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## WHAT IS THE LCIR?

The Legislative Committee on Intergovernmental Relations is a legislative entity that facilitates the development of intergovernmental policies and practices. The Florida LCIR strives to improve coordination and cooperation among state agencies, local governments, and the federal government.

## WHAT ISSUES HAVE BEEN ADDRESSED BY THE LCIR?

The LCIR completes several projects annually, including the Local Government Financial Information Handbook (prepared with the assistance of the Florida Department of Revenue, salaries of county constitutional officers and elected school district officials, and a report on state mandates affecting municipal and county governments. In addition, the LCIR has addressed the following issues:
o Municipal Incorporations and Annexation
o Impact Fees
o Jail and Article V Costs
o Local Govt. Financial Emergencies
o State, Regional, and Local Planning
o Constitutional Initiatives \& Referenda
o State Revenue Sharing Programs
o Special District Accountability
o Double Taxation
o Local Government Debt
o Urban Infill \& Infrastructure Capacity
o Federal Funds to Florida, Federal/State Relations

If you would like additional copies of this report or if you have comments or questions pertaining to the information contained herein, please contact the LCIR at (850) 488-9627 or Suncom 278-9627. We welcome your input or suggestions. Our mailing address is:

Florida LCIR
c/o House Office Building
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1300
Homepage: http://fcn.state.fl.us/lcir

## Executive Summary

The Florida Legislative Committee on Intergovernmental Relations (LCIR) annually reviews the state's receipt of federal funds. The purpose of this annual report is to provide the Legislature and other interested parties with a review and analysis of federal financial assistance to Florida. In particular, the report focuses on federal grants to Florida's state and local governments. Florida's low per capita ranking among the states in federal grants $-48^{\text {th }}$ in 2000 - is an area of particular concern to the Legislature.

This report should be useful for making statistical comparisons among states of the funding programs of various federal agencies. Additionally, the report should be instructive to decision makers working to develop consensus on priorities and strategies for increasing the state's receipt of federal grants.

This report documents two types of federal financial assistance to states in federal fiscal year 1999-2000. These types are: 1) federal direct expenditures and 2) other federal assistance.

## Federal Direct Expenditures to Florida

Federal direct expenditures constitute actual outlays or obligations of the federal government. These expenditures are reported by the U.S. Census Bureau in five categories: 1) direct payments for individuals for retirement and disability, 2) direct payments for individuals other than for retirement and disability, 3) grants, 4) procurement contracts, and 5) salaries \& wages.

As illustrated in the figure below, federal direct expenditures to Florida totaled $\$ 92.8$ billion or $\$ 5,805$ per capita, based on the state's 2000 census count of nearly 16 million. Florida had the $4^{\text {th }}$ largest total of direct expenditures among the fifty states. However, on a per capita basis, Florida ranked $23^{\text {rd }}$ among the states.


Direct payments for individuals for retirement and disability constituted the largest category of federal direct expenditure. This category includes payments for Social Security and federal retirement and disability. Such payments totaled $\$ 39.7$ billion, or $\$ 2,487$ per capita, and accounted for 42.8 percent of total direct expenditures to the state. Florida had the $2^{\text {nd }}$ largest expenditure total of the fifty states and ranked $2^{\text {nd }}$ on a per capita basis.

Florida's large elderly population was a primary reason for the state's high per capita expenditure relative to other states. Based on Census 2000 counts, Florida's elderly population (defined as age 65 years and over) totaled 2.8 million and accounted for 8.0 percent of the nation's total elderly population of 35 million. In Florida, the elderly constituted 17.6 percent of the state's total population. Florida's proportional share (i.e., the elderly as a percentage of total population) was the highest among the fifty states.

The second largest category of federal direct expenditure was direct payments for individuals other than for retirement and disability. Examples of such expenditures include Medicare benefits and Food Stamp payments. Other direct payments totaled $\$ 24.2$ billion, or $\$ 1,511$ per capita, and accounted for 26 percent of total direct expenditures to the state. Florida had the $3^{\text {rd }}$ largest expenditure total of the fifty states and ranked $12^{\text {th }}$ on a per capita basis.

Federal grants to Florida totaled $\$ 12.1$ billion, or $\$ 760$ per capita, and represented 13.1 percent of total direct expenditures to the state. Florida had the $5^{\text {th }}$ largest expenditure total of the fifty states. However, as noted previously, the state ranked $48^{\text {th }}$ on a per capita basis.

Procurement contracts represented the fourth largest category of federal direct expenditure. Such payments totaled $\$ 8.6$ billion, or $\$ 538$ per capita, and accounted for 9.3 percent of total direct expenditures to the state. Florida had the $5^{\text {th }}$ largest expenditure total of the fifty states and ranked $27^{\text {th }}$ on a per capita basis.

The smallest category of federal direct expenditures to Florida was salaries and wages. Such payments totaled $\$ 8.1$ billion, or $\$ 509$ per capita, and accounted for 8.8 percent of total direct expenditures to the state. Florida had the $5^{\text {th }}$ largest expenditure total of the fifty states, and the state ranked $32^{\text {nd }}$ on a per capita basis.

## Other Federal Assistance to Florida

Other federal assistance does not constitute actual expenditures or outlays but reflects the contingent liability of the federal government. Such assistance includes insurance programs as well as guaranteed and direct loan programs.

Other federal assistance totaled $\$ 240$ billion or $\$ 15,039$ per capita. Florida had the largest total of other federal assistance among the fifty states. On a per capita basis, Florida ranked $1^{\text {st }}$ among the states. Federal flood insurance accounted for nearly 96 percent of this type of assistance.

The total amounts of other federal assistance were:

## Insurance Programs

Total: $\$ 232$ billion; Rank: 1st
Per Capita: \$14,525; Rank: 1st

## Guaranteed Loan Programs

Total: $\$ 7.5$ billion; Rank: 3 rd
Per Capita: \$466; Rank: 26th

## Direct Loan Programs

Total: $\$ 768$ million; Rank: 12th
Per Capita: \$48; Rank: $42^{\text {nd }}$

## How Florida Compares to Other States

The U.S. Census Bureau reported that the federal direct expenditures of the fifty states totaled nearly $\$ 1.58$ trillion in federal fiscal year 19992000. The same expenditures of the seven most populous states in descending order: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio, totaled $\$ 676$ billion or 43 percent of the fifty states' total. Interestingly, the population of these seven states represented nearly 45 percent of the total population of the fifty states.

The total federal direct expenditures of the seven most populous states that year were:

1. California: $\$ 176$ billion
2. New York: $\$ 110$ billion
3. Texas: $\$ 106$ billion
4. Florida: $\$ 93$ billion
5. Pennsylvania: $\$ 74$ billion
6. Illinois: $\$ 60$ billion
7. Ohio: $\$ 57$ billion.

However, as illustrated in the figure below, the states' respective rankings change when controlling for population differences. The per capita federal direct expenditures of the seven states were:

1. Pennsylvania: $\$ 6,002$
2. New York: $\$ 5,814$
3. Florida: $\mathbf{\$ 5 , 8 0 5}$
4. California: $\$ 5,189$
5. Texas: $\$ 5,107$
6. Ohio: $\$ 5,052$
7. Illinois: $\$ 4,832$.


## Florida's Receipt of Federal Grants

Federal grants continue to be important sources of revenue utilized by our nation's state and local governments to provide necessary services and infrastructure to their residents. In fiscal year 1999-2000, the federal government awarded grants totaling $\$ 283$ billion to the fifty states.

Federal grants to Florida's state and local governments totaled $\$ 11.7$ billion, or $\$ 731$ per capita. Florida had the $5^{\text {th }}$ largest federal grants expenditure to state and local governments of the fifty states and ranked $48^{\text {th }}$ on a per capita basis.

As illustrated in the figure on the following page, the grants received from five departments of federal government (i.e., Health and Human Services, Transportation, Education, Housing and Urban Development, and Agriculture) totaled
$\$ 10.9$ billion and accounted for 93 percent of all grants expenditures to Florida.


A summary of the grants expenditures for those five federal departments that accounted for nearly all grant funding to Florida is listed below. Examples of the larger grant programs funded by each department are also listed.

## Health and Human Services Grants

Total: $\$ 6.37$ billion; Rank: $5^{\text {th }}$
Per Capita: \$399; Rank: $46^{\text {th }}$

- Health Care Financing Administration (Medicaid)
- Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
- Health Resources and Services Administration
- Children and Family Services (Headstart)
- Foster Care and Adoption Assistance
- Child Care and Development
- Child Support Enforcement
- Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration


## Transportation Grants

Total: $\$ 1.42$ billion; Rank: $4^{\text {th }}$
Per Capita: \$89; Rank: 48th

- Federal Highway Administration
- Federal Transit Administration
- Federal Aviation Administration
- National Highway Traffic Safety Administration


## Education Grants

Total: $\$ 1.15$ billion; Rank: $4^{\text {th }}$
Per Capita: \$72; Rank: 49th

- Education for the Disadvantaged
- Special Education
- Student Financial Assistance
- School Improvement Program
- Vocational and Adult Education


## Housing \& Urban Development Grants

Total: $\$ 1.12$ billion; Rank: $9^{\text {th }}$
Per Capita: \$70; Rank: 45th

- Housing Certificate Program
- Community Development Block Grant
- Low Rent Housing Assistance
- Emergency Shelter and Homeless Assistance


## Agriculture Grants

Total: $\$ 828$ million; Rank: $4^{\text {th }}$
Per Capita: \$52; Rank: $38^{\text {th }}$

- Child Nutrition Programs
- Special Supplemental Food Program (Women and Infant Children or WIC)
- Food Stamp Program
- Rural Development Activities
- Agricultural Extension Activities


## Federal Grants to Florida in Recent Years

This is the fifth consecutive year that the LCIR has reviewed federal aid to Florida using the U.S. Census Bureau's data. Federal grants expenditures to Florida increased significantly during this period as illustrated in the figure below.


Between 1996 and 2000, federal grants expenditures increased 38 percent from $\$ 8.4$ billion to $\$ 11.7$ billion - an increase in nominal terms of nearly 10 percent annually. Florida's
ranking in total grants expenditures improved from $7^{\text {h }}$ in 1996 to $5^{\text {th }}$ in 2000. Additionally, Florida's relative share of grant funding to all states increased from 3.9 percent in 1996 to 4.1 percent in 2000.

As illustrated in the figure below, Florida's per capita federal grants expenditure increased from $\$ 586$ in 1996 to $\$ 731$ in 2000. This represented an average annual increase of 6 percent. Nonetheless, Florida's per capita federal grants ranking has remained very low, either $48^{\text {th }}$ or $49^{\text {th }}$, since 1996.


## Conclusion

Although this report discusses all types of federal financial assistance, the focus is on federal grants funding. Despite the state's low per capita federal grants expenditures - $48^{\text {th }}$ in 2000, federal funding still accounted for 25 percent of the
state's total revenues according to the Florida Consensus Estimating Conference.

Numerous reasons likely exist for Florida's low per capita federal grants funding; however, two known reasons are of particular significance. First, many funding formulas are based on outdated population figures or other factors that do not reflect the state's rapid growth in recent decades. Congressional support to revise funding formula inequities is difficult to obtain if other states stand to lose federal funds under revised formulas that benefit Florida. Second, Florida has not aggressively pursued all federal funding options.

In 1998, the LCIR surveyed Florida's state agencies regarding the receipt of federal grants. In response to the question of why the state ranked low in the per capita receipt of many federal grants, state agencies offered a number of explanations. Such explanations included the state's failure to allocate sufficient state matching funds, federal "strings" or policy requirements serving as conditions for receipt of federal grants funding, and cutbacks in federal funding.

In this report, the LCIR utilized the per capita measure to control for population differences among states. However, such a measure does not take into consideration levels of need or utilization. In response to the LCIR survey, state agency representatives noted that per capita measurements of certain federal grants receipts, while low compared to other states, might not have reflected the fact that such funding was adequately serving the target populations.

In spite of these caveats, the data presented in this report show that Florida still lags behind other states in the receipt of federal grants. In 2000, Florida's per capita federal grants expenditure was $\$ 277$ less than the national average.

Had Florida received the same per capita expenditure that year as the national average, an additional $\$ 4.4$ billion would have been available to its state and local governments. As this report suggests, it is possible to realize improvement in federal grants funding.

## Recommendations

A number of recommendations have been offered by state agency officials to increase Florida's receipt of federal grants.

- Coordinate with appropriate state agency personnel to generate more in-depth analyses of the state's federal grants receipts by agency and by specific grant programs.
- Develop a comprehensive strategy to evaluate the cost-benefit issues associated with the continued participation or pursuit of federal grants funding.
- Identify federal and state policy changes needed to enhance Florida's access to federal funding streams.
- Work with Congress to change outdated or inequitable federal funding formulas by forming coalitions with other growth states, large states, and/or southern states for this purpose.
- Promote the consolidation of federal funding streams to simplify access to federal funding.
- Make the processes of amending the state budget and obtaining spending authority easier.
- Increase the availability of state matching funds.
- Increase training provided at the state level for accessing federal grants funding.
- Increase communication and coordination on federal issues among state agencies, Governor's office, Florida Washington Office, Legislature, and Congressional Delegation.
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## Introduction

The Florida Legislative Committee on Intergovernmental Relations (LCIR) annually reviews the state's receipt of federal funds. The purpose of this annual report is to provide the Legislature and other interested parties with a review and analysis of federal financial assistance to Florida. In particular, the report focuses on federal grants to Florida's state and local governments. This review is intended to be part of an ongoing strategy to improve federal-state relations generally and facilitate the development of strategies to increase the return of federal tax dollars to the state.

The LCIR reviewed and analyzed federal expenditure data for federal fiscal year 1999-2000 (i.e., Oct. 1, 1999 to Sept. 30, 2000) using data obtained from two US. Bureau of the Census publications: Consolidated Federal Funds Report: Fiscal Year 2000 and Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2000. Two types of federal financial assistance to states are documented in this report. These types are federal direct expenditures and other federal assistance.

Federal direct expenditures constitute actual outlays or obligations of the federal government. These expenditures are reported by the U.S. Census Bureau in five categories: 1) direct payments for individuals for retirement and disability, 2) direct payments for individuals other than for retirement and disability, 3) grants, 4) procurement contracts, and 5) salaries and wages. Federal direct expenditures to the state totaled $\$ 92.8$ billion or $\$ 5,805$ per capita.

It is the state's receipt of federal grants that is of particular concern to the Legislature. Federal grants to Florida's state and local governments totaled $\$ 11.7$ billion or $\$ 731$ per capita. The state had the $5^{\text {th }}$ largest federal grants expenditure of the fifty states. However, on a per capita basis, Florida ranked $48^{\text {th }}$ among the states in the receipt of such grants.

In 2000, the state received per capita grants funding that was $\$ 277$ less than the national average of $\$ 1,008$. Had Florida received the same per capita grants expenditure as the average for all states, an additional \$4.4 billion would have been available to its state and local governments.

Other federal assistance does not constitute actual expenditures or outlays but reflects the contingent liability of the federal government. Such assistance includes insurance programs as well as guaranteed and direct loan programs. Other federal assistance to Florida totaled $\$ 240$ billion or $\$ 15,039$ per capita.

This report is divided into four parts and includes one appendix.

Part One discusses the types of federal financial assistance to states by summarizing the five categories of federal direct expenditure and the three categories of other federal assistance. Dollar amounts of federal financial assistance to all states, and Florida in particular, are presented.

Part Two compares Florida's federal direct expenditures to those of the other six most populous states: California, Texas, New York, Illinois, Pennsylvania and Ohio.

Part Three narrows the discussion to one category of federal direct expenditures: grants and other payments to state and local governments. Detailed summaries of federal grants expenditures to Florida, by department or agency, are provided.

Part Four presents a summary of federal direct expenditures to Florida's sixty-seven counties.

The Appendix lists the websites of federal departments and agencies.

## Part One: Types of Federal Financial Assistance to States

## A. Introduction

This part summarizes the five categories of direct expenditure or obligation (i.e., direct payments for individuals for retirement and disability, direct payments for individuals other than for retirement and disability, grants and other payments to state and local governments, procurement contracts, and salaries and wages).

Other types of federal assistance (i.e., insurance programs, guaranteed loan programs, and direct loan programs) are summarized as well. Reported dollar amounts for these programs generally represent the contingent liability of the federal government rather than actual expenditures.

The data should assist in the understanding of federal assistance offered to states, and Florida in particular. In addition, this information should be useful to policy makers as they assess strategies for increasing Florida's share of certain types of federal assistance, particularly grants to state and local governments.

