0.3% 5 94.742 5 3.7% 5 0.76 6.7 0.3% 5 94.743 5 94.721 5 94.75 5 27.2 3.7% 5 0.03% 5 94.743 5 94.743 5 94.743 5 94.743 5 94.745 5 94.745 5 94.745 5 94.745 5 94.745 5 94.745 5 94.745 5 94.745 5 94.745 94.745 94.745 94.745 <	2017-18	\$	99,008	\$ 3	3,992	4.2%	\$	99,008	\$ 3,992	4.2%	\$	108,341	\$	4,362	4.2%	\$	27,682	\$	1,160	4.4%	\$	99,008	\$	3,992	4.2%	\$	26,206	\$	1,057	4.2%
2014-16 5 94,704 \$ 252 0.3% \$ 77.175 \$ 2.725 3.7% \$ 103,644 \$ 268,306 \$ 67 0.3% \$ 94,704 \$ 2520 0.3% \$ 253,007 \$ \$ 77.175 \$ 2.725 3.7% \$ 103,646 \$ 26,233 \$ 901 3.5% \$ 94,452 \$ 3.342 3.7% \$ 25,007 \$ 6 0.3% \$ 26,233 \$ 901 3.5% \$ 91,333 \$ 500 0.5% \$ 24,442 \$ 483 1.9% \$ 91,333 \$ 507 0.6% \$ 24,411 \$ 430 0.5% \$ 24,444 \$ 483 1.9% \$ 91,333 \$ 507 0.6% \$ 24,444 \$ 433 1.9% \$ 91,335 \$ 507 0.6% \$ 24,445 \$ 438 1.0% \$ 90,340 \$ 30,320 \$ 30,347 \$ 90,340	2016-17	\$	95,016	\$	142	0.1%	\$	95,016	\$ 17,488	22.6%	\$	103,979	\$	155	0.1%	\$	26,522	\$	40	0.2%	\$	95,016	\$	142	0.1%	\$	25,149	\$	38	0.1%
2013-14 \$ 94.452 \$ 3.442 3.7% \$ 2.707.8 \$ 2.6.323 \$ 901 3.5% \$ 94.452 \$ 3.342 3.7% \$ 2.500.0 \$ 8.865 3.7% \$ 2.6.323 \$ 901.35% \$ 91.131 \$ 507 0.6% \$ 2.4.121 \$ (6) 0.0% \$ 2.4.121 \$ (6) 0.0% \$ 2.4.121 \$ (6) 0.0% \$ 2.4.121 \$ (6) 0.0% \$ 2.4.922 \$ (4) 0.0% \$ 9.728 \$ 507 0.5% \$ 2.4.962 \$ (4) 0.0% \$ 9.9729 \$ 507 0.5% \$ 2.4.962 \$ (4) 0.0% \$ 9.737 5 2.3.987 See notes \$ 2.3.987 See notes \$ 2.3.987 See notes \$ 2.3.987 See notes	2015-16	\$	94,874	\$	170	0.2%	\$	77,528	\$ 148	0.2%	\$	103,824	\$	180	0.2%	\$	26,482	\$	91	0.3%	\$	94,874	\$	170	0.2%	\$	25,112	\$	45	0.2%
2012-13 \$ 91,110 \$ 1(23) 0.0% \$ 74,451 \$ 1(22) 0.0% \$ 92,706 \$ 1(23) 0.0% \$ 25,422 \$ (22) 0.0% \$ 94,110 \$ (23) 0.0% \$ 24,115 \$ (6) 0.0% 2011-12 \$ 90,630 \$ 507 0.6% \$ 74,473 \$ 483 0.7% \$ 99,726 \$ (07) 0.5% \$ 25,422 \$ (21) 0.0% \$ 91,133 \$ 507 0.6% \$ 24,115 \$ (3) 0.6% \$ 24,415 \$ (3) 0.6% \$ 24,415 \$ (3) 0.6% \$ 24,415 \$ (3) 0.6% \$ 24,415 \$ (3) 0.6% \$ 24,415 \$ (3) 0.6% \$ 24,415 \$ (3) 0.6% \$ 24,415 \$ (3) 0.6% \$ 24,415 \$ (3) 0.6% \$ 24,415 \$ (3) 0.6% \$ 24,415 \$ (3) 0.6% \$ 24,415 \$ 20,806 \$ 20,110 \$ 24,665 \$ 20,00% \$ 90,543 \$ 80,562 \$ 2,317 \$ (4) 0.6% \$ 24,716 \$ 80,745 \$ 80,730 \$ 2,3917 - - - 0.0% \$ 24,716 \$ 80,745 \$ 90,543 \$ 183 0.07% <	2014-15	\$	94,704	\$	252	0.3%	\$	77,381	\$ 205	0.3%	\$	103,644	\$	276	0.3%	\$	26,390	\$	67	0.3%	\$	94,704	\$	252	0.3%	\$	25,067	\$	67	0.3%
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	2013-14	\$	94,452	\$	3,342	3.7%	\$	77,175	\$ 2,725	3.7%	\$	103,368	\$	3,662	3.7%	\$	26,323	\$	901	3.5%	\$	94,452	\$	3,342	3.7%	\$	25,000	\$	885	3.7%
2010-11 \$ 90,626 \$ (4) 0.0% \$ 93,226 \$ (4) 0.0% \$ 93,026 \$ 1,894 2.1% \$ 23,987 See notes 2009-10 \$ 90,630 \$ 87 0.1% \$ 93,226 \$ 67 0.1% \$ 24,965 \$ 82 0.3% \$ 888,722 See notes \$ 23,986 See notes \$ 23,987 See notes \$ 23,987 \$ \$ 30,01 \$ 14 \$ 90,430 \$ 90,430 \$ 90,430 \$ 90,430 \$ 90,430 \$ 3,020 3,7% N/A - - N/A - - <td>2012-13</td> <td>\$</td> <td>91,110</td> <td>\$</td> <td>(23)</td> <td>0.0%</td> <td>\$</td> <td>74,451</td> <td>\$ (22</td> <td>) 0.0%</td> <td>\$</td> <td>99,706</td> <td>\$</td> <td>(23)</td> <td>0.0%</td> <td>\$</td> <td>25,422</td> <td>\$</td> <td>(22)</td> <td>-0.1%</td> <td>\$</td> <td>91,110</td> <td>\$</td> <td>(23)</td> <td>0.0%</td> <td>\$</td> <td>24,115</td> <td>\$</td> <td>(6)</td> <td>0.0%</td>	2012-13	\$	91,110	\$	(23)	0.0%	\$	74,451	\$ (22) 0.0%	\$	99,706	\$	(23)	0.0%	\$	25,422	\$	(22)	-0.1%	\$	91,110	\$	(23)	0.0%	\$	24,115	\$	(6)	0.0%
2003-10 \$ 90,630 \$ 73,993 8 30 0.1% \$ 94,265 \$ 62 0.1% \$ 24,865 \$ 62 0.2% \$ 23,988 See notes \$ 23,988 See notes \$ 23,986 \$ 61 0.2% \$ 99,139 \$ 192 0.2% \$ 24,883 107 0.4% \$ 90,540 \$ 100% \$ 23,985 \$ 48 0.2% \$ 24,883 107 0.4% \$ 90,540 \$ 100% \$ 23,985 \$ 41% \$ 90,340 \$ 30,20 3.5% \$ 24,776 \$ 20 0.1% \$ 90,340 \$ 30,20 3.5% NA - - Color \$ 23,997 \$ 3.020 3.5% \$ 24,776 \$ 23,929 \$ 33,020 3.5% NA - - NA - - NA - - NA - NA - 23,929 \$ 33,020	2011-12	\$	91,133	\$	507	0.6%	\$	74,473	\$ 483	0.7%	\$	99,729	\$	507	0.5%	\$	25,444	\$	483	1.9%	\$	91,133	\$	507	0.6%	\$	24,121	\$	134	0.6%
2008-09 \$ 90,543 \$ 183 0.2% \$ 73,911 \$ 161 0.2% \$ 99,360 \$ 90,543 \$ 183 0.2% \$ 23,965 \$ 48 0.2% 2007-08 \$ 90,360 \$ 21 0.0% \$ 24,776 \$ 20 0.4% \$ 90,340 \$ 22,365 \$ 48 0.2% 2006-07 \$ 90,340 \$ 3,020 3.5% \$ 73,730 \$ 2.464 3.5% \$ 90,543 \$ 1.4% \$ 90,543 \$ 1.4% \$ 90,543 \$ 1.4% \$ 90,543 \$ 1.4% \$ 90,543 \$ 1.4% \$ 90,543 \$ 1.4% \$ 90,543 \$ 1.4% \$ 90,543 \$ 1.4% \$ 90,543 \$ 1.4% \$ 90,543 \$ 1.4% \$ 90,543 \$ 1.4% \$ 2.63 2.450 2.77 2.66 2.2761 2.333 <t< td=""><td>2010-11</td><td>\$</td><td>90,626</td><td>\$</td><td>(4)</td><td>0.0%</td><td>\$</td><td>73,990</td><td>\$ (4</td><td>) 0.0%</td><td>\$</td><td>99,222</td><td>\$</td><td>(4)</td><td>0.0%</td><td>\$</td><td>24,962</td><td>\$</td><td>(4)</td><td>0.0%</td><td>\$</td><td>90,626</td><td>\$</td><td>1,894</td><td>2.1%</td><td>\$</td><td>23,987</td><td></td><td>See no</td><td>tes</td></t<>	2010-11	\$	90,626	\$	(4)	0.0%	\$	73,990	\$ (4) 0.0%	\$	99,222	\$	(4)	0.0%	\$	24,962	\$	(4)	0.0%	\$	90,626	\$	1,894	2.1%	\$	23,987		See no	tes
2007-08 § 90,360 \$ 21 0.0% \$ 73,750 \$ 20 0.0% \$ 24,776 \$ 20 0.1% \$ 90,360 \$ 21 0.0% \$ 23,070 3.5% \$ 73,700 \$ 21,065 3.307 3.5% \$ 24,4766 \$ 827 3.5% \$ 90,340 \$ 3.020 3.5% N/A - - 2005-06 \$ 3.3474 4.1% \$ 71,266 \$ 23,846 \$ 1,696 \$ 3.473 4.1% N/A - - - N/A - - - N/A - - - N/A - - - N	2009-10	\$	90,630	\$		0.1%	\$	73,993		0.1%	\$	99,226	\$		0.1%	\$	24,965	\$		0.3%	\$	88,732		See no	otes	\$	23,988		See no	otes
2006-07 \$ 90,340 \$ 3,020 3.5% \$ 73,730 \$ 2,464 3.5% \$ 98,926 \$ 3,307 3.5% \$ 24,756 \$ 827 3.5% \$ 90,340 \$ 3,020 3.5% N/A - 2005-06 \$ 87,320 \$ 3,474 4.1% \$ 71,7266 \$ 2,335 4.1% \$ 99,926 \$ 3,804 4.1% \$ 23,229 \$ 953 4.1% \$ 83,846 - - N/A - 2004-05 \$ 83,846 \$ 1,169 1,4% \$ 90,537 \$ 1,790 2.0% \$ 22,643 \$ 458 2.1% See notes N/A - 2001-02 \$ 81,042 \$ 2,205 2.6% \$ 66,313 \$ 1,654 2.6% \$ 83,867 \$ 2,262 \$ 81,042 \$ 2,025 2.6% S enctes N/A -	2008-09	\$	90,543	\$	183		\$		\$ 161	0.2%	\$	99,139	\$	192		\$	24,883	\$	107	0.4%	\$	90,543	\$	183		\$	23,965	\$	48	0.2%
2005-06 \$ 87,320 \$ 3,474 4.1% \$ 71,266 \$ 2,835 4.1% \$ 95,619 \$ 3,804 4.1% \$ 23,929 \$ 953 4.1% \$ 87,320 \$ 3,473 4.1% N/A - - 2004-05 \$ 83,846 \$ 1,169 1.4% \$ 96,813 \$ 1,2% \$ 9,135 \$ 1,790 \$ 2,078 \$ 333 1.5% \$ 83,846 - - N/A - - 2003-04 \$ 82,678 \$ 1,636 2.0% \$ 66,138 \$ 1,370 2.0% \$ 22,643 \$ 456 2.1% See notes N/A - - N/A - - N/A - - N/A - - N/A See notes N/A - - N/A See notes N/A See notes N/A See notes - N/A See notes - - N/A See notes - - - - N/A See notes - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	2007-08	\$,	+			\$				\$		\$			\$, .	\$			\$,	\$		0.0%	\$	23,917		-	-
2004-05 \$ 83,846 \$ 1,169 1.4% \$ 68,431 \$ 956 1.4% \$ 91,815 \$ 1,278 1.4% \$ 22,976 \$ 333 1.5% \$ 83,846 - - N/A - 2003-04 \$ 82,678 \$ 1,636 2.0% \$ 67,474 \$ 1,337 2.0% \$ 90,537 \$ 1,790 2.0% \$ 22,643 \$ 561 2.0% \$ 81,042 \$ 2,025 2.0% \$ 66,138 \$ 1,054 2.0% \$ 81,042 \$ 2,025 2.0% \$ 66,138 \$ 1,054 2.0% \$ 81,042 \$ 2,025 2.0% \$ 20,017 \$ 2,450 3.2% \$ 86,531 \$ 2,664 3.2% \$ 21,652 \$ 83,7 4.0% \$ 79,017 \$ 2,450 3.2% \$ 20,027 \$ 564 2.8% \$ 20,027 \$ 564 2.8% \$ 20,027 \$ 564 2.8% \$ 2,025 2.8% \$ 20,027 \$ 564 3.0% \$ 71,794 \$ 3,561 5.2% \$ 71,794 \$ 3,561 5.2% \$ 71,794 \$ 3,561 5.2% \$ 18,867 \$ 2,292 2.8% \$ 20,277 \$ 8,64 \$ 2,027 \$ 5,61 3.7% \$ 2,027 \$ 5,61 <		\$			- /		\$	-,	1 7 5	3.5%	\$		\$			\$		\$	-		\$,	\$	- /	3.5%				-	-
2003-04 \$ 82,678 \$ 1,636 2.0% \$ 67,474 \$ 1,337 2.0% \$ 1,790 2.0% \$ 22,643 \$ 458 2.1% See notes N/A - - 2002-03 \$ 81,042 \$ 2,025 2.6% \$ 66,138 \$ 1,654 2.6% \$ 88,748 \$ 2,2185 \$ 561 2.6% \$ 81,042 \$ 2,025 2.6% \$ See notes See notes <td>2005-06</td> <td>\$</td> <td>87,320</td> <td>\$</td> <td>3,474</td> <td>4.1%</td> <td>\$</td> <td>71,266</td> <td>\$ 2,835</td> <td>4.1%</td> <td>\$</td> <td>95,619</td> <td>\$</td> <td></td> <td>4.1%</td> <td>\$</td> <td>23,929</td> <td>\$</td> <td></td> <td>4.1%</td> <td>\$</td> <td>87,320</td> <td>\$</td> <td>3,473</td> <td>4.1%</td> <td></td> <td>N/A</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>	2005-06	\$	87,320	\$	3,474	4.1%	\$	71,266	\$ 2,835	4.1%	\$	95,619	\$		4.1%	\$	23,929	\$		4.1%	\$	87,320	\$	3,473	4.1%		N/A		-	-
2002-03 \$ 81,042 \$ 2,025 2.6% \$ 66,138 \$ 1,654 2.6% \$ 88,748 \$ 2,217 2.6% \$ 22,185 \$ 561 2.6% \$ 81,042 \$ 2,025 2.6% See notes 2001-02 \$ 79,017 \$ 2,450 3.2% \$ 64,483 \$ 2,026 3.2% \$ 86,531 \$ 2,664 3.2% \$ 21,624 \$ 337 4.0% \$ 79,017 \$ 2,450 3.2% \$ 20,915 \$ 677 3.3% 2000-01 \$ 76,567 \$ 2,092 2.8% \$ 62,458 \$ 1,706 2.8% \$ 83,867 \$ 2,292 2.8% \$ 20,787 \$ 564 2.8% \$ 76,567 \$ 2,092 2.8% \$ 20,237 \$ 555 5.1 2.8% 1999-09 \$ 71,794 \$ 3,561 5.2% \$ 58,566 \$ 2,912 5.2% \$ 78,644 \$ 3,896 5.2% \$ 19,399 \$ 1,056 5.8% \$ 71,794 \$ 3,561 5.2% \$ 18,931 \$ 985 5.5% 1997-98 \$ 64,807 \$ 3,537 5.8% \$ 52,623 \$ 2,821 5.3% \$ 71,715 \$ 3,872 5.8% \$ 1,066 6.2% \$ 64,80	2004-05	\$	83,846		,		\$		\$ 956		\$	91,815	\$,		\$	22,976	\$		1.5%	\$	83,846		-	-				-	-
2001-02 \$ 79,017 \$ 2,450 3.2% \$ 64,483 \$ 2,026 3.2% \$ 86,531 \$ 2,664 3.2% \$ 21,624 \$ 837 4.0% \$ 79,017 \$ 2,450 3.2% \$ 20,915 \$ 677 3.3% 2000-01 \$ 76,567 \$ 2,092 2.8% \$ 2,0787 \$ 564 2.8% \$ 70,677 \$ 2,092 2.8% \$ 2,0787 \$ 76,567 \$ 2,092 2.8% \$ 2,0787 \$ 76,567 \$ 2,092 2.8% \$ 2,0727 \$ 76,567 \$ 2,092 2.8% \$ 2,0787 \$ 76,567 \$ 2,092 2.8% \$ 77,475 \$ 2,681 3.7% \$ 50,51 2.9% \$ 78,644 \$ 3,896 \$ 71,944 \$ 74,755 \$ 2,681 3.7% \$ 1,9686 \$ 75,57 4,0% \$ 74,748 \$ 3,733 <	2003-04	\$	82,678				\$				\$		\$			\$		\$					See I	notes			N/A		-	-
2000-01 \$ 76,567 \$ 2,092 2.8% \$ 62,458 \$ 1,706 2.8% \$ 83,867 \$ 2,292 2.8% \$ 20,787 \$ 564 2.8% \$ 76,567 \$ 2,092 2.8% \$ 20,237 \$ 551 2.8% 1999-00 \$ 74,475 \$ 2,681 3.7% \$ 60,751 \$ 2,195 3.7% \$ 81,575 \$ 2,931 3.7% \$ 20,222 \$ 823 4.2% \$ 74,475 \$ 2,681 3.7% \$ 19,686 \$ 755 4.0% 1998-99 \$ 71,794 \$ 3,561 5.2% \$ 58,556 \$ 2,912 5.3% \$ 74,748 \$ 3,733 5.3% \$ 10,566 5.8% \$ 71,794 \$ 3,561 5.2% \$ 18,931 \$ 985 5.5% 1997-98 \$ 66,807 \$ 3,537 5.8% \$ 5,644 \$ 2,821 5.3% \$ 74,748 \$ 3,733 5.3% \$ 17,094 \$ 1,000 6.2% \$ 64,807 \$ 3,537 5.8% \$ 1,066 6.3% \$ 1,096 6.3% \$ 1,096 6.3% \$ 1,094 \$ 1,000 6.2% \$ 64,807 \$ 3,537 5.8% \$ 1,688 \$ 954 6.0% \$ 1,060 6.3%	2002-03	\$	81,042	\$ 2	2,025		\$		\$ 1,654	2.6%	\$		\$	2,217		\$	22,185	\$			\$		\$	2,025			-		otes	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	2001-02	\$	- / -				\$			3.2%	\$	86,531	\$			\$		\$			\$		\$	2,450	3.2%	\$		\$		3.3%
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		\$	- /				\$				\$	/	\$	1 -		\$,	\$			\$		\$			\$		\$		
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		\$					\$. ,		\$	- 1	\$			\$		\$			\$		\$			\$		\$		
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		\$, -				\$,			\$,	\$			\$		\$			\$, -	\$.,		\$	- ,	\$		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		\$					\$. ,		\$,	\$			\$,	\$,		\$		\$			\$,	\$		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		\$,		\$. ,		\$,	\$			\$,	\$,		\$		\$			\$,	·		
1993-94 \$ 55,686 \$ 2,131 4.0% \$ 45,377 \$ 1,742 4.0% \$ 61,028 \$ 2,332 4.0% \$ 14,551 \$ 624 4.5% See notes See notes 1992-93 \$ 53,555 \$ 64 0.1% \$ 43,635 \$ 61 0.1% \$ 58,696 \$ 63 0.1% \$ 13,927 \$ 122 0.9% \$ 53,491 \$ - 0.0% \$ 13,790 \$ - 0.0% 1991-92 \$ 53,491 \$ 2,324 4.5% \$ 43,574 \$ 1,888 4.5% \$ 58,693 \$ 2,551 4.5% \$ 13,805 \$ 547 4.1% \$ 53,491 \$ 2,324 4.5% \$ 13,790 \$ 57,3 4.3% 1990-91 \$ 51,167 \$ 2,064 4.2% \$ 41,686 \$ 1,689 4.2% \$ 56,602 \$ 2,258 4.2% \$ 13,258 614 4.9% \$ 51,167 \$ 2,064 4.2% \$ 13,217 \$ 57,2 4.5% 1989-90 \$ 49,103 \$ 2,255 4.8% \$ 53,824 \$ 6,976 14.9% \$ 12,044 \$ 618 51,167 \$ 2,265 5.4% \$ 12,046 \$ 616 5.4% \$ 12,046		\$					\$				\$	- / -	\$			\$		\$			\$		\$	2,410	4.1%	\$		\$	643	4.2%
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		\$,				\$	1	. ,		\$	64,504	\$			\$,	\$			\$			-	-	\$	15,289		-	-
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		\$,		\$. ,		\$	- 1	\$			\$		\$						notes			-		otes	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	1992-93	\$					\$				\$		\$			\$		\$			Ŧ		\$	-		\$			-	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		\$					\$	- / -			\$		\$			\$		\$			\$		\$			\$				
1988-89 \$ 46,848 \$ 6,576 16.3% \$ 38,157 \$ 6,157 19.2% \$ 46,848 \$ 2,286 5.1% \$ 46,848 \$ 2,286 5.1% \$ 46,848 \$ 2,286 5.1% \$ 46,848 \$ 2,286 5.1% \$ 46,848 \$ 2,286 5.1% \$ 46,848 \$ 2,286 5.1% \$ 46,848 \$ 2,286 5.1% \$ 12,026 \$ 644 5.7% \$ 46,848 \$ 2,286 5.1% \$ 12,046 \$ 616 5.4% 1987-88 \$ 40,272 \$ 1,947 5.1% \$ 32,000 \$ 1,552 5.1% \$ 44,562 \$ 2,151 5.1% \$ 11,382 \$ 605 5.6% \$ 44,562 \$ 2,151 5.1% \$ 11,430 \$ 579 5.3% 1986-87 \$ 38,325 \$ 2,555 7.1% \$ 30,448 \$ 2,037 7.2% \$ 42,411 \$ 2,822 7.1% \$ 10,777 \$ 796 8.0% \$ 42,411 \$ 2,822 7.1% \$ 10,777 \$ 796 8.0% \$ 42,411 \$ 2,822 7.1% \$ 10,851 \$ 760 7.5%		\$. , .				\$,	,		\$,	\$,		\$		\$	-		\$	- / -	\$	1.5.5		\$,		-	
1987-88 40,272 1,947 5.1% \$ 32,000 1,552 5.1% \$ 44,562 \$ 2,151 5.1% \$ 11,382 \$ 605 5.6% \$ 44,562 \$ 2,151 5.1% \$ 11,430 \$ 579 5.3% 1986-87 \$ 38,325 \$ 2,555 7.1% \$ 30,448 \$ 2,037 7.2% \$ 42,411 \$ 2,822 7.1% \$ 10,777 \$ 796 8.0% \$ 42,411 \$ 2,822 7.5%		\$					\$. ,		\$,	\$			\$		\$			\$		\$			\$,			
1986-87 \$ 38,325 \$ 2,555 7.1% \$ 30,448 \$ 2,037 7.2% \$ 42,411 \$ 2,822 7.1% \$ 10,777 \$ 796 8.0% \$ 42,411 \$ 2,822 7.1% \$ 10,851 \$ 760 7.5%		\$.,		,		\$,	, .		\$,	\$,		\$,	\$	-		\$		\$			\$,	\$		
		\$					\$				\$		\$			\$		\$			\$		\$			\$		\$		
<u>1985-86 \$ 35,770 - \$ 28,411 - \$ 39,589 - \$ 9,981 - \$ 39,589 - \$ 10,091 -</u>		\$		\$ 2	2,555	7.1%	\$		\$ 2,037	7.2%	\$,	\$	2,822	7.1%	\$	- /	\$	796	8.0%	\$,	\$	2,822	7.1%	\$		\$	760	7.5%
	1985-86	\$	35,770		-	-	\$	28,411	-	-	\$	39,589		-	-	\$	9,981		-	-	\$	39,589		-	-	\$	10,091		-	-

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Off Gulf County: Fiscal Year														t Offic	ials	s Pursu	ıar	nt to th	e Salaı	уF	ormula	a ir	l Chap	ter 145	, F	lorida	Stat	utes	
												Gulf Cou	nty	y: Fiscal	Years 1	198	5-86 to 2	201	8-19											
	Cle	rk of Cir	cuit	Court, F	Property																									
Fiscal	Appraiser & Tax Collector Supervisor of Elections Sheriff County Co														Col	mmissio	ners		School	Sup	erintend	lent		School	Boar	d Meml	oers			
Year	S	alary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	•7	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.
2018-19		100,834	\$	573	0.6%	\$	100,834	\$	573	0.6%	\$	110,232	\$	637	0.6%	\$	28,963	\$	87	0.3%	\$	100,834	\$	573	0.6%	\$	26,689	\$	152	0.6%
2017-18	` \$	100,262	\$	4,071	4.2%	\$	100,262	\$	4,071	4.2%	\$	109,595	\$	4,442	4.2%	\$	28,875	\$	1,236	4.5%	\$	100,262	\$	4,071	4.2%	\$	26,537	\$	1,078	4.2%
2016-17	\$	96,190	\$	77	0.1%	\$	96,190	\$	17,482	22.2%	\$	105,153	\$	91	0.1%	\$	27,640	\$	(22)	-0.1%	\$	96,190	\$	77	0.1%	\$	25,460	\$	20	0.1%
2015-16	\$	96,113	\$	253	0.3%	\$.,	\$	227	0.3%	\$	105,063	\$	263	0.3%	\$,	\$	170	0.6%	\$	96,113	\$	253	0.3%	\$	25,439	\$	67	0.3%
2014-15	\$	95,860	\$	326	0.3%	\$., .	\$	276	0.4%	\$	104,800	\$	350	0.3%	\$	27,491	\$	138	0.5%	\$	95,860	\$	326	0.3%	\$	25,372	\$	86	0.3%
2013-14	\$	95,534	\$	3,464	3.8%	\$	78,206	\$	2,841	3.8%	\$	104,449	\$	3,784	3.8%	\$	27,354	\$	1,017	3.9%	\$	95,534	\$	3,464	3.8%	\$	25,286	\$	917	3.8%
2012-13	\$	92,070	\$	(24)	0.0%	\$	75,364	\$	(23)	0.0%	\$	100,665	\$	(24)	0.0%	\$	26,336	\$	(23)	-0.1%	\$	92,070	\$	(24)	0.0%	\$	24,369	\$	(6)	0.0%
2011-12	\$	92,093	\$	(301)	-0.3%	\$	75,387	\$	(287)	-0.4%	\$	100,689	\$	(301)	-0.3%	\$	26,359	\$	(287)	-1.1%	\$	92,093	\$	(301)	-0.3%	\$	24,375	\$	(80)	-0.3%
2010-11	\$	92,395	\$	(40)	0.0%	\$	75,674	\$	(38)	-0.1%	\$	100,991	\$	(40)	0.0%	\$	26,646	\$	(38)	-0.1%	\$	92,395	\$	1,843	2.0%	\$	24,455		See no	otes
2009-10	\$	92,435	\$	35	0.0%	\$		\$	34	0.0%	\$	101,031	\$	35	0.0%	\$		\$	33	0.1%	\$	90,552		See no		\$	24,466		See no	
2008-09	\$	92,400	\$	200	0.2%	\$	75,679	\$	177	0.2%	\$	100,995	\$	209	0.2%	\$	26,651	\$	123	0.5%	\$	92,400	\$	200	0.2%	\$	24,456	\$	53	0.2%
2007-08	\$	92,200	\$	9	0.0%	\$	75,502	\$	8	0.0%	\$	100,786	\$	9	0.0%	\$	26,528	\$	9	0.0%	\$	92,200	\$	9	0.0%	\$	24,404		-	-
2006-07	\$	92,191	\$	3,179	3.6%	\$	75,494	\$	2,616	3.6%	\$	100,777	\$	3,466	3.6%	\$	- ,	\$	978	3.8%	\$	92,191	\$	3,179	3.6%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$	89,012	\$	3,706	4.3%	\$	72,878	\$	3,057	4.4%	\$	97,311	\$	4,036	4.3%	\$	25,541	\$	1,174	4.8%	\$	89,012	\$	3,706	4.3%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$	85,306	\$	1,291	1.5%	\$	69,821	\$	1,073	1.6%	\$	93,275	\$	1,400	1.5%	\$	24,367	\$	450	1.9%	\$	85,306		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$	84,015	\$	1,719	2.1%	\$	68,748	\$	1,416	2.1%	\$	91,875	\$	1,873	2.1%	\$	23,917	\$	538	2.3%			iee I	notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$	82,296	\$	2,503	3.1%	\$		\$	2,109	3.2%	\$	90,002	\$	2,695	3.1%	\$	23,379	\$	1,016	4.5%	\$	82,296	\$	2,503	3.1%		-	ee n	otes	
2001-02	\$	79,793	\$	1,985	2.6%	\$	65,223	\$	1,583	2.5%	\$	87,307	\$	2,199	2.6%	\$	22,363	\$	359	1.6%	\$	79,793	\$	1,985	2.6%	\$	21,120		525	2.6%
2000-01	\$	77,809	\$	2,166	2.9%	\$	63,640	\$	1,777	2.9%	\$	85,108	\$	2,366	2.9%	\$	22,004	\$	638	3.0%	\$	77,809	\$	2,166	2.9%	\$	20,595	\$	573	2.9%
1999-00	\$	75,642	\$	2,704	3.7%	\$	61,863	\$	2,217	3.7%	\$	82,742	\$	2,954	3.7%	\$	21,366	\$	791	3.8%	\$	75,642	\$	2,704	3.7%	\$		\$	716	3.7%
1998-99	\$	72,938	\$	3,696	5.3%	\$	59,646	\$	3,040	5.4%	\$	79,788	\$	4,030	5.3%	\$.,	\$	1,134	5.8%	\$	72,938	\$	3,696	5.3%	\$	19,305	\$	978	5.3%
1997-98	\$	69,242	\$	3,336	5.1%	\$	56,606	\$	2,737	5.1%	\$	75,758	\$	3,644	5.1%	\$	19,441	\$	979	5.3%	\$	69,242	\$	3,336	5.1%	\$	18,327	\$	883	5.1%
1996-97	\$	65,906	\$	3,557	5.7%	\$	53,869	\$	2,907	5.7%	\$	72,114	\$	3,892	5.7%	\$	18,462	\$	998	5.7%	\$	65,906	\$	3,557	5.7%	\$,	\$	941	5.7%
1995-96	\$	62,349	\$	2,616	4.4%	\$	50,962	\$	2,163	4.4%	\$	68,222	\$	2,845	4.4%	\$	17,464	\$	856	5.2%	\$	62,349	\$	2,616	4.4%	\$	16,503	\$	693	4.4%
1994-95	\$	59,733	\$	3,333	5.9%	\$	48,799	\$	2,742	6.0%	\$	65,377	\$	3,635	5.9%	\$	16,608	\$	1,021	6.6%	\$	59,733		-	-	\$	15,810		-	-
1993-94	\$	56,400	\$	2,141	3.9%	\$	46,057	\$	1,751	4.0%	\$	61,742	\$	2,341	3.9%	\$	15,587	\$	608	4.1%			iee I	notes			-	ee n	otes	
1992-93	\$	54,259	\$	13	0.0%	\$	44,306	\$	13	0.0%	\$	59,401	\$	14	0.0%	\$	1	\$	13	0.1%	\$	54,246	\$	-	0.0%	\$	14,358		-	0.0%
1991-92	\$	54,246	\$	2,195	4.2%	\$	44,293	\$	1,766	4.2%	\$	59,387	\$	2,421	4.2%	\$,	\$	473	3.3%	\$	54,246	\$	2,195	4.2%	\$	14,358		581	4.2%
1990-91	\$	52,051	\$	2,108	4.2%	\$	42,527	\$	1,730	4.2%	\$	56,966	\$	2,301	4.2%	\$,	\$	626	4.5%	\$	52,051	\$	2,108	4.2%	\$	13,777	\$	558	4.2%
1989-90	\$	49,943	\$	2,311	4.9%	\$	40,797	\$	1,893	4.9%	\$	54,665	\$	7,033	14.8%	\$	13,867	\$	668	5.1%	\$	49,943	\$	2,311	4.9%	\$	13,219	\$	611	4.8%
1988-89	\$	47,632	\$	6,645	16.2%	\$	38,904	\$	6,223	19.0%	\$	47,632	\$	2,355	5.2%	\$	13,199	\$	699	5.6%	\$	47,632	\$	2,355	5.2%	\$	12,608	\$	624	5.2%
1987-88	\$	40,987	\$	1,995	5.1%	\$	32,681	\$	1,598	5.1%	\$	45,277	\$	2,199	5.1%	\$	12,500	\$	639	5.4%	\$	45,277	\$	2,199	5.1%	\$	11,984	\$	582	5.1%
1986-87	\$	38,992	\$	2,580	7.1%	\$	31,083	\$	2,061	7.1%	\$	43,078	\$	2,847	7.1%	\$	11,861	\$	803	7.3%	\$	43,078	\$	2,847	7.1%	\$	11,402	\$	753	7.1%
1985-86	\$	36,412		-	-	\$	29,022		-	-	\$	40,231		-	-	\$	11,058		-	-	\$	40,231		-	-	\$	10,649		-	-
														-	-															

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for be 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials Pu Hamilton County: Fiscal Years 1985-																	e Salaı	ъ	ormula	a ir	h Chap	ter 145	, F	lorida	Stat	utes			
											Ha	milton C	ou	nty: Fise	cal Year	's 1	985-86 te	o 2	018-19											
	Cle	erk of Cir	cuit	t Court, F	Property																									
Fiscal	Appraiser & Tax Collector Supervisor of Elections Sheriff County Commissioners																School	Sup	erintend	lent		School	Board	d Memb	oers					
Year		Salary	\$	6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	1	Salary	\$ 0	Chg.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$	100,259	\$	684	0.7%	\$	100,259	\$	684	0.7%	\$	109,656	\$	748	0.7%	\$	28,414	\$	193	0.7%	\$	100,259	\$	684	0.7%	\$	26,537	\$	181	0.7%
2017-18	\$	99,575	\$	3,961	4.1%	\$	99,575	\$	3,961	4.1%	\$	108,908	\$	4,331	4.1%	\$	28,221	\$	1,131	4.2%	\$	99,575	\$	3,961	4.1%	\$	26,356	\$	1,048	4.1%
2016-17	\$	95,613	\$	236	0.2%	\$	95,613	\$	17,606	22.6%	\$	104,576	\$	249	0.2%	\$	27,090	\$	130	0.5%	\$	95,613	\$	236	0.2%	\$	25,307	\$	62	0.2%
2015-16	\$	95,377	\$	53	0.1%	\$	78,007	\$	37	0.0%	\$	104,327	\$	63	0.1%	\$	26,961	\$	(20)	-0.1%	\$	95,377	\$	53	0.1%	\$	25,245	\$	14	0.1%
2014-15	\$	95,324	\$	148	0.2%	\$	77,971	\$	106	0.1%	\$	104,264	\$	172	0.2%	\$	26,981	\$	(32)	-0.1%	\$	95,324	\$	148	0.2%	\$	25,231	\$	39	0.2%
2013-14	\$	95,176	\$	3,443	3.8%	\$	77,865	\$	2,821	3.8%	\$	104,091	\$	3,763	3.8%	\$	27,013	\$	997	3.8%	\$	95,176	\$	3,443	3.8%	\$	25,191	\$	911	3.8%
2012-13	\$	91,733	\$	(18)	0.0%	\$	75,044	\$	(17)	0.0%	\$	100,328	\$	(18)	0.0%	\$	26,015	\$	(17)	-0.1%	\$	91,733	\$	(18)	0.0%	\$	24,280	\$	(5)	0.0%
2011-12	\$	91,750	\$	5	0.0%	\$	75,061	\$	5	0.0%	\$	100,346	\$	5	0.0%	\$	26,032	\$	5	0.0%	\$	91,750	\$	5	0.0%	\$	24,285	\$	1	0.0%
2010-11	\$	91,745	\$	1	0.0%	\$	75,056	\$	1	0.0%	\$	100,341	\$	1	0.0%	\$	26,027	\$	1	0.0%	\$	91,745	\$	1,860	2.1%	\$	24,283		See no	otes
2009-10	\$	91,744	\$	24	0.0%	\$	75,054	\$	23	0.0%	\$	100,340	\$	24	0.0%	\$	26,026	\$	23	0.1%	\$	89,885		See no	otes	\$	24,283		See no	otes
2008-09	\$	91,720	\$	161	0.2%	\$	75,031	\$	140	0.2%	\$	100,315	\$	171	0.2%	\$	26,003	\$	86	0.3%	\$	91,720	\$	161	0.2%	\$	24,277	\$	43	0.2%
2007-08	\$	91,558	\$	64	0.1%	\$	74,891	\$	61	0.1%	\$	100,145	\$	64	0.1%	\$	25,917	\$	62	0.2%	\$	91,558	\$	64	0.1%	\$	24,234		-	- 1
2006-07	\$	91,494	\$	3,063	3.5%	\$	74,830	\$	2,506	3.5%	\$	100,081	\$	3,351	3.5%	\$	25,855	\$	868	3.5%	\$	91,494	\$	3,064	3.5%		N/A		-	- 1
2005-06	\$	88,431	\$	3,600	4.2%	\$	72,324	\$	2,955	4.3%	\$	96,730	\$	3,930	4.2%	\$	24,987	\$	1,073	4.5%	\$	88,431	\$	3,600	4.2%		N/A		-	- 1
2004-05	\$	84,831	\$	1,192	1.4%	\$	69,369	\$	979	1.4%	\$	92,800	\$	1,302	1.4%	\$	23,914	\$	356	1.5%	\$	84,831		-	-		N/A		-	- 1
2003-04	\$	83,639	\$	1,695	2.1%	\$	68,390	\$	1,394	2.1%	\$	91,499	\$	1,849	2.1%	\$	23,559	\$	515	2.2%			iee I	notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$	81,943	\$	2,152	2.7%	\$		\$	1,774	2.7%	\$	89,649	\$	2,343	2.7%	\$	23,043	\$	681	3.0%	\$	81,943	\$	2,152	2.7%		5	See no	otes	
2001-02	\$	79,792	\$	1,991	2.6%	\$	65,222	\$	1,588	2.5%	\$	87,306	\$	2,205	2.6%	\$	22,362	\$	365	1.7%	\$	79,792	\$	1,991	2.6%	\$	21,120	\$	527	2.6%
2000-01	\$	77,801	\$	2,196	2.9%	\$	63,633	\$	1,805	2.9%	\$	85,101	\$	2,396	2.9%	\$	21,997	\$	667	3.1%	\$	77,801	\$	2,196	2.9%	\$	20,593	\$	581	2.9%
1999-00	\$	75,605	\$	2,768	3.8%	\$	61,828	\$	2,278	3.8%	\$	82,705	\$	3,018	3.8%	\$	21,331	\$	852	4.2%	\$	75,605	\$	2,768	3.8%	\$	20,011	\$	733	3.8%
1998-99	\$	72,837	\$	3,623	5.2%	\$	59,550	\$	2,971	5.3%	\$	79,687	\$	3,957	5.2%	\$	20,479	\$	1,064	5.5%	\$	72,837	\$	3,623	5.2%	\$	19,279	\$	959	5.2%
1997-98	\$	69,214	\$	3,491	5.3%	\$	56,579	\$	2,883	5.4%	\$	75,730	\$	3,799	5.3%	\$	19,415	\$	1,127	6.2%	\$	69,214	\$	3,491	5.3%	\$	- ,	\$	924	5.3%
1996-97	\$	65,723	\$	3,670	5.9%	\$	53,696	\$	3,016	6.0%	\$	71,931	\$	4,006	5.9%	\$	18,288	\$	1,106	6.4%	\$	65,723	\$	3,670	5.9%	\$	17,396	\$	972	5.9%
1995-96	\$	62,053	\$	2,487	4.2%	\$	50,680	\$	2,040	4.2%	\$	67,925	\$	2,715	4.2%	\$	17,182	\$	733	4.5%	\$	62,053	\$	2,487	4.2%	\$	16,424	\$	658	4.2%
1994-95	\$	59,566	\$	3,199	5.7%	\$	48,640	\$	2,614	5.7%	\$	65,210	\$	3,501	5.7%	\$	16,449	\$	893	5.7%	\$	59,566		-	-	\$	15,766		-	-
1993-94	\$	56,367	\$	2,220	4.1%	\$	46,026	\$	1,827	4.1%	\$	61,709	\$	2,420	4.1%	\$	15,556	\$	683	4.6%				notes			5	See no	otes	
1992-93	\$	54,147	\$	12	0.0%	\$	44,199	\$	12	0.0%	\$	59,289	\$	13	0.0%	\$	14,873	\$	12	0.1%	\$	54,135	\$	-	0.0%	\$	14,329	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$	54,135	\$	2,487	4.8%	\$	44,187	\$	2,044	4.9%	\$	59,276	\$	2,713	4.8%	\$	14,861	\$	753	5.3%	\$	54,135	\$	2,487	4.8%	\$	14,329	\$	659	4.8%
1990-91	\$	51,648	\$	2,127	4.3%	\$	42,143	\$	1,747	4.3%	\$	56,563	\$	2,320	4.3%	\$,	\$	667	5.0%	\$	51,648	\$	2,127	4.3%	\$	13,670	\$	583	4.5%
1989-90	\$	49,521	\$	2,336	5.0%	\$	40,396	\$	1,918	5.0%	\$	54,243	\$	7,058	15.0%	\$	13,441	\$	772	6.1%	\$	49,521	\$	2,336	5.0%	\$	13,087	\$	684	5.5%
1988-89	\$	47,185	\$	6,576	16.2%	\$	38,478	\$	6,156	19.0%	\$	47,185	\$	2,286	5.1%	\$	12,669	\$	645	5.4%	\$	47,185	\$	2,286	5.1%	\$	12,403	\$	616	5.2%
1987-88	\$	40,609	\$	1,932	5.0%	\$	32,322	\$	1,538	5.0%	\$	44,899	\$	2,135	5.0%	\$	12,024	\$	576	5.0%	\$	44,899	\$	2,135	5.0%	\$	11,787	\$	563	5.0%
1986-87	\$	38,677	\$	2,540	7.0%	\$	30,784	\$	2,023	7.0%	\$	42,764	\$	2,807	7.0%	\$	11,448	\$	767	7.2%	\$	42,764	\$	2,807	7.0%	\$	11,224	\$	744	7.1%
1985-86	\$	36,137		-	-	\$	28,761		-	-	\$	39,957		-	-	\$	10,681		-	-	\$	39,957		-	-	\$	10,480		-	-

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Elected	d Coun	ty	Constitu	utional O	fficers	an	d Scho	ol	Distric	t Offic	ials	s Pursu	ıan	nt to th	e Salar	уF	ormula	a in	Chap	ter 145	, F	lorida	Stat	utes	
								На	ardee Co	unt	ty: Fisc	al Years	; 19	85-86 to	20	18-19											
	Clerk of Cir	cuit Court, I	Property																								
Fiscal																School	Sup	erintend	lent		School	Board	d Memb	bers			
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	;	Salary	\$ 0	Chg.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$ 104,756	\$ 642	0.6%	\$	104,756	\$ 642	0.6%	\$	114,153	\$	706	0.6%	\$	32,698	\$	153	0.5%	\$	104,756	\$	642	0.6%	\$	27,726	\$	170	0.6%
2017-18	\$ 104,115	\$ 4,127	4.1%	\$	104,115	\$ 4,127	4.1%	\$	113,448	\$	4,497	4.1%	\$	32,545	\$	1,288	4.1%	\$	104,115	\$	4,127	4.1%	\$	27,556	\$	1,092	4.1%
2016-17	\$ 99,988	\$ 127	0.1%	\$	99,988	\$ 17,710	21.5%	\$	108,951	\$	140	0.1%	\$	31,257	\$	25	0.1%	\$	99,988	\$	127	0.1%	\$	26,464	\$	33	0.1%
2015-16	\$ 99,861	\$ 121	0.1%	\$	82,278	\$ 101	0.1%	\$	108,811	\$	131	0.1%	\$	31,231	\$	44	0.1%	\$	99,861	\$	121	0.1%	\$	26,431	\$	32	0.1%
2014-15	\$ 99,741	\$ 243	0.2%	\$	82,177	\$ 197	0.2%	\$	108,680	\$	267	0.2%	\$	31,187	\$	59	0.2%	\$	99,741	\$	243	0.2%	\$	26,399	\$	64	0.2%
2013-14	\$ 99,497	\$ 3,604	3.8%	\$	81,980	\$ 2,974	3.8%	\$	108,413	\$	3,923	3.8%	\$	31,128	\$	1,150	3.8%	\$	99,497	\$	3,604	3.8%	\$	26,334	\$	954	3.8%
2012-13	\$ 95,894	\$ (25)	0.0%	\$	79,007	\$ (24)	0.0%	\$	104,489	\$	(25)	0.0%	\$	29,978	\$	(24)	-0.1%	\$	95,894	\$	(25)	0.0%	\$	25,381	\$	(7)	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 95,919	\$ (194)	-0.2%	\$	79,031	\$ (185)	-0.2%	\$	104,515	\$	(194)	-0.2%	\$	30,002	\$	(185)	-0.6%	\$	95,919	\$	(194)	-0.2%	\$	25,387	\$	(51)	-0.2%
2010-11	\$ 96,113		0.1%	\$		\$ 130	0.2%	\$	104,709	\$	137	0.1%	\$	30,187	\$	130	0.4%	\$	96,113	\$	2,180	2.3%	\$	25,439		See no	tes
2009-10	\$ 95,976	\$ 126	0.1%	\$	79,085	\$ 120	0.2%	\$	104,572	\$	126	0.1%	\$	30,057	\$	120	0.4%	\$	93,933		See no	tes	\$	25,402		See no	tes
2008-09	\$ 95,850	\$ 213	0.2%	\$	78,965	\$ 189	0.2%	\$	104,446	\$	222	0.2%	\$	29,937	\$	135	0.5%	\$	95,850	\$	213	0.2%	\$	25,369	\$	56	0.2%
2007-08	\$ 95,638	\$ (48)	-0.1%	\$	78,776	\$ (46)	-0.1%	\$	104,224	\$	(48)	0.0%	\$	29,802	\$	(45)	-0.2%	\$	95,638	\$	(48)	-0.1%	\$	25,313		-	-
2006-07	\$ 95,686	\$ 3,059	3.3%	\$	78,822	\$ 2,501	3.3%	\$	104,272	\$	3,346	3.3%	\$	29,847	\$	863	3.0%	\$	95,686	\$	3,059	3.3%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 92,627	\$ 3,799	4.3%	\$	76,321	\$ 3,145	4.3%	\$	100,926	\$	4,129	4.3%	\$	28,984	\$	1,263	4.6%	\$	92,627	\$	3,799	4.3%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 88,828	\$ 1,207	1.4%	\$	73,176	\$ 993	1.4%	\$	96,797	\$	1,316	1.4%	\$	27,721	\$	370	1.4%	\$	88,828		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 87,621	\$ 1,867	2.2%	\$	72,183	\$ 1,557	2.2%	\$	95,481	\$	2,021	2.2%	\$	27,352	\$	678	2.5%		S	iee r	otes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 85,755	\$ 2,128	2.5%	\$	70,626	\$ 1,752	2.5%	\$	93,461	\$	2,319	2.5%	\$	26,673	\$	659	2.5%	\$	85,755	\$	2,128	2.5%			See no	otes	
2001-02	1	\$ 3,576	4.5%	\$		\$ 3,099	4.7%	\$	91,141	\$	3,791	4.3%	\$		\$	1,875	7.8%	\$	83,627	\$	3,576	4.5%	\$	22,134		946	4.5%
2000-01	\$ 80,051	\$ 2,134	2.7%	\$	65,776	\$ 1,746	2.7%	\$	87,350	\$	2,334	2.7%	\$	24,140	\$	608	2.6%	\$	80,051	\$	2,134	2.7%	\$	21,188	\$	565	2.7%
1999-00	\$ 77,916	\$ 2,835	3.8%	\$	64,029	\$ 2,341	3.8%	\$	85,017	\$	3,085	3.8%	\$	23,532	\$	915	4.0%	\$	77,916	\$	2,835	3.8%	\$,	\$	750	3.8%
1998-99	\$ 75,082	\$ 3,647	5.1%	\$	61,688	\$ 2,994	5.1%	\$	81,932	\$	3,981	5.1%	\$	22,617	\$	1,087	5.0%	\$	75,082	\$	3,647	5.1%	\$	19,872	\$	965	5.1%
1997-98	\$ 71,435	\$ 3,291	4.8%	\$	58,694	\$ 2,693	4.8%	\$	77,951	\$	3,599	4.8%	\$	21,530	\$	937	4.6%	\$	71,435	\$	3,291	4.8%	\$	18,907	\$	871	4.8%
1996-97	\$ 68,144	\$ 3,771	5.9%	\$	56,001	\$ 3,112	5.9%	\$	74,352	\$	4,106	5.8%	\$	20,593	\$	1,201	6.2%	\$	68,144	\$	3,771	5.9%	\$	18,036	\$	998	5.9%
1995-96	\$ 64,373	\$ 2,599	4.2%	\$	52,889	\$ 2,146	4.2%	\$	70,246	\$	2,828	4.2%	\$	19,392	\$	841	4.5%	\$	64,373	\$	2,599	4.2%	\$	17,038	\$	688	4.2%
1994-95	\$ 61,774	\$ 3,499	6.0%	\$	50,743	\$ 2,900	6.1%	\$	67,418	\$	3,801	6.0%	\$	18,551	\$	1,178	6.8%	\$	61,774		-	-	\$	16,350		-	-
1993-94	\$ 58,275	\$ 2,428	4.3%	\$	47,843	\$ 2,025	4.4%	\$	63,617	\$	2,628	4.3%	\$	17,373	\$	881	5.3%		S	iee r	otes			S	See no	otes	
1992-93	\$ 55,847	\$ 60	0.1%	\$	45,818	\$57	0.1%	\$	60,989	\$	60	0.1%	\$	16,492	\$	58	0.4%	\$	55,787	\$	-	0.0%	\$	14,766	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 55,787	\$ 1,868	3.5%	\$	45,761	\$ 1,455	3.3%	\$	60,929	\$	2,095	3.6%	\$	16,434	\$	162	1.0%	\$	55,787	\$	1,868	3.5%	\$	14,766	\$	495	3.5%
1990-91	\$ 53,919	\$ 2,207	4.3%	\$	44,306	\$ 1,824	4.3%	\$	58,834	\$	2,400	4.3%	\$	16,272	\$	720	4.6%	\$	53,919	\$	2,207	4.3%	\$	14,271	\$	584	4.3%
1989-90	\$ 51,712	\$ 2,374	4.8%	\$	42,482	\$ 1,953	4.8%	\$	56,434	\$	7,096	14.4%	\$	15,552	\$	729	4.9%	\$	51,712	\$	2,374	4.8%	\$	13,687	\$	628	4.8%
1988-89	\$ 49,338	\$ 6,705	15.7%	\$	40,529	\$ 6,280	18.3%	\$	49,338	\$	2,415	5.1%	\$	14,823	\$	754	5.4%	\$	49,338	\$	2,415	5.1%	\$	13,059	\$	639	5.1%
1987-88	\$ 42,633	\$ 2,128	5.3%	\$	34,249	\$ 1,725	5.3%	\$	46,923	\$	2,332	5.2%	\$	14,069	\$	767	5.8%	\$	46,923	\$	2,332	5.2%	\$	12,420	\$	618	5.2%
1986-87	\$ 40,505	\$ 2,700	7.1%	\$	32,524	\$ 2,175	7.2%	\$	44,591	\$	2,966	7.1%	\$	13,302	\$	917	7.4%	\$	44,591	\$	2,966	7.1%	\$	11,802	\$	785	7.1%
1985-86	\$ 37,805	-	-	\$	30,349	-	-	\$	41,625		-	-	\$	12,385		-	-	\$	41,625		-	-	\$	11,017		-	-

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for be 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Elected	l Coun	ty	Constitu	utional O	fficers									e Salar	y Formul	a ir	ו Chap	ter 145	i, F	lorida S	Statutes	
								Her	ndry Co	unty: Fisc	al Years	5 19	85-86 to	20	18-19									
	Clerk of Cire	cuit Court, I	Property																					
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervis	sor of Elect	ions			Sheriff			County	Coi	nmissio	ners	Schoo	l Sup	perintend	lent		School	Board Men	ibers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	S	alary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	5	Salary	\$	6 Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$ 108,855	\$ 984	0.9%	\$	108,855	\$ 984	0.9%	\$1	18,252	\$ 1,048	0.9%	\$	36,601	\$	479	1.3%	\$ 108,855	\$	984	0.9%	\$	28,810	\$ 260	0.9%
2017-18	\$ 107,871	\$ 4,370	4.2%	\$	107,871	\$ 4,370	4.2%	\$ 1	117,204	\$ 4,741	4.2%	\$	36,122	\$	1,520	4.4%	\$ 107,871	\$	4,370	4.2%	\$	28,550	\$ 1,157	4.2%
2016-17	\$ 103,501	\$ 222	0.2%	\$	103,501	\$ 17,968	21.0%	\$ 1	112,463	\$ 235	0.2%	\$	34,602	\$	116	0.3%	\$ 103,501	\$	222	0.2%	\$	27,393	\$ 59	0.2%
2015-16	\$ 103,279	\$ 144	0.1%	\$	85,533	\$ 122	0.1%	\$ 1	112,228	\$ 153	0.1%	\$	34,486	\$	66	0.2%	\$ 103,279	\$	144	0.1%	\$	27,335	\$ 38	0.1%
2014-15	\$ 103,135	\$ 171	0.2%	\$	85,410	\$ 128	0.2%	\$ 1	112,075	\$ 195	0.2%	\$	34,420	\$	(10)	0.0%	\$ 103,135	\$	171	0.2%	\$	27,297	\$ 45	0.2%
2013-14	\$ 102,964	\$ 3,443	3.5%	\$	85,282	\$ 2,820	3.4%	\$1	111,880	\$ 3,763	3.5%	\$	34,430	\$	997	3.0%	\$ 102,964	\$	3,443	3.5%	\$	27,252	\$ 911	3.5%
2012-13	\$ 99,522	\$ (75)	-0.1%	\$	82,462	\$ (71)	-0.1%	\$ 1	108,117	\$ (75)	-0.1%	\$	33,434	\$	(71)	-0.2%	\$ 99,522	\$	(75)	-0.1%	\$	26,340	\$ (20)) -0.1%
2011-12	\$ 99,597	\$ (703)	-0.7%	\$	82,533	\$ (669)	-0.8%	\$ 1	108,192	\$ (703)	-0.6%	\$	33,505	\$	(669)	-2.0%	\$ 99,597	\$	(703)	-0.7%	\$	26,360	\$ (186)	-0.7%
2010-11	\$ 100,299	\$ 34	0.0%	\$	83,202	\$ 32	0.0%	\$ 1	108,895	\$ 34	0.0%	\$	34,174	\$	32	0.1%	\$ 100,299	\$	2,534	2.6%	\$	26,546	See n	otes
2009-10	\$ 100,266	\$ 505	0.5%	\$	83,170	\$ 481	0.6%	\$1	108,861	\$ 505	0.5%	\$	34,142	\$	481	1.4%	\$ 97,765		See no	otes	\$	26,537	See n	otes
2008-09	\$ 99,761	\$ 423	0.4%	\$	82,689	\$ 389	0.5%	\$1	108,356	\$ 432	0.4%	\$	33,661	\$	335	1.0%	\$ 99,761	\$	423	0.4%	\$	26,403	\$ 112	0.4%
2007-08	\$ 99,338	\$ 96	0.1%	\$	82,300	\$ 92	0.1%	\$1	107,924	\$ 96	0.1%	\$	33,326	\$	92	0.3%	\$ 99,338	\$	96	0.1%	\$	26,292	-	-
2006-07	\$ 99,242	\$ 3,625	3.8%	\$	82,208	\$ 3,040	3.8%	\$1	107,828	\$ 3,912	3.8%	\$	33,234	\$	1,403	4.4%	\$ 99,242	\$	3,625	3.8%		N/A	-	-
2005-06	\$ 95,617	\$ 4,066	4.4%	\$	79,168	\$ 3,399	4.5%	\$1	103,916	\$ 4,396	4.4%	\$	31,831	\$	1,517	5.0%	\$ 95,617	\$	4,066	4.4%		N/A	-	-
2004-05	\$ 91,551	\$ 1,360	1.5%	\$	75,769	\$ 1,139	1.5%	\$	99,520	\$ 1,470	1.5%	\$	30,314	\$	516	1.7%	\$ 91,551		-	-		N/A	-	-
2003-04	\$ 90,191	\$ 1,725	1.9%	\$	74,630	\$ 1,422	1.9%	\$	98,051	\$ 1,879	2.0%	\$	29,798	\$	543	1.9%		See	notes			N/A	-	- T
2002-03	\$ 88,466	\$ 2,226	2.6%	\$	73,208	\$ 1,845	2.6%	\$	96,172	\$ 2,417	2.6%	\$	29,255	\$	752	2.6%	\$ 88,466	\$	2,226	2.6%		S	ee notes	
2001-02	\$ 86,240	\$ 4,011	4.9%	\$	71,363	\$ 3,512	5.2%	\$	93,754	\$ 4,225	4.7%	\$	28,503	\$	2,289	8.7%	\$ 86,240	\$	4,011	4.9%	\$	22,825	\$ 1,061	4.9%
2000-01	\$ 82,229	\$ 2,299	2.9%	\$	67,850	\$ 1,903	2.9%	\$	89,529	\$ 2,499	2.9%	\$	26,214	\$	765	3.0%	\$ 82,229	\$	2,299	2.9%	\$	21,764	\$ 608	2.9%
1999-00	\$ 79,930	\$ 2,829	3.7%	\$	65,947	\$ 2,336	3.7%	\$	87,030	\$ 3,079	3.7%	\$	25,450	\$	910	3.7%	\$ 79,930	\$	2,829	3.7%	\$	21,155	\$ 749	3.7%
1998-99	\$ 77,101	\$ 3,800	5.2%	\$	63,611	\$ 3,140	5.2%	\$	83,951	\$ 4,134	5.2%	\$	24,540	\$	1,233	5.3%	\$ 77,101	\$	3,800	5.2%	\$	20,407	\$ 1,006	5.2%
1997-98	\$ 73,301	\$ 3,618	5.2%	\$	60,471	\$ 3,004	5.2%	\$	79,817	\$ 3,926	5.2%	\$	23,307	\$	1,248	5.7%	\$ 73,301	\$	3,618	5.2%	\$	19,401	\$ 958	5.2%
1996-97	\$ 69,683	\$ 3,938	6.0%	\$	57,467	\$ 3,270	6.0%	\$	75,891	\$ 4,273	6.0%	\$	22,059	\$	1,360	6.6%	\$ 69,683	\$	3,938	6.0%	\$	18,443	\$ 1,042	6.0%
1995-96	\$ 65,745	\$ 2,696	4.3%	\$	54,197	\$ 2,240	4.3%	\$	71,618	\$ 2,925	4.3%	\$	20,699	\$	933	4.7%	\$ 65,745	\$	2,696	4.3%	\$	17,401	\$ 713	4.3%
1994-95	\$ 63,049	\$ 3,415	5.7%	\$	51,957	\$ 2,820	5.7%	\$	68,693	\$ 3,717	5.7%	\$	19,766	\$	1,099	5.9%	\$ 63,049		-	-	\$	16,688	-	-
1993-94	\$ 59,634	\$ 2,356	4.1%	\$	49,137	\$ 1,957	4.1%	\$	64,976	\$ 2,557	4.1%	\$	18,667	\$	813	4.6%		See	notes			S	ee notes	
1992-93	\$ 57,278	\$ 281	0.5%	\$	47,180	\$ 267	0.6%	\$	62,419	\$ 281	0.5%	\$	17,854	\$	268	1.5%	\$ 56,997	\$	-	0.0%	\$	15,086		0.0%
1991-92	\$ 56,997	\$ 2,443	4.5%	\$	46,913	\$ 2,002	4.5%	\$	62,138	\$ 2,669	4.5%	\$	17,586	\$	710	4.2%	\$ 56,997	\$	2,443	4.5%	\$	15,086	\$ 647	4.5%
1990-91	\$ 54,554	\$ 2,270	4.3%	\$	44,911	\$ 1,885	4.4%	\$	59,469	\$ 2,464	4.3%	\$	16,876	\$	780	4.8%	\$ 54,554	\$	2,270	4.3%	\$	14,439	\$ 601	4.3%
1989-90	\$ 52,284	\$ 2,527	5.1%	\$	43,026	\$ 2,098	5.1%	\$	57,005	\$ 7,248	14.6%	\$	16,096	\$	874	5.7%	\$ 52,284	\$	2,527	5.1%	\$	13,838	\$ 669	5.1%
1988-89	\$ 49,757	\$ 6,852	16.0%	\$	40,928	\$ 6,420	18.6%	\$	49,757	\$ 2,561	5.4%	\$	15,222	\$	894	6.2%	\$ 49,757	\$	2,561	5.4%	\$	13,169	\$ 677	5.4%
1987-88	\$ 42,905	\$ 2,161	5.3%	\$	34,508	\$ 1,757	5.4%	\$	47,196	\$ 2,366	5.3%	\$	14,328	\$	798	5.9%	\$ 47,196	\$	2,366	5.3%	\$	12,492	\$ 626	5.3%
1986-87	\$ 40,744	\$ 2,815	7.4%	\$	32,751	\$ 2,284	7.5%	\$	44,830	\$ 3,082	7.4%	\$	13,530	\$	1,027	8.2%	\$ 44,830	\$	3,082	7.4%	\$	11,866	\$ 816	7.4%
1985-86	\$ 37,929	-	-	\$	30,467	-	-	\$	41,748	-	-	\$	12,503		-	-	\$ 41,748	T	-	-	\$	11,050	-	-
											•													-

