# Review of Federal Funding to Florida in Fiscal Year 2006

**June 2008** 

# Florida Legislative Committee on Intergovernmental Relations



# Florida Legislative Committee on Intergovernmental Relations (As of June 2008)

# **Legislative Members**

Senator Anthony Hill, Sr., Chair

District 1

Representative Faye Culp, Vice Chair

District 57

Senator Alex Diaz de la Portilla

District 36

Representative Ed Hooper

District 50

Senator Steve Oelrich

District 14

Representative Juan-Carlos Planas

District 115

Senator Gary Siplin

District 19

Representative James Waldman

District 95

# **Gubernatorial Appointees**

Joanna Cunningham

Northern Palm Beach County Improvement District

Sue Hershey

Martin County School Board

Kelly Layman

Department of Environmental Protection

Councilman Herbert Polson

City of St. Petersburg

Lisa Saliba

Governor's Office of Policy and Budget Commissioner Cynthia Stevenson Saint Johns County Commission

Commissioner Robert Stewart

Pinellas County Commission

Alton L. "Rip" Colvin, Jr. - Executive Director

# What is the LCIR?

The Florida Legislative Committee on Intergovernmental Relations (LCIR) is a legislative entity that facilitates the development of intergovernmental policies and practices. The Florida LCIR strives to improve coordination and cooperation among state agencies, local governments, and the federal government.

# What Issues Have Been Addressed by the LCIR?

The LCIR completes several publications annually, including the Local Government Financial Information Handbook, Finalized Salaries of County Constitutional Officers and Elected School District Officials, and Intergovernmental Impact Report (Mandates and Measures Affecting Local Government Fiscal Capacity). In addition, the LCIR has addressed the following issues:

- Municipal Incorporations and Annexation
- Impact Fees
- o Natural Disaster Preparedness, Response and Recovery
- o Local Government Financial Emergencies
- o Urban Infill & Infrastructure Capacity
- Marina and Dock Permitting

- o State, Regional, and Local Planning
- Voting by Mail
- o Economic Development
- o Affordable Housing
- o Federal Funds to Florida
- o Federal/State Relations

If you would like additional copies of this report or have comments or questions pertaining to the information contained herein, please contact the LCIR at (850) 488-9627. We welcome your input or suggestions. Our mailing address is:

Florida LCIR c/o Legislative Mail Services Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1300

Homepage: <a href="http://www.floridalcir.gov">http://www.floridalcir.gov</a>

# **Executive Summary**

The purpose of this report is to provide the Florida Legislature and other interested parties with a review and analysis of federal funding to Florida in fiscal year 2006 using data produced by the U.S. Bureau of the Census. In particular, this report focuses on federal grant expenditures to Florida's state and local governments. Florida's historically low per capita ranking among the states in the receipt of federal grants has been an area of particular concern to policymakers.

This report should be useful for making statistical comparisons among states of various federal agencies' funding programs. Additionally, the report should be instructive to decision makers working to develop consensus on priorities and strategies for increasing the state's receipt of federal grants.

This report begins with a review of the two major classifications of federal financial assistance provided to states. These two classifications are: 1) federal direct expenditures and 2) other financial assistance.

# **Federal Direct Expenditures to Florida**

Federal direct expenditures constitute actual funding outlays or obligations of the federal government. These expenditures are reported by the U.S. Bureau of the Census in five categories: 1) direct payments for individuals for retirement and disability, 2) direct payments for individuals other than for retirement and disability, 3) grants, 4) procurement contracts, and 5) salaries & wages.

In 2006, federal direct expenditures to Florida totaled \$143 billion or \$7,903 per capita, based on the state's 2006 population estimate of 18.1 million. Florida had the 4<sup>th</sup> largest expenditure of all states, unchanged from 2005. However, on a per capita basis, Florida ranked 25<sup>th</sup> among the states, down from 23<sup>rd</sup> in 2005.

Direct payments for individuals for retirement and disability constituted the largest category of federal direct expenditure. This category includes Social Security payments, federal retirement and disability payments, and veterans' benefits. Florida's direct payments for retirement and disability totaled \$52.7 billion, or \$2,918 per capita, and accounted for 37 percent of the state's total federal direct expenditures. Florida had the 2<sup>nd</sup> largest expenditure total of the fifty states, unchanged from 2005, and ranked 7<sup>th</sup> on a per capita basis, down from 5<sup>th</sup> in 2005.

Considering Florida's large elderly and retiree populations, these rankings should come as no surprise. Based on Census 2000 counts, Florida's elderly population, defined as age 65 years and over, totaled 2.8 million and accounted for 8 percent of the nation's total elderly population of 35 million. In Florida, the elderly constituted nearly 18 percent of the state's total population. The elderly, as a percentage of the total state population, was higher in Florida than in any other state.

The next largest category of federal direct expenditure was direct payments for individuals other than for retirement and disability. This category includes such items as Medicare benefits, Excess Earned Income Tax Credits, Unemployment Compensation, and Food Stamp payments. Florida's other direct payments totaled \$41.5 billion, or \$2,296 per capita, and accounted for 29 percent of the state's total federal direct expenditures. Florida had the 2<sup>nd</sup> largest expenditure total of the fifty states, up from 3<sup>rd</sup> in 2005, and ranked 8<sup>th</sup> on a per capita basis, down from 6<sup>th</sup> in 2005.

Federal grant obligations to Florida totaled \$22.5 billion, or \$1,243 per capita, and represented 16 percent of total federal direct expenditures to the state. Florida had the 5<sup>th</sup> largest obligations total of the fifty states, down from 4<sup>th</sup> in 2005; however, the state ranked 46<sup>th</sup> on a per capita basis, down from 44<sup>th</sup> in 2005.

The value of procurement contract obligations totaled \$14.8 billion, or \$821 per capita, and accounted for 10 percent of the state's total federal direct expenditures. Florida had the 5<sup>th</sup> largest obligations total of the fifty states, unchanged from 2005, and ranked 32<sup>nd</sup> on a per capita basis, down from 31<sup>st</sup> in 2005.

The smallest category of federal direct expenditures to Florida was salaries and wages. Such payments totaled \$11.3 billion, or \$624 per capita, and accounted for 8 percent of total federal direct expenditures to the state. Florida had the 4<sup>th</sup> largest expenditure total of the fifty states, up from 5<sup>th</sup> in 2005; and the state ranked 32<sup>nd</sup> on a per capita basis, up from 33<sup>rd</sup> in 2005.

# Other Financial Assistance to Florida

Other financial assistance consists of the face value of federal insurance coverage as well as the dollar volume of federal guaranteed and direct loans. Other financial assistance to Florida totaled \$432 billion or \$23,923 per capita. The state ranked first among the fifty states in both total and per capita assistance due to the significant face value of flood insurance coverage provided to Florida, which constituted 98 percent of total other financial assistance provided to the state by the federal government.

The total amounts of other federal assistance to Florida are summarized below.

# Face Value of Insurance Coverage

Total: \$422 billion; Rank: 1<sup>st</sup> (1<sup>st</sup> in 2005) Per Capita: \$23,397; Rank: 1<sup>st</sup> (1<sup>st</sup> in 2005)

# **Dollar Volume of Guaranteed Loans**

Total: \$8.2 billion; Rank:  $3^{rd}$  ( $3^{rd}$  in 2005) Per Capita: \$457; Rank:  $41^{st}$  ( $37^{th}$  in 2005)

# **Dollar Volume of Direct Loans**

Total: \$1.3 billion; Rank: 5<sup>th</sup> (2<sup>nd</sup> in 2005) Per Capita: \$70; Rank: 18<sup>th</sup> (10<sup>th</sup> in 2005)

# **How Florida Compares to Other Populous States**

The U.S. Census Bureau reported that the federal direct expenditures (i.e., direct payments for individuals for retirement and disability, direct payments for individuals other than for retirement and disability, grants, procurement contracts, and salaries and wages) to the fifty states totaled \$2.37 trillion in 2006, up from \$2.21 trillion in 2005. The combined federal direct expenditures to the seven most populous states of California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio, totaled \$902 billion or 38 percent of the fifty states' total.

Interestingly, the combined federal direct expenditures to these same seven states in 2005 totaled \$928 billion and 42 percent of the fifty states' total. In 2006, the seven most populous states, collectively, received a smaller proportional share of the national direct expenditure total while the combined population of these seven states remained constant at 45 percent in both 2005 and 2006.

The total federal direct expenditures of the seven most populous states are as follows.

California: \$254 billion
 Texas: \$167 billion
 New York: \$153 billion

4. Florida: \$143 billion

5. Pennsylvania: \$103 billion

6. Illinois: \$82 billion7. Ohio: \$81 billion

However, as illustrated below, the states' respective rankings change when controlling for population differences. The per capita federal direct expenditures of these same seven states are as follows.

1. Pennsylvania: \$8,326 (1<sup>st</sup> in 2005)

2. New York: \$7,931 (3<sup>rd</sup> in 2005)

3. Florida: \$7,903 (2<sup>nd</sup> in 2005)

Texas: \$7,118 (6<sup>th</sup> in 2005)
 Ohio: \$7,046 (4<sup>th</sup> in 2005)

6. California: \$7,004 (5<sup>th</sup> in 2005)

7. Illinois: \$6,435 (7<sup>th</sup> in 2005)

# Florida's Receipt of Federal Grants

Federal grants continue to be important sources of revenue utilized by our nation's state and local governments to provide necessary infrastructure and services to their residents. Federal grant expenditures (i.e., actual outlays) to the fifty states totaled \$404 billion in fiscal year 2006.

As opposed to the \$22.5 billion of federal grant obligations to Florida previously discussed, federal grant expenditures to our state and local governments totaled \$19.1 billion, or \$1,059 per capita. Florida had the 4<sup>th</sup> largest federal grants expenditure of the fifty states, unchanged from 2005; however, the state ranked 47<sup>th</sup> on a per capita basis, down from 43<sup>rd</sup> in 2005.

The grant funding received from six federal departments (i.e., Health and Human Services, Transportation, Education, Homeland Security, Agriculture, and Housing and Urban Development) totaled \$18.3 billion and accounted for 96 percent of all grants expenditures to Florida. A summary of those departments' grant expenditures to Florida is listed below. Some of the more well-known program categories within these departments are listed.

## Health and Human Services Grants

*Total:* \$9.7 *billion; Rank:* 5<sup>th</sup> (5<sup>th</sup> in 2005) *Per Capita:* \$537; *Rank:* 46<sup>th</sup> (46<sup>th</sup> in 2005)

- Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services
- Administration for Children and Families

# Transportation Grants

Total: \$2.4 billion; Rank: 4<sup>th</sup> (4<sup>th</sup> in 2005) Per Capita: \$131; Rank: 40<sup>th</sup> (39<sup>th</sup> in 2005)

- Federal Highway Administration
- Federal Aviation Administration
- Federal Transit Administration

## **Education Grants**

Total: \$2.1 billion; Rank: 4<sup>th</sup> (4<sup>th</sup> in 2005) Per Capita: \$114; Rank: 35<sup>th</sup> (31<sup>st</sup> in 2005)

- Office of Elementary and Secondary Education
- Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services
- Office of Vocational and Adult Education

# **Homeland Security Grants**

Total: \$1.7 billion; Rank:  $2^{nd}$  ( $1^{st}$  in 2005) Per Capita: \$92; Rank:  $5^{th}$  ( $2^{nd}$  in 2005)

- Federal Emergency Management Agency
- Domestic Preparedness and Antiterrorism Programs

# Housing & Urban Development Grants

Total: \$1.5 billion; Rank: 9<sup>th</sup> (9<sup>th</sup> in 2005) Per Capita: \$83; Rank: 44<sup>th</sup> (42<sup>nd</sup> in 2005)

- Housing Programs
- Community Planning and Development

# **Agriculture Grants**

*Total:* \$1.1 billion; Rank:  $4^{th}$  ( $4^{th}$  in 2005) *Per Capita:* \$60; Rank:  $42^{nd}$  ( $39^{th}$  in 2005)

- Food and Nutrition Services
- Cooperative State Research, Education and Extension Services
- Rural Development Activities

# **Federal Grants to Florida in Recent Years**

Since 1996 the LCIR has conducted an annual review of federal funding to Florida using the U.S. Census Bureau's data. Federal grant

expenditures to Florida have increased significantly during this period.

Between 1996 and 2006, federal grant expenditures increased 126 percent from \$8.4 billion to \$19.1 billion, which equates to an average annual growth rate of 12.6 percent. Florida's ranking among all states in total grant expenditures improved from 7<sup>th</sup> in 1996 to 4<sup>th</sup> in 2006. However, much of this substantial growth in federal expenditures to Florida occurred between 1997 and 2003. Since 2003, the annual growth rate has dropped substantially. In fact, between 2005 and 2006, federal grant expenditures to Florida increased only 0.4 percent.

Florida's relative share of grant expenditures to the fifty states increased from 3.9 percent in 1996 to 4.7 percent in 2006, down from 4.8 percent in 2005. Interestingly, Florida's relative share of the total population of the fifty states continues to increase, from 5.4 percent in 1996 to 6.1 percent in 2006.

Florida's per capita federal grant expenditure increased from \$586 in 1996 to \$1,059 in 2006, down from the highest per capita level of \$1,072 in 2005. Although Florida's per capita ranking has shown some upward movement in recent years, it still remains low. The state's per capita ranking improved from 48<sup>th</sup> in 1996 to its highest level of 43<sup>rd</sup> in 2005, but dropped to 47<sup>th</sup> in 2006.

### Conclusion

Although this report discusses the various types of federal direct expenditure and other financial assistance to Florida, the focus is on federal grant expenditures. Despite Florida's low per capita federal grants expenditure, 47<sup>th</sup> in 2006, federal assistance to our state, primarily in the form of grant funding, accounted for 28 percent of state government's total direct revenue during the 2005-06 fiscal year, according to the Florida Consensus Estimating Conference's *Long Term Revenue Analysis (Fall 2007)*.

Numerous reasons exist for Florida's low per capita federal grants funding; however, three likely explanations are of particular significance. First, many funding formulas are based on outdated population figures or other factors that do not reflect the state's rapid growth in recent decades. Congressional support to revise funding formula inequities is difficult to obtain if other states stand to lose federal funds under revised formulas that benefit Florida. Second, small state minimums in formula allocations disadvantage more populous states like Florida. Third, Florida may not be aggressively pursuing all federal grant opportunities.

During the 2007-08 legislative interim, the LCIR staff undertook a research project entitled, Federal Grants – How Florida's Local Government Can Improve, to identify federal grants that the state's local governments receive and determine if similarly situated local governments are eligible to participate in those grant programs. The results of that research project were presented to the Committee at its June 30, 2008 meeting.

Several years ago, the LCIR surveyed Florida's state agencies regarding the receipt of federal grants. In response to the question of why the state ranked low in the per capita receipt of many federal grants, state agencies

offered a number of explanations. Such explanations included the state's failure to allocate sufficient state matching funds, federal "strings" or policy requirements serving as conditions for receipt of federal grants funding, and cutbacks in federal funding.

In this report, the LCIR utilized the per capita measure to control for population differences among states. However, such a measure does not take into consideration levels of need or utilization. State agency representatives have previously noted that per capita measurements of certain federal grants receipts, while low compared to other states, might not have reflected the fact that such funding was adequately serving the target populations.

In spite of these caveats, the data presented in this report show that Florida still lags behind other states in the receipt of federal grants. In 2006, Florida's per capita federal grants expenditure was \$295 less than the national per capita expenditure. (In 2005, Florida's per capita federal grants expenditure was \$257 less than the national per capita expenditure, so the "gap" has increased.) In 2006, Florida's per capita expenditure was 78 percent of the national per capita expenditure, compared in 81 percent in 2005. Had Florida's per capita grants expenditure of \$1,059 in 2006 equaled just the national average of \$1,354, then this state would have received nearly \$5.3 billion in additional grant funding.

### Recommendations

A number of recommendations, many of which were included in previous annual reports, are offered as ways to potentially increase Florida's receipt of federal grants.

- Coordinate with appropriate state agency personnel to generate more in-depth analyses of the state's federal grants receipts by specific grant programs.
- Develop a comprehensive strategy to evaluate the cost-benefit issues associated with the continued participation or pursuit of federal grants funding.
- Identify federal and state policy changes needed to enhance Florida's access to federal funding streams.
- Form coalitions with similarly-situated states to pursue changes in outdated or inequitable federal funding formulas.
- Work with Congress to implement changes to federal funding formulas determined to be outdated or inequitable.
- Promote the consolidation of federal funding streams to simplify access to federal funding.
- Make the processes of amending the state budget and obtaining spending authority easier.
- Increase the availability of state matching funds.
- Increase training provided at the state and local levels for accessing federal grant funding and promote the federal government's website <a href="www.grants.gov">www.grants.gov</a> to Florida's local governments.
- Increase communication and coordination on federal issues among state agencies, the Governor's Office, the Florida

Washington Office, the Legislature, and the Congressional Delegation.