## B. Data Source

The source of the data summarized in this part is the U.S. Bureau of the Census publication entitled Consolidated Federal Funds Report: Fiscal Year 2000. This publication presents federal government expenditures or obligations in state, county, and subcounty areas of the United States. Although the Census Bureau's report includes the relevant data for the District of Columbia and U.S. outlying areas, the focus here is on the assistance provided to the fifty states.

The financial activity of all federal government agencies is covered except for those agencies that do not submit data to any of the federal reporting systems serving as sources of information for the Census Bureau's report. As a general guide, the grants and procurement data represent obligated funds, while the direct payments and salaries and wages data represent actual expenditures. However, certain categories of federal spending such as interest on the federal government's debt and foreign aid are intentionally excluded.

## C. Federal Aid to Florida

The distribution of federal financial assistance to Florida in fiscal year 1999-2000 was significant. As illustrated in Table 1-1 on page 4, federal direct expenditures to Florida totaled $\$ 92.8$ billion, or $\$ 5,805$ per capita. Other federal assistance to the state totaled $\$ 240$ billion, or $\$ 15,039$ per capita.

Table 1-1

## Federal Aid to the State of Florida

## Federal Fiscal Year 1999-2000

| Expenditure Category | Total <br> Expenditures |  | \% of State Total | Total <br> Expenditure Ranking |  | Per Capita Expenditures |  | Per Capita Expenditure Ranking |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | All States | Seven Most Populous States | All States |  |  | Seven Most Populous States |
| Total Direct Expenditures: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direct Payments for Individuals: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retirement and Disability | \$ | 39,747,790,000 |  | 42.8\% | 2 | 2 | \$ | 2,486.98 | 2 | 1 |
| Other Than Retirement and Disability |  | 24,150,578,000 | 26.0\% | 3 | 3 |  | 1,511.08 | 12 | , |
| Grants |  | 12,148,635,000 | 13.1\% | 5 | 5 |  | 760.13 | 48 | 7 |
| Procurement Contracts |  | 8,594,347,000 | 9.3\% | 5 | 3 |  | 537.74 | 27 | 3 |
| Salaries and Wages |  | 8,135,023,000 | 8.8\% | 5 | 3 |  | 509.00 | 32 | 3 |
| Total - Direct Expenditures | \$ | 92,776,373,000 | 100.0\% | 4 | 4 | \$ | 5,804.92 | 23 | 3 |
| Other Federal Assistance: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Insurance Programs | \$ | 232,147,671,000 | 96.6\% | 1 | 1 | \$ | 14,525.23 | 1 | 1 |
| Guaranteed Loan Programs |  | 7,450,040,000 | 3.1\% | 3 | 3 |  | 466.14 | 26 | 3 |
| Direct Loan Programs |  | 768,000,000 | 0.3\% | 12 | 5 |  | 48.05 | 42 | 5 |
| Total - Other Federal Assistance | \$ | 240,365,711,000 | 100.0\% | 1 | 1 | \$ | 15,039.42 | 1 | 1 |

Notes:

1) The federal expenditure data contained in this report were obtained from the U.S. Census Bureau report entitled "Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2000," issued in April 2001. In the published report, the expenditure data were rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.
2) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using Census 2000 counts that represent the resident population as of April 1, 2000, according to the U.S. Census Bureau
3) In 2000, the seven most populous states (in descending order) were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.

Compiled by the Florida Legislative Committee on Intergovernmental Relations (May 2001).

## D. Federal Direct Expenditures

As summarized in Figure 1-1 on page 6, federal direct expenditures to the fifty states totaled $\$ 1.58$ trillion, or $\$ 5,608$ per capita. Federal direct expenditures to Florida totaled $\$ 92.8$ billion, or $\$ 5,805$ per capita, as illustrated in Figure 1-2 on page 7. Federal direct expenditures to Florida constituted approximately 5.9 percent of such expenditures to all states. Florida had the $4^{\text {th }}$ largest expenditure of all states, and on a per capita basis, the state ranked $23^{\text {rd }}$ among the states in the receipt of federal direct expenditures.

## 1. Direct Payments for Individuals for Retirement and Disability

Retirement and disability payments represented the largest category of federal direct expenditure to states. Such payments totaled $\$ 549$ billion, or $\$ 1,955$ per capita, and represented approximately 35 percent of total direct expenditures to states. In Florida, the relative contribution of retirement and disability payments was greater. Such payments totaled $\$ 39.7$ billion, or $\$ 2,487$ per capita, and accounted for 43 percent of total direct expenditures to the state. Florida had the $2^{\text {nd }}$ largest expenditure of all states, after California. On a per capita basis, the state ranked $2^{\text {nd }}$ among the states in the receipt of federal retirement and disability payments.

As illustrated in Table 1-2 on page 8, this category includes four major classifications of payments: 1) Social Security payments, 2) federal retirement and disability payments, 3) veterans benefits, and 4) other payments. In Florida, Social Security accounted for 78 percent of total retirement and disability payments.

Florida's large elderly population was a primary reason for the state's high per capita expenditure relative to other states. Based on the results of Census 2000, Florida's elderly population (defined as age 65 years and over) totaled 2.8 million and accounted for 8.0 percent of the nation's total elderly population of 35 million. In Florida, the elderly constituted 17.6 percent of the state's total population. Florida's proportional share was the highest among the fifty states.

## 2. Direct Payments for Individuals Other Than for Retirement and Disability

These payments represented the second largest category of federal direct expenditure to states. Such payments totaled $\$ 360$ billion, or $\$ 1,283$ per capita, and represented approximately 23 percent of total direct expenditures to states. In Florida, the relative contribution of other direct payments was greater. Such payments totaled $\$ 24.2$ billion, or $\$ 1,511$ per capita, and accounted for 26 percent of total direct expenditures to the state. Florida had the $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ largest expenditure of all states, and on a per capita basis, the state ranked $12^{\text {th }}$ among the states in the receipt of other direct payments.

As illustrated in Table 1-3 on page 9, this category includes eight major classifications of payments. Medicare benefits accounted for 74 percent of other direct payments to the state.

Figure 1-1

## Federal Expenditures to All States

## Federal Fiscal Year 1999-2000

## Expenditure Type

| Total | \% of | Per Capita <br> Expenditure |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Expenditure | $\underline{\text { Total }}$ |  |

Retirement and Disability
Other Direct Payments
Grants
Procurement
Salaries and Wages
Total
\$1,575,114,322,000 100.0\% \$
5,608
Census 2000 Count
280,849,847


## Notes:

1) Figures exclude federal expenditures to the District of Columbia and U.S. outlying areas.
2) The population count represents the resident population of all states as of April 1, 2000.

Compiled by the Florida Legislative Committee on Intergovernmental Relations (July 2001) based on information published in a U.S. Bureau of the Census report entitled:
"Consolidated Federal Funds Report: Fiscal Year 2000" (Issued April 2001).

Figure 1-2

# Federal Expenditures to Florida <br> Federal Fiscal Year 1999-2000 



Note: The population census count represents the resident population as of April 1, 2000.

Compiled by the Florida Legislative Committee on Intergovernmental Relations (July 2001) based on information published in a U.S. Bureau of the Census report entitled: "Consolidated Federal Funds Report: Fiscal Year 2000" (Issued April 2001).

Table 1-2

## Federal Funds to the State of Florida: Direct Payments for Individuals for Retirement and Disability

 Federal Fiscal Year 1999-2000| Expenditure Category |  | Total Expenditures | TotalExpenditure Ranking |  |  | Per Capita Expenditures |  | Per Capita Expenditure Ranking |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \% of <br> State <br> Total | All States | Seven Most Populous States |  |  | All States | Seven Most Populous States |
| Social Security Payments | \$ | 30,816,196,000 | 77.5\% | 3 | 3 | \$ | 1,928.14 | 3 | 2 |
| Retirement Insurance Payments |  | 20,192,831,000 | 50.8\% | 2 | 2 |  | 1,263.44 | 1 | 1 |
| Survivors Insurance Payments |  | 4,965,952,000 | 12.5\% | 4 | 4 |  | 310.71 | 19 | 3 |
| Disability Insurance Payments |  | 3,574,918,000 | 9.0\% | 3 | 3 |  | 223.68 | 18 | 2 |
| Supplemental Security Income Payments |  | 2,082,495,000 | 5.2\% | 3 | 3 |  | 130.30 | 14 | 4 |
| Federal Retirement and Disability Payments |  | 6,605,293,000 | 16.6\% | 2 | 2 |  | 413.29 | 6 | 1 |
| Civilian |  | 3,188,420,000 | 8.0\% | 2 | 2 |  | 199.50 | 14 | 1 |
| Military |  | 3,416,873,000 | 8.6\% | 2 | 2 |  | 213.79 | 5 | 1 |
| Veterans Benefits |  | 1,623,798,000 | 4.1\% | 3 | 3 |  | 101.60 | 12 | 1 |
| Payments for Service Connected Disability |  | 1,192,619,000 | 3.0\% | 3 | 3 |  | 74.62 | 11 | 1 |
| Other Benefit Payments |  | 431,179,000 | 1.1\% | 3 | 3 |  | 26.98 | 16 | 1 |
| Other |  | 702,502,000 | 1.8\% | 3 | 3 |  | 43.95 | 24 | 4 |
| Total - Florida | \$ | 39,747,790,000 | 100.0\% | 2 | 2 | \$ | 2,486.98 | 2 | 1 |
| Total - All States | \$ | 549,052,045,000 |  |  |  | \$ | 1,954.97 |  |  |

Florida as \% of All States 7.2\%
Notes:

1) The federal expenditure data contained in this report were obtained from the U.S. Census Bureau report entitled "Consolidated Federal Funds Report: Fiscal Year 2000," issued in April 2001. In the published report, the expenditure data were rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.
2) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using Census 2000 counts that represent the resident population as of April 1 , 2000, according to the U.S. Census Bureau.
3) In 2000, the seven most populous states (in descending order) were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania and Ohio.
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Table 1-3

## Federal Funds to the State of Florida: Direct Payments for Individuals Other Than for Retirement and Disability

 Federal Fiscal Year 1999-2000| Expenditure Category |  | Total <br> Expenditures | TotalExpenditure Ranking |  |  | Per Capita Expenditures |  | Per Capita Expenditure Ranking |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \% of <br> State <br> Total | All States | Seven Most Populous States |  |  | All States | Seven Most Populous States |
| Medicare Benefits | \$ | 17,775,363,000 | 73.6\% | 2 | 2 | \$ | 1,112.19 | 1 | 1 |
| Hospital Insurance |  | 9,708,891,000 | 40.2\% | 3 | 3 |  | 607.47 | 4 | 2 |
| Supplemental Medical Insurance |  | 8,066,472,000 | 33.4\% | 2 | 2 |  | 504.71 | 1 | 1 |
| Excess Earned Income Tax Credits |  | 1,805,597,000 | 7.5\% | 3 | 3 |  | 112.97 | 12 | 2 |
| Unemployment Compensation |  | 630,123,000 | 2.6\% | 11 | 7 |  | 39.43 | 38 | 7 |
| Food Stamp Payments |  | 772,124,000 | 3.2\% | 5 | 5 |  | 48.31 | 27 | 6 |
| Housing Assistance |  | 1,479,617,000 | 6.1\% | 9 | 7 |  | 92.58 | 39 | 6 |
| Agricultural Assistance |  | 175,433,000 | 0.7\% | 28 | 5 |  | 10.98 | 40 | 5 |
| Federal Employees Life and Health Insurance |  | 596,763,000 | 2.5\% | 7 | 3 |  | 37.34 | 17 | 2 |
| Other |  | 915,556,000 | 3.8\% | 4 | 4 |  | 57.29 | 18 | 3 |
| Total - Florida | \$ | 24,150,578,000 | 100.0\% | 3 | 3 | \$ | 1,511.08 | 12 | 2 |
| Total - All States | \$ | 360,291,494,000 |  |  |  | \$ | 1,282.86 |  |  |

Florida as \% of All States 6.7\%
Notes:

1) The federal expenditure data contained in this report were obtained from the U.S. Census Bureau report entitled "Consolidated Federal Funds Report: Fiscal Year 2000," issued in April 2001. In the published report, the expenditure data were rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.
2) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using Census 2000 counts that represent the resident population as of April 1 , 2000, according to the U.S. Census Bureau.
3) In 2000, the seven most populous states (in descending order) were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania and Ohio
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## 3. Grants and Other Payments to State and Local Governments

These payments represented the third largest category of federal direct expenditure to states. A more indepth discussion of federal grants and other payments to state and local governments is addressed in Part Three of this report.

Federal grants to states totaled $\$ 300$ billion, or $\$ 1,066$ per capita, and represented 19 percent of total direct expenditures. However, in Florida, the relative contribution of federal grants was less. Such payments totaled $\$ 12.1$ billion, or $\$ 760$ per capita, and represented 13 percent of total direct expenditures to the state. Florida had the $5^{\text {th }}$ largest expenditure of all states, and yet on a per capita basis, Florida ranked $48^{\text {th }}$ among the states in the receipt of federal grants.

## 4. Procurement Contracts

Procurement contracts represented the fourth largest category of federal direct expenditure to states. Such payments to states totaled $\$ 199$ billion, or $\$ 707$ per capita, and represented 13 percent of total direct expenditures. In Florida, the relative contribution of federal procurement contracts was less. Such payments totaled $\$ 8.6$ billion, or $\$ 538$ per capita, and represented 9 percent of total direct expenditures to the state. Florida had the $5^{\text {th }}$ largest expenditure of all states, and on a per capita basis, Florida ranked $27^{\text {th }}$ among the states in the receipt of federal procurement contracts.

As illustrated in Table 1-4 on page 11, this category includes two major classifications of contract awards: Department of Defense and non-defense agencies. In Florida, contracts awarded by the Department of Defense accounted for 77 percent of total procurement contracts awarded.

## 5. Salaries and Wages

Federal salary and wage payments represented the smallest category of direct expenditure to states. Such payments to states totaled $\$ 168$ billion, or $\$ 597$ per capita, and represented 11 percent of total direct expenditures. In Florida, the relative contribution of federal salaries and wages was less. Such payments totaled $\$ 8.1$ billion, or $\$ 509$ per capita, and represented 9 percent of direct expenditures to the state. Florida had the $5^{\text {th }}$ largest expenditure of all states, and on a per capita basis, Florida ranked $32^{\text {nd }}$ among the states in the receipt of federal salary and wage payments.

As illustrated in Table 1-5 on page 12, this category includes two major classifications of payments: Department of Defense and non-defense agencies. In Florida, payments by the non-defense agencies accounted for 59 percent of federal salary and wage payments.