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Elected	l Coun	ty	Constitu	utional O	fficers	ar	nd Scho	ol Dist	ict Offic	cial	s Pursu	ıar	nt to th	e Salar	y Formula	a in C	Chap	oter 145	, F	lorida 🤅	Statu	utes	
								Her	rnando C	ounty: F	iscal Yea	ırs 1	985-86 t	to 2	018-19										
	Clerk of Cire	cuit Court, I	Property																						
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector			sor of Elect	ions			Sheriff				Col	mmissio		School	Superi	intend	dent		School	Board	Memb	bers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	1	Salary		6 Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ CI	ng.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ C	hg.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$ 132,901	\$ 1,185	0.9%	\$	132,901	\$ 1,185	0.9%	\$	142,299	\$ 1,24	0.9%	\$	70,187	\$	955	1.4%	\$ 132,901	\$1	,185	0.9%	\$	36,614	\$	338	0.9%
2017-18	\$ 131,716	\$ 5,525	4.4%	\$	131,716	\$ 5,525	4.4%	\$	141,049	\$ 5,89	5 4.4%	\$	69,232	\$	3,261	4.9%	\$ 131,716	\$5	,525	4.4%	\$	36,276	\$ 1	,534	4.4%
2016-17	\$ 126,191	\$ 397	0.3%	\$	126,191	\$ 19,215	18.0%	\$	135,154	\$ 41	0.3%	\$	65,971	\$	456	0.7%	\$ 126,191	\$	397	0.3%	\$	34,742	\$	118	0.3%
2015-16	\$ 125,795	\$ 267	0.2%	\$	106,976	\$ 241	0.2%	\$	134,744	\$ 27	0.2%	\$	65,515	\$	292	0.4%	\$ 125,795	\$	267	0.2%	\$	34,624	\$	79	0.2%
2014-15	\$ 125,527	\$ 418	0.3%	\$	106,736	\$ 364	0.3%	\$	134,467	\$ 44	2 0.3%	\$	65,223	\$	311	0.5%	\$ 125,527	\$	418	0.3%	\$	34,545	\$	118	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 125,109	\$ 4,490	3.7%	\$	106,372	\$ 3,818	3.7%	\$	134,025	\$ 4,81	3.7%	\$	64,912	\$	2,333	3.7%	\$ 125,109	\$4	,490	3.7%	\$	34,426	\$ 1	,236	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 120,619	\$ 32	0.0%	\$	102,555	\$ 31	0.0%	\$	129,215	\$ 3	2 0.0%	\$	62,579	\$	55	0.1%	\$ 120,619	\$	32	0.0%	\$	33,191	\$	10	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 120,587	\$ 831	0.7%	\$	102,524	\$ 791	0.8%	\$	129,183	\$ 83		\$	62,523	\$	1,424	2.3%	\$ 120,587		831	0.7%	\$	33,180	\$	264	0.8%
2010-11	\$ 119,756	\$ 15	0.0%	\$	101,733	\$ 14	0.0%	\$	128,352	\$ 1	5 0.0%	\$	61,100	\$	26	0.0%	\$ 119,756	\$2	,696	2.3%	\$	32,917	:	See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 119,741	\$ 292	0.2%	\$	101,718	\$ 278	0.3%	\$	128,337	\$ 29	2 0.2%	\$	61,074	\$	500	0.8%	\$ 117,060	5	See no	otes	\$	32,912	:	See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 119,449	\$ 688	0.6%	\$	101,440	\$ 642	0.6%	\$	128,045	\$ 69	0.5%	\$	60,573	\$	1,021	1.7%	\$ 119,449	\$	688	0.6%	\$	32,819	\$	213	0.7%
2007-08	\$ 118,761	\$ 667	0.6%	\$	100,798	\$ 635	0.6%	\$	127,347	\$ 66	0.5%	\$	59,552	\$	1,144	2.0%	\$ 118,761	\$	667	0.6%	\$	32,607		-	-
2006-07	\$ 118,094	\$ 4,527	4.0%	\$	100,164	\$ 3,901	4.1%	\$	126,681	\$ 4,81	5 4.0%	\$	58,408	\$	2,945	5.3%	\$ 118,094	\$4	,528	4.0%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 113,567	\$ 4,968	4.6%	\$	96,263	\$ 4,259	4.6%	\$	121,866	\$ 5,29	4.5%	\$	55,463	\$	2,980	5.7%	\$ 113,567	\$4	,968	4.6%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 108,599	\$ 1,900	1.8%	\$	92,004	\$ 1,653	1.8%	\$	116,568	\$ 2,00	1.8%	\$	52,483	\$	1,425	2.8%	\$ 108,599	-		-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 106,699	\$ 2,450	2.3%	\$	90,352	\$ 2,112	2.4%	\$	114,558	\$ 2,60	2.3%	\$	51,058	\$	1,615	3.3%	S	See not	es			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 104,249	\$ 2,776	2.7%	\$	88,239	\$ 2,370	2.8%	\$	111,955	\$ 2,96	3 2.7%	\$	49,443	\$	1,545	3.2%	\$ 104,249	\$2	,776	2.7%		S	iee no	tes	
2001-02	\$ 101,472	\$ 3,208	3.3%	\$	85,870	\$ 2,748	3.3%	\$	108,987	\$ 3,42	3.2%	\$	47,898	\$	1,901	4.1%	\$ 101,472	\$ 3	,208	3.3%	\$	27,755	\$	891	3.3%
2000-01	\$ 98,264	\$ 2,899	3.0%	\$	83,122	\$ 2,475	3.1%	\$	105,564	\$ 3,09	3.0%	\$	45,997	\$	1,621	3.7%	\$ 98,264	\$2	,899	3.0%	\$	26,863	\$	802	3.1%
1999-00	\$ 95,365	\$ 3,607	3.9%	\$	80,647	\$ 3,077	4.0%	\$	102,465	\$ 3,85	3.9%	\$	44,376	\$	1,990	4.7%	\$ 95,365	\$ 3	,607	3.9%	\$	26,062	\$	997	4.0%
1998-99	\$ 91,758	\$ 4,655	5.3%	\$	77,570	\$ 3,954	5.4%	\$	98,608	\$ 4,98	5.3%	\$	42,387	\$	2,372	5.9%	\$ 91,758	\$4	,655	5.3%	\$	25,065	\$ 1	,279	5.4%
1997-98	\$ 87,103	\$ 4,275	5.2%	\$	73,616	\$ 3,630	5.2%	\$	93,619	\$ 4,58	5.1%	\$	40,015	\$	2,162	5.7%	\$ 87,103	\$4	,275	5.2%	\$	23,786	\$ 1	1,175	5.2%
1996-97	\$ 82,828	\$ 4,690	6.0%	\$	69,986	\$ 3,987	6.0%	\$	89,036	\$ 5,02	6.0%	\$	37,853	\$	2,423	6.8%	\$ 82,828	\$4	,690	6.0%	\$	22,611	\$ 1	,290	6.1%
1995-96	\$ 78,138	\$ 3,271	4.4%	\$	65,999	\$ 2,787	4.4%	\$	84,011	\$ 3,50	4.3%	\$	35,430	\$	1,765	5.2%	\$ 78,138	\$ 3	,271	4.4%	\$	21,321	\$	903	4.4%
1994-95	\$ 74,867	\$ 4,243	6.0%	\$	63,212	\$ 3,608	6.1%	\$	80,511	\$ 4,54	6.0%	\$	33,665	\$	2,210	7.0%	\$ 74,867	-		-	\$	20,418		-	-
1993-94	\$ 70,624	\$ 2,890	4.3%	\$	59,604	\$ 2,465	4.3%	\$	75,966	\$ 3,09	4.2%	\$	31,455	\$	1,591	5.3%	5	See not	tes			S	iee no	tes	
1992-93	\$ 67,734	\$ 210	0.3%	\$	57,139	\$ 200	0.4%	\$	72,876	\$ 21	0.3%	\$	29,864	\$	361	1.2%	\$ 67,524	\$	-	0.0%	\$	18,385	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 67,524	\$ 4,442	7.0%	\$	56,939	\$ 3,907	7.4%	\$	72,665	\$ 4,66	6.9%	\$	29,503	\$	2,750	10.3%	\$ 67,524	\$4	,442	7.0%	\$	18,385	\$ 1	,202	7.0%
1990-91	\$ 63,082	\$ 3,132	5.2%	\$	53,032	\$ 2,705	5.4%	\$	67,997	\$ 3,32	5.1%	\$	26,753	\$	1,669	6.7%	\$ 63,082	\$ 3	,132	5.2%	\$	17,183	\$	848	5.2%
1989-90	\$ 59,950	\$ 3,568	6.3%	\$	50,327	\$ 3,090	6.5%	\$	64,671	\$ 8,28	14.7%	\$	25,084	\$	1,943	8.4%	\$ 59,950	\$ 3	,568	6.3%	\$	16,335	\$	965	6.3%
1988-89	\$ 56,382	\$ 7,780	16.0%	\$	47,237	\$ 7,303	18.3%	\$	56,382	\$ 3,49	6.6%	\$	23,141	\$	1,856	8.7%	\$ 56,382	\$ 3	,490	6.6%	\$	15,370	\$	946	6.6%
1987-88	\$ 48,602	\$ 3,033	6.7%	\$	39,934	\$ 2,587	6.9%	\$	52,892	\$ 3,23	6.5%	\$	21,285	\$	1,700	8.7%	\$ 52,892	\$ 3	,236	6.5%	\$	14,424	\$	877	6.5%
1986-87	\$ 45,569	\$ 3,646	8.7%	\$	37,347	\$ 3,076	9.0%	\$	49,656	\$ 3,91		\$	19,585	\$	1,914	10.8%	\$ 49,656		,914	8.6%	\$,	\$ 1	,062	8.5%
1985-86	\$ 41,923	-	-	\$	34,271	-	-	\$	45,742	-	-	\$	17,671	Ĺ	-	-	\$ 45,742	-	,	-	\$	12,485		-	-
	. ,				., .		•		-, -			• •		•			, .=					,			

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for be 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	of Elected	d Coun	ty	Constitu	utional C											e Salaı	ry Formul	a ir	n Chap	ter 145	i, F	lorida	Statutes	
								Highl	lands C	ounty	y: Fis	cal Yea	rs 1	985-86 t	:0 2	018-19									
	Clerk of Cir	cuit Court,	Property																						
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervis	sor of Elec	tions			Sherif	ff			County	Con	nmissio	ners	School	Sup	perintend	lent		School	Board Mer	nbers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	S	alary	\$ CI	hg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	44	6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$ 123,534	\$ 915	0.7%	\$	123,534	\$ 915	0.7%	\$ 1	132,932	\$	979	0.7%	\$	54,129	\$	491	0.9%	\$ 123,534	\$	915	0.7%	\$	33,641	\$ 252	0.8%
2017-18	\$ 122,620	\$ 4,951	4.2%	\$	122,620	\$ 4,951	4.2%	\$ 1	131,953	\$5	,321	4.2%	\$	53,638	\$	2,278	4.4%	\$ 122,620	\$	4,951	4.2%	\$	33,389	\$ 1,352	4.2%
2016-17	\$ 117,669	\$ 308	0.3%	\$	117,669	\$ 18,725	18.9%	\$ 1	126,632	\$	321	0.3%	\$	51,360	\$	267	0.5%	\$ 117,669	\$	308	0.3%	\$	32,037	\$ 87	0.3%
2015-16	\$ 117,361	\$ 325	0.3%	\$	98,944	\$ 295	0.3%	\$ 1	126,310	\$	335	0.3%	\$	51,094	\$	242	0.5%	\$ 117,361	\$	325	0.3%	\$	31,950	\$ 87	0.3%
2014-15	\$ 117,036	\$ 353	0.3%	\$	98,649	\$ 302	0.3%	\$1	125,976	\$	378	0.3%	\$	50,852	\$	173	0.3%	\$ 117,036	\$	353	0.3%	\$	31,863	\$ 96	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 116,683	\$ 4,248	3.8%	\$	98,347	\$ 3,587	3.8%	\$1	125,598	\$ 4	,567	3.8%	\$	50,679	\$	1,877	3.8%	\$ 116,683	\$	4,248	3.8%	\$	31,767	\$ 1,156	3.8%
2012-13	\$ 112,435	\$ (19)	0.0%	\$	94,760	\$ (18)	0.0%	\$ 1	121,031	\$	(19)	0.0%	\$	48,802	\$	(18)	0.0%	\$ 112,435	\$	(19)	0.0%	\$	30,611	\$ (5) 0.0%
2011-12	\$ 112,454	\$ (239)	-0.2%	\$	94,778	\$ (228)	-0.2%	\$ 1	121,050	\$	(239)	-0.2%	\$	48,820	\$	(228)	-0.5%	\$ 112,454	\$	(239)	-0.2%	\$	30,616	\$ (63) -0.2%
2010-11	\$ 112,693	\$ (96)	-0.1%	\$	95,006	\$ (92)	-0.1%	\$ 1	121,289	\$	(96)	-0.1%	\$	49,048	\$	(109)	-0.2%	\$ 112,693	\$	2,504	2.3%	\$	30,679	See r	notes
2009-10	\$ 112,789	\$ 351	0.3%	\$	95,098	\$ 334	0.4%	\$ 1	121,385	\$	351	0.3%	\$	49,156	\$	351	0.7%	\$ 110,190		See no	otes	\$	30,706	See r	notes
2008-09	\$ 112,438	\$ 653	0.6%	\$	94,763	\$ 608	0.6%	\$ 1	121,034	\$	662	0.6%	\$	48,805	\$	558	1.2%	\$ 112,438	\$	653	0.6%	\$	30,612	\$ 174	0.6%
2007-08	\$ 111,785	\$ 827	0.7%	\$	94,155	\$ 788	0.8%	\$ 1	120,372	\$	827	0.7%	\$	48,248	\$	788	1.7%	\$ 111,785	\$	827	0.7%	\$	30,438	-	-
2006-07	\$ 110,958	\$ 4,059	3.8%	\$	93,367	\$ 3,454	3.8%	\$ 1	119,545	\$ 4	,347	3.8%	\$	47,459	\$	1,919	4.2%	\$ 110,958	\$	4,059	3.8%		N/A	-	-
2005-06	\$ 106,899	\$ 4,648	4.5%	\$	89,913	\$ 3,954	4.6%	\$ 1	115,198	\$ 4	,978	4.5%	\$	45,540	\$	2,190	5.1%	\$ 106,899	\$	4,649	4.5%		N/A	-	-
2004-05	\$ 102,251	\$ 1,721	1.7%	\$	85,959	\$ 1,483	1.8%	\$ 1	110,220	\$ 1	,830	1.7%	\$	43,350	\$	899	2.1%	\$ 102,251		-	-		N/A	-	-
2003-04	\$ 100,529	\$ 2,161	2.2%	\$	84,476	\$ 1,838	2.2%	\$ 1	108,389	\$ 2	,315	2.2%	\$	42,452	\$	1,014	2.4%		See	notes			N/A	-	-
2002-03	\$ 98,368	\$ 2,637	2.8%	\$	82,638	\$ 2,237	2.8%	\$ 1	106,074	\$2	,828	2.7%	\$	41,438	\$	1,212	3.0%	\$ 98,368	\$	2,637	2.8%			ee notes	
2001-02	\$ 95,731	\$ 4,096	4.5%	\$	80,402	\$ 3,593	4.7%	\$ 1	103,245	\$4	,310	4.4%	\$	40,226	\$	2,446	6.5%	\$ 95,731	\$	4,096	4.5%	\$	26,082	\$ 1,105	4.4%
2000-01	\$ 91,635	\$ 2,652	3.0%	\$	76,809	\$ 2,240	3.0%	\$	98,935	\$2	,852	3.0%	\$	37,780	\$	1,172	3.2%	\$ 91,635	\$	2,652	3.0%	\$	24,977	\$ 722	3.0%
1999-00	\$ 88,983	\$ 3,323	3.9%	\$	74,569	\$ 2,806	3.9%	\$	96,083	\$ 3	,573	3.9%	\$	36,607	\$	1,470	4.2%	\$ 88,983	\$	3,323	3.9%	\$	24,255	\$ 904	3.9%
1998-99	\$ 85,660	\$ 4,481	5.5%	\$	71,763	\$ 3,789	5.6%	\$	92,510	\$ 4	,816	5.5%	\$	35,138	\$	2,002	6.0%	\$ 85,660	\$	4,481	5.5%	\$	23,351	\$ 1,219	5.5%
1997-98	\$ 81,179	\$ 3,972	5.1%	\$	67,974	\$ 3,342	5.2%	\$	87,694	\$ 4	,280	5.1%	\$	33,136	\$	1,695	5.4%	\$ 81,179	\$	3,972	5.1%	\$	22,132	\$ 1,082	5.1%
1996-97	\$ 77,207	\$ 4,414	6.1%	\$	64,632	\$ 3,723	6.1%	\$	83,414	\$ 4	,748	6.0%	\$	31,441	\$	1,932	6.5%	\$ 77,207	\$	4,414	6.1%	\$	21,050	\$ 1,202	6.1%
1995-96	\$ 72,793	\$ 3,288	4.7%	\$	60,909	\$ 2,804	4.8%	\$	78,666	\$ 3	,517	4.7%	\$	29,509	\$	1,580	5.7%	\$ 72,793	\$	3,288	4.7%	\$	19,848	\$ 893	4.7%
1994-95	\$ 69,505	\$ 3,885	5.9%	\$	58,105	\$ 3,267	6.0%	\$	75,149	\$ 4	,187	5.9%	\$	27,929	\$	1,653	6.3%	\$ 69,505		-	-	\$	18,955	-	-
1993-94	\$ 65,620	\$ 2,702	4.3%	\$	54,838	\$ 2,286	4.3%	\$	70,962	\$ 2	,902	4.3%	\$	26,276	\$	1,213	4.8%		See	notes			S	ee notes	
1992-93	\$ 62,918	\$ 335	0.5%	\$	52,552	\$ 319	0.6%	\$	68,060	\$	336	0.5%	\$	25,063	\$	320	1.3%	\$ 62,583	\$	-	0.0%	\$	17,073	\$ -	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 62,583	\$ 2,660	4.4%	\$	52,233	\$ 2,208	4.4%	\$	67,724	\$ 2	,885	4.4%	\$	24,743	\$	998	4.2%	\$ 62,583	\$	2,660	4.4%	\$	17,073	\$ 726	4.4%
1990-91	\$ 59,923	\$ 2,744	4.8%	\$	50,025	\$ 2,337	4.9%	\$	64,839	\$ 2	,939	4.7%	\$	23,745	\$	1,301	5.8%	\$ 59,923	\$	2,744	4.8%	\$	16,347	\$ 746	4.8%
1989-90	\$ 57,179	\$ 2,984	5.5%	\$	47,688	\$ 2,534	5.6%	\$	61,900	\$ 7	,705	14.2%	\$	22,444	\$	1,386	6.6%	\$ 57,179	\$	2,984	5.5%	\$	15,601	\$ 811	5.5%
1988-89	\$ 54,195	\$ 7,325	15.6%	\$	45,154	\$ 6,870	17.9%	\$	54,195	\$ 3	,034	5.9%	\$	21,058	\$	1,422	7.2%	\$ 54,195	\$	3,034	5.9%	\$	14,790	\$ 824	5.9%
1987-88	\$ 46,870	\$ 2,477	5.6%	\$	38,284	\$ 2,057	5.7%	\$	51,161	\$ 2	,681	5.5%	\$	19,636	\$	1,171	6.3%	\$ 51,161	\$	2,681	5.5%	\$	13,966	\$ 730	5.5%
1986-87	\$ 44,393	\$ 3,150	7.6%	\$	36,227	\$ 2,604	7.7%	\$	48,480	\$ 3	,418	7.6%	\$	18,465	\$	1,442	8.5%	\$ 48,480	\$	3,418	7.6%	\$	13,236	\$ 931	7.6%
1985-86	\$ 41,243	-	-	\$	33,623	-	-	\$	45,062	-		-	\$	17,023		-	-	\$ 45,062		-	-	\$	12,305	-	-

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for be 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Electeo	l Coun	ty	Constitu	utional O												y Formula	a in	Chap	ter 145	, F	lorida	Statut	es	
				_			HI	list	orough	Cou	inty: F	iscal Ye	ars	1985-86	i to	2018-1	9									
	Clerk of Circ									-				. .	_				_							
Fiscal		r & Tax Col				sor of Elect			<u>.</u> .	Sher			_		-	nmissio				erintend			School			
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	•	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		6 Chg.	% Chg.	Salary		Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Ch		% Chg.
2018-19	+,	\$ 1,632	1.0%		170,011	\$ 1,632	1.0%		179,408		1,696	1.0%	\$		\$	688	0.7%	\$ 170,011	\$	1,632	1.0%	\$	44,749			0.7%
2017-18	<i>t</i> ,	\$ 7,143	4.4%	\$		\$ 7,143	4.4%		177,712		7,513	4.4%	\$		\$	3,966	4.1%	\$ 168,379	\$	7,143	4.4%	\$	44,443	\$ 1,7		4.1%
2016-17	\$ 161,235		0.4%	\$. ,	\$ 20,874	14.9%		170,198	\$	657	0.4%	\$	/	\$	143	0.1%	\$ 161,235		644	0.4%	\$	42,681	\$	-	0.1%
2015-16	\$ 160,591		0.4%	\$		\$ 589	0.4%		169,541	\$	622	0.4%	\$,	\$	106	0.1%	\$ 160,591	\$	612	0.4%	\$	42,617	\$		0.1%
2014-15	1	\$ 778	0.5%	\$,	\$ 723	0.5%		168,919	\$	802	0.5%	\$, .	\$	259	0.3%	\$ 159,980	\$	778	0.5%	\$	42,570		-	0.3%
2013-14	÷,=-=	\$ 5,991	3.9%	\$,	\$ 5,268	3.9%		168,118	\$	6,311	3.9%	\$	95,523	\$	3,426	3.7%	\$ 159,202	\$	5,991	3.9%	\$	42,455	\$ 1,5	-	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 153,211		0.1%	\$		\$ 159	0.1%		161,807	\$	159	0.1%	\$		\$	-	0.0%	\$ 153,211	\$	159	0.1%	\$	40,932	\$		0.0%
2011-12	\$ 153,052	\$ 529	0.3%	\$	133,622	\$ 529	0.4%	\$	161,648	\$	529	0.3%	\$	92,097	\$	-	0.0%	\$ 153,052	\$	529	0.3%	\$	40,932	\$	-	0.0%
2010-11	\$ 152,523	\$ (60)	0.0%	\$	133,092	\$ (60)	0.0%	\$	161,118	\$	(60)	0.0%	\$	92,097	\$	-	0.0%	\$ 152,523	\$	3,116	2.1%	\$	40,932	Se	e note	es
2009-10	\$ 152,582	\$ 127	0.1%	\$	133,152	\$ 127	0.1%	\$	161,178	\$	127	0.1%	\$	92,097	\$	1	0.0%	\$ 149,407		See no	otes	\$	40,932	Se	e note	es
2008-09	\$ 152,456	\$ 633	0.4%	\$	133,025	\$ 611	0.5%	\$	161,051	\$	642	0.4%	\$	92,096	\$	101	0.1%	\$ 152,456	\$	633	0.4%	\$	40,932	\$	45	0.1%
2007-08	\$ 151,823	\$ 536	0.4%	\$	132,414	\$ 536	0.4%	\$	160,409	\$	536	0.3%	\$	91,995	\$	(1)	0.0%	\$ 151,823	\$	536	0.4%	\$	40,887	-		-
2006-07	\$ 151,287	\$ 5,425	3.7%	\$	131,878	\$ 4,776	3.8%	\$	159,873	\$	5,712	3.7%	\$	91,996	\$	3,077	3.5%	\$ 151,287	\$	5,425	3.7%		N/A	-		-
2005-06	\$ 145,862	\$ 6,238	4.5%	\$	127,102	\$ 5,492	4.5%	\$	154,161	\$	6,568	4.4%	\$	88,919	\$	3,536	4.1%	\$ 145,862	\$	6,238	4.5%		N/A	-		-
2004-05	\$ 139,624	\$ 2,273	1.7%	\$	121,610	\$ 2,026	1.7%	\$	147,593	\$	2,382	1.6%	\$	85,383	\$	1,171	1.4%	\$ 139,624		-	-		N/A	-		-
2003-04	\$ 137,351	\$ 3,114	2.3%	\$	119,584	\$ 2,765	2.4%	\$	145,211	\$	3,268	2.3%	\$	84,213	\$	1,651	2.0%	95	See r	notes			N/A	-		-
2002-03	\$ 134,238	\$ 3,743	2.9%	\$	116,819	\$ 3,309	2.9%	\$	141,943	\$	3,935	2.9%	\$	82,562	\$	2,072	2.6%	\$ 134,238	\$	3,743	2.9%		S	ee note	s	
2001-02	\$ 130,495	\$ 4,299	3.4%	\$	113,510	\$ 3,787	3.5%	\$	138,009	\$	4,514	3.4%	\$	80,490	\$	2,844	3.7%	\$ 130,495	\$	4,299	3.4%	\$	35,777	\$ 1,*	73	3.4%
2000-01	\$ 126,196	\$ 3,899	3.2%	\$	109,723	\$ 3,427	3.2%	\$	133,495	\$	4,098	3.2%	\$	77,646	\$	2,549	3.4%	\$ 126,196	\$	3,899	3.2%	\$	34,603	\$ 1,0	65	3.2%
1999-00	\$ 122,297	\$ 4,540	3.9%	\$	106.296	\$ 3,965	3.9%	\$	129,397	\$	4,790	3.8%	\$	75,097	\$	2,866	4.0%	\$ 122.297	\$	4,540	3.9%	\$	33,539	\$ 1,2	43	3.8%
1998-99	\$ 117,758	\$ 6,038	5.4%	\$	102,331	\$ 5,271	5.4%	\$	124,608		6,373	5.4%	\$	72,231	\$	3,803	5.6%	\$ 117,758	\$	6,038	5.4%	\$,	\$ 1,6	53	5.4%
1997-98	\$ 111.720	\$ 5.560	5.2%	\$	97.060	\$ 4.853	5.3%	\$	118,235	\$	5,867	5.2%	\$	68,428	\$	3,500	5.4%	\$ 111.720	\$	5,560	5.2%	\$	30,643	\$ 1.5	22	5.2%
1996-97	\$ 106,160	\$ 5,929	5.9%	\$	92,207	\$ 5.167	5.9%	\$	112,368	\$	6,265	5.9%	\$	64,928	\$	3,695	6.0%	\$ 106,160	\$	5,929	5.9%	\$	29,121	\$ 1,6	25	5.9%
1995-96		\$ 4,092	4.3%	\$	87,040	\$ 3,568	4.3%	<u> </u>	106,103		4,320	4.2%	\$	61,233	\$	2,562	4.4%	\$ 100,231	\$	4.092	4.3%	\$	27,496			4.3%
1994-95	. ,	\$ 5.303	5.8%	\$	83.472	\$ 4,619	5.9%		101,783		5,605	5.8%	\$	58.671	\$	3,292	5.9%	\$ 96.139		-	-	\$	26.375	-		-
1993-94	1 ,	\$ 3.548	4.1%	\$	78,853	\$ 3,092	4.1%	\$	96,178		3.749	4.1%	\$	/ -	\$	2,211	4.2%		See r	notes			- /	ee note	s	
1992-93	+ •••,•••	\$ 117	0.1%	\$	75,761	\$ 111	0.1%	\$	92,429	\$	117	0.1%	\$		\$	112	0.2%	\$ 87,171		-	0.0%	\$	23,919			0.0%
1991-92	. ,	\$ 3,755	4.5%	\$	75,650	\$ 3.251	4.5%	\$	92,312		3,981	4.5%	\$	53.056	\$	2,255	4.4%	\$ 87.171	\$	3,755	4.5%	\$	23,919	\$ 1,0		4.5%
1990-91	/	\$ 3,470	4.3%	\$	72,399	\$ 3.028	4.4%	\$	88,331		3,664	4.3%	\$	50.801	\$	2,177	4.5%	\$ 83,416	\$	3,470	4.3%	\$	22,888			4.3%
1989-90	\$ 79.946	\$ 3,906	5.1%	\$	69,371	\$ 3.411	5.2%	\$	84.667		8,627	11.3%	\$	48.624	ŝ	2,468	5.3%	\$ 79,946	\$	3.906	5.1%	\$,	\$ 1.0	-	5.1%
1988-89	\$ 76,040		12.1%	\$	65,960	\$ 7,726	13.3%	\$	76,040		3,933	5.5%	\$		\$	2,485	5.7%	\$ 76,040	\$	3,933	5.5%	\$,	\$ 1,0		5.4%
1987-88		\$ 3,490	5.4%	\$	58.234	\$ 3.023	5.5%	\$	72,107		3,694	5.4%	\$		\$	2,330	5.6%	\$ 72,107	\$	3,694	5.4%	\$,	\$ 1,0		5.4%
1986-87	\$ 64,327	\$ 4,467	7.5%	\$	55,211	\$ 3,857	7.5%	\$	68,413		4,734	7.4%	\$	41,341	ŝ	2,950	7.7%	\$ 68,413	\$	4,734	7.4%	\$	18,780	\$ 1,2		7.4%
1985-86	\$ 59.860	+ +,+07	1.070	\$ \$	51.354	+ 0,007	7.5%	φ \$	63.679	÷	-,,,,,+		\$	38.391	Ψ	2,300	-	\$ 63.679	Ψ		· . . .	\$	17,483	¥ 1,4		
1000-00	φ 00,000	-	-	ιψ	01,004	-	-	Ψ	33,073	I		-	Ψ	30,001	<u> </u>	-	-	÷ 00,079			-	Ψ	.7,403	-		-

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Elected	l Coun	ty	Constitu	utional C	fficers	an	nd Scho	ol	Distric	t Offic	ials	s Pursu	ıar	nt to th	e Salaı	ъ	ormula	a in	Chap	ter 145	, F	lorida	Stat	tutes	
								Ho	olmes Co	ount	ty: Fisc	al Years	s 19	85-86 to	20	18-19											
	Clerk of Circ	cuit Court, I	Property	Ι																							
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervis	sor of Elect	ions			She	eriff			County	Coi	nmissio	ners		School	Sup	erintend	lent		School	Boar	d Meml	oers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	;	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$ 102,213	\$ 771	0.8%	\$	102,213	\$ 771	0.8%	\$	111,611	\$	835	0.8%	\$	30,276	\$	276	0.9%	\$	102,213	\$	771	0.8%	\$	27,054	\$	204	0.8%
2017-18	\$ 101,443	\$ 4,057	4.2%	\$	101,443	\$ 4,057	4.2%	\$	110,776	\$	4,428	4.2%	\$	30,000	\$	1,222	4.2%	\$	101,443	\$	4,057	4.2%	\$	26,850	\$	1,074	4.2%
2016-17	\$ 97,385	\$ 104	0.1%	\$	97,385	\$ 17,564	22.0%	\$	106,348	\$	117	0.1%	\$	28,778	\$	4	0.0%	\$	97,385	\$	104	0.1%	\$	25,776	\$	27	0.1%
2015-16	\$ 97,282	\$ 109	0.1%	\$	79,821	\$ 89	0.1%	\$	106,231	\$	119	0.1%	\$	28,774	\$	33	0.1%	\$	97,282	\$	109	0.1%	\$	25,748	\$	29	0.1%
2014-15	\$ 97,173	\$ 276	0.3%	\$	79,732	\$ 228	0.3%	\$	106,112	\$	300	0.3%	\$	28,742	\$	90	0.3%	\$	97,173	\$	276	0.3%	\$	25,720	\$	73	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 96,897	\$ 3,502	3.7%	\$	79,504	\$ 2,877	3.8%	\$	105,813	\$	3,822	3.7%	\$	28,652	\$	1,053	3.8%	\$	96,897	\$	3,502	3.7%	\$	25,647	\$	927	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 93,395	\$ (8)	0.0%	\$	76,627	\$ (8)	0.0%	\$	101,991	\$	(8)	0.0%	\$	27,599	\$	(8)	0.0%	\$	93,395	\$	(8)	0.0%	\$	24,720	\$	(2)	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 93,403	\$ 23	0.0%	\$	76,635	\$ 21	0.0%	\$	101,999	\$	23	0.0%	\$	27,607	\$	21	0.1%	\$	93,403	\$	23	0.0%	\$	24,722	\$	6	0.0%
2010-11	\$ 93,381	\$ 32	0.0%	\$	76,613	\$ 31	0.0%	\$	101,977	\$	32	0.0%	\$	27,585	\$	31	0.1%	\$	93,381	\$	1,992	2.2%	\$	24,716		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 93,349	\$ 95	0.1%	\$	76,583	\$ 90	0.1%	\$	101,944	\$	95	0.1%	\$	27,554	\$	90	0.3%	\$	91,389		See no	otes	\$	24,707		See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 93,254	\$ 90	0.1%	\$	76,492	\$ 72	0.1%	\$	101,849	\$	100	0.1%	\$	27,464	\$	19	0.1%	\$	93,254	\$	90	0.1%	\$	24,682	\$	24	0.1%
2007-08	\$ 93,163	\$ 110	0.1%	\$	76,420	\$ 105	0.1%	\$	101,750	\$	110	0.1%	\$	27,446	\$	105	0.4%	\$	93,163	\$	110	0.1%	\$	24,658		-	-
2006-07	\$ 93,053	\$ 3,157	3.5%	\$	76,315	\$ 2,595	3.5%	\$	101,640	\$	3,445	3.5%	\$	27,340	\$	957	3.6%	\$	93,053	\$	3,157	3.5%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 89,896	\$ 3,596	4.2%	\$	73,720	\$ 2,952	4.2%	\$	98,195	\$	3,926	4.2%	\$	26,383	\$	1,070	4.2%	\$	89,896	\$	3,596	4.2%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 86,300	\$ 1,251	1.5%	\$	70,768	\$ 1,035	1.5%	\$	94,269	\$	1,361	1.5%	\$	25,313	\$	412	1.7%	\$	86,300		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 85,049	\$ 1,665	2.0%	\$	69,732	\$ 1,365	2.0%	\$	92,908	\$	1,819	2.0%	\$	24,901	\$	486	2.0%		S	iee r	notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 83,383	\$ 2,116	2.6%	\$	68,367	\$ 1,740	2.6%	\$	91,089	\$	2,307	2.6%	\$	24,415	\$	647	2.7%	\$	83,383	\$	2,116	2.6%		5	ee n	otes	
2001-02	\$ 81,268	\$ 2,228	2.8%	\$	66,627	\$ 1,815	2.8%	\$	88,782	\$	2,443	2.8%	\$	23,767	\$	591	2.6%	\$	81,268	\$	2,228	2.8%	\$	21,510	\$	590	2.8%
2000-01	\$ 79,039	\$ 2,415	3.2%	\$	64,812	\$ 2,014	3.2%	\$	86,339	\$	2,614	3.1%	\$	23,176	\$	875	3.9%	\$	79,039	\$	2,415	3.2%	\$	20,920	\$	639	3.2%
1999-00	\$ 76,625	\$ 2,786	3.8%	\$	62,799	\$ 2,295	3.8%	\$	83,725	\$	3,036	3.8%	\$	22,301	\$	869	4.1%	\$	76,625	\$	2,786	3.8%	\$	20,281	\$	737	3.8%
1998-99	\$ 73,839	\$ 3,652	5.2%	\$	60,504	\$ 2,999	5.2%	\$	80,689	\$	3,986	5.2%	\$	21,433	\$	1,092	5.4%	\$	73,839	\$	3,652	5.2%	\$	19,544	\$	967	5.2%
1997-98	\$ 70,187	\$ 3,323	5.0%	\$	57,505	\$ 2,723	5.0%	\$	76,703	\$	3,632	5.0%	\$	20,341	\$	967	5.0%	\$	70,187	\$	3,323	5.0%	\$	18,577	\$	879	5.0%
1996-97	\$ 66,864	\$ 3,709	5.9%	\$	54,782	\$ 3,052	5.9%	\$	73,071	\$	4,043	5.9%	\$	19,374	\$	1,142	6.3%	\$	66,864	\$	3,709	5.9%	\$	17,698	\$	982	5.9%
1995-96	\$ 63,155	\$ 2,588	4.3%	\$	51,730	\$ 2,137	4.3%	\$	69,028	\$	2,817	4.3%	\$	18,232	\$	831	4.8%	\$	63,155	\$	2,588	4.3%	\$	16,716	\$	685	4.3%
1994-95	\$ 60,567	\$ 3,268	5.7%	\$	49,593	\$ 2,680	5.7%	\$	66,211	\$	3,570	5.7%	\$	17,401	\$	957	5.8%	\$	60,567		-	-	\$	16,031		-	-
1993-94	\$ 57,299	\$ 2,187	4.0%	\$	46,913	\$ 1,795	4.0%	\$	62,641	\$	2,387	4.0%	\$	16,444	\$	652	4.1%		S	iee r	notes			5	ee n	otes	
1992-93	\$ 55,112	\$ 42	0.1%	\$	45,118	\$ 41	0.1%	\$	60,254	\$	43	0.1%	\$	15,792	\$	41	0.3%	\$	55,070	\$	-	0.0%	\$	14,576	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 55,070	\$ 2,080	3.9%	\$	45,077	\$ 1,655	3.8%	\$	60,211	\$	2,306	4.0%	\$	15,751	\$	364	2.4%	\$	55,070	\$	2,080	3.9%	\$	14,576	\$	550	3.9%
1990-91	\$ 52,990	\$ 2,215	4.4%	\$	43,422	\$ 1,833	4.4%	\$	57,905	\$	2,409	4.3%	\$	15,387	\$	728	5.0%	\$	52,990	\$	2,215	4.4%	\$	14,026	\$	587	4.4%
1989-90	\$ 50,775	\$ 2,418	5.0%	\$	41,589	\$ 1,995	5.0%	\$	55,496	\$	7,139	14.8%	\$,	\$	770	5.5%	\$	50,775	\$	2,418	5.0%	\$	13,439		640	5.0%
1988-89	\$ 48,357	\$ 6,630	15.9%	\$	39,594	\$ 6,207	18.6%	\$	48,357	\$	2,339	5.1%	\$	13,889	\$	683	5.2%	\$	48,357	\$	2,339	5.1%	\$	12,799	\$	619	5.1%
1987-88		\$ 2,079	5.2%	\$	33,387	\$ 1,679	5.3%	\$	46,018	\$	2,284	5.2%	\$	13,206	\$	720	5.8%	\$	46,018	\$	2,284	5.2%	\$	12,180	\$	604	5.2%
1986-87	\$ 39,648	\$ 2,623	7.1%	\$	31,708	\$ 2,102	7.1%	\$	43,734	\$	2,890	7.1%	\$	12,486	\$	844	7.2%	\$	43,734	\$	2,890	7.1%	\$	11,576	\$	765	7.1%
1985-86	\$ 37,025	-	-	\$	29,606	-	-	\$	40,844		-	-	\$	11,642		-	-	\$	40,844		-	-	\$	10,811		-	-

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for be 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Elected	l Coun	ty	Constitu	utional											y Formula	a in	Chap	ter 145	i, F	lorida	Statute	s
			D				Ir	idian Riv	er C	ounty: F	scal Yea	ars	1985-86	τ0	2018-19	1		_						
	Clerk of Cir				•								•					_						
Fiscal		r & Tax Col				sor of Elec				Sheriff			County				School					School		
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		Chg.	% Chg.	Salary		Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg	J
2018-19	\$ 129,034	\$ 1,179	0.9%	\$,	\$ 1,179	0.9%	\$ 138,4		\$ 1,243	0.9%	\$	63,558	\$	944	1.5%	\$ 129,034		1,179	0.9%	\$	35,387	\$ 3	
2017-18	\$ 127,856		4.4%	\$	1	\$ 5,417	4.4%	\$ 137,18		\$ 5,787	4.4%	\$	- /-	\$	3,076	5.2%	\$ 127,856		5,417	4.4%	\$	35,051	\$ 1,5	
2016-17	\$ 122,439		0.4%		1	\$ 19,085	18.5%	\$ 131,4			0.4%	\$		\$	543	0.9%	\$ 122,439		448	0.4%	\$		\$ 1	
2015-16	, ,	\$ 288	0.2%	\$,	\$ 260	0.3%	\$ 130,94			0.2%	\$,	\$	328	0.6%	\$ 121,991	\$	288	0.2%	\$			36 0.3%
2014-15	\$ 121,703		0.3%	\$		\$ 294	0.3%	\$ 130,64			0.3%	\$		\$	186	0.3%	\$ 121,703	\$	345	0.3%	\$	33,331		95 0.3%
2013-14	\$ 121,358	. ,	3.8%	\$. ,	\$ 3,764	3.8%	\$ 130,2		\$ 4,753	3.8%	\$	58,481	\$	2,236	4.0%	\$ 121,358	\$	4,433	3.8%	\$	33,236	\$ 1,2	
2012-13	\$ 116,925		0.1%	\$		\$ 68	0.1%	\$ 125,52		\$72	0.1%	\$		\$	123	0.2%	\$ 116,925	\$	72	0.1%	\$	32,018		23 0.1%
2011-12	\$ 116,853		-0.3%	\$	98,968	\$ (369) -0.4%	\$ 125,4		\$ (387)	-0.3%	\$		\$	(664)	-1.2%	\$ 116,853	\$	(387)	-0.3%	\$	31,996		23) -0.4%
2010-11	\$ 117,241		0.0%	\$		\$ (3		\$ 125,83		\$ (4)	0.0%	\$, .	\$	(6)	0.0%	\$ 117,241	\$	2,543	2.2%	\$	32,118		e notes
2009-10	\$ 117,244		0.2%	\$		\$ 196	0.2%	\$ 125,84	10	\$ 206	0.2%	\$,	\$	352	0.6%	\$ 114,698		See no		\$	32,120	Se	e notes
2008-09	\$ 117,038		0.5%	\$	99,144	\$ 568	0.6%	\$ 125,63		\$ 620	0.5%	\$	/	\$	889	1.6%	\$ 117,038	\$	611	0.5%	\$	32,054	\$ 1	38 0.6%
2007-08	\$ 116,427	\$ 559	0.5%	\$	98,576	\$ 532	0.5%	\$ 125,0 ⁻	13	\$559	0.4%	\$,	\$	960	1.8%	\$ 116,427	\$	559	0.5%	\$	31,866	-	-
2006-07	\$ 115,868	\$ 4,208	3.8%	\$	98,043	\$ 3,596	3.8%	\$ 124,4	55	\$ 4,496	3.7%	\$	54,592	\$	2,397	4.6%	\$ 115,868	\$	4,208	3.8%		N/A	-	-
2005-06	\$ 111,660	\$ 5,003	4.7%	\$	94,447	\$ 4,292	4.8%	\$ 119,9	59	\$ 5,333	4.7%	\$	52,195	\$	3,041	6.2%	\$ 111,660	\$	5,003	4.7%		N/A	-	-
2004-05	\$ 106,657	\$ 1,759	1.7%	\$	90,155	\$ 1,519	1.7%	\$ 114,6	26	\$ 1,869	1.7%	\$	49,154	\$	1,183	2.5%	\$ 106,657		-	-		N/A	-	-
2003-04	\$ 104,897	\$ 2,290	2.2%	\$	88,636	\$ 1,960	2.3%	\$ 112,7	57	\$ 2,444	2.2%	\$	47,970	\$	1,342	2.9%	S	iee n	otes			N/A	-	-
2002-03	\$ 102,607	\$ 2,812	2.8%	\$	86,675	\$ 2,403	2.9%	\$ 110,3 ⁻	13	\$ 3,003	2.8%	\$	46,628	\$	1,605	3.6%	\$ 102,607	\$	2,812	2.8%		S	ee notes	i
2001-02	\$ 99,795	\$ 3,156	3.3%	\$	84,272	\$ 2,698	3.3%	\$ 107,3)9	\$ 3,371	3.2%	\$	45,023	\$	1,812	4.2%	\$ 99,795	\$	3,156	3.3%	\$	27,222	\$ 8	75 3.3%
2000-01	\$ 96,639	\$ 2,899	3.1%	\$	81,574	\$ 2,475	3.1%	\$ 103,93	39	\$ 3,099	3.1%	\$	43,211	\$	1,621	3.9%	\$ 96,639	\$	2,899	3.1%	\$	26,348	\$ 8	02 3.1%
1999-00	\$ 93,740	\$ 3,480	3.9%	\$	79,099	\$ 2,956	3.9%	\$ 100,84	10	\$ 3,730	3.8%	\$	41,590	\$	1,771	4.4%	\$ 93,740	\$	3,480	3.9%	\$	25,546	\$ 9	56 3.9%
1998-99	\$ 90,260	\$ 4,600	5.4%	\$	76,143	\$ 3,902	5.4%	\$ 97,1 [.]	10	\$ 4,934	5.4%	\$	39,819	\$	2,278	6.1%	\$ 90,260	\$	4,600	5.4%	\$	24,589	\$ 1,2	51 5.4%
1997-98	\$ 85,660	\$ 4,200	5.2%	\$	72,241	\$ 3,558	5.2%	\$ 92,1	76	\$ 4,508	5.1%	\$	37,541	\$	2,033	5.7%	\$ 85,660	\$	4,200	5.2%	\$	23,328	\$ 1,1	51 5.2%
1996-97	\$ 81,460	\$ 4,869	6.4%	\$	68,683	\$ 4,157	6.4%	\$ 87,6	68	\$ 5,204	6.3%	\$	35,508	\$	2,383	7.2%	\$ 81,460	\$	4,869	6.4%	\$	22,177	\$ 1,3	23 6.3%
1995-96	\$ 76,591	\$ 3,287	4.5%	\$	64,526	\$ 2,803	4.5%	\$ 82,40	64	\$ 3,516	4.5%	\$	33,125	\$	1,577	5.0%	\$ 76,591	\$	3,287	4.5%	\$	20,854	\$ 8	4.5%
1994-95	\$ 73,304	\$ 4,169	6.0%	\$	61,723	\$ 3,537	6.1%	\$ 78,94	18	\$ 4,471	6.0%	\$	31,548	\$	1,924	6.5%	\$ 73,304		-	-	\$	19,961	-	-
1993-94	\$ 69,135	\$ 2,851	4.3%	\$	58,186	\$ 2,428	4.4%	\$ 74,4	77	\$ 3,052	4.3%	\$	29,624	\$	1,356	4.8%	S	iee n	otes			S	ee notes	<i>i</i>
1992-93	\$ 66,284	\$ 342	0.5%	\$	55,758	\$ 326	0.6%	\$ 71,42	25	\$ 342	0.5%	\$	28,268	\$	326	1.2%	\$ 65,942	\$	-	0.0%	\$	17,963	\$	- 0.0%
1991-92	\$ 65,942	\$ 2,732	4.3%	\$	55,432	\$ 2,278	4.3%	\$ 71,0	33	\$ 2,958	4.3%	\$	27,942	\$	1,067	4.0%	\$ 65,942	\$	2,732	4.3%	\$	17,963	\$ 7	46 4.3%
1990-91	\$ 63,210	\$ 3,038	5.0%	\$	53,154	\$ 2,615	5.2%	\$ 68,1	25	\$ 3,232	5.0%	\$	26,875	\$	1,580	6.2%	\$ 63,210	\$	3,038	5.0%	\$	17,217	\$ 8	23 5.0%
1989-90		\$ 3,276	5.8%	\$	50,539	\$ 2,813	5.9%	\$ 64,8		\$ 7,997	14.1%	\$	25,295	\$	1,665	7.0%	\$ 60,172	\$	3,276	5.8%	\$	16,394		39 5.7%
1988-89	\$ 56,896	. ,	15.1%	\$,	\$ 7,011	17.2%	\$ 56,8	96	\$ 3,183	5.9%	\$		\$	1,563	7.1%	\$ 56,896	\$	3,183	5.9%	\$	15,505		53 5.9%
1987-88	. ,	\$ 2,787	6.0%	\$,	\$ 2,352	6.1%	\$ 53,7		\$ 2,991	5.9%	\$		\$	1,466	7.1%	\$ 53,713		2,991	5.9%	\$	14,642		2 5.9%
1986-87	\$ 46,636	\$ 3,313	7.6%	\$	38,363	\$ 2,759	7.7%	\$ 50,72		\$ 3,580	7.6%	\$	20,601	\$	1,597	8.4%	\$ 50,722		3,580	7.6%	\$,	\$ 9	
1985-86	\$ 43,323			\$	35.604			\$ 47,14			-	\$	19.004	Ť	-	-	\$ 47.142	-	-	-	\$	12.856	-	-
				. *	,		I	÷,				Ť					÷,. ••				Ŧ	,	L	

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for be 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Elected	l Coun	ty	Constitu	utional O	fficers									e Salar	y Formu	la iı	n Chap	ter 145	i, F	lorida	Statutes	
								Jackso	n Co	unty: Fise	cal Year	s 19	985-86 to	o 20)18-19									
	Clerk of Cir	cuit Court, I	Property																					
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervis	sor of Elect	ions			Sheriff			County	Cor	nmissio	ners	Schoo	I Suj	perintend	lent		School	Board Mer	nbers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salar	У	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$ 109,305	\$ 767	0.7%	\$	109,305	\$ 767	0.7%	\$ 118,	702	\$831	0.7%	\$	40,386	\$	295	0.7%	\$ 109,305	\$	767	0.7%	\$	29,861	\$ 209	0.7%
2017-18	\$ 108,538	\$ 4,274	4.1%	\$	108,538	\$ 4,274	4.1%	\$ 117,	871	\$ 4,645	4.1%	\$	40,091	\$	1,561	4.1%	\$ 108,538	\$	4,274	4.1%	\$	29,651	\$ 1,168	4.1%
2016-17	\$ 104,264	\$ 216	0.2%	\$	104,264	\$ 17,999	20.9%	\$ 113,	227	\$ 230	0.2%	\$	38,530	\$	115	0.3%	\$ 104,264	\$	216	0.2%	\$	28,483	\$ 59	0.2%
2015-16	\$ 104,047	\$ 133	0.1%	\$	86,265	\$ 112	0.1%	\$ 112,	997	\$ 143	0.1%	\$	38,414	\$	59	0.2%	\$ 104,047	\$	133	0.1%	\$	28,425	\$ 36	0.1%
2014-15	\$ 103,915	\$ (2,966)	-2.8%	\$	86,152	\$ (2,860)	-3.2%	\$ 112,	854	\$ (2,942)	-2.5%	\$	38,355	\$	195	0.5%	\$ 103,915	\$	(2,966)	-2.8%	\$	28,389	\$ 101	0.4%
2013-14	\$ 106,881	\$ 3,796	3.7%	\$	89,012	\$ 3,157	3.7%	\$ 115,	797	\$ 4,115	3.7%	\$	38,160	\$	1,333	3.6%	\$ 106,881	\$	3,796	3.7%	\$	28,287	\$ 1,005	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 103,085	\$ 70	0.1%	\$	85,856	\$67	0.1%	\$ 111,	681	\$70	0.1%	\$	36,828	\$	67	0.2%	\$ 103,085	\$	70	0.1%	\$	27,283	\$ 19	0.1%
2011-12	\$ 103,015	\$ 2,462	2.4%	\$	85,789	\$ 2,344	2.8%	\$ 111,	611	\$ 2,462	2.3%	\$	36,761	\$	(726)	-1.9%	\$ 103,015	\$	2,462	2.4%	\$	27,264	\$ (201) -0.7%
2010-11	\$ 100,554	\$ (1)	0.0%	\$	83,445	\$ (0)	0.0%	\$ 109,	149	\$ (1)	0.0%	\$	37,486	\$	(0)	0.0%	\$ 100,554	\$	2,573	2.6%	\$	27,465	See r	notes
2009-10	\$ 100,554		0.6%	\$	83,445	\$ 546	0.7%	\$ 109,	150	\$ 574	0.5%	\$	37,487	\$	546	1.5%	\$ 97,981		See no	tes	\$	27,465	See r	notes
2008-09	\$ 99,980	\$ 154	0.2%	\$	82,899	\$ 133	0.2%	\$ 108,	576	\$ 163	0.2%	\$	36,941	\$	82	0.2%	\$ 99,980	\$	154	0.2%	\$	27,313	\$ 42	0.2%
2007-08	\$ 99,827	\$ (3,058)	-3.0%	\$	82,766	\$ (2,912)	-3.4%	\$ 108,	413	\$ (3,058)	-2.7%	\$	36,858	\$	155	0.4%	\$ 99,827	\$	(3,058)	-3.0%	\$	27,272	-	-
2006-07	\$ 102,885	\$ 3,696	3.7%	\$	85,678	\$ 3,109	3.8%	\$ 111, [,]	471	\$ 3,983	3.7%	\$	36,704	\$	1,471	4.2%	\$ 102,885	\$	3,696	3.7%		N/A	-	-
2005-06	\$ 99,189	\$ 3,909	4.1%	\$	82,569	\$ 3,248	4.1%	\$ 107,	488	\$ 4,238	4.1%	\$	35,233	\$	1,367	4.0%	\$ 99,189	\$	3,908	4.1%		N/A	-	-
2004-05	\$ 95,280	\$ 1,685	1.8%	\$	79,321	\$ 1,448	1.9%	\$ 103,	250	\$ 1,794	1.8%	\$	33,866	\$	825	2.5%	\$ 95,280		-	-		N/A	-	-
2003-04	\$ 93,596	\$ 1,896	2.1%	\$	77,873	\$ 1,585	2.1%	\$ 101,4		\$ 2,050	2.1%	\$	33,042	\$	706	2.2%			notes			N/A	-	-
2002-03	\$ 91,700	1 1 2 2	2.8%	\$		\$ 2,096	2.8%	,		\$ 2,680	2.8%	\$,	\$	1,003	3.2%	\$ 91,700	\$	2,489	2.8%		-	ee notes	
2001-02	\$ 89,211	\$ 1,804	2.1%	\$		\$ 1,410	1.9%		-	\$ 2,018	2.1%	\$	31,333	\$	187	0.6%	\$ 89,211	\$	1,804	2.1%	\$		\$ 478	2.1%
2000-01	\$ 87,407	\$ 2,337	2.7%	\$, -	\$ 1,940	2.7%	\$ 94,	707	\$ 2,537	2.8%	\$	31,146	\$	801	2.6%	\$ 87,407	\$	2,337	2.7%	\$		\$ 619	
1999-00	\$ 85,070	\$ 3,069	3.7%	\$		\$ 2,564	3.8%	\$ 92,	170	\$ 3,319	3.7%	\$	00,010	\$	1,138	3.9%	\$ 85,070	\$	3,069	3.7%	\$	22,515		3.7%
1998-99	\$ 82,002	1 , :	5.4%	\$, .	\$ 3,508	5.4%	,		\$ 4,522	5.4%	\$		\$	1,602	5.8%	\$ 82,002		4,187	5.4%	\$	21,703	. ,	5.4%
1997-98		\$ 4,156	5.6%	\$	64,770	\$ 3,516	5.7%		330	\$ 4,463	5.6%	\$	27,605	\$	1,759	6.8%	\$ 77,815	\$	4,156	5.6%	\$.,	\$ 1,100	5.6%
1996-97	\$ 73,659	\$ 4,228	6.1%	\$	61,254	\$ 3,547	6.1%			\$ 4,563	6.1%	\$	25,846	\$	1,637	6.8%	\$ 73,659	\$	4,228	6.1%	\$.,	\$ 1,119	6.1%
1995-96	, .	\$ 2,927	4.4%	\$	57,707	\$ 2,459	4.5%			\$ 3,156	4.4%	\$	24,209	\$	1,153	5.0%	\$ 69,431	\$	2,927	4.4%	\$	18,376	\$ 775	4.4%
1994-95		\$ 3,918	6.3%	\$	55,248	\$ 3,300	6.4%			\$ 4,220	6.2%	\$	- /	\$	1,578	7.3%	\$ 66,504		-	-	\$	17,601	-	-
1993-94	\$ 62,586	\$ 2,542	4.2%	\$	51,948	\$ 2,133	4.3%	\$ 67,		\$ 2,743	4.2%	\$,	\$	989	4.8%			notes				ee notes	
1992-93	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	\$ 39	0.1%	\$,	\$ 37	0.1%			\$ 39	0.1%	\$		\$	38	0.2%	\$ 60,005		-	0.0%	\$		\$-	0.0%
1991-92		\$ 2,021	3.5%	\$	49,778	\$ 1,600	3.3%	,		\$ 2,247	3.6%	\$	- / -	\$	308	1.5%	\$ 60,005	\$	2,021	3.5%	\$	- /	\$ 535	
1990-91	\$ 57,984	\$ 2,474	4.5%	\$	48,178	\$ 2,079	4.5%			\$ 2,667	4.4%	\$		\$	974	5.1%	\$ 57,984	\$	2,474	4.5%	\$.,	\$ 654	4.5%
1989-90		\$ 2,515	4.7%	\$	46,099	\$ 2,088	4.7%	,		\$ 7,237	13.7%	\$	19,169	\$	864	4.7%	\$ 55,510		2,515	4.7%	\$	14,692		
1988-89	\$ 52,995	. ,	15.5%	\$,	\$ 6,650	17.8%			\$ 2,805	5.6%	\$.,	\$	1,125	6.5%	\$ 52,995		2,805	5.6%	\$	14,026		5.6%
1987-88	\$ 45,900	\$ 2,368	5.4%	\$		\$ 1,954	5.5%			\$ 2,571	5.4%	\$,	\$	994	6.1%	\$ 50,190		2,571	5.4%	\$		\$ 681	5.4%
1986-87	\$ 43,532	\$ 2,986	7.4%	\$	35,407	\$ 2,448	7.4%		•.•	\$ 3,254	7.3%	\$	16,186	\$	1,191	7.9%	\$ 47,619	\$	3,254	7.3%	\$	12,603	\$ 861	7.3%
1985-86	\$ 40,546	-	-	\$	32,959	-		\$ 44,	365	-	-	\$	14,995		-	-	\$ 44,365		-	-	\$	11,742	-	-