# **Table of Contents**

	Page #
Introduction	1
Part One: Federal Financial Assistance to Florida	3
A. Introduction	3
B. Data Source	3
C. Federal Financial Assistance to Florida.	3
D. Federal Direct Expenditures	4
1. Direct Payments for Individuals for Retirement and Disability	
2. Direct Payments for Individuals Other Than for Retirement and Disability	
3. Grants	-
4. Procurement Contracts.	5
5. Salaries and Wages	
E. Other Financial Assistance	
1. Face Value of Insurance Coverage	
2. Dollar Volume of Guaranteed Loans	
3. Dollar Volume of Direct Loans	
F. Conclusion	
A. Introduction  B. Data Source  C. Geographic Coding of Federal Direct Expenditures  D. Federal Direct Expenditures by County  1. Federal Direct Expenditures by Category  2. Per Capita Federal Direct Expenditures by Category  3. Federal Direct Expenditures by Category as a Percentage of Total  E. Conclusion	21 21 21 22 22 22
Part Three: Federal Grants to Florida's State and Local Governments	31
C. Federal Grants by Department or Agency	32

Part Four: Florida's Per Capita Federal Grant Expenditures by Age	ncy as a Percentage of
the National Per Capita Expenditure	57
A. Introduction	57
B. Data Sources.	57
C. States' Per Capita Federal Grant Expenditures by Agency	57
D. Conclusion	

# List of Tables

Page # Part One **Table 1-1:** Federal Direct Expenditures and Other Financial Assistance to Florida ......9 **Table 1-2:** Federal Direct Payments to Individuals in Florida for Retirement and Disability...10 **Table 1-3:** Federal Direct Payments to Individuals in Florida Other Than for Retirement and Disability ......11 

 Table 1-4: Federal Grant Obligations to Florida.
 12

**Table 1-5:** Federal Procurement Contract Obligations to Florida
 14

**Table 1-6:** Federal Salary and Wage Expenditures to Florida......

 16

 **Table 1-7:** Face Value of Federal Insurance Program Coverage Provided to Florida......18 Part Two **Table 2-3:** Florida's Federal Direct Expenditures by County – Category as % of Total .......28 **Part Three**  
 Table 3-1: Summary of Federal Grants Expenditures to Florida State and Local Gov'ts.......35

 Table 3-2: U.S. Department of Agriculture
 36

 Table 3-3: U.S. Department of Commerce
 37

 Table 3-5: U.S. Department of Education.
 39

 Table 3-6: U.S. Department of Energy
 40

 Table 3-8: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
 42

 Table 3-9: U.S. Department of Homeland Security
 43

 Table 3-12: U.S. Department of Justice
 46

 Table 3-13: U.S. Department of Labor
 47

 Table 3-14: U.S. Department of Transportation
 48

 **Table 3-16:** Total Federal Grants Expenditures to Florida by Agency: 1996-2006......50 Table 3-17: Per Capita Federal Grants Expenditures to Florida by Agency: 1996-2006.......54

<u>Part Four</u>	
Table 4-1: Florida Summary	
Table 4-2: U.S. Department of Agriculture	
Table 4-3: U.S. Department of Commerce	62
Table 4-4: Corporation for National and Community Service	64
Table 4-5: Corporation for Public Broadcasting	66
Table 4-6: U.S. Department of Defense	
Table 4-7: U.S. Department of Education	70
Table 4-8: Election Assistance Commission	72
Table 4-9: U.S. Department of Energy	74
Table 4-10: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	76
Table 4-11: Equal Employment Opportunity Commission	78
Table 4-12: Federal Emergency Management Agency	80
Table 4-13: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services	82
Table 4-14: U.S. Department of Homeland Security	84
Table 4-15: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development	86
Table 4-16: Institute for Museum and Library Services	88
Table 4-17: U.S. Department of Interior	90
Table 4-18: U.S. Department of Justice	92
Table 4-19: U.S. Department of Labor	94
Table 4-20: National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities	
Table 4-21: Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation	98
Table 4-22: Social Security Administration – Supplemental Security Income	
Table 4-23: State Justice Institute	102
Table 4-24: U.S. Department of Transportation	104
Table 4-25: U.S. Department of the Treasury	106
Table 4-26: U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs	

# Introduction

The Florida Legislative Committee on Intergovernmental Relations (LCIR) annually reviews the state's receipt of federal funds. The purpose of this report is to provide the Legislature and other interested parties with an overview and analysis of federal financial assistance to Florida. In particular, the report focuses on federal grants to Florida's state and local governments. This review is intended to be part of an ongoing strategy to improve federal-state relations generally and facilitate the development of strategies to increase the return of federal tax dollars to the state.

The LCIR reviewed and analyzed federal expenditure data for federal fiscal year 2006 (i.e., October 1, 2005 to September 30, 2006) using data obtained from two U.S. Bureau of the Census publications: *Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2006* and *Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2006*, both issued in April 2008. Two types of federal financial assistance to states are documented in this report. These types are **federal direct expenditures** and **other financial assistance**.

Federal direct expenditures constitute actual outlays or obligations of the federal government. These expenditures are reported by the U.S. Census Bureau in five categories: 1) direct payments for individuals for retirement and disability, 2) direct payments for individuals other than for retirement and disability, 3) grants, 4) procurement contracts, and 5) salaries and wages. Federal direct expenditures to the state totaled \$143 billion or \$7,903 per capita.

Other financial assistance does not constitute actual outlays but, in large measure, reflects the contingent liability of the federal government via the face value of insurance coverages and the dollar volume of loans made. Other financial assistance to Florida totaled \$432 billion or \$23,923 per capita.

This report is divided into four parts and includes one appendix.

**Part One** discusses the types of federal financial assistance to states by summarizing the five categories of federal direct expenditure and the three categories of other financial assistance. Dollar amounts of federal financial assistance to the fifty states collectively, and Florida specifically, are presented. In addition, Florida's rankings among the fifty states, the seven most populous states, and the other southern states on the basis of total and per capita funding are included.

Part Two summarizes federal direct expenditures to Florida's sixty-seven counties.

**Part Three** focuses on only one category of federal funding, grant expenditures to state and local governments. Detailed summaries of federal grants expenditures to Florida, by federal agency, are provided. Additionally, Florida's rankings among the fifty states, the seven most populous states, and the other southern states on the basis of total and per capita expenditures are listed.

**Part Four** examines the states' per capita federal grant expenditures by agency as a percentage of the national per capita expenditures.

The **Appendix** lists the websites of federal agencies.

# Part One: Federal Financial Assistance to Florida

# A. Introduction

This part summarizes the five categories of federal direct expenditures (i.e., direct payments for individuals for retirement and disability, direct payments for individuals other than for retirement and disability, grants, procurement contracts, and salaries and wages) provided to Florida. Three categories of other financial assistance (i.e., insurance coverage, guaranteed loans, and direct loans) are summarized as well. Reported dollar amounts for these other assistance programs represent the face value of insurance coverage or the dollar volume of loans made rather than actual expenditures.

## **B. Data Source**

The source of the data summarized in this part is the U.S. Bureau of the Census publication entitled *Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2006* issued in April 2008. This publication presents federal government expenditures or obligations in state, county, and subcounty areas of the United States. Although the Census Bureau's report includes the relevant data for the District of Columbia and U.S. outlying areas, the focus here is on the assistance provided to the fifty states collectively and Florida specifically.

The financial activity of all federal government agencies is covered except for those agencies that do not submit data to any of the federal reporting systems that serve as information sources for the Census Bureau's report. As a general guide, the grants and procurement data represent obligated funds, while the direct payments and salaries and wages data represent actual expenditures.

## C. Federal Financial Assistance to Florida

The distribution of federal financial assistance to states in fiscal year 2006 is summarized in **Table 1-1** on page 9. Federal direct expenditures to the fifty states totaled \$2.37 trillion or \$7,956 per capita while other financial assistance totaled \$1.24 trillion or \$4,164 per capita. Federal direct expenditures to Florida totaled \$143 billion or \$7,903 per capita, and other financial assistance totaled \$432 billion or \$23,923 per capita.

Federal direct expenditures to Florida constituted approximately 6.0 percent of such expenditures to all fifty states. Florida had the  $4^{th}$  largest expenditure of all states, the  $4^{th}$  largest expenditure of the seven most populous states, and the  $2^{nd}$  largest expenditure of the southern states, after Texas. On a

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Based on July 1, 2006 population estimates prepared by the U.S. Census Bureau, the seven most populous states were California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio. The Census Bureau has classified 16 states in the South region. They are Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

per capita basis, the state ranked  $25^{th}$  among all states,  $3^{rd}$  among the most populous states, and  $10^{th}$  among the southern states.

Other financial assistance to Florida constituted 35 percent of such assistance to all fifty states. The state ranked first among all states in both total and per capita assistance due to the significant face value of flood insurance coverage.

# **D. Federal Direct Expenditures**

As previously mentioned, there are five categories of federal direct expenditures: 1) direct payments for individuals for retirement and disability, 2) direct payments for individuals other than for retirement and disability, 3) grants, 4) procurement contracts, and 5) salaries and wages. Each of these categories is discussed in more detail in the following sections.

# 1. Direct Payments for Individuals for Retirement and Disability

Retirement and disability payments represented the largest category of federal direct expenditure to states. Such payments totaled \$730 billion, or \$2,450 per capita, and represented approximately 31 percent of total direct expenditures to states. These payments to Florida totaled \$52.7 billion, or \$2,918 per capita, and accounted for 37 percent of total direct expenditures to the state.

Florida had the  $2^{nd}$  largest expenditure of all states, after California; the  $2^{nd}$  largest expenditure of the most populous states, after California; and the largest expenditure of the southern states. On a per capita basis, Florida ranked  $7^{th}$  among all states;  $2^{nd}$  among the most populous states, and  $5^{th}$  among the southern states.

As illustrated in **Table 1-2** on page 10, this category includes four types of payments: 1) Social Security payments, 2) federal retirement and disability payments, 3) veterans benefits, and 4) other payments. In Florida, Social Security payments accounted for 78 percent of the state's total value of federal retirement and disability payments to individuals.

Florida's large elderly population is a primary reason for the state's high per capita expenditure relative to other states. Based on the results of Census 2000, Florida's elderly population (i.e., age 65 years and over) totaled 2.8 million and accounted for 8 percent of the nation's total elderly population of 35 million. In Florida, the elderly constituted nearly 18 percent of the state's total population. Relative to other age groupings, the proportion of the total population defined as elderly was greater in Florida than any other state.

# 2. Direct Payments for Individuals Other Than for Retirement and Disability

These payments represented the second largest category of federal direct expenditure to states. Such payments totaled \$562 billion, or \$1,886 per capita, and represented approximately 24 percent of

total direct expenditures to states. These payments to Florida totaled \$41.5 billion, or \$2,296 per capita, and accounted for 29 percent of total direct expenditures to the state. As illustrated in **Table 1-3** on page 11, this category includes eight types of payments. Medicare benefits accounted for 70 percent of other direct payments to the state.

Florida had the 2<sup>nd</sup> largest expenditure of all states, after California; the 2<sup>nd</sup> largest expenditure of the most populous states, after California; and the largest expenditure of the southern states. On a per capita basis, Florida ranked 8<sup>th</sup> among all states, 2<sup>nd</sup> among the most populous states, and 3<sup>rd</sup> among the southern states.

### 3. Grants

Grant obligations represented the third largest category of federal direct expenditure to states. These obligations to states totaled \$484 billion, or \$1,623 per capita, and represented 20 percent of total direct expenditures. These grant obligations to Florida totaled \$22.5 billion, or \$1,243 per capita, and represented 16 percent of total direct expenditures to the state.

As illustrated in **Table 1-4** on pages 12-13, Florida had the 5<sup>th</sup> largest expenditure of all states; the 4<sup>th</sup> largest expenditure of the most populous states; and the 3<sup>rd</sup> largest expenditure of the southern states. On a per capita basis, Florida ranked 46<sup>th</sup> among all states; last among the most populous states, and 15<sup>th</sup> among the southern states.

A more in-depth discussion of federal grants to state and local governments is addressed in Part Three of this report. The amount of total grant obligations to Florida discussed here is approximately \$3.3 billion greater than the total listed in Part Three. While the funding amount presented here reflects total obligations, the funding amount presented in this latter part reflects total expenditures (i.e., actual outlays). Additionally, the funding amount presented here includes obligations to non-governmental entities while the funding amount presented in Part Three reflects expenditures to state and local governments only.

# 4. Procurement Contracts

Procurement contracts represented the fourth largest category of federal direct expenditure to states. Such payments to states totaled \$375 billion, or \$1,259 per capita, and represented 16 percent of total direct expenditures. These payments to Florida totaled \$14.8 billion, or \$821 per capita, and represented 10 percent of total direct expenditures to the state.

As illustrated in **Table 1-5** on pages 14-15, Florida had the 5<sup>th</sup> largest expenditure of all states, the 3<sup>rd</sup> largest expenditure of the most populous states, and the 4<sup>th</sup> largest expenditure of the southern states. On a per capita basis, Florida ranked 32<sup>nd</sup> among all states, 4<sup>th</sup> among the most populous states, and 11<sup>th</sup> among the southern states.

This category includes contract awards to the Department of Defense and non-defense agencies. In Florida, contracts awarded by the Department of Defense accounted for 73 percent of total procurement contracts awarded.

# 5. Salaries and Wages

Federal salary and wage payments represented the smallest category of direct expenditure to states. Such payments to states totaled \$220 billion, or \$739 per capita, and represented 9 percent of total direct expenditures. These payments to Florida totaled \$11.3 billion, or \$624 per capita, and represented 8 percent of direct expenditures to the state.

As illustrated in **Table 1-6** on pages 16-17, Florida had the 4<sup>th</sup> largest expenditure of all states, the 3<sup>rd</sup> largest expenditure of the most populous states, and the 3<sup>rd</sup> largest expenditure of the southern states. On a per capita basis, Florida ranked 32<sup>nd</sup> among all states, 3<sup>rd</sup> among the most populous states, and 13<sup>th</sup> among the southern states.

This category includes salary and wage payments made by the Department of Defense and non-defense agencies. In Florida, the payments made by non-defense agencies accounted for 60 percent of total salary and wage payments.

## E. Other Financial Assistance

The three categories of other financial assistance are: 1) face value of insurance coverages, 2) dollar volume of guaranteed loans, and 3) dollar volume of direct loans. Other financial assistance to Florida totaled \$432 billion, or \$23,923 per capita. This financial assistance to Florida constituted 35 percent of such assistance to all states. The state ranked first among the fifty states in both total and per capita assistance due to the significant face value of flood insurance coverage provided to Florida.

# 1. Face Value of Insurance Coverage

The face value of insurance coverage represented the largest category of other financial assistance to states. Such assistance to states totaled \$1.06 trillion, or \$3,555 per capita, and represented 85 percent of other financial assistance provided to all states. Such coverage in Florida totaled \$423 billion, or \$23,397 per capita, and represented 98 percent of other financial assistance provided to the state by the federal government.

As illustrated in **Table 1-7** on page 18, this category includes five types of insurance coverage. Flood insurance accounted for 99 percent of the total face value of all federal insurance coverage provided to the state. Florida had the largest face value of insurance coverage of the fifty states and ranked first among the states in both total and per capita insurance coverage.

# 2. Dollar Volume of Guaranteed Loans

The second largest category of other financial assistance to states was guaranteed loans. Such assistance to the fifty states totaled \$158 billion, or \$529 per capita, and represented 13 percent of other financial assistance provided to states by the federal government. These loans to Florida totaled \$8.2 billion, or \$457 per capita, and represented only 2 percent of other financial assistance to the state.

As illustrated in **Table 1-8** on page 19, this category includes seven types of guaranteed loans with Federal Family Education Loans accounting for 36 percent of the total dollar volume of federal guaranteed loans made to the state. Florida had the 3<sup>rd</sup> largest dollar volume of all states, the 3<sup>rd</sup> largest volume of the most populous states, and the 2<sup>nd</sup> largest volume of the southern states. On a per capita basis, Florida ranked 41<sup>st</sup> among all states, 5<sup>th</sup> among the most populous states, and 15<sup>th</sup> among the southern states.

# 3. Dollar Volume of Direct Loans

Direct loans represented the smallest category of other financial assistance provided to states. Such assistance to the fifty states totaled \$24 billion, or \$79 per capita, and represented 2 percent of other financial assistance to states. These loans to Florida totaled \$1.3 billion, or \$70 per capita, and represented only 0.3 percent of other financial assistance to the state.

As illustrated in **Table 1-9** on page 20, Florida had the  $5^{th}$  largest dollar volume of all states, the  $3^{rd}$  largest volume of the most populous states, and the  $3^{rd}$  largest volume of the southern states. On a per capita basis, Florida ranked  $18^{th}$  among all states,  $1^{st}$  among the most populous states, and  $7^{th}$  among the southern states.

# F. Conclusion

Federal direct expenditures represent either actual expenditures or obligations of the federal government to this state. By contrast, the reported amounts of other financial assistance reflect the face value of insurance coverage and the dollar volume of loans made.

Florida had high per capita expenditures for federal direct payments for individuals when compared to the national average. This was due primarily to the state's large retiree and elderly populations. However, in the remaining categories of federal direct expenditure (i.e., grants, procurement contracts, and salaries and wages), Florida had per capita expenditures that were less than the national average.

The allocation of federal financial assistance has significant impacts on the finances of state and local governments. Since numerous federal policies govern the distribution of federal funding to states, future policy changes will likely affect individual states quite differently. Knowing the

magnitude of such financial assistance to Florida should be useful to policy makers as they assess strategies for increasing the state's share of federal funding.