Table 1-4

## Federal Funds to the State of Florida: Procurement Contracts

## Federal Fiscal Year 1999-2000

| Expenditure Category |  | Total <br> Expenditures | Total <br> Expenditure Ranking |  |  | Per Capita Expenditures |  | Per Capita Expenditure Ranking |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \% of State <br> Total | All States | Seven Most Populous States |  |  | All States | Seven Most Populous States |
| Department of Defense | \$ | 6,585,902,000 | 76.6\% | 4 | 3 | \$ | 412.07 | 18 | 3 |
| Army |  | 1,424,496,000 | 16.6\% | 7 | 4 |  | 89.13 | 24 | 3 |
| Navy |  | 1,503,705,000 | 17.5\% | 8 | 4 |  | 94.09 | 21 | 5 |
| Air Force |  | 3,297,953,000 | 38.4\% | 3 | 3 |  | 206.35 | 7 | 3 |
| Army Corps of Engineers |  | 88,581,000 | 1.0\% | 9 | 4 |  | 5.54 | 36 | 5 |
| Other Defense |  | 271,167,000 | 3.2\% | 14 | 6 |  | 16.97 | 37 | 7 |
| Nondefense Agencies |  | 2,008,445,000 | 23.4\% | 12 | 6 |  | 125.67 | 43 | 7 |
| Total - Florida | \$ | 8,594,347,000 | 100.0\% | 5 | 3 | \$ | 537.74 | 27 | 3 |
| Total - All States | \$ | 8,624,644,000 |  |  |  | \$ | 707.23 |  |  |

Florida as \% of All States 4.3\%

Notes:

1) The federal expenditure data contained in this report were obtained from the U.S. Census Bureau report entitled "Consolidated Federal Funds Report: Fiscal Year 2000," issued in April 2001. In the published report, the expenditure data were rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.
2) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using Census 2000 counts that represent the resident population as of April 1 , 2000, according to the U.S. Census Bureau.
3) In 2000, the seven most populous states (in descending order) were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania and Ohio.
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Table 1-5

## Federal Funds to the State of Florida: Salaries and Wages <br> Federal Fiscal Year 1999-2000

| Expenditure Category |  | Total Expenditures | Total Expenditure Ranking |  |  |  |  | Per Capita Expenditure Ranking |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \% of State <br> Total | All States | Seven Most Populous States |  | Capita ditures | All States | Seven Most Populous States |
| Department of Defense | \$ | 3,359,824,000 | 41.3\% | 6 | 3 | \$ | 210.22 | 26 | 3 |
| Army |  | 284,944,000 | 3.5\% | 24 | 6 |  | 17.83 | 47 | 6 |
| Active |  | 93,993,000 | 1.2\% | 20 | 4 |  | 5.88 | 21 | 4 |
| Inactive |  | 88,127,000 | 1.1\% | 8 | 5 |  | 5.51 | 47 | 6 |
| Civilian |  | 102,824,000 | 1.3\% | 24 | 6 |  | 6.43 | 46 | 6 |
| Navy |  | 1,754,287,000 | 21.6\% | 3 | 2 |  | 109.76 | 11 | 2 |
| Active |  | 1,171,740,000 | 14.4\% | 3 | 2 |  | 73.31 | 10 | 2 |
| Inactive |  | 31,957,000 | 0.4\% | 2 | 2 |  | 2.00 | 10 | 2 |
| Civilian |  | 550,590,000 | 6.8\% | 5 | 2 |  | 34.45 | 12 | 3 |
| Air Force |  | 1,240,146,000 | 15.2\% | 3 | 3 |  | 77.59 | 23 | 3 |
| Active |  | 832,790,000 | 10.2\% | 2 | 2 |  | 52.11 | 22 | 2 |
| Inactive |  | 44,673,000 | 0.5\% | 7 | 6 |  | 2.80 | 43 | 5 |
| Civilian |  | 362,683,000 | 4.5\% | 7 | 4 |  | 22.69 | 22 | 3 |
| Other Defense - Civilian |  | 80,447,000 | 1.0\% | 8 | 5 |  | 5.03 | 25 | 5 |
| Nondefense Agencies |  | 4,775,199,000 | 58.7\% | 5 | 4 |  | 298.78 | 41 | 6 |
| Total - Florida | \$ | 8,135,023,000 | 100.0\% | 5 | 3 | \$ | 509.00 | 32 | 3 |
| Total - All States | \$ | 167,620,118,000 |  |  |  | \$ | 596.83 |  |  |
| Florida as \% of All States |  | 4.9\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:

1) The asterisk denotes those categories in which one or more states did not have an expenditure.
2) The federal expenditure data contained in this report were obtained from the U.S. Census Bureau report entitled "Consolidated Federal Funds Report: Fiscal Year 2000," issued in April 2001. In the published report, the expenditure data were rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.
3) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using Census 2000 counts that represent the resident population as of April 1, 2000, according to the U.S. Census Bureau.
4) In 2000, the seven most populous states (in descending order) were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania and Ohio.
5) The term "inactive military" refers to Reserve and National Guard units.
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## E. Other Federal Assistance

The three categories of other federal assistance are: 1) insurance programs, 2) guaranteed loan programs, and 3) direct loan programs. Other federal assistance to Florida totaled $\$ 240$ billion, or $\$ 15,039$ per capita. Florida had the largest volume of assistance of all states, and on a per capita basis, Florida ranked $1^{\text {st }}$ among the states in the coverage of other federal assistance.

## 1. Insurance Programs

Insurance programs represented the largest category of other federal assistance to states. Such assistance to states totaled $\$ 582$ billion, or $\$ 2,071$ per capita, and represented 78 percent of other federal assistance. In Florida, the relative contribution of federal insurance programs was greater. Such assistance totaled $\$ 232$ billion, or $\$ 14,525$ per capita, and represented 97 percent of other federal assistance to the state. Florida had the largest volume of federal insurance assistance of all states, and on a per capita basis, Florida ranked $1^{\text {st }}$ among the states.

As illustrated in Table 1-6 on page 14, this category includes five major classifications of insurance programs. Flood insurance constituted the largest classification and accounted for 99 percent of federal insurance assistance to the state.

## 2. Guaranteed Loan Programs

The second largest category of other federal assistance to states was guaranteed loan programs. Such assistance to states totaled $\$ 138$ billion, or $\$ 491$ per capita, and represented 18 percent of other federal assistance. In Florida, the relative contribution of federal guaranteed loan programs was less. Such assistance totaled $\$ 7.5$ billion, or $\$ 466$ per capita, and represented 3 percent of other federal assistance to the state. Florida had the $3^{\text {rd }}$ largest volume of guaranteed loan assistance of the states, and on a per capita basis, Florida ranked $26^{\text {th }}$ among the states.

As illustrated in Table 1-7 on page 15, this category includes seven major classifications of guaranteed loan programs. Mortgage insurance for homes constituted the largest classification and accounted for 68 percent of federal guaranteed loan assistance to the state.

## 3. Direct Loan Programs

Direct loan programs represented the smallest category of other federal assistance to states. Such assistance to states totaled $\$ 29$ billion, or $\$ 102$ per capita, and represented 4 percent of other federal assistance. In Florida, the relative contribution of federal direct loan programs was less. Such assistance totaled $\$ 768$ million, or $\$ 48$ per capita, and represented 0.3 percent of other federal assistance to the state.

Table 1-6

## Federal Funds to the State of Florida: Insurance Programs - Volume of Coverage Provided <br> Federal Fiscal Year 1999-2000

| Insurance Program Category | Total Coverage |  | Total Coverage Ranking |  |  | Per Capita Coverage |  | Per Capita Coverage Ranking |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \% of State <br> Total | All States | Seven Most Populous States |  |  | All States | Seven Most Populous States |
| Flood Insurance | \$ | 229,902,940,000 | 99.0\% | 1 | 1 | \$ | 14,384.78 | 1 | 1 |
| Crop Insurance |  | 2,046,118,000 | 0.9\% | 6 | 3 |  | 128.02 | 13 | 2 |
| * Foreign Investment Insurance |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| Life Insurance for Veterans |  | 179,306,000 | 0.1\% | 2 | 2 |  | 11.22 | 2 | 1 |
| * Other |  | 19,307,000 | < 0.1\% | 3 | 3 |  | 1.21 | 16 | 2 |
| Total - Florida | \$ | 232,147,671,000 | 100.0\% | 1 | 1 | \$ | 14,525.23 | 1 | 1 |
| Total - All States | \$ | 581,574,778,000 |  |  |  | \$ | 2,070.77 |  |  |

Table 1-7

## Federal Funds to the State of Florida: Guaranteed Loan Programs - Volume of Coverage Provided Federal Fiscal Year 1999-2000

| Loan Program Category |  | Total Coverage | \% of State <br> Total | Total Coverage Ranking |  |  | Per Capita Coverage | Per Capita Coverage Ranking |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | All States | Seven Most Populous States |  |  | All States | Seven Most Populous States |
| Mortgage Insurance for Homes | \$ | 5,036,065,000 | 67.6\% | 3 | 3 | \$ | 315.10 | 18 | 3 |
| * Federal Family Education Loan Program |  | 708,634,000 | 9.5\% | 8 | 5 |  | 44.34 | 29 | 6 |
| Veterans Administration - Home Loans |  | 548,983,000 | 7.4\% | 3 | 3 |  | 34.35 | 14 | 1 |
| Mortgage Insurance - Condominiums |  | 460,457,000 | 6.2\% | 2 | 2 |  | 28.81 | 14 | 2 |
| U.S.D.A. - Guaranteed Loans |  | 165,458,000 | 2.2\% | 24 | 5 |  | 10.35 | 44 | 5 |
| Small Business Loans |  | 530,390,000 | 7.1\% | 4 | 4 |  | 33.19 | 23 | 3 |
| * Other |  | 54,000 | < 0.1\% | 8 | 4 |  | $<0.01$ | 8 | 4 |
| Total - Florida | \$ | 7,450,040,000 | 100.0\% | 3 | 3 | \$ | 466.14 | 26 | 3 |
| Total - All States | \$ | 138,031,741,000 |  |  |  | \$ | 491.48 |  |  |
| Florida as \% of All States |  | 5.4\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:

1) The amounts reflected in this table do not represent actual federal expenditures, but instead reflect the volume of guaranteed loan coverage provided during the fiscal year.
2) The asterisk denotes those categories for which no coverage was provided to one or more states.
3) The federal coverage data contained in this report were obtained from the U.S. Census Bureau report entitled "Consolidated Federal Funds Report: Fiscal Year 2000," issued in April 2001. In the published report, the coverage data were rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.
4) The calculation of per capita coverage was made using Census 2000 counts that represent the resident population as of April 1, 2000, according to the U.S. Census Bureau.
5) In 2000, the seven most populous states (in descending order) were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania and Ohio.
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Florida had the $12^{\text {th }}$ largest volume of direct loan assistance of all states, and on a per capita basis, Florida ranked $42^{\text {nd }}$ among the states.

As illustrated in Table 1-8 on page 17, this category includes three major classifications of direct loan programs. Federal direct student loans constituted the largest classification and accounted for 57 percent of federal direct loan assistance to the state.

## F. Conclusion

Federal direct expenditures to this state are of particular importance since the reported amounts represent either actual expenditures or obligations. By contrast, the reported amounts of other federal assistance reflect only the contingent liability of the federal government rather than actual expenditures.

Florida had high per capita expenditures for federal direct payments for individuals when compared to the national average. This was due primarily to the state's large retiree and elderly populations. However, in the remaining categories of federal direct expenditure (i.e., salaries and wages, procurement contracts, and grants and other payments to state and local governments), Florida had per capita expenditures that were less than the national average.

The distribution of federal financial assistance has significant impacts on the finances of state and local governments. Numerous federal policies govern the distribution of federal funding to states. Future policy changes are very likely to affect individual states quite differently. Knowing the magnitude of federal assistance to Florida should be useful to policy makers as they assess strategies for increasing the state's share of federal funding.

Table 1-8

## Federal Funds to the State of Florida: <br> Direct Loan Programs - Volume of Assistance Provided

## Federal Fiscal Year 1999-2000

| Loan Assistance Category |  | Total <br> Assistance | Total <br> Assistance Ranking |  |  | Per Capita Assistance |  | Per Capita Assistance Ranking |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \% of State <br> Total | All States | Seven Most Populous States |  |  | All States | Seven Most Populous States |
| Agriculture | \$ | 272,554,000 | 35.5\% | 10 | 4 | \$ | 17.05 | 35 | 4 |
| * Commodity Loans - Price Supports |  | 184,673,000 | 24.0\% | 10 | 2 |  | 11.55 | 22 | 3 |
| Other |  | 87,881,000 | 11.4\% | 14 | 6 |  | 5.50 | 45 | 5 |
| Federal Direct Student Loans |  | 438,899,000 | 57.1\% | 12 | 5 |  | 27.46 | 35 | 5 |
| * Other |  | 56,546,000 | 7.4\% | 3 | 1 |  | 3.54 | 11 | 1 |
| Total - Florida | \$ | 768,000,000 | 100.0\% | 12 | 5 | \$ | 48.05 | 42 | 5 |
| Total - All States |  | ,720,883,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Florida as \% of All States |  | 2.7\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:

1) The amounts reflected in this table do not represent actual federal expenditures, but instead reflect the volume of direct loan assistance provided during the fiscal year.
2) The asterisk denotes those categories for which no assistance was provided to one or more states.
3) The federal assistance data contained in this report were obtained from the U.S. Census Bureau report entitled "Consolidated Federal Funds Report: Fiscal Year 2000," issued in April 2001. In the published report, the assistance data were rounded to nearest thousand dollars.
4) The calculation of per capita assistance was made using Census 2000 counts that represent the resident population as of April 1, 2000, according to the U.S. Census Bureau.
5) In 2000, the seven most populous states (in descending order) were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania and Ohio.
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## Part Two: <br> Federal Direct Expenditures of the Most Populous States

## A. Introduction

The direct expenditures of the federal government have significant fiscal implications for all states. Economic activity in such sectors as state and local government spending, retail, banking and finance, real estate, construction, and health care inevitably increases from this infusion of fiscal resources. Future changes related to the receipt of federal funds could impact a state's economy.

The purpose of this part is to illustrate the federal direct expenditures of the seven most populous states (i.e., California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania and Ohio) for the 1999-2000 federal fiscal year. Several tables and charts summarize the reported expenditures for each of the five direct expenditure categories: 1) direct payments for individuals for retirement and disability, 2) direct payments for individuals other than for retirement and disability, 3) grants and other payments to state and local governments, 4) procurement contracts, and 5) salaries and wages.

## B. Federal Direct Expenditures of the Nation's Most Populous States

Table 2-1 illustrates Florida's federal direct expenditures compared to the other six most populous states. The federal direct expenditures to these seven states totaled $\$ 676$ billion and represented 43 percent of the nearly $\$ 1.6$ trillion in expenditures to the fifty states. Of the seven states, Florida ranked $4^{\text {th }}$ based on expenditures totaling $\$ 93$ billion. The total expenditures and respective rankings of the other states are: California, $\$ 176$ billion ( $1^{\text {st }}$ ); New York, $\$ 110$ billion ( $2^{\text {nd }}$ ); Texas, $\$ 106$ billion ( $\left.{ }^{\text {rd }}\right)$; Pennsylvania, $\$ 74$ billion ( $\left.5^{\text {th }}\right)$; Illinois, $\$ 60$ billion $\left(6^{\text {th }}\right)$; and Ohio, $\$ 57$ billion $\left(7^{\text {th }}\right)$. Figure 2-1 provides a graphic representation of the states' direct expenditures by category.

Figure 2-2 illustrates the per capita federal direct expenditures for the seven states. Florida ranked $3^{\text {rd }}$ based on per capita expenditures of $\$ 5,805$. The per capita expenditures and respective rankings of the other states are: Pennsylvania, \$6,002 ( $\left.1^{\text {st }}\right)$; New York, $\$ 5,814\left(2^{\text {nd }}\right)$; California, $\$ 5,189\left(4^{\text {th }}\right)$; Texas, $\$ 5,107\left(5^{\text {th }}\right)$; Ohio, $\$ 5,052\left(6^{\text {th }}\right)$; and Illinois, $\$ 4,832\left(7^{\text {th }}\right)$.

Figure 2-3 illustrates the per capita federal direct expenditures by category for the seven states. Florida ranked $1^{\text {st }}$ in per capita rankings for retirement and disability payments although California had the largest receipt of retirement and disability payments. California received $\$ 54$ billion compared to Florida's $\$ 40$ billion. Another observation is Florida's low per capita ranking for grants. Of the seven states, Florida ranked $7^{\text {th }}$ based on per capita expenditures of $\$ 760$. The per capita grants expenditures and respective rankings of the other states are: New York, \$1,663 ( $\left.1^{\text {st }}\right)$; Pennsylvania, $\$ 1,135\left(2^{\text {nd }}\right)$; California, $\$ 1,065$ $\left(3^{\text {rd }}\right)$; Ohio, $\$ 939\left(4^{\text {th }}\right)$; Illinois, $\$ 904\left(5^{\text {th }}\right)$; and Texas, $\$ 880\left(6^{\text {th }}\right)$.