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for be 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salari	es o	f Electe	d Coun	ty	Constitu	utional (e Salaı	ъ	ormula	a in	Chap	ter 145	, F	lorida	Statu	tes	
									Jeff	ferson C	ou	nty: Fis	cal Year	's 1	985-86 t	o 2	018-19											
	Clerk	of Circ	cuit Court,	Property																								
Fiscal	Арр	raise	& Tax Co	lector		Supervis	sor of Elec	tions			Sh	eriff			County	Col	mmissio	ners		School	Supe	erintend	lent		School	Board	Memb	bers
Year	Sala	ry	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	~	6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ C	hg.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$ 100	,240	\$ 724	0.7%	\$	100,240	\$ 724	0.7%	\$	109,637	\$	788	0.7%	\$	28,397	\$	232	0.8%	\$	100,240	\$	724	0.7%	\$	26,532	\$	192	0.7%
2017-18	\$ 99	,516	\$ 3,940	4.1%	\$	99,516	\$ 3,940	4.1%	\$	108,849	\$	4,310	4.1%	\$	28,165	\$	1,110	4.1%	\$	99,516	\$	3,940	4.1%	\$	26,340	\$1	,043	4.1%
2016-17	\$ 95	,576	\$ 116	0.1%	\$	95,576	\$ 17,490	22.4%	\$	104,539	\$	130	0.1%	\$	27,055	\$	15	0.1%	\$	95,576	\$	116	0.1%	\$	25,297	\$	31	0.1%
2015-16	\$ 95	,460	\$ 120	0.1%	\$	78,086	\$ 100	0.1%	\$	104,409	\$	130	0.1%	\$	27,039	\$	44	0.2%	\$	95,460	\$	120	0.1%	\$	25,267	\$	32	0.1%
2014-15	\$ 95	,340	\$ 283	0.3%	\$		\$ 235	0.3%	\$	104,279	\$	308	0.3%	\$	26,996	\$	97	0.4%	\$	95,340	\$	283	0.3%	\$	25,235	\$	75	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 95	,056	\$ 3,349	3.7%	\$	77,751	\$ 2,731	3.6%	\$	103,972	\$	3,668	3.7%	\$	26,899	\$	907	3.5%	\$	95,056	\$	3,349	3.7%	\$	25,160	\$	886	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 91	,708	\$ (31)	0.0%	\$	75,020	\$ (29	0.0%	\$	100,303	\$	(31)	0.0%	\$	25,992	\$	(29)	-0.1%	\$	91,708	\$	(31)	0.0%	\$	24,273	\$	(8)	0.0%
2011-12	\$91	,738	\$ 27	0.0%	\$	75,049	\$ 26	0.0%	\$	100,334	\$	27	0.0%	\$	26,021	\$	26	0.1%	\$	91,738	\$	27	0.0%	\$	24,281	\$	7	0.0%
2010-11	\$91	,711	\$ 40	0.0%	\$	75,023	\$ 38	0.1%	\$	100,307	\$	40	0.0%	\$	25,995	\$	38	0.1%	\$	91,711	\$	1,893	2.1%	\$	24,274	u ,	See no	tes
2009-10	\$91	,671	\$ 20	0.0%	\$	74,985	\$ 19	0.0%	\$	100,267	\$	20	0.0%	\$	25,957	\$	18	0.1%	\$	89,819		See no	otes	\$	24,264	u ,	See no	tes
2008-09	\$91	,652	\$ 146	0.2%	\$	74,966	\$ 126	0.2%	\$	100,247	\$	156	0.2%	\$	25,939	\$	72	0.3%	\$	91,652	\$	146	0.2%	\$	24,259	\$	39	0.2%
2007-08		,	\$ 38	0.0%	\$	1-	\$ 36	0.0%		100,092	\$	38	0.0%	\$	25,867	\$	37	0.1%	\$	91,506	\$	38	0.0%	\$	24,220	-		-
2006-07	\$91	,468	\$ 3,112	3.5%	\$	74,805	\$ 2,552	3.5%	\$	100,054	\$	3,399	3.5%	\$	25,830	\$	914	3.7%	\$	91,468	\$	3,112	3.5%		N/A	-		-
2005-06	\$88	,356	\$ 3,666	4.3%	\$	72,253	\$ 3,019	4.4%	\$	96,655	\$	3,996	4.3%	\$	24,916	\$	1,136	4.8%	\$	88,356	\$	3,666	4.3%		N/A	-		-
2004-05	\$84	,690	\$ 1,247	1.5%	\$	69,234	\$ 1,031	1.5%	\$	92,659	\$	1,356	1.5%	\$	23,780	\$	408	1.7%	\$	84,690		-	-		N/A	-		-
2003-04	\$83	,443	\$ 1,698	2.1%	\$	68,203	\$ 1,397	2.1%	\$	91,303	\$	1,853	2.1%	\$	- / -	\$	518	2.3%			iee n	otes			N/A	-		-
2002-03	\$81	,745		2.6%	\$		\$ 1,699	2.6%	\$	89,450	\$	2,264	2.6%	\$,	\$	606	2.7%	\$	81,745		2,072	2.6%			ee no	tes	
2001-02		,672	1 1 2 2 2	2.4%	\$	65,108	\$ 1,462	2.3%	\$	87,186	\$	2,072	2.4%	\$, -	\$	238	1.1%	\$	79,672		1,858	2.4%	\$	21,088		492	2.4%
2000-01	\$77	,814	\$ 2,186	2.9%	\$	63,646	\$ 1,796	2.9%	\$	85,114	\$	2,386	2.9%	\$	22,010	\$	657	3.1%	\$	77,814		2,186	2.9%	\$	20,596	\$	579	2.9%
1999-00		,	\$ 2,720	3.7%	\$		\$ 2,232	3.7%	\$	82,728	\$	2,970	3.7%	\$		\$	806	3.9%	\$	75,628		2,720	3.7%	\$	20,017		720	3.7%
1998-99	\$ 72	,909	\$ 3,626	5.2%	\$	59,618	\$ 2,973	5.2%	\$	79,759	\$	3,960	5.2%	\$		\$	1,067	5.5%	\$	72,909	\$	3,626	5.2%	\$	19,298	\$	960	5.2%
1997-98		,	\$ 3,322	5.0%	\$	56,645	\$ 2,723	5.0%	\$	75,799	\$	3,630	5.0%	\$	19,480	\$	966	5.2%	\$	69,283	\$	3,322	5.0%	\$.,	\$	879	5.0%
1996-97	\$ 65	,961	\$ 3,651	5.9%	\$	53,922	\$ 2,998	5.9%	\$	72,169	\$	3,987	5.8%	\$	18,514	\$	1,087	6.2%	\$	65,961	\$	3,651	5.9%	\$	17,459	\$	967	5.9%
1995-96	\$ 62	,310	\$ 2,451	4.1%	\$	50,924	\$ 2,005	4.1%	\$	68,182	\$	2,679	4.1%	\$	17,427	\$	699	4.2%	\$	62,310	\$	2,451	4.1%	\$	- / -	\$	648	4.1%
1994-95	\$ 59	,859	\$ 3,331	5.9%	\$	48,919	\$ 2,740	5.9%	\$	65,503	\$	3,633	5.9%	\$	16,728	\$	1,019	6.5%	\$	59,859		-	-	\$	15,844	-		-
1993-94	\$ 56	,528	\$ 2,188	4.0%	\$,	\$ 1,796	4.0%	\$	61,870	\$	2,388	4.0%	\$	15,709	\$	652	4.3%			iee n	otes				see no	tes	
1992-93	\$54	,340	\$ 135	0.2%	\$	44,383	\$ 129	0.3%	\$	59,482	\$	135	0.2%	\$	15,057	\$	129	0.9%	\$	54,205	\$	-	0.0%	\$	14,347	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 54	,205	\$ 2,162	4.2%	\$	44,254	\$ 1,734	4.1%	\$	59,347	\$	2,389	4.2%	\$,	\$	443	3.1%	\$	54,205		2,162	4.2%	\$	1.	\$	572	4.2%
1990-91	\$ 52	,043	\$ 2,099	4.2%	\$	42,520	\$ 1,722	4.2%	\$	56,958	\$	2,293	4.2%	\$	14,485	\$	617	4.4%	\$	52,043	\$	2,099	4.2%	\$	13,775	\$	556	4.2%
1989-90	\$ 49	,944	\$ 2,325	4.9%	\$	40,798	\$ 1,906	4.9%	\$	54,665	\$	7,046	14.8%	\$	13,868	\$	682	5.2%	\$	49,944	\$	2,325	4.9%	\$	13,219	\$	615	4.9%
1988-89	\$ 47	,619	\$ 6,614	16.1%	\$	38,892	\$ 6,194	18.9%	\$	47,619	\$	2,324	5.1%	\$	13,186	\$	668	5.3%	\$	47,619	\$	2,324	5.1%	\$	12,604	\$	615	5.1%
1987-88	\$ 41	,005	\$ 1,972	5.1%	\$	32,698	\$ 1,575	5.1%	\$	45,295	\$	2,175	5.0%	\$	12,518	\$	617	5.2%	\$	45,295	\$	2,175	5.0%	\$	11,989	\$	576	5.0%
1986-87	\$ 39	,033	\$ 2,575	7.1%	\$	31,123	\$ 2,057	7.1%	\$	43,120	\$	2,843	7.1%	\$	11,901	\$	799	7.2%	\$	43,120	\$	2,843	7.1%	\$	11,413	\$	752	7.1%
1985-86	\$ 36	,458	-	-	\$	29,066	-	-	\$	40,277		-	-	\$	11,102		-	-	\$	40,277		-	-	\$	10,661	-		-

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for be 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Sal	laries o	of E	lected	Coun	ty (Constitu	utio	onal O	fficers	an	nd Scho	ool	Distric	t Offic	ials	s Pursu	an	t to th	e Salar	ъ	ormula	a ir	n Chap	ter 145	, F	lorida	Stat	utes	
											Laf	ayette C	ou	nty: Fise	cal Year	's 1	985-86 te	o 2	018-19											
	Cle	erk of Cir	cuit	Court, F	Property																									
Fiscal		Appraise	er & '	Tax Coll	ector		Supervi	sor	of Elect	ions			Sh	neriff			County	Cor	nmissio	ners		School	Sup	erintend	lent		School	Boar	d Memt	bers
Year		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	4	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$	98,079	\$	620	0.6%	\$	98,079	\$	620	0.6%	\$	107,477	\$	684	0.6%	\$	25,828	\$	82	0.3%	\$	98,079	\$	620	0.6%	\$	25,535	\$	122	0.5%
2017-18	\$	97,459	\$	3,851	4.1%	\$	97,459	\$	3,851	4.1%	\$	106,792	\$	4,221	4.1%	\$	25,747	\$	994	4.0%	\$	97,459	\$	3,851	4.1%	\$	25,413	\$	993	4.1%
2016-17	\$	93,608	\$	129	0.1%	\$	93,608	\$	17,408	22.8%	\$	102,571	\$	142	0.1%	\$	24,753	\$	16	0.1%	\$	93,608	\$	129	0.1%	\$	24,421	\$	25	0.1%
2015-16	\$	93,479	\$	130	0.1%	\$	76,200	\$	109	0.1%	\$	102,429	\$	140	0.1%	\$	24,737	\$	77	0.3%	\$	93,479	\$	130	0.1%	\$	24,396	\$	55	0.2%
2014-15	\$	93,350	\$	238	0.3%	\$	76,091	\$	192	0.3%	\$	102,289	\$	262	0.3%	\$	24,659	\$	38	0.2%	\$	93,350	\$	238	0.3%	\$	24,341	\$	50	0.2%
2013-14	\$	93,112	\$	3,311	3.7%	\$	75,899	\$	2,695	3.7%	\$	102,028	\$	3,631	3.7%	\$	24,621	\$	828	3.5%	\$	93,112	\$	3,311	3.7%	\$	24,291	\$	841	3.6%
2012-13	\$	89,801	\$	(38)	0.0%	\$	73,204	\$	(36)	0.0%	\$	98,397	\$	(38)	0.0%	\$	23,793	\$	(72)	-0.3%	\$	89,801	\$	(38)	0.0%	\$	23,450	\$	(40)	-0.2%
2011-12	\$	89,839	\$	221	0.2%	\$	73,240	\$	211	0.3%	\$	98,435	\$	221	0.2%	\$	23,865	\$	422	1.8%	\$	89,839	\$	221	0.2%	\$	23,490	\$	234	1.0%
2010-11	\$	89,618	\$	(34)	0.0%	\$	73,029	\$	(32)	0.0%	\$	98,214	\$	(34)	0.0%	\$	23,444	\$	(64)	-0.3%	\$	89,618	\$	1,783	2.0%	\$	23,256		See no	tes
2009-10	\$	89,651	\$	24	0.0%	\$	73,061	\$	23	0.0%	\$	98,247	\$	24	0.0%	\$	23,507	\$	44	0.2%	\$	87,835		See no	otes	\$	23,291		See no	tes
2008-09	\$	89,628	\$	148	0.2%	\$	73,039	\$	128	0.2%	\$	98,223	\$	158	0.2%	\$	23,463	\$	121	0.5%	\$	89,628	\$	148	0.2%	\$	23,267	\$	78	0.3%
2007-08	\$	89,479	\$	28	0.0%	\$	72,911	\$	26	0.0%	\$	98,065	\$	28	0.0%	\$	23,342	\$	54	0.2%	\$	89,479	\$	28	0.0%	\$	23,188		-	-
2006-07	\$	89,452	\$	3,128	3.6%	\$	72,885	\$	2,567	3.6%	\$	98,038	\$	3,414	3.6%	\$	23,288	\$	1,037	4.7%	\$	89,452	\$	3,127	3.6%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$	86,324	\$	3,487	4.2%	\$	70,318	\$	2,848	4.2%	\$	94,624	\$	3,818	4.2%	\$	22,251	\$	989	4.7%	\$	86,324	\$	3,487	4.2%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$	82,837	\$	1,179	1.4%	\$	67,470	\$	966	1.5%	\$	90,806	\$	1,288	1.4%	\$	21,262	\$	375	1.8%	\$	82,837		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$	81,658	\$	1,643	2.1%	\$	66,503	\$	1,344	2.1%	\$	89,518	\$	1,797	2.0%	\$	20,888	\$	491	2.4%		S	See I	notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$	80,015	\$	2,000	2.6%	\$	65,159	\$	1,630	2.6%	\$	87,721	\$	2,191	2.6%	\$	20,397	\$	526	2.6%	\$	80,015	\$	2,000	2.6%		S	ee n	otes	
2001-02	\$	78,015	\$	2,244	3.0%	\$	63,530	\$	1,830	3.0%	\$	85,529	\$	2,458	3.0%	\$	19,871	\$	599	3.1%	\$	78,015	\$	2,244	3.0%	\$	19,984	\$	588	3.0%
2000-01	\$	75,771	\$	2,062	2.8%	\$	61,700	\$	1,678	2.8%	\$	83,071	\$	2,262	2.8%	\$	19,272	\$	508	2.7%	\$	75,771	\$	2,062	2.8%	\$	19,396	\$	520	2.8%
1999-00	\$	73,709	\$	2,595	3.6%	\$	60,022	\$	2,113	3.6%	\$	80,809	\$	2,845	3.6%	\$	18,763	\$	659	3.6%	\$	73,709	\$	2,595	3.6%	\$	18,876	\$	664	3.6%
1998-99	\$	71,114	\$	3,468	5.1%	\$	57,909	\$	2,824	5.1%	\$	77,964	\$	3,802	5.1%	\$	18,105	\$	879	5.1%	\$	71,114	\$	3,468	5.1%	\$	18,212	\$	886	5.1%
1997-98	\$	67,646	\$	3,313	5.1%	\$	55,085	\$	2,713	5.2%	\$	74,162	\$	3,621	5.1%	\$	17,226	\$	1,034	6.4%	\$	67,646	\$	3,313	5.1%	\$	17,326	\$	941	5.7%
1996-97	\$	64,333	\$	3,622	6.0%	\$	52,372	\$	2,970	6.0%	\$	70,541	\$	3,957	5.9%	\$	16,192	\$	1,163	7.7%	\$	64,333	\$	3,622	6.0%	\$	16,385	\$	1,045	6.8%
1995-96	\$	60,711	\$	2,415	4.1%	\$	49,402	\$	1,972	4.2%	\$	66,584	\$	2,644	4.1%	\$	15,029	\$	676	4.7%	\$	60,711	\$	2,415	4.1%	\$	15,340	\$	648	4.4%
1994-95	\$	58,296	\$	3,119	5.7%	\$	47,430	\$	2,538	5.7%	\$	63,940	\$	3,421	5.7%	\$	14,353	\$	772	5.7%	\$	58,296		-	-	\$	14,692		-	-
1993-94	\$	55,177	\$	2,056	3.9%	\$	44,892	\$	1,670	3.9%	\$	60,519	\$	2,256	3.9%	\$	13,581	\$	480	3.7%		S	See I	notes			S	ee n	otes	
1992-93	\$	53,121	\$	18	0.0%	\$	43,222	\$	18	0.0%	\$	58,263	\$	18	0.0%	\$	13,101	\$	35	0.3%	\$	53,103	\$	-	0.0%	\$	13,379	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$	53,103	\$	2,371	4.7%	\$	43,204	\$	1,933	4.7%	\$	58,245	\$	2,598	4.7%	\$	13,066	\$	636	5.1%	\$	53,103	\$	2,371	4.7%	\$	13,379	\$	623	4.9%
1990-91	\$	50,732	\$	2,029	4.2%	\$	41,271	\$	1,655	4.2%	\$	55,647	\$	2,223	4.2%	\$	12,430	\$	548	4.6%	\$	50,732	\$	2,029	4.2%	\$	12,756	\$	534	4.4%
1989-90	\$	48,703	\$	2,245	4.8%	\$	39,616	\$	1,830	4.8%	\$	53,424	\$	6,966	15.0%	\$	11,882	\$	598	5.3%	\$	48,703	\$	2,245	4.8%	\$	12,222	\$	588	5.1%
1988-89	\$	46,458	\$	6,585	16.5%	\$	37,786	\$	6,165	19.5%	\$	46,458	\$	2,294	5.2%	\$	11,284	\$	661	6.2%	\$	46,458	\$	2,294	5.2%	\$	11,634	\$	625	5.7%
1987-88	\$	39,873	\$	1,919	5.1%	\$	31,621	\$	1,526	5.1%	\$	44,164	\$	2,124	5.1%	\$	10,623	\$	553	5.5%	\$	44,164	\$	2,124	5.1%	\$	11,009	\$	550	5.3%
1986-87	\$	37,954	\$	2,504	7.1%	\$	30,095	\$	1,989	7.1%	\$	42,040	\$	2,771	7.1%	\$	10,070	\$	698	7.4%	\$	42,040	\$	2,771	7.1%	\$	10,459	\$	706	7.2%
1985-86	\$	35,450		-	-	\$	28,106		-	-	\$	39,269		-	-	\$	9,372		-	-	\$	39,269		-	-	\$	9,753		-	-

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for be 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Elected	d Coun	ty	Constitu	utional C	Officers									e Salar	y Formul	a ir	ı Chap	ter 145	, F	lorida	Stat	utes	
			_	-				Lak	e Cou	nty: Fisca	al Years	198	5-86 to 2	201	8-19										
	Clerk of Cire													_				_					_		
Fiscal		r & Tax Col				sor of Elect				Sheriff			County	-					perintenc			School			
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Sal		\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ C	Chg.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$ 144,314	\$ 1,527	1.1%	\$	1-	\$ 1,527	1.1%		.,	\$ 1,591	1.0%	\$	- /-	\$	1,081	1.3%	\$ 144,314	\$	1,527	1.1%	\$	39,744	\$	415	1.1%
2017-18	÷;	\$ 6,162	4.5%	\$	1	\$ 6,162	4.5%		-,	\$ 6,532	4.5%	\$	- /	\$	3,711	4.8%	\$ 142,786	\$	6,162	4.5%	\$	39,330		1,692	4.5%
2016-17	+,.=-	\$ 662	0.5%	\$		\$ 19,965	17.1%			\$ 676	0.5%	\$	1	\$	553	0.7%	\$ 136,625	\$	662	0.5%	\$	37,638		177	0.5%
2015-16	\$ 135,962		0.4%		116,660	\$ 539	0.5%		4,912		0.4%	\$,	\$	496	0.6%	\$ 135,962	\$	581	0.4%	\$	37,461		155	0.4%
2014-15	,	\$ 610	0.5%		116,121	\$ 546	0.5%		4,321		0.4%	\$.,	\$	440	0.6%	\$ 135,381	\$	610	0.5%	\$	37,305		165	0.4%
2013-14	,	\$ 4,925	3.8%		115,575	\$ 4,232	3.8%	\$ 14	3,687	\$ 5,244	3.8%	\$.,	\$	2,827	3.8%	\$ 134,771	\$	4,925	3.8%	\$	37,140	\$	1,356	3.8%
2012-13	\$ 129,847		0.1%		111,343	\$ 74	0.1%		- /	\$ 78	0.1%	\$	- / -	\$	74	0.1%	\$ 129,847	\$	78	0.1%	\$	35,784	\$	21	0.1%
2011-12	\$ 129,769		0.3%		111,268	\$ 311	0.3%		-,	\$ 326	0.2%	\$		\$	311	0.4%	\$ 129,769	\$	326	0.3%	\$	35,763		86	0.2%
2010-11	\$ 129,442	\$ 233	0.2%	\$	110,958	\$ 222	0.2%	\$ 13	8,038	\$ 233	0.2%	\$	73,186	\$	222	0.3%	\$ 129,442	\$	2,937	2.3%	\$	35,677		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 129,209	\$ 122	0.1%	\$	110,736	\$ 116	0.1%	\$ 13	7,805	\$ 122	0.1%	\$	72,964	\$	116	0.2%	\$ 126,506		See no	otes	\$	35,615		See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 129,087	\$ 768	0.6%	\$	110,620	\$ 717	0.7%	\$ 13	7,683	\$ 777	0.6%	\$	72,848	\$	676	0.9%	\$ 129,087	\$	768	0.6%	\$	35,583	\$	205	0.6%
2007-08	\$ 128,320	\$ 885	0.7%	\$	109,902	\$ 843	0.8%	\$ 13	6,906	\$ 885	0.7%	\$	72,172	\$	843	1.2%	\$ 128,320	\$	885	0.7%	\$	35,378		-	-
2006-07	\$ 127,435	\$ 4,955	4.0%	\$	109,059	\$ 4,307	4.1%	\$ 13	6,021	\$ 5,242	4.0%	\$	71,329	\$	3,046	4.5%	\$ 127,435	\$	4,955	4.0%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 122,480	\$ 5,538	4.7%	\$	104,752	\$ 4,801	4.8%	\$ 13	0,779	\$ 5,868	4.7%	\$	68,283	\$	3,351	5.2%	\$ 122,480	\$	5,538	4.7%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 116,942	\$ 2,172	1.9%	\$	99,951	\$ 1,912	1.9%	\$ 12	4,911	\$ 2,281	1.9%	\$	64,932	\$	1,432	2.3%	\$ 116,942		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 114,771	\$ 2,871	2.6%	\$	98,039	\$ 2,513	2.6%	\$ 12	2,630	\$ 3,025	2.5%	\$	63,501	\$	1,836	3.0%		See	notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 111,900	\$ 3,335	3.1%	\$	95,526	\$ 2,901	3.1%	\$ 11	9,606	\$ 3,526	3.0%	\$	61,664	\$	2,059	3.5%	\$ 111,900	\$	3,335	3.1%		S	See no	otes	
2001-02	\$ 108,565	\$ 3,464	3.3%	\$	92,625	\$ 2,992	3.3%	\$ 11	6,079	\$ 3,679	3.3%	\$	59,605	\$	2,049	3.6%	\$ 108,565	\$	3,464	3.3%	\$	29,974	\$	952	3.3%
2000-01	\$ 105,101	\$ 3,429	3.4%	\$	89,633	\$ 2,979	3.4%	\$ 11	2,401	\$ 3,628	3.3%	\$	57,556	\$	2,368	4.3%	\$ 105,101	\$	3,429	3.4%	\$	29,022	\$	959	3.4%
1999-00	\$ 101,672	\$ 4,243	4.4%	\$	86,654	\$ 3,683	4.4%	\$ 10	8,772	\$ 4,493	4.3%	\$	55,189	\$	3,080	5.9%	\$ 101,672	\$	4,243	4.4%	\$	28,063	\$	1,199	4.5%
1998-99	\$ 97,429	\$ 5,245	5.7%	\$	82,971	\$ 4,517	5.8%	\$ 10	4,279	\$ 5,580	5.7%	\$	52,109	\$	3,384	6.9%	\$ 97,429	\$	5,245	5.7%	\$	26,864	\$	1,466	5.8%
1997-98	\$ 92,184	\$ 4,775	5.5%	\$	78,454	\$ 4,105	5.5%	\$ 9	8,699	\$ 5,082	5.4%	\$	48,725	\$	3,019	6.6%	\$ 92,184	\$	4,775	5.5%	\$	25,398	\$	1,333	5.5%
1996-97	\$ 87,409	\$ 5,138	6.2%	\$	74,349	\$ 4,414	6.3%	\$ 9	3,617	\$ 5,473	6.2%	\$	45,706	\$	3,191	7.5%	\$ 87,409	\$	5,138	6.2%	\$	24,065	\$	1,433	6.3%
1995-96	\$ 82,271	\$ 3,491	4.4%	\$	69,935	\$ 2,996	4.5%	\$ 8	8,144	\$ 3,720	4.4%	\$	42,515	\$	2,141	5.3%	\$ 82,271	\$	3,491	4.4%	\$	22,632	\$	972	4.5%
1994-95	, ,	\$ 4,519	6.1%	\$	66,939	\$ 3,871	6.1%		<i>.</i>	\$ 4,821	6.1%	\$,	\$	2,685	7.1%	\$ 78,780	1	-	-	\$	21,660		-	-
1993-94	\$ 74,261	\$ 3,142	4.4%	\$	63,068	\$ 2,705	4.5%	\$ 7	9,603	\$ 3,342	4.4%	\$	37,689	\$	2,022	5.7%		See	notes			Ś	See no	otes	
1992-93		\$ 318	0.4%	\$	60,363	\$ 303	0.5%			\$ 319	0.4%	\$	35,667	\$	546	1.6%		\$	-	0.0%	\$	19,425	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	, ,	\$ 3,473	5.2%	\$	60,060	\$ 2,983	5.2%		<i>.</i>	\$ 3,699	5.1%	\$	35,121	\$	2,155	6.5%	\$ 70,801	\$	3,473	5.2%	\$	19,425	\$	968	5.2%
1990-91	\$ 67,328	\$ 2,980	4.6%	\$	57,077	\$ 2,558	4.7%			\$ 3,174	4.6%	\$,	\$	1,860	6.0%	\$ 67,328	\$	2,980	4.6%	\$	18,457		831	4.7%
1989-90	\$ 64,348	\$ 3,131	5.1%	\$	54,519	\$ 2,677	5.2%			\$ 7,852	12.8%	\$	31,106	\$	1,767	6.0%	\$ 64,348	\$	3,131	5.1%	\$	17,626		867	5.2%
1988-89	\$ 61,217		14.2%	\$	51,842	\$ 7,142	16.0%	\$ 6	1,217	\$ 3,320	5.7%	\$,	\$	2,058	7.5%	\$ 61,217	\$	3,320	5.7%	\$	16,759		925	5.8%
1987-88	\$ 53,607		5.6%	\$	44.700	\$ 2,405	5.7%			\$ 3,047	5.6%	\$,	\$	1,804	7.1%	\$ 57,897	\$	3,047	5.6%	\$	15.834		846	5.6%
1986-87	\$ 50,764	\$ 3,531	7.5%	\$	42,295	\$ 2,967	7.5%			\$ 3,797	7.4%	ŝ	25,477	\$	2,025	8.6%	\$ 54,850	\$	3,797	7.4%	\$	14,988		1,047	7.5%
1985-86	\$ 47,233	,		\$	39.328		-		1.053			\$	23,452	Ŧ	-	-	\$ 51.053	Ť	-	-	\$	13.941	Ť	-	-
	÷ -1,200		ł	Ψ	00,020	1	t	ΨJ	.,000		ł	I ¥	20,402	L		h	+ 01,000	I			Ψ	. 0,041	L		

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for be 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Elected	d Coun	ty	Constitu	utional O	fficers									e Salar	y Formul	a ir	n Chap	ter 145	, F	lorida	Statut) S	
								Lee Cou	nty:	: Fiscal	Years 1	985	-86 to 2	018	3-19										
	Clerk of Cir	cuit Court,	Property																						
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector			sor of Elect				eriff				Cor	nmissio	ners			perintenc	lent		School	Board N	embers	
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	6 Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	44	GChg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Cho	. % Ch	ng.
2018-19	\$ 156,138	\$ 1,485	1.0%	\$	156,138	\$ 1,485	1.0%	\$ 165,535	\$	1,549	0.9%	\$	93,938	\$	1,040	1.1%	\$ 156,138	\$	1,485	1.0%	\$	42,873	\$ 4	04 1.0%	%
2017-18	\$ 154,653	\$ 6,463	4.4%	\$	154,653	\$ 6,463	4.4%	\$ 163,986	\$	6,833	4.3%	\$	92,898	\$	3,998	4.5%	\$ 154,653	\$	6,463	4.4%	\$	42,470	\$ 1,7	72 4.4%	%
2016-17	\$ 148,190	\$ 497	0.3%	\$	148,190	\$ 20,358	15.9%	\$ 157,153	\$	511	0.3%	\$	88,900	\$	396	0.4%	\$ 148,190	\$	497	0.3%	\$	40,698	\$ 1	34 0.3%	%
2015-16	\$ 147,692	\$ 390	0.3%	\$	127,831	\$ 357	0.3%	\$ 156,642	\$	400	0.3%	\$	88,504	\$	313	0.4%	\$ 147,692	\$	390	0.3%	\$	40,564	\$ 1	05 0.3%	%
2014-15	\$ 147,303	\$ 518	0.4%	\$	127,474	\$ 458	0.4%	\$ 156,242	\$	542	0.3%	\$	88,191	\$	352	0.4%	\$ 147,303	\$	518	0.4%	\$	40,459	\$ 1	41 0.3%	%
2013-14	\$ 146,785	\$ 5,538	3.9%	\$	127,016	\$ 4,816	3.9%	\$ 155,700	\$	5,858	3.9%	\$	87,839	\$	3,411	4.0%	\$ 146,785	\$	5,538	3.9%	\$	40,318	\$ 1,5	18 3.9%	%
2012-13	\$ 141,247	\$ 141	0.1%	\$	122,200	\$ 134	0.1%	\$ 149,843	\$	141	0.1%	\$	84,428	\$	134	0.2%	\$ 141,247	\$	141	0.1%	\$	38,800	\$	37 0.1%	%
2011-12	\$ 141,106	\$ 78	0.1%	\$	122,066	\$ 74	0.1%	\$ 149,702	\$	78	0.1%	\$	84,294	\$	74	0.1%	\$ 141,106	\$	78	0.1%	\$	38,763	\$	21 0.1%	%
2010-11	\$ 141,028	\$ (185)	-0.1%	\$	121,992	\$ (176)	-0.1%	\$ 149,624	\$	(185)	-0.1%	\$	84,220	\$	(176)	-0.2%	\$ 141,028	\$	2,808	2.0%	\$	38,742	Se	e notes	
2009-10	\$ 141,213	\$ 172	0.1%	\$	122,168	\$ 164	0.1%	\$ 149,809	\$	172	0.1%	\$	84,396	\$	164	0.2%	\$ 138,220		See no	otes	\$	38,791	Se	e notes	
2008-09	\$ 141,041	\$ 802	0.6%	\$	122,004	\$ 750	0.6%	\$ 149,636	\$	811	0.5%	\$	84,232	\$	709	0.8%	\$ 141,041	\$	802	0.6%	\$	38,745	\$ 2	14 0.6%	%
2007-08	\$ 140,239	\$ 775	0.6%	\$	121,254	\$ 738	0.6%	\$ 148,825	\$	775	0.5%	\$	83,523	\$	738	0.9%	\$ 140,239	\$	775	0.6%	\$	38,532	-	-	
2006-07	\$ 139,464	\$ 5,249	3.9%	\$	120,516	\$ 4,588	4.0%	\$ 148,050	\$	5,536	3.9%	\$	82,785	\$	3,326	4.2%	\$ 139,464	\$	5,249	3.9%		N/A	-	-	
2005-06	\$ 134,215	\$ 5,858	4.6%	\$	115,928	\$ 5,106	4.6%	\$ 142,514	\$	6,188	4.5%	\$	79,459	\$	3,656	4.8%	\$ 134,215	\$	5,858	4.6%		N/A	-	-	
2004-05	\$ 128,357	\$ 2,153	1.7%	\$	110,822	\$ 1,894	1.7%	\$ 136,326	\$	2,262	1.7%	\$	75,803	\$	1,414	1.9%	\$ 128,357		-	-		N/A	-	-	
2003-04	\$ 126,204	\$ 2,862	2.3%	\$	108,928	\$ 2,505	2.4%	\$ 134,064	\$	3,016	2.3%	\$	74,389	\$	1,828	2.5%		See	notes			N/A	-	-	
2002-03	\$ 123,342	\$ 3,331	2.8%	\$	106,423	\$ 2,897	2.8%	\$ 131,048	\$	3,522	2.8%	\$	72,562	\$	2,055	2.9%	\$ 123,342	\$	3,331	2.8%		S	ee note	5	
2001-02	\$ 120,011	\$ 3,860	3.3%	\$	103,526	\$ 3,369	3.4%	\$ 127,526	\$	4,074	3.3%	\$	70,506	\$	2,426	3.6%	\$ 120,011	\$	3,860	3.3%	\$	33,001	\$ 1,0	57 3.3%	%
2000-01	\$ 116,151	\$ 3,380	3.0%	\$	100,157	\$ 2,933	3.0%	\$ 123,451	\$	3,580	3.0%	\$	68,080	\$	2,056	3.1%	\$ 116,151	\$	3,380	3.0%	\$	31,944	\$ 9	28 3.0%	%
1999-00	\$ 112,771	\$ 4,364	4.0%	\$	97,224	\$ 3,797	4.1%	\$ 119,871	\$	4,614	4.0%	\$	66,024	\$	2,699	4.3%	\$ 112,771	\$	4,364	4.0%	\$	31,016	\$ 1,1	96 4.0%	%
1998-99	\$ 108,407	\$ 5,805	5.7%	\$	93,426	\$ 5,049	5.7%	\$ 115,257	\$	6,139	5.6%	\$	63,326	\$	3,581	6.0%	\$ 108,407	\$	5,805	5.7%	\$	29,820	\$ 1,5	91 5.6%	%
1997-98	\$ 102,602	\$ 5,176	5.3%	\$	88,377	\$ 4,488	5.3%	\$ 109,118	\$	5,484	5.3%	\$	59,745	\$	3,135	5.5%	\$ 102,602	\$	5,176	5.3%	\$	28,229	\$ 1,4	20 5.3%	%
1996-97	\$ 97,426	\$ 5,665	6.2%	\$	83,889	\$ 4,915	6.2%	\$ 103,634	\$	6,000	6.1%	\$	56,610	\$	3,443	6.5%	\$ 97,426	\$	5,665	6.2%	\$	26,809	\$ 1,5	55 6.2%	%
1995-96	\$ 91,761	\$ 3,995	4.6%	\$	78,974	\$ 3,478	4.6%	\$ 97,634	\$	4,225	4.5%	\$	53,167	\$	2,471	4.9%	\$ 91,761	\$	3,995	4.6%	\$	25,254	\$ 1,0	95 4.5%	%
1994-95	\$ 87,766	\$ 4,964	6.0%	\$	75,496	\$ 4,294	6.0%	\$ 93,409	\$	5,265	6.0%	\$	50,696	\$	2,968	6.2%	\$ 87,766		-	-	\$	24,159	-	-	
1993-94	\$ 82,802	\$ 3,369	4.2%	\$	71,202	\$ 2,922	4.3%	\$ 88,144	\$	3,570	4.2%	\$	47,728	\$	2,041	4.5%		See	notes			S	ee note	5	
1992-93	\$ 79,433	\$ 344	0.4%	\$	68,280	\$ 327	0.5%	\$ 84,574	\$	343	0.4%	\$	45,687	\$	327	0.7%	\$ 79,089	\$	-	0.0%	\$	21,780	\$	- 0.0%	%
1991-92	\$ 79,089	\$ 3,874	5.2%	\$	67,953	\$ 3,364	5.2%	\$ 84,231	\$	4,101	5.1%	\$	45,360	\$	2,370	5.5%	\$ 79,089	\$	3,874	5.2%	\$	21,780	\$ 1,0	63 5.1%	%
1990-91	\$ 75,215	\$ 3,565	5.0%	\$	64,589	\$ 3,119	5.1%	\$ 80,130	\$	3,759	4.9%	\$	42,990	\$	2,267	5.6%	\$ 75,215	\$	3,565	5.0%	\$	20,717	\$ 9	75 4.9%	%
1989-90	\$ 71,650	\$ 3,725	5.5%	\$	61,470	\$ 3,239	5.6%	\$ 76,371	\$	8,446	12.4%	\$	40,723	\$	2,296	6.0%	\$ 71,650	\$	3,725	5.5%	\$	19,742	\$ 1,0	21 5.5%	%
1988-89	\$ 67,925	\$ 8,079	13.5%	\$	58,231	\$ 7,588	15.0%	\$ 67,925	\$	3,788	5.9%	\$	38,427	\$	2,347	6.5%	\$ 67,925	\$	3,788	5.9%	\$	18,721	\$ 1,0	38 5.9%	%
1987-88	\$ 59,846	\$ 3,241	5.7%	\$	50,643	\$ 2,786	5.8%	\$ 64,137	\$	3,446	5.7%	\$	36,080	\$	2,093	6.2%	\$ 64,137	\$	3,446	5.7%	\$	17,683	\$ 9	46 5.7%	%
1986-87	\$ 56,605	\$ 4,065	7.7%	\$,	\$ 3,475	7.8%	\$ 60,691	\$	4,332	7.7%	\$	33,987	\$	2,568	8.2%	\$ 60,691	\$	4,332	7.7%	\$,	\$ 1,1	90 7.7%	%
1985-86	\$ 52,540	-	-	\$	44,382	-	-	\$ 56,359		-	-	\$	31,419	-	-	-	\$ 56,359	Ť	-	-	\$	15,547	-	-	
			•		,								, -				,								

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Elected	d Coun	ty	Constit	utional C	Officers										e Sala	ry Formu	la ir	n Chap	oter 145	i, F	lorida	Statu	tes	-
								Le	eon Cou	inty: I	Fisca	al Years	198	5-86 to	201	8-19										
	Clerk of Cire	cuit Court, I	Property																							
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervis	sor of Elec	tions			Sheri	ff			County	Coi	nmissio	ners	Schoo	l Sup	perintend	dent		School	Board I	Nemb	ers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	S	Salary	\$ C	hg.	% Chg.	, ,	Salary	\$	GChg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Ş	Salary	\$ Ch	g.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$ 141,225	\$ 980	0.7%	\$	141,225	\$ 980	0.7%	\$ 1	150,622	\$ 1	,045	0.7%	\$	79,736	\$	560	0.7%	\$ 141,225	\$	980	0.7%	\$	38,927	\$	270	0.7%
2017-18	\$ 140,244	\$ 5,779	4.3%	\$	140,244	\$ 5,779	4.3%	\$ 1	149,577	\$6	,150	4.3%	\$	79,176	\$	3,347	4.4%	\$ 140,244	\$	5,779	4.3%	\$	38,657	\$1,	591	4.3%
2016-17	\$ 134,465	\$ 412	0.3%	\$	134,465	\$ 19,623	17.1%	\$ 1	143,428	\$	425	0.3%	\$	75,829	\$	314	0.4%	\$ 134,465	\$	412	0.3%	\$	37,067	\$	111	0.3%
2015-16	\$ 134,053	\$ 344	0.3%	\$	114,841	\$ 313	0.3%	\$ 1	143,003	\$	354	0.2%	\$	75,515	\$	270	0.4%	\$ 134,053	\$	344	0.3%	\$	36,956	\$	93	0.3%
2014-15	\$ 133,709	\$ 409	0.3%	\$	114,528	\$ 355	0.3%	\$ 1	142,649	\$	433	0.3%	\$	75,245	\$	249	0.3%	\$ 133,709	\$	409	0.3%	\$	36,863	\$	112	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 133,300	\$ 4,871	3.8%	\$	114,173	\$ 4,180	3.8%	\$ 1	142,215	\$5	,190	3.8%	\$	74,996	\$	2,775	3.8%	\$ 133,300	\$	4,871	3.8%	\$	36,751	\$1,	342	3.8%
2012-13	\$ 128,429	\$51	0.0%	\$	109,993	\$ 49	0.0%	\$ 1	137,025	\$	51	0.0%	\$	72,221	\$	49	0.1%	\$ 128,429	\$	51	0.0%	\$	35,409	\$	13	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 128,378	\$ 44	0.0%	\$	109,944	\$ 42	0.0%	\$ 1	136,974	\$	44	0.0%	\$	72,172	\$	42	0.1%	\$ 128,378	\$	44	0.0%	\$	35,395	\$	12	0.0%
2010-11	\$ 128,334	\$ (6)	0.0%	\$	109,902	\$ (5)	0.0%	\$ 1	136,930	\$	(6)	0.0%	\$	72,130	\$	(5)	0.0%	\$ 128,334	\$	2,688	2.1%	\$	35,384	S	ee no	tes
2009-10	\$ 128,340	\$ 129	0.1%	\$	109,908	\$ 123	0.1%	\$ 1	136,936	\$	129	0.1%	\$	72,136	\$	123	0.2%	\$ 125,646		See no	otes	\$	35,385	S	ee no	tes
2008-09	\$ 128,211	\$ 167	0.1%	\$	109,784	\$ 145	0.1%	\$ 1	136,806	\$	176	0.1%	\$	72,013	\$	104	0.1%	\$ 128,211	\$	167	0.1%	\$	35,351	\$	46	0.1%
2007-08	\$ 128,044	\$88	0.1%	\$	109,639	\$ 84	0.1%	\$ 1	136,630	\$	88	0.1%	\$	71,909	\$	84	0.1%	\$ 128,044	\$	88	0.1%	\$	35,305	-		-
2006-07	\$ 127,956	\$ 4,728	3.8%	\$	109,556	\$ 4,092	3.9%	\$ 1	136,542	\$5	,015	3.8%	\$	71,825	\$	2,830	4.1%	\$ 127,956	\$	4,728	3.8%		N/A	-		-
2005-06	\$ 123,228	\$ 5,402	4.6%	\$	105,464	\$ 4,672	4.6%	\$ 1	131,527	\$5	,732	4.6%	\$	68,995	\$	3,221	4.9%	\$ 123,228	\$	5,402	4.6%		N/A	-		-
2004-05	\$ 117,826	\$ 2,055	1.8%	\$	100,792	\$ 1,801	1.8%	\$ 1	125,795	\$2	,164	1.8%	\$	65,774	\$	1,321	2.0%	\$ 117,826		-	-		N/A	-		
2003-04	\$ 115,771	\$ 2,491	2.2%	\$	98,992	\$ 2,151	2.2%	\$ 1	123,631	\$ 2	,645	2.2%	\$	64,453	\$	1,474	2.3%		See r	notes			N/A	-		-
2002-03	\$ 113,280	\$ 3,085	2.8%	\$	96,841	\$ 2,663	2.8%	\$ 1	120,986	\$ 3	,277	2.8%	\$	62,979	\$	1,821	3.0%	\$ 113,280	\$	3,085	2.8%		S	ee note	es	
2001-02	\$ 110,195	\$ 3,245	3.0%	\$	94,177	\$ 2,783	3.0%	\$ 1	117,709	\$3	,460	3.0%	\$	61,158	\$	1,841	3.1%	\$ 110,195	\$	3,245	3.0%	\$	30,405	\$	894	3.0%
2000-01	\$ 106,950	\$ 3,160	3.0%	\$	91,394	\$ 2,723	3.1%	\$ 1	114,250	\$3	,359	3.0%	\$	59,317	\$	1,846	3.2%	\$ 106,950	\$	3,160	3.0%	\$	29,511	\$	869	3.0%
1999-00	\$ 103,790	\$ 3,939	3.9%	\$	88,671	\$ 3,393	4.0%	\$ 1	110,890	\$4	,189	3.9%	\$	57,471	\$	2,294	4.2%	\$ 103,790	\$	3,939	3.9%	\$	28,642	\$ 1,	084	3.9%
1998-99	\$ 99,852	\$ 5,171	5.5%	\$	85,278	\$ 4,445	5.5%	\$ 1	106,702	\$5	,505	5.4%	\$	55,177	\$	2,976	5.7%	\$ 99,852	\$	5,171	5.5%	\$	27,558	\$ 1,	424	5.4%
1997-98	\$ 94,681	\$ 4,665	5.2%	\$	80,833	\$ 4,002	5.2%	\$ 1	101,197	\$4	,973	5.2%	\$	52,201	\$	2,648	5.3%	\$ 94,681	\$	4,665	5.2%	\$	26,134	\$ 1,	285	5.2%
1996-97	\$ 90,016	\$ 5,095	6.0%	\$	76,831	\$ 4,372	6.0%	\$	96,224	\$5	,430	6.0%	\$	49,553	\$	2,901	6.2%	\$ 90,016	\$	5,095	6.0%	\$	24,849	\$ 1,	404	6.0%
1995-96	\$ 84,921	\$ 3,558	4.4%	\$	72,459	\$ 3,060	4.4%	\$	90,794	\$ 3	,787	4.4%	\$	46,652	\$	2,053	4.6%	\$ 84,921	\$	3,558	4.4%	\$	23,445	\$	979	4.4%
1994-95	\$ 81,363	\$ 4,500	5.9%	\$	69,399	\$ 3,853	5.9%	\$	87,007	\$ 4	,802	5.8%	\$	44,599	\$	2,528	6.0%	\$ 81,363		-	-	\$	22,466	-		-
1993-94	\$ 76,863	\$ 3,096	4.2%	\$	65,546	\$ 2,661	4.2%	\$	82,205	\$3	,296	4.2%	\$	42,071	\$	1,864	4.6%		See r	notes			S	ee note	es	
1992-93	\$ 73,767	\$ 370	0.5%	\$	62,885	\$ 353	0.6%	\$	78,909	\$	371	0.5%	\$	40,207	\$	636	1.6%	\$ 73,397	\$	-	0.0%	\$	20,249	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 73,397	\$ 3,228	4.6%	\$	62,532	\$ 2,749	4.6%	\$	78,538	\$ 3	,454	4.6%	\$	39,571	\$	1,734	4.6%	\$ 73,397	\$	3,228	4.6%	\$	20,249	\$	890	4.6%
1990-91	\$ 70,169	\$ 3,358	5.0%	\$	59,783	\$ 2,921	5.1%	\$	75,084	\$ 3	,551	5.0%	\$	37,837	\$	2,507	7.1%	\$ 70,169	\$	3,358	5.0%	\$	19,359	\$	951	5.2%
1989-90	\$ 66,811	\$ 3,379	5.3%	\$	56,862	\$ 2,910	5.4%	\$	71,533	\$8	,101	12.8%	\$	35,330	\$	2,192	6.6%	\$ 66,811	\$	3,379	5.3%	\$	18,408	\$	945	5.4%
1988-89	\$ 63,432	\$ 7,583	13.6%	\$	53,952	\$ 7,116	15.2%	\$	63,432	\$ 3	,293	5.5%	\$	33,138	\$	2,013	6.5%	\$ 63,432	\$	3,293	5.5%	\$	17,463	\$	917	5.5%
1987-88	\$ 55,849	\$ 2,825	5.3%	\$	46,836	\$ 2,389	5.4%	\$	60,139	\$ 3	,028	5.3%	\$	31,125	\$	1,773	6.0%	\$ 60,139	\$	3,028	5.3%	\$	16,546	\$	841	5.4%
1986-87	\$ 53,024	\$ 3,720	7.5%	\$	44,447	\$ 3,147	7.6%	\$	57,111	\$ 3	,988	7.5%	\$	29,352	\$	2,351	8.7%	\$ 57,111	\$	3,988	7.5%	\$	15,705	\$ 1,	107	7.6%
1985-86	\$ 49,304	-	-	\$	41,300	-	-	\$	53,123	-		-	\$	27,001		-	-	\$ 53,123	1	-	-	\$	14,598	-		-
								-																		

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Elected	d Coun	ty	Constitu	utional O	fficers									e Salar	ъ	ormula	a in Cl	nap	ter 145	, F	lorida	Statu	ites	
								Levy C	our	nty: Fisca	I Years	198	5-86 to 2	201	8-19											
	Clerk of Cir	cuit Court,	Property																							
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector			sor of Elect	ions			Sheriff			County	Cor	nmissio			School	Superin	tend	lent		School	Board	Meml	bers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Cho	j .	% Chg.		Salary	\$ C	hg.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$ 109,545	\$ 910	0.8%	\$	109,545	\$ 910	0.8%	\$ 118,94	12	\$ 974	0.8%	\$	37,258	\$	408	1.1%	\$	109,545	\$ 9	10	0.8%	\$	28,993	\$	241	0.8%
2017-18	\$ 108,635	\$ 4,344	4.2%	\$	108,635	\$ 4,344	4.2%	\$ 117,96	58	\$ 4,714	4.2%	\$	36,850	\$	1,495	4.2%	\$	108,635	\$ 4,3	44	4.2%	\$	28,752	\$ 1	,150	4.2%
2016-17	\$ 104,291		0.1%	\$	104,291	\$ 17,934	20.8%	\$ 113,25	54	\$ 160	0.1%	\$	35,355	\$	45	0.1%	\$	104,291	\$ 1	47	0.1%	\$	27,602	\$	39	0.1%
2015-16	\$ 104,144	\$ 172	0.2%	\$	86,357	\$ 150	0.2%	\$ 113,09	94	\$ 182	0.2%	\$	35,310	\$	93	0.3%	\$	104,144	\$ 1	72	0.2%	\$	27,564	\$	46	0.2%
2014-15	\$ 103,972	\$ 270	0.3%	\$	86,207	\$ 222	0.3%	\$ 112,91	12	\$ 294	0.3%	\$	35,217	\$	84	0.2%	\$	103,972	\$ 2	70	0.3%	\$	27,518	\$	71	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 103,702	\$ 3,581	3.6%	\$	85,985	\$ 2,953	3.6%	\$ 112,61	8	\$ 3,901	3.6%	\$	35,133	\$	1,129	3.3%	\$	103,702	\$ 3,5	81	3.6%	\$	27,447	\$	948	3.6%
2012-13	\$ 100,121	\$ (11)	0.0%	\$	83,032	\$ (10)	0.0%	\$ 108,71	17	\$ (11)	0.0%	\$	34,004	\$	(10)	0.0%	\$	100,121	\$ ((11)	0.0%	\$	26,499	\$	(3)	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 100,132	\$ 41	0.0%	\$	83,043	\$ 39	0.0%	\$ 108,72	28	\$ 41	0.0%	\$	34,015	\$	39	0.1%	\$	100,132	\$	41	0.0%	\$	26,502	\$	11	0.0%
2010-11	\$ 100,091	\$ (46)	0.0%	\$	83,004	\$ (44)	-0.1%	\$ 108,68	37 :	\$ (46)	0.0%	\$	33,976	\$	(44)	-0.1%	\$	100,091	\$ 2,2	01	2.2%	\$	26,491		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 100,137	\$ 249	0.2%	\$	83,048	\$ 237	0.3%	\$ 108,73	33	\$ 249	0.2%	\$	34,020	\$	237	0.7%	\$	97,890	Se	e no	tes	\$	26,503		See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 99,888	\$ 452	0.5%	\$	82,810	\$ 417	0.5%	\$ 108,48	33 :	\$ 462	0.4%	\$	33,782	\$	363	1.1%	\$	99,888	\$ 4	52	0.5%	\$	26,437	\$	120	0.5%
2007-08	\$ 99,435	\$ 320	0.3%	\$	82,393	\$ 305	0.4%	\$ 108,02	21 :	\$ 320	0.3%	\$	33,419	\$	305	0.9%	\$	99,435	\$ 3	20	0.3%	\$	26,317		-	-
2006-07	\$ 99,116	\$ 3,470	3.6%	\$	82,088	\$ 2,893	3.7%	\$ 107,70)2	\$ 3,757	3.6%	\$	33,114	\$	1,255	3.9%	\$	99,116	\$ 3,4	70	3.6%		N/A			
2005-06	\$ 95,646	\$ 4,049	4.4%	\$	79,195	\$ 3,383	4.5%	\$ 103,94	15	\$ 4,379	4.4%	\$	31,859	\$	1,501	4.9%	\$	95,646	\$ 4,0	49	4.4%		N/A			
2004-05	\$ 91,597	\$ 1,448	1.6%	\$	75,812	\$ 1,222	1.6%	\$ 99,56	6	\$ 1,557	1.6%	\$	30,358	\$	599	2.0%	\$	91,597	-		-		N/A			-
2003-04	\$ 90,149	\$ 2,026	2.3%	\$	74,590	\$ 1,708	2.3%	\$ 98,00)9	\$ 2,180	2.3%	\$	29,759	\$	830	2.9%		S	ee note	s			N/A			-
2002-03	\$ 88,124	\$ 2,380	2.8%	\$	72,882	\$ 1,992	2.8%	\$ 95,82	29	\$ 2,571	2.8%	\$	28,929	\$	899	3.2%	\$	88,124	\$ 2,3	80	2.8%		5	iee no	tes	
2001-02	\$ 85,744	\$ 2,733	3.3%	\$	70,890	\$ 2,295	3.3%	\$ 93,25	58	\$ 2,948	3.3%	\$	28,031	\$	1,072	4.0%	\$	85,744	\$ 2,7	33	3.3%	\$	22,694	\$	723	3.3%
2000-01	\$ 83,011	\$ 2,534	3.1%	\$	68,595	\$ 2,128	3.2%	\$ 90,31	11	\$ 2,734	3.1%	\$	26,959	\$	989	3.8%	\$	83,011	\$ 2,5	34	3.1%	\$	21,971	\$	671	3.1%
1999-00	\$ 80,476	\$ 3,046	3.9%	\$	66,467	\$ 2,543	4.0%	\$ 87,57	77	\$ 3,296	3.9%	\$	25,970	\$	1,116	4.5%	\$	80,476	\$ 3,0	46	3.9%	\$	21,300	\$	806	3.9%
1998-99	\$ 77,431	\$ 3,999	5.4%	\$	63,925	\$ 3,330	5.5%	\$ 84,28	31 :	\$ 4,334	5.4%	\$	24,854	\$	1,423	6.1%	\$	77,431	\$ 3,9	99	5.4%	\$	20,494	\$ 1	,059	5.4%
1997-98	\$ 73,432	\$ 3,668	5.3%	\$	60,595	\$ 3,051	5.3%	\$ 79,94	17	\$ 3,976	5.2%	\$	23,431	\$	1,295	5.9%	\$	73,432	\$ 3,6	68	5.3%	\$	19,435	\$	970	5.3%
1996-97	\$ 69,764	\$ 3,925	6.0%	\$	57,544	\$ 3,258	6.0%	\$ 75,97	71	\$ 4,259	5.9%	\$	22,136	\$	1,348	6.5%	\$	69,764	\$ 3,9	25	6.0%	\$	18,465	\$ 1	,039	6.0%
1995-96	\$ 65,839	\$ 2,753	4.4%	\$	54,286	\$ 2,294	4.4%	\$ 71,71	12	\$ 2,982	4.3%	\$	20,788	\$	987	5.0%	\$	65,839	\$ 2,7	53	4.4%	\$	17,426	\$	729	4.4%
1994-95	\$ 63,086	\$ 3,529	5.9%	\$	51,992	\$ 2,929	6.0%	\$ 68,73	30	\$ 3,831	5.9%	\$	19,801	\$	1,207	6.5%	\$	63,086	-		-	\$	16,697			-
1993-94	\$ 59,557	\$ 2,385	4.2%	\$	49,063	\$ 1,984	4.2%	\$ 64,89	99	\$ 2,586	4.2%	\$	18,594	\$	841	4.7%		S	ee note	s			5	iee no	tes	
1992-93	\$ 57,172	\$ 146	0.3%	\$	47,079	\$ 139	0.3%	\$ 62,31	3	\$ 146	0.2%	\$	17,753	\$	139	0.8%	\$.,.=.		-	0.0%	\$	15,093	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 57,026	\$ 2,648	4.9%	\$	46,940	\$ 2,197	4.9%	\$ 62,16	57	\$ 2,874	4.8%	\$	17,614	\$	906	5.4%	\$	57,026	\$ 2,6	48	4.9%	\$	15,093	\$	701	4.9%
1990-91	\$ 54,378	\$ 2,264	4.3%	\$	44,743	\$ 1,878	4.4%	\$ 59,29	33	\$ 2,458	4.3%	\$	16,708	\$	774	4.9%	\$	54,378	\$ 2,2	64	4.3%	\$	14,392	\$	599	4.3%
1989-90	\$ 52,114	\$ 2,474	5.0%	\$	42,865	\$ 2,049	5.0%	\$ 56,83	35	\$ 7,195	14.5%	\$	15,934	\$	824	5.5%	\$	52,114	\$ 2,4	74	5.0%	\$	13,793	\$	655	5.0%
1988-89	\$ 49,640	\$ 6,784	15.8%	\$	40,816	\$ 6,354	18.4%	\$ 49,64	10	\$ 2,493	5.3%	\$	15,110	\$	829	5.8%	\$	49,640	\$ 2,4	93	5.3%	\$	13,138	\$	659	5.3%
1987-88	\$ 42,856	\$ 2,150	5.3%	\$	34,462	\$ 1,746	5.3%	\$ 47,14	17	\$ 2,354	5.3%	\$	14,281	\$	787	5.8%	\$	47,147	\$ 2,3	54	5.3%	\$	12,479	\$	623	5.3%
1986-87	\$ 40,706	\$ 2,737	7.2%	\$	32,716	\$ 2,211	7.2%	\$ 44,79	3	\$ 3,005	7.2%	\$	13,494	\$	954	7.6%	\$	44,793	\$ 3,0	05	7.2%	\$	11,856	\$	796	7.2%
1985-86	\$ 37,969	-	-	\$	30,505	-	-	\$ 41,78		-	-	\$	12,540		-	-	\$	41,788	-		-	\$	11,060			-
			•		i		•	• ·																		

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Sal	aries c	of E	lected	Coun	ty	Constitu	ution	al O	fficers	an	d Scho	ol	Distric	t Offic	ials	s Pursu	ıar	nt to th	e Salaı	'nУF	ormula	a in	Chap	ter 145	, F	lorida	Statu	Ites	
											Li	berty Co	un	ty: Fisca	al Years	19	85-86 to	20	18-19											
	Cle	erk of Cir	cuit	Court, F	Property																									
Fiscal		Appraise	r & 1	Tax Coll	ector		Supervis	sor of	Elect	ions			Sh	eriff			County	Co	mmissio	ners		School	Supe	rintend	lent		School	Board	Memb	oers
Year		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ C	hg.	% Chg.		Salary	67	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary		6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ C	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ C	hg.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$	98,164	\$	664	0.7%	\$	98,164	\$	664	0.7%	\$	107,561	\$	729	0.7%	\$	25,989	\$	166	0.6%	\$	98,164	\$	664	0.7%	\$	25,625	\$	169	0.7%
2017-18	\$	97,499	\$	3,880	4.1%	\$	97,499	\$ 3	,880	4.1%	\$	106,833	\$	4,250	4.1%	\$	25,823	\$	1,049	4.2%	\$	97,499	\$	3,880	4.1%	\$	25,456	\$ 1	,023	4.2%
2016-17	\$	93,620	\$	150	0.2%	\$	93,620	\$ 17	,429	22.9%	\$	102,583	\$	163	0.2%	\$	24,775	\$	56	0.2%	\$	93,620	\$	150	0.2%	\$	24,433	\$	47	0.2%
2015-16	\$	93,470	\$	166	0.2%	\$	76,191	\$	143	0.2%	\$	102,420	\$	175	0.2%	\$	24,719	\$	146	0.6%	\$	93,470	\$	166	0.2%	\$	24,386	\$	93	0.4%
2014-15	\$	93,305	\$	241	0.3%	\$	76,048	\$	194	0.3%	\$	102,244	\$	265	0.3%	\$	24,573	\$	44	0.2%	\$	93,305	\$	241	0.3%	\$	24,293	\$	53	0.2%
2013-14	\$	93,064	\$	3,386	3.8%	\$	75,853	\$ 2	,766	3.8%	\$	101,979	\$	3,706	3.8%	\$	24,530	\$	971	4.1%	\$	93,064	\$	3,386	3.8%	\$	24,240	\$	920	3.9%
2012-13	\$	89,678	\$	2	0.0%	\$	73,087	\$	2	0.0%	\$	98,274	\$	2	0.0%	\$	23,558	\$	3	0.0%	\$	89,678	\$	2	0.0%	\$	23,320	\$	2	0.0%
2011-12	\$	89,677	\$	47	0.1%	\$	73,085	\$	45	0.1%	\$	98,272	\$	47	0.0%	\$	23,555	\$	89	0.4%	\$	89,677	\$	47	0.1%	\$	23,318	\$	49	0.2%
2010-11	\$	89,630	\$	20	0.0%	\$	73,041	\$	19	0.0%	\$	98,225		20	0.0%	\$	23,466	\$	38	0.2%	\$	89,630	\$	1,935	2.2%	\$	23,269	5	See no	otes
2009-10	\$	89,610	\$	125	0.1%	\$	73,022	\$	119	0.2%	\$	98,206	\$	125	0.1%	\$	- / -	\$	237	1.0%	\$	87,695		See no		\$	23,247	5	See no	otes
2008-09	\$	89,485	\$	98	0.1%	\$	72,903	\$	80	0.1%	\$	98,081	\$	108	0.1%	\$	23,191	\$	25	0.1%	\$	89,485	\$	98	0.1%	\$	23,116	\$	25	0.1%
2007-08	\$	89,387	\$	61	0.1%	\$	72,823	\$	58	0.1%	\$	97,973	\$	60	0.1%	\$		\$	117	0.5%	\$	89,387	\$	61	0.1%	\$	23,090	-		-
2006-07	\$	89,326	\$	3,058	3.5%	\$	72,765		,501	3.6%	\$	97,912	\$	3,345	3.5%	\$		\$	906	4.1%	\$	89,326	•	3,058	3.5%		N/A	-		-
2005-06	\$	86,268	\$	3,468	4.2%	\$	70,264	\$ 2	,830	4.2%	\$	94,567	\$	3,798	4.2%	\$, -	\$	953	4.5%	\$	86,268	\$	3,468	4.2%		N/A	-		-
2004-05	\$	82,800	\$	1,156	1.4%	\$	67,434	\$	944	1.4%	\$	90,769	\$	1,265	1.4%	\$	21,190	\$	330	1.6%	\$	82,800		-	-		N/A	-		-
2003-04	\$	81,644	\$	1,607	2.0%	\$	66,490		,310	2.0%	\$	89,504	\$	1,762	2.0%	\$		\$	423	2.1%			ee no	otes			N/A	-		-
2002-03	\$	80,036		2,022	2.6%	\$	65,180		,651	2.6%	\$	87,742	\$	2,213	2.6%	\$		\$	568	2.9%	\$	80,036		2,022	2.6%			ee no		
2001-02	\$	78,015	\$	1,946	2.6%	\$	63,529		,546	2.5%	\$	85,529	\$	2,161	2.6%	\$,	\$	32	0.2%	\$	78,015		1,946	2.6%	\$		\$	273	1.4%
2000-01	\$	76,069	\$	2,171	2.9%	\$	- /		,781	3.0%	\$	83,369	\$	2,371	2.9%	\$		\$	715	3.7%	\$	76,069		2,171	2.9%	\$	19,711		635	3.3%
1999-00	\$	73,898	\$	2,606	3.7%	\$	60,202		,123	3.7%	\$	80,998	\$	2,856	3.7%	\$		\$	680	3.7%	\$	73,898		2,606	3.7%	\$	19,076		676	3.7%
1998-99	\$		\$	3,542	5.2%	\$	58,078		,893	5.2%	\$	78,142	\$	3,876	5.2%	\$	-, -	\$	1,019	5.8%	\$	71,292	•	3,542	5.2%	\$	18,400	\$	964	5.5%
1997-98	\$	67,750	\$	3,333	5.2%	\$	55,185		,734	5.2%	\$	74,266	\$	3,642	5.2%	\$	17,424	\$	1,074	6.6%	\$	67,750		3,333	5.2%	\$,	\$	963	5.8%
1996-97	\$	64,417	\$	3,549	5.8%	\$	52,451		,900	5.9%	\$	70,624	\$	3,883	5.8%	\$	16,350	\$	1,023	6.7%	\$	64,417		3,549	5.8%	\$	16,473	\$	967	6.2%
1995-96	\$	60,868	\$	2,547	4.4%	\$	49,551		,097	4.4%	\$	66,741	\$	2,776	4.3%	\$,	\$	927	6.4%	\$	60,868	\$	2,547	4.4%	\$,	\$	788	5.4%
1994-95	\$	58,321	\$	3,162	5.7%	\$	47,454		,579	5.7%	\$	63,965	\$	3,464	5.7%	\$,	\$	852	6.3%	\$	58,321		-	-	\$	14,718	-		-
1993-94	\$	55,159	\$	2,048	3.9%	\$	44,875		,663	3.8%	\$	60,501	\$	2,249	3.9%	\$,	\$	467	3.6%			ee no	otes			-	ee no	tes	
1992-93	\$	53,111	\$	10	0.0%	\$	- 1	\$	9	0.0%	\$	58,252	\$	9	0.0%	\$		\$	18	0.1%	\$	53,101		-	0.0%	\$	13,378		-	0.0%
1991-92	\$	53,101	\$	2,488	4.9%	\$	43,203		,045	5.0%	\$	58,243	\$	2,715	4.9%	\$,	\$	861	7.1%	\$	53,101		2,488	4.9%	\$		\$	748	5.9%
1990-91	\$	50,613	\$	1,992	4.1%	\$	41,158		,620	4.1%	\$	55,528	\$	2,186	4.1%	\$, -	\$	477	4.1%	\$	50,613		1,992	4.1%	\$	12,630		496	4.1%
1989-90	\$	48,621	\$	2,176	4.7%	\$	39,538		,765	4.7%	\$	53,342	\$	6,897	14.8%	\$, -	\$	466	4.1%	\$	48,621		2,176	4.7%	\$	12,134		514	4.4%
1988-89	\$	46,445		6,587	16.5%	\$	37,773	· ·	,167	19.5%	\$	46,445	\$	2,297	5.2%	\$,====	\$	666	6.3%	\$	46,445		2,297	5.2%	\$	11,620		628	5.7%
1987-88	\$	39,858	\$	1,899	5.0%	\$	31,606		,507	5.0%	\$	44,148	\$	2,103	5.0%	\$,	\$	514	5.1%	\$	44,148		2,103	5.0%	\$	10,992	\$	528	5.0%
1986-87	\$	37,959	\$	2,495	7.0%	\$	30,099	\$ 1	,980	7.0%	\$	42,045	\$	2,762	7.0%	\$	10,079	\$	680	7.2%	\$	42,045	\$	2,762	7.0%	\$,	\$	696	7.1%
1985-86	\$	35,464		-	-	\$	28,119	-		-	\$	39,283		-	-	\$	9,399		-	-	\$	39,283		-	-	\$	9,768	-		-
1																														