			Ta	ble 1-1						
Florida's Fo	d۵	ral Direct Exp			d Other	Financ	ial Aecie	tance		
i ioiida s i ci	uc	•				ı ıllalıc	iai Assis	lance		
	1	rec		scal Year	2006		1	Day Cani	to Francisco	
			% of	Funding	tatala Bankin			•	ta Funding	
Funding Catagories / Subsatagories		Amount	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	All	tate's Rankin	Amount	All	ate's Rankin	•	
Funding Categories / Subcategories		Amount	Total	All	Populous	Region	Amount	AII	Populous	Region
Federal Direct Expenditures										
Direct Payments for Individuals	\$	94,154,793,000	66.0%	2nd	2nd	1st	\$ 5,214	8th	2nd	5th
Retirement and Disability		52,697,727,000	36.9%	2nd	2nd	1st	2,918	7th	2nd	5th
Other Than Retirement and Disability		41,457,066,000	29.1%	2nd	2nd	1st	2,296	8th	2nd	3rd
Grants		22,451,928,000	15.7%	5th	4th	3rd	1,243	46th	7th	15th
Procurement Contracts		14,829,719,000	10.4%	5th	3rd	4th	821	32nd	4th	11th
Salaries and Wages		11,268,890,000	7.9%	4th	3rd	3rd	624	32nd	3rd	13th
Total - Florida	\$	142,705,330,000	100%	4th	4th	2nd	\$ 7,903	25th	3rd	10th
Total - All States	\$	2,372,237,980,000	•				\$ 7,956		•	
Florida as % of All States		6.0%								
	•									
Other Financial Assistance										
Face Value of Insurance Coverages	\$	422,486,677,000	97.8%	1st	1st	1st	\$ 23,397	1st	1st	1st
Dollar Volume of Guaranteed Loans		8,243,961,000	1.9%	3rd	3rd	2nd	457	41st	5th	15th
Dollar Volume of Direct Loans		1,266,958,000	0.3%	5th	3rd	3rd	70	18th	1st	7th
Total - Florida	\$	431,997,596,000	100%	1st	1st	1st	\$ 23,923	1st	1st	1st
Total - All States	\$	1,241,470,562,000					\$ 4,164			
Florida as % of All States		34.8%								

### Notes:

- 1) Funding figures for federal direct expenditures represent either actual expenditures or obligations. Generally, the federal grants and procurement data represent obligated funds, while the direct payments to individuals and salaries and wages represent actual expenditures. Direct and guaranteed loan figures represent the dollar volume of loans made. Data on insurance coverages represent the face value of coverage provided. In the published report, the funding data are rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.
- 2) Based on July 1, 2006 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.
- 3) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

### Data Sources:

- Federal Funding: U.S. Census Bureau, "Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2006," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued February 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/cffr.html
- 2) Population Estimates: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Table 1: Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States, and for Puerto Rico: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2007 (NST-EST2007-01) Release Date: December 27, 2007. www.census.gov/popest/states/NST-EST-ann-est.html

# Florida's Federal Direct Payments for Individuals for Retirement and Disability Federal Fiscal Year 2006

		Total	Funding			Per Capita Funding				
		% of	St	ate's Rankin	gs		St	tate's Rankin	ıgs	
Funding Categories / Subcategories	Amount	Total	All	Populous	Region	Amount	All	Populous	Region	
Social Security Payments	\$ 41,324,489,000	78.4%	2nd	2nd	1st	\$ 2,288.49	8th	2nd	5th	
Retirement Insurance Payments	26,545,159,000	50.4%	2nd	2nd	1st	1,470.03	2nd	2nd	1st	
Survivors Insurance Payments	6,643,124,000	12.6%	3rd	3rd	2nd	367.89	23rd	3rd	10th	
Disability Insurance Payments	5,792,521,000	11.0%	3rd	3rd	1st	320.78	25th	3rd	13th	
Supplemental Security Income Payments	2,343,685,000	4.4%	4th	4th	2nd	129.79	14th	4th	8th	
Federal Retirement and Disability Payments	7,719,199,000	14.6%	2nd	2nd	1st	427.48	12th	1st	6th	
Civilian	3,997,395,000	7.6%	4th	2nd	3rd	221.37	18th	1st	8th	
Military	3,721,804,000	7.1%	1st	1st	1st	206.11	7th	1st	3rd	
Veterans Benefits	2,678,816,000	5.1%	3rd	3rd	2nd	148.35	14th	1st	7th	
Payments for Service Connected Disability	2,062,695,000	3.9%	3rd	3rd	2nd	114.23	15th	1st	8th	
Other Benefit Payments	616,121,000	1.2%	2nd	2nd	2nd	34.12	12th	1st	9th	
Other	975,224,000	1.9%	4th	4th	1st	54.01	26th	4th	9th	
Total - Florida	\$ 52,697,727,000	100%	2nd	2nd	1st	\$ 2,918.33	7th	2nd	5th	
Total - All States	\$ 730,408,885,000					\$ 2,449.64				
Florida as % of All States	7.2%									

### Notes:

- 1) In the published report, the data are rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.
- 2) Based on July 1, 2006 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.
- 3) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

### Data Sources

- Federal Funding: U.S. Census Bureau, "Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2006," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued February 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/cffr.html
- 2) Population Estimates: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Table 1: Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States, and for Puerto Rico:
  April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2007 (NST-EST2007-01) Release Date: December 27, 2007. www.census.gov/popest/states/NST-EST-ann-est.html

# Federal Direct Payments for Individuals in Florida Other Than for Retirement and Disability Federal Fiscal Year 2006

	- 1										
		Total	Funding			Per Capita Funding					
		% of	St	ate's Rankin	gs		State's Rankings				
Funding Categories / Subcategories	Amount	Total	All	Populous	Region	Amount	All	Populous	Region		
Medicare Benefits	\$ 29,112,311,000	70.2%	2nd	2nd	1st	\$ 1,612.20	2nd	2nd	1st		
Hospital Insurance	14,192,726,000	34.2%	3rd	3rd	1st	785.97	6th	2nd	3rd		
Supplemental Medical Insurance	14,919,585,000	36.0%	2nd	2nd	1st	826.23	1st	1st	1st		
Excess Earned Income Tax Credits	2,649,212,000	6.4%	3rd	3rd	2nd	146.71	12th	2nd	11th		
Unemployment Compensation	702,728,000	1.7%	11th	7th	2nd	38.92	46th	7th	15th		
Food Stamp Payments	1,684,350,000	4.1%	4th	4th	2nd	93.28	28th	6th	13th		
Housing Assistance	250,147,000	0.6%	9th	7th	2nd	13.85	41st	6th	15th		
Agricultural Assistance	658,656,000	1.6%	14th	4th	4th	36.48	32nd	5th	12th		
Federal Employees Life and Health Insurance	1,043,596,000	2.5%	6th	3rd	4th	57.79	22nd	2nd	8th		
Other	5,356,066,000	12.9%	3rd	2nd	2nd	296.61	7th	1st	5th		
Total - Florida	\$ 41,457,066,000	100%	2nd	2nd	1st	\$ 2,295.84	8th	2nd	3rd		
Total - All States	\$ 562,212,253,000					\$ 1,885.55					
Florida as % of All States	7.4%						•				

### Notes:

- 1) In the published report, the data are rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.
- 2) Based on July 1, 2006 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.
- 3) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

### Data Sources:

- 1) Federal Funding: U.S. Census Bureau, "Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2006," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued February 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/cffr.html
- 2) Population Estimates: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Table 1: Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States, and for Puerto Rico: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2007 (NST-EST2007-01) Release Date: December 27, 2007. www.census.gov/popest/states/NST-EST-ann-est.html

# Table 1-4 Federal Grant Obligations to Florida Federal Fiscal Year 2006

		Total	Funding	<del>-</del>		Per Capita Funding				
		% of		ate's Rankir	ngs			ate's Rankin	gs	
Funding Categories / Subcategories	Amount	Total	All	Populous	Region	Amount	All	Populous	Region	
Department of Agriculture	\$ 1,206,097,000	5.4%	4th	4th	2nd	\$ 66.79	37th	5th	14th	
* Appalachian Regional Commission	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Department of Commerce	55,916,000	0.2%	11th	3rd	4th	3.10	30th	2nd	12th	
Corporation for National and Community Service	27,600,000	0.1%	9th	5th	4th	1.53	44th	6th	14th	
* Corporation for Public Broadcasting	10,614,000	< 0.1%	1st	1st	1st	0.59	27th	1st	9th	
Department of Defense	128,902,000	0.6%	9th	5th	5th	7.14	45th	6th	14th	
Department of Education	1,828,555,000	8.1%	4th	4th	2nd	101.26	44th	5th	16th	
* Election Assistance Commission	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Department of Energy	28,831,000	0.1%	24th	7th	8th	1.60	50th	7th	16th	
Environmental Protection Agency	122,135,000	0.5%	7th	6th	2nd	6.76	50th	7th	16th	
* Equal Employment Opportunity Commission	1,599,000	< 0.1%	7th	6th	1st	0.09	34th	5th	6th	
Department of Health and Human Services	11,692,693,000	52.1%	6th	5th	3rd	647.53	47th	7th	15th	
Department of Homeland Security	2,245,177,000	10.0%	2nd	1st	2nd	124.33	3rd	1st	3rd	
Department of Housing and Urban Development	1,077,449,000	4.8%	7th	5th	4th	59.67	39th	7th	12th	
Institute of Museum and Library Services	9,973,000	< 0.1%	6th	6th	2nd	0.55	48th	7th	14th	
Department of the Interior	27,706,000	0.1%	22nd	4th	7th	1.53	40th	6th	11th	
Department of Justice	272,543,000	1.2%	4th	4th	2nd	15.09	36th	5th	12th	
Department of Labor	245,780,000	1.1%	10th	7th	4th	13.61	50th	7th	16th	
National Aeronautics and Space Administration	30,019,000	0.1%	9th	4th	5th	1.66	30th	4th	9th	
* National Archives and Records Administration	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
National Endowment for the Arts	1,924,000	< 0.1%	13th	6th	4th	0.11	50th	7th	16th	
National Endowment for the Humanities	2,615,000	< 0.1%	14th	7th	5th	0.14	49th	6th	15th	
National Science Foundation	138,812,000	0.6%	9th	6th	2nd	7.69	46th	7th	13th	
Small Business Administration	6,388,000	< 0.1%	10th	6th	6th	0.35	43rd	5th	14th	
* Social Security Administration	-	0.0%		-	-	-	-	-	-	
Department of State	5,762,000	< 0.1%	8th	7th	2nd	0.32	46th	7th	13th	
* State Justice Institute	7,000	< 0.1%	21st	6th	8th	< 0.01	37th	7th	11th	
* Tennessee Valley Authority	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Department of Transportation	3,257,901,000	14.5%	4th	4th	2nd	180.42	29th	3rd	11th	
Department of the Treasury	10,857,000	< 0.1%	2nd	2nd	2nd	0.60	1st	1st	1st	
* Department of Veterans Affairs	13,957,000	0.1%	16th	7th	4th	0.77	40th	6th	11th	
Other	2,113,000	< 0.1%	7th	5th	3rd	0.12	43rd	6th	13th	
Total - Florida	\$ 22,451,928,000	100%	5th	4th	3rd	\$ 1,243.36	46th	7th	15th	
Total - All States	\$ 483,883,666,000					\$ 1,622.85				
Florida as % of All States	4.6%									

# Table 1-4 Federal Grant Obligations to Florida Federal Fiscal Year 2006

Total Funding Per Capita Funding
% of State's Rankings State's Rankings

		% OI	31	tate s Kankin	igs		31	ate S Kankin	gs
Funding Categories / Subcategories	Amount	Total	All	Populous	Region	Amount	All	Populous	Region

### Notes

- 1) In the published report, the data are rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.
- 2) An asterisk denotes those program categories in which one or more states did not have reported federal grant obligations.
- 3) Based on July 1, 2006 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.
- 4) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

### Data Sources:

- 1) Federal Funding: U.S. Census Bureau, "Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2006," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued February 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/cffr.html
- 2) Population Estimates: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Table 1: Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States, and for Puerto Rico:
  April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2007 (NST-EST2007-01) Release Date: December 27, 2007. www.census.gov/popest/states/NST-EST-ann-est.htm

# Table 1-5 Federal Procurement Contract Obligations to Florida Federal Fiscal Year 2006

	Total Funding Per Capita Funding											
			% of		otolo Doul-i-		<del>                                     </del>					
Funding Categories / Subcategories		Amount	% of Total	All	ate's Rankin Populous	gs Region	1	Amount	All	ate's Rankin Populous	Region	
Department of Defense	\$	10,801,789,000	72.8%	4th	3rd	3rd	\$	598.19	25th	4th	8th	
Army	Ψ	3,644,983,000	24.6%	6th	3rd	4th	φ	201.85	24th	3rd	9th	
Navy		2,910,459,000	19.6%	10th	4th	5th		161.18	21st	5th	7th	
Air Force		3,166,178,000	21.4%	4th	3rd	3rd		175.34	21st	4th	8th	
Other Defense		1,080,168,000	7.3%	14th	6th	6th		59.82	35th	6th	11th	
Nondefense Agencies		4,027,930,000	27.2%	9th	3rd	7th		223.06	33rd	6th	13th	
Department of Agriculture		25,570,000	0.2%	33rd	6th	12th		1.42	47th	7th	16th	
Department of Commerce		56,496,000	0.4%	5th	2nd	4th		3.13	13th	1st	7th	
* Department of Education		537,000	< 0.1%	26th	6th	8th		0.03	43rd	6th	14th	
Department of Energy		41,281,000	0.3%	22nd	7th	10th		2.29	29th	7th	11th	
Environmental Protection Agency		20,085,000	0.1%	17th	7th	6th		1.11	33rd	7th	10th	
General Services Administration		342,978,000	2.3%	8th	4th	5th		18.99	30th	5th	12th	
Department of Health and Human Services		18.107.000	0.1%	34th	7th	12th		1.00	49th	7th	16th	
Department of Homeland Security		389,057,000	2.6%	8th	3rd	6th		21.55	13th	2nd	8th	
* Department of Housing and Urban Development		43,514,000	0.3%	7th	3rd	5th		2.41	10th	3rd	7th	
Department of the Interior		122,302,000	0.8%	6th	2nd	3rd		6.77	25th	3rd	8th	
Department of Justice		148,769,000	1.0%	6th	3rd	5th		8.24	19th	2nd	12th	
Department of Labor		10,395,000	0.1%	23rd	7th	7th		0.58	39th	7th	11th	
National Aeronautics and Space Administration		880,742,000	5.9%	4th	3rd	3rd		48.77	10th	3rd	7th	
* National Archives and Records Administration		-	0.0%	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	
* National Science Foundation		115,000	< 0.1%	17th	6th	6th		0.01	22nd	6th	8th	
Postal Service		836,969,000	5.6%	4th	4th	2nd		46.35	31st	5th	6th	
* Small Business Administration		506,000	< 0.1%	12th	7th	5th		0.03	18th	7th	6th	
Social Security Administration		1,935,000	< 0.1%	15th	5th	5th		0.11	34th	6th	9th	
<ul> <li>Department of State</li> </ul>		19,550,000	0.1%	12th	5th	6th		1.08	17th	3rd	7th	
Department of Transportation		581,061,000	3.9%	3rd	1st	2nd		32.18	9th	1st	5th	
Department of the Treasury		13,194,000	0.1%	16th	7th	7th		0.73	33rd	7th	12th	
Department of Veterans Affairs		438,758,000	3.0%	7th	4th	4th		24.30	22nd	4th	10th	
Other Nondefense		36,006,000	0.2%	13th	4th	9th		1.99	24th	4th	13th	
Total - Florida	\$	14,829,719,000	100%	5th	3rd	4th	\$	821.25	32nd	4th	11th	
Total - All States	\$ 3	375,285,920,000					\$	1,258.63				
Florida as % of All States		4.0%										

		Table 1-5										
Federal Procurement Contract Obligations to Florida												
	Federal Fiscal Year 2006											
		Total	Funding				Per Capita	a Funding				
	% of State's Rankings State's Rankings											
Funding Categories / Subcategories	Amount	Total	All	Populous	Region	Amount	All	Populous	Region			

### Notes:

- 1) In the published report, the data are rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.
- 2) An asterisk denotes those program categories in which one or more states did not have reported federal procurement contract obligations.
- 3) Based on July 1, 2006 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.
- 4) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

### Data Sources:

- Federal Funding: U.S. Census Bureau, "Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2006," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued February 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/cffr.html
- Population Estimates: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Table 1: Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States, and for Puerto Rico: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2007 (NST-EST2007-01) Release Date: December 27, 2007. www.census.gov/popest/states/NST-EST-ann-est.html

# Table 1-6 Federal Salary and Wage Expenditures to Florida Federal Fiscal Year 2006

	Total Funding							Per Capita Funding					
			% of		ate's Rankin	ıqs			ate's Rankir	ngs			
Funding Categories / Subcategories		Amount	Total	All	Populous	Region	Amount	All	Populous	Region			
Department of Defense	\$	4,504,771,000	40.0%	6th	3rd	5th	\$ 249.47	29th	3rd	13th			
Army		501,018,000	4.4%	21st	5th	11th	27.75	47th	6th	16th			
Active Military		116,220,000	1.0%	20th	4th	11th	6.44	23rd	4th	12th			
Inactive Military		274,161,000	2.4%	9th	4th	4th	15.18	48th	6th	16th			
Civilian		110,637,000	1.0%	21st	6th	10th	6.13	46th	6th	16th			
Navy		2,079,753,000	18.5%	4th	2nd	3rd	115.17	10th	2nd	5th			
Active Military		1,562,235,000	13.9%	4th	2nd	3rd	86.51	10th	2nd	5th			
Inactive Military		34,692,000	0.3%	2nd	2nd	1st	1.92	8th	1st	3rd			
* Civilian		482,826,000	4.3%	5th	2nd	3rd	26.74	12th	3rd	6th			
Air Force		1,792,330,000	15.9%	2nd	2nd	2nd	99.26	24th	3rd	10th			
Active Military		1,303,362,000	11.6%	2nd	2nd	2nd	72.18	21st	1st	8th			
Inactive Military		32,868,000	0.3%	2nd	2nd	1st	1.82	26th	1st	6th			
Civilian		456,100,000	4.0%	7th	4th	4th	25.26	18th	3rd	7th			
Other Defense: Civilian		131,670,000	1.2%	8th	5th	3rd	7.29	27th	5th	10th			
Nondefense Agencies		6,764,119,000	60.0%	5th	4th	3rd	374.59	37th	5th	11th			
Department of Agriculture		110,449,000	1.0%	19th	3rd	9th	6.12	44th	5th	16th			
Department of Commerce		60,605,000	0.5%	6th	2nd	3rd	3.36	25th	1st	7th			
* Department of Education		577,000	< 0.1%	12th	7th	3rd	0.03	14th	7th	4th			
* Department of Energy		-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
* Environmental Protection Agency		7,194,000	0.1%	19th	7th	6th	0.40	28th	7th	10th			
* Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation		5,793,000	0.1%	9th	5th	4th	0.32	39th	6th	15th			
General Services Administration		7,868,000	0.1%	14th	6th	5th	0.44	39th	7th	11th			
Department of Health and Human Services		23,534,000	0.2%	23rd	7th	8th	1.30	34th	7th	10th			
Department of Homeland Security		718,941,000	6.4%	3rd	3rd	2nd	39.81	11th	2nd	4th			
Department of Housing and Urban Development		20,329,000	0.2%	8th	6th	3rd	1.13	34th	7th	12th			
Department of the Interior		78,163,000	0.7%	15th	2nd	2nd	4.33	35th	3rd	11th			
Department of Justice		428,480,000	3.8%	4th	3rd	3rd	23.73	12th	3rd	9th			
Department of Labor		39,466,000	0.4%	8th	6th	4th	2.19	20th	6th	7th			
<ul> <li>National Aeronautics and Space Administration</li> </ul>		188,630,000	1.7%	6th	3rd	5th	10.45	6th	3rd	5th			
<ul> <li>National Archives and Records Administration</li> </ul>		87,000	< 0.1%	19th	7th	5th	< 0.01	19th	7th	5th			
<ul> <li>National Science Foundation</li> </ul>		50,000	< 0.1%	7th	2nd	3rd	< 0.01	9th	2nd	3rd			
Postal Service		3,111,871,000	27.6%	4th	4th	2nd	172.33	31st	5th	6th			
Small Business Administration		10,025,000	0.1%	7th	4th	4th	0.56	30th	4th	10th			
Social Security Administration		154,188,000	1.4%	9th	6th	4th	8.54	28th	7th	14th			
* Department of State		19,431,000	0.2%	2nd	1st	2nd	1.08	5th	1st	3rd			
Department of Transportation		278,151,000	2.5%	4th	4th	2nd	15.40	21st	3rd	6th			
Department of the Treasury		209,804,000	1.9%	10th	5th	5th	11.62	23rd	7th	9th			
Department of Veterans Affairs		1,006,987,000	8.9%	3rd	3rd	2nd	55.77	13th	1st	6th			
All Other Nondefense		38,384,000	0.3%	14th	6th	8th	2.13	22nd	7th	9th			