## Total Federal Direct Expenditures of the Seven Most Populous States

Federal Fiscal Year 1999-2000

|  | Total Expenditures by Category |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State |  | ement/Disability Payments | \% of <br> Total |  | Other Direct Payments | \% of <br> Total |  | Grants | \% of <br> Total |  | Procurement Contracts | \% of <br> Total | Salaries \& Wages | \% of <br> Total | Total Direct Expenditures |
| California | \$ | 54,224,249,000 | 30.9\% | \$ | 40,656,625,000 | 23.1\% | \$ | 36,079,847,000 | 20.5\% | \$ | 26,954,801,000 | 15.3\% | \$ 17,835,371,000 | 10.1\% | \$175,750,893,000 |
| Texas |  | 33,539,230,000 | 31.5\% |  | 23,500,198,000 | 22.1\% |  | 18,345,664,000 | 17.2\% |  | 18,981,335,000 | 17.8\% | 12,126,374,000 | 11.4\% | 106,492,801,000 |
| New York |  | 36,154,582,000 | 32.8\% |  | 27,827,200,000 | 25.2\% |  | 31,563,975,000 | 28.6\% |  | 6,908,572,000 | 6.3\% | 7,879,117,000 | 7.1\% | 110,333,446,000 |
| Florida |  | 39,747,790,000 | 42.8\% |  | 24,150,578,000 | 26.0\% |  | 12,148,635,000 | 13.1\% |  | 8,594,347,000 | 9.3\% | 8,135,023,000 | 8.8\% | 92,776,373,000 |
| Illinois |  | 22,171,068,000 | 36.9\% |  | 16,401,393,000 | 27.3\% |  | 11,227,831,000 | 18.7\% |  | 3,998,614,000 | 6.7\% | 6,209,573,000 | 10.3\% | 60,008,479,000 |
| Pennsylvania |  | 28,476,624,000 | 38.6\% |  | 19,399,510,000 | 26.3\% |  | 13,939,967,000 | 18.9\% |  | 6,283,610,000 | 8.5\% | 5,615,499,000 | 7.6\% | 73,715,210,000 |
| Ohio |  | 22,751,244,000 | 39.7\% |  | 14,444,927,000 | 25.2\% |  | 10,664,726,000 | 18.6\% |  | 4,867,174,000 | 8.5\% | 4,626,848,000 | 8.1\% | 57,354,919,000 |


|  |  | Per Capita Expenditures by Category |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Retirement/Disability |  |  |  |  |
| Payments |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes:

1) The federal expenditure data were obtained from the U.S. Census Bureau report entitled "Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2000," issued in April 2001 .
2) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using Census 2000 counts that represent the resident population as of April 1, 2000, according to the U.S. Census Bureau.
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Figure 2-1
Total Federal Direct Expenditures
Federal Fiscal Year 1999-2000


Figure 2-2
Per Capita Federal Direct Expenditures
Federal Fiscal Year 1999-2000
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Figure 2－3
Per Capita Federal Direct Expenditures by Category Federal Fiscal Year 1999－2000
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# Part Three: <br> Federal Grants to Florida's State and Local Governments 

## A. Introduction

Florida's state and local governments received approximately $\$ 11.7$ billion, or $\$ 731$ per capita, in grants and other payments in federal fiscal year 1999-2000. Florida had the $5^{\text {th }}$ largest federal grants expenditure of the fifty states. However, on a per capita basis, the state ranked $48^{\text {th }}$ among the states and last among the seven most populous states in the receipt of such funding. A summary of federal grants and other payments to Florida that year can be found in Table 3-1 on pages 26-27. Interestingly, grants received from five departments of federal government (i.e., Health and Human Services, Transportation, Education, Housing and Urban Development, and Agriculture) totaled $\$ 10.9$ billion and accounted for 93 percent of all grants expenditures to Florida.

## B. Data Sources

The data reported in this part was obtained from the U.S. Bureau of the Census publication entitled Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2000. The Census Bureau's publication presents federal expenditures to state and local governments by state and U.S. outlying areas; however, the focus of this report is on the payments made to the fifty states, particularly Florida.

The figures cited above are less than the total of Florida's federal grants that are presented in Parts One and Two. This difference results from the use of the Federal Aid to States (FAS) report as the data source here as opposed to the use of the Consolidated Federal Funds Report (CFFR). The FAS report presents state-by-state distributions of federal expenditures for grants only to state and local governments. By contrast, federal grants reported in the CFFR generally represent obligations. Obligations are federal funds designated state-by-state and available to be "drawn down" through a variety of program requirements. Additionally, the CFFR includes payments to state and local governments as well as grants to nongovernmental recipients. Therefore, the grants total reported in this part is less than that reported in Parts One and Two.

## C. Federal Grants by Department and Agency

Tables 3-2 through 3-15 provide detailed summaries of federal grants expenditures to Florida for select departments and agencies. Tables 3-16 and 3-17 illustrate changes in federal grants expenditures between fiscal years 1995-96 and 1999-2000. Table 3-18 summarizes changes in federal grants expenditures between fiscal years 1995-96 and 1999-2000 for nine of the largest grants categories. Supplemental information describing each federal department and agency and many of the grant programs can be obtained from their respective websites. A list of those websites can be found in Appendix A.

Table 3-1

## Federal Funds to the State of Florida

## Grants and Other Payments to State and Local Governments

 Federal Fiscal Year 1999-2000|  |  | partment in De | cending | of Total Ex | penditures |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Expenditur | Ranking |  |  | Per <br> Expenditu | apita <br> Ranking |
| Federal Department or Agency |  | Total Expenditures | \% of State <br> Total | All States | Seven Most Populous States |  | Per Capita Expenditures | All States | Seven Most Populous States |
| Health and Human Services | \$ | 6,370,651,000 | 54.6\% | 5 | 5 | \$ | 398.60 | 46 | 7 |
| Transportation |  | 1,415,456,000 | 12.1\% | 4 | 4 |  | 88.56 | 48 | 6 |
| Education |  | 1,154,177,000 | 9.9\% | 4 | 4 |  | 72.22 | 49 | 7 |
| Housing and Urban Development |  | 1,117,059,000 | 9.6\% | 9 | 7 |  | 69.89 | 45 | 7 |
| Agriculture |  | 827,812,000 | 7.1\% | 4 | 4 |  | 51.80 | 38 | 5 |
| Federal Emergency Management Agency |  | 210,811,000 | 1.8\% | 3 | 2 |  | 13.19 | 5 | 2 |
| Justice |  | 192,023,000 | 1.6\% | 3 | 3 |  | 12.01 | 27 | 3 |
| Labor |  | 182,334,000 | 1.6\% | 7 | 6 |  | 11.41 | 50 | 7 |
| Environmental Protection Agency |  | 88,232,000 | 0.8\% | 12 | 7 |  | 5.52 | 50 | 7 |
| Commerce |  | 29,088,000 | 0.2\% | 8 | 4 |  | 1.82 | 36 | 4 |
| Interior |  | 20,506,000 | 0.2\% | 29 | 6 |  | 1.28 | 47 | 6 |
| Corporation for National and Community Service |  | 15,987,000 | 0.1\% | 5 | 4 |  | 1.00 | 40 | 6 |
| Veterans Affairs |  | 13,824,000 | 0.1\% | 10 | 4 |  | 0.86 | 33 | 2 |
| Corporation for Public Broadcasting |  | 11,805,000 | 0.1\% | 5 | 3 |  | 0.74 | 33 | 5 |
| Treasury |  | 10,658,000 | 0.1\% | 4 | 4 |  | 0.67 | 5 | 2 |
| Institute for Museum and Library Services |  | 7,564,000 | 0.1\% | 4 | 4 |  | 0.47 | 49 | 7 |
| Social Security Administration |  | 2,191,000 | < $0.1 \%$ | 6 | 6 |  | 0.14 | 22 | 5 |
| Energy |  | 1,922,000 | < 0.1\% | 35 | 5 |  | 0.12 | 49 | 6 |
| Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation |  | 1,596,000 | < $0.1 \%$ | 8 | 6 |  | 0.10 | 29 | 6 |
| Equal Employment Opportunity Commission |  | 1,181,000 | < $0.1 \%$ | 8 | 6 |  | 0.07 | 28 | 5 |
| National Foundation on the Arts and Humanities |  | 524,000 | < $0.1 \%$ | 33 | 7 |  | 0.03 | 49 | 7 |
| Defense |  | 171,000 | < 0.1\% | 36 | 5 |  | 0.01 | 39 | 4 |
| State Justice Institute |  | 85,000 | < 0.1\% | 14 | 4 |  | 0.01 | 29 | 3 |
| Appalachian Regional Commission |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| Metro System Subsidies |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| Tennessee Valley Authority |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |

Table 3-1 continued

## Federal Funds to the State of Florida

## Grants and Other Payments to State and Local Governments

 Federal Fiscal Year 1999-2000By Department in Descending Order of Total Expenditures

| Total |
| :---: |
| Expenditure Ranking |


|  |  | Per Capita <br> Expenditure Ranking |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Seven Most <br> Populous |
| Expenditures |  |  |$\quad$ All States | States |
| ---: |$\%$ of Seven Most

PopulousStates
5
\$ 1,008.30
Total State

Federal Department or AgencyTotal - Florida
Total - All States
Florida as \% of All States

Notes:

1) The federal grants expenditures data were obtained from the U.S. Census Bureau report entitled "Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2000," issued in April 2001. In the published report, the grants expenditures data were rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.
2) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using Census 2000 counts that represent the resident population as of April 1,2000 , according to the U.S. Census Bureau.
3) In 2000, the seven most populous states (in descending order) were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania and Ohio.

Compiled by the Florida Legislative Committee on Intergovernmental Relations (July 2001).

Table 3-2
Federal Funds to the State of Florida
U.S Department of Agriculture

## Grants and Other Payments to State and Local Governments

Federal Fiscal Year 1999-2000

| Program Category |  | TotalExpenditures | Total <br> Expenditure Ranking |  |  | Per Capita Expenditures |  | Per Capita Expenditure Ranking |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \% of <br> State <br> Total | All States | Seven Most Populous States |  |  | All States | Seven Most Populous States |
| Agricultural Marketing Service | \$ | 19,970,000 | 2.4\% | 8 | 5 | \$ | 1.25 | 41 | 7 |
| Cooperative State Research Education and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Extension Service |  | 20,703,000 | 2.5\% | 16 | 6 |  | 1.30 | 49 | 6 |
| Extension Activities |  | 8,679,000 | 1.0\% | 22 | 7 |  | 0.54 | 47 | 6 |
| Research and Education Activities |  | 12,024,000 | 1.5\% | 13 | 4 |  | 0.75 | 49 | 6 |
| * Farm Service Agency |  | 102,000 | <0.1\% | 8 | 1 |  | 0.01 | 18 | 2 |
| * Food Safety and Inspection Service |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| Food and Nutrition Service |  | 749,265,000 | 90.5\% | 4 | 4 |  | 46.88 | 35 | 5 |
| Child Nutrition Programs |  | 483,625,000 | 58.4\% | 4 | 4 |  | 30.26 | 27 | 4 |
| Commodity Assistance Programs |  | 4,174,000 | 0.5\% | 9 | 7 |  | 0.26 | 47 | 7 |
| Food Stamp Program |  | 77,837,000 | 9.4\% | 8 | 7 |  | 4.87 | 47 | 7 |
| Needy Family Program |  | 5,831,000 | 0.7\% | 6 | 5 |  | 0.36 | 38 | 6 |
| Special Supplemental Food Program (WIC) |  | 177,798,000 | 21.5\% | 4 | 4 |  | 11.12 | 32 | 5 |
| Forest Service |  | 1,927,000 | 0.2\% | 35 | 7 |  | 0.12 | 47 | 7 |
| Payments to States and Counties |  | 659,000 | 0.1\% | 27 | 4 |  | 0.04 | 34 | 4 |
| Rural Community and Emergency Fire Fighting Program |  | 3,000 | < 0.1\% | 19 | 4 |  | < 0.01 | 21 | 4 |
| State and Private Forestry |  | 1,208,000 | 0.1\% | 24 | 6 |  | 0.08 | 43 | 5 |
| * National Forest Service |  |  | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| * Other |  | 57,000 | < 0.1\% | 12 | 2 |  | < 0.01 | 23 | 2 |
| * Natural Resources Conservation Service |  | 1,228,000 | 0.1\% | 16 | 5 |  | 0.08 | 32 | 4 |
| * Resource Conservation and Development |  |  |  | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| * Watershed and Flood Prevention |  | 1,228,000 | 0.1\% | 16 | 5 |  | 0.08 | 32 | 4 |

# Federal Funds to the State of Florida <br> U.S Department of Agriculture <br> <br> Grants and Other Payments to State and Local Governments <br> <br> Grants and Other Payments to State and Local Governments Federal Fiscal Year 1999-2000 

 Federal Fiscal Year 1999-2000}


# Federal Funds to the State of Florida U.S Department of Commerce Grants and Other Payments to State and Local Governments Federal Fiscal Year 1999-2000 



Florida as \% of All States
3.6\%

Notes:

1) The asterisk denotes those program categories in which one or more states did not receive a distribution.
2) The federal grants expenditures data were obtained from the U.S. Census Bureau report entitled "Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2000," issued in April 2001. In the published report, the grants expenditures data were rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.
3) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using Census 2000 counts that represent the resident population as of April 1 , 2000, according to the U.S. Census Bureau.
4) In 2000, the seven most populous states, in descending order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania and Ohio.

Compiled by the Florida Legislative Committee on Intergovernmental Relations (July 2001).

Table 3-4

## Federal Funds to the State of Florida U.S Department of Defense Grants and Other Payments to State and Local Governments Federal Fiscal Year 1999-2000



Florida as \% of All States
0.2\%

Notes:

1) The asterisk denotes those program categories in which one or more states did not receive a distribution.
2) The federal grants expenditures data were obtained from the U.S. Census Bureau report entitled "Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2000," issued in April 2001. In the published report, the grants expenditures data were rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.
3) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using Census 2000 counts that represent the resident population as of April 1 , 2000, according to the U.S. Census Bureau.
4) In 2000, the seven most populous states, in descending order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania and Ohio.

Compiled by the Florida Legislative Committee on Intergovernmental Relations (July 2001).

Table 3-5
Federal Funds to the State of Florida
U.S. Department of Education

Grants and Other Payments to State and Local Governments
Federal Fiscal Year 1999-2000

| Program Category |  | TotalExpenditures | \% of State Total | TotalExpenditure Ranking |  | Per Capita Expenditures |  | Per Capita Expenditure Ranking |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | All States | Seven Most Populous States |  |  | All States | Seven Most Populous States |
| Office of Bilingual Education and Minority |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Language Affairs | \$ | 19,818,000 | 1.7\% | 4 | 4 | \$ | 1.24 | 20 | 5 |
| Office of Educational Research and Improvement |  | 6,539,000 | 0.6\% | 17 | 6 |  | 0.41 | 43 | 7 |
| Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Services |  | 284,837,000 | 24.7\% | 6 | 6 |  | 17.82 | 50 | 7 |
| Rehabilitation Services and Disability Research |  | 11,959,000 | 1.0\% | 42 | 7 |  | 0.75 | 50 | 7 |
| Special Education |  | 272,878,000 | 23.6\% | 4 | 4 |  | 17.07 | 41 | 3 |
| Office of Vocational and Adult Education |  | 79,232,000 | 6.9\% | 4 | 4 |  | 4.96 | 30 | 3 |
| Office of Elementary and Secondary Education |  | 586,521,000 | 50.8\% | 4 | 4 |  | 36.70 | 35 | 7 |
| Education for the Disadvantaged |  | 408,264,000 | 35.4\% | 5 | 5 |  | 25.54 | 27 | 7 |
| Education Reform |  | 42,595,000 | 3.7\% | 6 | 5 |  | 2.67 | 47 | 7 |
| School Assistance in Federally Affected Areas: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indian Education |  | 20,000 | < 0.1\% | 37 | 5 |  | < 0.01 | 39 | 5 |
| School Improvement Program |  | 122,015,000 | 10.6\% | 4 | 4 |  | 7.63 | 33 | 5 |
| Office of Postsecondary Education |  | 177,230,000 | 15.4\% | 4 | 4 |  | 11.09 | 35 | 3 |
| Higher Education |  | 35,916,000 | 3.1\% | 8 | 5 |  | 2.25 | 47 | 7 |
| Student Financial Assistance |  | 141,314,000 | 12.2\% | 3 | 3 |  | 8.84 | 29 | 3 |

Table 3-5 continued

## Federal Funds to the State of Florida U.S. Department of Education

## Grants and Other Payments to State and Local Governments Federal Fiscal Year 1999-2000

| Program Category |  |  | Total Expenditure Ranking |  |  |  |  | Per Capita Expenditure Ranking |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total <br> Expenditures | \% of <br> State <br> Total | All States | Seven Most Populous States |  | Capita ditures | All States | Seven Most Populous States |
| Total - Florida | \$ | 1,154,177,000 | 100.0\% | 4 | 4 | \$ | 72.22 | 49 | 7 |
| Total - All States |  | 25,668,721,000 |  |  |  | \$ | 91.40 |  |  |
| Florida as \% of All States |  | 4.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:

1) The asterisk denotes those program categories in which one or more states did not receive a distribution.
2) The federal grants expenditures data were obtained from the U.S. Census Bureau report entitled "Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2000," issued in April 2001. In the published report, the grants expenditures data were rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.
3) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using Census 2000 counts that represent the resident population as of April 1 , 2000, according to the U.S. Census Bureau
4) In 2000, the seven most populous states, in descending order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania and Ohio.

Compiled by the Florida Legislative Committee on Intergovernmental Relations (July 2001).