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for be 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	of Elected	d Coun	ty	Constitu	utional O											e Salaı	ъ	ormula	in (Chap	ter 145	, F	lorida S	Stat	utes	
								Ма	dison Co	our	nty: Fisc	al Year	s 19	985-86 to	2	018-19											
	Clerk of Cir	cuit Court,	Property																								
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervis	sor of Elect	ions			Sh	eriff			County	Col	mmissio	ners		School	Supe	rintenc	lent		School	Boar	d Meml	bers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	GChg.	% Chg.		Salary	4	GChg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ C	hg.	% Chg.	5	Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$ 101,920	\$ 745	0.7%	\$	101,920	\$ 745	0.7%	\$	111,317	\$	809	0.7%	\$	29,996	\$	251	0.8%	\$	101,920	\$	745	0.7%	\$	26,976	\$	197	0.7%
2017-18	\$ 101,175	\$ 4,026	4.1%	\$	101,175	\$ 4,026	4.1%	\$	110,508	\$	4,396	4.1%	\$	29,745	\$	1,192	4.2%	\$	101,175	\$ 4	4,026	4.1%	\$	26,779	\$	1,065	4.1%
2016-17	\$ 97,149	\$ 110	0.1%	\$	97,149	\$ 17,559	22.1%	\$	106,112	\$	124	0.1%	\$	28,553	\$	10	0.0%	\$	97,149	\$	110	0.1%	\$	25,713	\$	29	0.1%
2015-16	\$ 97,039	\$77	0.1%	\$	79,590	\$ 59	0.1%	\$	105,989	\$	87	0.1%	\$	28,544	\$	2	0.0%	\$	97,039	\$	77	0.1%	\$	25,684	\$	20	0.1%
2014-15	\$ 96,963	\$ 319	0.3%	\$	79,531	\$ 269	0.3%	\$	105,902	\$	343	0.3%	\$	28,541	\$	131	0.5%	\$	96,963	\$	319	0.3%	\$	25,664	\$	84	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 96,644	\$ 3,443	3.7%	\$	79,263	\$ 2,821	3.7%	\$	105,559	\$	3,763	3.7%	\$	28,411	\$	997	3.6%	\$	96,644	\$ 3	3,443	3.7%	\$	25,580	\$	911	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 93,201	\$ 24	0.0%	\$	76,442	\$ 23	0.0%	\$	101,796	\$	24	0.0%	\$	27,413	\$	23	0.1%	\$	93,201	\$	24	0.0%	\$	24,668	\$	6	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 93,177	\$ (357)	-0.4%	\$	76,419	\$ (340)	-0.4%	\$	101,773	\$	(357)	-0.4%	\$	27,391	\$	(340)	-1.2%	\$	93,177	\$	(357)	-0.4%	\$	24,662	\$	(95)	-0.4%
2010-11	\$ 93,534	\$58	0.1%	\$	76,759	\$ 56	0.1%	\$	102,130	\$	58	0.1%	\$	27,731	\$	56	0.2%	\$	93,534	\$ ·	1,994	2.2%	\$	24,757		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 93,476	\$68	0.1%	\$	76,704	\$ 64	0.1%	\$	102,072	\$	68	0.1%	\$	27,676	\$	64	0.2%	\$	91,540		See no	otes	\$	24,741		See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 93,408	\$ 144	0.2%	\$	76,640	\$ 124	0.2%	\$	102,004	\$	154	0.2%	\$	27,612	\$	70	0.3%	\$	93,408	\$	144	0.2%	\$	24,723	\$	38	0.2%
2007-08	\$ 93,264	\$ 37	0.0%	\$	76,515	\$ 35	0.0%	\$	101,850	\$	37	0.0%	\$	27,541	\$	36	0.1%	\$	93,264	\$	37	0.0%	\$	24,685		-	-
2006-07	\$ 93,227	\$ 3,180	3.5%	\$	76,480	\$ 2,616	3.5%	\$	101,813	\$	3,466	3.5%	\$	27,506	\$	979	3.7%	\$	93,227	\$ 3	3,179	3.5%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 90,047	\$ 3,688	4.3%	\$	73,864	\$ 3,040	4.3%	\$	98,347	\$	4,018	4.3%	\$	26,527	\$	1,157	4.6%	\$	90,047	\$ 3	3,688	4.3%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 86,359	\$ 1,245	1.5%	\$	70,824	\$ 1,029	1.5%	\$	94,329	\$	1,354	1.5%	\$	25,370	\$	406	1.6%	\$	86,359		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 85,115	\$ 1,688	2.0%	\$	69,795	\$ 1,387	2.0%	\$	92,974	\$	1,843	2.0%	\$	24,964	\$	509	2.1%		S	ee no	otes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 83,426	\$ 2,111	2.6%	\$	68,408	\$ 1,736	2.6%	\$	91,132	\$	2,303	2.6%	\$	24,456	\$	643	2.7%	\$	83,426	\$ 2	2,111	2.6%			iee n	otes	
2001-02	\$ 81,315	\$ 2,075	2.6%	\$	66,672	\$ 1,669	2.6%	\$	88,829	\$	2,289	2.6%	\$	23,813	\$	446	1.9%	\$	81,315	\$ 2	2,075	2.6%	\$	21,522	\$	549	2.6%
2000-01	\$ 79,240	\$ 2,262	2.9%	\$	65,003	\$ 1,867	3.0%	\$	86,540	\$	2,462	2.9%	\$	23,367	\$	729	3.2%	\$	79,240	\$ 2	2,262	2.9%	\$	20,973	\$	598	2.9%
1999-00		\$ 2,773	3.7%	\$	63,136	\$ 2,283	3.8%	\$	84,078	\$	3,023	3.7%	\$	22,638	\$	856	3.9%	\$	76,978	\$ 2	2,773	3.7%	\$	20,375	\$	734	3.7%
1998-99	\$ 74,205	\$ 3,692	5.2%	\$	60,853	\$ 3,037	5.3%	\$	81,055	\$	4,026	5.2%	\$	21,782	\$	1,131	5.5%	\$	74,205	\$ 3	3,692	5.2%	\$	19,641	\$	978	5.2%
1997-98	\$ 70,513	\$ 3,426	5.1%	\$	57,816	\$ 2,822	5.1%	\$	77,029	\$	3,734	5.1%	\$	20,651	\$	1,065	5.4%	\$	70,513	\$ 3	3,426	5.1%	\$	18,663	\$	906	5.1%
1996-97	\$ 67,087	\$ 3,746	5.9%	\$	54,994	\$ 3,087	5.9%	\$	73,295	\$	4,081	5.9%	\$	19,586	\$	1,177	6.4%	\$	67,087	\$ 3	3,746	5.9%	\$	17,757	\$	992	5.9%
1995-96	\$ 63,341	\$ 2,566	4.2%	\$	51,907	\$ 2,116	4.2%	\$	69,214	\$	2,795	4.2%	\$	18,409	\$	809	4.6%	\$	63,341	\$ 2	2,566	4.2%	\$	16,765	\$	679	4.2%
1994-95	\$ 60,775	\$ 3,306	5.8%	\$	49,791	\$ 2,716	5.8%	\$	66,419	\$	3,608	5.7%	\$	17,600	\$	995	6.0%	\$	60,775		-	-	\$	16,086		-	-
1993-94	\$ 57,469	\$ 2,258	4.1%	\$	47,075	\$ 1,863	4.1%	\$	62,811	\$	2,458	4.1%	\$	16,605	\$	719	4.5%		S	ee no	otes			S	iee n	otes	
1992-93	\$ 55,211	\$ (11)	0.0%	\$	45,212	\$ (11)	0.0%	\$	60,353	\$	(11)	0.0%	\$	15,886	\$	(10)	-0.1%	\$	55,222	\$	-	0.0%	\$	14,616	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 55,222	\$ 2,445	4.6%	\$	45,223	\$ 2,004	4.6%	\$	60,364	\$	2,672	4.6%	\$	15,896	\$	712	4.7%	\$	55,222	\$ 2	2,445	4.6%	\$	14,616	\$	647	4.6%
1990-91	\$ 52,777	\$ 2,173	4.3%	\$	43,219	\$ 1,792	4.3%	\$	57,692	\$	2,366	4.3%	\$	15,184	\$	687	4.7%	\$	52,777	\$ 2	2,173	4.3%	\$	13,969		575	4.3%
1989-90	\$ 50,604	\$ 2,320	4.8%	\$	41,427	\$ 1,902	4.8%	\$	55,326	\$	7,042	14.6%	\$	14,497	\$	678	4.9%	\$	50,604	\$ 2	2,320	4.8%	\$	13,394	\$	614	4.8%
1988-89	\$ 48,284	\$ 6,633	15.9%	\$	39,525	\$ 6,211	18.6%	\$	48,284	\$	2,343	5.1%	\$	13,819	\$	686	5.2%	\$	48,284	\$ 2	2,343	5.1%	\$	12,780	\$	620	5.1%
1987-88	\$ 41,651	\$ 1,992	5.0%	\$	33,314	\$ 1,596	5.0%	\$	45,941	\$	2,196	5.0%	\$	13,133	\$	637	5.1%	\$	45,941	\$ 2	2,196	5.0%	\$	12,160	\$	581	5.0%
1986-87	\$ 39,659	\$ 2,623	7.1%	\$	31,718	\$ 2,102	7.1%	\$	43,745	\$	2,890	7.1%	\$	12,496	\$	844	7.2%	\$	43,745	\$ 2	2,890	7.1%	\$	11,579	\$	766	7.1%
1985-86	\$ 37,036	-	-	\$	29,616	-	-	\$	40,855		-	-	\$	11,652		-	-	\$	40,855		-	-	\$	10,813		-	-

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for be 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Elected	d Coun	ty	Constitu	utional O	fficers									e Salaı	y Formula	a in	Chap	ter 145	, F	lorida S	Statute	s
								Mana	atee Co	ounty: Fis	cal Year	s 19	985-86 to	20)18-19									
	Clerk of Cir	cuit Court, I	Property																					
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervis	sor of Elect	ions			Sheriff			County	Con	nmissio	ners	School	Supe	erintend	lent		School	Board Me	mbers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Sa	alary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$ 146,925	\$ 1,787	1.2%	\$	146,925	\$ 1,787	1.2%	\$ 15	56,323	\$ 1,851	1.2%	\$	85,165	\$	1,328	1.6%	\$ 146,925	\$	1,787	1.2%	\$	40,435	\$ 48	3 1.2%
2017-18	\$ 145,139	\$ 6,312	4.5%	\$	145,139	\$ 6,312	4.5%	\$ 15	54,472	\$ 6,682	4.5%	\$	83,837	\$	3,854	4.8%	\$ 145,139	\$	6,312	4.5%	\$	39,952	\$ 1,73	1 4.5%
2016-17	\$ 138,827	\$ 864	0.6%	\$	138,827	\$ 20,262	17.1%	\$ 14	47,790	\$ 877	0.6%	\$	79,983	\$	745	0.9%	\$ 138,827	\$	864	0.6%	\$	38,220	\$ 23	1 0.6%
2015-16	\$ 137,963	\$ 533	0.4%	\$	118,565	\$ 493	0.4%	\$ 14	46,913	\$ 542	0.4%	\$	79,238	\$	449	0.6%	\$ 137,963	\$	533	0.4%	\$	37,990	\$ 14	3 0.4%
2014-15	\$ 137,431	\$ 611	0.4%	\$	118,072	\$ 547	0.5%	\$ 14	46,370	\$ 635	0.4%	\$	78,789	\$	441	0.6%	\$ 137,431	\$	611	0.4%	\$	37,847	\$ 16	6 0.4%
2013-14	\$ 136,819	\$ 5,191	3.9%	\$	117,525	\$ 4,485	4.0%	\$ 14	45,735	\$ 5,510	3.9%	\$	78,348	\$	3,080	4.1%	\$ 136,819	\$	5,191	3.9%	\$	37,681	\$ 1,42	6 3.9%
2012-13	\$ 131,629	\$ 198	0.2%	\$	113,040	\$ 189	0.2%	\$ 14	40,224	\$ 198	0.1%	\$	75,268	\$	189	0.3%	\$ 131,629	\$	198	0.2%	\$	36,255	\$5	2 0.1%
2011-12	\$ 131,431	\$ 286	0.2%	\$	112,851	\$ 272	0.2%	\$ 14	40,026	\$ 286	0.2%	\$	75,079	\$	272	0.4%	\$ 131,431	\$	286	0.2%	\$	36,203	\$ 7	6 0.2%
2010-11	\$ 131,145	\$ 45	0.0%	\$	112,579	\$ 43	0.0%	\$ 13	39,741	\$ 45	0.0%	\$	74,807	\$	43	0.1%	\$ 131,145	\$	2,782	2.2%	\$	36,127	See	notes
2009-10	\$ 131,100	\$ 117	0.1%	\$	112,536	\$ 112	0.1%	\$ 13	39,695	\$ 117	0.1%	\$	74,764	\$	112	0.1%	\$ 128,363		See no	otes	\$	36,115	See	notes
2008-09	\$ 130,982		0.5%	\$	112,424	\$ 587	0.5%	\$ 13	39,578		0.5%	\$	74,652	\$	546	0.7%	\$ 130,982	\$	631	0.5%	\$	36,084	\$ 16	8 0.5%
2007-08	\$ 130,351	\$ 254	0.2%	\$	111,837	\$ 242	0.2%	\$ 13	38,937	\$ 254	0.2%	\$	74,107	\$	242	0.3%	\$ 130,351	\$	254	0.2%	\$	35,916	-	-
2006-07	\$ 130,098	\$ 4,919	3.9%	\$	111,595	\$ 4,273	4.0%	\$ 13	38,684	\$ 5,206	3.9%	\$	73,864	\$	3,011	4.3%	\$ 130,098	\$	4,919	3.9%		N/A	-	-
2005-06	\$ 125,179	\$ 5,477	4.6%	\$	107,322	\$ 4,743	4.6%	\$ 13	33,478	\$ 5,807	4.5%	\$	70,853	\$	3,293	4.9%	\$ 125,179	\$	5,477	4.6%		N/A	-	-
2004-05	\$ 119,702	\$ 2,202	1.9%	\$	102,579	\$ 1,941	1.9%	\$ 12	27,671	\$ 2,312	1.8%	\$	67,560	\$	1,461	2.2%	\$ 119,702		-	-		N/A	-	-
2003-04	\$ 117,499	\$ 2,684	2.3%	\$	100,638	\$ 2,335	2.4%	\$ 12	25,359	\$ 2,838	2.3%	\$	66,099	\$	1,658	2.6%	S	iee n	otes			N/A	-	-
2002-03	\$ 114,815	\$ 3,237	2.9%	\$	98,303	\$ 2,808	2.9%	\$ 12	22,521	\$ 3,428	2.9%	\$	64,441	\$	1,966	3.1%	\$ 114,815	\$	3,237	2.9%		S	ee notes	
2001-02	\$ 111,579	\$ 3,776	3.5%	\$	95,495	\$ 3,289	3.6%	\$ 11	19,093	\$ 3,991	3.5%	\$	62,475	\$	2,346	3.9%	\$ 111,579	\$	3,776	3.5%	\$	30,771	\$ 1,03	5 3.5%
2000-01	\$ 107,803	\$ 3,278	3.1%	\$	92,206	\$ 2,835	3.2%	\$ 11	15,102	\$ 3,477	3.1%	\$	60,129	\$	1,958	3.4%	\$ 107,803	\$	3,278	3.1%	\$	29,736	\$ 90	0 3.1%
1999-00	\$ 104,525	\$ 3,969	3.9%	\$	89,371	\$ 3,422	4.0%	\$ 11	11,625	\$ 4,219	3.9%	\$	58,171	\$	2,323	4.2%	\$ 104,525	\$	3,969	3.9%	\$	28,836	\$ 1,09	2 3.9%
1998-99	\$ 100,556	\$ 5,134	5.4%	\$	85,949	\$ 4,411	5.4%	\$ 10	07,406	\$ 5,469	5.4%	\$	55,848	\$	2,941	5.6%	\$ 100,556	\$	5,134	5.4%	\$	27,744	\$ 1,41	4 5.4%
1997-98	\$ 95,422	\$ 4,679	5.2%	\$	81,538	\$ 4,014	5.2%	\$ 10	01,937	\$ 4,986	5.1%	\$	52,907	\$	2,661	5.3%	\$ 95,422	\$	4,679	5.2%	\$	26,330	\$ 1,28	9 5.1%
1996-97	\$ 90,743	\$ 5,110	6.0%	\$	77,524	\$ 4,387	6.0%	\$ 9	96,951	\$ 5,445	6.0%	\$	50,246	\$	2,916	6.2%	\$ 90,743	\$	5,110	6.0%	\$	25,041	\$ 1,40	7 6.0%
1995-96	\$ 85,633	\$ 3,541	4.3%	\$	73,137	\$ 3,044	4.3%	\$ 9	91,506	\$ 3,770	4.3%	\$	47,330	\$	2,038	4.5%	\$ 85,633	\$	3,541	4.3%	\$	23,634	\$ 97	6 4.3%
1994-95	\$ 82,092	\$ 4,558	5.9%	\$	70,093	\$ 3,909	5.9%	\$ 8	87,736	\$ 4,860	5.9%	\$	45,292	\$	2,582	6.0%	\$ 82,092		-	-	\$	22,658	-	-
1993-94	\$ 77,534	\$ 3,072	4.1%	\$	66,184	\$ 2,637	4.1%	\$ 8	82,876	\$ 3,272	4.1%	\$	42,710	\$	1,757	4.3%	S	iee n	otes			S	ee notes	
1992-93	\$ 74,462	\$ 132	0.2%	\$	63,547	\$ 126	0.2%	\$ 7	79,604	\$ 132	0.2%	\$	40,953	\$	125	0.3%	\$ 74,330	\$	-	0.0%	\$	20,522	\$	- 0.0%
1991-92	\$ 74,330	\$ 4,154	5.9%	\$	63,421	\$ 3,632	6.1%	\$ 7	79,472	\$ 4,381	5.8%	\$	40,828	\$	2,979	7.9%	\$ 74,330	\$	4,154	5.9%	\$	20,522	\$ 1,16	1 6.0%
1990-91	\$ 70,176	\$ 3,071	4.6%	\$	59,789	\$ 2,647	4.6%	\$ 7	75,091	\$ 3,265	4.5%	\$	37,849	\$	2,017	5.6%	\$ 70,176	\$	3,071	4.6%	\$	19,361	\$ 86	0 4.6%
1989-90	\$ 67,105	\$ 3,379	5.3%	\$	57,142	\$ 2,910	5.4%	\$ 7	71,826	\$ 8,100	12.7%	\$	35,832	\$	2,191	6.5%	\$ 67,105	\$	3,379	5.3%	\$	18,501	\$ 94	5 5.4%
1988-89	\$ 63,726	\$ 7,662	13.7%	\$	54,232	\$ 7,192	15.3%	\$ (63,726	\$ 3,372	5.6%	\$	33,641	\$	2,148	6.8%	\$ 63,726	\$	3,372	5.6%	\$	17,556	\$ 94	2 5.7%
1987-88	\$ 56,064	\$ 2,936	5.5%	\$	47,040	\$ 2,494	5.6%	\$6	60,354	\$ 3,139	5.5%	\$	31,493	\$	1,963	6.6%	\$ 60,354	\$	3,139	5.5%	\$	16,614	\$ 87	6 5.6%
1986-87	\$ 53,128	\$ 3,717	7.5%	\$	44,546	\$ 3,144	7.6%	\$!	57,215	\$ 3,985	7.5%	\$	29,530	\$	2,345	8.6%	\$ 57,215	\$	3,985	7.5%	\$	15,738	\$ 1,10	6 7.6%
1985-86	\$ 49,411	-	-	\$	41,402	-	-	\$!	53,230	-	-	\$	27,185		-	-	\$ 53,230		-	-	\$	14,632	-	-
											•										-			

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for be 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Elected	l Coun	ty	Constitu	utional O	fficers	ar	nd Scho	ol C	Distric	ct Offic	ials	s Pursu	ıan	nt to th	e Salar	y Form	ula	in Chap	oter 145	, F	lorida	Statute	s
								Μ	larion Co	unty	/: Fisc	al Years	19	85-86 to	20	18-19									
	Clerk of Cir	cuit Court, I	Property																						
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervis	sor of Elect	ions			She	riff			County	Cor	mmissio	ners	Sch	ool S	Superinten	dent		School	Board M	mbers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	6 Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	7	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg	% Chg.
2018-19	\$ 145,550	\$ 1,240	0.9%	\$	145,550	\$ 1,240	0.9%	\$	154,947	\$	1,304	0.8%	\$	83,855	\$	807	1.0%	\$ 145,5	50	\$ 1,240	0.9%	\$	40,071	\$ 33	0.9%
2017-18	\$ 144,310	\$ 6,029	4.4%	\$	144,310	\$ 6,029	4.4%	\$	153,643	\$	6,399	4.3%	\$	83,048	\$	3,585	4.5%	\$ 144,3	10	\$ 6,029	4.4%	\$	39,732	\$ 1,6	67 4.4%
2016-17	\$ 138,281	\$ 458	0.3%	\$	138,281	\$ 19,849	16.8%	\$	147,244	\$	471	0.3%	\$	79,463	\$	358	0.5%	\$ 138,2	81	\$ 458	0.3%	\$	38,076	\$ 12	0.3%
2015-16	\$ 137,823	\$ 317	0.2%	\$	118,432	\$ 287	0.2%	\$	146,772	\$	327	0.2%	\$	79,105	\$	244	0.3%	\$ 137,8	23	\$ 317	0.2%	\$	37,953	\$ 8	0.2%
2014-15	\$ 137,506	\$ 507	0.4%	\$	118,144	\$ 448	0.4%	\$	146,446	\$	531	0.4%	\$	78,861	\$	342	0.4%	\$ 137,5	06	\$ 507	0.4%	\$	37,867	\$ 13	8 0.4%
2013-14	\$ 136,999	\$ 4,994	3.8%	\$	117,696	\$ 4,298	3.8%	\$	145,914	\$	5,314	3.8%	\$	78,519	\$	2,893	3.8%	\$ 136,9	99	\$ 4,994	3.8%	\$	37,729	\$ 1,3	4 3.8%
2012-13	\$ 132,005	\$ 29	0.0%	\$	113,398	\$ 27	0.0%	\$	140,601	\$	29	0.0%	\$	75,626	\$	27	0.0%	\$ 132,0	05	\$ 29	0.0%	\$	36,355	\$	8 0.0%
2011-12	\$ 131,976	\$55	0.0%	\$	113,371	\$ 53	0.0%	\$	140,572	\$	55	0.0%	\$	75,599	\$	53	0.1%	\$ 131,9	76	\$55	0.0%	\$	36,347	\$	5 0.0%
2010-11	\$ 131,921	\$66	0.0%	\$	113,318	\$ 63	0.1%	\$	140,517	\$	66	0.0%	\$	75,546	\$	63	0.1%	\$ 131,9	21	\$ 2,981	2.3%	\$	36,332	See	notes
2009-10	\$ 131,855		0.2%	\$	113,255	\$ 271	0.2%	\$	140,451	\$	284	0.2%	\$	75,484	\$	270	0.4%	\$ 128,9	40	See no	otes	\$	36,315	See	notes
2008-09	\$ 131,571	\$ 785	0.6%	\$	112,985	\$ 734	0.7%	\$	140,167	\$	795	0.6%	\$	75,213	\$	693	0.9%	\$ 131,5	71	\$ 785	0.6%	\$	36,240	\$ 20	9 0.6%
2007-08	\$ 130,786	\$ 652	0.5%	\$	112,251	\$ 621	0.6%	\$	139,372	\$	652	0.5%	\$	74,520	\$	622	0.8%	\$ 130,7	86	\$ 652	0.5%	\$	36,030	-	-
2006-07	\$ 130,134	\$ 5,075	4.1%	\$	111,629	\$ 4,421	4.1%	\$	138,720	\$	5,362	4.0%	\$	73,899	\$	3,160	4.5%	\$ 130,1	34	\$ 5,075	4.1%		N/A	-	-
2005-06	\$ 125,059	\$ 5,651	4.7%	\$	107,208	\$ 4,909	4.8%	\$	133,358	\$	5,981	4.7%	\$	70,739	\$	3,459	5.1%	\$ 125,0	59	\$ 5,651	4.7%		N/A	-	-
2004-05	\$ 119,408	\$ 2,278	1.9%	\$	102,299	\$ 2,013	2.0%	\$	127,377	\$	2,387	1.9%	\$	67,280	\$	1,533	2.3%	\$ 119,4	08	-	-		N/A	-	-
2003-04	\$ 117,130	\$ 2,690	2.4%	\$	100,286	\$ 2,341	2.4%	\$	124,990	\$	2,844	2.3%	\$	65,748	\$	1,664	2.6%		Se	ee notes			N/A	-	-
2002-03	\$ 114,440	\$ 3,148	2.8%	\$	97,945	\$ 2,723	2.9%	\$	122,146	\$	3,339	2.8%	\$	64,084	\$	1,881	3.0%	\$ 114,4	40	\$ 3,148	2.8%		S	ee notes	
2001-02	\$ 111,292	\$ 3,696	3.4%	\$	95,222	\$ 3,213	3.5%	\$	118,806	\$	3,910	3.4%	\$	62,202	\$	2,270	3.8%	\$ 111,2	92	\$ 3,696	3.4%	\$	30,695	\$ 1,0 ⁻	3 3.4%
2000-01	\$ 107,596	\$ 3,320	3.2%	\$	92,009	\$ 2,875	3.2%	\$	114,896	\$	3,520	3.2%	\$	59,932	\$	1,998	3.4%	\$ 107,5	96	\$ 3,320	3.2%	\$	29,682	\$ 9'	2 3.2%
1999-00	\$ 104,276	\$ 3,937	3.9%	\$	89,134	\$ 3,391	4.0%	\$	111,376	\$	4,187	3.9%	\$	57,934	\$	2,292	4.1%	\$ 104,2	76	\$ 3,937	3.9%	\$	28,770	\$ 1,08	3 3.9%
1998-99	\$ 100,339	\$ 5,285	5.6%	\$	85,743	\$ 4,554	5.6%	\$	107,189	\$	5,619	5.5%	\$	55,642	\$	3,085	5.9%	\$ 100,3	39	\$ 5,285	5.6%	\$	27,687	\$ 1,4	i4 5.5%
1997-98	\$ 95,054	\$ 4,709	5.2%	\$	81,189	\$ 4,044	5.2%	\$	101,570	\$	5,017	5.2%	\$	52,557	\$	2,690	5.4%	\$ 95,0	54	\$ 4,709	5.2%	\$	26,233	\$ 1,29	5.2%
1996-97	\$ 90,345	\$ 5,171	6.1%	\$	77,145	\$ 4,445	6.1%	\$	96,553	\$	5,506	6.0%	\$	49,867	\$	2,974	6.3%	\$ 90,3	45	\$ 5,171	6.1%	\$	24,936	\$ 1,42	4 6.1%
1995-96	\$ 85,174	\$ 3,568	4.4%	\$	72,700	\$ 3,070	4.4%	\$	91,047	\$	3,797	4.4%	\$	46,893	\$	2,064	4.6%	\$ 85,1	74	\$ 3,568	4.4%	\$	23,512	\$ 98	4.4%
1994-95	\$ 81,606	\$ 4,580	5.9%	\$	69,630	\$ 3,929	6.0%	\$	87,250	\$	4,882	5.9%	\$	44,829	\$	2,602	6.2%	\$ 81,6	06	-	-	\$	22,530	-	-
1993-94	\$ 77,026	\$ 3,135	4.2%	\$	65,701	\$ 2,699	4.3%	\$	82,368	\$	3,336	4.2%	\$	42,227	\$	1,818	4.5%		Se	ee notes			S	ee notes	
1992-93	\$ 73,891	\$ 344	0.5%	\$	63,002	\$ 327	0.5%	\$	79,032	\$	343	0.4%	\$	40,409	\$	580	1.5%	\$ 73,5	47	\$-	0.0%	\$	20,297	\$	- 0.0%
1991-92	\$ 73,547	\$ 3,491	5.0%	\$	62,675	\$ 3,000	5.0%	\$	78,689	\$	3,718	5.0%	\$	39,829	\$	2,185	5.8%	\$ 73,5	47	\$ 3,491	5.0%	\$	20,297	\$ 97	4 5.0%
1990-91	\$ 70,056	\$ 3,257	4.9%	\$	59,675	\$ 2,824	5.0%	\$	74,971	\$	3,450	4.8%	\$	37,644	\$	2,335	6.6%	\$ 70,0	56	\$ 3,257	4.9%	\$	19,323	\$ 9'	9 5.0%
1989-90	\$ 66,799	\$ 3,471	5.5%	\$	56,851	\$ 2,999	5.6%	\$	71,521	\$	8,193	12.9%	\$	35,309	\$	2,350	7.1%	\$ 66,7	99	\$ 3,471	5.5%	\$	18,404	\$ 9	5 5.6%
1988-89	\$ 63,328	\$ 7,762	14.0%	\$	53,852	\$ 7,286	15.6%	\$	63,328	\$	3,472	5.8%	\$	32,959	\$	2,320	7.6%	\$ 63,3	28	\$ 3,472	5.8%	\$	17,429	\$ 97	3 5.9%
1987-88	\$ 55,566	\$ 3,087	5.9%	\$	46,566	\$ 2,638	6.0%	\$	59,856	\$	3,291	5.8%	\$	30,639	\$	2,222	7.8%	\$ 59,8	56	\$ 3,291	5.8%	\$	16,456	\$ 92	4 5.9%
1986-87	\$ 52,479	\$ 3,863	7.9%	\$	43,928	\$ 3,283	8.1%	\$	56,565	\$	4,130	7.9%	\$	28,417	\$	2,595	10.0%	\$ 56,5	65	\$ 4,130	7.9%	\$	15,532	\$ 1,1	8.0%
1985-86	\$ 48,616	-	-	\$	40,645	-	-	\$	52,435		-	-	\$	25,822		-	-	\$ 52,4	35	-	-	\$	14,380	-	-
																		,							

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for be 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Elected	l Coun	ty	Constitu	utional C	Officers	an	nd Scho	ol	Distric	ct Offic	ials	s Pursu	an	nt to th	e Salaı	ry Formul	a ir	1 Chap	ter 145	, F	lorida	Statut	s
								Μ	artin Co	unt	y: Fisca	al Years	198	35-86 to	20 [.]	18-19									
	Clerk of Cir	cuit Court, I	Property																						
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervis	sor of Elect	tions			She	eriff			County	Cor	nmissio	ners	School	Sup	perintenc	lent		School	Board N	embers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	GChg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Ş	Salary	\$ Chg	. % Chợ
2018-19	\$ 129,511	\$ 1,136	0.9%	\$	129,511	\$ 1,136	0.9%	\$	138,909	\$	1,200	0.9%	\$	64,376	\$	870	1.4%	\$ 129,511	\$	1,136	0.9%	\$	35,538	\$ 3	22 0.9%
2017-18	\$ 128,376	\$ 5,182	4.2%	\$	128,376	\$ 5,182	4.2%	\$	137,709	\$	5,552	4.2%	\$	63,506	\$	2,674	4.4%	\$ 128,376	\$	5,182	4.2%	\$	35,216	\$ 1,4	25 4.2%
2016-17	\$ 123,194	\$ 349	0.3%	\$	123,194	\$ 19,027	18.3%	\$	132,157	\$	362	0.3%	\$	60,832	\$	374	0.6%	\$ 123,194	\$	349	0.3%	\$	33,790	\$ 1	0.3%
2015-16	\$ 122,845	\$ 193	0.2%	\$	104,167	\$ 169	0.2%	\$	131,794	\$	203	0.2%	\$	60,458	\$	164	0.3%	\$ 122,845	\$	193	0.2%	\$	33,687	\$	55 0.2%
2014-15	\$ 122,652	\$ 429	0.4%	\$	103,998	\$ 374	0.4%	\$	131,592	\$	454	0.3%	\$	60,294	\$	330	0.6%	\$ 122,652	\$	429	0.4%	\$	33,632	\$ 1	22 0.4%
2013-14	\$ 122,223	\$ 4,439	3.8%	\$	103,623	\$ 3,769	3.8%	\$	131,138	\$	4,759	3.8%	\$	59,963	\$	2,245	3.9%	\$ 122,223	\$	4,439	3.8%	\$	33,510	\$ 1,2	19 3.8%
2012-13	\$ 117,784	\$ 40	0.0%	\$	99,854	\$ 38	0.0%	\$	126,379	\$	40	0.0%	\$	57,718	\$	68	0.1%	\$ 117,784	\$	40	0.0%	\$	32,291	\$	13 0.0%
2011-12	\$ 117,744	\$ 265	0.2%	\$,	\$ 252	0.3%	\$	126,340	\$	265	0.2%	\$	57,650	\$	453	0.8%	\$ 117,744	\$	265	0.2%	\$	32,278	\$	84 0.3%
2010-11	\$ 117,479	\$ (1)	0.0%	\$	99,564	\$ (1)	0.0%	\$	126,075	\$	(1)	0.0%	\$	57,196	\$	(2)	0.0%	\$ 117,479	\$	2,363	2.1%	\$	32,194	Se	e notes
2009-10	\$ 117,481	\$ 15	0.0%	\$	99,566	\$ 14	0.0%	\$	126,076	\$	15	0.0%	\$	57,198	\$	24	0.0%	\$ 115,117		See no	otes	\$	32,195	Se	e notes
2008-09	\$ 117,466		0.2%	\$	99,552	\$ 221	0.2%	\$	126,062	\$	256	0.2%	\$	57,174	\$	264	0.5%	\$ 117,466	\$	246	0.2%	\$	32,190	\$	73 0.2%
2007-08	\$ 117,220	\$ 169	0.1%	\$	99,330	\$ 161	0.2%	\$	125,806	\$	169	0.1%	\$	56,910	\$	291	0.5%	\$ 117,220	\$	169	0.1%	\$	32,117	-	-
2006-07	\$ 117,051	\$ 4,270	3.8%	\$	99,169	\$ 3,654	3.8%	\$	125,637	\$	4,557	3.8%	\$	56,619	\$	2,502	4.6%	\$ 117,051	\$	4,270	3.8%		N/A	-	-
2005-06	\$ 112,781	\$ 4,798	4.4%	\$	95,515	\$ 4,097	4.5%	\$	121,080	\$	5,128	4.4%	\$	54,117	\$	2,689	5.2%	\$ 112,781	\$	4,798	4.4%		N/A	-	-
2004-05	\$ 107,983	\$ 1,818	1.7%	\$	91,418	\$ 1,575	1.8%	\$	115,952	\$	1,928	1.7%	\$	51,428	\$	1,284	2.6%	\$ 107,983		-	-		N/A	-	-
2003-04	\$ 106,165	\$ 2,291	2.2%	\$	89,843	\$ 1,961	2.2%	\$	114,025	\$	2,445	2.2%	\$	50,143	\$	1,342	2.8%		See	notes			N/A	-	-
2002-03	\$ 103,874	\$ 2,784	2.8%	\$	87,882	\$ 2,377	2.8%	\$	111,580	\$	2,976	2.7%	\$	48,801	\$	1,558	3.3%	\$ 103,874	\$	2,784	2.8%		S	ee note	6
2001-02	\$ 101,090	\$ 3,362	3.4%	\$	85,505	\$ 2,894	3.5%	\$	108,604	\$	3,576	3.4%	\$	47,242	\$	2,164	4.8%	\$ 101,090	\$	3,362	3.4%	\$	27,633		40 3.5%
2000-01	\$ 97,728	\$ 2,863	3.0%	\$	82,611	\$ 2,441	3.0%	\$	105,028	\$	3,063	3.0%	\$	45,078	\$	1,559	3.6%	\$ 97,728	\$	2,863	3.0%	\$	26,693	\$ 7	90 3.0%
1999-00	\$ 94,865	\$ 3,599	3.9%	\$	80,170	\$ 3,068	4.0%	\$	101,965	\$	3,849	3.9%	\$	43,519	\$	1,975	4.8%	\$ 94,865	\$	3,599	3.9%	\$	25,903	\$ 9	94 4.0%
1998-99	\$ 91,266	\$ 4,608	5.3%	\$	77,102	\$ 3,910	5.3%	\$	98,116	\$	4,942	5.3%	\$	41,544	\$	2,292	5.8%	\$ 91,266	\$	4,608	5.3%	\$	24,909	\$ 1,2	65 5.4%
1997-98	\$ 86,658	\$ 4,284	5.2%	\$	73,192	\$ 3,639	5.2%	\$	93,174	\$	4,592	5.2%	\$	39,252	\$	2,178	5.9%	\$ 86,658	\$	4,284	5.2%	\$	23,644	\$ 1,1	77 5.2%
1996-97	\$ 82,374	\$ 4,577	5.9%	\$	69,553	\$ 3,879	5.9%	\$	88,582	\$	4,912	5.9%	\$	37,074	\$	2,228	6.4%	\$ 82,374	\$	4,577	5.9%	\$	22,467	\$ 1,2	54 5.9%
1995-96	\$ 77,797	\$ 3,277	4.4%	\$	65,674	\$ 2,792	4.4%	\$	83,670	\$	3,506	4.4%	\$	34,846	\$	1,775	5.4%	\$ 77,797	\$	3,277	4.4%	\$	21,213	\$ 9	05 4.5%
1994-95	\$ 74,520	\$ 4,102	5.8%	\$	62,882	\$ 3,474	5.8%	\$	80,164	\$	4,404	5.8%	\$	33,071	\$	1,969	6.3%	\$ 74,520		-	-	\$	20,308	-	-
1993-94	\$ 70,418	\$ 2,768	4.1%	\$	59,408	\$ 2,349	4.1%	\$	75,760	\$	2,969	4.1%	\$	31,102	\$	1,382	4.7%		See	notes			S	ee note	5
1992-93	\$ 67,650	\$ 140	0.2%	\$	57,059	\$ 134	0.2%	\$	72,791	\$	139	0.2%	\$	29,720	\$	241	0.8%	\$ 67,510	\$	-	0.0%	\$	18,381	\$	- 0.0%
1991-92	\$ 67,510	\$ 3,525	5.5%	\$	56,925	\$ 3,032	5.6%	\$	72,652	\$	3,752	5.4%	\$	29,479	\$	1,865	6.8%	\$ 67,510	\$	3,525	5.5%	\$	18,381	\$ 9	58 5.5%
1990-91	\$ 63,985	\$ 3,116	5.1%	\$	53,893	\$ 2,690	5.3%	\$	68,900	\$	3,309	5.0%	\$	27,614	\$	1,655	6.4%	\$ 63,985	\$	3,116	5.1%	\$	17,423	\$8	44 5.1%
1989-90	\$ 60,869	\$ 3,237	5.6%	\$	51,203	\$ 2,775	5.7%	\$	65,591	\$	7,959	13.8%	\$	25,959	\$	1,627	6.7%	\$ 60,869	\$	3,237	5.6%	\$	16,579	\$8	78 5.6%
1988-89	\$ 57,632	\$ 7,698	15.4%	\$	48,428	\$ 7,226	17.5%	\$	57,632	\$	3,408	6.3%	\$	24,332	\$	1,778	7.9%	\$ 57,632	\$	3,408	6.3%	\$	15,701	\$ 9	24 6.3%
1987-88	\$ 49,934	\$ 2,751	5.8%	\$	41,202	\$ 2,318	6.0%	\$	54,224	\$	2,954	5.8%	\$	22,554	\$	1,432	6.8%	\$ 54,224	\$	2,954	5.8%	\$	14,777	\$8	02 5.7%
1986-87	\$ 47,183	\$ 3,475	8.0%	\$	38,884	\$ 2,914	8.1%	\$	51,270	\$	3,743	7.9%	\$	21,122	\$	1,752	9.0%	\$ 51,270	\$	3,743	7.9%	\$	13,975	\$ 1,0	17 7.8%
1985-86	\$ 43,708	-	-	\$	35,970	-	-	\$	47,527		-	-	\$	19,370		-	-	\$ 47,527		-	-	\$	12,958	-	-
							•												•						

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for be 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Electec	d Coun	ty	Constitu	utional O		and Scho								y Formula	a in Cha	oter 145	5, F	lorida	Statute	3
			_	-			N	liami-Dade	County: F	ISCAL Ye	ars	1985-86	to	2018-19)							
	Clerk of Circ																					
Fiscal		& Tax Col				sor of Elect			Sheriff			County					Superinten				Board Me	
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$ 194,422	\$ 2,080	1.1%	\$		\$ 2,080	1.1%	\$ 203,819	\$ 2,144	1.1%	\$	100,685	\$	688	0.7%	\$ 194,422	\$ 2,080	1.1%	\$	44,749	\$ 30	
2017-18	+	\$ 8,429	4.6%	\$	- /-	\$ 8,429	4.6%	\$ 201,675	\$ 8,799	4.6%	\$	99,997	\$	3,966	4.1%	\$ 192,342	\$ 8,429	4.6%	\$	44,443	\$ 1,76	
2016-17	\$ 183,914		0.5%	\$	/ -	. ,	13.0%	\$ 192,877	\$ 973	0.5%	\$	96,031	\$	143	0.1%	\$ 183,914	\$ 960	0.5%	\$	1	\$6	
2015-16	\$ 182,954		0.4%	\$.,	\$ 713	0.4%	\$ 191,903	\$ 746	0.4%	\$		\$	106	0.1%	\$ 182,954	\$ 736	0.4%	\$	42,617	\$ 4	
2014-15	\$ 182,218		0.6%	\$		\$ 966	0.6%	\$ 191,157	\$ 1,045	0.5%	\$	95,782	\$	259	0.3%	\$ 182,218	\$ 1,021	0.6%	\$	42,570	\$ 11	
2013-14		\$ 7,068	4.1%	\$		\$ 6,345	4.1%	\$ 190,112	\$ 7,388	4.0%	\$	95,523	\$	3,426	3.7%	\$ 181,197	\$ 7,068	4.1%	\$	42,455	\$ 1,52	3 3.7%
2012-13	\$ 174,128	\$ 329	0.2%	\$	154,698	\$ 329	0.2%	\$ 182,724	\$ 329	0.2%	\$	92,097	\$	-	0.0%	\$ 174,128	\$ 329	0.2%	\$	40,932	\$	- 0.0%
2011-12	\$ 173,800	\$ 394	0.2%	\$	154,369	\$ 394	0.3%	\$ 182,395	\$ 394	0.2%	\$	92,097	\$	-	0.0%	\$ 173,800	\$ 394	0.2%	\$	40,932	\$	- 0.0%
2010-11	\$ 173,405	\$ (81)	0.0%	\$	153,975	\$ (81)	-0.1%	\$ 182,001	\$ (81)	0.0%	\$	92,097	\$	-	0.0%	\$ 173,405	\$ 3,630	2.1%	\$	40,932	See	notes
2009-10	\$ 173,486	\$ 247	0.1%	\$	154,056	\$ 246	0.2%	\$ 182,082	\$ 247	0.1%	\$	92,097	\$	1	0.0%	\$ 169,775	See n	otes	\$	40,932	See	notes
2008-09	\$ 173,240	\$ 604	0.3%	\$	153,809	\$ 582	0.4%	\$ 181,835	\$ 613	0.3%	\$	92,096	\$	101	0.1%	\$ 173,240	\$ 604	0.3%	\$	40,932	\$ 4	5 0.1%
2007-08	\$ 172,636	\$ 243	0.1%	\$	153,227	\$ 243	0.2%	\$ 181,222	\$ 242	0.1%	\$	91,995	\$	(1)	0.0%	\$ 172,636	\$ 243	0.1%	\$	40,887	-	
2006-07	\$ 172,393	\$ 6,433	3.9%	\$	152,984	\$ 5,784	3.9%	\$ 180,980	\$ 6,721	3.9%	\$	91,996	\$	3,077	3.5%	\$ 172,393	\$ 6,433	3.9%		N/A	-	-
2005-06	\$ 165,960	\$ 7,114	4.5%	\$	147,200	\$ 6,368	4.5%	\$ 174,259	\$ 7,444	4.5%	\$	88,919	\$	3,536	4.1%	\$ 165,960	\$ 7,114	4.5%		N/A	-	-
2004-05	\$ 158,846	\$ 2,678	1.7%	\$	140,832	\$ 2,431	1.8%	\$ 166,815	\$ 2,788	1.7%	\$	85,383	\$	1,171	1.4%	\$ 158,846	-	-		N/A	-	-
2003-04	\$ 156,168	\$ 3,451	2.3%	\$	138,401	\$ 3,103	2.3%	\$ 164,028	\$ 3,606	2.2%	\$	84,213	\$	1,651	2.0%	s	ee notes			N/A	-	-
2002-03	\$ 152,716	\$ 4,263	2.9%	\$	135,298	\$ 3,830	2.9%	\$ 160,422	\$ 4,454	2.9%	\$	82,562	\$	2,053	2.6%	\$ 152,716	\$ 4,263	2.9%		S	ee notes	
2001-02	\$ 148,454	\$ 6,000	4.2%	\$	131,468	\$ 5,514	4.4%	\$ 155,968	\$ 6,214	4.1%	\$	80,509	\$	2,298	2.9%	\$ 148,454	\$ 6,000	4.2%	\$	35,782	\$ 1,02	2 2.9%
2000-01	\$ 142,454	\$ 4,388	3.2%	\$	125,954	\$ 3,937	3.2%	\$ 149,754	\$ 4,588	3.2%	\$	78,211	\$	2,139	2.8%	\$ 142,454	\$ 4,388	3.2%	\$	34,760	\$ 95	0 2.8%
1999-00	\$ 138,066	\$ 5,119	3.9%	\$	122,017	\$ 4,555	3.9%	\$ 145,166	\$ 5,369	3.8%	\$	76,072	\$	2,679	3.7%	\$ 138,066	\$ 5,119	3.9%	\$	33,810	\$ 1,19	1 3.7%
1998-99	\$ 132,947	\$ 6,827	5.4%	\$	117,462	\$ 6,070	5.4%	\$ 139,797	\$ 7,161	5.4%	\$	73,393	\$	3,582	5.1%	\$ 132,947	\$ 6,827	5.4%	\$	32,619	\$ 1,59	2 5.1%
1997-98	\$ 126,120	\$ 6,310	5.3%	\$	111,392	\$ 5,614	5.3%	\$ 132,636	\$ 6,618	5.3%	\$	69,811	\$	3,299	5.0%	\$ 126,120	\$ 6,310	5.3%	\$	31,027	\$ 1,46	6 5.0%
1996-97	\$ 119,810	\$ 6,724	5.9%	\$	105,778	\$ 5,968	6.0%	\$ 126,018	\$ 7,060	5.9%	\$	66,512	\$	3,588	5.7%	\$ 119,810	\$ 6,724	5.9%	\$	29,561	\$ 1,59	5 5.7%
1995-96	\$ 113,086	\$ 4,833	4.5%	\$	99,810	\$ 4,315	4.5%	\$ 118,958	\$ 5,061	4.4%	\$	62,924	\$	2,454	4.1%	\$ 113,086	\$ 4,833	4.5%	\$	27,966	\$ 1,09	1 4.1%
1994-95	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$ 5,466	5.3%	\$	95,495	\$ 4,783	5.3%	\$ 113,897	\$ 5,768	5.3%	\$	60,470	\$	3,234	5.7%	\$ 108,253	-	-	\$	26,875	-	
1993-94	\$ 102,787	\$ 4,066	4.1%	\$	90,712	\$ 3,613	4.1%	\$ 108,129	\$ 4,266	4.1%	\$	57,236	\$	2,148	3.9%	S	ee notes			Ś	ee notes	
1992-93	. ,	\$ 240	0.2%	\$	87,099	\$ 240	0.3%	\$ 103,863	\$ 240	0.2%	\$	55,088	\$	-	0.0%	\$ 98,481	\$ -	0.0%	\$		\$	- 0.0%
1991-92	\$ 98,481	\$ 4,937	5.3%	\$		\$ 4,425	5.4%	\$ 103,623	\$ 5,164	5.2%	\$	55,088	\$	2,426	4.6%	\$ 98,481	\$ 4,937	5.3%	\$		\$ 1,07	9 4.6%
1990-91	\$ 93,544	\$ 3,999	4.5%	\$	82,434	\$ 3,562	4.5%	\$ 98,459	\$ 4,193	4.4%	\$	52,662	\$	2,075	4.1%	\$ 93,544	\$ 3,999	4.5%	\$	23,405	\$ 92	
1989-90		\$ 4,379	5.1%	\$	78,872	\$ 3,893	5.2%	\$ 94,266	\$ 9,100	10.7%	\$	50,587	\$	2,300	4.8%	\$ 89,545	\$ 4,379	5.1%	\$,	\$ 1,02	2 4.8%
1988-89	\$ 85,166	. ,	11.2%	\$,	\$ 8,107	12.1%	\$ 85,166	\$ 4,306	5.3%	\$	48,287	\$	2,320	5.0%	\$ 85,166	\$ 4,306	5.3%	\$	21,461	\$ 1,03	1 5.0%
1987-88		\$ 3,769	5.2%	\$	-	\$ 3,308	5.2%	\$ 80,860	\$ 3,973	5.2%	\$	45,967	\$	2,184	5.0%	\$ 80,860	\$ 3,973	5.2%	\$,	\$ 97	
1986-87	\$ 72,801	\$ 4,874	7.2%	\$	/ -	\$ 4,270	7.2%	\$ 76,887	\$ 5,141	7.2%	\$	43,783	\$	2,865	7.0%	\$ 76,887	\$ 5,141	7.2%	\$	19,459	\$ 1,27	
1985-86	\$ 67.927	,		\$	59.294	,	-	\$ 71,746			\$	40.918	Ť	-	-	\$ 71.746	-		\$	18,186		
	,-=/		L	, ž	00,204		1	÷,. +•	1	L	Ţ					÷,. ••		I	Ŧ		L	

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for be 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Elected	d Coun	ty	Constitu	utional (Officers									e Salar	y Formula	a in	Chap	ter 145	, F	lorida	Statut	es	
								Mon	roe Co	unty: Fise	cal Years	s 19	85-86 to	20	18-19										
	Clerk of Cir	cuit Court,	Property																						
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col				sor of Elec				Sheriff			County	Con	nmissio	ners		Supe	erintend	lent		School	Board N	ember	rs
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Sa	alary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Ch	. %	Chg.
2018-19	\$ 116,768	\$ 1,033	0.9%	\$	116,768	\$ 1,033	0.9%	\$ 12	26,165	\$ 1,097	0.9%	\$	47,493	\$	549	1.2%	\$ 116,768	\$	1,033	0.9%	\$	31,837	\$ 2	80 0	0.9%
2017-18	\$ 115,734	\$ 5,085	4.6%	\$	115,734	\$ 5,085	4.6%	\$ 12	25,068	\$ 5,455	4.6%	\$	46,945	\$	2,333	5.2%	\$ 115,734	\$	5,085	4.6%	\$	31,557	\$ 1,3	83 4	4.6%
2016-17	\$ 110,649	\$ 208	0.2%	\$	110,649	\$ 18,296	19.8%	\$ 11	19,612	\$ 222	0.2%	\$	44,611	\$	108	0.2%	\$ 110,649	\$	208	0.2%	\$	30,174	\$	56 0	0.2%
2015-16	\$ 110,441		0.2%	\$,	\$ 226	0.2%	\$ 11	19,390		0.2%	\$,	\$	173	0.4%	\$ 110,441	\$	252	0.2%	\$	30,118	-		0.2%
2014-15	\$ 110,189		0.4%	\$		\$ 418	0.5%	\$ 11	19,128		0.4%	\$	44,330	\$	289	0.7%	\$ 110,189	\$	476	0.4%	\$	30,050	\$ 1	28 0	0.4%
2013-14	\$ 109,713		3.8%	\$	91,709	\$ 3,345	3.8%	\$ 11	18,629	\$ 4,313	3.8%	\$	44,042	\$	1,635	3.9%	\$ 109,713	\$	3,993	3.8%	\$	29,922	\$ 1,0	89 3	3.8%
2012-13	\$ 105,720	1 ())	-0.1%	\$		\$ (103) -0.1%	\$ 11	14,315	\$ (108)	-0.1%	\$,	\$	(103)	-0.2%	\$ 105,720	\$	(108)	-0.1%	\$				0.1%
2011-12	\$ 105,828	\$ (1,247)	-1.2%	\$		\$ (1,187) -1.3%	\$ 11	14,424	\$ (1,247)	-1.1%	\$,	\$	(1,187)	-2.7%	\$ 105,828	\$	(1,247)	-1.2%	\$	28,862	\$ (3	30) -1	1.1%
2010-11	\$ 107,075	\$ 476	0.4%	\$	89,655	\$ 453	0.5%		15,670	\$ 476	0.4%	\$	43,697	\$	453	1.0%	\$ 107,075	\$	1,874	1.8%	\$	29,192	Se	e notes	s
2009-10	\$ 106,599		-0.7%	\$		\$ (713		\$ 11	15,195		-0.6%	\$,=	\$	(713)	-1.6%	\$ 105,201		See no	tes	\$	29,066	Se	e notes	s
2008-09	\$ 107,348		-0.3%	\$		\$ (275		\$ 11	15,944	\$ (265)	-0.2%	\$		\$	(325)	-0.7%	\$ 107,348	\$	(274)	-0.3%	\$		\$	72) -0	0.2%
2007-08	\$ 107,622		-0.5%	\$,	\$ (468) -0.5%	\$ 11	16,209	\$ (491)	-0.4%	\$,===	\$	(467)	-1.0%	\$ 107,622	\$	(491)	-0.5%	\$	29,336	-		-
2006-07	\$ 108,114		3.8%	\$,	\$ 3,311	3.8%	\$ 11	16,700	\$ 4,196	3.7%	\$,	\$	1,776	4.1%	\$ 108,114	\$	3,909	3.8%		N/A	-		-
2005-06	\$ 104,205	1	4.3%	\$.,	\$ 3,632	4.3%	\$ 11	12,504	\$ 4,641	4.3%	\$	42,974	\$	1,868	4.5%	\$ 104,205	\$	4,311	4.3%		N/A	-		-
2004-05	\$ 99,894	\$ 1,227	1.2%	\$	83,715	\$ 1,012	1.2%	\$ 10	07,863	\$ 1,336	1.3%	\$	41,106	\$	428	1.1%	\$ 99,894		-	-		N/A	-		-
2003-04	\$ 98,667	\$ 2,061	2.1%	\$	82,702	\$ 1,743	2.2%	\$ 10	06,527	\$ 2,216	2.1%	\$	40,678	\$	919	2.3%		See n	otes			N/A	-		-
2002-03	\$ 96,605	\$ 2,627	2.8%	\$	80,960	\$ 2,228	2.8%	\$ 10	04,311	\$ 2,819	2.8%	\$	39,759	\$	1,203	3.1%	\$ 96,605	\$	2,627	2.8%		S	ee note	s	
2001-02	\$ 93,978	\$ 1,053	1.1%	\$	78,732	\$ 696	0.9%	\$ 10	01,492	\$ 1,268	1.3%	\$	38,556	\$	(451)	-1.2%	\$ 93,978	\$	1,053	1.1%	\$	25,618			1.2%
2000-01	\$ 92,925	\$ 2,837	3.1%	\$	78,036	\$ 2,415	3.2%	\$ 10	00,224	\$ 3,036	3.1%	\$	39,007	\$	1,347	3.6%	\$ 92,925	\$	2,837	3.1%	\$	25,318	\$ 7	70 3	3.1%
1999-00	\$ 90,088	\$ 3,358	3.9%	\$	75,621	\$ 2,839	3.9%	\$ 9	97,188	\$ 3,608	3.9%	\$	37,660	\$	1,503	4.2%	\$ 90,088	\$	3,358	3.9%	\$	24,548	\$ 9	14 3	3.9%
1998-99	\$ 86,730	\$ 4,419	5.4%	\$	72,782	\$ 3,730	5.4%	\$ 9	93,580	\$ 4,753	5.4%	\$	36,157	\$	1,942	5.7%	\$ 86,730	\$	4,419	5.4%	\$	23,634	\$ 1,2	03 5	5.4%
1997-98	\$ 82,311	\$ 3,962	5.1%	\$	69,052	\$ 3,332	5.1%		88,827	\$ 4,271	5.1%	\$	34,215	\$	1,686	5.2%	\$ 82,311	\$	3,962	5.1%	\$	22,431	\$ 1,0	79 5	5.1%
1996-97	\$ 78,349	\$ 4,430	6.0%	\$	65,720	\$ 3,739	6.0%	\$ 8	84,556	\$ 4,764	6.0%	\$	32,529	\$	1,948	6.4%	\$ 78,349	\$	4,430	6.0%	\$	21,352	\$ 1,2	05 6	6.0%
1995-96	\$ 73,919	\$ 2,964	4.2%	\$	61,981	\$ 2,495	4.2%	\$ 7	79,792	\$ 3,194	4.2%	\$	30,581	\$	1,271	4.3%	\$ 73,919	\$	2,964	4.2%	\$	20,147	\$8	08 4	4.2%
1994-95	\$ 70,955	\$ 3,923	5.9%	\$	59,486	\$ 3,303	5.9%	\$ 7	76,598	\$ 4,224	5.8%	\$	29,310	\$	1,689	6.1%	\$ 70,955		-	-	\$	19,339	-		-
1993-94	\$ 67,032	\$ 2,737	4.3%	\$	56,183	\$ 2,319	4.3%	\$ 7	72,374	\$ 2,937	4.2%	\$	27,621	\$	1,247	4.7%	9	See n	otes			S	ee note	s	
1992-93	\$ 64,295	\$ 233	0.4%	\$	53,864	\$ 222	0.4%	\$6	69,437	\$ 233	0.3%	\$	26,374	\$	222	0.8%	\$ 64,062	\$	-	0.0%	\$	17,465			0.0%
1991-92	\$ 64,062	\$ 2,682	4.4%	\$	53,642	\$ 2,230	4.3%	\$6	69,204	\$ 2,909	4.4%	\$	26,152	\$	1,020	4.1%	\$ 64,062	\$	2,682	4.4%	\$	17,465	\$ 7	32 4	4.4%
1990-91	\$ 61,380	\$ 2,697	4.6%	\$	51,412	\$ 2,291	4.7%	\$6	66,295	\$ 2,890	4.6%	\$	25,132	\$	1,255	5.3%	\$ 61,380	\$	2,697	4.6%	\$	16,733	\$ 7	33 4	4.6%
1989-90	\$ 58,683	\$ 3,003	5.4%	\$	49,121	\$ 2,552	5.5%	\$6	63,405	\$ 7,725	13.9%	\$	23,877	\$	1,405	6.3%	\$ 58,683	\$	3,003	5.4%	\$	16,000	\$8	16 5	5.4%
1988-89	\$ 55,680	\$ 7,229	14.9%	\$	46,569	\$ 6,779	17.0%	\$ 5	55,680	\$ 2,939	5.6%	\$	22,472	\$	1,331	6.3%	\$ 55,680	\$	2,939	5.6%	\$	15,184	\$8	00 5	5.6%
1987-88	\$ 48,451	\$ 2,516	5.5%	\$	39,790	\$ 2,094	5.6%	\$ 5	52,741	\$ 2,719	5.4%	\$	21,141	\$	1,207	6.1%	\$ 52,741	\$	2,719	5.4%	\$	14,384	\$ 7	40 5	5.4%
1986-87	\$ 45,935	\$ 3,232	7.6%	\$	37,696	\$ 2,682	7.7%	\$ 5	50,022	\$ 3,500	7.5%	\$	19,934	\$	1,520	8.3%	\$ 50,022	\$	3,500	7.5%	\$	13,644	\$ 9	52 7	7.5%
1985-86	\$ 42,703	-	-	\$	35,014	-	-	\$ 4	46,522	-	-	\$	18,414		-	-	\$ 46,522		-	-	\$	12,692	-		-
											•														