# Federal Salary and Wage Expenditures to Florida

# Federal Fiscal Year 2006

	i caci	ui i 150u	i i cui zov	, ,							
		Total	Funding			Per Capita Funding					
		% of	St	ate's Rankin	State's Rank			gs			
Funding Categories / Subcategories	Amount	Total	All	Populous	Region	Amount	All	Populous	Region		
Total - Florida	\$ 11,268,890,000	100%	4th	3rd	3rd	\$ 624.06	32nd	3rd	13th		
Total - All States	\$ 220,447,256,000			•		\$ 739.34		-			
Florida as % of All States	5.1%								ļ		

#### Notes:

- 1) In the published report, the data are rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.
- 2) An asterisk denotes those program categories in which one or more states did not have reported federal salary and wage expenditures.
- 3) Based on July 1, 2006 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.
- 4) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

### Data Sources

- 1) Federal Funding: U.S. Census Bureau, "Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2006," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued February 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/cffr.html
- 2) Population Estimates: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Table 1: Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States, and for Puerto Rico:
  April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2007 (NST-EST2007-01) Release Date: December 27, 2007. www.census.gov/popest/states/NST-EST-ann-est.htm

# Face Value of Federal Insurance Coverages Provided to Florida

# Federal Fiscal Year 2006

i caciai i iscai i cai 2000											
		Total Funding						Per Capita Funding			
			% of State's Rankings			State's Rankings					
Funding Categories / Subcategories		Amount	Total	All	Populous	Region	Amount	All	Populous	Region	
Flood Insurance	\$	417,921,144,000	98.9%	1st	1st	1st	\$ 23,143.90	1st	1st	1st	
Crop Insurance		4,368,404,000	1.0%	3rd	2nd	1st	241.92	12th	2nd	1st	
* Foreign Investment Insurance		17,364,000	0.0%	5th	3rd	4th	0.96	7th	3rd	5th	
Life Insurance for Veterans		162,203,000	0.0%	2nd	2nd	1st	8.98	2nd	1st	1st	
* Other		17,563,000	0.0%	5th	3rd	3rd	0.97	31st	3rd	12th	
Total - Florida	\$	422,486,677,000	100%	1st	1st	1st	\$ 23,396.73	1st	1st	1st	
Total - All States	\$	1,060,106,172,000					\$ 3,555.38				
Florida as % of All States		39.9%						•			

### Notes:

- 1) In the published report, the data are rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.
- 2) An asterisk denotes those program categories in which one or more states did not have reported federal insurance coverages.
- 3) Based on July 1, 2006 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.
- 4) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

### Data Sources:

- 1) Federal Funding: U.S. Census Bureau, "Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2006," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued February 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/cffr.html
- 2) Population Estimates: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Table 1: Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States, and for Puerto Rico: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2007 (NST-EST2007-01) Release Date: December 27, 2007. www.census.gov/popest/states/NST-EST-ann-est.html

# **Dollar Volume of Federal Guaranteed Loans Made to Florida**

## Federal Fiscal Year 2006

1 0001 at 1 100 at 10 at 2000										
Total Funding						Per Capita Funding				
		% of State's Rankings			St		ate's Rankings			
	Amount	Total	All	Populous	Region	Amount	All	Populous	Region	
\$	2,133,804,000	25.9%	4th	3rd	3rd	\$ 118.17	43rd	5th	15th	
	2,925,623,000	35.5%	6th	5th	2nd	162.02	32nd	5th	9th	
	1,825,199,000	22.1%	3rd	2nd	3rd	101.08	16th	1st	6th	
	197,771,000	2.4%	4th	2nd	1st	10.95	13th	3rd	3rd	
	176,703,000	2.1%	22nd	6th	11th	9.79	43rd	5th	15th	
	952,228,000	11.6%	3rd	3rd	2nd	52.73	19th	3rd	3rd	
	32,633,000	0.4%	4th	4th	1st	1.81	4th	4th	1st	
\$	8,243,961,000	100%	3rd	3rd	2nd	\$ 456.54	41st	5th	15th	
\$	157,774,279,000					\$ 529.14				
	\$ \$ \$	\$ 2,133,804,000 2,925,623,000 1,825,199,000 197,771,000 176,703,000 952,228,000 32,633,000 \$ 8,243,961,000	Amount         % of Total           \$ 2,133,804,000         25.9%           2,925,623,000         35.5%           1,825,199,000         22.1%           197,771,000         2.4%           176,703,000         2.1%           952,228,000         11.6%           32,633,000         0.4%           \$ 8,243,961,000         100%	Amount         % of Total         St           \$ 2,133,804,000         25.9%         4th           2,925,623,000         35.5%         6th           1,825,199,000         22.1%         3rd           197,771,000         2.4%         4th           176,703,000         2.1%         22nd           952,228,000         11.6%         3rd           32,633,000         0.4%         4th           \$ 8,243,961,000         100%         3rd	Amount         % of Total         State's Ranking           \$ 2,133,804,000         25.9%         4th         3rd           2,925,623,000         35.5%         6th         5th           1,825,199,000         22.1%         3rd         2nd           197,771,000         2.4%         4th         2nd           176,703,000         2.1%         22nd         6th           952,228,000         11.6%         3rd         3rd           32,633,000         0.4%         4th         4th           \$ 8,243,961,000         100%         3rd         3rd	Amount         % of Total         State's Rankings           \$ 2,133,804,000         25.9%         4th         3rd         3rd           2,925,623,000         35.5%         6th         5th         2nd           1,825,199,000         22.1%         3rd         2nd         3rd           197,771,000         2.4%         4th         2nd         1st           176,703,000         2.1%         22nd         6th         11th           952,228,000         11.6%         3rd         3rd         2nd           32,633,000         0.4%         4th         4th         1st           \$ 8,243,961,000         100%         3rd         3rd         2nd	Amount         % of Total         State's Rankings         Amount         Amount           \$ 2,133,804,000         25.9%         4th         3rd         \$ 118.17           2,925,623,000         35.5%         6th         5th         2nd         162.02           1,825,199,000         22.1%         3rd         2nd         3rd         101.08           197,771,000         2.4%         4th         2nd         1st         10.95           176,703,000         2.1%         22nd         6th         11th         9.79           952,228,000         11.6%         3rd         3rd         2nd         52.73           32,633,000         0.4%         4th         4th         1st         1.81           \$ 8,243,961,000         100%         3rd         3rd         2nd         \$456.54	Amount         % of Total         State's Rankings         Amount         Amount         All           \$ 2,133,804,000         25.9%         4th         3rd         3rd         \$ 118.17         43rd           2,925,623,000         35.5%         6th         5th         2nd         162.02         32nd           1,825,199,000         22.1%         3rd         2nd         3rd         101.08         16th           197,771,000         2.4%         4th         2nd         1st         10.95         13th           176,703,000         2.1%         22nd         6th         11th         9.79         43rd           952,228,000         11.6%         3rd         3rd         2nd         52.73         19th           32,633,000         0.4%         4th         4th         1st         1.81         4th           \$ 8,243,961,000         100%         3rd         3rd         2nd         \$456.54         41st	Amount         % of Total         State's Rankings         Amount         All Populous         Region         Amount         All Populous           \$ 2,133,804,000         25.9%         4th         3rd         3rd         \$ 118.17         43rd         5th           2,925,623,000         35.5%         6th         5th         2nd         162.02         32nd         5th           1,825,199,000         22.1%         3rd         2nd         3rd         101.08         16th         1st           197,771,000         2.4%         4th         2nd         1st         10.95         13th         3rd           176,703,000         2.1%         22nd         6th         11th         9.79         43rd         5th           952,228,000         11.6%         3rd         3rd         2nd         52.73         19th         3rd           32,633,000         0.4%         4th         4th         1st         1.81         4th         4th           \$ 8,243,961,000         100%         3rd         3rd         2nd         \$456.54         41st         5th	

### Notes:

Florida as % of All States

- 1) In the published report, the data are rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.
- 2) An asterisk denotes those program categories in which one or more states did not have reported federal guaranteed loans.
- 3) Based on July 1, 2006 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.
- 4) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

### Data Sources:

- 1) Federal Funding: U.S. Census Bureau, "Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2006," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued February 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/cffr.html
- 2) Population Estimates: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Table 1: Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States, and for Puerto Rico:
  April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2007 (NST-EST2007-01) Release Date: December 27, 2007. www.census.gov/popest/states/NST-EST-ann-est.html

5.2%

# **Dollar Volume of Federal Direct Loans Made to Florida**

## Federal Fiscal Year 2006

1 0401411 10041 1041 2000										
Total Funding						Per Capita Funding				
			% of State's Rankings			State's Rankings				
Funding Categories / Subcategories		Amount	Total	All	Populous	Region	Amount	All	Populous	Region
Department of Agriculture	\$	183,764,000	14.5%	4th	2nd	3rd	\$ 10.18	37th	2nd	15th
<ul> <li>Commodity Loans: Price Supports</li> </ul>		-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	ı	-	-
Other		183,764,000	14.5%	4th	2nd	3rd	10.18	37th	2nd	15th
* Federal Direct Student Loans		185,724,000	14.7%	23rd	6th	7th	10.29	34th	5th	10th
Other		897,469,000	70.8%	3rd	1st	3rd	49.70	3rd	1st	3rd
Total - Florida	\$	1,266,958,000	100%	5th	3rd	3rd	\$ 70.16	18th	1st	7th
Total - All States	\$	23,590,111,000					\$ 79.12			
Florida as % of All States		5.4%								ļ

#### Notes

- 1) In the published report, the published data are rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.
- 2) An asterisk denotes those program categories in which one or more states did not have reported federal direct loans.
- 3) Based on July 1, 2006 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.
- 4) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

### Data Sources:

- 1) Federal Funding: U.S. Census Bureau, "Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2006," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued February 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/cffr.html
- 2) Population Estimates: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Table 1: Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States, and for Puerto Rico: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2007 (NST-EST2007-01) Release Date: December 27, 2007. www.census.gov/popest/states/NST-EST-ann-est.html

# Part Two: Federal Direct Expenditures to Florida Counties

## A. Introduction

Federal spending can significantly impact the finances of local governments. The purpose of this part is to illustrate how the \$143 billion in federal direct expenditures to Florida in fiscal year 2006 was distributed among the state's 67 counties.

## **B. Data Source**

The source of the data summarized in this part is the U.S. Bureau of the Census publication entitled *Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2006*. This publication summarizes federal government expenditures or obligations to states, counties, and subcounty areas. However, the focus here is on the distribution of federal direct expenditures among Florida's counties, as geographic areas rather than units of local government.

# C. Geographic Coding of Federal Direct Expenditures

The basis for the geographic coding of federal direct expenditures to counties varies depending on the data sources; however, the following general guidelines apply. For salaries and wages, the distribution is based on the place of employment. The distribution of procurement contract awards is based on the place of performance. For retirement and disability payments as well as other direct payments, the distribution is based on the recipient's location.

The distribution of grants is based on the location of the initial recipient. For grants that are ultimately distributed throughout the state, the reader should note that significant dollar amounts are included in Leon County's total, which reflects the data coding of such grants to state government as the initial recipient. Many federal grants involve a direct payment to state government that is then responsible for program administration. Such examples include those grants that are 'passed-through' to local governments. Another example includes those grants, such as for highway construction, in which the financial impact is spread over all areas of the state. A third example includes those grant programs that the state government administers but for which the ultimate beneficiaries are found throughout the state.

# **D. Federal Direct Expenditures by County**

As previously mentioned, federal direct expenditures are categorized as direct payments to individuals for retirement and disability, direct payments for individuals other than for retirement and disability, grants, procurement contracts, or salaries and wages. The distribution of such expenditures within Florida is discussed in more detail in the following sections.

# 1. Federal Direct Expenditures by Category

The distribution of the \$143 billion in federal direct expenditures by county geographic area is summarized in **Table 2-1** on pages 24-25. In total, the magnitude of federal direct expenditures varied significantly by county from a low of \$32.5 million within Lafayette County to a high of \$18.8 billion within Miami-Dade County.

Direct payments to individuals for retirement and disability totaled \$52.7 billion statewide. The value of such payments varied from a low of \$14 million within Lafayette County to a high of \$4.4 billion within Miami-Dade County. Federal retirement and disability payments to individuals totaled one billion dollars or more in 16 counties: Brevard, Broward, Duval, Escambia, Hillsborough, Lake, Lee, Marion, Miami-Dade, Orange, Palm Beach, Pasco, Pinellas, Polk, Sarasota, and Volusia.

Direct payments to individuals other than for retirement and disability totaled \$41.5 billion statewide. Such payments ranged from a low of \$10.5 million within Lafayette County to a high of \$7.1 billion within Miami-Dade County. Other direct payments to individuals totaled one billion dollars or more in 9 counties: Broward, Duval, Hillsborough, Miami-Dade, Orange, Palm Beach, Pasco, Pinellas, and Volusia.

Federal grant obligations totaled \$22.5 billion statewide. Miami-Dade County had the largest grant obligation at \$5.2 billion; Glades County had the smallest at \$4.8 million. Although several billion dollars worth of grants are reported within Leon County's total due to the coding issue previously discussed, reported grant obligations exceeded \$200 million in 15 other counties: Alachua, Brevard, Broward, Duval, Escambia, Hillsborough, Lee, Marion, Miami-Dade, Orange, Palm Beach, Pinellas, Polk, Seminole, and Volusia.

Federal procurement contracts totaled \$14.8 billion statewide. The value of such contracts ranged from a low of \$177,000 within Lafayette County to a high of \$3 billion within Orange County. In addition to Orange County, Brevard, Broward, Duval, Hillsborough, Miami-Dade, Okaloosa, Palm Beach, and Pinellas counties each had procurement contracts totaling greater than \$500 million.

Federal salary and wage payments totaled \$11.3 billion statewide. The value of such payments varied from a low of \$504,000 within Glades County to a high of \$1.8 billion within Duval County. Federal salary and wage payments totaled \$200 million or more in 13 counties: Alachua, Bay, Brevard, Broward, Duval, Escambia, Hillsborough, Miami-Dade, Okaloosa, Orange, Palm Beach, Pinellas, and Walton.

# 2. Per Capita Federal Direct Expenditures by Category

**Table 2-2** on pages 26-27 lists the per capita federal direct expenditures by category within each county geographic area. Excluding Leon County from consideration due to the data coding of significant federal grant obligations to the seat of state government, per capita total direct

expenditures varied significantly from a low of \$3,639 within Glades County to a high of \$15,393 within Monroe County.

Per capita direct payments to individuals for retirement and disability varied from a low of \$1,716 within Hendry County to a high of \$5,140 within Lake County. Per capita direct payments to individuals other than for retirement and disability ranged from a low of \$776 within Clay County to a high of \$5,817 within Monroe County.

Again excluding Leon County from consideration, per capita grants varied from a low of \$305 within Flagler County to a high of \$3,096 within Calhoun County. Many of Florida's less populated, rural counties had high per capita dollar figures, which demonstrate the relative importance of federal grants as a potential source of revenue in these areas.

Per capita procurement contracts varied from a low of \$15 within Franklin County to a high of \$5,150 within Brevard County. Per capita salary and wage payments ranged from a low of \$47 within Glades County to a high of \$6,411 within Walton County. Certainly, federal procurement associated with the space program and military salary and wage spending associated with Eglin Air Force Base in northwest Florida are factors in Brevard and Walton counties' high per capita dollar figures, respectively.

# 3. Federal Direct Expenditures by Category as a Percentage of Total

**Table 2-3** on pages 28-29 provides a county-by-county list of federal direct expenditures by category as a percentage of total expenditures. A number of factors explain differences in counties' proportional shares attributable to each expenditure category. For example, the relative share attributable to federal direct payments to individuals was generally highest in counties such as Clay, Flagler, and Lake with large elderly or retiree populations, while the relative share attributable to federal grants (excluding Leon County) was highest in less populated, rural counties such as Calhoun, Jefferson, and Union. The relative share attributable to federal procurement was highest in counties such as Brevard, Okaloosa, and Orange, which are engaged in significant military and space-related contracting. The relative share attributable to federal salary and wage payments was generally highest in those counties such as Duval, Okaloosa, and Walton where military installations are located.

## E. Conclusion

The economic impact of federal direct expenditures varies significantly from county to county. Past changes in federal spending have had unequal impacts on local economies. Likewise, future changes in the receipt of federal funding are likely to affect areas of the state quite differently. This information should be useful to policy makers as they assess the impact of future changes in federal direct expenditures on Florida's local economies.