# Federal Funds to the State of Florida <br> U.S. Department of Energy <br> <br> Grants and Other Payments to State and Local Governments <br> <br> Grants and Other Payments to State and Local Governments <br> <br> Federal Fiscal Year 1999-2000 

 <br> <br> Federal Fiscal Year 1999-2000}

| Program Category | TotalExpenditures |  | Total Expenditure Ranking |  |  | Per Capita Expenditures |  | Per Capita Expenditure Ranking |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \% of State <br> Total | All States | Seven Most Populous States |  |  | All States | Seven Most Populous States |
| * Payments in Lieu of Taxes | \$ | - | - | - | - | \$ | - | - | - |
| * Atomic Energy and Defense Activities |  | 162,000 | 8.4\% | 37 | 7 |  | 0.01 | 41 | 7 |
| * Defense Environmental Restoration |  | 150,000 | 7.8\% | 32 | 7 |  | 0.01 | 37 | 6 |
| * Nuclear Waste Disposal |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| * Weapons Activities |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| * Other Defense Activities |  | 12,000 | 0.6\% | 21 | 6 |  | < 0.01 | 22 | 6 |
| Civilian Energy Programs |  | 1,760,000 | 91.6\% | 30 | 5 |  | 0.11 | 48 | 5 |
| Energy Conservation |  | 1,200,000 | 62.4\% | 26 | 5 |  | 0.08 | 45 | 5 |
| * Science, Energy, and Technology Research and Development |  | 553,000 | 28.8\% | 21 | 4 |  | 0.03 | 41 |  |
| * Other |  | 7,000 | 0.4\% | 33 | 7 |  | < 0.01 | 35 | 7 |
| Total - Florida | \$ | 1,922,000 | 100.0\% | 35 | 5 | \$ | 0.12 | 49 | 6 |
| Total - All States | \$ | 204,274,000 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.73 |  |  |

Florida as \% of All States
0.9\%

## Notes:

1) The asterisk denotes those program categories in which one or more states did not receive a distribution.
2) The federal grants expenditures data were obtained from the U.S. Census Bureau report entitled "Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2000," issued in April 2001. In the published report, the grants expenditures data were rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.
3) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using Census 2000 counts that represent the resident population as of April 1 , 2000, according to the U.S. Census Bureau.
4) In 2000, the seven most populous states, in descending order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania and Ohio.

Compiled by the Florida Legislative Committee on Intergovernmental Relations (July 2001)

Table 3-7

## Federal Funds to the State of Florida <br> Environmental Protection Agency <br> Grants and Other Payments to State and Local Governments Federal Fiscal Year 1999-2000

|  |  |  |  | Total Expenditure Ranking |  | Per Capita Expenditures |  | Per Capita Expenditure Ranking |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program Category |  | Total Expenditures | \% of State <br> Total | All States | Seven Most Populous States |  |  | All States | Seven Most Populous States |
| Hazardous Substance Response (Superfund and L.U.S.T.) | \$ | 2,956,000 | 3.4\% | 27 | 7 | \$ | 0.18 | 49 | 7 |
| Other |  | 85,276,000 | 96.6\% | 12 | 7 |  | 5.34 | 50 | 7 |
| Total - Florida | \$ | 88,232,000 | 100.0\% | 12 | 7 | \$ | 5.52 | 50 | 7 |
| Total - All States | \$ | 3,529,166,000 |  |  |  | \$ | 12.57 |  |  |

Florida as \% of All States
2.5\%

Notes:

1) The federal grants expenditures data were obtained from the U.S. Census Bureau report entitled "Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2000," issued in April 2001. In the published report, the grants expenditures data were rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.
2) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using Census 2000 counts that represent the resident population as of April 1, 2000, according to the U.S. Census Bureau
3) In 2000, the seven most populous states, in descending order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania and Ohio.

Compiled by the Florida Legislative Committee on Intergovernmental Relations (July 2001).

Table 3-8

## Federal Funds to the State of Florida Federal Emergency Management Agency Grants and Other Payments to State and Local Governments Federal Fiscal Year 1999-2000



## Notes:

1) The asterisk denotes those program categories in which one or more states did not receive a distribution.
2) The federal grants expenditures data were obtained from the U.S. Census Bureau report entitled "Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2000," issued in April 2001. In the published report, the grants expenditures data were rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.
3) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using Census 2000 counts that represent the resident population as of April 1 , 2000, according to the U.S. Census Bureau.
4) In 2000, the seven most populous states, in descending order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania and Ohio.

Compiled by the Florida Legislative Committee on Intergovernmental Relations (July 2001).

This page was intentionally left blank.

## Federal Funds to the State of Florida U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Grants and Other Payments to State and Local Governments Federal Fiscal Year 1999-2000

|  | Per Capita <br> Expenditure Ranking |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Table 3-9 continued

## Federal Funds to the State of Florida U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

## Grants and Other Payments to State and Local Governments

 Federal Fiscal Year 1999-2000|  |  | Total <br> Expenditure Ranking |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Notes:

1) The asterisk denotes those program categories in which one or more states did not receive a distribution.
2) The federal grants expenditures data were obtained from the U.S. Census Bureau report entitled "Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2000," issued in April 2001. In the published report, the grants expenditures data were rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.
3) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using Census 2000 counts that represent the resident population as of July 1, 2000, according to the U.S. Census Bureau.
4) In 2000, the seven most populous states, in descending order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania and Ohio.

Compiled by the Florida Legislative Committee on Intergovernmental Relations (July 2001).

## Federal Funds to the State of Florida U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Grants and Other Payments to State and Local Governments <br> Federal Fiscal Year 1999-2000

| Program Category |  | Total Expenditures | Total Expenditure Ranking |  |  | Per Capita Expenditures |  | Per Capita Expenditure Ranking |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\%$ of State <br> Total | All States | Seven Most Populous States |  |  | All States | Seven Most Populous States |
| * Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity | \$ | 1,047,000 | 0.1\% | 8 | 6 | \$ | 0.07 | 31 | 6 |
| Community Development and Planning |  | 236,046,000 | 21.1\% | 7 | 6 |  | 14.77 | 40 | 7 |
| Community Development Block Grant |  | 196,741,000 | 17.6\% | 7 | 7 |  | 12.31 | 41 | 7 |
| Urban Development Action Grant |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| * Empowerment Zones and Other Economic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Development |  | 128,000 | < 0.1\% | 15 | 6 |  | 0.01 | 18 | 6 |
| Emergency Shelter and Homeless Assistance |  | 39,177,000 | 3.5\% | 8 | 7 |  | 2.45 | 27 | 6 |
| Housing Programs |  | 879,966,000 | 78.8\% | 9 | 7 |  | 55.06 | 45 | 7 |
| * College Housing |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| * Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS |  | 29,742,000 | 2.7\% | 3 | 3 |  | 1.86 | 1 | 1 |
| Native American Block Grant |  | 2,321,000 | 0.2\% | 27 | 4 |  | 0.15 | 30 | 4 |
| Housing for Special Populations |  | 3,121,000 | 0.3\% | 41 | 5 |  | 0.20 | 44 | 5 |
| Public Housing Programs |  | 778,938,000 | 69.7\% | 9 | 7 |  | 48.74 | 43 | 7 |
| Low Rent Housing Assistance |  | 82,724,000 | 7.4\% | 10 | 7 |  | 5.18 | 28 | 5 |
| Neighborhood Revitalization |  | 11,115,000 | 1.0\% | 12 | 6 |  | 0.70 | 19 | 5 |
| Drug Elimination |  | 14,104,000 | 1.3\% | 6 | 6 |  | 0.88 | 22 | 4 |
| Housing Certificate Program |  | 611,592,000 | 54.8\% | 9 | 7 |  | 38.27 | 41 | 6 |
| Capital Programs |  | 59,379,000 | 5.3\% | 17 | 7 |  | 3.72 | 44 | 7 |
| Support Services |  | 24,000 | < 0.1\% | 12 | 4 |  | $<0.01$ | 15 | 3 |
| Home Ownership Assistance |  | 65,844,000 | 5.9\% | 5 | 5 |  | 4.12 | 39 | 7 |
| * HOPE Program |  | 11,000 | < 0.1\% | 30 | 7 |  | $<0.01$ | 30 | 7 |
| Other Home Ownership Assistance |  | 65,833,000 | 5.9\% | 5 | 5 |  | 4.12 | 36 | 7 |
| * Other |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |

Table 3-10 continued

## Federal Funds to the State of Florida U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

## Grants and Other Payments to State and Local Governments Federal Fiscal Year 1999-2000

|  |  |  | Total Expenditure Ranking |  |  |  |  | Per Capita Expenditure Ranking |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program Category |  | Total <br> Expenditures | \% of <br> State <br> Total | All States | Seven Most Populous States |  | Capita nditures | All States | Seven Most Populous States |
| Total - Florida | \$ | 1,117,059,000 | 100.0\% | 9 | 7 | \$ | 69.89 | 45 | 7 |
| Total - All States |  | 31,149,011,000 |  |  |  | \$ | 110.91 |  |  |
| Florida as \% of All States |  | 3.6\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:

1) The asterisk denotes those program categories in which one or more states did not receive a distribution.
2) The federal grants expenditures data were obtained from the U.S. Census Bureau report entitled "Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2000," issued in April 2001. In the published report, the grants expenditures data were rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.
3) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using Census 2000 counts that represent the resident population as of April 1,2000 , according to the U.S. Census Bureau
4) In 2000, the seven most populous states, in descending order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania and Ohio.

Compiled by the Florida Legislative Committee on Intergovernmental Relations (July 2001).

Table 3-11

## Federal Funds to the State of Florida

U.S. Department of Interior

Grants and Other Payments to State and Local Governments
Federal Fiscal Year 1999-2000

| Program Category | TotalExpenditures |  | Total Expenditure Ranking |  |  | Per Capita Expenditures |  | Per Capita Expenditure Ranking |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\%$ of State <br> Total | All States | Seven Most Populous States |  |  | All States | Seven Most Populous States |
| * Bureau of Indian Affairs | \$ | 6,110,000 | 29.8\% | 18 | 2 | \$ | 0.38 | 26 | 2 |
| * Bureau of Land Management |  | 1,731,000 | 8.4\% | 14 | 2 |  | 0.11 | 31 | 2 |
| * Payments in Lieu of Taxes |  | 1,731,000 | 8.4\% | 14 | 2 |  | 0.11 | 31 | 2 |
| * Shared Revenues |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| * Bureau of Reclamation |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| Fish and Wildlife Service |  | 11,796,000 | 57.5\% | 16 | 5 |  | 0.74 | 47 | 5 |
| Wildlife Restoration |  | 3,500,000 | 17.1\% | 29 | 6 |  | 0.22 | 47 | 5 |
| Sport Fish Restoration |  | 7,573,000 | 36.9\% | 10 | 4 |  | 0.47 | 47 | 5 |
| National Wildlife Refuge |  | 723,000 | 3.5\% | 7 | 3 |  | 0.05 | 31 | 1 |
| * Other |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| * Minerals Management Service |  | 5,000 | < $0.1 \%$ | 33 | 6 |  | < 0.01 | 33 | 6 |
| * Minerals Leasing Act |  | 5,000 | < 0.1\% | 24 | 3 |  | $<0.01$ | 24 | 3 |
| * Other |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| National Park Service |  | 864,000 | 4.2\% | 10 | 5 |  | 0.05 | 48 | 5 |
| Historic Preservation |  | 628,000 | 3.1\% | 17 | 6 |  | 0.04 | 47 | 4 |
| * Other |  | 236,000 | 1.2\% | 5 | 3 |  | 0.01 | 18 | 3 |
| Office of Surface Mining, Reclamation, and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * Enforcement |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| * Abandoned Mine Reclamation |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| * Other |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| * Office of Territorial Affairs |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |

Table 3-11 continued
Federal Funds to the State of Florida
U.S. Department of Interior

Grants and Other Payments to State and Local Governments
Federal Fiscal Year 1999-2000

| Program Category | Total <br> Expenditures |  | Total Expenditure Ranking |  |  | Per Capita Expenditures |  | Per Capita Expenditure Ranking |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \% of <br> State <br> Total | All States | Seven Most Populous States |  |  | All States | Seven Most Populous States |
| Total - Florida | \$ | 20,506,000 | 100.0\% | 29 | 6 | \$ | 1.28 | 47 | 6 |
| Total - All States |  | 2,728,103,000 |  |  |  | \$ | 9.71 |  |  |
| Florida as \% of All States |  | 0.8\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:

1) The asterisk denotes those program categories in which one or more states did not receive a distribution.
2) The federal grants expenditures data were obtained from the U.S. Census Bureau report entitled "Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2000," issued in April 2001. In the published report, the grants expenditures data were rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.
3) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using Census 2000 counts that represent the resident population as of April 1, 2000, according to the U.S. Census Bureau.
4) In 2000, the seven most populous states, in descending order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania and Ohio.

Compiled by the Florida Legislative Committee on Intergovernmental Relations (July 2001).

## Federal Funds to the State of Florida <br> U.S. Department of Justice Grants and Other Payments to State and Local Governments Federal Fiscal Year 1999-2000

| Program Category |  | Total <br> Expenditures | TotalExpenditure Ranking |  |  | Per Capita Expenditure Ranking |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \% of State <br> Total | All States | Seven Most Populous States |  | Per Capita Expenditures | All States | Seven Most Populous States |
| * Federal Prison System | \$ | 65,000 | <0.1\% | 14 | 4 | \$ | $<0.01$ | 17 | 4 |
| Office of Asset Forfeiture |  | 15,744,000 | 8.2\% | 4 | 4 |  | 0.99 | 7 | 3 |
| Office of Justice Programs |  | 176,214,000 | 91.8\% | 3 | 3 |  | 11.03 | 29 | 3 |
| Violence Against Women and Children |  | 65,777,000 | 34.3\% | 3 | 3 |  | 4.12 | 10 | 2 |
| Drug Law Enforcement |  | 21,231,000 | 11.1\% | 5 | 5 |  | 1.33 | 46 | 7 |
| Juvenile Programs |  | 18,006,000 | 9.4\% | 3 | 3 |  | 1.13 | 41 | 5 |
| Crime Victims Programs |  | 18,492,000 | 9.6\% | 3 | 3 |  | 1.16 | 37 | 5 |
| Boot Camps |  | 12,637,000 | 6.6\% | 5 | 3 |  | 0.79 | 25 | 3 |
| Alien Assistance |  | 20,806,000 | 10.8\% | 3 | 3 |  | 1.30 | 6 | 2 |
| Law Enforcement Assistance |  | 11,150,000 | 5.8\% | 2 | 1 |  | 0.70 | 7 | 1 |
| Other |  | 8,115,000 | 4.2\% | 10 | 5 |  | 0.51 | 46 | 7 |
| Total - Florida | \$ | 192,023,000 | 100.0\% | 3 | 3 | \$ | 12.01 | 27 | 3 |
| Total - All States | \$ | 3,444,702,000 |  |  |  | \$ | 12.27 |  |  |

Florida as \% of All States
5.6\%

## Notes:

1) The asterisk denotes those program categories in which one or more states did not receive a distribution.
2) The federal grants expenditures data were obtained from the U.S. Census Bureau report entitled "Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2000," issued in April 2001. In the published report, the grants expenditures data were rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.
3) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using Census 2000 counts that represent the resident population as of April 1 , 2000, according to the U.S. Census Bureau.
4) In 2000, the seven most populous states, in descending order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania and Ohio.

Compiled by the Florida Legislative Committee on Intergovernmental Relations (July 2001)

Table 3-13

## Federal Funds to the State of Florida <br> U.S. Department of Labor <br> Grants and Other Payments to State and Local Governments Federal Fiscal Year 1999-2000

| Program Category | TotalExpenditures |  | \% of <br> State <br> Total | TotalExpenditure Ranking |  | Per Capita Expenditures |  | Per Capita Expenditure Ranking |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | All States | Seven Most Populous States | All States |  |  | Seven Most Populous States |
| Bureau of Labor Statistics | \$ | 2,639,000 |  | 1.4\% | 3 | 3 | \$ | 0.17 | 47 | 6 |
| Employment and Training Administration State Unemployment Insurance and |  | 172,718,000 | 94.7\% | 7 | 6 |  | 10.81 | 49 | 7 |
| Employment Service |  | 98,495,000 | 54.0\% | 8 | 6 |  | 6.16 | 50 | 7 |
| Workforce Investment Act and Job Training Partnership Act |  | 74,222,000 | 40.7\% | 5 | 5 |  | 4.64 | 32 | 6 |
| * Other |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| * Mine Safety and Health Administration |  | 131,000 | 0.1\% | 14 | 5 |  | 0.01 | 36 | 6 |
| Occupational Health and Safety Administration |  | 857,000 | 0.5\% | 29 | 7 |  | 0.05 | 49 | 6 |
| Veterans Employment and Training Administration |  | 5,989,000 | 3.3\% | 8 | 7 |  | 0.37 | 46 | 6 |
| Total - Florida | \$ | 182,334,000 | 100.0\% | 7 | 6 | \$ | 11.41 | 50 | 7 |
| Total - All States | \$ | 5,006,623,000 |  |  |  | \$ | 17.83 |  |  |
| Florida as \% of All States |  | 3.6\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:

1) The asterisk denotes those program categories in which one or more states did not receive a distribution.
2) The federal grants expenditures data were obtained from the U.S. Census Bureau report entitled "Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2000," issued in April 2001. In the published report, the grants expenditures data were rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.
3) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using Census 2000 counts that represent the resident population as of April 1, 2000, according to the U.S. Census Bureau.
4) In 2000, the seven most populous states, in descending order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania and Ohio.