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for be 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Elected	I Count	ty	Constitu	ution	al O											e Salai	y For	nula	a in Cha	pter 145	5, F	lorida	Stat	utes	
									Nas	sau Co	ount	ty: Fisc	al Years	s 19	85-86 to	20	18-19										
	Clerk of Cire	cuit Court,	Property																								
Fiscal	Al Appraiser & Tax Collector Supervisor of Elections Salary \$ Chg. % Chg. Salary \$ Chg. % Chg. Salar														County	Cor	nmissio	ners			Superinten	dent		School			bers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Ch	g.	% Chg.	Sa	alary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Sala	ry	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ C	Chg.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$ 117,773	\$ 1,537	1.3%	\$	117,773	\$1,	537	1.3%	\$1	27,171	\$	1,601	1.3%	\$	48,451	\$	1,028	2.2%	\$ 117	773	\$ 1,537	1.3%	\$	32,103	\$	413	1.3%
2017-18	\$ 116,237	\$ 4,961	4.5%	\$	116,237	\$4,	961	4.5%	\$1	25,570	\$	5,331	4.4%	\$	47,423	\$	2,215	4.9%	\$ 116	237	\$ 4,961	4.5%	\$	31,690	\$	1,350	4.4%
2016-17	\$ 111,276	\$ 492	0.4%	\$	111,276	\$ 18,	596	20.1%	\$1	20,239	\$	505	0.4%	\$	45,208	\$	378	0.8%	\$ 111	276	\$ 492	0.4%	\$	30,340	\$	132	0.4%
2015-16	\$ 110,784	\$ 300	0.3%	\$	92,680	\$	271	0.3%	\$1	19,733	\$	310	0.3%	\$	44,830	\$	218	0.5%	\$ 110	784	\$ 300	0.3%	\$	30,208	\$	80	0.3%
2014-15	\$ 110,484	\$ 544	0.5%	\$	92,409	\$	483	0.5%	\$1	19,424	\$	568	0.5%	\$	44,612	\$	354	0.8%	\$ 110	484	\$ 544	0.5%	\$	30,128	\$	146	0.5%
2013-14	\$ 109,940	\$ 3,959	3.7%	\$	91,925	\$3,	312	3.7%	\$1	18,855	\$	4,279	3.7%	\$		\$	1,602	3.8%	\$ 109	940	\$ 3,959	3.7%	\$	29,982	\$	1,079	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 105,981	\$95	0.1%	\$	88,614	\$	91	0.1%	\$1	14,577	\$	95	0.1%	\$	42,655	\$	91	0.2%	\$ 105	981	\$ 95	0.1%	\$	28,902	\$	25	0.1%
2011-12	\$ 105,886	\$ 187	0.2%	\$	88,523		178	0.2%	\$1	14,481	\$	187	0.2%	\$,	\$	178	0.4%	\$ 105	886	\$ 187	0.2%	\$	28,877	\$	50	0.2%
2010-11	\$ 105,699		0.2%	\$	88,344		165	0.2%	\$1	14,294	\$	174	0.2%	\$	42,386	\$	165	0.4%	\$ 105	699	\$ 2,878	2.8%	\$	28,827		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 105,525		0.6%	\$	88,179	\$	577	0.7%	\$1	14,121	\$	606	0.5%	\$	42,221	\$	576	1.4%	\$ 102	821	See n	otes	\$	28,781		See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 104,919		0.5%	\$	87,602		435	0.5%	\$1	13,515	\$	480	0.4%	\$	41,644	\$	385	0.9%	\$ 104	919	\$ 471	0.5%	\$	28,621	\$	126	0.4%
2007-08	\$ 104,448	\$ 625	0.6%	\$	87,167		595	0.7%		13,035	\$	624	0.6%	\$	41,260	\$	595	1.5%	\$ 104	448	\$ 625	0.6%	\$	28,495		-	-
2006-07	\$ 103,824	\$ 3,657	3.7%	\$	86,572	\$3,	071	3.7%	\$1	12,410	\$	3,944	3.6%	\$	40,664	\$	1,536	3.9%	\$ 103	824	\$ 3,657	3.7%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 100,167	\$ 4,451	4.6%	\$	83,501		765	4.7%	\$1	08,466	\$	4,780	4.6%	\$, -	\$	2,001	5.4%	\$ 100	167	\$ 4,450	4.6%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 95,716	\$ 1,776	1.9%	\$	79,736	\$1,	535	2.0%	\$1	03,686	\$	1,885	1.9%	\$	37,127	\$	951	2.6%	\$ 95	716	-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 93,940	\$ 2,231	2.4%	\$	78,201	\$1,	904	2.5%	\$1	01,800	\$	2,385	2.4%	\$	36,177	\$	1,080	3.1%		S	ee notes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 91,709	\$ 2,674	3.0%	\$	76,297	\$2,	272	3.1%	\$	99,415	\$	2,866	3.0%	\$	35,096	\$	1,248	3.7%	\$ 91	709	\$ 2,674	3.0%		S	iee no	otes	
2001-02	\$ 89,035		3.0%	\$	74,025		172	3.0%	\$	96,550	\$	2,819	3.0%	\$	33,849	\$	1,025	3.1%	\$89	035	\$ 2,603	3.0%	\$	24,310	\$	711	3.0%
2000-01	\$ 86,432	\$ 2,970	3.6%	\$	71,853		542	3.7%	\$	93,731	\$	3,169	3.5%	\$	32,824	\$	1,475	4.7%	\$ 86	432	\$ 2,970	3.6%	\$	23,599	\$	806	3.5%
1999-00	\$ 83,462	\$ 3,308	4.1%	\$			793	4.2%	\$	90,562	\$	3,558	4.1%	\$		\$	1,456	4.9%			\$ 3,308	4.1%	\$	22,793		900	4.1%
1998-99	\$ 80,154	\$ 4,233	5.6%	\$	66,518	\$3,	552	5.6%	\$	87,004	\$	4,568	5.5%	\$	29,893	\$	1,764	6.3%	\$ 80	154	\$ 4,233	5.6%	\$	21,893	\$	1,154	5.6%
1997-98	\$ 75,921	\$ 1,668	2.2%	\$	62,966	\$1,	147	1.9%	\$	82,436	\$	1,975	2.5%	\$	28,129	\$	1,718	6.5%	\$ 75	921	\$ 1,668	2.2%	\$	20,739	\$	1,087	5.5%
1996-97	\$ 74,253	\$ 4,393	6.3%	\$	61,819	\$3,	703	6.4%	\$	80,461	\$	4,728	6.2%	\$	26,411	\$	1,793	7.3%	\$ 74	253	\$ 4,393	6.3%	\$	19,652	\$	1,162	6.3%
1995-96	\$ 69,860	\$ 2,919	4.4%	\$	58,116	\$2,	452	4.4%	\$	75,733	\$	3,148	4.3%	\$	24,618	\$	1,146	4.9%	\$ 69	860	\$ 2,919	4.4%	\$	18,490	\$	773	4.4%
1994-95	\$ 66,941	\$ 3,761	6.0%	\$	55,664	\$3,	149	6.0%	\$	72,585	\$	4,063	5.9%	\$	23,472	\$	1,427	6.5%	\$ 66	941	-	-	\$	17,717		-	-
1993-94	\$ 63,180	\$ 2,485	4.1%	\$	52,515	\$2,	080	4.1%	\$	68,522	\$	2,685	4.1%	\$	22,045	\$	936	4.4%		S	ee notes			S	iee no	otes	
1992-93	\$ 60,695	\$ 195	0.3%	\$	50,435	\$	186	0.4%	\$	65,837	\$	196	0.3%	\$	21,109	\$	186	0.9%	\$ 60	500	\$-	0.0%	\$	16,012	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 60,500	\$ 1,942	3.3%	\$	50,249	\$1,	525	3.1%	\$	65,641	\$	2,168	3.4%	\$	20,923	\$	233	1.1%	\$ 60	500	\$ 1,942	3.3%	\$	16,012	\$	514	3.3%
1990-91	\$ 58,558	\$ 2,706	4.8%	\$	48,724	\$2,	300	5.0%	\$	63,473	\$	2,900	4.8%	\$	20,690	\$	1,196	6.1%	\$ 58	558	\$ 2,706	4.8%	\$	15,498	\$	716	4.8%
1989-90	\$ 55,852	\$ 2,813	5.3%	\$	46,424	\$2,	370	5.4%	\$	60,573	\$	7,534	14.2%	\$	19,494	\$	1,146	6.2%	\$ 55	852	\$ 2,813	5.3%	\$	14,782	\$	744	5.3%
1988-89	\$ 53,039	\$ 7,190	15.7%	\$	44,054	\$6,	742	18.1%	\$	53,039	\$	2,900	5.8%	\$	18,348	\$	1,217	7.1%	\$ 53	039	\$ 2,900	5.8%	\$	14,038	\$	768	5.8%
1987-88	\$ 45,849	\$ 2,482	5.7%	\$	37,312	\$2,	062	5.8%	\$	50,139	\$	2,686	5.7%	\$	17,131	\$	1,103	6.9%	\$ 50	139	\$ 2,686	5.7%	\$	13,270	\$	711	5.7%
1986-87	\$ 43,367	\$ 3,143	7.8%	\$	35,250	\$2,	597	8.0%	\$	47,453	\$	3,410	7.7%	\$	16,028	\$	1,340	9.1%	\$ 47	453	\$ 3,410	7.7%	\$	12,559	\$	902	7.7%
1985-86	\$ 40,224	-	-	\$	32,653	-		-	\$	44,043		-	-	\$	14,688		-	-	\$ 44	043	-	-	\$	11,657		-	-
									•		•												·	-			

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for school board members that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendent's salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Elected	l Coun	ty	Constitu	utional O											e Salaı	y Formu	la i	n Chap	ter 145	, F	lorida	Statu	es	
								Ok	aloosa C	ount	y: Fis	cal Year	's 1	985-86 t	o 2	018-19										
	Clerk of Cire																									
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector			sor of Elect	ions			Sheri					Cor	mmissio			l Su	perintenc	lent		School	Board I	/lemb	ers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ C	;hg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	6 Chg.	% Chg.	Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Ch	g.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$ 134,500	\$ 1,218	0.9%	\$	134,500	\$ 1,218	0.9%	\$	143,897	\$ 1	1,282	0.9%	\$	72,927	\$	1,011	1.4%	\$ 134,500	\$	1,218	0.9%	\$	37,121	\$	348	0.9%
2017-18	\$ 133,282	\$ 5,401	4.2%	\$	133,282	\$ 5,401	4.2%	\$	142,615	\$ 5	5,771	4.2%	\$	71,916	\$	3,050	4.4%	\$ 133,282	\$	5,401	4.2%	\$	36,773	\$1,	195	4.2%
2016-17	\$ 127,881	\$ 328	0.3%	\$	127,881	\$ 19,230	17.7%	\$	136,844	\$	342	0.3%	\$	68,867	\$	339	0.5%	\$ 127,881	\$	328	0.3%	\$	35,278	\$	96	0.3%
2015-16	\$ 127,552	\$ 400	0.3%	\$	108,650	\$ 367	0.3%	\$	136,502	\$	410	0.3%	\$	68,528	\$	520	0.8%	\$ 127,552	\$	400	0.3%	\$	35,181	\$	121	0.3%
2014-15	\$ 127,152	\$ 463	0.4%	\$	108,283	\$ 407	0.4%	\$	136,092	\$	488	0.4%	\$	68,008	\$	388	0.6%	\$ 127,152	\$	463	0.4%	\$	35,060	\$	133	0.4%
2013-14	\$ 126,689	\$ 5,146	4.2%	\$	107,877	\$ 4,442	4.3%	\$	135,604	\$ 5	5,465	4.2%	\$	67,620	\$	3,457	5.4%	\$ 126,689	\$	5,146	4.2%	\$	34,928	\$1,	144	4.3%
2012-13	\$ 121,543	\$ 92	0.1%	\$	103,435	\$ 88	0.1%	\$	130,139	\$	92	0.1%	\$	64,163	\$	158	0.2%	\$ 121,543	\$	92	0.1%	\$	33,484	\$	29	0.1%
2011-12	\$ 121,451	\$ (1,656)	-1.3%	\$	103,347	\$ (1,577)	-1.5%	\$	130,047	\$ (1	1,656)	-1.3%	\$	64,005	\$	(2,839)	-4.2%	\$ 121,451	\$	(1,656)	-1.3%	\$	33,455	\$ (526)	-1.5%
2010-11	\$ 123,108	\$ (146)	-0.1%	\$	104,924	\$ (139)	-0.1%	\$	131,703	\$	(146)	-0.1%	\$	66,844	\$	(251)	-0.4%	\$ 123,108	\$	2,431	2.0%	\$	33,980	S	e no	tes
2009-10	\$ 123,254	\$ 114	0.1%	\$	105,064	\$ 109	0.1%	\$	131,849	\$	114	0.1%	\$	67,095	\$	195	0.3%	\$ 120,677		See no	otes	\$	34,027	S	e no	tes
2008-09	\$ 123,139	\$ 550	0.4%	\$	104,955	\$ 511	0.5%	\$	131,735	\$	560	0.4%	\$	66,900	\$	785	1.2%	\$ 123,139	\$	550	0.4%	\$	33,990	\$	169	0.5%
2007-08	\$ 122,589	\$ 399	0.3%	\$	104,444	\$ 380	0.4%	\$	131,175	\$	399	0.3%	\$	66,115	\$	686	1.0%	\$ 122,589	\$	399	0.3%	\$	33,821	-		-
2006-07	\$ 122,190	\$ 4,415	3.7%	\$	104,064	\$ 3,793	3.8%	\$	130,776	\$ 4	4,702	3.7%	\$	65,429	\$	2,751	4.4%	\$ 122,190	\$	4,414	3.7%		N/A	-		-
2005-06	\$ 117,775	\$ 5,149	4.6%	\$	100,271	\$ 4,431	4.6%	\$	126,074	\$ 5	5,479	4.5%	\$	62,678	\$	3,291	5.5%	\$ 117,775	\$	5,149	4.6%		N/A	-		-
2004-05	\$ 112,626	\$ 1,950	1.8%	\$	95,840	\$ 1,700	1.8%	\$	120,595	\$ 2	2,059	1.7%	\$	59,387	\$	1,510	2.6%	\$ 112,626		-	-		N/A	-		-
2003-04	\$ 110,676	\$ 2,508	2.3%	\$	94,140	\$ 2,168	2.4%	\$	118,536	\$ 2	2,662	2.3%	\$	57,877	\$	1,716	3.1%		See	notes			N/A	-		-
2002-03	\$ 108,168	\$ 2,967	2.8%	\$	91,972	\$ 2,551	2.9%	\$	115,874	\$ 3	3,159	2.8%	\$	56,162	\$	1,872	3.4%	\$ 108,168	\$	2,967	2.8%		S	ee not	s	
2001-02	\$ 105,201	\$ 2,174	2.1%	\$	89,421	\$ 1,763	2.0%	\$	112,715	\$ 2	2,388	2.2%	\$	54,290	\$	128	0.2%	\$ 105,201	\$	2,174	2.1%	\$	28,938	\$	563	2.0%
2000-01	\$ 103,027	\$ 3,175	3.2%	\$	87,658	\$ 2,737	3.2%	\$	110,327	\$	3,374	3.2%	\$	54,162	\$	2,093	4.0%	\$ 103,027	\$	3,175	3.2%	\$	28,375	\$	389	3.2%
1999-00	\$ 99,852	\$ 3,904	4.1%	\$	84,921	\$ 3,360	4.1%	\$	106,953	\$ 4	4,155	4.0%	\$	52,069	\$	2,499	5.0%	\$ 99,852	\$	3,904	4.1%	\$	27,486	\$1,)91	4.1%
1998-99	\$ 95,948	\$ 5,148	5.7%	\$	81,561	\$ 4,424	5.7%	\$	102,798	\$ 5	5,482	5.6%	\$	49,570	\$	3,217	6.9%	\$ 95,948	\$	5,148	5.7%	\$	26,395	\$ 1,	136	5.8%
1997-98	\$ 90,800	\$ 4,494	5.2%	\$	77,137	\$ 3,839	5.2%	\$	97,316	\$ 4	4,803	5.2%	\$	46,353	\$	2,539	5.8%	\$ 90,800	\$	4,494	5.2%	\$	24,959	\$ 1,	244	5.2%
1996-97	\$ 86,306	\$ 4,978	6.1%	\$	73,298	\$ 4,261	6.2%	\$	92,513	\$ 5	5,313	6.1%	\$	43,814	\$	2,916	7.1%	\$ 86,306	\$	4,978	6.1%	\$	23,715	\$1,	382	6.2%
1995-96	\$ 81,328	\$ 3,440	4.4%	\$	69,037	\$ 2,948	4.5%	\$	87,200	\$ 3	3,669	4.4%	\$	40,898	\$	2,055	5.3%	\$ 81,328	\$	3,440	4.4%	\$	22,333	\$	956	4.5%
1994-95	\$ 77,888	\$ 4,467	6.1%	\$	66,089	\$ 3,821	6.1%	\$	83,531	\$ 4	4,768	6.1%	\$	38,843	\$	2,594	7.2%	\$ 77,888		-	-	\$	21,377	-		-
1993-94	\$ 73,421	\$ 3,007	4.3%	\$	62,268	\$ 2,577	4.3%	\$	78,763	\$ 3	3,208	4.2%	\$	36,249	\$	1,791	5.2%		See	notes			S	ee not	s	
1992-93	\$ 70,414	\$ 148	0.2%	\$	59,691	\$ 141	0.2%	\$	75,555	\$	148	0.2%	\$,	\$	255	0.7%	\$ 70,266	\$	-	0.0%	\$	19,255		-	0.0%
1991-92	. ,	\$ 2,251	3.3%	\$	59,550	\$ 1,819	3.2%	\$	75,407	\$ 2	2,477	3.4%	\$	34,203	\$	59	0.2%	\$ 70,266		2,251	3.3%	\$	19,255		580	3.1%
1990-91	\$ 68,015	\$ 2,872	4.4%	\$	57,731	\$ 2,458	4.4%	\$	72,930		3,066	4.4%	\$	34,144	\$	1,675	5.2%	\$ 68,015	\$	2,872	4.4%	\$	18,675		797	4.5%
1989-90	\$ 65,143	\$ 3,256	5.3%	\$	55,273	\$ 2,793	5.3%	\$	69,864		7,977	12.9%	\$	32,469	\$	1,981	6.5%	\$ 65,143	\$	3,256	5.3%	\$	17,878		906	5.3%
1988-89	\$ 61,887		14.0%	\$	52,480	\$ 7,134	15.7%	\$	61,887		3,312	5.7%	\$	30,488	\$	2,045	7.2%	\$ 61,887	\$	3,312	5.7%	\$	16,972		923	5.8%
1987-88	. ,	\$ 2,904	5.7%	\$	45.346	\$ 2,463	5.7%	\$	58,575		3,107	5.6%	\$		\$	1,907	7.2%	\$ 58,575		3,107	5.6%	\$			365	5.7%
1986-87	\$ 51,381	\$ 3,716	7.8%	\$	42,883	\$ 3,144	7.9%	\$	55,468		3,984	7.7%	\$	26,536	\$	2,344	9.7%	\$ 55,468		3,984	7.7%	\$	15,184		106	7.9%
1985-86	\$ 47,665	-	-	\$	39.739	,	-	\$	51,484		-	-	\$	24.192	-	-,	-	\$ 51.484		-	-	\$	14.078	-		-
	÷,•••			. •	,			· ·	.,				, Ý	,.•_				, J.,				Ŧ	,•.•			

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Elected	d Coun	ty	Constitu	utional C												уF	ormula	a in	Chap	ter 145	, F	lorida	Stat	utes	
							0	keed	chobee	Cou	inty: Fi	iscal Ye	ars	1985-86	to	2018-19	9										
	Clerk of Cir	cuit Court, I	Property																								
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector			sor of Elect	tions			She				County	Cor	nmissio			School	Sup	erintend	lent		School	Boar	d Memb	bers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	S	Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$ 109,589	\$ 865	0.8%	\$	109,589	\$ 865	0.8%	\$	118,986	\$	930	0.8%	\$	37,300	\$	366	1.0%	\$	109,589	\$	865	0.8%	\$	29,005	\$	229	0.8%
2017-18	\$ 108,724	\$ 4,566	4.4%	\$	108,724	\$ 4,566	4.4%	\$	118,057	\$	4,936	4.4%	\$	36,934	\$	1,706	4.8%	\$	108,724	\$	4,566	4.4%	\$	28,776	\$	1,208	4.4%
2016-17	\$ 104,158	\$ 230	0.2%	\$	104,158	\$ 18,007	20.9%	\$	113,121	\$	244	0.2%	\$	35,228	\$	124	0.4%	\$	104,158	\$	230	0.2%	\$	27,567	\$	61	0.2%
2015-16	\$ 103,928		0.1%	\$	86,151	\$ 116	0.1%	\$	112,877	\$	147	0.1%	\$	35,104	\$	60	0.2%	\$	103,928	\$	137	0.1%	\$	27,506	\$	36	0.1%
2014-15	\$ 103,790	\$ 267	0.3%	\$	86,034	\$ 219	0.3%	\$	112,730	\$	291	0.3%	\$	35,044	\$	81	0.2%	\$	103,790	\$	267	0.3%	\$	27,470	\$	71	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 103,524	\$ 3,692	3.7%	\$	85,815	\$ 3,058	3.7%	\$	112,439	\$	4,012	3.7%	\$	34,963	\$	1,234	3.7%	\$	103,524	\$	3,692	3.7%	\$	27,399	\$	977	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 99,832	\$ (41)	0.0%	\$	82,757	\$ (39)	0.0%	\$	108,428	\$	(41)	0.0%	\$	33,729	\$	(39)	-0.1%	\$	99,832	\$	(41)	0.0%	\$	26,422	\$	(11)	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 99,872	\$94	0.1%	\$	82,796	\$ 90	0.1%	\$	108,468	\$	94	0.1%	\$	33,768	\$	90	0.3%	\$	99,872	\$	94	0.1%	\$	26,433	\$	25	0.1%
2010-11	\$ 99,778		-0.1%	\$	82,706	\$ (92)	-0.1%	\$	108,374	\$	(97)	-0.1%	\$	33,678	\$	(92)	-0.3%	\$	99,778	\$	2,209	2.3%	\$	26,408		See no	tes
2009-10	\$ 99,875	\$ 314	0.3%	\$	82,798	\$ 299	0.4%	\$	108,470	\$	314	0.3%	\$	33,770	\$	299	0.9%	\$	97,569		See no	otes	\$	26,434		See no	tes
2008-09	\$ 99,560	\$ 227	0.2%	\$	82,499	\$ 202	0.2%	\$	108,156	\$	236	0.2%	\$	33,471	\$	148	0.4%	\$	99,560	\$	227	0.2%	\$	26,351	\$	60	0.2%
2007-08	\$ 99,334	\$ 289	0.3%	\$	82,296	\$ 275	0.3%	\$	107,920	\$	289	0.3%	\$	33,322	\$	276	0.8%	\$	99,334	\$	289	0.3%	\$	26,291		-	-
2006-07	\$ 99,045	\$ 3,238	3.4%	\$	82,021	\$ 2,672	3.4%	\$	107,631	\$	3,525	3.4%	\$	33,046	\$	1,034	3.2%	\$	99,045	\$	3,238	3.4%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 95,807	\$ 4,039	4.4%	\$	79,349	\$ 3,374	4.4%	\$	104,106	\$	4,369	4.4%	\$	32,012	\$	1,491	4.9%	\$	95,807	\$	4,039	4.4%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 91,768	\$ 1,460	1.6%	\$	75,975	\$ 1,234	1.7%	\$	99,737	\$	1,569	1.6%	\$	30,521	\$	611	2.0%	\$	91,768		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 90,308	\$ 1,887	2.1%	\$	74,741	\$ 1,576	2.2%	\$	98,168	\$	2,041	2.1%	\$	29,910	\$	697	2.4%		S	iee n	otes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 88,421	\$ 2,266	2.6%	\$	73,165	\$ 1,883	2.6%	\$	96,127	\$	2,457	2.6%	\$	29,212	\$	790	2.8%	\$	88,421	\$	2,266	2.6%		S	ee n	otes	
2001-02	\$ 86,155	\$ 2,569	3.1%	\$	71,282	\$ 2,139	3.1%	\$	93,670	\$	2,784	3.1%	\$	28,422	\$	915	3.3%	\$	86,155	\$	2,569	3.1%	\$	22,803	\$	680	3.1%
2000-01	\$ 83,586	\$ 2,406	3.0%	\$	69,143	\$ 2,006	3.0%	\$	90,886	\$	2,606	3.0%	\$	27,507	\$	867	3.3%	\$	83,586	\$	2,406	3.0%	\$	22,123	\$	637	3.0%
1999-00	\$ 81,180	\$ 2,939	3.8%	\$		\$ 2,440	3.8%	\$	88,280	\$	3,189	3.7%	\$	26,640	\$	1,015	4.0%	\$	81,180	\$	2,939	3.8%	\$	21,486	\$	778	3.8%
1998-99	\$ 78,241	\$ 4,088	5.5%	\$	64,697	\$ 3,415	5.6%	\$	85,091	\$	4,422	5.5%	\$	25,625	\$	1,507	6.2%	\$	78,241	\$	4,088	5.5%	\$	20,708	\$	1,082	5.5%
1997-98	\$ 74,153	\$ 3,688	5.2%	\$	61,282	\$ 3,071	5.3%	\$	80,669	\$	3,996	5.2%	\$	24,118	\$	1,314	5.8%	\$	74,153	\$	3,688	5.2%	\$	19,626	\$	976	5.2%
1996-97	\$ 70,465	\$ 3,918	5.9%	\$	58,211	\$ 3,251	5.9%	\$	76,673	\$	4,253	5.9%	\$	22,804	\$	1,342	6.3%	\$	70,465	\$	3,918	5.9%	\$	18,650	\$	1,037	5.9%
1995-96	\$ 66,547	\$ 2,715	4.3%	\$	54,960	\$ 2,258	4.3%	\$	72,420	\$	2,944	4.2%	\$	21,462	\$	951	4.6%	\$	66,547	\$	2,715	4.3%	\$	17,613	\$	719	4.3%
1994-95	\$ 63,832	\$ 3,545	5.9%	\$	52,702	\$ 2,943	5.9%	\$	69,476	\$	3,847	5.9%	\$	20,511	\$	1,222	6.3%	\$	63,832		-	-	\$	16,894		-	-
1993-94	\$ 60,287	\$ 2,444	4.2%	\$	49,759	\$ 2,040	4.3%	\$	65,629	\$	2,644	4.2%	\$	19,289	\$	896	4.9%		S	ee n	otes			S	ee n	otes	
1992-93	\$ 57,843	\$ 103	0.2%	\$	47,719	\$ 98	0.2%	\$	62,985	\$	104	0.2%	\$	18,393	\$	99	0.5%	\$	57,740	\$	-	0.0%	\$	15,282	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 57,740	\$ 2,485	4.5%	\$	47,621	\$ 2,043	4.5%	\$	62,881	\$	2,711	4.5%	\$	18,294	\$	750	4.3%	\$	57,740	\$	2,485	4.5%	\$	15,282	\$	658	4.5%
1990-91	\$ 55,255	\$ 2,386	4.5%	\$	45,578	\$ 1,994	4.6%	\$	60,170	\$	2,580	4.5%	\$	17,544	\$	891	5.4%	\$	55,255	\$	2,386	4.5%	\$	14,624	\$	631	4.5%
1989-90	\$ 52,869	\$ 2,576	5.1%	\$	43,584	\$ 2,146	5.2%	\$	57,590	\$	7,297	14.5%	\$	16,653	\$	920	5.8%	\$	52,869	\$	2,576	5.1%	\$	13,993	\$	682	5.1%
1988-89	\$ 50,293	\$ 6,896	15.9%	\$	41,438	\$ 6,462	18.5%	\$	50,293	\$	2,606	5.5%	\$	15,733	\$	937	6.3%	\$	50,293	\$	2,606	5.5%	\$	13,311	\$	689	5.5%
1987-88	\$ 43,397	\$ 2,371	5.8%	\$	34,976	\$ 1,956	5.9%	\$	47,687	\$	2,575	5.7%	\$	14,796	\$	998	7.2%	\$	47,687	\$	2,575	5.7%	\$	12,622	\$	682	5.7%
1986-87	\$ 41,026	\$ 2,780	7.3%	\$	33,020	\$ 2,251	7.3%	\$	45,112	\$	3,047	7.2%	\$	13,798	\$	994	7.8%	\$	45,112	\$	3,047	7.2%	\$	11,940	\$	806	7.2%
1985-86	\$ 38,246	-	-	\$	30,769	-	-	\$	42,065		-	-	\$	12,804		-	-	\$	42,065		-	-	\$	11,134		-	-
			-	•			-																				-

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for be 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Electeo	l Coun	ty	Constitu	itional O	fficers								e Salar	y Formula	a in Ch	apter 14	5, F	lorida	Statutes	
								Orange Co	ounty: Fis	cal Years	s 19	85-86 to	20	18-19								
	Clerk of Cire	cuit Court, I	Property																			
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervis	or of Elect	ions		Sheriff			County	Cor	nmissio	ners	School	Superint	endent		School	Board Mer	nbers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$ 168,840	\$ 1,749	1.0%	\$	168,840	\$ 1,749	1.0%	\$ 178,237	\$ 1,813	1.0%	\$	100,685	\$	688	0.7%	\$ 168,840	\$ 1,7	9 1.0%	\$	44,749	\$ 306	0.7%
2017-18	\$ 167,091	\$ 7,105	4.4%	\$	167,091	\$ 7,105	4.4%	\$ 176,424	\$ 7,475	4.4%	\$	99,997	\$	3,966	4.1%	\$ 167,091	\$7,1	4.4%	\$	44,443	\$ 1,763	4.1%
2016-17	\$ 159,986	\$ 654	0.4%	\$	159,986	\$ 20,885	15.0%	\$ 168,949	\$ 668	0.4%	\$	96,031	\$	143	0.1%	\$ 159,986	\$6	64 0.4%	\$	42,681	\$ 64	0.1%
2015-16	\$ 159,332	\$ 602	0.4%	\$	139,102	\$ 580	0.4%	\$ 168,281	\$ 612	0.4%	\$	95,888	\$	106	0.1%	\$ 159,332	\$6	0.4%	\$	42,617	\$ 47	0.1%
2014-15	\$ 158,729	\$ 889	0.6%	\$	138,522	\$ 834	0.6%	\$ 167,669	\$ 913	0.5%	\$	95,782	\$	259	0.3%	\$ 158,729	\$8	9 0.6%	\$	42,570	\$ 115	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 157,841	\$ 5,966	3.9%	\$	137,687	\$ 5,243	4.0%	\$ 166,756	\$ 6,285	3.9%	\$	95,523	\$	3,426	3.7%	\$ 157,841	\$ 5,9	6 3.9%	\$	42,455	\$ 1,523	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 151,875	\$ 186	0.1%	\$	132,445	\$ 186	0.1%	\$ 160,471	\$ 186	0.1%	\$	92,097	\$	-	0.0%	\$ 151,875	\$ 1	6 0.1%	\$	40,932	\$ -	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 151,689	\$ 607	0.4%	\$	132,258	\$ 607	0.5%	\$ 160,284	\$ 607	0.4%	\$	92,097	\$	-	0.0%	\$ 151,689	\$6	0.4%	\$	40,932	\$ -	0.0%
2010-11	\$ 151,082	\$ (100)	-0.1%	\$	131,651	\$ (100)	-0.1%	\$ 159,677	\$ (100	-0.1%	\$	92,097	\$	-	0.0%	\$ 151,082	\$ 3,0	2.1%	\$	40,932	See I	otes
2009-10	\$ 151,181	\$ 154	0.1%	\$	131,751	\$ 154	0.1%	\$ 159,777	\$ 154	0.1%	\$	92,097	\$	1	0.0%	\$ 148,006	Se	notes	\$	40,932	See I	otes
2008-09	\$ 151,027	\$ 592	0.4%	\$	131,597	\$ 571	0.4%	\$ 159,623	\$ 602	0.4%	\$	92,096	\$	101	0.1%	\$ 151,027	\$ 5	0.4%	\$	40,932	\$ 45	0.1%
2007-08	\$ 150,435	\$ 589	0.4%	\$	131,026	\$ 589	0.5%	\$ 159,021	\$ 588	0.4%	\$	91,995	\$	(1)	0.0%	\$ 150,435	\$5	9 0.4%	\$	40,887	-	-
2006-07	\$ 149,846	\$ 5,478	3.8%	\$	130,437	\$ 4,829	3.8%	\$ 158,432	\$ 5,764	3.8%	\$	91,996	\$	3,077	3.5%	\$ 149,846	\$ 5,4	'8 3.8%		N/A	-	-
2005-06	\$ 144,368	\$ 6,287	4.6%	\$	125,608	\$ 5,525	4.6%	\$ 152,668	\$ 6,618	4.5%	\$	88,919	\$	3,855	4.5%	\$ 144,368	\$ 6,2	4.6%		N/A	-	-
2004-05	\$ 138,081	\$ 2,429	1.8%	\$	120,083	\$ 2,157	1.8%	\$ 146,050	\$ 2,539	1.8%	\$	85,064	\$	1,677	2.0%	\$ 138,081	-	-		N/A	-	-
2003-04	\$ 135,651	\$ 3,156	2.4%	\$	117,926	\$ 2,785	2.4%	\$ 143,511	\$ 3,310	2.4%	\$	83,387	\$	2,108	2.6%	S	ee note			N/A	-	-
2002-03	\$ 132,495	\$ 3,928	3.1%	\$	115,140	\$ 3,466	3.1%	\$ 140,201	\$ 4,119	3.0%	\$	81,279	\$	2,624	3.3%	\$ 132,495	\$ 3,9	3.1%		5	ee notes	
2001-02	\$ 128,567	\$ 4,583	3.7%	\$	111,674	\$ 4,057	3.8%	\$ 136,081	\$ 4,797	3.7%	\$	78,655	\$	3,115	4.1%	\$ 128,567	\$ 4,5	33 3.7%	\$	35,266		3.7%
2000-01	\$ 123,984	\$ 3,785	3.1%	\$	107,617	\$ 3,319	3.2%	\$ 131,284	\$ 3,985	3.1%	\$	75,540	\$	2,442	3.3%	\$ 123,984	\$ 3,7	3.1%	\$	34,018	\$ 1,035	3.1%
1999-00	\$ 120,199	\$ 4,584	4.0%	\$	104,298	\$ 4,007	4.0%	\$ 127,299	\$ 4,834	3.9%	\$	73,098	\$	2,908	4.1%	\$ 120,199	\$ 4,5	4 4.0%	\$	32,983	\$ 1,254	4.0%
1998-99	\$ 115,615	\$ 6,067	5.5%	\$	100,291	\$ 5,299	5.6%	\$ 122,465	\$ 6,401	5.5%	\$	70,190	\$	3,830	5.8%	\$ 115,615	\$ 6,0	5.5%	\$	31,729	\$ 1,661	5.5%
1997-98	\$ 109,548	\$ 5,466	5.3%	\$	94,992	\$ 4,764	5.3%	\$ 116,064	\$ 5,774	5.2%	\$	66,360	\$	3,411	5.4%	\$ 109,548	\$ 5,4	6 5.3%	\$	30,068	\$ 1,498	5.2%
1996-97	\$ 104,082	\$ 5,891	6.0%	\$	90,228	\$ 5,131	6.0%	\$ 110,290	\$ 6,226	6.0%	\$	62,949	\$	3,659	6.2%	\$ 104,082	\$ 5,8	6.0%	\$	28,570	\$ 1,614	6.0%
1995-96	\$ 98,191	\$ 4,004	4.3%	\$	85,097	\$ 3,485	4.3%	\$ 104,064	\$ 4,233	4.2%	\$	59,290	\$	2,478	4.4%	\$ 98,191	\$ 4,0	4.3%	\$	26,956	\$ 1,097	4.2%
1994-95	\$ 94,187	\$ 5,239	5.9%	\$	81,612	\$ 4,557	5.9%	\$ 99,831	\$ 5,541	5.9%	\$	56,812	\$	3,231	6.0%	\$ 94,187	-	-	\$	25,859	-	-
1993-94	\$ 88,948	\$ 3,484	4.1%	\$	77,055	\$ 3,031	4.1%	\$ 94,290	\$ 3,685	4.1%	\$	53,581	\$	2,150	4.2%	S	ee note			5	ee notes	
1992-93	\$ 85,464	\$ 306	0.4%	\$	74,024	\$ 291	0.4%	\$ 90,605	\$ 305	0.3%	\$	51,431	\$	291	0.6%	\$ 85,158	\$	- 0.0%	\$	23,386	\$ -	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 85,158	\$ 4,039	5.0%	\$	73,733	\$ 3,522	5.0%	\$ 90,300	\$ 4,266	5.0%	\$	51,140	\$	2,528	5.2%	\$ 85,158	\$ 4,0	5.0%	\$	23,386	\$ 1,107	5.0%
1990-91	\$ 81,119	\$ 3,557	4.6%	\$	70,211	\$ 3,110	4.6%	\$ 86,034	\$ 3,751	4.6%	\$	48,612	\$	2,259	4.9%	\$ 81,119	\$ 3,5	4.6%	\$	22,279	\$ 973	4.6%
1989-90	\$ 77,562	\$ 3,753	5.1%	\$	67,101	\$ 3,267	5.1%	\$ 82,283	\$ 8,474	11.5%	\$	46,353	\$	2,323	5.3%	\$ 77,562	\$ 3,7	5.1%	\$	21,306	\$ 1,028	5.1%
1988-89	\$ 73,809	\$ 8,109	12.3%	\$	63,834	\$ 7,617	13.5%	\$ 73,809	\$ 3,819	5.5%	\$	44,030	\$	2,375	5.7%	\$ 73,809	\$ 3,8	9 5.5%	\$	20,278	\$ 1,047	5.4%
1987-88	\$ 65,700	\$ 3,359	5.4%	\$	56,217	\$ 2,896	5.4%	\$ 69,990	\$ 3,562	5.4%	\$	41,655	\$	2,205	5.6%	\$ 69,990	\$ 3,5	5.4%	\$	19,231	\$ 976	5.3%
1986-87	\$ 62,341	\$ 4,310	7.4%	\$	53,321	\$ 3,709	7.5%	\$ 66,428	\$ 4,578	7.4%	\$	39,450	\$	2,801	7.6%	\$ 66,428	\$ 4,5	8 7.4%	\$	18,255	\$ 1,256	7.4%
1985-86	\$ 58,031	-	-	\$	49,612	-	-	\$ 61,850	-	-	\$	36,649		-	-	\$ 61,850	-	-	\$	16,999	-	-
									•													

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for be 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Elected	l Coun	ty	Constitu	utional O	fficers										e Salar	y Form	ula	in Chap	oter 145	, F	lorida	Statute	s
								Os	sceola Co	ount	y: Fisc	cal Years	s 19	85-86 to	o 20)18-19									
	Clerk of Circ	cuit Court,	Property																						
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector			sor of Elect	ions			She					Cor	nmissio			ool S	Superinten	dent		School	Board M	mbers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	'	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg	. % Chg.
2018-19	\$ 144,729	\$ 2,021	1.4%	\$	144,729	\$ 2,021	1.4%	\$	154,126	\$	2,085	1.4%	\$	83,073	\$	1,551	1.9%	\$ 144,7	29	\$ 2,021	1.4%	\$	39,854	\$5	1.4%
2017-18	\$ 142,708	\$ 6,637	4.9%	\$	142,708	\$ 6,637	4.9%	\$	152,041	\$	7,007	4.8%	\$	81,522	\$	4,164	5.4%	\$ 142,7	08	\$ 6,637	4.9%	\$	39,309	\$ 1,8	4.8%
2016-17	\$ 136,070	\$ 1,060	0.8%	\$	136,070	\$ 20,317	17.6%	\$	145,033	\$	1,074	0.7%	\$	77,358	\$	932	1.2%	\$ 136,0	70	\$ 1,060	0.8%	\$	37,491	\$2	33 0.8%
2015-16	\$ 135,010	\$ 632	0.5%	\$	115,753	\$ 587	0.5%	\$	143,960	\$	642	0.4%	\$	76,426	\$	544	0.7%	\$ 135,0	10	\$ 632	0.5%	\$	37,209	\$1	69 0.5%
2014-15	\$ 134,379	\$ 865	0.6%	\$	115,166	\$ 789	0.7%	\$	143,318	\$	889	0.6%	\$	75,882	\$	683	0.9%	\$ 134,3	79	\$ 865	0.6%	\$	37,040	\$ 2	33 0.6%
2013-14	\$ 133,514	\$ 5,240	4.1%	\$	114,377	\$ 4,532	4.1%	\$	142,429	\$	5,560	4.1%	\$	75,200	\$	3,127	4.3%	\$ 133,5	14	\$ 5,240	4.1%	\$	36,807	\$ 1,4	39 4.1%
2012-13	\$ 128,274	\$ 334	0.3%	\$	109,845	\$ 318	0.3%	\$	136,870	\$	334	0.2%	\$	72,073	\$	318	0.4%	\$ 128,2	74	\$ 334	0.3%	\$	35,368	\$	38 0.3%
2011-12	\$ 127,940	\$ (265)	-0.2%	\$	109,527	\$ (252)	-0.2%	\$	136,536	\$	(265)	-0.2%	\$	71,755	\$	(252)	-0.3%	\$ 127,9	40	\$ (265)	-0.2%	\$	35,279	\$ (70) -0.2%
2010-11	\$ 128,204	\$ (59)	0.0%	\$	109,778	\$ (57)	-0.1%	\$	136,800	\$	(59)	0.0%	\$	72,007	\$	(57)	-0.1%	\$ 128,2	04	\$ 2,986	2.4%	\$	35,349	Se	e notes
2009-10	\$ 128,264	\$ 490	0.4%	\$	109,835	\$ 466	0.4%	\$	136,859	\$	490	0.4%	\$	72,063	\$	466	0.7%	\$ 125,2	18	See no	otes	\$	35,365	Se	e notes
2008-09	\$ 127,774	\$ 799	0.6%	\$	109,369	\$ 747	0.7%	\$	136,370	\$	808	0.6%	\$	71,597	\$	705	1.0%	\$ 127,7	74	\$ 799	0.6%	\$	35,236	\$2	13 0.6%
2007-08	\$ 126,975	\$ 1,335	1.1%	\$	108,622	\$ 1,271	1.2%	\$	135,562	\$	1,335	1.0%	\$	70,891	\$	1,272	1.8%	\$ 126,9	75	\$ 1,335	1.1%	\$	35,023	-	-
2006-07	\$ 125,641	\$ 4,783	4.0%	\$	107,350	\$ 4,143	4.0%	\$	134,227	\$	5,070	3.9%	\$	69,620	\$	2,882	4.3%	\$ 125,6	41	\$ 4,783	4.0%		N/A	-	-
2005-06	\$ 120,858	\$ 5,725	5.0%	\$	103,207	\$ 4,980	5.1%	\$	129,157	\$	6,055	4.9%	\$	66,738	\$	3,529	5.6%	\$ 120,8	58	\$ 5,725	5.0%		N/A	-	-
2004-05	\$ 115,133	\$ 2,846	2.5%	\$	98,227	\$ 2,554	2.7%	\$	123,102	\$	2,956	2.5%	\$	63,209	\$	2,572	4.2%	\$ 115,1	33	-	-		N/A	-	-
2003-04	\$ 112,286	\$ 3,532	3.2%	\$	95,673	\$ 3,143	3.4%	\$	120,146	\$	3,686	3.2%	\$	60,637	\$	3,471	6.1%		Se	e notes			N/A	-	-
2002-03	\$ 108,754	\$ 3,366	3.2%	\$	92,530	\$ 2,931	3.3%	\$	116,460	\$	3,557	3.2%	\$	57,166	\$	2,555	4.7%	\$ 108,7	54	\$ 3,366	3.2%		S	ee note	1
2001-02	\$ 105,388	\$ 4,388	4.3%	\$	89,599	\$ 3,871	4.5%	\$	112,902	\$	4,602	4.2%	\$	54,611	\$	3,924	7.7%	\$ 105,3	88	\$ 4,388	4.3%	\$	28,997	\$ 1,2	65 4.6%
2000-01	\$ 101,000	\$ 3,531	3.6%	\$	85,728	\$ 3,077	3.7%	\$	108,300	\$	3,731	3.6%	\$	50,687	\$	2,704	5.6%	\$ 101,0	00	\$ 3,531	3.6%	\$	27,732	\$ 1,0	3.8%
1999-00	\$ 97,469	\$ 3,851	4.1%	\$	82,651	\$ 3,309	4.2%	\$	104,569	\$	4,101	4.1%	\$	47,983	\$	2,407	5.3%	\$ 97,4	69	\$ 3,851	4.1%	\$	26,729	\$ 1,0	4.2%
1998-99	\$ 93,618	\$ 4,903	5.5%	\$	79,342	\$ 4,191	5.6%	\$	100,468	\$	5,237	5.5%	\$	45,576	\$	2,797	6.5%	\$ 93,6	18	\$ 4,903	5.5%	\$	25,655	\$ 1,3	58 5.6%
1997-98	\$ 88,715	\$ 4,433	5.3%	\$	75,151	\$ 3,781	5.3%	\$	95,231	\$	4,741	5.2%	\$	42,779	\$	2,434	6.0%	\$ 88,7	15	\$ 4,433	5.3%	\$	24,297	\$ 1,2	25 5.3%
1996-97	\$ 84,282	\$ 4,952	6.2%	\$	71,370	\$ 4,235	6.3%	\$	90,490	\$	5,287	6.2%	\$	40,345	\$	2,871	7.7%	\$ 84,2	82	\$ 4,952	6.2%	\$	23,072	\$ 1,3	73 6.3%
1995-96	\$ 79,330	\$ 3,477	4.6%	\$	67,135	\$ 2,984	4.7%	\$	85,203	\$	3,706	4.5%	\$	37,474	\$	2,118	6.0%	\$ 79,3	30	\$ 3,477	4.6%	\$	21,699	\$ 9	68 4.7%
1994-95	\$ 75,853	\$ 4,451	6.2%	\$	64,151	\$ 3,806	6.3%	\$	81,497	\$	4,753	6.2%	\$	35,356	\$	2,568	7.8%	\$ 75,8	53	-	-	\$	20,731	-	-
1993-94	\$ 71,402	\$ 3,024	4.4%	\$	60,345	\$ 2,593	4.5%	\$	76,744	\$	3,225	4.4%	\$	32,788	\$	1,820	5.9%		Se	e notes			S	ee note	1
1992-93	\$ 68,378	\$ 429	0.6%	\$	57,752	\$ 409	0.7%	\$	73,519	\$	429	0.6%	\$	30,968	\$	736	2.4%	\$ 67,9	49	\$-	0.0%	\$	18,520	\$	- 0.0%
1991-92	\$ 67,949	\$ 3,821	6.0%	\$	57,343	\$ 3,314	6.1%	\$	73,090	\$	4,047	5.9%	\$	30,232	\$	2,482	8.9%	\$ 67,9	49	\$ 3,821	6.0%	\$	18,520	\$ 1,0	6.1%
1990-91	\$ 64,128	\$ 3,031	5.0%	\$	54,029	\$ 2,609	5.1%	\$	69,043	\$	3,225	4.9%	\$	27,750	\$	1,574	6.0%	\$ 64,1	28	\$ 3,031	5.0%	\$	17,460	\$ 8	4.9%
1989-90	\$ 61,097	\$ 3,655	6.4%	\$	51,420	\$ 3,173	6.6%	\$	65,818	\$	8,376	14.6%	\$	26,176	\$	2,025	8.4%	\$ 61,0	97	\$ 3,655	6.4%	\$	16,639	\$ 9	6.3%
1988-89	\$ 57,442	\$ 7,694	15.5%	\$	48,247	\$ 7,221	17.6%	\$	57,442	\$	3,403	6.3%	\$	24,151	\$	1,774	7.9%	\$ 57,4	42	\$ 3,403	6.3%	\$	15,650	\$ 9	6.3%
1987-88	\$ 49,748	\$ 2,998	6.4%	\$	41,026	\$ 2,554	6.6%	\$	54,039	\$	3,203	6.3%	\$	22,377	\$	1,668	8.1%	\$ 54,0	39	\$ 3,203	6.3%	\$	14,728	\$8	6.3%
1986-87	\$ 46,750	\$ 3,909	9.1%	\$	38,472	\$ 3,327	9.5%	\$	50,836		4,176	8.9%	\$	20,709	\$	2,164	11.7%	\$ 50,8		\$ 4,176	8.9%	\$,	\$ 1,1	82 8.9%
1985-86	\$ 42,841	-	-	\$	35,145	-	-	\$	46,660		-	-	\$	18,545		-	-	\$ 46,6		-	-	\$	12,728	-	-
	. ,				, -		•		-,				<u> </u>	-,	L			,.					, -		

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Electeo	l Coun	ty	Constitu	utional O											y Formula	a in C	hap	ter 145	, F	lorida S	Statute	S
				-			P	alm Beac	ι Coι	unty: Fi	scal Yea	ars	1985-86	to	2018-19	9								
	Clerk of Cire																							
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col				sor of Elect				eriff			County				School					School I		
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary		Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Ch	ıg.	% Chg.	5	Salary	\$ Chg	
2018-19	\$ 170,634	\$ 1,564	0.9%	\$	170,634	\$ 1,564	0.9%	\$ 180,03	2 \$	1,628	0.9%	\$	100,685	\$	688	0.7%	\$ 170,634	\$1,	564	0.9%	\$	44,749	\$ 3	06 0.7%
2017-18	\$ 169,071	\$ 6,933	4.3%	\$	169,071	\$ 6,933	4.3%	\$ 178,40	\$	7,303	4.3%	\$	99,997	\$	3,966	4.1%	\$ 169,071	\$6,	933	4.3%	\$	44,443	\$ 1,7	63 4.1%
2016-17	\$ 162,138		0.3%	\$	162,138	\$ 20,782	14.7%	\$ 171,10	\$	565	0.3%	\$	96,031	\$	143	0.1%	\$ 162,138	\$	552	0.3%	\$	42,681	\$	64 0.1%
2015-16	\$ 161,586	\$ 427	0.3%	\$	141,356	\$ 405	0.3%	\$ 170,53	\$	437	0.3%	\$	95,888	\$	106	0.1%	\$ 161,586	\$	427	0.3%	\$	42,617	\$	17 0.1%
2014-15	\$ 161,159	\$ 610	0.4%	\$	140,951	\$ 555	0.4%	\$ 170,09)\$	634	0.4%	\$	95,782	\$	259	0.3%	\$ 161,159	\$	610	0.4%	\$	42,570	\$ 1	5 0.3%
2013-14	\$ 160,549	\$ 5,916	3.8%	\$	140,396	\$ 5,194	3.8%	\$ 169,46	l \$	6,236	3.8%	\$	95,523	\$	3,426	3.7%	\$ 160,549	\$5,	916	3.8%	\$	42,455	\$ 1,5	23 3.7%
2012-13	\$ 154,632	\$ 92	0.1%	\$	135,202	\$ 92	0.1%	\$ 163,22	\$	92	0.1%	\$	92,097	\$	-	0.0%	\$ 154,632	\$	92	0.1%	\$	40,932	\$	- 0.0%
2011-12	\$ 154,540	\$ 537	0.3%	\$	135,110	\$ 537	0.4%	\$ 163,13	\$	537	0.3%	\$	92,097	\$	-	0.0%	\$ 154,540	\$	537	0.3%	\$	40,932	\$	- 0.0%
2010-11	\$ 154,004	\$ (120)	-0.1%	\$	134,573	\$ (120)	-0.1%	\$ 162,59) \$	(120)	-0.1%	\$	92,097	\$	-	0.0%	\$ 154,004	\$2,	958	2.0%	\$	40,932	Se	notes
2009-10	\$ 154,123	\$ (5)	0.0%	\$	134,693	\$ (5)	0.0%	\$ 162,71) \$	(5)	0.0%	\$	92,097	\$	1	0.0%	\$ 151,046	s	ee no	otes	\$	40,932	Se	notes
2008-09	\$ 154,129	\$ 285	0.2%	\$	134,698	\$ 263	0.2%	\$ 162,72	1\$	294	0.2%	\$	92,096	\$	101	0.1%	\$ 154,129	\$	285	0.2%	\$	40,932	\$.	15 0.1%
2007-08	\$ 153,844	\$ 360	0.2%	\$	134,435	\$ 360	0.3%	\$ 162,43)\$	359	0.2%	\$	91,995	\$	(1)	0.0%	\$ 153,844	\$	360	0.2%	\$	40,887	-	-
2006-07	\$ 153,484	\$ 5,506	3.7%	\$	134,075	\$ 4,857	3.8%	\$ 162,07	\$	5,794	3.7%	\$	91,996	\$	3,077	3.5%	\$ 153,484	\$5,	506	3.7%		N/A	-	-
2005-06	\$ 147,978	\$ 6,352	4.5%	\$	129,218	\$ 5,606	4.5%	\$ 156,27	\$	6,682	4.5%	\$	88,919	\$	3,536	4.1%	\$ 147,978	\$6,	352	4.5%		N/A	-	-
2004-05	\$ 141,626	\$ 2,364	1.7%	\$	123,612	\$ 2,118	1.7%	\$ 149,59	5\$	2,474	1.7%	\$	85,383	\$	1,171	1.4%	\$ 141,626	-		-		N/A	-	-
2003-04	\$ 139,261	\$ 3,151	2.3%	\$	121,494	\$ 2,803	2.4%	\$ 147,12	\$	3,305	2.3%	\$	84,213	\$	1,651	2.0%	u)	ee not	es			N/A	-	-
2002-03	\$ 136,110	\$ 3,718	2.8%	\$	118,691	\$ 3,285	2.8%	\$ 143,81	\$	3,909	2.8%	\$	82,562	\$	2,053	2.6%	\$ 136,110	\$3,	718	2.8%		S	ee notes	
2001-02	\$ 132,392		3.9%	\$	115,406	\$ 4,531	4.1%	\$ 139,90	\$	5,231	3.9%	\$	80,509	\$	2,298	2.9%	\$ 132,392	\$5,	017	3.9%	\$	35,782		
2000-01	\$ 127,375	\$ 3,777	3.1%	\$	110,875	\$ 3,326	3.1%	\$ 134,67	5 \$	3,976	3.0%	\$	78,211	\$	2,139	2.8%	\$ 127,375	\$3,	777	3.1%	\$	34,760	\$ 9	50 2.8%
1999-00	\$ 123,598	\$ 4,570	3.8%	\$		\$ 4,006	3.9%	\$ 130,69	\$	4,821	3.8%	\$,	\$	2,679	3.7%	\$ 123,598		570	3.8%	\$,	\$ 1,1	
1998-99	\$ 119,028	\$ 6,153	5.5%	\$,	\$ 5,382	5.5%	\$ 125,87	\$	6,487	5.4%	\$,	\$	3,864	5.6%	\$ 119,028		153	5.5%	\$	32,619	\$ 1,6	
1997-98	\$ 112,875	\$ 5,630	5.2%	\$	98,161	\$ 4,921	5.3%	\$ 119,39	\$	5,938	5.2%	\$	69,529	\$	3,567	5.4%	\$ 112,875	\$5,	630	5.2%	\$		\$ 1,5	
1996-97	\$ 107,245	\$ 6,161	6.1%	\$	93,240	\$ 5,388	6.1%	\$ 113,45	\$	6,496	6.1%	\$	65,962	\$	3,917	6.3%	\$ 107,245	\$6,	161	6.1%	\$	29,408	\$ 1,6	6.1%
1995-96	\$ 101,084	\$ 4,210	4.3%	\$	87,852	\$ 3,680	4.4%	\$ 106,95	7\$	4,439	4.3%	\$	62,045	\$	2,674	4.5%	\$ 101,084	\$4,	210	4.3%	\$	27,722	\$ 1,1	52 4.3%
1994-95	\$ 96,874	\$ 5,464	6.0%	\$	84,172	\$ 4,772	6.0%	\$ 102,51	3\$	5,766	6.0%	\$	59,371	\$	3,445	6.2%	\$ 96,874	-		-	\$	26,570	-	-
1993-94	\$ 91,410	\$ 3,610	4.1%	\$	79,400	\$ 3,151	4.1%	\$ 96,75	2 \$	3,811	4.1%	\$	55,926	\$	2,270	4.2%	5	ee not	es			S	ee notes	
1992-93	\$ 87,800	\$ 251	0.3%	\$	76,249	\$ 238	0.3%	\$ 92,94	\$	250	0.3%	\$	53,656	\$	239	0.4%	\$ 87,549	\$	-	0.0%	\$	24,019	\$	- 0.0%
1991-92	\$ 87,549	\$ 3,831	4.6%	\$	76,011	\$ 3,325	4.6%	\$ 92,69	\$	4,058	4.6%	\$	53,417	\$	2,329	4.6%	\$ 87,549	\$3,	831	4.6%	\$	24,019	\$ 1,0	51 4.6%
1990-91	\$ 83,718	\$ 3,704	4.6%	\$	72,686	\$ 3,250	4.7%	\$ 88,63	\$	3,898	4.6%	\$	51,088	\$	2,399	4.9%	\$ 83,718	\$3,	704	4.6%	\$	22,968	\$ 1,0	3 4.6%
1989-90	\$ 80,014	\$ 4,101	5.4%	\$	69,436	\$ 3,598	5.5%	\$ 84,73	5\$	8,822	11.6%	\$	48,689	\$	2,655	5.8%	\$ 80,014	\$4,	101	5.4%	\$	21,955	\$ 1,1	20 5.4%
1988-89	\$ 75,913	\$ 8,344	12.3%	\$	65,838	\$ 7,841	13.5%	\$ 75,91	3\$	4,054	5.6%	\$	46,034	\$	2,599	6.0%	\$ 75,913	\$4,	054	5.6%	\$	20,835	\$ 1,1	9 5.6%
1987-88	\$ 67,569	\$ 3,607	5.6%	\$	57,997	\$ 3,133	5.7%	\$ 71,85) \$	3,811	5.6%	\$	43,435	\$	2,442	6.0%	\$ 71,859	\$3,	811	5.6%	\$	19,726	\$ 1,0	2 5.6%
1986-87	\$ 63,962	\$ 4,478	7.5%	\$	54,864	\$ 3,868	7.6%	\$ 68,04	3 \$	4,745	7.5%	\$	40,993	\$	2,960	7.8%	\$ 68,048	\$4,	745	7.5%	\$	18,684	\$ 1,3	0 7.5%
1985-86	\$ 59,484	-	-	\$	50,996	-	-	\$ 63,30	3	-	-	\$	38,033		-	-	\$ 63,303	-		-	\$	17,384	-	
							•	•																