# Table 2-1 Florida's Federal Direct Expenditures by County Federal Fiscal Year 2006

Federal Fiscal Year 2006											
	Retirement &	Other Direct		Procurement	Salaries &						
County	Disability	Payments	Grants	Contracts	Wages	Total					
Alachua	\$ 545,579,000	\$ 357,134,000	\$ 501,949,000	\$ 128,115,000	\$ 232,716,000	\$ 1,765,492,000					
Baker	58,752,000	29,662,000	30,770,000	2,546,000	4,070,000	125,800,000					
Bay	623,884,000	274,652,000	172,492,000	415,359,000	375,666,000	1,862,054,000					
Bradford	67,654,000	47,516,000	29,978,000	1,542,000	2,597,000	149,288,000					
Brevard	2,155,330,000	882,570,000	309,695,000	2,796,508,000	547,562,000	6,691,664,000					
Broward	3,791,241,000	3,955,625,000	1,115,283,000	633,052,000	583,973,000	10,079,174,000					
Calhoun	35,060,000	30,072,000	43,696,000	631,000	1,669,000	111,128,000					
Charlotte	677,852,000	400,210,000	66,146,000	20,200,000	25,569,000	1,189,975,000					
Citrus	658,254,000	316,564,000	52,930,000	5,530,000	17,181,000	1,050,459,000					
Clay	596,043,000	137,314,000	64,829,000	16,167,000	23,902,000	838,256,000					
Collier	945,808,000	401,913,000	122,394,000	33,826,000	49,630,000	1,553,571,000					
Columbia	215,745,000	106,183,000	107,752,000	20,753,000	66,575,000	517,008,000					
De Soto	79,107,000	85,522,000	40,362,000	(271,000)	3,332,000	208,052,000					
Dixie	53,909,000	26,113,000	13,993,000	425,000	1,303,000	95,742,000					
Duval	2,234,630,000	1,695,890,000	1,018,127,000	1,142,589,000	1,776,867,000	7,868,102,000					
Escambia	1,200,851,000	562,793,000	375,405,000	367,807,000	730,726,000	3,237,582,000					
Flagler	357,027,000	82,240,000	27,126,000	3,290,000	11,464,000	481,146,000					
Franklin	33,224,000	35,573,000	29,929,000	177,000	1,786,000	100,688,000					
Gadsden	119,629,000	98,924,000	98,826,000	4,215,000	8,099,000	329,693,000					
Gilchrist	46,694,000	20,418,000	9,877,000	491,000	1,872,000	79,353,000					
Glades	19,510,000	14,125,000	4,844,000	306,000	504,000	39,291,000					
Gulf	50,012,000	37,746,000	23,313,000	338,000	1,086,000	112,495,000					
Hamilton	37,442,000	26,122,000	26,271,000	553,000	2,171,000	92,559,000					
Hardee	51,394,000	56,553,000	38,545,000	842,000	5,120,000	152,453,000					
Hendry	66,374,000	86,436,000	38,651,000	13,109,000	4,127,000	208,697,000					
Hernando	766,210,000	408,115,000	70,401,000	8,110,000	26,614,000	1,279,452,000					
Highlands	419,387,000	274,327,000	62,782,000	13,099,000	15,683,000	785,278,000					
Hillsborough	2,799,103,000	1,749,356,000	1,442,761,000	1,364,513,000	1,131,918,000	8,487,652,000					
Holmes	67,143,000	50,009,000	47,075,000	845,000	4,558,000	169,631,000					
Indian River	535,835,000	344,224,000	77,392,000	16,403,000	29,744,000	1,003,598,000					
Jackson	155,957,000	129,402,000	136,273,000	6,887,000	32,194,000	460,713,000					
Jefferson	39,793,000	30,844,000	36,392,000	555,000	2,216,000	109,800,000					
Lafayette	14,019,000	10,501,000	6,686,000	299,000	1,044,000	32,549,000					
Lake	1,422,569,000	504,352,000	134,287,000	22,682,000	45,519,000	2,129,409,000					
Lee	1,854,739,000	954,137,000	317,851,000	65,355,000	167,091,000	3,359,174,000					
Leon .	778,866,000	301,823,000	4,280,712,000	99,757,000	121,311,000	5,582,469,000					
Levy	130,839,000	69,877,000	54,073,000	2,596,000	6,501,000	263,887,000					
Liberty	18,919,000	11,377,000	10,508,000	16,246,000	2,207,000	59,258,000					
Madison	56,610,000	48,616,000	46,571,000	1,181,000	2,904,000	155,883,000					
Manatee	967,032,000	547,335,000	151,810,000	32,353,000	72,525,000	1,771,056,000					
Marion	1,325,272,000	549,211,000	214,239,000	27,181,000	49,482,000	2,165,385,000					
Martin	554,766,000	335,551,000	74,525,000	26,442,000	20,860,000	1,012,145,000					
Miami-Dade	4,401,438,000	7,103,558,000	5,244,101,000	605,604,000	1,470,245,000	18,824,946,000					
Monroe	209,707,000	468,316,000	121,197,000	310,110,000	129,937,000	1,239,266,000					
Nassau	222,201,000	73,546,000	38,992,000	6,601,000	81,104,000	422,444,000					
Okaloosa	868,772,000	232,702,000	156,607,000	942,165,000	672,656,000	2,872,901,000					
Okeechobee	114,325,000	111,789,000	42,029,000	15,756,000	5,216,000	289,116,000					
Orange	2,130,755,000	1,319,831,000	829,599,000	3,018,041,000	607,169,000	7,905,394,000					
Osceola	530,070,000	239,001,000	158,069,000	10,230,000	29,010,000	966,379,000					
Palm Beach	3,863,250,000	2,958,282,000	820,475,000	548,926,000	431,425,000	8,622,358,000					
Pasco	1,370,979,000	1,014,408,000	184,358,000	113,054,000	76,885,000	2,759,684,000					
Pinellas	3,451,744,000	2,604,429,000	743,857,000	1,343,719,000	500,702,000	8,644,451,000					
Polk	1,667,112,000	866,511,000	410,421,000	83,859,000	106,190,000	3,134,093,000					
Putnam	259,674,000	168,081,000	96,603,000	2,578,000	9,478,000	536,415,000					

## Table 2-1 Florida's Federal Direct Expenditures by County Federal Fiscal Year 2006

	Retirement &	Other Direct		Procurement	Salaries &	
County	Disability	Payments	Grants	Contracts	Wages	Total
Saint Johns	500,067,000	193,060,000	169,280,000	60,942,000	52,953,000	976,302,000
Saint Lucie	851,627,000	497,229,000	159,253,000	25,886,000	46,758,000	1,580,752,000
Santa Rosa	517,697,000	155,535,000	112,347,000	112,547,000	86,394,000	984,519,000
Sarasota	1,732,894,000	985,253,000	156,287,000	84,156,000	71,857,000	3,030,447,000
Seminole	860,865,000	422,457,000	202,799,000	48,771,000	100,795,000	1,635,686,000
Sumter	199,220,000	92,761,000	40,598,000	18,141,000	80,716,000	431,436,000
Suwannee	140,550,000	79,244,000	58,684,000	6,479,000	8,658,000	293,614,000
Taylor	59,761,000	44,769,000	34,034,000	21,850,000	2,640,000	163,055,000
Union	26,565,000	16,102,000	20,931,000	552,000	1,572,000	65,723,000
Volusia	1,797,299,000	1,015,172,000	348,077,000	129,892,000	108,048,000	3,398,487,000
Wakulla	57,241,000	31,568,000	21,131,000	3,711,000	5,384,000	119,035,000
Walton	144,688,000	73,297,000	45,569,000	2,813,000	357,671,000	624,039,000
Washington	74,534,000	58,692,000	51,116,000	733,000	4,224,000	189,298,000
State Undistributed	16,631,000	4,544,545,000	657,995,000	-	9,262,000	5,228,433,000
Statewide	\$ 52,697,727,000	\$ 41,457,066,000	\$ 22,451,928,000	\$ 14,829,719,000	\$ 11,268,890,000	\$142,705,330,000

### Notes:

- 1) In the published report, the expenditure data are rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.
- 2) The figures reported as "state undistributed" reflect data that were reported without specific county geographic designations.

## Data Source:

U.S. Census Bureau, "Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2006," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued April 2008) [http://www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/cffr.html]

# Table 2-2 Florida's Per Capita Federal Direct Expenditures by County Federal Fiscal Year 2006

	B. (1)		ai Fiscai Teai		<u> </u>		
	Retirement &	Other Direct		Procurement			
County	Disability	Payments	Grants	Contracts	Wages	Total	
Alachua	\$ 2,238	\$ 1,465	\$ 2,059	\$ 526	\$ 955	\$ 7,242	
Baker	2,350	1,186	1,231	102	163	5,031	
Bay	3,769	1,659	1,042	2,509	2,270	11,250	
Bradford	2,370	1,664	1,050	54	91	5,229	
Brevard	3,969	1,625	570	5,150	1,008	12,322	
Broward	2,163	2,256	636	361	333	5,749	
Calhoun	2,484	2,131	3,096	45	118	7,874	
Charlotte	4,228	2,496	413	126	159	7,423	
Citrus	4,814	2,315	387	40	126	7,682	
Clay	3,369	776	366	91	135	4,739	
Collier	2,895	1,230	375	104	152	4,756	
Columbia	3,396	1,671	1,696	327	1,048	8,137	
De Soto	2,385	2,579	1,217	(8)	100	6,273	
Dixie	3,439	1,666	893	27	83	6,107	
Duval	2,542	1,929	1,158	1,300	2,021	8,949	
Escambia	3,878	1,818	1,212	1,188	2,360	10,456	
Flagler	4,008	923	305	37	129	5,402	
Franklin	2,788	2,985	2,512	15	150	8,450	
Gadsden	2,482	2,053	2,051	87	168	6,841	
Gilchrist	2,796	1,222	591	29	112	4,751	
Glades	1,807	1,308	449	28	47	3,639	
Gulf	3,029	2,286	1,412	20	66	6,814	
Hamilton	2,579	1,799	1,810	38	150	6,376	
Hardee	1,890	2,080	1,418	31	188	5,608	
Hendry	1,716	2,235	999	339	107	5,396	
Hernando	4,880	2,599	448	52	170	8,149	
Highlands	4,338	2,838	649	135	162	8,123	
Hillsborough	2,404	1,502	1,239	1,172	972	7,289	
Holmes	3,443	2,564	2,414	43	234	8,698	
Indian River	3,961	2,545	572	121	220	7,420	
Jackson	3,104	2,575	2,712	137	641	9,169	
Jefferson	2,772	2,149	2,535	39	154	7,650	
Lafayette	1,739	1,303	830	37	130	4,038	
Lake	5,140	1,822	485	82	164	7,693	
Lee	3,167	1,629	543	112	285	5,736	
Leon	2,858	1,108	15,709	366	445	20,486	
Levy	3,356	1,793	1,387	67	167	6,770	
Liberty	2,434	1,464	1,352	2,090	284	7,625	
Madison	2,857	2,454	2,350	60	147	7,867	
Manatee	3,136	1,775	492	105	235	5,744	
Marion	4,206	1,743	680	86	157	6,873	
Martin	3,889	2,352	522	185	146	7,096	
Miami-Dade	1,806	2,915	2,152	249	603	7,725	
Monroe	2,605	5,817	1,505	3,852	1,614	15,393	
Nassau	3,259	1,079	572	97	1,189	6,195	
Okaloosa	4,509	1,208	813	4,890	3,491	14,911	

# Table 2-2 Florida's Per Capita Federal Direct Expenditures by County Federal Fiscal Year 2006

	Retirement &	Other Direct		Procurement	Salaries &	
County	Disability	Payments	Grants	Contracts	Wages	Total
Okeechobee	2,957	2,891	1,087	407	135	7,477
Orange	1,974	1,223	768	2,796	562	7,323
Osceola	2,071	934	618	40	113	3,776
Palm Beach	2,999	2,297	637	426	335	6,694
Pasco	3,231	2,390	434	266	181	6,503
Pinellas	3,641	2,747	785	1,417	528	9,118
Polk	2,950	1,534	726	148	188	5,547
Putnam	3,489	2,259	1,298	35	127	7,208
Saint Johns	3,025	1,168	1,024	369	320	5,907
Saint Lucie	3,284	1,917	614	100	180	6,096
Santa Rosa	3,660	1,100	794	796	611	6,961
Sarasota	4,568	2,597	412	222	189	7,988
Seminole	2,046	1,004	482	116	240	3,888
Sumter	2,412	1,123	492	220	977	5,223
Suwannee	3,623	2,042	1,513	167	223	7,568
Taylor	2,783	2,085	1,585	1,018	123	7,594
Union	1,768	1,071	1,393	37	105	4,373
Volusia	3,567	2,015	691	258	214	6,745
Wakulla	2,016	1,112	744	131	190	4,192
Walton	2,594	1,314	817	50	6,411	11,186
Washington	3,230	2,544	2,215	32	183	8,204
Statewide	\$ 2,872	\$ 2,259	\$ 1,224	\$ 808	\$ 614	\$ 7,777

Note: The calculations of per capita expenditures were made using April 1, 2006 population estimates for Florida counties as published by the Bureau of Economic and Business Research, University of Florida.

## Data Source:

U.S. Census Bureau, "Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2006," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued April 2008) [http://www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/cffr.html]

# Table 2-3 Florida's Federal Direct Expenditures by County - Category as % of Total Federal Fiscal Year 2006

	Retirement &	Other Direct	ai 10ai 2000	Drocuromont	Salaries &
County			Cuanta	Procurement	
County	Disability	Payments	Grants	Contracts	Wages
Alachua	30.9% 46.7%	20.2% 23.6%	28.4%	7.3% 2.0%	13.2%
Baker			24.5%		3.2%
Bay	33.5%	14.7%	9.3%	22.3%	20.2%
Bradford	45.3%	31.8%	20.1%	1.0%	1.7%
Brevard	32.2%	13.2%	4.6%	41.8%	8.2%
Broward	37.6%	39.2%	11.1%	6.3%	5.8%
Calhoun	31.5%	27.1%	39.3%	0.6%	1.5%
Charlotte	57.0%	33.6%	5.6%	1.7%	2.1%
Citrus	62.7%	30.1%	5.0%	0.5%	1.6%
Clay	71.1%	16.4%	7.7%	1.9%	2.9%
Collier	60.9%	25.9%	7.9%	2.2%	3.2%
Columbia	41.7%	20.5%	20.8%	4.0%	12.9%
De Soto	38.0%	41.1%	19.4%	-0.1%	1.6%
Dixie	56.3%	27.3%	14.6%	0.4%	1.4%
Duval	28.4%	21.6%	12.9%	14.5%	22.6%
Escambia	37.1%	17.4%	11.6%	11.4%	22.6%
Flagler	74.2%	17.1%	5.6%	0.7%	2.4%
Franklin	33.0%	35.3%	29.7%	0.2%	1.8%
Gadsden	36.3%	30.0%	30.0%	1.3%	2.5%
Gilchrist	58.8%	25.7%	12.4%	0.6%	2.4%
Glades	49.7%	35.9%	12.3%	0.8%	1.3%
Gulf	44.5%	33.6%	20.7%	0.3%	1.0%
Hamilton	40.5%	28.2%	28.4%	0.6%	2.3%
Hardee	33.7%	37.1%	25.3%	0.6%	3.4%
Hendry	31.8%	41.4%	18.5%	6.3%	2.0%
Hernando	59.9%	31.9%	5.5%	0.6%	2.1%
Highlands	53.4%	34.9%	8.0%	1.7%	2.0%
Hillsborough	33.0%	20.6%	17.0%	16.1%	13.3%
Holmes	39.6%	29.5%	27.8%	0.5%	2.7%
Indian River	53.4%	34.3%	7.7%	1.6%	3.0%
Jackson	33.9%	28.1%	29.6%	1.5%	7.0%
Jefferson	36.2%	28.1%	33.1%	0.5%	2.0%
Lafayette	43.1%	32.3%	20.5%	0.9%	3.2%
Lake	66.8%	23.7%	6.3%	1.1%	2.1%
Lee	55.2%	28.4%	9.5%	1.9%	5.0%
Leon	14.0%	5.4%	76.7%	1.8%	2.2%
Levy	49.6%	26.5%	20.5%	1.0%	2.5%
Liberty	31.9%	19.2%	17.7%	27.4%	3.7%
Madison	36.3%	31.2%	29.9%	0.8%	1.9%
Manatee	54.6%	30.9%	8.6%	1.8%	4.1%
Marion	61.2%	25.4%	9.9%	1.3%	2.3%
Martin	54.8%	33.2%	7.4%	2.6%	2.1%

Table 2-3
Florida's Federal Direct Expenditures by County - Category as % of Total
Federal Fiscal Year 2006

	Retirement &	Other Direct		Procurement	Salaries &
County	Disability	Payments	Grants	Contracts	Wages
Miami-Dade	23.4%	37.7%	27.9%	3.2%	7.8%
Monroe	16.9%	37.8%	9.8%	25.0%	10.5%
Nassau	52.6%	17.4%	9.2%	1.6%	19.2%
Okaloosa	30.2%	8.1%	5.5%	32.8%	23.4%
Okeechobee	39.5%	38.7%	14.5%	5.4%	1.8%
Orange	27.0%	16.7%	10.5%	38.2%	7.7%
Osceola	54.9%	24.7%	16.4%	1.1%	3.0%
Palm Beach	44.8%	34.3%	9.5%	6.4%	5.0%
Pasco	49.7%	36.8%	6.7%	4.1%	2.8%
Pinellas	39.9%	30.1%	8.6%	15.5%	5.8%
Polk	53.2%	27.6%	13.1%	2.7%	3.4%
Putnam	48.4%	31.3%	18.0%	0.5%	1.8%
Saint Johns	51.2%	19.8%	17.3%	6.2%	5.4%
Saint Lucie	53.9%	31.5%	10.1%	1.6%	3.0%
Santa Rosa	52.6%	15.8%	11.4%	11.4%	8.8%
Sarasota	57.2%	32.5%	5.2%	2.8%	2.4%
Seminole	52.6%	25.8%	12.4%	3.0%	6.2%
Sumter	46.2%	21.5%	9.4%	4.2%	18.7%
Suwannee	47.9%	27.0%	20.0%	2.2%	2.9%
Taylor	36.7%	27.5%	20.9%	13.4%	1.6%
Union	40.4%	24.5%	31.8%	0.8%	2.4%
Volusia	52.9%	29.9%	10.2%	3.8%	3.2%
Wakulla	48.1%	26.5%	17.8%	3.1%	4.5%
Walton	23.2%	11.7%	7.3%	0.5%	57.3%
Washington	39.4%	31.0%	27.0%	0.4%	2.2%
Statewide	36.9%	29.1%	15.7%	10.4%	7.9%

## Data Source:

U.S. Census Bureau, "Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2006," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued April 2008) [http://www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/cffr.html]



## Part Three: Federal Grants to Florida's State and Local Governments

## A. Introduction

Federal grant expenditures to Florida's state and local governments totaled \$19.1 billion, or \$1,059 per capita, in fiscal year 2006. In terms of total federal grants, Florida had the 4<sup>th</sup> largest expenditure of all states, the 4<sup>th</sup> largest expenditure of the seven most populous states, and the 2<sup>nd</sup> largest expenditure of the southern states, after Texas.<sup>1</sup> Compared to 2005, Florida's rankings were unchanged.