Compiled by the Florida Legislative Committee on Intergovernmental Relations (July 2001).

Table 3-14
Federal Funds to the State of Florida U.S. Department of Transportation Grants and Other Payments to State and Local Governments Federal Fiscal Year 1999-2000

| Program Category | TotalExpenditures |  | TotalExpenditure Ranking |  |  | Per Capita Expenditures |  | Per Capita Expenditure Ranking |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\%$ of State <br> Total | All States | Seven Most Populous States |  |  | All States | Seven Most Populous States |
| * Coast Guard | \$ | - | - | - | - | \$ | - | - | - |
| Federal Aviation Administration |  | 75,177,000 | 5.3\% | 4 | 4 |  | 4.70 | 31 | 3 |
| Federal Highway Administration |  | 1,117,061,000 | 78.9\% | 4 | 4 |  | 69.89 | 45 | 4 |
| * Demonstration Projects |  | 1,306,000 | 0.1\% | 17 | 6 |  | 0.08 | 28 | 6 |
| * Highway-Related Safety Grants |  | 9,000 | < 0.1\% | 18 | 5 |  | < 0.01 | 22 | 5 |
| Highway Trust Fund |  | 1,103,923,000 | 78.0\% | 4 | 4 |  | 69.07 | 43 | 3 |
| Motor Carrier Safety Grants |  | 574,000 | < 0.1\% | 40 | 7 |  | 0.04 | 50 | 7 |
| Other |  | 11,249,000 | 0.8\% | 20 | 7 |  | 0.70 | 36 | 7 |
| * Federal Railroad Administration |  | 16,000 | < 0.1\% | 18 | 2 |  | < 0.01 | 19 | 2 |
| Federal Transit Administration |  | 212,229,000 | 15.0\% | 7 | 6 |  | 13.28 | 21 | 6 |
| National Highway Traffic Safety Administration |  | 10,065,000 | 0.7\% | 4 | 4 |  | 0.63 | 40 | 5 |
| Research and Special Projects Administration |  | 908,000 | 0.1\% | 19 | 6 |  | 0.06 | 46 | 6 |

Table 3-14 continued

## Federal Funds to the State of Florida <br> U.S. Department of Transportation

Grants and Other Payments to State and Local Governments
Federal Fiscal Year 1999-2000


Table 3-15

## Federal Funds to the State of Florida <br> U.S. Department of Treasury <br> Grants and Other Payments to State and Local Governments <br> Federal Fiscal Year 1999-2000

| Program Category |  | TotalExpenditures | $\%$ of State Total | Total Expenditure Ranking |  | Per Capita Expenditures |  | Per Capita <br> Expenditure Ranking |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | All States | Seven Most Populous States |  |  | All States | Seven Most Populous States |
| * Office of Asset Forfeiture | \$ | 9,754,000 | 91.5\% | 4 | 4 | \$ | 0.61 | 3 | 2 |
| * Violent Crime Trust Fund |  | 904,000 | 8.5\% | 2 | 1 |  | 0.06 | 10 | 1 |
| * Other |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| Total - Florida | \$ | 10,658,000 | 100.0\% | 4 | 4 | \$ | 0.67 | 5 | 2 |
| Total - All States | \$ | 107,583,000 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.38 |  |  |

Florida as \% of All States
9.9\%

## Notes:

1) The asterisk denotes those program categories in which one or more states did not receive a distribution.
2) The federal grants expenditures data were obtained from the U.S. Census Bureau report entitled "Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2000," issued in April 2001. In the published report, the grants expenditures data were rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.
3) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using Census 2000 counts that represent the resident population as of April 1 , 2000, according to the U.S. Census Bureau.
4) In 2000, the seven most populous states, in descending order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania and Ohio.

Compiled by the Florida Legislative Committee on Intergovernmental Relations (July 2001).
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## Federal Grants Expenditures to Florida's State and Local Gov'ts by Department and Agency Federal Fiscal Years 1995-96 through 1999-2000

## Federal Department / Agency

Dept. of Health and Human Services
Dept. of Transportation

Dept. of Housing and Urban Development
Dept. of Agriculture
Dept. of Education
Dept. of Labor
Federal Emergency Management Agency
Dept. of Justice
Environmental Protection Agency
Dept. of Commerce
Dept. of Interior
Dept. of the Treasury
Corporation for Public Broadcasting
Dept. of Defense
Dept. of Energy
Dept. of Veterans Affairs
Equal Employment Opportunity Commission
Social Security Administration

| 1995-96 | 1996-97 |  | 1997-98 |  |  | 1998-99 |  |  | 1999-2000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Expenditure | Total Expenditure | \% <br> Chg. |  | Total Expenditure | \% <br> Chg. |  | Total Expenditure | \% <br> Chg. |  | Total Expenditure | \% Chg. |
| \$ 4,765,354,000 | \$ 4,529,224,000 | -5.0\% | \$ | 5,197,789,000 | 14.8\% | \$ | 5,825,280,000 | 12.1\% | \$ | 6,370,651,000 | 9.4\% |
| 835,953,000 | 980,515,000 | 17.3\% |  | 933,196,000 | -4.8\% |  | 1,085,345,000 | 16.3\% |  | 1,415,456,000 | 30.4\% |
| 748,903,000 | 809,124,000 | 8.0\% |  | 973,312,000 | 20.3\% |  | 1,054,629,000 | 8.4\% |  | 1,117,059,000 | 5.9\% |
| 727,798,000 | 793,313,000 | 9.0\% |  | 829,750,000 | 4.6\% |  | 869,936,000 | 4.8\% |  | 827,812,000 | -4.8\% |
| 670,655,000 | 740,893,000 | 10.5\% |  | 1,074,145,000 | 45.0\% |  | 1,021,054,000 | -4.9\% |  | 1,154,177,000 | 13.0\% |
| 288,756,000 | 216,366,000 | -25.1\% |  | 259,853,000 | 20.1\% |  | 265,255,000 | 2.1\% |  | 182,334,000 | -31.3\% |
| 137,820,000 | 99,978,000 | -27.5\% |  | 132,458,000 | 32.5\% |  | 168,941,000 | 27.5\% |  | 210,811,000 | 24.8\% |
| 117,971,000 | 151,111,000 | 28.1\% |  | 169,972,000 | 12.5\% |  | 296,751,000 | 74.6\% |  | 192,023,000 | -35.3\% |
| 77,613,000 | 77,661,000 | 0.1\% |  | 71,691,000 | -7.7\% |  | 95,128,000 | 32.7\% |  | 88,232,000 | -7.2\% |
| 38,660,000 | 34,210,000 | -11.5\% |  | 28,421,000 | -16.9\% |  | 28,792,000 | 1.3\% |  | 29,088,000 | 1.0\% |
| 14,313,000 | 24,717,000 | 72.7\% |  | 19,349,000 | -21.7\% |  | 18,972,000 | -1.9\% |  | 20,506,000 | 8.1\% |
| 6,361,000 | 10,419,000 | 63.8\% |  | 20,796,000 | 99.6\% |  | 42,454,000 | 104.1\% |  | 10,658,000 | -74.9\% |
| 2,726,000 | 10,661,000 | 291.1\% |  | 10,163,000 | -4.7\% |  | 9,848,000 | -3.1\% |  | 11,805,000 | 19.9\% |
| 2,041,000 | 7,453,000 | 265.2\% |  | 3,402,000 | -54.4\% |  | 162,000 | -95.2\% |  | 171,000 | 5.6\% |
| 1,986,000 | 4,918,000 | 147.6\% |  | 5,216,000 | 6.1\% |  | 2,564,000 | -50.8\% |  | 1,922,000 | -25.0\% |
| 1,711,000 | 9,202,000 | 437.8\% |  | 9,908,000 | 7.7\% |  | 12,291,000 | 24.1\% |  | 13,824,000 | 12.5\% |
| 951,000 | 988,000 | 3.9\% |  | 1,136,000 | 15.0\% |  | 893,000 | -21.4\% |  | 1,181,000 | 32.3\% |
| 915,000 | 1,914,000 | 109.2\% |  | 2,840,000 | 48.4\% |  | 3,014,000 | 6.1\% |  | 2,191,000 | -27.3\% |

## Federal Grants Expenditures to Florida's State and Local Gov'ts by Department and Agency Federal Fiscal Years 1995-96 through 1999-2000

## Federal Department / Agency

National Foundation on the Arts and Humanities
Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation
Institute of Museum and Library Services

State Justice Institute
Corporation for National and Community Service

Total Grants Expenditures to Florida


| Total Expenditure |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 549,000 |
|  |  | 1,029,000 |
|  |  | 8,713,000 |
|  |  | 74,000 |
|  |  | NA |
|  | \$ 9,753,762,000 |  |
|  |  | 6th |


|  | 1998-99 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Chg. } \end{gathered}$ | Total Expenditure |
| -29.5\% | 773,000 |
| 27.4\% | 1,982,000 |
| 6451.1\% | 6,858,000 |
| -14.0\% | 38,000 |
| - | NA |
| 14.7\% | \$ 10,810,960,000 |
|  | 5th |


|  | 1999-2000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Chg. } \end{gathered}$ | Total Expenditure | \% <br> Chg. |
| 40.8\% | 524,000 | -32.2\% |
| 92.6\% | 1,596,000 | -19.5\% |
| -21.3\% | 7,564,000 | 10.3\% |
| -48.6\% | 85,000 | 123.7\% |
| - | 15,987,000 |  |
| 10.8\% | \$ 11,675,656,000 | 8.0\% |
|  | 5th |  |

Data Sources:
1996 Expenditures: U.S. Bureau of the Census. Federal Expenditures by State for Fiscal Year 1996. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1997 1997 Expenditures: U.S. Bureau of the Census. Federal Expenditures by State for Fiscal Year 1997. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1998 1998 Expenditures: U.S. Bureau of the Census. Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 1998. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1999 1999 Expenditures: U.S. Bureau of the Census. Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 1999. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 2000 2000 Expenditures: U.S. Bureau of the Census. Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2000. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 2001

Compiled by the Florida Legislative Committee on Intergovernmental Relations (July 2001).

## Per Capita Federal Grants Expenditures to Florida's State and Local Gov'ts by Department and Agency

 Federal Fiscal Years 1995-96 through 1999-2000
## Federal Department / Agency

Dept. of Health and Human Services
Dept. of Transportation
Dept. of Housing and Urban Development
Dept. of Agriculture
Dept. of Education
Dept. of Labor
Federal Emergency Management Agency
Dept. of Justice
Environmental Protection Agency
Dept. of Commerce
Dept. of Interior
Dept. of the Treasury
Corporation for Public Broadcasting
Dept. of Defense
Dept. of Energy
Dept. of Veterans Affairs

| 1995-96 <br> Per Capita Expenditure | 1996-97 |  | 1997-98 |  | 1998-99 |  | 1999-2000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Capita Expenditure | \% <br> Chg. | Per Capita Expenditure | \% <br> Chg. | Per Capita Expenditure | \% <br> Chg. | Per Capita Expenditure | \% <br> Chg. |
| \$ 330.93 | \$ 309.08 | -6.6\% | \$ 348.47 | 12.7\% | \$ 385.49 | 10.6\% | \$ 398.60 | 3.4\% |
| 58.05 | 66.91 | 15.3\% | 62.56 | -6.5\% | 71.82 | 14.8\% | 88.56 | 23.3\% |
| 52.01 | 55.22 | 6.2\% | 65.25 | 18.2\% | 69.79 | 7.0\% | 69.89 | 0.1\% |
| 50.54 | 54.14 | 7.1\% | 55.63 | 2.8\% | 57.57 | 3.5\% | 51.80 | -10.0\% |
| 46.57 | 50.56 | 8.6\% | 72.01 | 42.4\% | 67.57 | -6.2\% | 72.22 | 6.9\% |
| 20.05 | 14.77 | -26.3\% | 17.42 | 17.9\% | 17.55 | 0.7\% | 11.41 | -35.0\% |
| 9.57 | 6.82 | -28.7\% | 8.88 | 30.2\% | 11.18 | 25.9\% | 13.19 | 18.0\% |
| 8.19 | 10.31 | 25.9\% | 11.40 | 10.6\% | 19.64 | 72.3\% | 12.01 | -38.8\% |
| 5.39 | 5.30 | -1.7\% | 4.81 | -9.2\% | 6.30 | 31.0\% | 5.52 | -12.4\% |
| 2.68 | 2.33 | -13.1\% | 1.91 | -18.0\% | 1.91 | 0.0\% | 1.82 | -4.7\% |
| 0.99 | 1.69 | 70.7\% | 1.30 | -23.1\% | 1.26 | -3.1\% | 1.28 | 1.6\% |
| 0.44 | 0.71 | 61.4\% | 1.39 | 95.8\% | 2.81 | 102.2\% | 0.67 | -76.2\% |
| 0.19 | 0.73 | 284.2\% | 0.68 | -6.8\% | 0.65 | -4.4\% | 0.74 | 13.8\% |
| 0.14 | 0.51 | 264.3\% | 0.23 | -54.9\% | 0.01 | -95.7\% | 0.01 | 0.0\% |
| 0.14 | 0.34 | 142.9\% | 0.35 | 2.9\% | 0.17 | -51.4\% | 0.12 | -29.4\% |
| 0.12 | 0.63 | 425.0\% | 0.66 | 4.8\% | 0.81 | 22.7\% | 0.86 | 6.2\% |

## Per Capita Federal Grants Expenditures to Florida's State and Local Gov'ts by Department and Agency Federal Fiscal Years 1995-96 through 1999-2000

|  | 1995-96 | 1996-97 |  | 1997-98 |  | 1998-99 |  | 1999-2000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Federal Department / Agency | Per Capita Expenditure | Per Capita Expenditure | \% <br> Chg. | Per Capita Expenditure | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Chg. } \end{gathered}$ | Per Capita Expenditure | \% <br> Chg. | Per Capita Expenditure | \% Chg. |
| Equal Employment Opportunity Commission | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.0\% | 0.08 | 14.3\% | 0.06 | -25.0\% | 0.07 | 16.7\% |
| Social Security Administration | 0.06 | 0.13 | 116.7\% | 0.19 | 46.2\% | 0.20 | 5.3\% | 0.14 | -30.0\% |
| National Foundation on the Arts and Humanities | 0.06 | 0.05 | -16.7\% | 0.04 | -20.0\% | 0.05 | 25.0\% | 0.03 | -40.0\% |
| Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation | 0.04 | 0.06 | 50.0\% | 0.07 | 16.7\% | 0.13 | 85.7\% | 0.10 | -23.1\% |
| Institute of Museum and Library Services | 0.02 | 0.01 | -50.0\% | 0.58 | 5700.0\% | 0.45 | -22.4\% | 0.47 | 4.4\% |
| State Justice Institute | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.0\% | 0.01 | 0.0\% | 0.01 | 0.0\% | 0.01 | 0.0\% |
| Corporation for National and Community Service | NA | NA | - | NA | - | NA | - | 1.00 | - |
| Per Capita Grants Expenditures to Florida | \$ 586.22 | \$ 580.35 | -1.0\% | \$ 653.91 | 12.7\% | \$ 715.42 | 9.4\% | \$ 730.53 | 2.1\% |
| Florida's Ranking among the States | 48th | 49th |  | 48th |  | 48th |  | 48th |  |

Data Sources:
1996 Expenditures: U.S. Bureau of the Census. Federal Expenditures by State for Fiscal Year 1996. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1997. 1997 Expenditures: U.S. Bureau of the Census. Federal Expenditures by State for Fiscal Year 1997. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1998. 1998 Expenditures: U.S. Bureau of the Census. Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 1998. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1999. 1999 Expenditures: U.S. Bureau of the Census. Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 1999. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 2000. 2000 Expenditures: U.S. Bureau of the Census. Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2000. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 2001.

Compiled by the Florida Legislative Committee on Intergovernmental Relations (July 2001).