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Elected	l Coun	ty	Constitu	utional O	fficers								e Salar	y Formula	a in	Chap	ter 145	, F	lorida	Stat	utes	
								Pasco Co	unty: Fis	cal Years	: 19	85-86 to	201	8-19										
	Clerk of Circ																							
Fiscal		r & Tax Col				sor of Elect			Sheriff			County				School					School			
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	1	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$0	Chg.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$ 151,609	\$ 1,265	0.8%	\$	151,609	\$ 1,265	0.8%	\$ 161,006	\$ 1,329	0.8%	\$	89,625	\$	831	0.9%	\$ 151,609	\$	1,265	0.8%	\$	41,674	\$	345	0.8%
2017-18	\$ 150,344	\$ 6,148	4.3%	\$	150,344	\$ 6,148	4.3%	\$ 159,677	\$ 6,519	4.3%	\$	88,795	\$	3,698	4.3%	\$ 150,344	\$	6,148	4.3%	\$	41,329	\$	1,688	4.3%
2016-17	\$ 144,196	\$ 399	0.3%	\$	144,196	\$ 20,075	16.2%	\$ 153,158	\$ 413	0.3%	\$	85,096	\$	303	0.4%	\$ 144,196	\$	399	0.3%	\$	39,641	\$	108	0.3%
2015-16	\$ 143,796	\$ 288	0.2%	\$	124,121	\$ 260	0.2%	\$ 152,746	\$ 298	0.2%	\$	84,794	\$	217	0.3%	\$ 143,796	\$	288	0.2%	\$	39,533	\$	78	0.2%
2014-15	\$ 143,508	\$ 500	0.3%	\$	123,860	\$ 441	0.4%	\$ 152,447			\$	84,577	\$	335	0.4%	\$ 143,508	\$	500	0.3%	\$	39,455	\$	136	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 143,008	\$ 5,173	3.8%	\$	123,419	\$ 4,468	3.8%	\$ 151,923	\$ 5,492	3.8%	\$	84,242	\$	3,063	3.8%	\$ 143,008	\$	5,173	3.8%	\$	39,318	\$	1,422	3.8%
2012-13	\$ 137,835		0.0%		118,951	\$ 38	0.0%	\$ 146,431	\$ 39		\$.,,	\$	38	0.0%	\$ 137,835	\$	39	0.0%	\$	37,897	\$	10	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 137,796		0.4%	\$	118,913	\$ 510	0.4%	\$ 146,391	\$ 535		\$	81,141	\$	510	0.6%	\$ 137,796	\$	535	0.4%	\$,	\$	142	0.4%
2010-11	\$ 137,260	\$ 24	0.0%	\$	118,403	\$ 23	0.0%	\$ 145,856	\$ 24		\$	80,631	\$	23	0.0%	\$ 137,260	\$	2,859	2.1%	\$	37,744		See no	
2009-10	\$ 137,236		0.1%	\$	118,380	\$88	0.1%	\$ 145,832	\$ 92	0.1%	\$	80,608	\$	87	0.1%	\$ 134,401		See no	otes	\$	37,738		See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 137,144		0.3%	\$	118,293	\$ 336	0.3%	\$ 145,740			\$	80,521	\$	294	0.4%	\$ 137,144	\$	367	0.3%	\$	37,714	\$	99	0.3%
2007-08	\$ 136,777	\$ 373	0.3%	\$	117,957	\$ 356	0.3%	\$ 145,364	\$ 373	0.3%	\$	80,227	\$	356	0.4%	\$ 136,777	\$	373	0.3%	\$	37,615		-	-
2006-07	\$ 136,404	\$ 5,341	4.1%	\$	117,601	\$ 4,675	4.1%	\$ 144,991	\$ 5,629	4.0%	\$	79,871	\$	3,414	4.5%	\$ 136,404	\$	5,341	4.1%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 131,063	\$ 6,076	4.9%	\$	112,926	\$ 5,313	4.9%	\$ 139,362	\$ 6,406	4.8%	\$	76,457	\$	3,863	5.3%	\$ 131,063	\$	6,076	4.9%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 124,987	\$ 2,530	2.1%	\$	107,613	\$ 2,253	2.1%	\$ 132,956	\$ 2,639	2.0%	\$	72,594	\$	1,773	2.5%	\$ 124,987		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 122,457	\$ 2,925	2.4%	\$	105,360	\$ 2,565	2.5%	\$ 130,317	\$ 3,079	2.4%	\$	70,821	\$	1,888	2.7%	S	iee n	otes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 119,532	\$ 3,402	2.9%	\$	102,795	\$ 2,965	3.0%	\$ 127,238	\$ 3,593	2.9%	\$	68,933	\$	2,123	3.2%	\$ 119,532	\$	3,402	2.9%			ee n	otes	
2001-02	\$ 116,130	\$ 4,315	3.9%	\$	99,830	\$ 3,803	4.0%	\$ 123,645	\$ 4,530	3.8%	\$	66,810	\$	2,860	4.5%	\$ 116,130	\$	4,315	3.9%	\$	31,975	\$	1,178	3.8%
2000-01	\$ 111,815	\$ 3,347	3.1%	\$	96,027	\$ 2,901	3.1%	\$ 119,115	\$ 3,547	3.1%	\$	63,950	\$	2,024	3.3%	\$ 111,815	\$	3,347	3.1%	\$	30,797	\$	918	3.1%
1999-00	\$ 108,468		3.9%	\$		\$ 3,538	3.9%	\$ 115,568	\$ 4,341	3.9%	\$	61,926	\$	2,439	4.1%	\$ 108,468	\$	4,091	3.9%	\$			1,124	3.9%
1998-99	\$ 104,377	\$ 5,380	5.4%	\$	89,588	\$ 4,645	5.5%	\$ 111,227	\$ 5,714	5.4%	\$	59,487	\$	3,176	5.6%	\$ 104,377	\$	5,380	5.4%	\$	28,755	\$	1,479	5.4%
1997-98	\$ 98,997	\$ 4,882	5.2%	\$	84,943	\$ 4,208	5.2%	\$ 105,513	\$ 5,190	5.2%	\$	56,311	\$	2,854	5.3%	\$ 98,997	\$	4,882	5.2%	\$	27,276	\$	1,343	5.2%
1996-97	\$ 94,115	\$ 5,373	6.1%	\$	80,735	\$ 4,637	6.1%	\$ 100,323	\$ 5,709	6.0%	\$	53,457	\$	3,166	6.3%	\$ 94,115	\$	5,373	6.1%	\$	25,933	\$	1,478	6.0%
1995-96	\$ 88,742	\$ 3,668	4.3%	\$	76,098	\$ 3,165	4.3%	\$ 94,614	\$ 3,896	4.3%	\$	50,291	\$	2,158	4.5%	\$ 88,742	\$	3,668	4.3%	\$	24,455	\$	1,008	4.3%
1994-95	\$ 85,074	\$ 4,697	5.8%	\$	72,933	\$ 4,041	5.9%	\$ 90,718	\$ 4,999	5.8%	\$	48,133	\$	2,715	6.0%	\$ 85,074		-	-	\$	23,447		-	-
1993-94	\$ 80,377	\$ 3,205	4.2%	\$	68,892	\$ 2,765	4.2%	\$ 85,719	\$ 3,405	4.1%	\$	45,418	\$	1,884	4.3%	S	iee n	otes			S	ee n	otes	
1992-93	\$ 77,172	\$ 164	0.2%	\$	66,127	\$ 156	0.2%	\$ 82,314	\$ 165	0.2%	\$	43,534	\$	157	0.4%	\$ 77,008	\$	-	0.0%	\$	21,229	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 77,008	\$ 3,713	5.1%	\$	65,971	\$ 3,212	5.1%	\$ 82,149	\$ 3,939	5.0%	\$	43,377	\$	2,216	5.4%	\$ 77,008	\$	3,713	5.1%	\$	21,229	\$	1,019	5.0%
1990-91	\$ 73,295	\$ 3,174	4.5%	\$	62,759	\$ 2,745	4.6%	\$ 78,210	\$ 3,368	4.5%	\$	41,161	\$	1,894	4.8%	\$ 73,295	\$	3,174	4.5%	\$	20,210	\$	872	4.5%
1989-90	\$ 70,121	\$ 3,514	5.3%	\$	60,014	\$ 3,039	5.3%	\$ 74,842	\$ 8,235	12.4%	\$	39,267	\$	2,096	5.6%	\$ 70,121	\$	3,514	5.3%	\$	19,338	\$	966	5.3%
1988-89	\$ 66,607	\$ 7,799	13.3%	\$	56,975	\$ 7,322	14.7%	\$ 66,607	\$ 3,509	5.6%	\$	37,171	\$	2,080	5.9%	\$ 66,607	\$	3,509	5.6%	\$	18,372	\$	964	5.5%
1987-88	\$ 58,808	\$ 3,156	5.7%	\$	49,653	\$ 2,704	5.8%	\$ 63,098	\$ 3,360	5.6%	\$	35,091	\$	2,012	6.1%	\$ 63,098	\$	3,360	5.6%	\$	17,408	\$	923	5.6%
1986-87	\$ 55,652	\$ 3,855	7.4%	\$	46,949	\$ 3,275	7.5%	\$ 59,738	\$ 4,122	7.4%	\$	33,079	\$	2,368	7.7%	\$ 59,738	\$	4,122	7.4%	\$	16,485	\$	1,135	7.4%
1985-86	\$ 51,797	-	-	\$	43,674	-	-	\$ 55,616	-	-	\$	30,711		-	-	\$ 55,616		-	-	\$	15,350		-	-
					,							,												

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Elected	d Coun	ty	Constitu	utional C	Officers	an	d Scho	ool	Distric	ct Offic	ials	s Pursu	an	nt to th	e Salar	y Formula	a ir	n Chap	ter 145	, F	lorida	Statu	tes	
								Pir	nellas Co	oun	nty: Fisc	al Years	5 19	85-86 to	20)18-19										
	Clerk of Cir	cuit Court, I	Property																							
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervis	sor of Elect	tions			Sh	eriff			County	Cor	nmissio	ners	School	Sup	perintend	lent		School	Board	Memb	bers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	GChg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ CI	ıg.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$ 162,329	\$ 1,282	0.8%	\$	162,329	\$ 1,282	0.8%	\$	171,726	\$	1,346	0.8%	\$	99,835	\$	847	0.9%	\$ 162,329	\$	1,282	0.8%	\$	44,513	\$	350	0.8%
2017-18	\$ 161,047	\$ 6,602	4.3%	\$	161,047	\$ 6,602	4.3%	\$	170,380	\$	6,973	4.3%	\$	98,988	\$	4,131	4.4%	\$ 161,047	\$	6,602	4.3%	\$	44,163	\$ 1	,809	4.3%
2016-17	\$ 154,444	\$ 492	0.3%	\$	154,444	\$ 20,651	15.4%	\$	163,407	\$	506	0.3%	\$	94,857	\$	391	0.4%	\$ 154,444	\$	492	0.3%	\$	42,354	\$	133	0.3%
2015-16	\$ 153,952	\$ 319	0.2%	\$	133,793	\$ 290	0.2%	\$	162,902	\$	329	0.2%	\$	94,466	\$	246	0.3%	\$ 153,952	\$	319	0.2%	\$	42,222	\$	86	0.2%
2014-15	\$ 153,633	\$ 555	0.4%	\$	133,503	\$ 494	0.4%	\$	162,573	\$	579	0.4%	\$	94,220	\$	387	0.4%	\$ 153,633	\$	555	0.4%	\$	42,135	\$	151	0.4%
2013-14	\$ 153,078	\$ 5,531	3.7%	\$	133,010	\$ 4,809	3.8%	\$	161,994	\$	5,851	3.7%	\$	93,833	\$	3,404	3.8%	\$ 153,078	\$	5,531	3.7%	\$	41,985	\$ 1	,517	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 147,547	\$ 42	0.0%	\$	128,201	\$ 40	0.0%	\$	156,143	\$	42	0.0%	\$	90,429	\$	40	0.0%	\$ 147,547	\$	42	0.0%	\$	40,468	\$	11	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 147,505	\$ (313)	-0.2%	\$	128,161	\$ (298)	-0.2%	\$	156,101	\$	(313)	-0.2%	\$	90,389	\$	(298)	-0.3%	\$ 147,505	\$	(313)	-0.2%	\$	40,457	\$	(83)	-0.2%
2010-11	\$ 147,819	\$ (158)	-0.1%	\$	128,459	\$ (150)	-0.1%	\$	156,414	\$	(158)	-0.1%	\$	90,687	\$	(150)	-0.2%	\$ 147,819	\$	2,682	1.8%	\$	40,540	S	iee no	otes
2009-10	\$ 147,977	\$ (122)	-0.1%	\$	128,609	\$ (117)	-0.1%	\$	156,572	\$	(122)	-0.1%	\$	90,837	\$	(117)	-0.1%	\$ 145,137		See no	otes	\$	40,582	S	iee no	otes
2008-09	\$ 148,099	\$ 79	0.1%	\$	128,726	\$ 62	0.0%	\$	156,695	\$	88	0.1%	\$	90,954	\$	20	0.0%	\$ 148,099	\$	79	0.1%	\$	40,614	\$	22	0.1%
2007-08	\$ 148,020	\$6	0.0%	\$	128,664	\$6	0.0%	\$	156,606	\$	6	0.0%	\$	90,934	\$	6	0.0%	\$ 148,020	\$	6	0.0%	\$	40,592	-		-
2006-07	\$ 148,014	\$ 5,035	3.5%	\$	128,658	\$ 4,384	3.5%	\$	156,600	\$	5,322	3.5%	\$	90,928	\$	3,122	3.6%	\$ 148,014	\$	5,035	3.5%		N/A	-		- 1
2005-06	\$ 142,979	\$ 5,761	4.2%	\$	124,274	\$ 5,013	4.2%	\$	151,278	\$	6,091	4.2%	\$	87,806	\$	3,564	4.2%	\$ 142,979	\$	5,761	4.2%		N/A	-		- 1
2004-05	\$ 137,218	\$ 1,996	1.5%	\$	119,261	\$ 1,745	1.5%	\$	145,187	\$	2,106	1.5%	\$	84,242	\$	1,265	1.5%	\$ 137,218		-	-		N/A	-		- 1
2003-04	\$ 135,222	\$ 2,743	2.1%	\$	117,516	\$ 2,391	2.1%	\$	143,081	\$	2,897	2.1%	\$	82,978	\$	1,714	2.1%		See	notes			N/A	-		-
2002-03	\$ 132,479	\$ 3,439	2.7%	\$	115,125	\$ 3,001	2.7%	\$	140,185	\$	3,631	2.7%	\$	81,264	\$	2,159	2.7%	\$ 132,479	\$	3,439	2.7%		S	ee not	es	
2001-02	\$ 129,040	\$ 4,098	3.3%	\$	112,124	\$ 3,595	3.3%	\$	136,554	\$	4,313	3.3%	\$	79,105	\$	2,653	3.5%	\$ 129,040	\$	4,098	3.3%	\$	35,391	\$ 1	,120	3.3%
2000-01	\$ 124,942	\$ 3,535	2.9%	\$	108,529	\$ 3,080	2.9%	\$	132,241	\$	3,734	2.9%	\$	76,452	\$	2,203	3.0%	\$ 124,942	\$	3,535	2.9%	\$	34,271	\$	968	2.9%
1999-00	\$ 121,407	\$ 4,344	3.7%	\$	105,449	\$ 3,780	3.7%	\$	128,507	\$	4,594	3.7%	\$	74,249	\$	2,680	3.7%	\$ 121,407	\$	4,344	3.7%	\$	33,303	\$ 1	,191	3.7%
1998-99	\$ 117,063	\$ 5,824	5.2%	\$	101,669	\$ 5,066	5.2%	\$	123,913	\$	6,158	5.2%	\$	71,569	\$	3,598	5.3%	\$ 117,063	\$	5,824	5.2%	\$	32,112	\$ 1	,596	5.2%
1997-98	\$ 111,239	\$ 5,338	5.0%	\$	96,603	\$ 4,643	5.0%	\$	117,755	\$	5,646	5.0%	\$	67,971	\$	3,289	5.1%	\$ 111,239	\$	5,338	5.0%	\$	30,516	\$ 1	,464	5.0%
1996-97	\$ 105,901	\$ 5,793	5.8%	\$	91,960	\$ 5,037	5.8%	\$	112,109	\$	6,128	5.8%	\$	64,682	\$	3,566	5.8%	\$ 105,901	\$	5,793	5.8%	\$	29,052	\$ 1	,589	5.8%
1995-96	\$ 100,108	\$ 3,985	4.1%	\$	86,923	\$ 3,467	4.2%	\$	105,981	\$	4,214	4.1%	\$	61,116	\$	2,461	4.2%	\$ 100,108	\$	3,985	4.1%	\$	27,463	\$ 1	,092	4.1%
1994-95	\$ 96,123	\$ 5,197	5.7%	\$	83,456	\$ 4,517	5.7%	\$	101,767	\$	5,499	5.7%	\$	58,655	\$	3,190	5.8%	\$ 96,123		-	-	\$	26,371	-		- 1
1993-94	\$ 90,926	\$ 3,477	4.0%	\$	78,939	\$ 3,024	4.0%	\$	96,268	\$	3,677	4.0%	\$		\$	2,143	4.0%		See	notes			S	ee not	es	
1992-93	\$ 87,449	\$ 52	0.1%	\$	75,915	\$ 50	0.1%	\$	92,591	\$	53	0.1%	\$	53,322	\$	50	0.1%	\$ 87,397	\$	-	0.0%	\$	23,979	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 87,397	\$ 3,803	4.5%	\$	75,865	\$ 3,297	4.5%	\$	92,538	\$	4,029	4.6%	\$	53,272	\$	2,302	4.5%	\$ 87,397	\$	3,803	4.5%	\$	23,979	\$ 1	,044	4.6%
1990-91	\$ 83,594	\$ 3,477	4.3%	\$	72,568	\$ 3,034	4.4%	\$	88,509	\$	3,671	4.3%	\$	50,970	\$	2,183	4.5%	\$ 83,594	\$	3,477	4.3%	\$	22,935	\$	952	4.3%
1989-90	\$ 80,117	\$ 3,769	4.9%	\$	69,534	\$ 3,281	5.0%	\$	84,838	\$	8,490	11.1%	\$	48,787	\$	2,338	5.0%	\$ 80,117	\$	3,769	4.9%	\$	21,983	\$ 1	,033	4.9%
1988-89	\$ 76,348	\$ 8,094	11.9%	\$	66,253	\$ 7,603	13.0%	\$	76,348	\$	3,804	5.2%	\$	46,449	\$	2,361	5.4%	\$ 76,348	\$	3,804	5.2%	\$	20,950	\$ 1	,043	5.2%
1987-88	\$ 68,254	\$ 3,407	5.3%	\$	58,650	\$ 2,943	5.3%	\$	72,544	\$	3,610	5.2%	\$	44,088	\$	2,251	5.4%	\$ 72,544	\$	3,610	5.2%	\$	19,907	\$	989	5.2%
1986-87	\$ 64,847	\$ 4,402	7.3%	\$	55,707	\$ 3,796	7.3%	\$	68,934	\$	4,670	7.3%	\$	41,837	\$	2,889	7.4%	\$ 68,934	\$	4,670	7.3%	\$	18,918	\$ 1	,280	7.3%
1985-86	\$ 60,445	-	-	\$	51,911	-	-	\$	64,264		-	-	\$	38,948		-	-	\$ 64,264		-	-	\$	17,638	-		- 1
			•	•			-	•		•									•							

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for be 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Elected	l Coun	ty	Constitu	utional O	fficers										e Salaı	y Formul	a ir	n Chap	ter 145	, F	lorida	Statu	tes	
								Р	olk Cou	nty: I	Fisca	l Years 1	198	5-86 to 2	201	8-19										
	Clerk of Cir	cuit Court, I	Property																							
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervis	sor of Elect	ions			Sheri	iff			County	Cor	nmissio	ners	School	Sup	perintend	lent		School	Board	Memb	oers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	9,	Salary	\$ C	hg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	6 Chg.	% Chg.	Ş	Salary	\$ C	ıg.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$ 155,272	\$ 1,402	0.9%	\$	155,272	\$ 1,402	0.9%	\$	164,670	\$ 1	1,467	0.9%	\$	93,114	\$	962	1.0%	\$ 155,272	\$	1,402	0.9%	\$	42,644	\$	382	0.9%
2017-18	\$ 153,870	\$ 6,415	4.4%	\$	153,870	\$ 6,415	4.4%	\$	163,203	\$ 6	6,785	4.3%	\$	92,153	\$	3,952	4.5%	\$ 153,870	\$	6,415	4.4%	\$	42,262	\$ 1	,759	4.3%
2016-17	\$ 147,455	\$ 441	0.3%	\$	147,455	\$ 20,270	15.9%	\$	156,418	\$	454	0.3%	\$	88,200	\$	342	0.4%	\$ 147,455	\$	441	0.3%	\$	40,504	\$	119	0.3%
2015-16	\$ 147,014	\$ 369	0.3%	\$	127,185	\$ 337	0.3%	\$	155,964	\$	379	0.2%	\$	87,859	\$	294	0.3%	\$ 147,014	\$	369	0.3%	\$	40,385	\$	99	0.2%
2014-15	\$ 146,645	\$ 554	0.4%	\$	126,848	\$ 493	0.4%	\$	155,585	\$	579	0.4%	\$	87,565	\$	387	0.4%	\$ 146,645	\$	554	0.4%	\$	40,285	\$	151	0.4%
2013-14	\$ 146,091	\$ 5,285	3.8%	\$	126,355	\$ 4,575	3.8%	\$	155,006	\$ 5	5,604	3.8%	\$	87,178	\$	3,170	3.8%	\$ 146,091	\$	5,285	3.8%	\$	40,135	\$ 1	,451	3.8%
2012-13	\$ 140,806	\$ 58	0.0%	\$	121,780	\$ 55	0.0%	\$	149,402	\$	58	0.0%	\$	84,008	\$	55	0.1%	\$ 140,806	\$	58	0.0%	\$	38,683	\$	15	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 140,748	\$ 381	0.3%	\$	121,725	\$ 363	0.3%	\$	149,344	\$	381	0.3%	\$	83,953	\$	363	0.4%	\$ 140,748	\$	381	0.3%	\$	38,668	\$	101	0.3%
2010-11	\$ 140,367	\$ (30)	0.0%	\$	121,362	\$ (28)	0.0%	\$	148,962	\$	(30)	0.0%	\$	83,590	\$	(28)	0.0%	\$ 140,367	\$	2,877	2.1%	\$	38,567	5	See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 140,397	\$ 101	0.1%	\$	121,390	\$ 96	0.1%	\$	148,992	\$	101	0.1%	\$	83,618	\$	96	0.1%	\$ 137,489		See no	otes	\$	38,575	5	See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 140,295	\$ 498	0.4%	\$	121,294	\$ 461	0.4%	\$	148,891	\$	507	0.3%	\$	83,522	\$	419	0.5%	\$ 140,295	\$	498	0.4%	\$	38,548	\$	133	0.3%
2007-08	\$ 139,798	\$ 497	0.4%	\$	120,833	\$ 473	0.4%	\$	148,384	\$	497	0.3%	\$	83,103	\$	474	0.6%	\$ 139,798	\$	497	0.4%	\$	38,415	-		
2006-07	\$ 139,301	\$ 4,938	3.7%	\$	120,360	\$ 4,291	3.7%	\$	147,887	\$ 5	5,225	3.7%	\$	82,630	\$	3,030	3.8%	\$ 139,301	\$	4,938	3.7%		N/A	-		
2005-06	\$ 134,363	\$ 5,671	4.4%	\$	116,069	\$ 4,928	4.4%	\$	142,662	\$ 6	6,001	4.4%	\$	79,600	\$	3,477	4.6%	\$ 134,363	\$	5,671	4.4%		N/A	-		
2004-05	\$ 128,692	\$ 1,952	1.5%	\$	111,141	\$ 1,702	1.6%	\$	136,661	\$ 2	2,061	1.5%	\$	76,123	\$	1,222	1.6%	\$ 128,692		-	-		N/A	-		-
2003-04	\$ 126,741	\$ 2,605	2.1%	\$	109,439	\$ 2,260	2.1%	\$	134,600	\$ 2	2,759	2.1%	\$	74,901	\$	1,583	2.2%		See	notes			N/A	-		
2002-03	\$ 124,136	\$ 3,316	2.7%	\$	107,179	\$ 2,883	2.8%	\$	131,841	\$ 3	3,507	2.7%	\$	73,317	\$	2,041	2.9%	\$ 124,136	\$	3,316	2.7%		S	ee no	es	
2001-02	\$ 120,820	\$ 3,618	3.1%	\$	104,296	\$ 3,138	3.1%	\$	128,334	\$ 3	3,832	3.1%	\$	71,276	\$	2,195	3.2%	\$ 120,820	\$	3,618	3.1%	\$	33,215	\$	993	3.1%
2000-01	\$ 117,202	\$ 3,362	3.0%	\$	101,158	\$ 2,916	3.0%	\$	124,502	\$ 3	3,562	2.9%	\$	69,081	\$	2,039	3.0%	\$ 117,202	\$	3,362	3.0%	\$	32,222	\$	923	2.9%
1999-00	\$ 113,840	\$ 4,126	3.8%	\$	98,242	\$ 3,571	3.8%	\$	120,940	\$ 4	4,376	3.8%	\$	67,042	\$	2,472	3.8%	\$ 113,840	\$	4,126	3.8%	\$	31,299	\$ 1	,133	3.8%
1998-99	\$ 109,714	\$ 5,457	5.2%	\$	94,671	\$ 4,718	5.2%	\$	116,564	\$ 5	5,792	5.2%	\$	64,570	\$	3,249	5.3%	\$ 109,714	\$	5,457	5.2%	\$	30,166	\$ 1	,499	5.2%
1997-98	\$ 104,257	\$ 5,076	5.1%	\$	89,953	\$ 4,393	5.1%	\$	110,772	\$ 5	5,383	5.1%	\$	61,321	\$	3,040	5.2%	\$ 104,257	\$	5,076	5.1%	\$	28,667	\$ 1	,394	5.1%
1996-97	\$ 99,181	\$ 5,438	5.8%	\$	85,560	\$ 4,699	5.8%	\$	105,389	\$ 5	5,773	5.8%	\$	58,281	\$	3,227	5.9%	\$ 99,181	\$	5,438	5.8%	\$	27,273	\$ 1	,495	5.8%
1995-96	\$ 93,743	\$ 3,758	4.2%	\$	80,861	\$ 3,251	4.2%	\$	99,616	\$ 3	3,987	4.2%	\$	55,054	\$	2,245	4.3%	\$ 93,743	\$	3,758	4.2%	\$	25,778	\$ 1	,032	4.2%
1994-95	\$ 89,985	\$ 4,933	5.8%	\$	77,610	\$ 4,265	5.8%	\$	95,629	\$ 5	5,235	5.8%	\$	52,809	\$	2,939	5.9%	\$ 89,985		-	-	\$	24,746	-		
1993-94	\$ 85,052	\$ 3,272	4.0%	\$	73,345	\$ 2,829	4.0%	\$	90,394	\$ 3	3,473	4.0%	\$	49,870	\$	1,947	4.1%		See	notes			S	ee no	tes	
1992-93	\$ 81,780	\$ 119	0.1%	\$	70,516	\$ 114	0.2%	\$	86,921	\$	119	0.1%	\$	47,923	\$	114	0.2%	\$ 81,661	\$	-	0.0%	\$	22,460	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 81,661	\$ 3,530	4.5%	\$	70,402	\$ 3,036	4.5%	\$	86,802	\$ 3	3,756	4.5%	\$	47,809	\$	2,042	4.5%	\$ 81,661	\$	3,530	4.5%	\$	22,460	\$	971	4.5%
1990-91	\$ 78,131	\$ 3,201	4.3%	\$	67,366	\$ 2,772	4.3%	\$	83,046	\$ 3	3,395	4.3%	\$	45,767	\$	1,920	4.4%	\$ 78,131	\$	3,201	4.3%	\$	21,489	\$	880	4.3%
1989-90	\$ 74,930	\$ 3,782	5.3%	\$	64,594	\$ 3,294	5.4%	\$	79,651	\$ 8	8,503	12.0%	\$	43,847	\$	2,351	5.7%	\$ 74,930	\$	3,782	5.3%	\$	20,609	\$ 1	,036	5.3%
1988-89	\$ 71,148	\$ 8,077	12.8%	\$	61,300	\$ 7,586	14.1%	\$	71,148	\$ 3	3,787	5.6%	\$	41,496	\$	2,345	6.0%	\$ 71,148	\$	3,787	5.6%	\$	19,573	\$ 1	,038	5.6%
1987-88	\$ 63,071	\$ 3,343	5.6%	\$	53,714	\$ 2,883	5.7%	\$	67,361	\$ 3	3,547	5.6%	\$	39,151	\$	2,190	5.9%	\$ 67,361	\$	3,547	5.6%	\$	18,535	\$	972	5.5%
1986-87	\$ 59,728	\$ 4,219	7.6%	\$	50,831	\$ 3,622	7.7%	\$	63,814		4,486	7.6%	\$	36,961	\$	2,715	7.9%	\$ 63,814	\$	4,486	7.6%	\$	17,563	\$ 1	,231	7.5%
1985-86	\$ 55,509	_	-	\$	47,209	-	-	\$	59,328		-	-	\$	34,246		-	-	\$ 59,328	Ė	-	-	\$	16,332	-		
				• •	,			· · ·														-				

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for be 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Elected	d Coun	ty	Constitu	utional O	fficers	and Sch	ool	Distric	ct Offic	ials	s Pursu	an	t to th	e Salaı	y Forr	nula	in Chap	oter 145	i, F	lorida \$	Statute	s
								Putnam C	oun	ty: Fisc	al Years	s 19	85-86 to	20	18-19									
	Clerk of Cir	cuit Court, I	Property																					
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervis	sor of Elect	ions		She	eriff			County	Con	nmissio	ners	Sc	lool	Superinten	dent		School	Board M	embers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Sala	у	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg	. % Chg.
2018-19	\$ 115,721	\$ 847	0.7%	\$	115,721	\$ 847	0.7%	\$ 125,118	\$	912	0.7%	\$	46,497	\$	372	0.8%	\$ 115,	721	\$ 847	0.7%	\$	31,559	\$2	31 0.7%
2017-18	\$ 114,874	\$ 4,614	4.2%	\$	114,874	\$ 4,614	4.2%	\$ 124,207	\$	4,984	4.2%	\$	46,125	\$	1,885	4.3%	\$ 114,	374	\$ 4,614	4.2%	\$	31,329	\$ 1,2	58 4.2%
2016-17	\$ 110,259	\$ 227	0.2%	\$	110,259	\$ 18,295	19.9%	\$ 119,222	\$	240	0.2%	\$	44,240	\$	126	0.3%	\$ 110,	259	\$ 227	0.2%	\$	30,071	\$	61 0.2%
2015-16	\$ 110,032		0.1%	\$	91,965	\$81	0.1%	\$ 118,982	\$	110	0.1%	\$	44,114	\$	28	0.1%	\$ 110,)32	\$ 100	0.1%	\$	30,009	\$	27 0.1%
2014-15	\$ 109,933	\$ 150	0.1%	\$	91,884	\$ 108	0.1%	\$ 118,872	\$	174	0.1%	\$	44,087	\$	(22)	0.0%	\$ 109,	933	\$ 150	0.1%	\$	29,982	\$	42 0.1%
2013-14	\$ 109,783	\$ 3,707	3.5%	\$	91,776	\$ 3,072	3.5%	\$ 118,698	\$	4,027	3.5%	\$	44,108	\$	1,362	3.2%	\$ 109,	783	\$ 3,707	3.5%	\$	29,940	\$ 1,0	13 3.5%
2012-13	\$ 106,076	\$ (80)	-0.1%	\$	88,704	\$ (77)	-0.1%	\$ 114,672	\$	(80)	-0.1%	\$	42,746	\$	(77)	-0.2%	\$ 106,)76	\$ (80)	-0.1%	\$	28,927	\$ (21) -0.1%
2011-12	\$ 106,156	\$ (63)	-0.1%	\$	88,781	\$ (60)	-0.1%	\$ 114,752	\$	(63)	-0.1%	\$	42,822	\$	(60)	-0.1%	\$ 106,	156	\$ (63)	-0.1%	\$	28,949	\$ (17) -0.1%
2010-11	\$ 106,219	\$ (98)	-0.1%	\$	88,840	\$ (94)	-0.1%	\$ 114,815	\$	(98)	-0.1%	\$	42,882	\$	(94)	-0.2%	\$ 106,	219	\$ 2,077	2.0%	\$	28,965	Se	e notes
2009-10	\$ 106,318	\$ 50	0.0%	\$	88,934	\$ 47	0.1%	\$ 114,913	\$	50	0.0%	\$	42,976	\$	47	0.1%	\$ 104,	143	See no	otes	\$	28,991	Se	e notes
2008-09	\$ 106,268	\$ 215	0.2%	\$	88,887	\$ 192	0.2%	\$ 114,864	\$	225	0.2%	\$	42,929	\$	141	0.3%	\$ 106,	268	\$ 215	0.2%	\$	28,978	\$	58 0.2%
2007-08	\$ 106,053	\$ 167	0.2%	\$	88,695	\$ 159	0.2%	\$ 114,639	\$	167	0.1%	\$,	\$	159	0.4%	\$ 106,		\$ 167	0.2%	\$	28,920	-	-
2006-07	\$ 105,886	\$ 3,675	3.6%	\$	88,536	\$ 3,088	3.6%	\$ 114,472	\$	3,962	3.6%	\$,	\$	1,553	3.8%	\$ 105,		\$ 3,675	3.6%		N/A	-	-
2005-06	\$ 102,211	\$ 4,365	4.5%	\$	85,448	\$ 3,684	4.5%	\$ 110,510	\$	4,695	4.4%	\$	41,075	\$	1,919	4.9%	\$ 102,	211	\$ 4,364	4.5%		N/A	-	-
2004-05	\$ 97,846	\$ 1,493	1.5%	\$	81,764	\$ 1,265	1.6%	\$ 105,815	\$	1,602	1.5%	\$	39,156	\$	681	1.8%	\$97,	346	-	-		N/A	-	-
2003-04	\$ 96,354	\$ 2,006	2.1%	\$	80,499	\$ 1,690	2.1%	\$ 104,213	\$	2,160	2.1%	\$	38,475	\$	866	2.3%		S	ee notes			N/A	-	-
2002-03	\$ 94,347	\$ 2,436	2.6%	\$	78,809	\$ 2,045	2.7%	\$ 102,053	\$	2,627	2.6%	\$	37,609	\$	1,020	2.8%	\$94,	347	\$ 2,436	2.6%			ee note	,
2001-02		\$ 2,085	2.3%	\$	76,764	\$ 1,678	2.2%	\$ 99,426		2,300	2.4%	\$		\$	531	1.5%	\$91,		\$ 2,085	2.3%	\$	25,071		
2000-01	\$ 89,827	\$ 2,762	3.2%	\$	75,086	\$ 2,344	3.2%	\$ 97,126	\$	2,961	3.1%	\$	36,057	\$	1,276	3.7%	\$89,	327	\$ 2,762	3.2%	\$	24,498	\$ 7	51 3.2%
1999-00		\$ 3,314	4.0%	\$	72,742	\$ 2,798	4.0%	\$ 94,165		3,564	3.9%	\$	34,781	\$	1,462	4.4%	\$87,		\$ 3,314	4.0%	\$	23,747		
1998-99	\$ 83,751	\$ 4,079	5.1%	\$	69,944	\$ 3,406	5.1%	\$ 90,601	\$	4,413	5.1%	\$	33,319	\$	1,618	5.1%	\$83,	751	\$ 4,079	5.1%	\$	22,845	\$ 1,1	12 5.1%
1997-98	\$ 79,672	\$ 3,909	5.2%	\$	66,538	\$ 3,281	5.2%	\$ 86,188		4,218	5.1%	\$	31,701	\$	1,635	5.4%	\$79,	-	\$ 3,909	5.2%	\$	21,733	\$ 1,0	
1996-97	\$ 75,763	\$ 4,182	5.8%	\$	63,257	\$ 3,503	5.9%	\$ 81,970	\$	4,516	5.8%	\$	30,066	\$	1,712	6.0%	\$75,	763	\$ 4,182	5.8%	\$	20,667	\$ 1,1	39 5.8%
1995-96	\$ 71,581	\$ 3,021	4.4%	\$	59,754	\$ 2,548	4.5%	\$ 77,454	\$	3,250	4.4%	\$	28,354	\$	1,324	4.9%	\$71,		\$ 3,021	4.4%	\$	19,528	\$ 8	23 4.4%
1994-95	\$ 68,560	\$ 3,646	5.6%	\$	57,206	\$ 3,040	5.6%	\$ 74,204	\$	3,948	5.6%	\$	27,030	\$	1,426	5.6%	\$68,	560	-	-	\$	18,705	-	-
1993-94	\$ 64,914	\$ 2,706	4.3%	\$	54,166	\$ 2,290	4.4%	\$ 70,256		2,907	4.3%	\$		\$	1,218	5.0%			ee notes				ee note	
1992-93	\$ 62,208	\$ 144	0.2%	\$	51,876	\$ 137	0.3%	\$ 67,349	\$	143	0.2%	\$	24,386	\$	137	0.6%	\$ 62,)64	\$-	0.0%	\$	16,936	\$	- 0.0%
1991-92	\$ 62,064	\$ 3,064	5.2%	\$	51,739	\$ 2,594	5.3%	\$ 67,206	\$	3,291	5.1%	\$,	\$	1,383	6.0%	\$62,		\$ 3,064	5.2%	\$	16,936	-	
1990-91	\$ 59,000	\$ 2,624	4.7%	\$	49,145	\$ 2,221	4.7%	\$ 63,915	\$	2,817	4.6%	\$		\$	1,186	5.5%	\$59,		\$ 2,624	4.7%	\$	16,103		
1989-90	\$ 56,376	\$ 2,325	4.3%	\$	46,924	\$ 1,907	4.2%	\$ 61,098	\$	7,047	13.0%	\$	21,680	\$	759	3.6%	\$ 56,		\$ 2,325	4.3%	\$	15,389		37 4.3%
1988-89	\$ 54,051	\$ 7,401	15.9%	\$	45,017	\$ 6,942	18.2%	\$ 54,051	\$	3,111	6.1%	\$	20,921	\$	1,495	7.7%	\$54,)51	\$ 3,111	6.1%	\$	14,752	\$8	45 6.1%
1987-88	\$ 46,650	\$ 2,419	5.5%	\$	38,075	\$ 2,003	5.6%	\$ 50,940	\$	2,623	5.4%	\$	19,426	\$	1,116	6.1%	\$ 50,	940	\$ 2,623	5.4%	\$	13,907		
1986-87	\$ 44,231	\$ 3,076	7.5%	\$	36,072	\$ 2,533	7.6%	\$ 48,317	\$	3,343	7.4%	\$	18,310	\$	1,371	8.1%	\$48,	317	\$ 3,343	7.4%	\$	13,193	\$9	11 7.4%
1985-86	\$ 41,155	-	-	\$	33,539	-	-	\$ 44,974		-	-	\$	16,939		-	-	\$44,	974	-	-	\$	12,282	-	-

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for be 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Elected	l Coun	ty	Constitu	utional C										e Salar	y Formul	a in	Chap	ter 145	, F	lorida S	Statute	5
								St. J	ohns C	ounty: F	scal Yea	rs 1	985-86 te	o 2	018-19									
	Clerk of Cire	cuit Court, I	Property																					
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervis	sor of Elect	ions			Sheriff			County	Cor	nmissio	ners	School	Supe	erintenc	lent		School	Board Me	mbers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	S	alary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ (Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$ 137,124	\$ 1,599	1.2%	\$	137,124	\$ 1,599	1.2%	\$ 1	146,521	\$ 1,663	1.1%	\$	75,830	\$	1,148	1.5%	\$ 137,124	\$	1,599	1.2%	\$	37,843	\$ 43	4 1.2%
2017-18	\$ 135,525	\$ 5,825	4.5%	\$	135,525	\$ 5,825	4.5%	\$ 1	144,859	\$ 6,195	4.5%	\$	74,682	\$	3,390	4.8%	\$ 135,525	\$	5,825	4.5%	\$	37,410	\$ 1,60	3 4.5%
2016-17	\$ 129,700	\$ 604	0.5%	\$	129,700	\$ 19,580	17.8%	\$ 1	138,663	\$ 618	0.4%	\$	71,291	\$	498	0.7%	\$ 129,700	\$	604	0.5%	\$	35,807	\$ 16	2 0.5%
2015-16	\$ 129,096	\$ 539	0.4%	\$	110,121	\$ 499	0.5%	\$ 1	138,046	\$ 549	0.4%	\$	70,794	\$	455	0.6%	\$ 129,096	\$	539	0.4%	\$	35,645	\$ 14	4 0.4%
2014-15	\$ 128,558	\$ 889	0.7%	\$	109,622	\$ 812	0.7%	\$ 1	137,497	\$ 913	0.7%	\$	70,338	\$	1,039	1.5%	\$ 128,558	\$	889	0.7%	\$	35,501	\$ 26	2 0.7%
2013-14	\$ 127,669	\$ 4,925	4.0%	\$	108,810	\$ 4,232	4.0%	\$ 1	136,584	\$ 5,245	4.0%	\$	69,299	\$	3,078	4.6%	\$ 127,669	\$	4,925	4.0%	\$	35,238	\$ 1,37	4 4.1%
2012-13	\$ 122,744	\$ 302	0.2%	\$	104,578	\$ 288	0.3%	\$ 1	131,340	\$ 302	0.2%	\$	66,221	\$	518	0.8%	\$ 122,744	\$	302	0.2%	\$	33,865	\$ 9	6 0.3%
2011-12	\$ 122,442	\$ 695	0.6%	\$	104,290	\$ 662	0.6%	\$ 1	131,037	\$ 695	0.5%	\$	65,703	\$	1,191	1.8%	\$ 122,442	\$	695	0.6%	\$	33,769	\$ 22	1 0.7%
2010-11	\$ 121,747	\$ 257	0.2%	\$	103,628	\$ 245	0.2%	\$ 1	130,342	\$ 257	0.2%	\$	64,512	\$	441	0.7%	\$ 121,747	\$	3,450	2.9%	\$	33,548	See	notes
2009-10	\$ 121,490	\$ 779	0.6%	\$	103,384	\$ 742	0.7%	\$ 1	130,085	\$ 779	0.6%	\$	64,071	\$	1,335	2.1%	\$ 118,296		See no	otes	\$	33,467	See	notes
2008-09	\$ 120,711	\$ 1,060	0.9%	\$	102,642	\$ 996	1.0%	\$ 1	129,306	\$ 1,070	0.8%	\$	62,736	\$	1,659	2.7%	\$ 120,711	\$	1,060	0.9%	\$	33,220	\$ 33	1 1.0%
2007-08	\$ 119,650	\$ 859	0.7%	\$	101,645	\$ 818	0.8%	\$ 1	128,236	\$ 859	0.7%	\$	61,077	\$	1,474	2.5%	\$ 119,650	\$	859	0.7%	\$	32,889	-	-
2006-07	\$ 118,791	\$ 4,796	4.2%	\$	100,827	\$ 4,156	4.3%	\$ 1	127,378	\$ 5,084	4.2%	\$	59,603	\$	3,406	6.1%	\$ 118,791	\$	4,797	4.2%		N/A	-	-
2005-06	\$ 113,995	\$ 5,478	5.0%	\$	96,671	\$ 4,744	5.2%	\$ 1	122,294	\$ 5,808	5.0%	\$	56,197	\$	3,854	7.4%	\$ 113,995	\$	5,478	5.0%		N/A	-	-
2004-05	\$ 108,517	\$ 2,067	1.9%	\$	91,927	\$ 1,812	2.0%	\$ 1	116,486	\$ 2,176	1.9%	\$	52,343	\$	1,711	3.4%	\$ 108,517		-	-		N/A	-	-
2003-04	\$ 106,450	\$ 2,602	2.5%	\$	90,115	\$ 2,257	2.6%	\$ 1	114,310	\$ 2,756	2.5%	\$	50,632	\$	1,876	3.8%		See n	otes			N/A	-	-
2002-03	\$ 103,848	\$ 3,096	3.1%	\$	87,858	\$ 2,674	3.1%	\$ 1	111,554	\$ 3,288	3.0%	\$	48,756	\$	2,093	4.5%	\$ 103,848	\$	3,096	3.1%		S	ee notes	
2001-02	\$ 100,752	\$ 3,715	3.8%	\$	85,184	\$ 3,231	3.9%	\$ 1	108,266	\$ 3,929	3.8%	\$	46,663	\$	2,770	6.3%	\$ 100,752	\$	3,715	3.8%	\$	27,526	\$ 1,05	2 4.0%
2000-01	\$ 97,037	\$ 3,013	3.2%	\$	81,953	\$ 2,583	3.3%	\$ 1	104,337	\$ 3,213	3.2%	\$	43,893	\$	1,816	4.3%	\$ 97,037	\$	3,013	3.2%	\$	26,474	\$ 83	8 3.3%
1999-00	\$ 94,024	\$ 3,648	4.0%	\$	79,370	\$ 3,116	4.1%	\$ 1	101,124	\$ 3,898	4.0%	\$	42,077	\$	2,059	5.1%	\$ 94,024	\$	3,648	4.0%	\$	25,636	\$ 1,01	0 4.1%
1998-99	\$ 90,376	\$ 4,755	5.6%	\$	76,254	\$ 4,050	5.6%	\$	97,226	\$ 5,090	5.5%	\$	40,018	\$	2,544	6.8%	\$ 90,376	\$	4,755	5.6%	\$	24,626	\$ 1,31	1 5.6%
1997-98	\$ 85,621	\$ 4,519	5.6%	\$	72,204	\$ 3,862	5.7%	\$	92,136	\$ 4,826		\$	37,474	\$	2,323	6.6%	\$ 85,621	\$	4,519	5.6%	\$	23,315	\$ 1,23	4 5.6%
1996-97	\$ 81,102	\$ 4,979	6.5%	\$	68,342	\$ 4,262	6.7%	\$	87,310	\$ 5,314	6.5%	\$	35,151	\$	2,471	7.6%	\$ 81,102	\$	4,979	6.5%	\$	22,081	\$ 1,35	1 6.5%
1995-96	\$ 76,123	\$ 3,572	4.9%	\$	64,080	\$ 3,073	5.0%	\$	81,996	\$ 3,801	4.9%	\$	32,680	\$	1,849	6.0%	\$ 76,123	\$	3,572	4.9%	\$	20,730	\$ 96	8 4.9%
1994-95	\$ 72,551	\$ 4,325	6.3%	\$	61,007	\$ 3,687	6.4%	\$	78,195	\$ 4,627	6.3%	\$	30,831	\$	2,073	7.2%	\$ 72,551		-	-	\$	19,762	-	-
1993-94	\$ 68,226	\$ 2,915	4.5%	\$	57,320	\$ 2,489	4.5%	\$	73,568	\$ 3,116	4.4%	\$	28,758	\$	1,417	5.2%		See n	otes			S	ee notes	
1992-93	\$ 65,311	\$ 353	0.5%	\$	54,831	\$ 336	0.6%	\$	70,452	\$ 353	0.5%	\$	27,341	\$	336	1.2%	\$ 64,958	\$	-	0.0%	\$	17,702	\$	- 0.0%
1991-92	\$ 64,958	\$ 2,779	4.5%	\$	54,495	\$ 2,322	4.5%	\$	70,099	\$ 3,004	4.5%	\$	27,005	\$	1,111	4.3%	\$ 64,958	\$	2,779	4.5%	\$	17,702	\$ 75	8 4.5%
1990-91	\$ 62,179	\$ 3,032	5.1%	\$	52,173	\$ 2,610	5.3%	\$	67,095	\$ 3,226	5.1%	\$	25,894	\$	1,575	6.5%	\$ 62,179	\$	3,032	5.1%	\$	16,944	\$ 82	1 5.1%
1989-90	\$ 59,147	\$ 3,385	6.1%	\$	49,563	\$ 2,916	6.3%	\$	63,869	\$ 8,107	14.5%	\$	24,319	\$	1,768	7.8%	\$ 59,147	\$	3,385	6.1%	\$	16,123	\$ 91	8 6.0%
1988-89	\$ 55,762	\$ 7,231	14.9%	\$	46,647	\$ 6,781	17.0%	\$	55,762	\$ 2,941	5.6%	\$	22,551	\$	1,333	6.3%	\$ 55,762	\$	2,941	5.6%	\$	15,205	\$ 80	0 5.6%
1987-88	\$ 48,531	\$ 2,829	6.2%	\$	39,866	\$ 2,393	6.4%	\$	52,821	\$ 3,033	6.1%	\$	21,218	\$	1,507	7.6%	\$ 52,821	\$	3,033	6.1%	\$	14,405	\$ 82	3 6.1%
1986-87	\$ 45,702	\$ 3,527	8.4%	\$	37,473	\$ 2,962	8.6%	\$	49,788	\$ 3,794	8.2%	\$	19,711	\$	1,800	10.0%	\$ 49,788	\$	3,794	8.2%	\$	13,582	\$ 1,03	0 8.2%
1985-86	\$ 42,175	-	-	\$	34,511	-	-	\$	45,994	-	-	\$	17,911		-	-	\$ 45,994		-	-	\$	12,552	-	
							•																	

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for be 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Elected	d Coun	ty	Constitu	utional C	fficers	and Sch	ool	Distric	ct Offic	ials	s Pursu	an	nt to th	e Salar	y Formula	a in C	hapt	ter 145	i, F	lorida	Statute	3
								St. Lucie	Cou	nty: Fise	cal Year	s 19	985-86 to	o 20	018-19									
	Clerk of Cir	cuit Court, I	Property																					
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervis	sor of Elect	ions		Sh	neriff			County	Cor	nmissio	ners	School	Superir	tend	ent		School	Board Me	mbers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	6 Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Ch	g.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$ 141,911	\$ 1,306	0.9%	\$	141,911	\$ 1,306	0.9%	\$ 151,308	\$	1,370	0.9%	\$	80,389	\$	870	1.1%	\$ 141,911	\$ 1,3	306	0.9%	\$	39,109	\$ 35	6 0.9%
2017-18	\$ 140,605	\$ 5,918	4.4%	\$	140,605	\$ 5,918	4.4%	\$ 149,938	\$	6,288	4.4%	\$	79,520	\$	3,479	4.6%	\$ 140,605	\$ 5,9	918	4.4%	\$	38,753	\$ 1,62	7 4.4%
2016-17	\$ 134,687	\$ 532	0.4%	\$	134,687	\$ 19,748	17.2%	\$ 143,650	\$	545	0.4%	\$	76,041	\$	428	0.6%	\$ 134,687	\$!	532	0.4%	\$	37,126	\$ 14	3 0.4%
2015-16	\$ 134,156	\$ 261	0.2%	\$	114,939	\$ 234	0.2%	\$ 143,105	\$	270	0.2%	\$	75,612	\$	190	0.3%	\$ 134,156	\$ 2	261	0.2%	\$	36,983	\$7	1 0.2%
2014-15	\$ 133,895	\$ 416	0.3%	\$	114,705	\$ 361	0.3%	\$ 142,835	\$	440	0.3%	\$	75,422	\$	255	0.3%	\$ 133,895	\$ 4	116	0.3%	\$	36,912	\$ 11	4 0.3%
2013-14	\$ 133,480	\$ 4,830	3.8%	\$	114,344	\$ 4,142	3.8%	\$ 142,395	\$	5,150	3.8%	\$	75,167	\$	2,736	3.8%	\$ 133,480	\$ 4,8	330	3.8%	\$	36,798	\$ 1,33	1 3.8%
2012-13	\$ 128,650	\$ 123	0.1%	\$	110,203	\$ 117	0.1%	\$ 137,245	\$	123	0.1%	\$	72,431	\$	117	0.2%	\$ 128,650	\$ 1	23	0.1%	\$	35,467	\$ 3	3 0.1%
2011-12	\$ 128,527	\$ 318	0.2%	\$	110,086	\$ 302	0.3%	\$ 137,122	\$	318	0.2%	\$	72,314	\$	302	0.4%	\$ 128,527	\$	818	0.2%	\$	35,435	\$8	4 0.2%
2010-11	\$ 128,209	\$ (240)	-0.2%	\$	109,783	\$ (228)	-0.2%	\$ 136,805	\$	(240)	-0.2%	\$	72,011	\$	(228)	-0.3%	\$ 128,209	\$ 2,6	522	2.1%	\$	35,351	See	notes
2009-10	\$ 128,449	\$ 299	0.2%	\$	110,012	\$ 285	0.3%	\$ 137,045	\$	299	0.2%	\$	72,240	\$	284	0.4%	\$ 125,587	Se	e not	tes	\$	35,414	See	notes
2008-09	\$ 128,150	\$ 955	0.8%	\$	109,727	\$ 896	0.8%	\$ 136,746	\$	965	0.7%	\$	71,955	\$	855	1.2%	\$ 128,150	\$	955	0.8%	\$	35,335	\$ 25	4 0.7%
2007-08	\$ 127,195	\$ 1,240	1.0%	\$	108,831	\$ 1,181	1.1%	\$ 135,781	\$	1,240	0.9%	\$	71,101	\$	1,181	1.7%	\$ 127,195	\$ 1,2	240	1.0%	\$	35,081	-	-
2006-07	\$ 125,955	\$ 5,073	4.2%	\$	107,650	\$ 4,420	4.3%	\$ 134,541	\$	5,359	4.1%	\$	69,919	\$	3,157	4.7%	\$ 125,955	\$ 5,0	073	4.2%		N/A	-	
2005-06	\$ 120,882	\$ 5,662	4.9%	\$	103,230	\$ 4,920	5.0%	\$ 129,182	\$	5,993	4.9%	\$	66,762	\$	3,470	5.5%	\$ 120,882	\$ 5,6	63	4.9%		N/A	-	-
2004-05	\$ 115,220	\$ 2,083	1.8%	\$	98,310	\$ 1,827	1.9%	\$ 123,189	\$	2,192	1.8%	\$	63,292	\$	1,347	2.2%	\$ 115,220	-		-		N/A	-	
2003-04	\$ 113,137	\$ 2,580	2.3%	\$	96,483	\$ 2,236	2.4%	\$ 120,997	\$	2,734	2.3%	\$	61,945	\$	1,688	2.8%		ee note	S			N/A	-	-
2002-03	\$ 110,557	\$ 3,271	3.0%	\$	94,247	\$ 2,841	3.1%	\$ 118,263	\$	3,463	3.0%	\$	60,257	\$	2,393	4.1%	\$ 110,557	\$ 3,2	271	3.0%		S	ee notes	
2001-02	\$ 107,286	\$ 3,591	3.5%	\$	91,406	\$ 3,112	3.5%	\$ 114,800	\$	3,806	3.4%	\$	57,864	\$	2,558	4.6%	\$ 107,286	\$ 3,5	591	3.5%	\$	29,599	\$ 1,01	2 3.5%
2000-01	\$ 103,695	\$ 3,163	3.1%	\$	88,294	\$ 2,726	3.2%	\$ 110,994	\$	3,362	3.1%	\$	55,306	\$	2,073	3.9%	\$ 103,695	\$3,1	63	3.1%	\$	28,587	\$ 88	6 3.2%
1999-00	\$ 100,532	\$ 3,891	4.0%	\$	85,568	\$ 3,347	4.1%	\$ 107,632	\$	4,141	4.0%	\$	53,233	\$	2,474	4.9%	\$ 100,532	\$ 3,8	891	4.0%	\$	27,701	\$ 1,08	7 4.1%
1998-99	\$ 96,641	\$ 5,015	5.5%	\$	82,221	\$ 4,298	5.5%	\$ 103,491	\$	5,350	5.5%	\$	50,759	\$	2,991	6.3%	\$ 96,641	\$ 5,0)15	5.5%	\$	26,614	\$ 1,39	3 5.5%
1997-98	\$ 91,626	\$ 4,664	5.4%	\$	77,923	\$ 4,000	5.4%	\$ 98,141	\$	4,972	5.3%	\$	47,768	\$	2,829	6.3%	\$ 91,626	\$ 4,6	64	5.4%	\$	25,221	\$ 1,29	8 5.4%
1996-97	\$ 86,962	\$ 5,012	6.1%	\$	73,923	\$ 4,293	6.2%	\$ 93,169	\$	5,346	6.1%	\$	44,939	\$	2,973	7.1%	\$ 86,962	\$ 5,0)12	6.1%	\$	23,923	\$ 1,39	2 6.2%
1995-96	\$ 81,950	\$ 3,450	4.4%	\$	69,630	\$ 2,958	4.4%	\$ 87,823	\$	3,679	4.4%	\$	41,966	\$	2,073	5.2%	\$ 81,950	\$ 3,4	150	4.4%	\$	22,531	\$ 96	0 4.5%
1994-95	\$ 78,500	\$ 4,482	6.1%	\$	66,672	\$ 3,836	6.1%	\$ 84,144	\$	4,784	6.0%	\$	39,893	\$	2,620	7.0%	\$ 78,500	-		-	\$	21,571	-	
1993-94	\$ 74,018	\$ 3,024	4.3%	\$	62,836	\$ 2,592	4.3%	\$ 79,360	\$	3,224	4.2%	\$	37,273	\$	1,820	5.1%	5	ee note	s			S	ee notes	
1992-93	\$ 70,994	\$ 317	0.4%	\$	60,244	\$ 303	0.5%	\$ 76,136	\$	318	0.4%	\$	35,453	\$	545	1.6%	\$ 70,677	\$	-	0.0%	\$	19,386	\$	- 0.0%
1991-92	\$ 70,677	\$ 3,541	5.3%	\$	59,941	\$ 3,047	5.4%	\$ 75,818	\$	3,767	5.2%	\$	34,908	\$	2,270	7.0%	\$ 70,677	\$ 3,5	541	5.3%	\$	19,386	\$ 99	0 5.4%
1990-91	\$ 67,136	\$ 3,112	4.9%	\$	56,894	\$ 2,687	5.0%	\$ 72,051	\$	3,306	4.8%	\$	32,638	\$	2,087	6.8%	\$ 67,136	\$ 3,1	12	4.9%	\$	18,396	\$ 87	3 5.0%
1989-90	\$ 64,024	\$ 3,301	5.4%	\$	54,207	\$ 2,835	5.5%	\$ 68,745	\$	8,022	13.2%	\$	30,551	\$	2,057	7.2%	\$ 64,024	\$3,3	301	5.4%	\$	17,523	\$ 92	0 5.5%
1988-89	\$ 60,723	\$ 7,567	14.2%	\$	51,372	\$ 7,101	16.0%	\$ 60,723	\$	3,276	5.7%	\$	28,494	\$	1,985	7.5%	\$ 60,723	\$ 3,2	276	5.7%	\$	16,603	\$ 91	2 5.8%
1987-88	\$ 53,156	\$ 2,803	5.6%	\$	44,271	\$ 2,368	5.7%	\$ 57,447	\$	3,008	5.5%	\$	26,509	\$	1,736	7.0%	\$ 57,447	\$ 3,0	800	5.5%	\$	15,691	\$ 83	3 5.6%
1986-87	\$ 50,353	\$ 3,537	7.6%	\$	41,903	\$ 2,972	7.6%	\$ 54,439		3,804	7.5%	\$	24,773	\$	2,036	9.0%	\$ 54,439	\$ 3,8	304	7.5%	\$	14,858	\$ 1,04	9 7.6%
1985-86	\$ 46,816	-	-	\$	38,931	-	-	\$ 50,635	;	-	-	\$	22,737		-	-	\$ 50,635	-		-	\$	13,809	-	
					,																			

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for be 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Elected	d Coun	ty	Constitu	utional C											y Formula	a in C	hap	ter 145	, F	lorida	Statutes	
				-			S	anta Rosa	a Co	unty: Fi	scal Yea	irs '	1985-86	to	2018-19									
	Clerk of Cir	cuit Court, I	Property																					
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector			sor of Elect	ions			neriff				Col	mmissio		School	Superi	ntenc	lent			Board Mer	nbers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.	5	Salary	1	GChg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Cł	ıg.	% Chg.	5	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$ 131,604	\$ 1,345	1.0%	\$	131,604	\$ 1,345	1.0%	\$ 141,00	1\$	1,409	1.0%	\$	67,963	\$	1,229	1.8%	\$ 131,604	\$ 1	345	1.0%	\$	36,202	\$ 389	1.1%
2017-18	\$ 130,259	\$ 5,624	4.5%	\$	130,259	\$ 5,624	4.5%	\$ 139,59	2 \$	5,994	4.5%	\$	66,733	\$	3,431	5.4%	\$ 130,259	\$ 5	624	4.5%	\$	35,813	\$ 1,566	4.6%
2016-17	\$ 124,635	\$ 537	0.4%	\$	124,635	\$ 19,275	18.3%	\$ 133,59	3 \$	550	0.4%	\$	63,302	\$	697	1.1%	\$ 124,635	\$	537	0.4%	\$	34,248	\$ 163	0.5%
2015-16	\$ 124,098	\$ 413	0.3%	\$	105,360	\$ 379	0.4%	\$ 133,04	7 \$	423	0.3%	\$	62,606	\$	542	0.9%	\$ 124,098	\$	413	0.3%	\$	34,085	\$ 125	0.4%
2014-15	\$ 123,685	\$ 550	0.4%	\$	104,981	\$ 489	0.5%	\$ 132,62	1\$	574	0.4%	\$	62,064	\$	536	0.9%	\$ 123,685	\$	550	0.4%	\$	33,960	\$ 160	0.5%
2013-14	\$ 123,135	\$ 4,469	3.8%	\$	104,492	\$ 3,798	3.8%	\$ 132,05) \$	4,789	3.8%	\$	61,527	\$	2,297	3.9%	\$ 123,135	\$4	469	3.8%	\$	33,800	\$ 1,229	3.8%
2012-13	\$ 118,666	\$ 379	0.3%	\$	100,695	\$ 361	0.4%	\$ 127,26	2 \$	379	0.3%	\$	59,231	\$	650	1.1%	\$ 118,666	\$	379	0.3%	\$	32,571	\$ 120	0.4%
2011-12	\$ 118,287	\$ 738	0.6%	\$	100,333	\$ 702	0.7%	\$ 126,88	3 \$	738	0.6%	\$	58,581	\$	1,264	2.2%	\$ 118,287	\$	738	0.6%	\$	32,450	\$ 234	0.7%
2010-11	\$ 117,549	\$ 40	0.0%	\$	99,631	\$ 38	0.0%	\$ 126,14	5\$	40	0.0%	\$	57,316	\$	69	0.1%	\$ 117,549	\$ 2	601	2.3%	\$	32,216	See	iotes
2009-10	\$ 117,509	\$ 215	0.2%	\$	99,593	\$ 204	0.2%	\$ 126,10	5\$	215	0.2%	\$	57,248	\$	367	0.6%	\$ 114,949	S	ee no	otes	\$	32,204	See	iotes
2008-09	\$ 117,295	\$ 206	0.2%	\$	99,388	\$ 182	0.2%	\$ 125,89) \$	215	0.2%	\$	56,881	\$	194	0.3%	\$ 117,295	\$	206	0.2%	\$	32,136	\$ 60	0.2%
2007-08	\$ 117,089	\$ 534	0.5%	\$	99,206	\$ 508	0.5%	\$ 125,67	5\$	534	0.4%	\$	56,686	\$	917	1.6%	\$ 117,089	\$	534	0.5%	\$	32,076	-	-
2006-07	\$ 116,555	\$ 4,180	3.7%	\$	98,698	\$ 3,570	3.8%	\$ 125,14	2 \$	4,468	3.7%	\$	55,770	\$	2,349	4.4%	\$ 116,555	\$ 4	180	3.7%		N/A	-	-
2005-06	\$ 112,375	\$ 4,950	4.6%	\$	95,128	\$ 4,241	4.7%	\$ 120,67	1\$	5,280	4.6%	\$	53,421	\$	2,950	5.8%	\$ 112,375	\$ 4	950	4.6%		N/A	-	-
2004-05	\$ 107,425	\$ 1,859	1.8%	\$	90,887	\$ 1,614	1.8%	\$ 115,39	1\$	1,968	1.7%	\$	50,471	\$	1,354	2.8%	\$ 107,425	-		-		N/A	-	-
2003-04	\$ 105,566	\$ 2,415	2.3%	\$	89,273	\$ 2,079	2.4%	\$ 113,42	6\$	2,569	2.3%	\$	49,117	\$	1,555	3.3%	5	ee not	es			N/A	-	
2002-03	\$ 103,151	\$ 2,906	2.9%	\$	87,194	\$ 2,493	2.9%	\$ 110,85	7 \$	3,097	2.9%	\$	47,562	\$	1,767	3.9%	\$ 103,151	\$ 2	906	2.9%		S	ee notes	
2001-02	\$ 100,246	\$ 3,329	3.4%	\$	84,701	\$ 2,862	3.5%	\$ 107,76) \$	3,543	3.4%	\$	45,795	\$	2,107	4.8%	\$ 100,246	\$ 3	329	3.4%	\$	27,365	\$ 929	3.5%
2000-01	\$ 96,917	\$ 3,078	3.3%	\$	81,839	\$ 2,645	3.3%	\$ 104,21	7 \$	3,278	3.2%	\$	43,688	\$	1,927	4.6%	\$ 96,917	\$ 3	078	3.3%	\$	26,436	\$ 859	3.4%
1999-00	\$ 93,839	\$ 3,773	4.2%	\$	79,194	\$ 3,236	4.3%	\$ 100,93) \$	4,023	4.2%	\$	41,761	\$	2,275	5.8%	\$ 93,839	\$ 3	773	4.2%	\$	25,577	\$ 1,049	4.3%
1998-99	\$ 90,066	\$ 4,881	5.7%	\$	75,958	\$ 4,169	5.8%	\$ 96,91	6\$	5,215	5.7%	\$	39,486	\$	2,534	6.9%	\$ 90,066	\$ 4	881	5.7%	\$	24,528	\$ 1,336	5.8%
1997-98	\$ 85,185	\$ 4,473	5.5%	\$	71,789	\$ 3,819	5.6%	\$ 91,70	1\$	4,781	5.5%	\$	36,952	\$	2,172	6.2%	\$ 85,185	\$ 4	473	5.5%	\$	23,192	\$ 1,214	5.5%
1996-97	\$ 80,712	\$ 4,756	6.3%	\$	67,970	\$ 4,049	6.3%	\$ 86,92) \$	5,091	6.2%	\$	34,780	\$	2,259	6.9%	\$ 80,712	\$ 4	756	6.3%	\$	21,978	\$ 1,292	6.2%
1995-96	\$ 75,956	\$ 3,563	4.9%	\$	63,921	\$ 3,065	5.0%	\$ 81,82) \$	3,793	4.9%	\$	32,521	\$	1,841	6.0%	\$ 75,956	\$ 3	563	4.9%	\$	20,686	\$ 966	4.9%
1994-95	\$ 72,393	\$ 4,235	6.2%	\$	60,856	\$ 3,601	6.3%	\$ 78,03	6 \$	4,536	6.2%	\$	30,680	\$	1,987	6.9%	\$ 72,393	-		-	\$	19,720	-	-
1993-94	\$ 68,158	\$ 3,184	4.9%	\$	57,255	\$ 2,745	5.0%	\$ 73,50) \$	3,385	4.8%	\$	28,693	\$	1,673	6.2%		ee not	es			S	ee notes	
1992-93	\$ 64,974	\$ 359	0.6%	\$	54,510	\$ 341	0.6%	\$ 70,11	5 \$	358	0.5%	\$	27,020	\$	342	1.3%	\$ 64,615	\$	-	0.0%	\$	17,612	\$.	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 64,615	\$ 4,649	7.8%	\$	54,169	\$ 4,104	8.2%	\$ 69,75	7 \$	4,876	7.5%	\$	26,678	\$	2,892	12.2%	\$ 64,615	\$ 4	649	7.8%	\$	17,612	\$ 1,254	7.7%
1990-91	\$ 59,966	\$ 2,687	4.7%	\$	50,065	\$ 2,281	4.8%	\$ 64,88	1\$	2,880	4.6%	\$	23,786	\$	1,246	5.5%	\$ 59,966	\$2	687	4.7%	\$	16,358	\$ 730	4.7%
1989-90	\$ 57,279	\$ 2,722	5.0%	\$	47,784	\$ 2,284	5.0%	\$ 62,00	1\$	7,444	13.6%	\$	22,540	\$	1,137	5.3%	\$ 57,279	\$2	722	5.0%	\$	15,628	\$ 742	5.0%
1988-89	\$ 54,557	\$ 7,078	14.9%	\$	45,500	\$ 6,635	17.1%	\$ 54,55	7 \$	2,787	5.4%	\$	21,403	\$	1,187	5.9%	\$ 54,557	\$2	787	5.4%	\$	14,886	\$ 759	5.4%
1987-88	\$ 47,479	\$ 2,445	5.4%	\$	38,865	\$ 2,027	5.5%	\$ 51,77) \$	2,649	5.4%	\$	20,216	\$	1,140	6.0%	\$ 51,770	\$ 2	649	5.4%	\$	14,127	\$ 721	5.4%
1986-87	\$ 45,034	\$ 3,122	7.4%	\$	36,838	\$ 2,578	7.5%	\$ 49,12		3,390	7.4%	\$	19,076	\$	1,416	8.0%	\$ 49,121		390	7.4%	\$	13,406	\$ 924	7.4%
1985-86	\$ 41,912	-	-	\$	34,260	-	-	\$ 45,73		-	-	\$	17,660	L .	-	-	\$ 45,731	-		-	\$	12,482	-	- 1
	,				. /===			,					,									,		