On a per capita basis, Florida ranked 47<sup>th</sup> among all states, last among the most populous states, and 14<sup>th</sup> among the southern states. The state's per capita rankings among the seven most populous states and the sixteen southern states were unchanged from 2005; however, Florida's per capita ranking among all states dropped from 43<sup>rd</sup> to 47<sup>th</sup>.

A summary of Florida's federal grant expenditures by department or agency can be found in **Table 3-1** on page 35. In addition to total reported expenditures, calculations of per capita expenditures have been included. Florida's rankings among the fifty states, the seven most populous states, and the other southern states on the basis of total and per capita expenditures are listed as well.

Of the \$19.1 billion in total grant expenditures to Florida in 2006, the grant funding received from six federal departments: Health and Human Services, Transportation, Education, Homeland Security, Housing and Urban Development and Agriculture totaled \$18.3 billion and accounted for 96 percent of all grant expenditures to Florida.

## **B. Data Sources**

The data reported in this part was obtained from the U.S. Bureau of the Census publication entitled *Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2006* issued April 2008. The Census Bureau's publication presents federal expenditures to state and local governments by state and U.S. outlying areas. However, the focus of this part is on the total expenditures made to the fifty states collectively and the expenditures by program category made to Florida.

The total grant expenditures to Florida of \$19.1 billion are less than the state's federal grant obligations of \$22.5 billion discussed previously in Part One. This difference results from the use of the *Federal Aid to States* (FAS) report as the data source here as opposed to the use of the *Consolidated Federal Funds Report* (CFFR). The FAS report presents state-by-state distributions of

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Based on July 1, 2006 population estimates prepared by the U.S. Census Bureau, the seven most populous states were California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio. The Census Bureau has classified 16 states in the South region. They are Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

federal expenditures for grants to state and local governments only. By contrast, federal grants reported in the CFFR generally represent obligations. Obligations are federal funds designated state-by-state and available to be "drawn down" through a variety of program requirements. Additionally, the CFFR includes payments to state and local governments as well as grants to nongovernmental recipients.

## C. Federal Grants by Department or Agency

The tables in this part provide more detailed summaries of federal grant expenditures to Florida by department or agency. In the FAS report, these federal grant expenditures are reported by program categories. It is important to note that the majority of these program categories reflect the sum total of numerous individual grant programs.

In the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA), federal grants are classified into one of two types: formula grants and project grants.<sup>2</sup> Formula grants are allocations of money to states or their subdivisions in accordance with distribution formulas prescribed by law or administrative regulation for activities of a continuing nature not confined to a specific project. Currently, the CFDA lists 186 formula grant programs.

Project grants are funding for specific projects for fixed or known periods of time and can include fellowships, scholarships, research grants, training grants, traineeships, experimental and demonstration grants, evaluation grants, planning grants, technical assistance grants, survey grants, and construction grants. The CFDA currently lists 1,036 project grant programs.

In total, 1,222 separate federal grant programs are currently listed in the CFDA. Data on states' participation in each of these programs is not part of the FAS report; however, such information is available from the U.S. Bureau of the Census. Due to the large number of such programs, a separate research project would be necessary to document Florida's participation in these formula and project grant programs relative to the other states. At the Committee's direction, the LCIR staff last documented the Florida's participation in individual grant programs in 2002.

Utilizing the data published in the FAS report, the following tables summarize federal grant expenditures to Florida by department or agency.

**Table 3-2:** U.S. Department of Agriculture (page 36) **Table 3-3:** U.S. Department of Commerce (page 37)

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The purpose of the CFDA is to provide a database of all federal programs available to state and local governments, including the District of Columbia; federally-recognized Indian tribal governments; territories and possessions of the United States; domestic public, quasi-public, and private profit and nonprofit organizations and institutions; specialized groups; and individuals. The Catalog is available online at <a href="https://www.cfda.gov">www.cfda.gov</a>. Currently, programs in the Catalog are classified into 15 types of assistance, seven of which are financial types of assistance and eight are non-financial types of assistance.

```
Table 3-4:
              U.S. Department of Defense (page 38)
              U.S. Department of Education (page 39)
Table 3-5:
              U.S. Department of Energy (page 40)
Table 3-6:
Table 3-7:
              U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (page 41)
Table 3-8:
              U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (page 42)
Table 3-9:
              U.S. Department of Homeland Security (page 43)
              U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (page 44)
Table 3-10:
Table 3-11:
              U.S. Department of Interior (page 45)
Table 3-12:
              U.S. Department of Justice (page 46)
              U.S. Department of Labor (page 47)
Table 3-13:
Table 3-14:
              U.S. Department of Transportation (page 48)
Table 3-15:
              U.S. Department of the Treasury (page 49)
```

Two additional tables summarize changes in federal grant expenditures to Florida by department or agency for the period of 1996 through 2006. **Table 3-16** on pages 50-53 lists the total expenditures, the percentage change in total expenditures from one year to the next, and Florida's rankings among the fifty states. **Table 3-17** on pages 54-56 lists the per capita expenditures and Florida's rankings among the fifty states.

Supplemental information describing each federal department or agency and many of the grant programs can be obtained from their respective websites. A list of those websites can be found in the **Appendix**.

## **D.** Conclusion

Federal grant expenditures to Florida's state and local governments totaled \$19.1 billion, or \$1,059 per capita, in fiscal year 2006. In terms of total federal grants, Florida had the 4<sup>th</sup> largest expenditure of all states, the 4<sup>th</sup> largest expenditure of the seven most populous states, and the 2<sup>nd</sup> largest expenditure of the southern states. On a per capita basis, the state ranked 47<sup>th</sup> among all states, last among the most populous states, and 14<sup>th</sup> among the southern states.

For reporting purposes, the federal government aggregates expenditures of hundreds of separate grant programs into broad program categories. Consequently, it is difficult to determine why the state ranks so low, on a per capita basis, relative to other states in many program categories. Certainly, this aggregation of expenditure data masks differences from one grant program to another. Within the same program category, a high per capita ranking in an individual grant program may be offset to some degree by a low per capita ranking in another program.

This report utilizes a per capita measure to control for population differences among states. However, this measure does not take into consideration levels of need or utilization. For example, a state may have a need for certain grant funding but be unable to receive monies due to the program's eligibility requirements. Conversely, a state may be fully qualified to participate in a particular grant

program but may choose not to participate, or participate fully, due to the requirements or conditions associated with the receipt of funds.

According to past statements made by representatives of several state agencies, per capita measurements of certain federal grants receipts, while low compared to other states, may not reflect the fact that the amounts are adequately serving their target populations. Additionally, some grant funding formulas incorporate variables other than the population at large; therefore, the use of a per capita measure for comparisons among states may not be appropriate.

Florida's per capita expenditures for select grants may be lower than for most other states because of the state's unique demographic composition, which features large elderly and retiree populations. However, when funding is compared in terms of actual dollars, or per target populations, the state may actually rank much higher nationally.

It is important to note that a number of factors determine how successful or not an individual state can be in maximizing federal grant funding. How a state fares in the receipt of grant funding is largely determined by formula. Although the total number of project grants outnumbers the total number of formula grants by a factor of five, the cumulative dollar value of project grants is small relative to the cumulative dollar value of the large formula grant programs that exist in education, health care, and transportation, for example.

Another factor that affects states' receipt of grant funding is the natural resources existing within their boundaries. States that typically do well in the receipt of grant funding are those that receive payments for the value of natural resources extracted from their public lands. The existence of such extractable natural resources favors states like Alaska, Louisiana, and Wyoming, relative to a state like Florida with fewer extractable natural resources.

Medicaid is another factor that affects how a state fares in the receipt of grant funding, given the size of the program itself. While Medicaid spending has been increasing, an individual state could experience a smaller than average increase if its Medicaid matching rate declines. Conversely, a state experiencing an increase in its matching rate could see a substantial increase in grant funding. Additionally, the type of Medicaid program run by the state, whether relatively modest and less expensive or more expansive and more expensive, will affect the level of federal reimbursements.

In spite of the caveats mentioned above, the data presented in this part suggest that it is possible for Florida to realize improvement in the acquisition of federal grants. Therefore, elected federal, state, and local officials should consider the development of a comprehensive strategy in the evaluation and acquisition of federal grants and identify federal and state policy changes to enhance the state's access and receipt of such funding.

# Table 3-1 Federal Grant Expenditures to Florida State and Local Governments Federal Fiscal Year 2006

			Flo	rida's Rankir	ngs:		Flo	rida's Rankir	ngs:
			To	tal Expenditu	ires		Per C	apita Expend	ditures
		% of		7 Most	16 States			7 Most	16 States
	Total	State's	All	Populous	in "South"	Per Capita	All	Populous	in "South"
Federal Agency or Department	Expenditures	Total	States	States	Region	Expenditures	States	States	Region
Health and Human Services	\$ 9,689,187,000	50.7%	5th	5th	2nd	\$ 536.57	46th	7th	15th
Transportation	2,363,114,000	12.4%	4th	4th	2nd	130.87	40th	6th	13th
Education	2,057,824,000	10.8%	4th	4th	2nd	113.96	35th	5th	13th
Homeland Security	1,653,372,000	8.6%	2nd	1st	2nd	91.56	5th	1st	3rd
Housing and Urban Development	1,499,207,000	7.8%	9th	7th	2nd	83.02	44th	7th	16th
Agriculture	1,079,860,000	5.6%	4th	4th	2nd	59.80	42nd	7th	14th
Labor	304,746,000	1.6%	10th	7th	4th	16.88	50th	7th	16th
Justice	195,626,000	1.0%	7th	4th	3rd	10.83	29th	4th	8th
Environmental Protection Agency	139,188,000	0.7%	8th	6th	2nd	7.71	47th	6th	15th
Interior	28,080,000	0.1%	30th	7th	8th	1.56	49th	7th	16th
Commerce	23,742,000	0.1%	7th	3rd	2nd	1.31	32nd	2nd	12th
Veterans Affairs	13,959,000	0.1%	16th	7th	4th	0.77	39th	6th	10th
Defense	11,370,000	0.1%	11th	5th	4th	0.63	34th	6th	11th
Energy	11,306,000	0.1%	18th	7th	4th	0.63	49th	6th	16th
National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities	11,009,000	0.1%	6th	6th	2nd	0.61	47th	5th	14th
Corporation for Public Broadcasting	10,614,000	0.1%	1st	1st	1st	0.59	27th	1st	9th
Treasury	10,558,000	0.1%	2nd	2nd	2nd	0.58	1st	1st	1st
Corporation for National and Community Service	6,455,000	< 0.1%	5th	4th	2nd	0.36	28th	4th	8th
Social Security AdminSupplemental Security Income	2,282,000	< 0.1%	5th	5th	2nd	0.13	20th	4th	7th
Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation	2,056,000	< 0.1%	8th	5th	3rd	0.11	43rd	6th	4th
Equal Employment Opportunity Commission	1,202,000	< 0.1%	7th	5th	1st	0.07	37th	5th	7th
Appalachian Regional Commission	18,000	< 0.1%	17th	4th	11th	< 0.01	18th	4th	12th
State Justice Institute	7,000	< 0.1%	21st	6th	8th	< 0.01	37th	7th	11th
Election Assistance Commission	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Payments to D.C. and Metro System	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tennessee Valley Authority-Payments in Lieu of Taxes	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total - Florida	\$ 19,114,782,000	100%	4th	4th	2nd	\$ 1,058.55	47th	7th	14th
Total - All States	\$ 403,649,593,000					\$ 1,353.76			
Florida as % of All States	4.7%								

#### Notes

- 1) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using population estimates that represent the state's resident population as of July 1, 2006.
- 2) Based on July 1, 2006 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.
- 3) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

- 1) Federal Expenditures: U.S. Census Bureau, "Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2006," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued February 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/fas.html
- 2) Population Estimates: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Table 1: Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States, and for Puerto Rico: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2007 (NST-EST2007-01) Release Date: December 27, 2007. www.census.gov/popest/states/NST-EST-ann-est.html

## Federal Grant Expenditures to Florida State and Local Governments U.S. Department of Agriculture

## Federal Fiscal Year 2006

i edelal i iscal i eal 2000												
			Flo	rida's Rankir	ngs:			rida's Rankir				
			То	tal Expenditu	ıres		Per C	apita Expend	litures			
		% of		7 Most	16 States			7 Most	16 States			
	Total	State's	All	Populous	in "South"	Per Capita	All	Populous	in "South"			
Program Categories / Subcategories	Expenditures	Total	States	States	Region	Expenditures	States	States	Region			
Agricultural Marketing Service	\$ 10,085,000	0.9%	9th	5th	4th	\$ 0.56	43rd	7th	13th			
Cooperative State Research Education and Extension Service	36,063,000	3.3%	5th	4th	3rd	2.00	47th	5th	16th			
Extension Activities	24,848,000	2.3%	7th	4th	3rd	1.38	46th	6th	16th			
Research and Education Activities	11,215,000	1.0%	4th	3rd	3rd	0.62	41st	3rd	12th			
Farm Service Agency	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Food Safety and Inspection Service	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Food and Nutrition Service	1,016,242,000	94.1%	4th	4th	2nd	56.28	36th	6th	14th			
Child Nutrition Programs	677,709,000	62.8%	4th	4th	2nd	37.53	25th	4th	14th			
Commodity Assistance Programs	6,556,000	0.6%	9th	7th	3rd	0.36	48th	7th	15th			
Food Stamp Program	82,353,000	7.6%	9th	7th	2nd	4.56	49th	7th	16th			
Supplemental Food Program (WIC)	249,624,000	23.1%	4th	4th	2nd	13.82	31st	6th	13th			
Forest Service	8,529,000	0.8%	22nd	4th	9th	0.47	45th	4th	15th			
Payments to States and Counties	2,504,000	0.2%	19th	4th	6th	0.14	31st	4th	11th			
Rural Community and Emergency Fire Fighting Program	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
State and Private Forestry	6,025,000	0.6%	18th	4th	8th	0.33	46th	4th	16th			
National Forest Service	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Other	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Natural Resources Conservation Service	226,000	< 0.1%	24th	5th	10th	0.01	33rd	5th	13th			
Rural Development Activities	8,715,000	0.8%	31st	7th	13th	0.48	49th	7th	16th			
Community Facilities Grants	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Rural, Regional, and Cooperative Development Programs	445,000	< 0.1%	40th	7th	15th	0.02	50t	7th	16th			
Housing Preservation Grants	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Water Systems and Waste Disposal Systems Grants	7,407,000	0.7%	28th	7th	13th	0.41	49th	7th	16th			
Other	863,000	0.1%	14th	4th	7th	0.05	26th	4th	11th			
Total - Florida	\$ 1,079,860,000	100%	4th	4th	2nd	\$ 59.80	42nd	7th	14th			
Total - All States	\$ 22,661,482,000		•			\$ 76.00						
Florida as % of All States	4.8%											

#### Notes:

- 1) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using population estimates that represent the state's resident population as of July 1, 2006.
- 2) Based on July 1, 2006 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.
- 3) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

- 1) Federal Expenditures: U.S. Census Bureau, "Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2006," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued February 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/fas.html
- 2) Population Estimates: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Table 1: Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States, and for Puerto Rico: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2007 (NST-EST2007-01) Release Date: December 27, 2007. www.census.gov/popest/states/NST-EST-ann-est.html

## Federal Grant Expenditures to Florida State and Local Governments U.S. Department of Commerce

## Federal Fiscal Year 2006

				Florida's Rankings: Total Expenditures				Florida's Rankings: Per Capita Expenditures		
Program Categories / Subcategories	Ex	Total cpenditures	% of State's Total	All States	7 Most Populous States	16 States in "South" Region	Capita nditures	All States	7 Most Populous States	16 States in "South" Region
Economic Development Administration	\$	12,669,000	53.4%	5th	3rd	3rd	\$ 0.70	37th	4th	13th
International Trade Administration		-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administation		10,759,000	45.3%	6th	2nd	2nd	0.60	19th	1st	7th
National Telecommunications and Information Administration		314,000	1.3%	35th	7th	10th	0.02	43rd	7th	12th
Total - Florida	\$	23,742,000	100%	7th	3rd	2nd	\$ 1.31	32nd	2nd	12th
Total - All States	\$	592,616,000					\$ 1.99			
Florida as % of All States		4.0%				•				

### Notes:

- 1) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using population estimates that represent the state's resident population as of July 1, 2006.
- 2) Based on July 1, 2006 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.
- 3) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

- 1) Federal Expenditures: U.S. Census Bureau, "Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2006," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued February 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/fas.html
- 2) Population Estimates: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Table 1: Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States, and for Puerto Rico: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2007 (NST-EST2007-01) Release Date: December 27, 2007. www.census.gov/popest/states/NST-EST-ann-est.html