## Federal Grants Expenditures to Florida's State and Local Gov'ts for Select Grants Categories Federal Fiscal Years 1995-96 through 1999-2000

|  |  | 1995-96 |  | 1996-97 |  |  | 1997-98 |  |  | 1998-99 |  |  | 1999-200 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Federal Department / Grants Category |  | Total Expenditure |  | Total Expenditure | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Chg. } \end{gathered}$ |  | Total Expenditure | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Chg. } \end{gathered}$ |  | Total Expenditure | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Chg. } \end{gathered}$ |  | Total Expenditure | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Chg. } \end{gathered}$ |
| Dept. of Agriculture <br> Food and Nutrition Service | \$ | 687,831,000 | \$ | 717,314,000 | 4.3\% |  | 752,965,000 | 5.0\% |  | 792,249,000 | 5.2\% |  | 749,265,000 | -5.4\% |
| Dept. of Education <br> Office of Elementary and Secondary Education | \$ | 353,707,000 | \$ | 375,822,000 | 6.3\% |  | 499,693,000 | 33.0\% |  | 434,601,000 | -13.0\% | \$ | 586,521,000 | 35.0\% |
| Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services | \$ | 253,565,000 | \$ | 270,987,000 | 6.9\% |  | 417,252,000 | 54.0\% |  | 402,852,000 | -3.5\% | \$ | 284,837,000 | -29.3\% |
| Dept. of Health and Human Services Health Care Financing Administration |  | 3,382,113,000 |  | 3,536,257,000 | 4.6\% |  | 3,706,871,000 | 4.8\% |  | 4,024,883,000 | 8.6\% | \$ | 4,713,191,000 | 17.1\% |
| Administration for Children and Families |  | 1,206,924,000 |  | 815,973,000 | -32.4\% |  | 1,272,178,000 | 55.9\% |  | 1,382,578,000 | 8.7\% |  | 1,252,577,000 | -9.4\% |
| Dept. of Housing and Urban Development Community Development and Planning | \$ | 193,063,000 |  | 188,108,000 | -2.6\% |  | 214,342,000 | 13.9\% |  | 207,421,000 | -3.2\% | \$ | 236,046,000 | 13.8\% |
| Dept. of Labor <br> Employment and Training Administration | \$ | 287,569,000 |  | 215,051,000 | -25.2\% |  | 258,523,000 | 20.2\% |  | 260,507,000 | 0.8\% |  | 172,718,000 | -33.7\% |
| Dept. of Transportation <br> Federal Highway Administration | \$ | 614,447,000 |  | 748,498,000 | 21.8\% |  | 719,647,000 | -3.9\% |  | 787,467,000 | 9.4\% |  | 1,117,061,000 | 41.9\% |
| Federal Transit Administration |  | 139,880,000 |  | 154,438,000 | 10.4\% |  | 134,129,000 | -13.2\% |  | 211,601,000 | 57.8\% |  | 212,229,000 | 0.3\% |
| Total of Select Grants Categories |  | 7,119,099,000 |  | 7,022,448,000 | -1.4\% |  | 7,975,600,000 | 13.6\% |  | 8,504,159,000 | 6.6\% |  | 9,324,445,000 | 9.6\% |
| Total of All Grants |  | 8,442,417,000 | \$ | 8,504,474,000 | 0.7\% |  | 9,753,762,000 | 14.7\% |  | 10,810,960,000 | 10.8\% |  | 11,675,656,000 | 8.0\% |
| Select Grants as \% of All Grants |  | 84.3\% |  | 82.6\% |  |  | 81.8\% |  |  | 78.7\% |  |  | 79.9\% |  |

## Federal Grants Expenditures to Florida's State and Local Gov'ts for Select Grants Categories

Federal Fiscal Years 1995-96 through 1999-2000

|  | 1995-96 | 1996-97 |  | 1997-98 |  | 1998-99 |  | 1999-2000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Federal Department / Grants Category | Total Expenditure | Total Expenditure | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Chg. } \end{gathered}$ | Total Expenditure | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Chg. } \end{gathered}$ | Total Expenditure | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Chg. } \end{gathered}$ | Total Expenditure | \% Chg. |

Data Sources:
1996 Expenditures: U.S. Bureau of the Census. Federal Expenditures by State for Fiscal Year 1996. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1997. 1997 Expenditures: U.S. Bureau of the Census. Federal Expenditures by State for Fiscal Year 1997. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1998. 1998 Expenditures: U.S. Bureau of the Census. Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 1998. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1999 1999 Expenditures: U.S. Bureau of the Census. Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 1999. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 2000 2000 Expenditures: U.S. Bureau of the Census. Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2000. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 2001

Compiled by the Florida Legislative Committee on Intergovernmental Relations (August 2001).

## D. Conclusion

Florida's state and local governments received $\$ 11.7$ billion, or $\$ 731$ per capita, in grants and other payments. Florida had the $5^{\text {th }}$ largest grants expenditure of the fifty states. However, on a per capita basis, Florida ranked $48^{\text {th }}$ among the states in the receipt of federal grants funding.

Because the federal government aggregates expenditures of hundreds of separate grant programs into broad program categories for reporting purposes, it is difficult to determine why the state ranks so low, on a per capita basis, relative to other states in many program categories. Certainly, this aggregation of expenditure data masks differences among individual grant programs. A high per capita ranking in a particular program may be offset to some degree by a low per capita ranking in another program.

This report utilizes a per capita measure to control for population differences among states. However, this measure does not take into consideration levels of need or utilization. For example, a state may perceive a need for certain grants, but it may be unable to receive monies due to the program's eligibility requirements. Conversely, a state may be fully qualified to participate in a particular federal grant but may choose not to participate, or participate fully, due to the requirements or conditions associated with the receipt of funds.

According to past statements made by representatives of several state agencies, per capita measurements of certain federal grants receipts, while low compared to other states, may not capture the fact that for Florida the amounts are adequately serving their target populations. Additionally, some grant funding formulas incorporate variables other than the population at large; therefore, the use of a per capita measure for comparisons among states may not be appropriate.

Florida's per capita expenditures for select grants may be lower than for most other states because of the state's unique demographic composition, which features large retiree and elderly populations. However, when funding is compared in terms of actual dollar figures, or per target population figures, the state may actually rank much higher nationally.

In spite of the caveats mentioned above, the data presented in this part suggest that it is possible for Florida to realize significant improvement in the acquisition of federal grants. Therefore, elected federal, state, and local officials should consider the development of a comprehensive strategy in the evaluation and acquisition of federal grants and identify federal and state policy changes to enhance the state's access to federal grants funding.

## Part Four: Federal Direct Expenditures to Florida Counties

## A. Introduction

Federal funding can significantly impact the finances of local governments. The purpose of this part is to illustrate how the previously mentioned $\$ 92.8$ billion in federal directexpenditures (i.e., direct payments for individuals for retirement and disability, 2) direct payments for individuals other than for retirement and disability, 3) grants, 4) procurement contracts, and 5) salaries and wages) to Florida in federal fiscal year 1999-2000 was distributed among the state's sixty-seven counties. Other types of federal assistance such as direct loans, guaranteed loans, and insurance programs are not addressed here.

Past changes in criteria for the receipt of federal direct expenditures have had unequal impacts on local economies. Likewise, future changes in criteria are likely to affect individual counties quite differently. This information should be useful to policy makers as they assess the impact of future changes in federal funding on Florida's local governments.

## B. Data Source

The source of the data summarized in this part is the U.S. Bureau of the Census publication entitled Consolidated Federal Funds Report: Fiscal Year 2000. This publication summarizes federal government expenditures or obligations to states, counties, and subcounty areas. However, the focus in this part is on those reported expenditures to Florida's sixty-seven counties.

## C. Geographic Coding of Federal Direct Expenditures

The basis for the geographic coding of federal direct expenditures to counties varies depending on the data sources; however, the following general guidelines apply. For salaries and wages, the distribution is based on the place of employment. The distribution of procurement contract awards is based on the place of performance. For retirement and disability payments as well as other direct payments, the distribution is based on the recipient's location.

The distribution of grants is based on the location of the initial recipient. For grants that are ultimately distributed to other counties, the reader should note that some dollar amounts appear in Leon County's total. This reflects the coding of some grants to state government, even when payments are subsequently passed through to local jurisdictions, or the financial impact of the grant award is statewide. Most large volume grants involve a direct federal-to-state transfer of aid, which the state government subsequently redistributes.

Many federal grants involve a direct payment to state government that is then responsible for program administration. Such examples include those grants that are 'passed-through' to local governments. Another example includes those grants, such as for highway construction, in which the financial impact is spread over all areas of the state. A third example includes those grants or assistance programs that the state government administers but for which the ultimate beneficiaries are found throughout the state.

Table 4-1 on pages 60-61 provides a county-by-county listing of the total expenditures for each direct expenditure category. Table 4-2 on pages 62-63 provides a county-by-county listing of the federal direct expenditures by category as a percentage of total direct expenditures. Table 4-3 on pages 64-65 provides a county-by-county listing of per capita expenditures for each direct expenditure category.
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| Table 4-1 <br> Federal Direct Expenditures to Florida Counties Federal Fiscal Year 1999-2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County | Retirement \& Disability | Other Direct Payments | Grants | Procurement | Salaries \& Wages | Total |
| Alachua | \$ 391,729,000 | \$ 224,303,000 | \$ 314,460,000 | \$ 34,535,000 | \$ 148,496,000 | \$ 1,113,523,000 |
| Baker | 43,795,000 | 16,947,000 | 14,187,000 | 1,156,000 | 2,770,000 | 78,855,000 |
| Bay | 464,953,000 | 284,220,000 | 81,110,000 | 163,008,000 | 263,730,000 | 1,257,021,000 |
| Bradford | 53,070,000 | 24,847,000 | 19,596,000 | 1,940,000 | 7,432,000 | 106,885,000 |
| Brevard | 1,651,967,000 | 536,720,000 | 167,758,000 | 1,574,184,000 | 362,886,000 | 4,293,515,000 |
| Broward | 3,254,649,000 | 2,390,099,000 | 544,863,000 | 200,075,000 | 412,939,000 | 6,802,625,000 |
| Calhoun | 26,416,000 | 15,411,000 | 14,502,000 | 505,000 | 1,046,000 | 57,880,000 |
| Charlotte | 542,440,000 | 235,078,000 | 19,308,000 | 4,262,000 | 15,090,000 | 816,178,000 |
| Citrus | 453,493,000 | 184,848,000 | 24,294,000 | 5,701,000 | 11,073,000 | 679,409,000 |
| Clay | 379,388,000 | 79,909,000 | 28,009,000 | 16,163,000 | 18,889,000 | 522,358,000 |
| Collier | 636,927,000 | 239,152,000 | 67,602,000 | 17,700,000 | 34,573,000 | 995,954,000 |
| Columbia | 141,421,000 | 54,185,000 | 43,958,000 | 3,586,000 | 43,665,000 | 286,815,000 |
| DeSoto | 63,358,000 | 42,942,000 | 18,755,000 | 778,000 | 2,883,000 | 128,716,000 |
| Dixie | 41,949,000 | 13,633,000 | 8,992,000 | 261,000 | 1,028,000 | 65,863,000 |
| Duval | 1,690,453,000 | 928,043,000 | 588,537,000 | 529,967,000 | 1,413,378,000 | 5,150,378,000 |
| Escambia | 925,164,000 | 316,495,000 | 257,937,000 | 128,499,000 | 591,552,000 | 2,219,647,000 |
| Flagler | 199,233,000 | 50,444,000 | 16,286,000 | 1,532,000 | 6,044,000 | 273,539,000 |
| Franklin | 27,152,000 | 14,804,000 | 13,788,000 | 629,000 | 1,383,000 | 57,756,000 |
| Gadsden | 93,006,000 | 57,559,000 | 79,013,000 | 3,057,000 | 6,803,000 | 239,438,000 |
| Gilchrist | 30,083,000 | 10,927,000 | 13,642,000 | 340,000 | 1,295,000 | 56,287,000 |
| Glades | 14,671,000 | 6,603,000 | 3,171,000 | 1,058,000 | 632,000 | 26,135,000 |
| Gulf | 39,289,000 | 20,264,000 | 11,374,000 | 198,000 | 818,000 | 71,943,000 |
| Hamilton | 27,206,000 | 12,303,000 | 19,264,000 | 397,000 | 1,506,000 | 60,676,000 |
| Hardee | 42,961,000 | 26,967,000 | 21,492,000 | 621,000 | 2,641,000 | 94,682,000 |
| Hendry | 50,232,000 | 43,835,000 | 24,274,000 | 3,639,000 | 4,567,000 | 126,547,000 |
| Hernando | 552,559,000 | 243,580,000 | 35,119,000 | 5,018,000 | 16,015,000 | 852,291,000 |
| Highlands | 322,175,000 | 150,898,000 | 35,880,000 | 7,843,000 | 13,956,000 | 530,752,000 |
| Hillsborough | 2,058,830,000 | 1,000,037,000 | 703,713,000 | 544,630,000 | 736,382,000 | 5,043,592,000 |
| Holmes | 50,975,000 | 25,482,000 | 41,101,000 | $(1,150,000)$ | 2,938,000 | 119,346,000 |
| Indian River | 414,943,000 | 197,377,000 | 31,426,000 | 13,942,000 | 19,803,000 | 677,491,000 |
| Jackson | 112,385,000 | 75,279,000 | 73,256,000 | 1,847,000 | 31,030,000 | 293,797,000 |
| Jefferson | 28,905,000 | 17,523,000 | 22,180,000 | 2,683,000 | 1,601,000 | 72,892,000 |
| Lafayette | 10,129,000 | 4,692,000 | 5,859,000 | $(189,000)$ | 711,000 | 21,202,000 |
| Lake | 817,863,000 | 301,734,000 | 60,754,000 | 28,659,000 | 26,649,000 | 1,235,659,000 |
| Lee | 1,328,547,000 | 575,245,000 | 122,608,000 | 38,026,000 | 103,042,000 | 2,167,468,000 |
| Leon | 401,258,000 | 236,834,000 | 2,155,781,000 | 34,757,000 | 100,067,000 | 2,928,697,000 |
| Levy | 94,494,000 | 35,880,000 | 19,913,000 | 1,068,000 | 4,395,000 | 155,750,000 |
| Liberty | 12,514,000 | 5,078,000 | 6,939,000 | 521,000 | 1,645,000 | 26,697,000 |
| Madison | 42,838,000 | 23,103,000 | 33,958,000 | 524,000 | 2,265,000 | 102,688,000 |
| Manatee | 727,892,000 | 324,374,000 | 78,954,000 | 17,990,000 | 63,458,000 | 1,212,668,000 |
| Marion | 876,695,000 | 330,093,000 | 119,328,000 | 31,651,000 | 36,010,000 | 1,393,777,000 |
| Martin | 441,570,000 | 191,014,000 | 28,123,000 | 14,429,000 | 15,121,000 | 690,257,000 |
| Miami-Dade | 3,551,096,000 | 3,630,359,000 | 3,038,407,000 | 315,406,000 | 1,100,583,000 | 11,635,851,000 |
| Monroe | 177,231,000 | 93,639,000 | 37,743,000 | 28,989,000 | 87,808,000 | 425,410,000 |
| Nassau | 137,124,000 | 40,689,000 | 39,526,000 | 5,620,000 | 58,121,000 | 281,080,000 |
| Okaloosa | 682,761,000 | 128,577,000 | 64,760,000 | 517,898,000 | 749,512,000 | 2,143,508,000 |
| Okeechobee | 87,104,000 | 54,517,000 | 21,294,000 | 2,108,000 | 3,622,000 | 168,645,000 |
| Orange | 1,632,073,000 | 755,029,000 | 445,289,000 | 1,973,970,000 | 388,476,000 | 5,194,837,000 |