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for be 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Elected	d Coun	ty	Constitu	utional O	fficers	an	d Scho	ol	Distric	ct Offic	ials	s Pursu	ıan	t to th	e Salar	y Formul	a ir	n Chap	ter 145	, F	lorida S	Statut	es	
								Sar	rasota C	oun	nty: Fise	cal Year	s 19	985-86 te	o 20	018-19										
	Clerk of Cire	cuit Court, I	Property																							
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervis	sor of Elect	ions			She	əriff			County	Cor	nmissio	ners	School	Sup	perintend	lent		School	Board M	lembe	ers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	.,	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Ch	j. 5	% Chg.
2018-19	\$ 149,296	\$ 1,221	0.8%	\$	149,296	\$ 1,221	0.8%	\$	158,693	\$	1,286	0.8%	\$	87,423	\$	789	0.9%	\$ 149,296	\$	1,221	0.8%	\$	41,062	\$ 3	34	0.8%
2017-18	\$ 148,075	\$ 6,374	4.5%	\$	148,075	\$ 6,374	4.5%	\$	157,408	\$	6,744	4.5%	\$	86,634	\$	3,913	4.7%	\$ 148,075	\$	6,374	4.5%	\$	40,728	\$ 1,7	'48	4.5%
2016-17	\$ 141,701	\$ 543	0.4%	\$	141,701	\$ 20,093	16.5%	\$	150,664	\$	557	0.4%	\$	82,721	\$	440	0.5%	\$ 141,701	\$	543	0.4%	\$	38,980	\$	46	0.4%
2015-16	\$ 141,158	\$ 280	0.2%	\$	121,608	\$ 253	0.2%	\$	150,107	\$	290	0.2%	\$	82,281	\$	209	0.3%	\$ 141,158	\$	280	0.2%	\$	38,834	\$	76	0.2%
2014-15	\$ 140,878	\$ 490	0.3%	\$	121,355	\$ 432	0.4%	\$	149,817	\$	514	0.3%	\$	82,072	\$	326	0.4%	\$ 140,878	\$	490	0.3%	\$	38,759	\$ ·	34	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 140,387	\$ 5,186	3.8%	\$	120,923	\$ 4,481	3.8%	\$	149,303	\$	5,506	3.8%	\$	81,746	\$	3,076	3.9%	\$ 140,387	\$	5,186	3.8%	\$	38,625	\$ 1,4	25	3.8%
2012-13	\$ 135,201	\$ 121	0.1%	\$	116,442	\$ 115	0.1%	\$	143,797	\$	121	0.1%	\$	78,670	\$	115	0.1%	\$ 135,201	\$	121	0.1%	\$	37,200	\$	32	0.1%
2011-12	\$ 135,080	\$ (636)	-0.5%	\$	116,327	\$ (606)	-0.5%	\$	143,676	\$	(636)	-0.4%	\$	78,555	\$	(606)	-0.8%	\$ 135,080	\$	(636)	-0.5%	\$	37,168	\$ (*	68)	-0.5%
2010-11	\$ 135,717	\$ (276)	-0.2%	\$	116,933	\$ (263)	-0.2%	\$	144,313	\$	(276)	-0.2%	\$	79,161	\$	(263)	-0.3%	\$ 135,717	\$	2,833	2.1%	\$	37,336	Se	e not	es
2009-10	\$ 135,993	\$ 397	0.3%	\$	117,197	\$ 378	0.3%	\$	144,589	\$	397	0.3%	\$	79,425	\$	378	0.5%	\$ 132,884		See no	otes	\$	37,409	Se	e not	es
2008-09	\$ 135,596	\$ 669	0.5%	\$	116,818	\$ 624	0.5%	\$	144,192	\$	678	0.5%	\$	79,047	\$	582	0.7%	\$ 135,596	\$	669	0.5%	\$	37,304	\$	79	0.5%
2007-08	\$ 134,927	\$ 740	0.6%	\$	116,195	\$ 705	0.6%	\$	143,513	\$	740	0.5%	\$	78,465	\$	706	0.9%	\$ 134,927	\$	740	0.6%	\$	37,126	-		-
2006-07	\$ 134,187	\$ 5,083	3.9%	\$	115,490	\$ 4,429	4.0%	\$	142,773	\$	5,370	3.9%	\$	77,759	\$	3,167	4.2%	\$ 134,187	\$	5,083	3.9%		N/A	-		-
2005-06	\$ 129,104	\$ 5,704	4.6%	\$	111,061	\$ 4,960	4.7%	\$	137,403	\$	6,034	4.6%	\$	74,592	\$	3,510	4.9%	\$ 129,104	\$	5,704	4.6%		N/A	-		-
2004-05	\$ 123,400	\$ 2,227	1.8%	\$	106,101	\$ 1,964	1.9%	\$	131,369	\$	2,336	1.8%	\$	71,082	\$	1,484	2.1%	\$ 123,400		-	-		N/A	-		-
2003-04	\$ 121,173	\$ 2,702	2.3%	\$	104,137	\$ 2,353	2.3%	\$	129,033	\$	2,856	2.3%	\$	69,598	\$	1,676	2.5%	:	See	notes			N/A	-		-
2002-03	\$ 118,471	\$ 3,401	3.0%	\$	101,784	\$ 2,964	3.0%	\$	126,177	\$	3,592	2.9%	\$	67,923	\$	2,122	3.2%	\$ 118,471	\$	3,401	3.0%		S	ee note	s	
2001-02	\$ 115,070	\$ 3,554	3.2%	\$	98,820	\$ 3,077	3.2%	\$	122,585	\$	3,769	3.2%	\$	65,801	\$	2,135	3.4%	\$ 115,070	\$	3,554	3.2%	\$	31,694	\$ 9	76	3.2%
2000-01	\$ 111,516	\$ 3,317	3.1%	\$	95,743	\$ 2,873	3.1%	\$	118,816	\$	3,517	3.1%	\$	63,666	\$	1,996	3.2%	\$ 111,516	\$	3,317	3.1%	\$	30,718	\$ 9	11	3.1%
1999-00	\$ 108,199	\$ 4,066	3.9%	\$	92,870	\$ 3,514	3.9%	\$	115,299	\$	4,316	3.9%	\$	61,670	\$	2,415	4.1%	\$ 108,199	\$	4,066	3.9%	\$	29,807	\$ 1,*	17	3.9%
1998-99	\$ 104,133	\$ 5,336	5.4%	\$	89,356	\$ 4,603	5.4%	\$	110,983	\$	5,670	5.4%	\$	59,255	\$	3,134	5.6%	\$ 104,133	\$	5,336	5.4%	\$	28,690	\$ 1,4	67	5.4%
1997-98	\$ 98,797	\$ 4,871	5.2%	\$	84,753	\$ 4,197	5.2%	\$	105,313	\$	5,179	5.2%	\$	56,121	\$	2,844	5.3%	\$ 98,797	\$	4,871	5.2%	\$	27,223	\$ 1,3	40	5.2%
1996-97	\$ 93,926	\$ 5,310	6.0%	\$	80,556	\$ 4,578	6.0%	\$	100,134	\$	5,645	6.0%	\$	53,277	\$	3,106	6.2%	\$ 93,926	\$	5,310	6.0%	\$	25,883	\$ 1,4	61	6.0%
1995-96	\$ 88,616	\$ 3,684	4.3%	\$	75,978	\$ 3,180	4.4%	\$	94,489	\$	3,913	4.3%	\$	50,171	\$	2,174	4.5%	\$ 88,616	\$	3,684	4.3%	\$	24,422	\$ 1,0	12	4.3%
1994-95	\$ 84,932	\$ 4,678	5.8%	\$	72,798	\$ 4,023	5.8%	\$	90,576	\$	4,980	5.8%	\$	47,997	\$	2,696	6.0%	\$ 84,932		-	-	\$	23,410	-		-
1993-94	\$ 80,254	\$ 3,169	4.1%	\$	68,775	\$ 2,731	4.1%	\$	85,596	\$	3,370	4.1%	\$	45,301	\$	1,850	4.3%	:	See	notes			S	ee note	s	
1992-93	\$ 77,085	\$ 207	0.3%	\$	66,044	\$ 196	0.3%	\$	82,226	\$	206	0.3%	\$	43,451	\$	197	0.5%	\$ 76,878	\$	-	0.0%	\$	21,195	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 76,878	\$ 3,896	5.3%	\$	65,848	\$ 3,386	5.4%	\$	82,020	\$	4,123	5.3%	\$	43,254	\$	2,391	5.9%	\$ 76,878	\$	3,896	5.3%	\$	21,195	\$ 1,0	68	5.3%
1990-91	\$ 72,982	\$ 3,098	4.4%	\$	62,462	\$ 2,673	4.5%	\$	77,897	\$	3,291	4.4%	\$	40,863	\$	1,821	4.7%	\$ 72,982	\$	3,098	4.4%	\$	20,127	\$ 8	52	4.4%
1989-90	\$ 69,884	\$ 3,394	5.1%	\$	59,789	\$ 2,925	5.1%	\$	74,606	\$	8,116	12.2%	\$	39,042	\$	1,982	5.3%	\$ 69,884	\$	3,394	5.1%	\$	19,275	\$ 9	34	5.1%
1988-89	\$ 66,490	\$ 7,697	13.1%	\$	56,864	\$ 7,225	14.6%	\$	66,490	\$	3,407	5.4%	\$	37,060	\$	1,983	5.7%	\$ 66,490	\$	3,407	5.4%	\$	18,341	\$ 9	37	5.4%
1987-88	\$ 58,793	\$ 2,996	5.4%	\$	49,639	\$ 2,551	5.4%	\$	63,083	\$	3,200	5.3%	\$	35,077	\$	1,860	5.6%	\$ 63,083	\$	3,200	5.3%	\$	17,404	\$ 8	81	5.3%
1986-87	\$ 55,797	\$ 3,847	7.4%	\$	47,088	\$ 3,268	7.5%	\$	59,883	\$	4,114	7.4%	\$	33,217	\$	2,360	7.6%	\$ 59,883	\$	4,114	7.4%	\$	16,523	\$ 1,*	33	7.4%
1985-86	\$ 51,950	-	-	\$	43,820	-	-	\$	55,769		-	-	\$	30,857		-	-	\$ 55,769		-	-	\$	15,390	-		-
																			•							

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for be 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Elected	l Coun	ty	Constitu	utional O											e Salar	y Formı	ıla i	in Chap	ter 145	, F	lorida	Statut	s	
								Se	minole C	our	nty: Fis	cal Year	's 1	985-86 t	o 2	018-19										
	Clerk of Cire	cuit Court, I	Property																							
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector			sor of Elect	ions				əriff				Coi	nmissio			ol Su	uperintenc	lent		School	Board N	embers	's
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	44	6 Chg.	% Chg.	Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Cho	. %	Chg.
2018-19	\$ 150,412	\$ 1,159	0.8%	\$	150,412	\$ 1,159	0.8%	\$	159,809	\$	1,223	0.8%	\$	88,485	\$	729	0.8%	\$ 150,41	2 \$	1,159	0.8%	\$	41,357	\$ 3	17 0	0.8%
2017-18	\$ 149,253	\$ 6,059	4.2%	\$	149,253	\$ 6,059	4.2%	\$	158,586	\$	6,429	4.2%	\$	87,756	\$	3,613	4.3%	\$ 149,25	3\$	6,059	4.2%	\$	41,040	\$ 1,6	ò5 4	4.2%
2016-17	\$ 143,194	\$ 344	0.2%	\$	143,194	\$ 19,974	16.2%	\$	152,157	\$	357	0.2%	\$	84,143	\$	249	0.3%	\$ 143,19	4 \$	344	0.2%	\$	39,376	\$	93 0.	0.2%
2015-16	\$ 142,851	\$ 293	0.2%	\$	123,220	\$ 264	0.2%	\$	151,800	\$	302	0.2%	\$	83,893	\$	221	0.3%	\$ 142,85	1\$	293	0.2%	\$	39,282	\$	79 0.	0.2%
2014-15	\$ 142,558	\$ 452	0.3%	\$	122,956	\$ 396	0.3%	\$	151,498	\$	476	0.3%	\$	83,672	\$	290	0.3%	\$ 142,55	B \$	452	0.3%	\$	39,203	\$ 1	24 0.	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 142,106	\$ 5,172	3.8%	\$	122,560	\$ 4,468	3.8%	\$	151,022	\$	5,492	3.8%	\$	83,383	\$	3,063	3.8%	\$ 142,10	6\$	5,172	3.8%	\$	39,080	\$ 1,4	22 3	3.8%
2012-13	\$ 136,934	\$ 40	0.0%	\$	118,092	\$ 38	0.0%	\$	145,529	\$	40	0.0%	\$	80,320	\$	38	0.0%	\$ 136,93	4 \$	40	0.0%	\$	37,658	\$	11 0.	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 136,894	\$ (22)	0.0%	\$	118,054	\$ (21)	0.0%	\$	145,489	\$	(22)	0.0%	\$	80,282	\$	(21)	0.0%	\$ 136,89	4 \$	(22)	0.0%	\$	37,647	\$	(6) 0.	0.0%
2010-11	\$ 136,916	\$ (57)	0.0%	\$	118,075	\$ (54)	0.0%	\$	145,512	\$	(57)	0.0%	\$	80,303	\$	(54)	-0.1%	\$ 136,91	6\$	2,698	2.0%	\$	37,653	Se	e notes	s
2009-10	\$ 136,973	\$ 16	0.0%	\$	118,130	\$ 15	0.0%	\$	145,569	\$	16	0.0%	\$	80,358	\$	15	0.0%	\$ 134,21	B	See no	otes	\$	37,668	Se	e notes	s
2008-09	\$ 136,957	\$ 258	0.2%	\$	118,114	\$ 233	0.2%	\$	145,552	\$	268	0.2%	\$	80,343	\$	191	0.2%	\$ 136,95	7 \$	258	0.2%	\$	37,664	\$	70 0.	0.2%
2007-08	\$ 136,698	\$ 190	0.1%	\$	117,882	\$ 181	0.2%	\$	145,285	\$	190	0.1%	\$	80,151	\$	182	0.2%	\$ 136,69	B \$	190	0.1%	\$	37,594	-		-
2006-07	\$ 136,508	\$ 4,739	3.6%	\$	117,700	\$ 4,101	3.6%	\$	145,095	\$	5,027	3.6%	\$	79,970	\$	2,840	3.7%	\$ 136,50	B \$	4,739	3.6%		N/A	-		-
2005-06	\$ 131,769	\$ 5,611	4.4%	\$	113,599	\$ 4,872	4.5%	\$	140,068	\$	5,941	4.4%	\$	77,130	\$	3,421	4.6%	\$ 131,76	Э\$	5,612	4.4%		N/A	-		-
2004-05	\$ 126,158	\$ 2,158	1.7%	\$	108,727	\$ 1,899	1.8%	\$	134,127	\$	2,268	1.7%	\$	73,709	\$	1,419	2.0%	\$ 126,15	B	-	-		N/A	-		-
2003-04	\$ 123,999	\$ 2,989	2.5%	\$	106,828	\$ 2,626	2.5%	\$	131,859	\$	3,143	2.4%	\$	72,290	\$	1,949	2.8%		See	e notes			N/A	-		-
2002-03	\$ 121,010	\$ 3,728	3.2%	\$	104,202	\$ 3,276	3.2%	\$	128,716	\$	3,920	3.1%	\$	70,341	\$	2,434	3.6%	\$ 121,01	D \$	3,728	3.2%		S	ee note	;	
2001-02	\$ 117,282	\$ 3,953	3.5%	\$	100,926	\$ 3,457	3.5%	\$	124,796	\$	4,168	3.5%	\$	67,907	\$	2,515	3.8%	\$ 117,28	2 \$	3,953	3.5%	\$	32,279	\$ 1,0	31 3	3.5%
2000-01	\$ 113,329	\$ 3,578	3.3%	\$	97,469	\$ 3,121	3.3%	\$	120,628	\$	3,777	3.2%	\$	65,392	\$	2,244	3.6%	\$ 113,32	Э\$	3,578	3.3%	\$	31,198	\$9	80 3.	3.2%
1999-00	\$ 109,751	\$ 4,259	4.0%	\$	94,348	\$ 3,698	4.1%	\$	116,851	\$	4,509	4.0%	\$	63,148	\$	2,599	4.3%	\$ 109,75	1\$	4,259	4.0%	\$	30,218	\$ 1,1	68 4	4.0%
1998-99	\$ 105,492	\$ 5,562	5.6%	\$	90,650	\$ 4,818	5.6%	\$	112,342	\$	5,896	5.5%	\$	60,549	\$	3,349	5.9%	\$ 105,49	2 \$	5,562	5.6%	\$	29,050	\$ 1,5	28 5	5.6%
1997-98	\$ 99,930	\$ 4,951	5.2%	\$	85,832	\$ 4,274	5.2%	\$	106,446	\$	5,259	5.2%	\$	57,200	\$	2,921	5.4%	\$ 99,93	D \$	4,951	5.2%	\$	27,522	\$ 1,3	ò1 5	5.2%
1996-97	\$ 94,979	\$ 5,458	6.1%	\$	81,558	\$ 4,718	6.1%	\$	101,187	\$	5,793	6.1%	\$	54,279	\$	3,246	6.4%	\$ 94,97	Э\$	5,458	6.1%	\$	26,161	\$ 1,4	9 6	6.1%
1995-96	\$ 89,521	\$ 3,730	4.3%	\$	76,840	\$ 3,225	4.4%	\$	95,394	\$	3,960	4.3%	\$	51,033	\$	2,218	4.5%	\$ 89,52	1\$	3,730	4.3%	\$	24,662	\$ 1,0	25 4	4.3%
1994-95	\$ 85,791	\$ 4,789	5.9%	\$	73,615	\$ 4,128	5.9%	\$	91,434	\$	5,090	5.9%	\$	48,815	\$	2,802	6.1%	\$ 85,79	1	-	-	\$	23,637	-		-
1993-94	\$ 81,002	\$ 3,342	4.3%	\$	69,487	\$ 2,895	4.3%	\$	86,344	\$	3,543	4.3%	\$	46,013	\$	2,014	4.6%		See	e notes			S	ee note	;	
1992-93	\$ 77,660	\$ 406	0.5%	\$	66,592	\$ 386	0.6%	\$	82,801	\$	405	0.5%	\$	43,999	\$	387	0.9%	\$ 77,25	4 \$	-	0.0%	\$	21,295	\$	- 0	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 77,254	\$ 3,641	4.9%	\$	66,206	\$ 3,144	5.0%	\$	82,396	\$	3,868	4.9%	\$	43,612	\$	2,148	5.2%	\$ 77,25	4 \$	3,641	4.9%	\$	21,295	\$ 1,0)1 4	4.9%
1990-91	\$ 73,613	\$ 3,394	4.8%	\$	63,062	\$ 2,955	4.9%	\$	78,528	\$	3,588	4.8%	\$	41,464	\$	2,104	5.3%	\$ 73,61	3\$	3,394	4.8%	\$	20,294	\$ 9	31 4.	4.8%
1989-90	\$ 70,219	\$ 3,608	5.4%	\$	60,107	\$ 3,127	5.5%	\$	74,940	\$	8,329	12.5%	\$	39,360	\$	2,184	5.9%	\$ 70,21	Э\$	3,608	5.4%	\$	19,363	\$9	90 5.	5.4%
1988-89	\$ 66,611	\$ 7,926	13.5%	\$	56,980	\$ 7,443	15.0%	\$	66,611	\$	3,635	5.8%	\$	37,176	\$	2,201	6.3%	\$ 66,61	1\$	3,635	5.8%	\$	18,373	\$ 9	97 5.	5.7%
1987-88	\$ 58,685	\$ 3,136	5.6%	\$	49,537	\$ 2,685	5.7%	\$	62,976	\$	3,340	5.6%	\$	34,975	\$	1,993	6.0%	\$ 62,97	6\$	3,340	5.6%	\$	17,376	\$ 9	18 5.	5.6%
1986-87	\$ 55,549	\$ 4,066	7.9%	\$	46,852	\$ 3,476	8.0%	\$	59,636	\$	4,334	7.8%	\$	32,982	\$	2,569	8.4%	\$ 59,63	6 \$	4,334	7.8%	\$	16,458	\$ 1,1	91 7	7.8%
1985-86	\$ 51,483	-	-	\$	43,376	-	-	\$	55,302		-	-	\$	30,413		-	-	\$ 55,30	2	-	-	\$	15,267	-		-

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	of Elected	d Coun	ty	Constitu	utional C	Officers										e Salar	ъ	rmula	a in C	Chap	ter 145	, F	lorida	Statute	s
								Su	imter Co	oun	ty: Fisc	al Years	; 19	85-86 to	20	18-19										
	Clerk of Cir	cuit Court,	Property																							
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervis	sor of Elec	tions			Sh	eriff			County	Cor	nmissio	ners		School	Super	intend	dent		School	Board M	mbers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	44	6 Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Sa	lary	\$ C	hg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg	. % Chg.
2018-19	\$ 125,715	\$ 1,106	0.9%	\$	125,715	\$ 1,106	0.9%	\$	135,112	\$	1,170	0.9%	\$	57,867	\$	820	1.4%	\$ 12	25,715	\$ 1	,106	0.9%	\$	34,333	\$ 3'	3 0.9%
2017-18	\$ 124,608	\$ 5,269	4.4%	\$	124,608	\$ 5,269	4.4%	\$	133,941	\$	5,640	4.4%	\$	57,047	\$	2,823	5.2%	\$ 12	4,608	\$5	,269	4.4%	\$	34,020	\$ 1,4	53 4.5%
2016-17	\$ 119,339	\$ 685	0.6%	\$	119,339	\$ 19,163	19.1%	\$	128,302	\$	698	0.5%	\$	54,224	\$	950	1.8%	\$ 1 [.]	9,339	\$	685	0.6%	\$	32,567	\$ 20	0.6%
2015-16	\$ 118,654	\$ 804	0.7%	\$	100,176	\$ 752	0.8%	\$	127,604	\$	814	0.6%	\$	53,274	\$	1,212	2.3%	\$ 1 [.]	8,654	\$	804	0.7%	\$	32,358	\$ 24	9 0.8%
2014-15	\$ 117,850	\$ 866	0.7%	\$	99,424	\$ 790	0.8%	\$	126,790	\$	890	0.7%	\$	52,061	\$	1,078	2.1%	\$ 1 [.]	7,850	\$	866	0.7%	\$	32,108	\$ 20	0.8%
2013-14	\$ 116,984	\$ 5,090	4.5%	\$	98,634	\$ 4,389	4.7%	\$	125,900	\$	5,410	4.5%	\$	50,983	\$	2,696	5.6%	\$ 1 [.]	6,984	\$ 5	5,090	4.5%	\$	31,848	\$ 1,3	30 4.5%
2012-13	\$ 111,894		0.7%	\$	÷ .,=	\$ 785	0.8%		120,490	\$	824	0.7%	\$,	\$	785	1.7%		1,894		824	0.7%	\$	30,468	\$ 2 [.]	
2011-12	\$ 111,070		-0.4%	\$,	\$ (468)	-0.5%	\$	119,666	\$	(492)	-0.4%	\$,	\$	(468)	-1.0%		1,070		(492)	-0.4%	\$	30,250	\$ (1:	30) -0.4%
2010-11	\$ 111,562		0.5%	\$	93,929	\$ 563	0.6%	\$	120,158	\$	591	0.5%	\$	47,970	\$	563	1.2%	\$ 1	1,562	\$ 3	,636	3.4%	\$	30,380	See	notes
2009-10	\$ 110,971	\$ 842	0.8%	\$	93,366	\$ 802	0.9%	\$	119,567	\$	842	0.7%	\$	47,407	\$	802	1.7%	\$ 10	7,926	u ,	See no	otes	\$	30,223	See	notes
2008-09	\$ 110,129	\$ 1,968	1.8%	\$	92,564	\$ 1,861	2.1%	\$	118,724	\$	1,978	1.7%	\$	46,606	\$	1,811	4.0%	\$ 1 [.]	0,129	\$1	,968	1.8%	\$	30,000	\$ 52	1.8%
2007-08	\$ 108,160	\$ 2,200	2.1%	\$	90,703	\$ 2,096	2.4%	\$	116,747	\$	2,200	1.9%	\$	44,795	\$	2,096	4.9%	\$ 10	8,160	\$2	2,200	2.1%	\$	29,478	-	-
2006-07	\$ 105,960	\$ 5,445	5.4%	\$	88,607	\$ 4,774	5.7%	\$	114,546	\$	5,732	5.3%	\$	42,699	\$	3,239	8.2%	\$ 10	5,960		5,445	5.4%		N/A	-	-
2005-06	\$ 100,515	1 1 1	5.0%	\$		\$ 4,111	5.2%	\$	108,814	\$	5,143	5.0%	\$,	\$	2,346	6.3%	•	0,515	\$4	,813	5.0%		N/A	-	-
2004-05	\$ 95,702	\$ 1,702	1.8%	\$	79,722	\$ 1,464	1.9%	\$	103,671	\$	1,811	1.8%	\$	37,114	\$	880	2.4%	\$	5,702			-		N/A	-	-
2003-04	\$ 94,000	\$ 2,863	3.1%	\$	78,258	\$ 2,506	3.3%	\$	101,860	\$	3,017	3.1%	\$	36,234	\$	1,682	4.9%			See no	tes			N/A	-	-
2002-03	\$ 91,137	\$ 3,075	3.5%	\$	75,752	\$ 2,654	3.6%	\$	98,843	\$	3,266	3.4%	\$	34,551	\$	1,629	4.9%	\$	1,137	з \$,075	3.5%		S	ee notes	
2001-02	\$ 88,062	\$ 3,066	3.6%	\$	73,098	\$ 2,613	3.7%	\$	95,576	\$	3,281	3.6%	\$	32,922	\$	1,466	4.7%	\$	8,062	з \$,066	3.6%	\$	24,052	\$ 83	33 3.6%
2000-01	\$ 84,996	\$ 395	0.5%	\$	70,485	\$ 90	0.1%	\$	92,295	\$	594	0.6%	\$	31,456	\$	1,558	5.2%	\$	4,996	\$	395	0.5%	\$	23,219		
1999-00	\$ 84,601	\$ 3,889	4.8%	\$	70,395	\$ 3,345	5.0%	\$	91,701	\$	4,139	4.7%	\$	29,898	\$	1,919	6.9%	\$ 8	4,601	\$3	,889	4.8%	\$	22,391	\$ 1,02	4.8%
1998-99	\$ 80,712	\$ 4,861	6.4%	\$	67,050	\$ 4,150	6.6%	\$	87,562	\$	5,195	6.3%	\$	27,979	\$	2,244	8.7%	\$	0,712	\$4	,861	6.4%	\$	21,362	\$ 1,28	6.4%
1997-98	\$ 75,851	\$ 4,548	6.4%	\$	62,900	\$ 3,890	6.6%	\$	82,367	\$	4,856	6.3%	\$	25,735	\$	2,133	9.0%	\$	'5,851	\$4	,548	6.4%	\$	20,075	\$ 1,20	6.4%
1996-97	\$ 71,303	\$ 4,125	6.1%	\$	59,010	\$ 3,449	6.2%	\$	77,511	\$	4,461	6.1%	\$	23,602	\$	1,539	7.0%	\$	1,303	\$4	,125	6.1%	\$	18,872	\$ 1,09	6.1%
1995-96	\$ 67,178	\$ 2,911	4.5%	\$	55,561	\$ 2,444	4.6%	\$	73,050	\$	3,139	4.5%	\$	22,063	\$	1,138	5.4%	\$	67,178	\$ 2	2,911	4.5%	\$	17,780	\$ 7	4.5%
1994-95	\$ 64,267	\$ 3,589	5.9%	\$	53,117	\$ 2,985	6.0%	\$	69,911	\$	3,890	5.9%	\$	20,925	\$	1,263	6.4%	\$	64,267	-		-	\$	17,010	-	-
1993-94	\$ 60,678	\$ 2,478	4.3%	\$	50,132	\$ 2,073	4.3%	\$	66,021	\$	2,680	4.2%	\$	19,662	\$	929	5.0%		5	See no	tes			S	ee notes	
1992-93	\$ 58,200	\$84	0.1%	\$	48,059	\$80	0.2%	\$	63,341	\$	84	0.1%	\$	18,733	\$	81	0.4%		8,116	\$	-	0.0%	\$	15,382	\$	- 0.0%
1991-92	\$ 58,116	\$ 2,618	4.7%	\$	47,979	\$ 2,169	4.7%	\$	63,257	\$	2,844	4.7%	\$	18,652	\$	877	4.9%		8,116	\$ 2	2,618	4.7%	\$	15,382	\$ 69	4.7%
1990-91	\$ 55,498	\$ 2,410	4.5%	\$	45,810	\$ 2,017	4.6%	\$	60,413	\$	2,603	4.5%	\$	17,775	\$	913	5.4%	\$	5,498	\$ 2	2,410	4.5%	\$	14,689	\$ 63	4.5%
1989-90	\$ 53,088	\$ 2,531	5.0%	\$	43,793	\$ 2,103	5.0%	\$	57,810	\$	7,253	14.3%	\$	16,862	\$	878	5.5%	\$!	3,088	\$ 2	2,531	5.0%	\$	14,051	\$ 6	70 5.0%
1988-89	\$ 50,557	\$ 6,842	15.7%	\$	41,690	\$ 6,411	18.2%	\$	50,557	\$	2,552	5.3%	\$	15,984	\$	885	5.9%	\$!	60,557	\$ 2	2,552	5.3%	\$	13,381	\$ 6	5 5.3%
1987-88	\$ 43,715	\$ 2,247	5.4%	\$	35,279	\$ 1,838	5.5%	\$	48,005	\$	2,450	5.4%	\$	15,099	\$	879	6.2%	\$ 4	8,005	\$ 2	,450	5.4%	\$	12,706	\$ 64	9 5.4%
1986-87	\$ 41,468	\$ 2,843	7.4%	\$	33,441	\$ 2,312	7.4%	\$	45,555	\$	3,111	7.3%	\$	14,220	\$	1,055	8.0%	\$ 4	5,555	\$ 3	,111	7.3%	\$	12,057	\$ 82	23 7.3%
1985-86	\$ 38,625	-	-	\$	31,129	-	-	\$	42,444	1	-	-	\$	13,165		-	-	\$ 4	2,444	-		-	\$	11,234	-	-
1				•				•		•								-								- ·

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for be 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Elected	l Coun	ty	Constitu	utional O										e Sala	y Formu	la in	n Chap	ter 145	, F	lorida	Statute	6
								Suw	annee C	ounty:	Fiscal Ye	ars	1985-86	to 2	2018-19									
	Clerk of Cire	cuit Court, I	Property																					
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervis	sor of Elect	tions			Sheriff			County	Co	mmissio	ners	Schoo	I Sup	perintenc	lent		School	Board Me	mbers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	9,	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg	•	Salary	4	6 Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$ 110,840	\$ 876	0.8%	\$	110,840	\$ 876	0.8%	\$	120,237	\$ 94	1 0.8%	\$	38,492	\$	377	1.0%	\$ 110,840	\$	876	0.8%	\$	29,336	\$ 23	2 0.8%
2017-18	\$ 109,964	\$ 4,327	4.1%	\$	109,964	\$ 4,327	4.1%	\$	119,297	\$ 4,69	7 4.1%	\$	38,115	\$	1,479	4.0%	\$ 109,964	\$	4,327	4.1%	\$	29,104	\$ 1,14	5 4.1%
2016-17	\$ 105,637	\$ 253	0.2%	\$	105,637	\$ 18,099	20.7%	\$	114,600	\$ 26	6 0.2%	\$	36,637	\$	145	0.4%	\$ 105,637	\$	253	0.2%	\$	27,958	\$ 6	0.2%
2015-16	\$ 105,384	\$ 216	0.2%	\$	87,538	\$ 191	0.2%	\$	114,334	\$ 22	5 0.2%	\$	36,491	\$	135	0.4%	\$ 105,384	\$	216	0.2%	\$	27,892	\$ 5	0.2%
2014-15	\$ 105,169	\$ 310	0.3%	\$	87,347	\$ 261	0.3%	\$	114,108	\$ 33	5 0.3%	\$	36,357	\$	123	0.3%	\$ 105,169	\$	310	0.3%	\$	27,835	\$ 8	2 0.3%
2013-14	\$ 104,858	\$ 3,948	3.9%	\$	87,086	\$ 3,302	3.9%	\$	113,774	\$ 4,26	B 3.9%	\$	36,234	\$	1,478	4.3%	\$ 104,858	\$	3,948	3.9%	\$	27,752	\$ 1,04	5 3.9%
2012-13	\$ 100,910	\$ 536	0.5%	\$	83,784	\$ 511	0.6%	\$	109,506	\$ 53	6 0.5%	\$	34,756	\$	511	1.5%	\$ 100,910	\$	536	0.5%	\$	26,707	\$ 14	2 0.5%
2011-12	\$ 100,374	\$ 426	0.4%	\$	83,273	\$ 406	0.5%	\$	108,969	\$ 42	6 0.4%	\$	34,245	\$	406	1.2%	\$ 100,374	\$	426	0.4%	\$	26,566	\$ 11	8 0.4%
2010-11	\$ 99,948	\$ (225)	-0.2%	\$	82,868	\$ (214)	-0.3%	\$	108,544	\$ (22	5) -0.2%	\$	33,839	\$	(214)	-0.6%	\$ 99,948	\$	2,196	2.2%	\$	26,453	See	notes
2009-10	\$ 100,173		0.4%	\$	83,082	\$ 405	0.5%	\$	108,768	\$ 42	6 0.4%	\$	34,053	\$	405	1.2%	\$ 97,752		See no	otes	\$	26,512	See	notes
2008-09	\$ 99,747	\$ 370	0.4%	\$	82,676	\$ 339	0.4%	\$	108,342	\$ 38	0 0.4%	\$	33,648	\$	285	0.9%	\$ 99,747	\$	370	0.4%	\$	26,400	\$ 9	8 0.4%
2007-08	\$ 99,377	\$ 200	0.2%	\$	82,337	\$ 191	0.2%	\$	107,963	\$ 20		\$	33,363	\$	191	0.6%	\$ 99,377	\$	200	0.2%	\$	26,302	-	-
2006-07	\$ 99,177	\$ 3,461	3.6%	\$	82,146	\$ 2,883	3.6%	\$	107,763	\$ 3,74	B 3.6%	\$	33,172	\$	1,246	3.9%	\$ 99,177	\$	3,460	3.6%		N/A	-	-
2005-06	\$ 95,716	\$ 3,960	4.3%	\$	79,263	\$ 3,299	4.3%	\$	104,015	\$ 4,29		\$	31,926	\$	1,416	4.6%	\$ 95,716	\$	3,960	4.3%		N/A	-	-
2004-05	\$ 91,756	\$ 1,691	1.9%	\$	75,964	\$ 1,454	2.0%	\$	99,725	\$ 1,80	1 1.8%	\$	30,510	\$	831	2.8%	\$ 91,756		-	-		N/A	-	-
2003-04	\$ 90,065	\$ 1,775	2.0%	\$	74,510	\$ 1,469	2.0%	\$	97,925	\$ 1,92		\$	29,679	\$	591	2.0%		See I	notes			N/A	-	-
2002-03	\$ 88,290	\$ 2,435	2.8%	\$	73,041	\$ 2,045	2.9%	\$	95,996	\$ 2,62	7 2.8%	\$	29,088	\$	952	3.4%	\$ 88,290	\$	2,435	2.8%		S	see notes	
2001-02	\$ 85,855		3.1%	\$	70,996	\$ 2,146	3.1%	\$	93,369	\$ 2,79		\$	28,136	\$	922	3.4%	\$ 85,855		2,576	3.1%	\$	22,723		
2000-01	\$ 83,279	\$ 2,448	3.0%	\$	00,000	\$ 2,046	3.1%	\$	90,578	\$ 2,64		\$	27,214	\$	907	3.4%	\$ 83,279	\$	2,448	3.0%	\$	1.	\$ 64	
1999-00	\$ 80,831	\$ 2,981	3.8%	\$	00,00∓	\$ 2,480	3.9%	\$	87,931	\$ 3,23		\$	26,307	\$	1,054	4.2%	\$ 80,831		2,981	3.8%	\$,	\$ 78	
1998-99	\$ 77,850	1 /	5.8%	\$	- /-	\$ 3,558	5.9%	\$	84,700	\$ 4,57		\$	25,253	\$	1,651	7.0%	\$ 77,850		4,239	5.8%	\$	20,605		
1997-98	\$ 73,611	\$ 3,686	5.3%	\$	60,766	\$ 3,069	5.3%	\$	80,127	\$ 3,99		\$	23,602	\$	1,313	5.9%	\$ 73,611		3,686	5.3%	\$	19,483	\$ 97	
1996-97	\$ 69,925	\$ 4,045	6.1%	\$	57,697	\$ 3,372	6.2%	\$	76,132	\$ 4,37		\$	22,289	\$	1,462	7.0%	\$ 69,925	\$	4,045	6.1%	\$	18,507	\$ 1,07	6.1%
1995-96	+	\$ 2,717	4.3%	\$	01,010	\$ 2,260	4.3%	\$	71,753	\$ 2,94		\$	20,827	\$	953	4.8%	\$ 65,880		2,717	4.3%	\$	1 -	\$ 71	4.3%
1994-95	+	\$ 3,585	6.0%	\$		\$ 2,982	6.1%	\$	68,807	\$ 3,88		\$	19,874	\$	1,260	6.8%	\$ 63,163		-	-	\$	16,718	-	-
1993-94	\$ 59,578	\$ 2,273	4.0%	\$,	\$ 1,876	4.0%	\$	64,920	\$ 2,47		\$	18,614	\$	734	4.1%			notes				ee notes	
1992-93	+,	\$ 114	0.2%	\$,	\$ 109	0.2%	\$	62,447	\$ 11		\$,	\$	109	0.6%	\$ 57,191		-	0.0%	\$	15,137		- 0.0%
1991-92	\$ 57,191	\$ 2,352	4.3%	\$	47,098	\$ 1,915	4.2%	\$	62,332	\$ 2,57		\$	17,771	\$	623	3.6%	\$ 57,191		2,352	4.3%	\$	15,137	\$ 62	2 4.3%
1990-91	\$ 54,839	\$ 2,320	4.4%	\$,	\$ 1,932	4.5%	\$	59,754	\$ 2,51		\$,	\$	828	5.1%	\$ 54,839		2,320	4.4%	\$	14,515		
1989-90	\$ 52,519	\$ 2,482	5.0%	\$	43,251	\$ 2,056	5.0%	\$	57,240	\$ 7,20		\$	16,320	\$	831	5.4%	\$ 52,519	\$	2,482	5.0%	\$	13,900		
1988-89	\$ 50,037	\$ 6,757	15.6%	\$	41,195	\$ 6,330	18.2%	\$	50,037	\$ 2,46	7 5.2%	\$	15,489	\$	804	5.5%	\$ 50,037	\$	2,467	5.2%	\$	13,244	\$ 65	3 5.2%
1987-88	\$ 43,280	\$ 2,130	5.2%	\$	34,865	\$ 1,727	5.2%	\$	47,570	\$ 2,33	4 5.2%	\$	14,685	\$	768	5.5%	\$ 47,570	\$	2,334	5.2%	\$	12,591	\$ 61	3 5.2%
1986-87	\$ 41,150	\$ 2,770	7.2%	\$	33,138	\$ 2,241	7.3%	\$	45,236	\$ 3,03	7 7.2%	\$	13,917	\$	985	7.6%	\$ 45,236	\$	3,037	7.2%	\$	11,973	\$ 80	7.2%
1985-86	\$ 38,380	-	-	\$	30,897	-	-	\$	42,199	-	-	\$	12,932		-	-	\$ 42,199		-	-	\$	11,169	-	-

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for be 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salar	ies o	f Elec	ted	Coun	ty (Constitu	utic	onal O	fficers										e Salaı	ъ	ormula	a in	n Chap	ter 145	, F	lorida	Statu	tes	
											Та	aylor Co	unt	y: Fisca	al Years	198	35-86 to	20	18-19											
	Clerk	of Cir	cuit Co	urt, P	roperty																									
Fiscal	Ар	praise	r & Tax	Coll	ector		Supervis	sor	of Elect	ions			Sh	eriff			County	Col	mmissio	ners		School	Sup	erintend	lent		School	Board	Memb	bers
Year	Sal	ary	\$ Ch	g.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	GChg.	% Chg.		Salary	4	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	;	Salary	\$ C	hg.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$ 10	2,948	\$ (639	0.6%	\$	102,948	\$	639	0.6%	\$	112,345	\$	703	0.6%	\$	30,976	\$	151	0.5%	\$	102,948	\$	639	0.6%	\$	27,248	\$	169	0.6%
2017-18	\$ 10	2,309	\$ 3,9	941	4.0%	\$	102,309	\$	3,941	4.0%	\$	111,642	\$	4,312	4.0%	\$	30,825	\$	1,112	3.7%	\$	102,309	\$	3,941	4.0%	\$	27,079	\$ 1	,043	4.0%
2016-17	\$ 9	3,368	\$	110	0.1%	\$	98,368	\$	17,617	21.8%	\$	107,330	\$	124	0.1%	\$	29,713	\$	10	0.0%	\$	98,368	\$	110	0.1%	\$	26,036	\$	29	0.1%
2015-16	\$ 9	3,257	\$	80	0.1%	\$	80,750	\$	62	0.1%	\$	107,207	\$	90	0.1%	\$	29,704	\$	5	0.0%	\$	98,257	\$	80	0.1%	\$	26,006	\$	21	0.1%
2014-15	\$ 9	3,177	\$ 3	306	0.3%	\$	80,688	\$	257	0.3%	\$	107,117	\$	330	0.3%	\$	29,698	\$	119	0.4%	\$	98,177	\$	306	0.3%	\$	25,985	\$	81	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 9	7,871	\$ 3,0	539	3.9%	\$	80,432	\$	3,007	3.9%	\$	106,787	\$	3,958	3.8%	\$	29,580	\$	1,183	4.2%	\$	97,871	\$	3,639	3.9%	\$	25,904	\$	963	3.9%
2012-13	\$ 9	4,233	\$	(23)	0.0%	\$	77,425	\$	(21)	0.0%	\$	102,828	\$	(23)	0.0%	\$	28,396	\$	(21)	-0.1%	\$	94,233	\$	(23)	0.0%	\$	24,941	\$	(6)	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 9	4,255	\$ (*	191)	-0.2%	\$	77,446	\$	(182)	-0.2%	\$	102,851	\$	(191)	-0.2%	\$	28,418	\$	(182)	-0.6%	\$	94,255	\$	(191)	-0.2%	\$	24,947	\$	(51)	-0.2%
2010-11	\$ 9	4,447	\$	(11)	0.0%	\$	77,628	\$	(11)	0.0%	\$	103,043	\$	(11)	0.0%	\$	28,600	\$	(11)	0.0%	\$	94,447	\$	2,094	2.3%	\$	24,998		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 9	4,458	\$ 2	221	0.2%	\$	77,639	\$	210	0.3%	\$	103,054	\$	221	0.2%	\$	28,611	\$	210	0.7%	\$	92,353		See no	otes	\$	25,001		See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 9	4,237	\$ 4	140	0.5%	\$	77,429	\$	406	0.5%	\$	102,833	\$	449	0.4%	\$	28,401	\$	352	1.3%	\$	94,237	\$	440	0.5%	\$	24,943	\$	116	0.5%
2007-08	\$ 9	3,797	\$	51	0.1%	\$	77,024	\$	49	0.1%	\$	102,384	\$	51	0.0%	\$	28,050	\$	49	0.2%	\$	93,797	\$	51	0.1%	\$	24,826			-
2006-07	\$ 9	3,747	\$ 3,2	250	3.6%	\$	76,975	\$	2,684	3.6%	\$	102,333	\$	3,537	3.6%	\$	28,000	\$	1,045	3.9%	\$	93,747	\$	3,250	3.6%		N/A	-		-
2005-06	\$ 9),497	\$ 3,0	687	4.2%	\$	74,291	\$	3,038	4.3%	\$	98,796	\$	4,017	4.2%	\$	26,955	\$	1,156	4.5%	\$	90,497	\$	3,687	4.2%		N/A	-		-
2004-05	\$8	6,810	\$ 1,4	139	1.7%	\$	71,253	\$	1,214	1.7%	\$	94,779	\$	1,549	1.7%	\$	25,799	\$	591	2.3%	\$	86,810		-	-		N/A	-		-
2003-04	\$ 8	5,370	\$ 1,7	754	2.1%	\$	70,039	\$	1,450	2.1%	\$	93,230	\$	1,908	2.1%	\$	25,208	\$	571	2.3%		S	iee i	notes			N/A			-
2002-03	\$ 8	3,617	\$ 2,	54	2.6%	\$	68,589	\$	1,777	2.7%	\$	91,322	\$	2,346	2.6%	\$	24,637	\$	684	2.9%	\$	83,617	\$	2,154	2.6%			iee no	tes	
2001-02		1,463	. /		2.7%	\$	66,813	\$	1,756	2.7%	\$	88,977	\$	2,382	2.8%	\$		\$	532	2.3%	\$	81,463	\$	2,167	2.7%	\$	1.5.5	\$	573	2.7%
2000-01	\$ 7	9,296	\$ 2,2		2.9%	\$	65,057	\$	1,858	2.9%	\$	86,595	\$	2,450	2.9%	\$	23,421	\$	719	3.2%	\$	79,296	\$	2,251	2.9%	\$	20,988	\$	596	2.9%
1999-00		,	\$ 2,8		3.8%	\$	63,199	\$	2,310	3.8%	\$	84,145	\$	3,052	3.8%	\$,	\$	884	4.1%	\$	77,045	\$	2,802	3.8%	\$	20,392	\$	741	3.8%
1998-99		1	\$ 3,6	662	5.2%	\$	60,889	\$	3,009	5.2%	\$	81,093	\$	3,997	5.2%	\$	21,818	\$	1,102	5.3%	\$	74,243	\$	3,662	5.2%	\$	19,651	\$	970	5.2%
1997-98	\$7),581	\$ 3,4		5.2%	\$	57,880	\$	2,891	5.3%	\$	77,096	\$	3,807	5.2%	\$	20,716	\$	1,135	5.8%	\$	70,581	\$	3,499	5.2%	\$	18,681	\$	926	5.2%
1996-97	\$	7,082	\$ 3,8	309	6.0%	\$	54,989	\$	3,147	6.1%	\$	73,289	\$	4,143	6.0%	\$	19,581	\$	1,236	6.7%	\$	67,082	\$	3,809	6.0%	\$	17,755	\$ 1	,008	6.0%
1995-96	\$ 6	3,273	\$ 2,4	186	4.1%	\$	51,842	\$	2,039	4.1%	\$	69,146	\$	2,715	4.1%	\$	18,345	\$	733	4.2%	\$	63,273	\$	2,486	4.1%	\$	16,747	\$	658	4.1%
1994-95	\$6),787	\$ 3,2	240	5.6%	\$	49,803	\$	2,654	5.6%	\$	66,431	\$	3,542	5.6%	\$	17,612	\$	933	5.6%	\$	60,787		-	-	\$	16,089			-
1993-94	\$ 5	7,547	\$ 2,	75	3.9%	\$	47,149	\$	1,783	3.9%	\$	62,889	\$	2,375	3.9%	\$	16,679	\$	639	4.0%		S	iee i	notes			5	iee no	tes	
1992-93	\$ 5	5,372	\$	45	0.1%	\$	45,366	\$	44	0.1%	\$	60,514	\$	46	0.1%	\$	16,040	\$	44	0.3%	\$	55,327	\$	-	0.0%	\$	14,644	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 5	5,327	\$ 1,9	958	3.7%	\$	45,322	\$	1,540	3.5%	\$	60,468	\$	2,184	3.7%	\$	15,996	\$	248	1.6%	\$	55,327	\$	1,958	3.7%	\$		\$	518	3.7%
1990-91	\$ 5	3,369		245	4.4%	\$	43,782	\$	1,860	4.4%	\$	58,284	\$	2,438	4.4%	\$	15,748	\$	756	5.0%	\$	53,369	\$	2,245	4.4%	\$	14,126		594	4.4%
1989-90	\$5	1,124	\$ 2,3		4.8%	\$	41,922	\$	1,927	4.8%	\$	55,846	\$	7,069	14.5%	\$	14,992	\$	703	4.9%	\$	51,124	\$	2,347	4.8%	\$	13,532		622	4.8%
1988-89	\$ 4	3,777	\$ 6,	715	16.0%	\$	39,995	\$	6,289	18.7%	\$	48,777	\$	2,424	5.2%	\$	14,289	\$	764	5.6%	\$	48,777	\$	2,424	5.2%	\$	12,910	\$	641	5.2%
1987-88	\$ 4	2,062	\$ 2,0	060	5.1%	\$	33,706	\$	1,661	5.2%	\$	46,353	\$	2,265	5.1%	\$	13,525	\$	702	5.5%	\$	46,353	\$	2,265	5.1%	\$	12,269	\$	600	5.1%
1986-87	\$ 4	0,002	\$ 2,0	655	7.1%	\$	32,045	\$	2,132	7.1%	\$	44,088	\$	2,921	7.1%	\$	12,823	\$	874	7.3%	\$	44,088	\$	2,921	7.1%	\$	11,669	\$	773	7.1%
1985-86	\$3	7,347	-		-	\$	29,913		-	-	\$	41,167		-	-	\$	11,949		-	-	\$	41,167		-	-	\$	10,896			-

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for be 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries c	of Elected	d Coun	ty	Constitu	utional C)fficers										e Salar	уF	ormula	a in	Chap	ter 145	, F	lorida	Stat	utes	
								Uni	ion Cou	unty:	Fisca	al Years	198	35-86 to	201	8-19											
	Clerk of Cir	cuit Court, I	Property																								
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervis	sor of Elect	tions			Sheri	ff			County	Cor	nmissio	ners		School	Supe	erintend	lent		School	Board	i Meml	bers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Sa	alary	\$ CI	hg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ C	chg.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$ 100,711	\$ 709	0.7%	\$	100,711	\$ 709	0.7%	\$1	10,108	\$	773	0.7%	\$	28,845	\$	217	0.8%	\$	100,711	\$	709	0.7%	\$	26,656	\$	188	0.7%
2017-18	\$ 100,002	\$ 3,956	4.1%	\$	100,002	\$ 3,956	4.1%	\$1	09,335	\$4	,326	4.1%	\$	28,628	\$	1,126	4.1%	\$	100,002	\$	3,956	4.1%	\$	26,469	\$	1,047	4.1%
2016-17	\$ 96,046	\$ 234	0.2%	\$	96,046	\$ 17,625	22.5%	\$1	05,009	\$	247	0.2%	\$	27,503	\$	128	0.5%	\$	96,046	\$	234	0.2%	\$	25,422	\$	62	0.2%
2015-16	\$ 95,812	\$ 161	0.2%	\$	78,422	\$ 139	0.2%	\$1	04,762	\$	171	0.2%	\$	27,375	\$	83	0.3%	\$	95,812	\$	161	0.2%	\$	25,360	\$	43	0.2%
2014-15	\$ 95,651	\$ 250	0.3%	\$	78,282	\$ 203	0.3%	\$1	04,591	\$	274	0.3%	\$	27,292	\$	65	0.2%	\$	95,651	\$	250	0.3%	\$	25,317	\$	66	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 95,401	\$ 3,434	3.7%	\$	78,079	\$ 2,812	3.7%	\$1	04,317	\$3	,753	3.7%	\$	27,227	\$	988	3.8%	\$	95,401	\$	3,434	3.7%	\$	25,251	\$	909	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 91,968	\$ (20)	0.0%	\$	75,267	\$ (19)	0.0%	\$1	00,563	\$	(20)	0.0%	\$	26,239	\$	(19)	-0.1%	\$	91,968	\$	(20)	0.0%	\$	24,342	\$	(5)	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 91,988	\$ (13)	0.0%	\$	75,286	\$ (13)	0.0%	\$1	00,583	\$	(13)	0.0%	\$	26,258	\$	(13)	0.0%	\$	91,988	\$	(13)	0.0%	\$	24,347	\$	(3)	0.0%
2010-11	\$ 92,001	\$ (128)	-0.1%	\$	75,299	\$ (122)	-0.2%	\$1	00,597	\$	(128)	-0.1%	\$	26,271	\$	(122)	-0.5%	\$	92,001	\$	1,794	2.0%	\$	24,351		See no	otes
2009-10	\$ 92,129		0.1%	\$	75,421	\$ 78	0.1%	\$1	00,725	\$	82	0.1%	\$	26,393	\$	78	0.3%	\$	90,206		See no	otes	\$	24,385		See no	otes
2008-09	\$ 92,047	\$ 325	0.4%	\$	75,343	\$ 296	0.4%	\$1	00,643	\$	334	0.3%	\$	26,316	\$	242	0.9%	\$	92,047	\$	325	0.4%	\$	24,363	\$	86	0.4%
2007-08	\$ 91,723	\$ (7)	0.0%	\$	75,048	\$ (6)	0.0%	\$1	00,309	\$	(7)	0.0%	\$	26,074	\$	(6)	0.0%	\$	91,723	\$	(7)	0.0%	\$	24,277		-	-
2006-07	\$ 91,730	\$ 3,201	3.6%	\$	75,054	\$ 2,636	3.6%	\$1	00,316	\$3	,488	3.6%	\$	26,080	\$	999	4.0%	\$	91,730	\$	3,200	3.6%		N/A		-	-
2005-06	\$ 88,529	\$ 3,787	4.5%	\$	72,418	\$ 3,134	4.5%	\$	96,828	\$4	,117	4.4%	\$	25,081	\$	1,252	5.3%	\$	88,529	\$	3,788	4.5%		N/A		-	-
2004-05	\$ 84,742	\$ 1,142	1.4%	\$	69,284	\$ 931	1.4%	\$	92,711	\$ 1	,251	1.4%	\$	23,829	\$	308	1.3%	\$	84,742		-	-		N/A		-	-
2003-04	\$ 83,600	\$ 1,717	2.1%	\$	68,353	\$ 1,415	2.1%	\$	91,460		,872	2.1%	\$	23,522	\$	536	2.3%		S	iee n	otes			N/A		-	-
2002-03	\$ 81,883	\$ 2,058	2.6%	\$	66,938	\$ 1,686	2.6%	\$	89,589	\$2	,250	2.6%	\$	22,986	\$	593	2.6%	\$	81,883	\$	2,058	2.6%			See no	otes	
2001-02	\$ 79,824	\$ 2,171	2.8%	\$	65,252	\$ 1,760	2.8%	\$	87,338	\$2	,386	2.8%	\$	22,393	\$	537	2.5%	\$	79,824	\$	2,171	2.8%	\$	21,128		575	2.8%
2000-01	\$ 77,653	\$ 2,224	2.9%	\$	63,492	\$ 1,832	3.0%	\$	84,952		,423	2.9%	\$	21,856	\$	693	3.3%	\$	77,653	\$	2,224	2.9%	\$	20,553	\$	588	2.9%
1999-00	\$ 75,429	\$ 2,748	3.8%	\$	61,660	\$ 2,258	3.8%		82,529		,998	3.8%	\$,	\$	832	4.1%	\$	75,429	\$	2,748	3.8%	\$	19,965	\$	727	3.8%
1998-99	\$ 72,681	\$ 3,566	5.2%	\$, .	\$ 2,918	5.2%	\$	79,531		,900	5.2%	\$	- ,	\$	1,011	5.2%	\$	72,681	\$	3,566	5.2%	\$	19,238	\$	944	5.2%
1997-98	\$ 69,115	\$ 3,354	5.1%	\$	56,484	\$ 2,763	5.1%	\$	75,631		,663	5.1%	\$	19,320	\$	997	5.4%	\$	69,115	\$	3,354	5.1%	\$	18,294	\$	888	5.1%
1996-97	\$ 65,761	\$ 3,573	5.7%	\$	53,721	\$ 2,912	5.7%	\$	71,968		,907	5.7%	\$	18,323	\$	1,012	5.8%	\$	65,761	\$	3,573	5.7%	\$	17,406	\$	946	5.7%
1995-96	\$ 62,188	\$ 2,531	4.2%	\$	50,809	\$ 2,083	4.3%		68,061		,761	4.2%	\$	1-	\$	776	4.7%	\$	01,100	\$	2,531	4.2%	\$	16,460	\$	670	4.2%
1994-95	\$ 59,657	\$ 3,309	5.9%	\$	48,726	\$ 2,718	5.9%	\$	65,300		,610	5.9%	\$,	\$	997	6.4%	\$	59,657		-	-	\$	15,790		-	-
1993-94	\$ 56,348	\$ 2,274	4.2%	\$,	\$ 1,878	4.3%		61,690	\$2	,474	4.2%	\$		\$	735	5.0%				otes				See no	otes	
1992-93	\$ 54,074		0.1%	\$,	\$67	0.2%	\$	59,216	\$	70	0.1%	\$,	\$	67	0.5%	\$,	\$	-	0.0%	\$	14,294	\$	-	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 54,004	\$ 2,337	4.5%	\$	44,063	\$ 1,902	4.5%	\$	59,146		,564	4.5%	\$	14,736	\$	610	4.3%	\$	54,004	\$	2,337	4.5%	\$	14,294	\$	619	4.5%
1990-91	\$ 51,667	\$ 2,089	4.2%	\$	42,161	\$ 1,712	4.2%		56,582		,283	4.2%	\$, -	\$	607	4.5%	\$	51,667	\$	2,089	4.2%	\$	13,675		552	4.2%
1989-90	\$ 49,578	\$ 2,162	4.6%	\$	40,449	\$ 1,751	4.5%	\$	54,299		,883	14.5%	\$	13,519	\$	526	4.0%	\$	49,578	\$	2,162	4.6%	\$	13,123		573	4.6%
1988-89	\$ 47,416	\$ 6,592	16.1%	\$	38,698	\$ 6,172	19.0%	\$	47,416	\$2	,302	5.1%	\$	12,993	\$	648	5.2%	\$	47,416	\$	2,302	5.1%	\$	12,550	\$	609	5.1%
1987-88	\$ 40,824	\$ 1,922	4.9%	\$	32,526	\$ 1,529	4.9%	\$	45,114	\$2	,126	4.9%	\$	12,345	\$	569	4.8%	\$	45,114	\$	2,126	4.9%	\$	11,941	\$	563	4.9%
1986-87	\$ 38,902	\$ 2,574	7.1%	\$	30,997	\$ 2,055	7.1%	\$	42,988	\$2	,841	7.1%	\$	11,776	\$	798	7.3%	\$	42,988	\$	2,841	7.1%	\$	11,378	\$	752	7.1%
1985-86	\$ 36,328	-	-	\$	28,942	-	-	\$	40,147	-		-	\$	10,978		-	-	\$	40,147		-	-	\$	10,626		-	-