## Federal Grant Expenditures to Florida State and Local Governments U.S. Department of Defense

## Federal Fiscal Year 2006

			Florida's Rankings:				Flo	ngs:	
			То	tal Expenditu	ıres		Per C	ditures	
		% of		7 Most	16 States			7 Most	16 States
	Total	State's	All	Populous	in "South"	Per Capita	All	Populous	in "South"
Program Categories / Subcategories	Expenditures	Total	States	States	Region	Expenditures	States	States	Region
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers - Civilian Construction Program	\$ 13,000	0.1%	27th	6th	13th	< 0.01	33rd	6th	14th
U.S. Army National Guard - Construction	11,357,000	99.9%	11th	5th	4th	0.63	33rd	6th	10th
Total - Florida	\$ 11,370,000	100%	11th	5th	4th	\$ 0.63	34th	6th	11th
Total - All States	\$ 396,879,000					\$ 1.33			
Florida as % of All States	2 9%						•		

#### Notes

- 1) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using population estimates that represent the state's resident population as of July 1, 2006.
- 2) Based on July 1, 2006 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio
- 3) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

- 1) Federal Expenditures: U.S. Census Bureau, "Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2006," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued February 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/fas.html
- 2) Population Estimates: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Table 1: Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States, and for Puerto Rico: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2007 (NST-EST2007-01) Release Date: December 27, 2007. www.census.gov/popest/states/NST-EST-ann-est.html

## Federal Grant Expenditures to Florida State and Local Governments U.S. Department of Education

## Federal Fiscal Year 2006

			Flo	rida's Rankir	ngs:		Florida's Rankings:			
			То	tal Expenditu	ires		Per C	apita Expend	ditures	
		% of		7 Most	16 States			7 Most	16 States	
	Total	State's	All	Populous	in "South"	Per Capita	All	Populous	in "South"	
Program Categories / Subcategories	Expenditures	Total	States	States	Region	Expenditures	States	States	Region	
Office of English Language Acquisition	\$ 40,658,000	2.0%	4th	4th	2nd	\$ 2.25	12th	4th	2nd	
Office of Educational Research and Improvement	19,543,000	0.9%	7th	5th	3rd	1.08	30th	5th	9th	
Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services	740,047,000	36.0%	4th	4th	2nd	40.98	27th	2nd	11th	
Rehabilitation Services and Disability Research Programs	154,903,000	7.5%	2nd	2nd	1st	8.58	22nd	1st	8th	
Office of Special Education Programs	585,144,000	28.4%	4th	4th	2nd	32.40	37th	6th	13th	
Office of Vocational and Adult Education	93,261,000	4.5%	4th	4th	2nd	5.16	33rd	6th	11th	
Vocational Technical Education Programs	66,026,000	3.2%	4th	4th	2nd	3.66	35th	6th	12th	
Adult Education and Literacy Programs	27,235,000	1.3%	4th	4th	2nd	1.51	34th	6th	12th	
Office of Elementary and Secondary Education	1,097,204,000	53.3%	4th	4th	2nd	60.76	28th	6th	9th	
Programs for the Disadvantaged - Migrants	22,201,000	1.1%	3rd	3rd	2nd	1.23	12th	3rd	3rd	
Programs for the Disadvantaged - Others	110,645,000	5.4%	4th	4th	2nd	6.13	12th	3rd	6th	
Impact Aid	8,881,000	0.4%	23rd	4th	6th	0.49	37th	4th	12th	
American Indian, Alaska and Hawaiian Native Programs	25,000	< 0.1%	36th	5th	9th	< 0.01	36th	5th	9th	
No Child Left Behind Act	248,130,000	12.1%	4th	4th	2nd	13.74	35th	5th	12th	
Title I Programs	602,877,000	29.3%	4th	4th	2nd	33.39	25th	6th	10th	
Other	104,445,000	5.1%	4th	3rd	3rd	5.78	7th	2nd	5th	
Office of Postsecondary Education	36,683,000	1.8%	7th	4th	5th	2.03	46th	4th	16th	
International Education Programs	2,884,000	0.1%	7th	4th	2nd	0.16	17th	4th	3rd	
Other	33,799,000	1.6%	7th	4th	5th	1.87	47th	5th	16th	
Office of Student Financial Assistance	30,428,000	1.5%	5th	5th	2nd	1.69	44th	6th	13th	
Total - Florida	\$ 2,057,824,000	100%	4th	4th	2nd	\$ 113.96	35th	5th	13th	
Total - All States	\$ 34,900,601,000		•			\$ 117.05	•			
Florida as % of All States	5.9%									

#### Notes:

- 1) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using population estimates that represent the state's resident population as of July 1, 2006.
- 2) Based on July 1, 2006 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.
- 3) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

- Federal Expenditures: U.S. Census Bureau, "Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2006," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued February 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/fas.html
- 2) Population Estimates: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Table 1: Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States, and for Puerto Rico: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2007 (NST-EST2007-01) Release Date: December 27, 2007. www.census.gov/popest/states/NST-EST-ann-est.html

## Federal Grant Expenditures to Florida State and Local Governments U.S. Department of Energy

## Federal Fiscal Year 2006

				Florida's Rankings: Total Expenditures					Florida's Rankings: Per Capita Expenditures		
Program Categories / Subcategories	E	Total xpenditures	% of State's Total	All States	7 Most Populous States	16 States in "South" Region		Capita nditures	All States	7 Most Populous States	16 States in "South" Region
National Nuclear Security Administration	\$	3,178,000	28.1%	7th	4th	3rd	\$	0.18	14th	4th	4th
Nuclear Waste Disposal		-	0.0%	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Environmental and Other Defense Programs		190,000	1.7%	12th	2nd	5th		0.01	16th	2nd	7th
Energy Conservation Programs		4,024,000	35.6%	19th	7th	6th		0.22	47th	5th	14th
Energy Research and Development Programs		3,914,000	34.6%	18th	7th	5th		0.22	48th	7th	15th
Other Programs		-	0.0%	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Total - Florida	\$	11,306,000	100%	18th	7th	4th	\$	0.63	49th	6th	16th
Total - All States	\$	673,044,000			•	•	\$	2.26		•	
Florida as % of All States		1.7%						•			

#### Notes:

- 1) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using population estimates that represent the state's resident population as of July 1, 2006.
- 2) Based on July 1, 2006 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.
- 3) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

- Federal Expenditures: U.S. Census Bureau, "Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2006," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued February 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/fas.html
- 2) Population Estimates: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Table 1: Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States, and for Puerto Rico: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2007 (NST-EST2007-01) Release Date: December 27, 2007. www.census.gov/popest/states/NST-EST-ann-est.html

## Federal Grant Expenditures to Florida State and Local Governments U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

## Federal Fiscal Year 2006

				Florida's Rankings:					Florida's Rankings:			
				To	tal Expenditu	ıres			Per Capita Expenditures			
			% of		7 Most	16 States				7 Most	16 States	
		Total	State's	All	Populous	in "South"	Pei	r Capita	All	Populous	in "South"	
Program Categories / Subcategories	E	xpenditures	Total	States	States	Region	Expe	enditures	States	States	Region	
Hazardous Substance Response (Superfund and L.U.S.T.)	\$	1,649,000	1.2%	39th	7th	15th	\$	0.09	50th	7th	16th	
Other		137,539,000	98.8%	8th	6th	2nd		7.62	47th	6th	15th	
Total - Florida	\$	139,188,000	100%	8th	6th	2nd	\$	7.71	47th	6th	15th	
Total - All States	\$	4,129,189,000					\$	13.85				
Florida as % of All States		3 4%										

#### Notes

- 1) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using population estimates that represent the state's resident population as of July 1, 2006.
- 2) Based on July 1, 2006 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio
- 3) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

- 1) Federal Expenditures: U.S. Census Bureau, "Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2006," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued February 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/fas.html
- 2) Population Estimates: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Table 1: Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States, and for Puerto Rico: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2007 (NST-EST2007-01) Release Date: December 27, 2007. www.census.gov/popest/states/NST-EST-ann-est.html

## Federal Grant Expenditures to Florida State and Local Governments U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

## Federal Fiscal Year 2006

			Flo	rida's Rankiı	ngs:		Flo	rida's Rankii	ngs:
			To	tal Expenditι	ıres		Per C	apita Expend	litures
		% of		7 Most	16 States			7 Most	16 States
	Total	State's	All	Populous	in "South"	Per Capita	All	Populous	in "South"
Program Categories / Subcategories	Expenditures	Total	States	States	Region	Expenditures	States	States	Region
Administration for Children and Families	\$ 1,126,016,000	11.6%	7th	7th	2nd	\$ 62.36	50th	7th	16th
Child Care and Development	142,605,000	1.5%	4th	4th	2nd	7.90	31st	5th	13th
Child Support Enforcement	181,210,000	1.9%	4th	4th	2nd	10.04	18th	4th	5th
Children and Family Services (Head Start)	337,478,000	3.5%	5th	5th	2nd	18.69	47th	7th	16th
Safe and Stable Families	19,306,000	0.2%	5th	5th	2nd	1.07	37th	7th	14th
Foster Care and Adoption Assistance	205,717,000	2.1%	7th	7th	2nd	11.39	39th	7th	10th
Low Income Home Energy Assistance	33,472,000	0.3%	21st	7th	5th	1.85	48th	7th	16th
Refugee and Entrant Assistance	3,842,000	< 0.1%	2nd	2nd	1st	0.21	6th	2nd	1st
Social Services Block Grant	96,434,000	1.0%	4th	4th	2nd	5.34	42nd	6th	14th
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF)	42,581,000	0.4%	20th	6th	8th	2.36	45th	7th	16th
Other	63,371,000	0.7%	2nd	2nd	1st	3.51	2nd	1st	1st
Administration on Aging	93,027,000	1.0%	3rd	3rd	1st	5.15	18th	3rd	4th
Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention	9,791,000	0.1%	6th	6th	2nd	0.54	50th	7th	16th
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services	7,964,888,000	82.2%	5th	5th	2nd	441.08	45th	7th	15th
Health Resources and Services Administration	329,407,000	3.4%	3rd	3rd	1st	18.24	20th	2nd	7th
Indian Health Service	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration	166,058,000	1.7%	4th	4th	2nd	9.20	34th	5th	9th
Total - Florida	\$ 9,689,187,000	100%	5th	5th	2nd	\$ 536.57	46th	7th	15th
Total - All States	\$ 224,462,592,000			•	•	\$ 752.80		-	
Florida as % of All States	4.3%					•			

#### Notes:

- 1) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using population estimates that represent the state's resident population as of July 1, 2006.
- 2) Based on July 1, 2006 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.
- As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

- 1) Federal Expenditures: U.S. Census Bureau, "Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2006," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued February 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/fas.html
- 2) Population Estimates: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Table 1: Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States, and for Puerto Rico: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2007 (NST-EST2007-01) Release Date: December 27, 2007. www.census.gov/popest/states/NST-EST-ann-est.html

## Federal Grant Expenditures to Florida State and Local Governments U.S. Department of Homeland Security

## Federal Fiscal Year 2006

				orida's Rankiı tal Expenditu	U		Florida's Rankings: Per Capita Expenditures			
Program Categories / Subcategories	Total Expenditures	% of State's Total	All States	7 Most Populous States	16 States in "South" Region	Capita ditures	All States	7 Most Populous States	16 States in "South" Region	
Coast Guard	\$ 7,586,000	0.5%	1st	1st	1st	\$ 0.42	11th	1st	4th	
Domestic Preparedness and Anti-terrorism Programs	100,122,000	6.1%	5th	4th	2nd	5.54	29th	5th	8th	
Federal Emergency Management Agency	1,545,664,000	93.5%	2nd	1st	2nd	85.60	4th	1st	3rd	
Disaster Relief	1,538,849,000	93.1%	2nd	1st	2nd	85.22	4th	1st	3rd	
Emergency Management Planning and Assistance	4,590,000	0.3%	14th	4th	6th	0.25	40th	5th	13th	
Other	2,225,000	0.1%	4th	2nd	4th	0.12	15th	2nd	8th	
Total - Florida	\$ 1,653,372,000	100%	2nd	1st	2nd	\$ 91.56	5th	1st	3rd	
Total - All States	\$ 9,447,291,000	)	•	•	•	\$ 31.68		•	•	
Florida as % of All States	17.59	6								

#### Notes:

- 1) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using population estimates that represent the state's resident population as of July 1, 2006.
- 2) Based on July 1, 2006 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.
- 3) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

- 1) Federal Expenditures: U.S. Census Bureau, "Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2006," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued February 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/fas.html
- 2) Population Estimates: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Table 1: Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States, and for Puerto Rico: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2007 (NST-EST2007-01) Release Date: December 27, 2007. www.census.gov/popest/states/NST-EST-ann-est.html

## Federal Grant Expenditures to Florida State and Local Governments U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

## Federal Fiscal Year 2006

			Flo			rida's Ranki	•		
			То	tal Expenditu			Per C	apita Expend	Jitures
		% of		7 Most	16 States			7 Most	16 States
	Total	State's	All	Populous	in "South"	Per Capita	All	Populous	in "South"
Program Categories / Subcategories	Expenditures	Total	States	States	Region	Expenditures	States	States	Region
Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity	\$ 3,827,000	0.3%	2nd	2nd	1st	\$ 0.21	11th	2nd	2nd
Community Planning and Development	262,061,000	17.5%	7th	7th	2nd	14.51	40th	7th	12th
Community Development Block Grant	197,217,000	13.2%	7th	7th	2nd	10.92	43rd	7th	14th
Empowerment Zones and Other Economic Development	3,586,000	0.2%	8th	4th	4th	0.20	23rd	4th	8th
Emergency Shelter and Homeless Assistance	61,258,000	4.1%	6th	5th	1st	3.39	28th	6th	5th
Housing Programs	1,233,319,000	82.3%	9th	7th	2nd	68.30	43rd	7th	16th
College Housing	232,000	< 0.1%	15th	5th	6th	0.01	25th	4th	7th
Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS	35,846,000	2.4%	2nd	2nd	1st	1.99	2nd	2nd	1st
Native American Block Grant	2,504,000	0.2%	24th	3rd	4th	0.14	30th	3rd	7th
Housing for Special Populations	44,777,000	3.0%	5th	5th	1st	2.48	25th	5th	6th
Public Housing Programs	1,073,361,000	71.6%	9th	7th	2nd	59.44	43rd	7th	16th
Low Rent Housing Assistance	784,726,000	52.3%	9th	7th	2nd	43.46	42nd	7th	16th
Neighborhood Revitalization	30,277,000	2.0%	5th	2nd	4th	1.68	20th	4th	10th
Drug Elimination	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Housing Certificate Program	177,911,000	11.9%	9th	6th	2nd	9.85	33rd	6th	10th
Capital Programs	80,447,000	5.4%	11th	7th	5th	4.46	36th	6th	16th
Home Ownership Assistance	75,258,000	5.0%	6th	6th	2nd	4.17	44th	7th	16th
Other	1,341,000	0.1%	29th	7th	10th	0.07	40th	7th	15th
Total - Florida	\$ 1,499,207,000	100%	9th	7th	2nd	\$ 83.02	44th	7th	16th
Total - All States	\$ 40,220,342,000	1		•	•	\$ 134.89			
Florida as % of All States	3.7%	1							

#### Notes

- 1) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using population estimates that represent the state's resident population as of July 1, 2006.
- 2) Based on July 1, 2006 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.
- 3) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

- 1) Federal Expenditures: U.S. Census Bureau, "Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2006," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued February 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/fas.html
- 2) Population Estimates: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Table 1: Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States, and for Puerto Rico: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2007 (NST-EST2007-01) Release Date: December 27, 2007. www.census.gov/popest/states/NST-EST-ann-est.html

## Federal Grant Expenditures to Florida State and Local Governments U.S. Department of the Interior

## Federal Fiscal Year 2006

				orida's Ranki otal Expenditi	•			orida's Ranki Capita Expend	•
Program Categories / Subcategories	Total Expenditure	% of State's Total	All States	7 Most Populous States	16 States in "South" Region	Per Capita Expenditures	All States	7 Most Populous States	16 States in "South" Region
Bureau of Indian Affairs	\$ 3,515,	000 12.5%	20th	3rd	3rd	\$ 0.19	28th	3rd	5th
Bureau of Land Management	2,870,	000 10.2%	13th	2nd	1st	0.16	33rd	2nd	10th
Payments in Lieu of Taxes	2,870,	000 10.2%	13th	2nd	1st	0.16	33rd	2nd	10th
Shared Revenues		- 0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bureau of Reclamation		- 0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fish and Wildlife Service	16,820,	000 59.9%	13th	6th	2nd	0.93	48th	6th	16th
Wildlife Conservation and Restoration	7,151,	000 25.5%	24th	6th	6th	0.40	49th	7th	16th
Sport Fish Restoration	9,191,	000 32.7%	8th	4th	2nd	0.51	45th	5th	15th
Other	478,	000 1.7%	12th	4th	4th	0.03	27th	4th	11th
Minerals Management Service	143,	000 0.5%	28th	5th	10th	0.01	30th	5th	10th
Minerals Leasing Act	142,	000 0.5%	21st	3rd	6th	0.01	24th	3rd	7th
Other	1,	000 < 0.1%	21st	6th	10th	< 0.01	22nd	6th	10th
National Park Service	4,732,	000 16.9%	8th	5th	3rd	0.26	46th	6th	14th
Historic Preservation	851,	000 3.0%	20th	7th	7th	0.05	48th	5th	15th
Other	3,881,	000 13.8%	7th	5th	3rd	0.21	42nd	5th	11th
Office of Surface Mining, Reclamation, and Enforcement		- 0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Abandoned Mine Reclamation		- 0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other		- 0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Office of Insular Affairs		- 0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total - Florida	\$ 28,080,	000 100%	30th	7th	8th	\$ 1.56	49th	7th	16th
Total - All States	\$ 4,549,386,	000	• —			\$ 15.26			
Florida as % of All States		0.6%							

#### Notes

- 1) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using population estimates that represent the state's resident population as of July 1, 2006.
- 2) Based on July 1, 2006 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.
- 3) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