Table 4-2
Federal Direct Expenditures by Category as a Percentage of Total Direct Expenditures Florida Counties
Federal Fiscal Year 1999-2000

| County | Retirement \& Disability | Other Direct Payments | Grants | Procurement | Salaries \& Wages |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alachua | 35.2\% | 20.1\% | 28.2\% | 3.1\% | 13.3\% |
| Baker | 55.5\% | 21.5\% | 18.0\% | 1.5\% | 3.5\% |
| Bay | 37.0\% | 22.6\% | 6.5\% | 13.0\% | 21.0\% |
| Bradford | 49.7\% | 23.2\% | 18.3\% | 1.8\% | 7.0\% |
| Brevard | 38.5\% | 12.5\% | 3.9\% | 36.7\% | 8.5\% |
| Broward | 47.8\% | 35.1\% | 8.0\% | 2.9\% | 6.1\% |
| Calhoun | 45.6\% | 26.6\% | 25.1\% | 0.9\% | 1.8\% |
| Charlotte | 66.5\% | 28.8\% | 2.4\% | 0.5\% | 1.8\% |
| Citrus | 66.7\% | 27.2\% | 3.6\% | 0.8\% | 1.6\% |
| Clay | 72.6\% | 15.3\% | 5.4\% | 3.1\% | 3.6\% |
| Collier | 64.0\% | 24.0\% | 6.8\% | 1.8\% | 3.5\% |
| Columbia | 49.3\% | 18.9\% | 15.3\% | 1.3\% | 15.2\% |
| DeSoto | 49.2\% | 33.4\% | 14.6\% | 0.6\% | 2.2\% |
| Dixie | 63.7\% | 20.7\% | 13.7\% | 0.4\% | 1.6\% |
| Duval | 32.8\% | 18.0\% | 11.4\% | 10.3\% | 27.4\% |
| Escambia | 41.7\% | 14.3\% | 11.6\% | 5.8\% | 26.7\% |
| Flagler | 72.8\% | 18.4\% | 6.0\% | 0.6\% | 2.2\% |
| Franklin | 47.0\% | 25.6\% | 23.9\% | 1.1\% | 2.4\% |
| Gadsden | 38.8\% | 24.0\% | 33.0\% | 1.3\% | 2.8\% |
| Gilchrist | 53.4\% | 19.4\% | 24.2\% | 0.6\% | 2.3\% |
| Glades | 56.1\% | 25.3\% | 12.1\% | 4.0\% | 2.4\% |
| Gulf | 54.6\% | 28.2\% | 15.8\% | 0.3\% | 1.1\% |
| Hamilton | 44.8\% | 20.3\% | 31.7\% | 0.7\% | 2.5\% |
| Hardee | 45.4\% | 28.5\% | 22.7\% | 0.7\% | 2.8\% |
| Hendry | 39.7\% | 34.6\% | 19.2\% | 2.9\% | 3.6\% |
| Hernando | 64.8\% | 28.6\% | 4.1\% | 0.6\% | 1.9\% |
| Highlands | 60.7\% | 28.4\% | 6.8\% | 1.5\% | 2.6\% |
| Hillsborough | 40.8\% | 19.8\% | 14.0\% | 10.8\% | 14.6\% |
| Holmes | 42.7\% | 21.4\% | 34.4\% | -1.0\% | 2.5\% |
| Indian River | 61.2\% | 29.1\% | 4.6\% | 2.1\% | 2.9\% |
| Jackson | 38.3\% | 25.6\% | 24.9\% | 0.6\% | 10.6\% |
| Jefferson | 39.7\% | 24.0\% | 30.4\% | 3.7\% | 2.2\% |
| Lafayette | 47.8\% | 22.1\% | 27.6\% | -0.9\% | 3.4\% |
| Lake | 66.2\% | 24.4\% | 4.9\% | 2.3\% | 2.2\% |
| Lee | 61.3\% | 26.5\% | 5.7\% | 1.8\% | 4.8\% |
| Leon | 13.7\% | 8.1\% | 73.6\% | 1.2\% | 3.4\% |
| Levy | 60.7\% | 23.0\% | 12.8\% | 0.7\% | 2.8\% |
| Liberty | 46.9\% | 19.0\% | 26.0\% | 2.0\% | 6.2\% |
| Madison | 41.7\% | 22.5\% | 33.1\% | 0.5\% | 2.2\% |
| Manatee | 60.0\% | 26.7\% | 6.5\% | 1.5\% | 5.2\% |
| Marion | 62.9\% | 23.7\% | 8.6\% | 2.3\% | 2.6\% |
| Martin | 64.0\% | 27.7\% | 4.1\% | 2.1\% | 2.2\% |
| Miami-Dade | 30.5\% | 31.2\% | 26.1\% | 2.7\% | 9.5\% |
| Monroe | 41.7\% | 22.0\% | 8.9\% | 6.8\% | 20.6\% |
| Nassau | 48.8\% | 14.5\% | 14.1\% | 2.0\% | 20.7\% |
| Okaloosa | 31.9\% | 6.0\% | 3.0\% | 24.2\% | 35.0\% |


| Table 4-2 continued |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Federal Direct Expenditures by Category as a Percentage of Total Direct Expenditures Florida Counties <br> Federal Fiscal Year 1999-2000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Retirement \& | Other Direct |  |  |  |
| County | Disability | Payments | Grants | Procurement | Wages |
| Okeechobee | 51.6\% | 32.3\% | 12.6\% | 1.2\% | 2.1\% |
| Orange | 31.4\% | 14.5\% | 8.6\% | 38.0\% | 7.5\% |
| Osceola | 57.8\% | 28.2\% | 6.7\% | 4.1\% | 3.1\% |
| Palm Beach | 44.3\% | 25.4\% | 6.7\% | 19.0\% | 4.5\% |
| Pasco | 56.6\% | 34.9\% | 5.4\% | 0.7\% | 2.4\% |
| Pinellas | 50.2\% | 27.0\% | 6.3\% | 10.6\% | 5.9\% |
| Polk | 59.7\% | 24.1\% | 11.4\% | 0.9\% | 3.8\% |
| Putnam | 51.6\% | 25.6\% | 20.1\% | 0.6\% | 2.0\% |
| Saint Johns | 56.9\% | 20.6\% | 13.9\% | 4.2\% | 4.4\% |
| Saint Lucie | 61.3\% | 26.8\% | 8.1\% | 0.9\% | 2.9\% |
| Santa Rosa | 58.5\% | 14.1\% | 6.5\% | 8.8\% | 12.1\% |
| Sarasota | 63.5\% | 28.4\% | 4.2\% | 1.5\% | 2.4\% |
| Seminole | 56.9\% | 20.6\% | 11.6\% | 3.3\% | 7.5\% |
| Sumter | 44.4\% | 17.5\% | 19.2\% | 3.1\% | 15.8\% |
| Suwannee | 58.1\% | 23.9\% | 13.5\% | 0.7\% | 3.8\% |
| Taylor | 37.8\% | 20.4\% | 15.6\% | 24.4\% | 1.7\% |
| Union | 44.1\% | 19.4\% | 33.4\% | 0.7\% | 2.5\% |
| Volusia | 58.2\% | 25.6\% | 8.0\% | 4.9\% | 3.3\% |
| Wakulla | 56.1\% | 20.2\% | 16.5\% | 2.0\% | 5.2\% |
| Walton | 51.5\% | 18.8\% | 17.5\% | 8.2\% | 4.1\% |
| Washington | 47.4\% | 24.2\% | 25.4\% | 0.7\% | 2.4\% |
| Note: Negativ assistance pr <br> Compiled by published in (Issued April | ages are repre arded. <br> Legislative Co nsus Bureau r | ve of negative <br> e on Intergov ntitled "Conso | unts that r <br> elations <br> ral Funds | deobligations <br> st 2001) based <br> ort: Fiscal Yea | cial <br> rmation |

Table 4-3
Per Capita Federal Direct Expenditures to Florida Counties Federal Fiscal Year 1999-2000

| County | Retirement \& Disability | Other Direct Payments | Grants | Procurement | Salaries \& Wages | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alachua | \$ 1,797 | \$ 1,029 | \$ 1,443 | \$ 158 | \$ 681 | \$ 5,109 |
| Baker | 1,968 | 761 | 637 | 52 | 124 | 3,543 |
| Bay | 3,137 | 1,918 | 547 | 1,100 | 1,779 | 8,481 |
| Bradford | 2,034 | 952 | 751 | 74 | 285 | 4,097 |
| Brevard | 3,469 | 1,127 | 352 | 3,306 | 762 | 9,016 |
| Broward | 2,005 | 1,473 | 336 | 123 | 254 | 4,191 |
| Calhoun | 2,029 | 1,184 | 1,114 | 39 | 80 | 4,446 |
| Charlotte | 3,830 | 1,660 | 136 | 30 | 107 | 5,763 |
| Citrus | 3,840 | 1,565 | 206 | 48 | 94 | 5,754 |
| Clay | 2,694 | 567 | 199 | 115 | 134 | 3,710 |
| Collier | 2,534 | 951 | 269 | 70 | 138 | 3,962 |
| Columbia | 2,502 | 959 | 778 | 63 | 773 | 5,075 |
| DeSoto | 1,967 | 1,333 | 582 | 24 | 90 | 3,996 |
| Dixie | 3,034 | 986 | 650 | 19 | 74 | 4,763 |
| Duval | 2,170 | 1,192 | 756 | 680 | 1,815 | 6,613 |
| Escambia | 3,142 | 1,075 | 876 | 436 | 2,009 | 7,539 |
| Flagler | 3,998 | 1,012 | 327 | 31 | 121 | 5,489 |
| Franklin | 2,456 | 1,339 | 1,247 | 57 | 125 | 5,223 |
| Gadsden | 2,063 | 1,277 | 1,752 | 68 | 151 | 5,311 |
| Gilchrist | 2,084 | 757 | 945 | 24 | 90 | 3,899 |
| Glades | 1,387 | 624 | 300 | 100 | 60 | 2,471 |
| Gulf | 2,947 | 1,520 | 853 | 15 | 61 | 5,396 |
| Hamilton | 2,041 | 923 | 1,445 | 30 | 113 | 4,553 |
| Hardee | 1,595 | 1,001 | 798 | 23 | 98 | 3,515 |
| Hendry | 1,387 | 1,211 | 670 | 100 | 126 | 3,495 |
| Hernando | 4,224 | 1,862 | 268 | 38 | 122 | 6,516 |
| Highlands | 3,688 | 1,727 | 411 | 90 | 160 | 6,075 |
| Hillsborough | 2,061 | 1,001 | 704 | 545 | 737 | 5,049 |
| Holmes | 2,746 | 1,373 | 2,214 | (62) | 158 | 6,429 |
| Indian River | 3,674 | 1,748 | 278 | 123 | 175 | 5,998 |
| Jackson | 2,404 | 1,610 | 1,567 | 40 | 664 | 6,284 |
| Jefferson | 2,240 | 1,358 | 1,719 | 208 | 124 | 5,650 |
| Lafayette | 1,442 | 668 | 834 | (27) | 101 | 3,019 |
| Lake | 3,885 | 1,433 | 289 | 136 | 127 | 5,869 |
| Lee | 3,013 | 1,305 | 278 | 86 | 234 | 4,916 |
| Leon | 1,676 | 989 | 9,003 | 145 | 418 | 12,231 |
| Levy | 2,743 | 1,042 | 578 | 31 | 128 | 4,521 |
| Liberty | 1,782 | 723 | 988 | 74 | 234 | 3,802 |
| Madison | 2,287 | 1,233 | 1,813 | 28 | 121 | 5,482 |
| Manatee | 2,757 | 1,229 | 299 | 68 | 240 | 4,593 |
| Marion | 3,386 | 1,275 | 461 | 122 | 139 | 5,383 |
| Martin | 3,484 | 1,507 | 222 | 114 | 119 | 5,447 |
| Miami-Dade | 1,576 | 1,611 | 1,348 | 140 | 488 | 5,164 |
| Monroe | 2,227 | 1,177 | 474 | 364 | 1,103 | 5,345 |
| Nassau | 2,378 | 706 | 685 | 97 | 1,008 | 4,875 |
| Okaloosa | 4,005 | 754 | 380 | 3,038 | 4,396 | 12,572 |
| Okeechobee | 2,426 | 1,518 | 593 | 59 | 101 | 4,696 |
| Orange | 1,821 | 842 | 497 | 2,202 | 433 | 5,796 |


| Table 4-3 continuedPer Capita Federal Direct Expenditures to Florida CountiesFederal Fiscal Year 1999-2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County | Retirement \& Disability | Other Direct Payments | Grants | Procurement | Salaries \& Wages | Total |
| Osceola | 1,690 | 824 | 196 | 121 | 92 | 2,924 |
| Palm Beach | 2,706 | 1,554 | 412 | 1,163 | 277 | 6,113 |
| Pasco | 2,825 | 1,745 | 272 | 33 | 119 | 4,994 |
| Pinellas | 3,186 | 1,717 | 398 | 670 | 377 | 6,349 |
| Polk | 2,514 | 1,016 | 482 | 39 | 159 | 4,210 |
| Putnam | 2,755 | 1,365 | 1,075 | 35 | 106 | 5,336 |
| Saint Johns | 2,626 | 950 | 643 | 195 | 203 | 4,617 |
| Saint Lucie | 3,213 | 1,407 | 424 | 46 | 152 | 5,243 |
| Santa Rosa | 2,851 | 687 | 318 | 430 | 588 | 4,876 |
| Sarasota | 4,081 | 1,825 | 268 | 99 | 153 | 6,426 |
| Seminole | 1,844 | 669 | 377 | 106 | 244 | 3,241 |
| Sumter | 2,492 | 979 | 1,078 | 171 | 887 | 5,607 |
| Suwannee | 2,852 | 1,173 | 663 | 33 | 188 | 4,909 |
| Taylor | 2,293 | 1,240 | 949 | 1,480 | 104 | 6,066 |
| Union | 1,361 | 598 | 1,031 | 21 | 78 | 3,088 |
| Volusia | 3,061 | 1,344 | 421 | 260 | 176 | 5,261 |
| Wakulla | 1,689 | 608 | 497 | 59 | 157 | 3,010 |
| Walton | 2,488 | 907 | 845 | 395 | 199 | 4,835 |
| Washington | 2,591 | 1,324 | 1,388 | 38 | 131 | 5,472 |
| Totals | \$ 2,481 | \$ 1,317 | \$ 732 | \$ 538 | \$ 509 | \$ 5,577 |

Note: Negative dollar amounts reflect deobligations of financial assistance that had been previously awarded.

Compiled by the Florida Legislative Committee on Intergovernmental Relations (August 2001) based on information published in the U.S. Census Bureau report entitled "Consolidated Federal Funds Report: Fiscal Year 2000" (Issued April 2001).

This page was intentionally left blank.

## Appendix:

## Federal Departments and Agencies Addresses of Websites
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## Department of Agriculture

Agricultural Marketing Service
Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service
Farm Service Agency
Food Safety and Inspection Service
Food and Nutrition Service
Forest Service
Natural Resources Conservation Service
Rural Development Activities

## Appalachian Regional Commission

## Department of Commerce

Economic Development Administration
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
National Telecommunications and Information Administration

## Corporation for Public Broadcasting

Corporation for National and Community Service

## Department of Defense

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
U.S. Army National Guard

## Department of Education

Bilingual Education \& Minority Language Affairs
Educational Research and Improvement
Special Education and Rehabilitative Services
Vocational and Adult Education
Elementary and Secondary Education
Post Secondary Education
www.usda.gov www.ams.usda.gov www.reeusda.gov www.fsa.usda.gov www.usda.gov/fsis www.fns.usda.gov/fns
www.fs.fed.us www.nrcs.usda.gov www.rurdev.usda.gov
www.arc.gov
www.doc.gov
www.doc.gov/eda
www.noaa.gov
www.ntia.doc.gov
www.cpb.org
www.cns.gov
www.defenselink.mil
www.usace.army.mil www.armyguard.com
www.edgov www.ed.gov/offices/OBEMLA www.ed.gov/offices/OERI www.ed.gov/offices/OSERS www.ed.gov/offices/OVAE www.ed.gov/offices/OESE www.ed.gov/offices/OPE

## Department of Energy

www.energy.gov

## Environmental Protection Agency

www.epa.gov

## Equal Employment Opportunity Commission

## Federal Emergency Management Agency

## www.fema.gov

## Department of Health and Human Services

Administration for Children \& Families
Administration on Aging
Center for Disease Control and Prevention
Health Care Financing Administration
Health Resources and Services Administration
Substance Abuse and Mental Health Administration

## www.hhs.gov

www.acf.dhhs.gov
www.aoa.dhhs.gov
www.cdc.gov
www.hcfa.gov
www.hrsa.dhhs.gov
www.samhsa.gov

Department of Housing and Urban Development
Office of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity
Office of Community Planning and Development Federal Housing Administration

## Institute for Museum and Library Services

## Department of the Interior

Bureau of Indian Affairs
Bureau of Land Management
Bureau of Reclamation
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Minerals Management Service
National Park Service
Office of Surface Mining, Reclamation, \& Enforcement
Office of Insular Affairs

www.hud.gov<br>www.hud.gov/fhe<br>www.hud.gov/offices/cpd www.hud.gov/offices/hsg/index.cfm

## www.imls.gov

www.doi.gov
www.doi.gov/bureau-indian-affairs.html
www.blm.gov
www.usbr.gov
www.fws.gov
www.minerals.usgs.gov
www.nps.gov
www.osmre.gov
www.doi.gov/oia

## Department of Justice

Office of Justice Programs
www.usdoj.gov
www.ojp.usdoj.gov

## Department of Labor

Employment and Training Administration
Mine Safety and Health Administration
Occupational Health and Safety Administration
www.dol.gov
www.doleta.gov
www.msha.gov
www.osha.gov

National Foundation on the Arts and Humanities
National Endowment for the Arts
National Endowment for the Humanities
(no home page available)
www.arts.endow.gov www.neh.fed.us
Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation www.nw.org
Social Security Administration
WWW.SSa.gov
State Justice Institute ..... www.statejustice.org
Tennessee Valley Authority www.tva.gov
Department of Transportation www.dot.gov
U.S. Coast GuardFederal Aviation AdministrationFederal Highway AdministrationFederal Railroad AdministrationFederal Transit AdministrationNational Highway Traffic Safety AdministrationResearch and Special Programs Administration
Department of the Treasury
www.ustreas.gov
Department of Veterans Affairs
www.va.gov
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