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for be 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Elected	d Coun	ty	Constitu	utional C	Officers								e Salaı	y Formula	a in Ch	apter 14	5, F	lorida	Statute	ŝ
								Volusia Co	ounty: Fis	cal Years	s 19	85-86 to	20	18-19								
	Clerk of Cir	cuit Court,	Property																			
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervis	sor of Elect	tions		Sheriff				Con	nmissio	ners		Superint	endent			Board Me	mbers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	\$ Chg	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$ 152,025	\$ 1,178	0.8%	\$	152,025	\$ 1,178	0.8%	\$ 161,422	\$ 1,242	0.8%	\$	90,021	\$	748	0.8%	\$ 152,025	\$ 1,1	8 0.8%	\$	41,784	\$ 32	2 0.8%
2017-18	\$ 150,847	\$ 6,138	4.2%	\$	150,847	\$ 6,138	4.2%	\$ 160,180	\$ 6,508	4.2%	\$	89,273	\$	3,688	4.3%	\$ 150,847	\$ 6,13	4.2%	\$	41,462	\$ 1,68	6 4.2%
2016-17	\$ 144,709	\$ 364	0.3%	\$	144,709	\$ 20,066	16.1%	\$ 153,672	\$ 378	0.2%	\$	85,585	\$	269	0.3%	\$ 144,709	\$ 30	64 0.3%	\$	39,777	\$	
2015-16	\$ 144,344		0.2%	\$	124,643	\$ 242	0.2%	\$ 153,294	\$ 279	0.2%	\$	85,316	\$	198	0.2%	\$ 144,344	\$ 20		\$		\$ 7	
2014-15	\$ 144,076		0.3%	\$, .	\$ 376	0.3%	\$ 153,015			\$, .	\$	269	0.3%	\$ 144,076	\$ 43		\$		\$ 11	
2013-14	\$ 143,645	\$ 5,189	3.7%	\$	124,025	\$ 4,484	3.8%	\$ 152,560	\$ 5,509	3.7%	\$	84,848	\$	3,079	3.8%	\$ 143,645	\$ 5,18	39 3.7%	\$	39,487	\$ 1,42	6 3.7%
2012-13	\$ 138,455	\$ 17	0.0%	\$	119,541	\$ 17	0.0%	\$ 147,051	\$ 17		\$	81,770	\$	17	0.0%	\$ 138,455	\$	7 0.0%	\$	38,061	\$	5 0.0%
2011-12	\$ 138,438	\$ (269)	-0.2%	\$	119,525	\$ (256)	-0.2%	\$ 147,034	\$ (269) -0.2%	\$	81,753	\$	(256)	-0.3%	\$ 138,438	\$ (20	69) -0.2%	\$	38,056	\$ (7	1) -0.2%
2010-11	\$ 138,707	\$ (78)	-0.1%	\$	119,781	\$ (75)	-0.1%	\$ 147,303	\$ (78) -0.1%	\$	82,009	\$	(75)	-0.1%	\$ 138,707	\$ 2,7	6 2.0%	\$	38,128	See	notes
2009-10	\$ 138,785	\$ 60	0.0%	\$	119,856	\$57	0.0%	\$ 147,381	\$ 60	0.0%	\$	82,084	\$	56	0.1%	\$ 135,951	See	notes	\$	38,148	See	notes
2008-09	\$ 138,726		0.2%	\$	119,799	\$ 217	0.2%	\$ 147,321	\$ 251	0.2%	\$	82,027	\$	175	0.2%	\$ 138,726	\$ 24	2 0.2%	\$	38,132	\$6	6 0.2%
2007-08	\$ 138,484	\$ 196	0.1%	\$	119,582	\$ 187	0.2%	\$ 147,070	\$ 196	0.1%	\$	81,852	\$	187	0.2%	\$ 138,484	\$ 19	06 0.1%	\$	38,067	-	
2006-07	\$ 138,288	\$ 4,840	3.6%	\$	119,395	\$ 4,198	3.6%	\$ 146,874	\$ 5,127	3.6%	\$	81,665	\$	2,937	3.7%	\$ 138,288	\$ 4,84	0 3.6%		N/A	-	-
2005-06	\$ 133,448	\$ 5,576	4.4%	\$	115,197	\$ 4,837	4.4%	\$ 141,747	\$ 5,906	4.3%	\$	78,728	\$	3,386	4.5%	\$ 133,448	\$ 5,5	'5 4.4%		N/A	-	-
2004-05	\$ 127,872	\$ 1,970	1.6%	\$	110,360	\$ 1,719	1.6%	\$ 135,841	\$ 2,079	1.6%	\$	75,342	\$	1,239	1.7%	\$ 127,872	-	-		N/A	-	
2003-04	\$ 125,903	\$ 2,616	2.1%	\$	108,641	\$ 2,270	2.1%	\$ 133,762	\$ 2,770	2.1%	\$	74,102	\$	1,593	2.2%	S	ee notes	i.		N/A	-	
2002-03	\$ 123,287	\$ 3,229	2.7%	\$	106,371	\$ 2,801	2.7%	\$ 130,993	\$ 3,421	2.7%	\$	72,509	\$	1,959	2.8%	\$ 123,287	\$ 3,22	2.7%		5	See notes	
2001-02	\$ 120,057	\$ 3,729	3.2%	\$	103,570	\$ 3,244	3.2%	\$ 127,572	\$ 3,944	3.2%	\$	70,550	\$	2,301	3.4%	\$ 120,057	\$ 3,72	9 3.2%	\$	33,013	\$ 1,02	2 3.2%
2000-01	\$ 116,328	\$ 3,294	2.9%	\$	100,326	\$ 2,852	2.9%	\$ 123,628	\$ 3,494	2.9%	\$	68,249	\$	1,975	3.0%	\$ 116,328	\$ 3,29	2.9%	\$	31,991	\$ 90	5 2.9%
1999-00	\$ 113,034	\$ 4,097	3.8%	\$	97,474	\$ 3,543	3.8%	\$ 120,134	\$ 4,347	3.8%	\$	66,274	\$	2,444	3.8%	\$ 113,034	\$ 4,09	3.8%	\$	31,086	\$ 1,12	5 3.8%
1998-99	\$ 108,937	\$ 5,422	5.2%	\$	93,931	\$ 4,684	5.2%	\$ 115,787	\$ 5,756	5.2%	\$	63,830	\$	3,215	5.3%	\$ 108,937	\$ 5,42	2 5.2%	\$	29,961	\$ 1,49	0 5.2%
1997-98	\$ 103,515	\$ 4,958	5.0%	\$	89,247	\$ 4,281	5.0%	\$ 110,031	\$ 5,266	5.0%	\$	60,615	\$	2,928	5.1%	\$ 103,515	\$ 4,9	5.0%	\$	28,471	\$ 1,36	3 5.0%
1996-97	\$ 98,557	\$ 5,509	5.9%	\$	84,966	\$ 4,767	5.9%	\$ 104,765	\$ 5,844	5.9%	\$	57,687	\$	3,295	6.1%	\$ 98,557	\$ 5,50	9 5.9%	\$	27,108	\$ 1,51	4 5.9%
1995-96	\$ 93,048	\$ 3,906	4.4%	\$	80,199	\$ 3,392	4.4%	\$ 98,921	\$ 4,135	4.4%	\$	54,392	\$	2,385	4.6%	\$ 93,048	\$ 3,90	6 4.4%	\$	25,594	\$ 1,07	1 4.4%
1994-95	\$ 89,142	\$ 5,011	6.0%	\$	76,807	\$ 4,339	6.0%	\$ 94,786	\$ 5,313	5.9%	\$	52,007	\$	3,014	6.2%	\$ 89,142	-	-	\$	24,523	-	-
1993-94	\$ 84,131	\$ 3,439	4.3%	\$	72,468	\$ 2,988	4.3%	\$ 89,473	\$ 3,639	4.2%	\$	48,993	\$	2,106	4.5%	5	ee notes			5	See notes	
1992-93	\$ 80,692	\$ 230	0.3%	\$	69,480	\$ 219	0.3%	\$ 85,834	\$ 230	0.3%	\$	46,887	\$	220	0.5%	\$ 80,462	\$	- 0.0%	\$	22,143	\$	- 0.0%
1991-92	\$ 80,462	\$ 3,937	5.1%	\$	69,261	\$ 3,425	5.2%	\$ 85,604	\$ 4,164	5.1%	\$	46,667	\$	2,429	5.5%	\$ 80,462	\$ 3,93	5.1%	\$	22,143	\$ 1,07	9 5.1%
1990-91	\$ 76,525	\$ 3,502	4.8%	\$	65,836	\$ 3,058	4.9%	\$ 81,440	\$ 3,696	4.8%	\$	44,238	\$	2,207	5.3%	\$ 76,525	\$ 3,50	4.8%	\$	21,064	\$ 95	9 4.8%
1989-90	\$ 73,023	\$ 3,839	5.5%	\$	62,778	\$ 3,349	5.6%	\$ 77,744	\$ 8,560	12.4%	\$	42,031	\$	2,406	6.1%	\$ 73,023	\$ 3,8	9 5.5%	\$	20,105	\$ 1,05	1 5.5%
1988-89	\$ 69,184	\$ 7,998	13.1%	\$	59,429	\$ 7,510	14.5%	\$ 69,184	\$ 3,707	5.7%	\$	39,625	\$	2,269	6.1%	\$ 69,184	\$ 3,70	7 5.7%	\$	19,054	\$ 1,01	7 5.6%
1987-88	\$ 61,186	\$ 3,274	5.7%	\$	51,919	\$ 2,816	5.7%	\$ 65,477	\$ 3,478	5.6%	\$	37,356	\$	2,124	6.0%	\$ 65,477	\$ 3,4	8 5.6%	\$	18,037	\$ 95	4 5.6%
1986-87	\$ 57,912	\$ 4,123	7.7%	\$	49,103	\$ 3,532	7.8%	\$ 61,999	\$ 4,391	7.6%	\$	35,232	\$	2,624	8.0%	\$ 61,999	\$ 4,39		\$	17,083	\$ 1,20	
1985-86	\$ 53,789	-	-	\$	45,571	-	-	\$ 57,608	-	-	\$	32,608		-	-	\$ 57,608	-	-	\$	15,877	-	-
	,			• •	1-						• •									1-		

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Elected	l Coun	ty	Constitu	utional O	fficers	and	d Scho	ol Dist	ict Offic	ial	s Pursu	ıar	it to th	e Salaı	y Form	ula	in Chap	ter 145	, F	lorida S	Statutes	,
								Wa	kulla Co	ounty: Fi	scal Year	's 19	985-86 to	20	18-19									
	Clerk of Cire	cuit Court, I	Property																					
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervis	sor of Elect	ions			Sheriff			County	Coi	nmissio	ners	Sch	ool S	uperintend	lent		School	Board Mer	nbers
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.	S	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	Salary		\$ Chg.	% Chg.	5	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.
2018-19	\$ 106,336	\$ 835	0.8%	\$	106,336	\$ 835	0.8%	\$ ·	115,733	\$ 89	0.8%	\$	34,202	\$	337	1.0%	\$ 106,3	36	\$ 835	0.8%	\$	28,144	\$ 221	0.8%
2017-18	\$ 105,501	\$ 4,291	4.2%	\$	105,501	\$ 4,291	4.2%	\$ ·	114,834	\$ 4,66	4.2%	\$	33,865	\$	1,444	4.5%	\$ 105,5	01 :	\$ 4,291	4.2%	\$	27,923	\$ 1,136	4.2%
2016-17	\$ 101,211	\$ 150	0.1%	\$	101,211	\$ 17,791	21.3%	\$ ·	110,174	\$ 16	0.1%	\$	32,421	\$	48	0.1%	\$ 101,2	11 :	\$ 150	0.1%	\$	26,788	\$ 40	0.1%
2015-16	\$ 101,060	\$ 251	0.2%	\$	83,420	\$ 225	0.3%	\$ ·	110,010	\$ 26	0.2%	\$	32,373	\$	169	0.5%	\$ 101,0	60	\$ 251	0.2%	\$	26,748	\$ 67	0.2%
2014-15	\$ 100,809	\$ 306	0.3%	\$	83,195	\$ 256	0.3%	\$ ·	109,749	\$ 33	0.3%	\$	32,205	\$	118	0.4%	\$ 100,8	09 3	\$ 306	0.3%	\$	26,681	\$ 81	0.3%
2013-14	\$ 100,503	\$ 3,570	3.7%	\$	82,938	\$ 2,942	3.7%	\$ ·	109,419	\$ 3,89	3.7%	\$	32,086	\$	1,118	3.6%	\$ 100,5	03 3	\$ 3,570	3.7%	\$	26,601	\$ 945	3.7%
2012-13	\$ 96,933	\$ 33	0.0%	\$	79,996	\$ 31	0.0%	\$ ·	105,529	\$ 3	0.0%	\$	30,968	\$	31	0.1%	\$ 96,9	33	\$ 33	0.0%	\$	25,656	\$ 9	0.0%
2011-12	\$ 96,900	\$ (327)	-0.3%	\$	79,965	\$ (312)	-0.4%	\$ ·	105,496	\$ (32	') -0.3%	\$	30,937	\$	(312)	-1.0%	\$ 96,9	00	\$ (327)	-0.3%	\$	25,647	\$ (87) -0.3%
2010-11	\$ 97,228	\$ 346	0.4%	\$	80,277	\$ 330	0.4%	\$ ·	105,823	\$ 34	6 0.3%	\$	31,249	\$	330	1.1%	\$ 97,2	28	\$ 2,695	2.9%	\$	25,733	See	iotes
2009-10	\$ 96,881	\$ 420	0.4%	\$	79,947	\$ 400	0.5%	\$ ·	105,477	\$ 42	0.4%	\$	30,919	\$	399	1.3%	\$ 94,5	33	See no	otes	\$	25,642	See	notes
2008-09	\$ 96,462	\$ 436	0.5%	\$	79,548	\$ 401	0.5%	\$ ·	105,057	\$ 44	5 0.4%	\$	30,520	\$	348	1.2%	\$ 96,4	62	\$ 436	0.5%	\$	25,531	\$ 115	0.5%
2007-08	\$ 96,026	\$ 490	0.5%	\$	79,146	\$ 467	0.6%	\$ ·	104,612	\$ 49	0.5%	\$	30,172	\$	468	1.6%	\$ 96,0	26	\$ 490	0.5%	\$	25,416	-	-
2006-07	\$ 95,536	\$ 3,619	3.9%	\$	78,679	\$ 3,035	4.0%	\$ ·	104,122	\$ 3,90	3.9%	\$	29,705	\$	1,398	4.9%	\$ 95,5	36	\$ 3,619	3.9%		N/A	-	-
2005-06	\$ 91,917	\$ 3,825	4.3%	\$	75,644	\$ 3,169	4.4%	\$ ·	100,216	\$ 4,15	4.3%	\$	28,307	\$	1,287	4.8%	\$ 91,9	17	\$ 3,825	4.3%		N/A	-	-
2004-05	\$ 88,092	\$ 1,420	1.6%	\$	72,475	\$ 1,196	1.7%	\$	96,062	\$ 1,52	1.6%	\$	27,020	\$	573	2.2%	\$ 88,0	92	-	-		N/A	-	-
2003-04	\$ 86,672	\$ 1,817	2.1%	\$	71,279	\$ 1,510	2.2%	\$	94,532	\$ 1,97	2.1%	\$	26,448	\$	631	2.4%		Se	e notes			N/A	-	-
2002-03	\$ 84,855	\$ 2,376	2.9%	\$	69,769	\$ 1,988	2.9%	\$	92,561	\$ 2,56	3 2.9%	\$	25,816	\$	895	3.6%	\$ 84,8	55	\$ 2,376	2.9%		S	ee notes	
2001-02	\$ 82,479	\$ 2,961	3.7%	\$	67,781	\$ 2,513	3.8%	\$	89,993	\$ 3,17	5 3.7%	\$	24,921	\$	1,289	5.5%	\$ 82,4	79 3	\$ 2,961	3.7%	\$	21,830	\$ 783	3.7%
2000-01	\$ 79,518	\$ 2,393	3.1%	\$	65,268	\$ 1,993	3.1%	\$	86,818	\$ 2,59	3.1%	\$	23,632	\$	854	3.7%	\$ 79,5	18 3	\$ 2,393	3.1%	\$	21,047	\$ 634	3.1%
1999-00	\$ 77,125	\$ 3,016	4.1%	\$	63,275	\$ 2,514	4.1%	\$	84,225	\$ 3,26	6 4.0%	\$	22,778	\$	1,088	5.0%	\$ 77,1	25	\$ 3,016	4.1%	\$	20,413	\$ 798	4.1%
1998-99	\$ 74,109	\$ 3,773	5.4%	\$	60,761	\$ 3,114	5.4%	\$	80,959	\$ 4,10	5.3%	\$	21,690	\$	1,207	5.9%	\$ 74,1	09 3	\$ 3,773	5.4%	\$	19,615	\$ 998	5.4%
1997-98	\$ 70,336	\$ 3,561	5.3%	\$	57,647	\$ 2,950	5.4%	\$	76,852	\$ 3,86	5.3%	\$	20,483	\$	1,194	6.2%	\$ 70,3	36	\$ 3,561	5.3%	\$	18,617	\$ 943	5.3%
1996-97	\$ 66,775	\$ 3,726	5.9%	\$	54,697	\$ 3,069	5.9%	\$	72,983	\$ 4,06	2 5.9%	\$	19,289	\$	1,158	6.4%	\$ 66,7	75	\$ 3,726	5.9%	\$	17,674	\$ 986	5.9%
1995-96	\$ 63,049	\$ 2,679	4.4%	\$	51,628	\$ 2,223	4.5%	\$	68,921	\$ 2,90	4.4%	\$	18,131	\$	917	5.3%	\$ 63,0	49	\$ 2,679	4.4%	\$	16,688	\$ 709	4.4%
1994-95	\$ 60,370	\$ 3,377	5.9%	\$	49,405	\$ 2,783	6.0%	\$	66,014	\$ 3,67	5.9%	\$	17,214	\$	1,062	6.6%	\$ 60,3	70	-	-	\$	15,979	-	-
1993-94	\$ 56,993	\$ 2,181	4.0%	\$	46,622	\$ 1,790	4.0%	\$	62,335	\$ 2,38	4.0%	\$	16,152	\$	646	4.2%		Se	e notes			S	ee notes	
1992-93	\$ 54,812	\$ 46	0.1%	\$	44,832	\$ 44	0.1%	\$	59,954	\$ 4	0.1%	\$	15,506	\$	44	0.3%	\$ 54,7	66	\$-	0.0%	\$	14,496	\$.	0.0%
1991-92	\$ 54,766	\$ 2,360	4.5%	\$	44,788	\$ 1,923	4.5%	\$	59,907	\$ 2,58	6 4.5%	\$	15,462	\$	632	4.3%	\$ 54,7	66	\$ 2,360	4.5%	\$	14,496	\$ 625	4.5%
1990-91	\$ 52,406	\$ 2,140	4.3%	\$	42,865	\$ 1,760	4.3%	\$	57,321	\$ 2,33	4.2%	\$	14,830	\$	655	4.6%	\$ 52,4	06	\$ 2,140	4.3%	\$	13,871	\$ 566	4.3%
1989-90	\$ 50,266	\$ 2,347	4.9%	\$	41,105	\$ 1,928	4.9%	\$	54,988	\$ 7,06	14.8%	\$	14,175	\$	704	5.2%	\$ 50,2	66	\$ 2,347	4.9%	\$	13,305	\$ 622	4.9%
1988-89	\$ 47,919	\$ 6,611	16.0%	\$	39,177	\$ 6,190	18.8%	\$	47,919	\$ 2,32	5.1%	\$	13,471	\$	664	5.2%	\$ 47,9	19 :	\$ 2,321	5.1%	\$	12,683	\$ 614	5.1%
1987-88	\$ 41,308	\$ 2,027	5.2%	\$	32,987	\$ 1,629	5.2%	\$	45,598	\$ 2,23	5.1%	\$	12,807	\$	670	5.5%	\$ 45,5	98 :	\$ 2,231	5.1%	\$	12,069	\$ 590	5.1%
1986-87	\$ 39,281	\$ 2,637	7.2%	\$	31,358	\$ 2,115	7.2%	\$	43,367	\$ 2,90	7.2%	\$	12,137	\$	858	7.6%	\$ 43,3	67	\$ 2,904	7.2%	\$	11,479	\$ 769	7.2%
1985-86	\$ 36,644	-	-	\$	29,243	-	-	\$	40,463	-	-	\$	11,279		-	-	\$ 40,4	63	-	-	\$	10,710	-	-
							•										· · · · · ·							-

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for be 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

	Salaries o	f Elected	l Coun	ty	Constitu	utional O	fficers	an	d Scho	ol	Distric	ct Offic	ials	s Pursu	ıar	nt to th	e Salar	y Form	ula	in Chap	oter 145	, F	lorida	Statut	s	
								W	alton Co	ount	y: Fisc	al Years	19	85-86 to	20	18-19										
	Clerk of Cire	cuit Court, I	Property																							
Fiscal	Appraise	r & Tax Col	lector		Supervis	sor of Elect	ions			She	əriff			County	Coi	nmissio	ners	Sch	ool S	Superinten	dent		School	Board N	embers	
Year	Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$	Chg.	% Chg.	"	Salary	44	6 Chg.	% Chg.	Salary	'	\$ Chg.	% Chg.		Salary	\$ Chg	. % C	;hg.
2018-19	\$ 113,501	\$ 1,435	1.3%	\$	113,501	\$ 1,435	1.3%	\$	122,898	\$	1,500	1.2%	\$	44,382	\$	932	2.1%	\$ 113,5	01	\$ 1,435	1.3%	\$	30,972	\$ 3	86 1.3	3%
2017-18	\$ 112,065	\$ 5,051	4.7%	\$	112,065	\$ 5,051	4.7%	\$	121,399	\$	5,422	4.7%	\$	43,450	\$	2,301	5.6%	\$ 112,0	65	\$ 5,051	4.7%	\$	30,585	\$ 1,3	74 4.7	7%
2016-17	\$ 107,014	\$ 400	0.4%	\$	107,014	\$ 18,305	20.6%	\$	115,977	\$	413	0.4%	\$	41,149	\$	290	0.7%	\$ 107,0	14	\$ 400	0.4%	\$	29,212	\$ 1	07 0.4	4%
2015-16	\$ 106,615	\$ 658	0.6%	\$	88,710	\$ 613	0.7%	\$	115,564	\$	668	0.6%	\$	40,859	\$	560	1.4%	\$ 106,6	15	\$ 658	0.6%	\$	29,105	\$ 1	75 0.6	6%
2014-15	\$ 105,956	\$ 505	0.5%	\$	88,097	\$ 446	0.5%	\$	114,896	\$	529	0.5%	\$	40,300	\$	316	0.8%	\$ 105,9	56	\$ 505	0.5%	\$	28,929	\$ 1	36 0.5	5%
2013-14	\$ 105,452	\$ 4,173	4.1%	\$	87,651	\$ 3,516	4.2%	\$	114,367	\$	4,493	4.1%	\$	39,983	\$	1,806	4.7%	\$ 105,4	52	\$ 4,173	4.1%	\$	28,793	\$ 1,1	36 4.1	1%
2012-13	\$ 101,279	\$ 105	0.1%	\$	84,135	\$ 100	0.1%	\$	109,875	\$	105	0.1%	\$	38,177	\$	100	0.3%	\$ 101,2	79	\$ 105	0.1%	\$	27,657	\$	28 0.1	1%
2011-12	\$ 101,174	\$ (741)	-0.7%	\$	84,035	\$ (706)	-0.8%	\$	109,770	\$	(741)	-0.7%	\$	38,077	\$	(706)	-1.8%	\$ 101,1	74	\$ (741)	-0.7%	\$	27,629	\$ (1	96) -0.7	7%
2010-11	\$ 101,915	\$ 34	0.0%	\$	84,741	\$ 33	0.0%	\$	110,511	\$	34	0.0%	\$	38,783	\$	33	0.1%	\$ 101,9	15	\$ 2,247	2.3%	\$	27,826	Se	e notes	
2009-10	\$ 101,881	\$ 179	0.2%	\$	84,709	\$ 170	0.2%	\$	110,477	\$	179	0.2%	\$	38,750	\$	170	0.4%	\$ 99,6	68	See no	otes	\$	27,817	Se	e notes	
2008-09	\$ 101,702		0.4%	\$	84,538	\$ 414	0.5%	\$	110,298	\$	458	0.4%	\$	38,580	\$	363	0.9%	\$ 101,7	02	\$ 448	0.4%	\$	27,769	\$ 1	20 0.4	4%
2007-08	\$ 101,254	\$ 581	0.6%	\$	84,125	\$ 554	0.7%	\$	109,840	\$	581	0.5%	\$	38,217	\$	554	1.5%	\$ 101,2	54	\$581	0.6%	\$	27,650	-	-	-
2006-07	\$ 100,672	\$ 4,109	4.3%	\$	83,571	\$ 3,502	4.4%	\$	109,259	\$	4,397	4.2%	\$	37,663	\$	1,967	5.5%	\$ 100,6	72	\$ 4,109	4.3%		N/A	-	-	-
2005-06	\$ 96,563	\$ 1,858	2.0%	\$	80,069	\$ 1,296	1.6%	\$	104,862	\$	2,188	2.1%	\$	35,696	\$	2,378	7.1%	\$ 96,5	63	\$ 1,858	2.0%		N/A	-	-	-
2004-05	\$ 94,705	\$ 1,754	1.9%	\$	78,773	\$ 1,514	2.0%	\$	102,674	\$	1,863	1.8%	\$	33,318	\$	890	2.7%	\$ 94,7	05	-	-		N/A	-	-	-
2003-04	\$ 92,952	\$ 2,683	3.0%	\$	77,259	\$ 2,334	3.1%	\$	100,811	\$	2,837	2.9%	\$	32,428	\$	1,455	4.7%		Se	e notes			N/A	-	-	-
2002-03	\$ 90,269	\$ 2,792	3.2%	\$	74,925	\$ 2,384	3.3%	\$	97,975	\$	2,983	3.1%	\$	30,972	\$	1,291	4.3%	\$ 90,2	69	\$ 2,792	3.2%		S	ee note	;	
2001-02	\$ 87,477	\$ 2,534	3.0%	\$	72,541	\$ 2,106	3.0%	\$	94,991	\$	2,748	3.0%	\$	29,681	\$	882	3.1%	\$ 87,4	77	\$ 2,534	3.0%	\$	23,152	\$6	70 3.0	0%
2000-01	\$ 84,943	\$ 2,899	3.5%	\$	70,435	\$ 2,475	3.6%	\$	92,243	\$	3,099	3.5%	\$	28,799	\$	1,336	4.9%	\$ 84,9	43	\$ 2,899	3.5%	\$	22,482	\$ 7	67 3.5	5%
1999-00	\$ 82,044	\$ 3,457	4.4%	\$	67,960	\$ 2,934	4.5%	\$	89,144	\$	3,707	4.3%	\$	27,463	\$	1,508	5.8%	\$ 82,0	44	\$ 3,457	4.4%	\$	21,715	\$9	15 4.4	4%
1998-99	\$ 78,587	\$ 4,267	5.7%	\$	65,026	\$ 3,584	5.8%	\$	85,437	\$	4,601	5.7%	\$	25,955	\$	1,677	6.9%	\$ 78,5	87	\$ 4,267	5.7%	\$	20,800	\$ 1,1	30 5.7	7%
1997-98	\$ 74,320	\$ 3,725	5.3%	\$	61,442	\$ 3,106	5.3%	\$	80,836	\$	4,033	5.3%	\$	24,278	\$	1,350	5.9%	\$ 74,3	20	\$ 3,725	5.3%	\$	19,670	\$9	85 5.3	3%
1996-97	\$ 70,595	\$ 4,151	6.2%	\$	58,336	\$ 3,474	6.3%	\$	76,803	\$	4,486	6.2%	\$	22,928	\$	1,563	7.3%	\$ 70,5	95	\$ 4,151	6.2%	\$	18,685	\$ 1,0	99 6.2	2%
1995-96	\$ 66,444	\$ 2,864	4.5%	\$	54,862	\$ 2,400	4.6%	\$	72,317	\$	3,093	4.5%	\$	21,365	\$	1,094	5.4%	\$ 66,4	44	\$ 2,864	4.5%	\$	17,586	\$ 7	58 4.5	5%
1994-95	\$ 63,580	\$ 3,576	6.0%	\$	52,462	\$ 2,973	6.0%	\$	69,224	\$	3,878	5.9%	\$	20,271	\$	1,252	6.6%	\$ 63,5	80	-	-	\$	16,828	-	-	-
1993-94	\$ 60,004	\$ 2,342	4.1%	\$	49,489	\$ 1,943	4.1%	\$	65,346	\$	2,542	4.0%	\$	19,019	\$	799	4.4%		Se	e notes			S	ee note	;	
1992-93	\$ 57,662	\$ 282	0.5%	\$	47,546	\$ 268	0.6%	\$	62,804	\$	283	0.5%	\$	18,220	\$	269	1.5%	\$ 57,3	80	\$-	0.0%	\$	15,187	\$	- 0.0	0%
1991-92	\$ 57,380	\$ 2,309	4.2%	\$	47,278	\$ 1,874	4.1%	\$	62,521	\$	2,535	4.2%	\$	17,951	\$	582	3.4%	\$ 57,3	80	\$ 2,309	4.2%	\$	15,187	\$ 6	11 4.2	2%
1990-91	\$ 55,071	\$ 2,304	4.4%	\$	45,404	\$ 1,917	4.4%	\$	59,986	\$	2,497	4.3%	\$	17,369	\$	812	4.9%	\$ 55,0	71	\$ 2,304	4.4%	\$	14,576	\$ 6	10 4.4	4%
1989-90	\$ 52,767	\$ 2,514	5.0%	\$	43,487	\$ 2,087	5.0%	\$	57,489	\$	7,236	14.4%	\$	16,557	\$	862	5.5%	\$ 52,7	67	\$ 2,514	5.0%	\$	13,966	\$ 6	65 5.0	0%
1988-89	\$ 50,253	\$ 6,881	15.9%	\$	41,400	\$ 6,447	18.4%	\$	50,253	\$	2,591	5.4%	\$	15,695	\$	923	6.2%	\$ 50,2	53	\$ 2,591	5.4%	\$	13,301	\$ 6	87 5.4	4%
1987-88	\$ 43,372	\$ 2,176	5.3%	\$	34,953	\$ 1,771	5.3%	\$	47,662	\$	2,380	5.3%	\$	14,772	\$	812	5.8%	\$ 47,6	62	\$ 2,380	5.3%	\$	12,614	\$6	29 5.2	2%
1986-87	\$ 41,196	\$ 2,902	7.6%	\$	33,182	\$ 2,367	7.7%	\$	45,282	\$	3,169	7.5%	\$	13,960	\$	1,109	8.6%	\$ 45,2	82	\$ 3,169	7.5%	\$	11,985	\$ 8	39 7.5	5%
1985-86	\$ 38,294	-	-	\$	30,815	-	-	\$	42,113		-	-	\$	12,851		-	-	\$ 42,1	13	-	-	\$	11,146	-	-	-
			•							•								,								

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary reductions. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figures and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

2016-19 \$ 103,368 \$ 7.44 0.7% \$ 12,323 \$ 800 9 1,323 \$ 103,852 \$ 7.44 0.7% \$ 27,499 \$ 27,499 \$ 27,499 \$ 27,499 \$ 27,499 \$ 27,499 \$ 27,499 \$ 27,499 \$ 27,302 \$ 10,075 \$ 27,499 \$ 27,302 \$ 10,075 \$ 27,499 \$ 27,499 \$ 27,499 \$ 27,499 \$ 27,499 \$ 27,499 \$ 27,302 \$ 10,075 \$ 27,499 \$ 27,499 \$ 27,499 \$ 27,499 \$ 27,499 \$ 27,499 \$ 27,499 \$ 27,499 \$ 27,499 \$ 27,102 \$ 10,075 \$ 27,102 \$ 10,075 \$ 27,107 \$ 27,89 \$ 30,261 \$ 10 \$ 30,778 \$ 224 0.2% \$ 26,133 \$ 59,073 166 0.2% \$ 22,402.% \$ 26,143 \$ 59,075 \$ 26,143 \$ 59,075 \$ 26,143 \$ 59,075 \$ 103,152 \$ 40,02% \$ 30,266 \$ 41 0.1% \$ 93,073 166 0.2% \$ 22,420 2% 26,416 \$ 422 224 0.2% \$ 22,420 2% 224 22% 224 22%		Salaries o	of Elected	l Count	ty (Constitu	itional O												ry F	ormula	a in	Chap	oter 145	i, F	lorida	Sta	tutes	
Starty School Superintendent Superintendent School Superinten			ault Count	Dueneutri				W	vas	snington	60	unty: FI	scal re	ars	1985-86	το	2018-1	9						_				
Vear Scher % Chg. % Chg	Fiend		,			Cumomi					C L				Country	~ ~-				Cabaal	C		lant		Cabaal	Deel	nd Manal	h
1013 1013,895 1013,895 1013,895 1013,815 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>% Cha</td><td>_</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>% Cha.</td></th<>									-				% Cha	_														% Cha.
2017.18 \$ 103.152 \$ 4.062 4.1% \$ 112.24 6 8 4.1% \$ 31.628 5 1.27 4.0% \$ 103.152 \$ 4.062 4.1% \$ 27.302 \$ 1.07 2016-17 \$ 90.900 \$ 153 0.2% \$ 90.900 \$ 153 0.2% \$ 90.900 \$ 153 0.2% \$ 224.27 \$ 41 2015-16 \$ 90.71 \$ 103.162 \$ 107.87 \$ 107.83 \$ 107.83 \$ 107.83 \$ 107.83 \$ 107.83 \$ 107.83 \$ 107.12 \$ 24.062 \$ 30.462 \$ 10.1% \$ 90.900 \$ 153 0.2% \$ 224.02% \$ 24.143 \$ 90.105 \$ 107.117 \$ 90.712 \$ 244 0.2% \$ 30.245 \$ 1.171 4.0% \$ 90.564 \$ 3.626 3.8% \$ 20.015 \$ 66 0.1% \$ 22.162 \$ 60.1% \$ 29.102 \$ 560 1.0% \$ 25.124 \$ 20.11 \$ 94.904 \$ 4.019 0.0% \$ 70.106 \$ 103.541 \$ 103.541 \$ 29.102 \$ 40.2% \$ 94.015 \$ 312 0.3% \$ 29.105 \$ 560 1.1% \$ 22.164 \$ 50.015 \$ 20.76 \$ 103.161 \$ 94.921					^		· J		_							_	•					5		_			•	
2016-17 \$ 90,090 \$ 17.60 \$ 17.712 \$ 22.61 3.77 \$ 17.60 \$ 17.712 \$ 22.61 3.77 \$ 17.60 \$ 2.907.12 \$ 20.27 \$ 17.712 \$ 22.61 3.77 \$ 17.60 \$ 2.907.12 \$ 20.27 \$ 20.27 \$ 20.27 \$ 20.27 \$ 20.27 \$ 20.27 \$ 20.27 \$ 20.27 \$ 20.27 \$ 20.27 \$ 20.27 \$ 20.27 \$ 20.27 \$ 20.27 \$ 20.27 \$ 20.27 \$ 20.27					\$,	9 6			ه د	- /						Ŧ				1	•	-	0.7% 4.1%
D16-16 \$ 98,377 \$ 166 0.2% \$ 107,877 \$ 170 0.2% \$ 90,371 \$ 98,377 \$ 166 0.2% \$ 98,371 \$ 166 0.2% \$ 98,772 \$ 224 0.2% \$ 91,771 \$ 224 0.2% \$ 91,771 \$ 224 0.2% \$ 91,771 \$ 93,721 \$ 98,723 \$ 98,723 \$ 98,726 \$ 98,726 \$ 98,726 \$ 98,726 \$ 98,726 \$ 98,726 \$ 98,726 \$ 98,726 \$ 98,727 \$ 92,027 \$ 94,927 \$ 98,726 \$ 98,726 \$ 92,027 \$ 94,947 \$ 94,927 \$ 94,927 \$ 94,947 \$ 94,927 \$ 94,947 \$ 94,927 \$ 94,947 \$ 94,947 \$ 94,927 \$ <		, .	1 1		¢ ¢		1			1	₽ 6	,		9		-	,				\$ \$	1		- ·	1		1	
2014-16 \$ 98,722 \$ 224 0.2% \$ 107,721 \$ 224 0.2% \$ 302,265 \$ 4 0.1% \$ 98,548 \$ 3,262 3.8% \$ 29,955 3.8% \$ 107,452 \$ 3,346 3.8% \$ 30,265 \$ 4.1% \$ 98,548 \$ 3.8% \$ 20,731 \$ 94,222 \$ (3) 0.1% \$ 70,730 \$ 3,626 3.8% \$ 20,731 \$ 29,050 \$ 56 0.1% \$ 70,1% \$ 91,000 \$ 90,005 \$ 56 0.1% \$ 70,1% \$ 91,001 \$ 94,947 \$ 1.4% \$ 92,012 \$ 8 94,049 \$ 2.21 3.266 3.8% \$ 92,132 \$ 8 94,049 \$ 2.24 0.3% \$ 26,131 See note 2.24,148 \$ 1.24% 92,321 \$ 92,132 \$ 91,449 \$ 2.24 0.3% \$					¢ ¢	/	1 1 1		- ÷		₽ 6			9		<u> </u>			Ψ		\$				- 1			0.2%
2013:44 \$ 98,648 \$ 3,626 3.8% \$ 107,62 \$ 3,946 3.8% \$ 30,224 \$ 1,111 4.0% \$ 98,648 \$ 3,226 3,8% \$ 20,021 2 \$ (63) -1.1% \$ 103,618 \$ (93) -0.1% \$ 29,061 \$ 94,922 \$ (63) -0.1% \$ 103,618 \$ (93) -0.1% \$ 29,061 \$ 50.05 \$ 66 0.1% \$ 29,061 \$ 66 0.1% \$ 22,011 2 54 0.2% \$ 94,949 \$ 2,015 \$ 6 0.1% \$ 29,078 \$ (18) 0.1% \$ 29,078 \$ (18) 0.1% \$ 29,173 See notes \$ 25,136 See note \$ 25,136 See note \$ 26,045 \$ 332 2,033 \$ 2,0173 See notes \$ 2,010 3,364 3,771 4,033 \$ 2,0133 \$ 2,0133 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>ð ¢</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>,</td> <td>₽ 6</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>ъ •</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td>ት</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td>0.2%</td>					ð ¢					,	₽ 6	-		ъ •					•		ት					•		0.2%
2012-13 9 4.922 6 (3) -0.1% \$ 70.061 \$ (79) -0.1% \$ 103.601 \$ (83) -0.1% \$ 29.053 \$ (79) -0.3% \$ 94.022 \$ (83) -0.1% \$ 25.124 \$ (22) 2011-12 \$ 95.005 \$ 56 0.1% \$ 73.160 \$ (79) -0.3% \$ 94.025 \$ 56 0.1% \$ 25.124 \$ (22) 2010-11 \$ 94.949 \$ (19) 0.0% \$ 78.124 \$ 326 0.4% \$ 103.601 \$ 56 0.1% \$ 29.733 See notes \$ 22.16 2.4% \$ 22.16 2.4% \$ 25.126 \$ 2.4% \$ 2.26 2.4% \$ 32.6 0.3% \$ 22.717 \$ 2.300 0.8% \$ 94.625 \$ 312 0.3% \$ 2.24.045 \$ 8.32 0.3% \$ 2.27.17 \$ 2.30 0.8% \$ 94.625 \$ 3.361 3.7% \$ 10.0% \$ 90.321 \$ 3.21 0.3% \$ 2.24.16 \$ 4.02 \$ 3.42 0.3% \$ 2.24.15 \$ 94.321 \$ 3.26 3.73 4.3% \$ 2.4965 - \$ 2.065 \$ 4.12 4.3% \$ 2.737 \$ 1.238 4.7% \$ 94.025 \$ 3.61<		1,			ð ¢	- ,				- /	₽ 6	-		ъ •	,					,	\$ •							3.8%
2011-12 \$ 95.005 \$ 66 0.1% \$ 201.211 94.949 (19) 0.0% \$ 78.106 \$ 78.106 \$ 77.124 \$ 103.624 \$ (19) 0.0% \$ 29.076 \$ 110 0.0% \$ 29.076 \$ 110 0.0% \$ 29.076 \$ 110 0.0% \$ 29.076 \$ 29.076 \$ 29.076 \$ 29.076 \$ 29.076 \$ 29.076 \$ 94.313 \$ 97.778 \$ 28.41 \$ 20.84 \$ 20.841 \$ (0) \$ 94.313 \$ (0) \$ 77.781 \$ 27.787 \$ 27.787 \$ 20.436 \$ 74.734 \$ 3.12 4.4% 99.260 \$ 4.4% \$ 59.156 \$ 4.40% \$ 99.261 \$ 7.434 \$ 7.73 \$ 7.73 \$ 7.	-	. ,	,		ې د	- ,	. ,		<u> </u>	. ,	₽ €	.,		₽ €		<u> </u>	,		Ψ			.,				÷		
2010-11 \$ 94,949 \$ (19) 0.0% \$ 77,106 \$ (19) 0.0% \$ 103,544 \$ (19) 0.0% \$ 22,078 \$ (18) 0.1% \$ 94,949 \$ 2,216 2.4% \$ 25,131 See notes 2009-01 \$ 94,625 \$ 312 0.3% \$ 77,178 \$ 28,771 \$ 342 0.3% \$ 29,078 \$ 28,771 \$ 323 0.8% \$ 94,625 \$ 312 0.3% \$ 25,045 \$ 83 2007-08 \$ 94,525 \$ 312 0.3% \$ 77,515 \$ (0) 0.0% \$ 103,221 \$ 326,711 \$ 280,741 \$ (0) 0.0% \$ 28,541 \$ (0) 0.0% \$ 24,963 - 200 \$ 94,322 \$ 3,361 3.7% N/A - 2005-06 \$ 90,961 \$ 3,773 4.3% N/A - N/A - <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>\$</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td><i>₽</i> €</td><td></td><td></td><td>≯ €</td><td></td><td><u> </u></td><td></td><td></td><td>Ψ</td><td>- /-</td><td>\$</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-0.1% 0.1%</td></td<>					\$						<i>₽</i> €			≯ €		<u> </u>			Ψ	- /-	\$							-0.1% 0.1%
2009-10 \$ 94,967 \$ 342 0.4% \$ 76,124 \$ 326 0.4% \$ 103,663 \$ 324 0.3% \$ 22,733 See notes \$ 25,136 See notes 2008-08 9 94,625 \$ 312 0.3% \$ 20,771 \$ 230 0.8% \$ 94,625 \$ 312 0.3% \$ 28,641 \$ (8) 0.0% \$ 94,213 \$ (9) 0.0% \$ 77,718 \$ 27,933 \$ 24,963 - 2007-08 9 94,313 (9) 0.0% \$ 77,7523 \$ 2,789 3.7% \$ 102,899 \$ (9) 0.0% \$ 94,322 \$ 3,361 3.7% \$ 24,963 - 2005-06 \$ 90,961 \$ 3,773 4.3% \$ 74,734 \$ 91,260 \$ 4,102 4.3% \$ 27,377 \$ 94,321 \$ 8,773 4.3% NA - N/A - -	-				\$						<i>₽</i> €			≯ €		T			م (*					Ą		
2008-09 \$ 94,625 \$ 312 0.3% \$ 77,798 \$ 284 0.4% \$ 103,221 \$ 321 0.3% \$ 28,771 \$ 230 0.8% \$ 94,625 \$ 312 0.3% \$ 25,045 \$ 833 2007-08 \$ 94,321 \$ 3,361 3.7% \$ 77,578 \$ 7,253 \$ 2,789 \$ 70,798 \$ 28,541 \$ (8) 0.0% \$ 24,963 - 2006-07 \$ 94,322 \$ 3,361 3.7% \$ 77,728 \$ 2,739 \$ 28,548 \$ 1,151 4.2% \$ 90,961 \$ 3,773 4.3% NA - 2005-06 \$ 90,961 \$ 1,773 4.3% \$ 71,744 \$ 1,056 1.5% \$ 26,159 \$ 4.33 1.7% \$ 87,188 - NA - 2003-04 \$ 80,915 \$ 1,745 1.765 \$ 1,441 2.1% \$ 93,775 \$ 1,899 2.1% \$ 25,164 \$ 780 3.1% \$ 84,170 \$ 2,224 2.7% S enotes 2001-02 \$ 81,946 \$ 2,015 2.5% \$ 67,373 \$ 1,612 2.5% \$ 89,461 \$ 2,231 2.6% \$ 24,414 \$ 389 1.6%<		1 . /			\$		()		- ÷	/ -	<i>₽</i> €	1 1		≯ €	- /	<u> </u>	1 1		م (/ .			- / -			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$. ,			\$,	<i>₽</i> €	-		≯ €	,	<u> </u>			Ψ									
2006-07 \$ 94,322 \$ 3,361 3.7% \$ 77,523 \$ 2,789 3.7% \$ 102,906 \$ 3,648 3.7% \$ 28,548 \$ 1,151 4.2% \$ 94,322 \$ 3,361 3.7% N/A - 2006-06 \$ 90,961 \$ 3,773 4.3% \$ 74,734 \$ 3,120 4.4% \$ 99,260 \$ 4,102 4.3% \$ 27,397 \$ 1,238 4.7% \$ 90,961 \$ 3,773 4.3% N/A - - N/A - S S S S S S S S S					\$					/	<i>₽</i> €	-		≯ €	- /				•		Ŧ					Ą	83	0.3%
2005-06 \$ 90,961 \$ 3,773 4.3% \$ 74,734 \$ 3,120 4.4% \$ 99,260 \$ 4,102 4.3% \$ 27,397 \$ 1,238 4.7% \$ 90,961 \$ 3,773 4.3% N/A - 2004-05 \$ 87,188 \$ 1,273 1.5% \$ 71,614 \$ 1,056 1.5% \$ 95,158 \$ 1,362 1.5% \$ 26,159 \$ 433 1.7% \$ 87,188 - N/A - 2002-04 \$ 85,915 \$ 1,745 2.1% \$ 07,558 \$ 1,441 2.1% \$ 93,775 \$ 1,892 2.1% \$ 26,727 \$ 563 2.2% See notes N/A - 2002-03 \$ 84,170 \$ 2,224 2.7% \$ 69,117 \$ 1,843 2.7% \$ 91,676 \$ 2,214 2.7% \$ 24,015 2.5% \$ 84,170 \$ 2,224 2.7% See notes N/A - Solution Solution \$ 2,214 2.7% \$ 91,676 \$ 2,214 2.6% \$ 24,414 \$ 389 1.6% \$ 84,170 \$ 2,249 3.1% \$ 2,409 3.1% \$ 24,059 3.8% \$ 79,931 \$ 2,409 3.1% \$ 24,068					\$		(-)				₽ ¢			ه (- / -	· ·	()			. ,	٢			\$			-	-
2004-05 \$ 87,188 1,273 1.5% \$ 71,614 \$ 1,056 1.5% \$ 95,158 \$ 1,382 1.5% \$ 20159 \$ 433 1.7% \$ 87,188 - N/A - 2002-03 \$ 85,915 \$ 1,745 2.7% \$ 70,558 \$ 1,441 2.1% \$ 25,727 \$ 563 2.2% See notes N/A - 2001-02 \$ 81,946 \$ 2,015 \$ 24,141 3.89 1.7% \$ 84,170 \$ 2,224 2.7% See notes N/A 2001-02 \$ 81,946 \$ 2,015 \$ 84,941 3.89 1.6% \$ 84,170 \$ 2,242 2.7% See notes N/A - See notes		. ,			\$						₽ ¢			ه (-	-
2003-04 \$ 85,915 \$ 1,745 2.1% \$ 70,558 \$ 1,441 2.1% \$ 93,775 \$ 1,899 2.1% \$ 25,727 \$ 563 2.2% See notes N/A 2002-03 \$ 84,170 \$ 2,224 2.7% \$ 69,117 \$ 1,843 2.7% \$ 91,876 \$ 2,416 2.7% \$ 84,170 \$ 2,224 2.7% See notes N/A 2001-02 \$ 81,946 \$ 2,015 2.5% \$ 67,273 \$ 1,612 2.5% \$ 91,876 \$ 2,414 \$ 389 1.6% \$ 81,946 \$ 2,015 2.5% \$ 2,608 3.1% \$ 2,414 \$ 389 1.6% \$ 81,946 \$ 2,015 2.5% \$ 2,688 \$ 2,414 \$ 389 1.6% \$ 81,946 \$ 2,015 2,5% \$ 2,116 \$ 338 2,002 \$ 2,116 \$					\$,	₽ ¢			ه (,	<u> </u>			•		ъ.	3,773	4.3%				-	-
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$. ,	. ,		\$,		\$,	\$,		\$,	<u> </u>			\$			-	-				-	-
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					\$. ,		\$		<i>₽</i> €			\$ \$		<u> </u>			*	-			0.70/				-	-
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$. ,		\$	/	1 1			- /	\$	1 -		\$	- / -	<u> </u>						/			-			0.50
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		+			\$		1 7:				\$			\$		<u> </u>			T					\$				2.5%
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$. ,		\$,	\$			\$	1	<u> </u>			Ŧ	,		,		\$	1			3.1%
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					\$				Ŧ		\$			\$										\$				4.1%
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$. ,	1 .7		\$	- /	. ,		\$,	\$			\$	1		,		•			,		\$				5.3%
1995-96 \$ 63,417 \$ 2,591 4.3% \$ 51,979 \$ 2,140 4.3% \$ 69,290 \$ 2,821 4.2% \$ 18,482 \$ 834 4.7% \$ 63,417 \$ 2,591 4.3% \$ 16,785 \$ 6866 1994-95 \$ 60,826 \$ 3,277 5.7% \$ 49,839 \$ 2,688 5.7% \$ 66,469 \$ 3,578 5.7% \$ 17,648 \$ 967 5.8% \$ 60,826 - - \$ 16,099 - - \$ 16,099 - - \$ 16,099 - - \$ 16,099 - - \$ 16,099 - - \$ 16,099 - - \$ 16,099 - - \$ 16,099 - - \$ 16,043 \$ 0,0% \$ 0,1% \$ 0,1% \$ 0,40% \$ 0,40% \$ 16,681 \$ 664 4.1% See notes See not		1 .,	1 .7.		\$		1 /		\$,	\$.,.		\$	- ,				•	.,		,		\$	- ,			5.2%
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$. ,	. ,		\$. ,			,	\$,		\$,		,					,		\$,	6.0%
1993-94 \$ 57,549 \$ 2,200 4.0% \$ 47,151 \$ 1,808 4.0% \$ 62,891 \$ 2,401 4.0% \$ 16,681 \$ 664 4.1% See notes See notes 1992-93 \$ 55,349 \$ 59 0.1% \$ 45,343 \$ 56 0.1% \$ 60,490 \$ 59 0.1% \$ 16,681 \$ 664 4.1% See notes See notes See notes 1991-92 \$ 55,349 \$ 59 0.1% \$ 46,040 \$ 59 0.1% \$ 16,017 \$ 56 0.4% \$ 55,290 \$ 2,498 4.7% \$ 14,634 \$ - 1991-92 \$ 55,290 \$ 2,498 4.7% \$ 45,287 \$ 2,054 4.8% \$ 60,431 \$ 2,724 4.7% \$ 15,961 \$ 763 5.0% \$ 55,290 \$ 2,498 4.7% \$ 14,634 \$ 661 1990-91 \$ 52,792 \$ 2,166 4.3% \$ 1,785 4.3% \$ 57,707 \$ 2,359 4.3% \$ 15,198 \$ 660 4.7% \$ 52,792 \$ 2,416 4.3% \$ 13,973 \$ 573 1989-90 \$ 50,626 \$ 2,411 5.0% \$ 41,448 \$ 1,989 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$</td> <td></td> <td><u> </u></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>T</td> <td></td> <td>\$ 2</td> <td>2,591</td> <td>4.3%</td> <td>\$</td> <td></td> <td>\$</td> <td>686</td> <td>4.3%</td>					\$						\$			\$		<u> </u>			T		\$ 2	2,591	4.3%	\$		\$	686	4.3%
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$,		\$. ,			,	\$,		\$	1	<u> </u>			\$			-	-	\$			-	-
1991-92 \$ 55,290 \$ 2,498 4.7% \$ 45,287 \$ 2,054 4.8% \$ 60,431 \$ 2,724 4.7% \$ 15,961 \$ 763 5.0% \$ 55,290 \$ 2,498 4.7% \$ 14,634 \$ 661 1990-91 \$ 52,792 \$ 2,166 4.3% \$ 43,233 \$ 1,785 4.3% \$ 57,707 \$ 2,359 4.3% \$ 15,198 \$ 660 4.7% \$ 52,792 \$ 2,166 4.3% \$ 13,973 \$ 573 1980-90 \$ 50,626 \$ 2,411 5.0% \$ 41,448 \$ 1,989 5.0% \$ 55,348 \$ 7,133 14.8% \$ 14,518 \$ 765 5.6% \$ 50,626 \$ 2,411 5.0% \$ 13,400 \$ 638 1988-89 \$ 48,215 \$ 6,623 15.9% \$ 39,459 \$ 6,202 18.6% \$ 48,215 \$ 2,333 5.1% \$ 13,753 \$ 676 5.2% \$ 48,215 \$ 2,333 5.1% \$ 13,753 \$ 676 5.2% \$ 48,215 \$ 2,234 5.1% \$ 13,073 \$ 12,762 \$ 618 1987-88 \$ 41,592 \$ 2,008 7.1% \$ 45,882 \$ 2,234 5.1% \$ 13,077			. ,		\$. ,				\$,		\$						-		otes			-		notes	
1990-91 \$ 52,792 \$ 2,166 4.3% \$ 43,233 \$ 1,785 4.3% \$ 57,707 \$ 2,359 4.3% \$ 15,198 \$ 680 4.7% \$ 52,792 \$ 2,166 4.3% \$ 13,973 \$ 573 1989-90 \$ 50,626 \$ 2,411 5.0% \$ 41,448 \$ 1989 5.0% \$ 55,348 \$ 7,133 14.8% \$ 14,518 \$ 765 5.6% \$ 50,626 \$ 2,411 5.0% \$ 13,400 \$ 638 1989-90 \$ 48,215 \$ 6,623 15.9% \$ 39,459 \$ 6,202 18.6% \$ 48,215 \$ 2,333 5.1% \$ 13,753 \$ 676 5.2% \$ 48,215 \$ 2,333 5.1% \$ 13,753 \$ 676 5.2% \$ 48,215 \$ 2,333 5.1% \$ 12,762 \$ 618 1987-88 \$ 41,592 \$ 2,030 5.1% \$ 33,257 \$ 1,631 5.2% \$ 45,862 \$ 2,234 5.1% \$ 12,144 \$ 591 1986-87 \$ 39,652 \$ 2,608 7.1% \$ 31,626 \$ 2,087 7.1% \$ 43,648 \$ 2,875 7.1% \$ 12,404 \$ 830 7.2% \$ 43,682 \$ 2,875 <					\$,	\$			\$,							-		\$			-	0.0%
1989-90 \$ 50,626 \$ 2,411 5.0% \$ 41,448 1,989 5.0% \$ 55,348 7,133 14.8% \$ 14,518 \$ 765 5.6% \$ 50,626 \$ 2,411 5.0% \$ 13,400 \$ 638 1988-89 \$ 48,215 \$ 6,623 15.9% \$ 39,459 \$ 6,202 18.6% \$ 48,215 \$ 2,333 5.1% \$ 13,753 \$ 676 5.2% \$ 48,215 \$ 2,333 5.1% \$ 12,762 \$ 618 1987-88 \$ 41,592 \$ 2,030 5.1% \$ 33,257 \$ 1,631 5.2% \$ 45,882 \$ 2,234 5.1% \$ 13,077 \$ 673 5.4% \$ 45,882 \$ 2,234 5.1% \$ 12,404 \$ 830 7.2% \$ 43,648 \$ 2,875 7.1% \$ 11,553 \$ 761		,			\$		1 7		\$		\$			\$		<u> </u>								\$	1			4.7%
1988-89 \$ 48,215 \$ 6,623 15.9% \$ 39,459 \$ 6,202 18.6% \$ 48,215 \$ 2,333 5.1% \$ 13,753 \$ 676 5.2% \$ 48,215 \$ 2,333 5.1% \$ 12,762 \$ 618 1987-88 \$ 41,592 \$ 2,030 5.1% \$ 33,257 \$ 1,631 5.2% \$ 45,882 \$ 2,234 5.1% \$ 13,773 \$ 673 5.4% \$ 45,882 \$ 2,234 5.1% \$ 12,144 \$ 591 1986-87 \$ 39,562 \$ 2,608 7.1% \$ 31,626 \$ 2,087 7.1% \$ 43,648 \$ 2,875 7.1% \$ 12,404 \$ 830 7.2% \$ 43,648 \$ 2,875 7.1% \$ 11,553 \$ 761			. ,		\$. ,		\$	- , -	\$			\$,	· ·			-			,		\$				4.3%
1987-88 \$ 41,592 \$ 2,030 5.1% \$ 33,257 \$ 1,631 5.2% \$ 45,882 \$ 2,234 5.1% \$ 13,077 \$ 673 5.4% \$ 45,882 \$ 2,234 5.1% \$ 12,144 \$ 591 1986-87 \$ 39,562 \$ 2,608 7.1% \$ 31,626 \$ 2,087 7.1% \$ 43,648 \$ 2,875 7.1% \$ 12,404 \$ 830 7.2% \$ 43,648 \$ 2,875 7.1% \$ 11,553 \$ 761			. ,		\$, -	1 /		\$		\$			\$		· ·			-	,		,		\$		•		5.0%
1986-87 \$ 39,562 \$ 2,608 7.1% \$ 31,626 \$ 2,087 7.1% \$ 43,648 \$ 2,875 7.1% \$ 12,404 \$ 830 7.2% \$ 43,648 \$ 2,875 7.1% \$ 11,553 \$ 761			. ,		\$,			- -	,	\$,		\$,				-			,		\$,			5.1%
			. ,		\$. ,		\$		\$			\$		<u> </u>			Ŧ					\$				5.1%
<u> 1985-86 \$ 36.954 - - \$ 29.539 - - \$ 40.773 - - \$ 11.574 - - \$ 40.773 - - \$ 10.792 - </u>			\$ 2,608	7.1%	\$		\$ 2,087	7.1%	\$		\$	2,875	7.1%	\$		\$	830	7.2%	•		\$ 2	2,875	7.1%	\$		\$	761	7.1%
	1985-86	\$ 36,954	-	-	\$	29,539	-	-	\$	40,773		-	-	\$	11,574		-	-	\$	40,773		-	-	\$	10,792		-	-

1) These salary figures may not have been applicable to those elected officers of a chartered consolidated government or those elected officers in counties having a home rule charter where the charter specified another method of salary compensation.

2) Pursuant to Chapter 92-326, L.O.F., elected school officials' salaries for FY 1992-93 were frozen at FY 1991-92 levels. Due to ambiguity in the language of Chapter 93-146, L.O.F., pertaining to the calculation of elected district school officials' salaries, the FY 1993-94 salaries were not calculated.

3) Chapter 2002-387, L.O.F., repealed certain provisions in Chapters 145 & 230, F.S., related to the salary calculations of elected school district officials. The legislation authorized district school boards to annually determine the salary of its members; therefore, the calculation of school board members' salaries was discontinued. Additionally, the legislation repealed certain salary provisions for elected school superintendents, and due to that change, their FY 2003-04 salaries could not be calculated. Chapter 2004-41, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for elected school superintendents that existed in law prior to the 2002 repeal. Chapter 2007-234, L.O.F., reinstated statutory language pertaining to the salary computation for the 2002 repeal.

4) Chapter 2009-3, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to permit a school board member to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries of school board members in FY 2009-10, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts based on the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.

5) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the salary of each school board member for FY 2009-10 was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2009-10 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2009-10.

6) Chapter 2009-59, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.47, F.S., to provide that notwithstanding the provisions of s. 1001.47 and s. 145.19, F.S., the FY 2009-10 salary of each elected school superintendent was to be reduced by 2 percent. The FY 2009-10 school superintendent salary figures reflect only the 2 percent reduction to FY 2008-09 formula-based salaries calculated pursuant to s. 1001.47(2)-(3), F.S. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the 2 percent reduction amounts applicable to any district school board-approved salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(1), F.S.; any special qualification salary pursuant to s. 1001.47(4), F.S.; and any performance salary incentive pursuant to s. 1001.47(5), F.S., paid to elected school superintendents in FY 2008-09. Additionally, the legislation permitted an elected school superintendent to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The elected school superintendents' salaries do not include any voluntary reductions.

7) Chapter 2010-154, L.O.F., amended s. 1001.395, F.S., to provide that the FY 2010-11 salary of each school board member was to be the amount calculated pursuant to the statutory formula or the district's beginning salary for teachers who held baccalaureate degrees, whichever was less. The FY 2010-11 salary figures reflect the amounts calculated by statutory formula only. Individual school districts were responsible for determining the lesser salary figure and applying that figure as the school board member's salary for FY 2010-11.

8) Chapter 2011-158, L.O.F., provided that notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 145, F.S., each county commissioner, clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, and tax collector was authorized to reduce his or her salary rate on a voluntary basis. The salaries listed for FY 2011-12, and each year thereafter, reflect the amounts pursuant to the statutory formula calculations only and do not include any voluntary reductions.