- Federal Expenditures: U.S. Census Bureau, "Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2006," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued February 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/fas.html
- 2) Population Estimates: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Table 1: Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States, and for Puerto Rico:
  April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2007 (NST-EST2007-01) Release Date: December 27, 2007. www.census.gov/popest/states/NST-EST-ann-est.html

## Federal Grant Expenditures to Florida State and Local Governments U.S. Department of Justice

## Federal Fiscal Year 2006

		i caci	ai i 130	ai i cai z	-000					
				Flo	rida's Rankiı	ngs:		Flo	rida's Ranki	ngs:
				То	tal Expenditu	ıres		Per C	apita Expend	ditures
			% of		7 Most	16 States			7 Most	16 States
		Total	State's	All	Populous	in "South"	Per Capita	All	Populous	in "South"
Program Categories / Subcategories	E	Expenditures	Total	States	States	Region	Expenditures	States	States	Region
Federal Prison System	\$	651,000	0.3%	3rd	1st	3rd	\$ 0.04	10th	1st	4th
Office of Asset Forfeiture		24,544,000	12.5%	3rd	3rd	2nd	1.36	13th	1st	6th
Office of Justice Programs		170,431,000	87.1%	10th	5th	3rd	9.44	31st	5th	9th
Corrections, Probation, and Parole		49,291,000	25.2%	2nd	1st	1st	2.73	4th	1st	2nd
Crime Victims Programs		51,805,000	26.5%	3rd	1st	1st	2.87	10th	1st	2nd
Education, Research, and Statistics Program		6,288,000	3.2%	10th	4th	5th	0.35	30th	3rd	9th
Juvenile Justice Programs		6,638,000	3.4%	14th	4th	5th	0.37	35th	4th	8th
Law Enforcement Assistance		56,409,000	28.8%	12th	5th	5th	3.12	46th	7th	14th
Bulletproof Vests		-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Community Oriented Policing Program (COPS)		11,037,000	5.6%	15th	6th	6th	0.61	36th	7th	13th
Law Enforcement Block Grant		1,690,000	0.9%	7th	4th	4th	0.09	12th	4th	7th
Violence Against Women and Children		12,508,000	6.4%	5th	2nd	2nd	0.69	26th	2nd	6th
Weed and Seed		385,000	0.2%	7th	4th	3rd	0.02	22nd	4th	8th
Other		8,000	< 0.1%	23rd	7th	6th	< 0.01	23rd	7th	6th
Substance Abuse Programs		13,545,000	6.9%	15th	6th	5th	0.75	36th	5th	12th
Other		17,236,000	8.8%	6th	4th	3rd	0.95	16th	4th	4th
Total - Florida	\$	195,626,000	100%	7th	4th	3rd	\$ 10.83	29th	4th	8th
Total - All States	\$	5,713,752,000		•		•	\$ 19.16			
Florida as % of All States		3.4%								

### Notes:

- 1) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using population estimates that represent the state's resident population as of July 1, 2006.
- 2) Based on July 1, 2006 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.
- 3) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

- 1) Federal Expenditures: U.S. Census Bureau, "Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2006," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued February 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/fas.html
- 2) Population Estimates: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Table 1: Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States, and for Puerto Rico: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2007 (NST-EST2007-01) Release Date: December 27, 2007. www.census.gov/popest/states/NST-EST-ann-est.html

## Federal Grant Expenditures to Florida State and Local Governments U.S. Department of Labor

## Federal Fiscal Year 2006

				rida's Rankii	U		Florida's Rankings:			
			То	Total Expenditures			Per Capita Expenditures			
		% of		7 Most	16 States			7 Most	16 States	
	Total	State's	All	Populous	in "South"	Per Capita	All	Populous	in "South"	
Program Categories / Subcategories	Expenditures	Total	States	States	Region	Expenditures	States	States	Region	
Bureau of Labor Statistics	\$ 3,195,000	1.0%	4th	4th	2nd	\$ 0.18	50th	7th	16th	
Employment and Training Administration	288,427,000	94.6%	10th	7th	4th	15.97	50th	7th	16th	
State Unemployment Insurance and Employment Service	115,023,000	37.7%	9th	7th	2nd	6.37	50th	7th	16th	
Workforce Investment	140,494,000	46.1%	7th	7th	2nd	7.78	36th	7th	13th	
Other	32,910,000	10.8%	17th	7th	9th	1.82	49th	7th	16th	
Mine Safety Health and Administration	79,000	< 0.1%	34th	7th	12th	< 0.01	46th	7th	14th	
Occupational Health and Safety Administration	3,592,000	1.2%	16th	3rd	6th	0.20	40th	3rd	11th	
Veterans Employment and Training Administration	9,453,000	3.1%	4th	4th	2nd	0.52	43rd	6th	14th	
Total - Florida	\$ 304,746,000	100%	10th	7th	4th	\$ 16.88	50th	7th	16th	
Total - All States	\$ 8,937,832,000					\$ 29.98		-		
Florida as % of All States	3.4%	5					-			

#### Notes:

- 1) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using population estimates that represent the state's resident population as of July 1, 2006.
- 2) Based on July 1, 2006 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.
- 3) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

- 1) Federal Expenditures: U.S. Census Bureau, "Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2006," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued February 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/fas.html
- 2) Population Estimates: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Table 1: Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States, and for Puerto Rico: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2007 (NST-EST2007-01) Release Date: December 27, 2007. www.census.gov/popest/states/NST-EST-ann-est.html

## Federal Grant Expenditures to Florida State and Local Governments U.S. Department of Transportation

## Federal Fiscal Year 2006

			Flo	rida's Rankir	ngs:		Flo	rida's Rankiı	ngs:
			Total Expenditures				Per C	apita Expend	ditures
		% of		7 Most	16 States			7 Most	16 States
	Total	State's	All	Populous	in "South"	Per Capita	All	Populous	in "South"
Program Categories / Subcategories	Expenditures	Total	States	States	Region	Expenditures	States	States	Region
Federal Aviation Administration	\$ 193,020,000	8.2%	3rd	3rd	2nd	\$ 10.69	33rd	1st	10th
Federal Highway Administration	1,917,056,000	81.1%	3rd	3rd	2nd	106.16	31st	4th	13th
Demonstration Projects	22,271,000	0.9%	1st	1st	1st	1.23	13th	1st	4th
Highway Trust Fund	1,894,735,000	80.2%	3rd	3rd	2nd	104.93	32nd	4th	13th
Other	50,000	< 0.1%	15th	5th	10th	< 0.01	16th	5th	10th
Federal Railroad Administration	4,366,000	0.2%	2nd	2nd	1st	0.24	6th	2nd	2nd
Federal Transit Administration	237,813,000	10.1%	8th	6th	2nd	13.17	29th	7th	7th
National Highway Traffic Safety Administration	10,329,000	0.4%	17th	7th	7th	0.57	50th	7th	16th
Research and Special Projects Administration	530,000	0.0%	22nd	7th	8th	0.03	50th	7th	16th
Total - Florida	\$ 2,363,114,000	100%	4th	4th	2nd	\$ 130.87	40th	6th	13th
Total - All States	\$ 45,150,206,000					\$ 151.42	•		_
Florida as % of All States	5.2%				•				

#### Notes

- 1) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using population estimates that represent the state's resident population as of July 1, 2006.
- 2) Based on July 1, 2006 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.
- 3) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

- 1) Federal Expenditures: U.S. Census Bureau, "Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2006," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued February 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/fas.html
- 2) Population Estimates: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Table 1: Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States, and for Puerto Rico: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2007 (NST-EST2007-01) Release Date: December 27, 2007. www.census.gov/popest/states/NST-EST-ann-est.html

## Federal Grant Expenditures to Florida State and Local Governments U.S. Department of the Treasury

## Federal Fiscal Year 2006

			Florida's Rankings:				Flo	orida's Ranki	ngs:
			То	tal Expenditu	ures		Per C	Capita Expend	ditures
		% of		7 Most	16 States			7 Most	16 States
	Total	State's	All	Populous	in "South"	Per Capita	All	Populous	in "South"
Program Categories / Subcategories	Expenditures	Total	States	States	Region	Expenditures	States	States	Region
Asset Forfeiture Fund	\$ 10,477,000	99.2%	2nd	2nd	2nd	\$ 0.58	1st	1st	1st
Other	81,000	0.8%	11th	6th	2nd	< 0.01	26th	7th	5th
Total - Florida	\$ 10,558,000	100%	2nd	2nd	2nd	\$ 0.58	1st	1st	1st
Total - All States	\$ 66,101,000					\$ 0.22			
Florida as % of All States	16.0%	]					_		

#### Notes

- 1) The calculation of per capita expenditures was made using population estimates that represent the state's resident population as of July 1, 2006.
- 2) Based on July 1, 2006 population estimates, the seven most populous states, in descending population order, were: California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and Ohio
- 3) As designated by the U.S. Census Bureau, Florida is one of 16 states in the South region. The other states in the South region are: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

- 1) Federal Expenditures: U.S. Census Bureau, "Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2006," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2008 (Issued February 2008). www.census.gov/prod/www/abs/fas.html
- 2) Population Estimates: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Table 1: Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States, and for Puerto Rico: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2007 (NST-EST2007-01) Release Date: December 27, 2007. www.census.gov/popest/states/NST-EST-ann-est.html

Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006  Federal Department / Agency 1996 1997 1998 1999												
Federal Department / Agency		1996		1997		1998		1999		2000		
Agriculture			ا		٦_ ً		آ ہا		ً ا			
Total Expenditures	\$	727,798,000	\$	793,313,000	\$	829,750,000	\$	869,936,000	\$	827,812,000		
% Change From Prior Year		- 44b		9.0%		4.6%		4.8%		-4.8%		
FL's Ranking Among the States  Commerce		4th		4th		4th		4th		4th		
Total Expenditures	\$	38,660,000	\$	34,210,000	\$	28,421,000	\$	28,792,000	\$	29,088,000		
% Change From Prior Year	Ψ	30,000,000	Ψ	-11.5%	Ψ	-16.9%	Ψ	1.3%	Ψ	1.0%		
FL's Ranking Among the States		2nd		7th		7th		6th		8th		
Corporation for National and Community	,	Zila		7.01		7 11		Otti		0111		
Service												
Total Expenditures		NA		NA		NA		NA	\$	15,987,000		
% Change From Prior Year		-		-		-		-	Ů	-		
FL's Ranking Among the States		-		-		-		-		5th		
Corporation for Public Broadcasting												
Total Expenditures	\$	2,726,000	\$	10,661,000	\$	10,163,000	\$	9,848,000	\$	11,805,000		
% Change From Prior Year		-		291.1%		-4.7%		-3.1%		19.9%		
FL's Ranking Among the States		15th		5th	-	6th		6th		5th		
Defense	Φ.	0.044.000	Φ.	7 452 000	φ.	2 402 000	φ.	100,000	φ.	474.000		
Total Expenditures	\$	2,041,000	\$	7,453,000	\$	3,402,000	\$	162,000 -95.2%	\$	171,000 5.6%		
% Change From Prior Year FL's Ranking Among the States		35th		265.2% 8th		-54.4% 20th		-95.2% 43rd		3.6% 36th		
Education		33111		Olli	<u> </u>	2011		4010		30111		
Total Expenditures	\$	670,655,000	\$	740,893,000	\$	1,074,145,000	\$	1,021,054,000	\$	1,154,177,000		
% Change From Prior Year	, v	-	"	10.5%		45.0%	Ψ	-4.9%	Ψ	13.0%		
FL's Ranking Among the States		4th		5th		3rd		4th		4th		
Election Assistance Commission				5.11		5.0						
Total Expenditures		NA		NA		NA		NA		NA		
% Change From Prior Year		-		-		-		-		-		
FL's Ranking Among the States		-		-		-		-		-		
Energy												
Total Expenditures	\$	1,986,000	\$	4,918,000	\$	5,216,000	\$	2,564,000	\$	1,922,000		
% Change From Prior Year		-		147.6%		6.1%		-50.8%		-25.0%		
FL's Ranking Among the States		34th		4th		4th		22nd		35th		
Environmental Protection Agency	_		_						_			
Total Expenditures	\$	77,613,000	\$	77,661,000	\$	71,691,000	\$	95,128,000	\$	88,232,000		
% Change From Prior Year		-		0.1%		-7.7%		32.7%		-7.2%		
FL's Ranking Among the States  Equal Employment Opportunity		11th		9th		12th		10th		12th		
Commission												
Total Expenditures	\$	951,000	\$	988,000	\$	1,136,000	\$	893,000	\$	1,181,000		
% Change From Prior Year	Ψ	931,000	Ψ	3.9%		1,130,000	Ψ	-21.4%	Ψ	32.3%		
FL's Ranking Among the States		- 7th		9th		9th		-21.476 8th		32.3 % 8th		
Federal Emergency Management Agency	,	7.11		0111		0111		Otti		0111		
Total Expenditures	\$	137,820,000	\$	99,978,000	\$	132,458,000	\$	168,941,000	\$	210,811,000		
% Change From Prior Year	*	-	Ť	-27.5%		32.5%	Ψ.	27.5%	Ψ	24.8%		
FL's Ranking Among the States		2nd		11th		3rd		2nd		3rd		
Health and Human Services												
Total Expenditures	\$	4,765,354,000	\$	4,529,224,000		5,197,789,000	\$	5,825,280,000	\$	6,370,651,000		
% Change From Prior Year		-	Ì	-5.0%	1	14.8%		12.1%		9.4%		
FL's Ranking Among the States		7th		7th	<u> </u>	6th		5th		5th		
Homeland Security					1							
Total Expenditures		NA	Ì	NA	1	NA		NA		NA		
% Change From Prior Year		-		-		-		-		-		
FL's Ranking Among the States  Housing and Urban Development	1	-	-	-	$\vdash$	-		-		-		
Total Expenditures	\$	748,903,000	\$	809,124,000	\$	973,312,000	\$	1,054,629,000	\$	1,117,059,000		
% Change From Prior Year	Φ	140,903,000	Φ	8.0%		20.3%	Φ	1,054,629,000	Φ	1,117,059,000 5.9%		
FL's Ranking Among the States		9th		9th		20.3% 9th		9th		9th		
Institute of Museum and Library Services	<u></u>	Jui		Jui		501		Jui		301		
Total Expenditures	\$	275,000	\$	133,000	\$	8,713,000	\$	6,858,000	\$	7,564,000		
% Change From Prior Year	ĺ			-51.6%		6451.1%	_	-21.3%	Ť	10.3%		
FL's Ranking Among the States		10th	Ì	26th	1	3rd		4th		4th		
Interior												
Total Expenditures	\$	14,313,000	\$	24,717,000	\$	19,349,000	\$	18,972,000	\$	20,506,000		
% Change From Prior Year		-		72.7%		-21.7%		-1.9%		8.1%		
FL's Ranking Among the States		32nd		26th		28th		26th		29th		
Justice						·		·				
Total Expenditures	\$	117,971,000	\$	151,111,000	\$	169,972,000	\$	296,751,000	\$	192,023,000		
% Change From Prior Year		-		28.1%		12.5%		74.6%		-35.3%		
FL's Ranking Among the States	1	4th		4th		4th		4th		3rd		

2004	2002	Federal Fiscal Ye	ea		2005	2000
2001	2002	2003		2004	2005	2006
\$ 863,661,000 4.3% 4th	\$ 980,605,000 13.5% 4th	\$ 972,874,000 -0.8% 4th	\$	1,015,824,000 4.4% 4th	\$ 1,051,130,000 3.5% 4th	\$ 1,079,860,000 2.7% 4th
\$ 36,850,000 26.7% 7th	\$ 46,248,000 25.5% 5th	\$ 24,205,000 -47.7% 11th	\$	34,898,000 44.2% 7th	\$ 25,904,000 -25.8% 9th	\$ 23,742,000 -8.3% 7th
\$ 11,098,000 -30.6% 10th	\$ 4,172,000 -62.4% 12th	\$ 2,835,000 -32.0% 17th	\$	5 1,693,000 -40.3% 18th	\$ 1,959,000 15.7% 18th	\$ 6,455,000 229.5% 5th
\$ 13,666,000 15.8% 4th	\$ 14,771,000 8.1% 5th	\$ 12,811,000 -13.3% 4th	\$	3.9% 6th	\$ 13,314,000 0.0% 6th	\$ 10,614,000 -20.3% 1st
\$ 6,622,000 3772.5% 8th	\$ 1,507,000 -77.2% 25th	\$ 289,000 -80.8% 39th	\$	6,000 -97.9% 46th	\$ 14,817,000 246850.0% 6th	\$ 11,370,000 -23.3% 11th
\$ 1,199,151,000 3.9% 4th	\$ 1,553,340,000 29.5% 4th	\$ 1,646,297,000 6.0% 4th	\$	5 1,814,563,000 10.2% 4th	\$ 1,985,213,000 9.4% 4th	\$ 2,057,824,000 3.7% 4th
NA - -	NA - -	\$ 26,029,000 - 6th	\$	6 47,417,000 82.2% 9th	\$ 85,085,000 79.4% 5th	\$ - -100.0% -
\$ 3,149,000 63.8% 27th	\$ 2,221,000 -29.5% 36th	\$ 10,950,000 393.0% 20th	\$	5 12,297,000 12.3% 17th	\$ 11,596,000 -5.7% 16th	\$ 11,306,000 -2.5% 18th
\$ 109,427,000 24.0% 10th	\$ 129,107,000 18.0% 8th	\$ 135,156,000 4.7% 10th	\$	5 145,769,000 7.9% 9th	\$ 97,273,000 -33.3% 10th	\$ 139,188,000 43.1% 8th
\$ 1,362,000 15.3% 7th	\$ 1,250,000 -8.2% 9th	\$ 1,275,000 2.0% 9th	\$	5 1,601,000 25.6% 4th	\$ 1,605,000 0.2% 4th	\$ 1,202,000 -25.1% 7th
\$ 157,770,000 -25.2% 6th	\$ 177,643,000 12.6% 4th	Refer to Homeland Security		Refer to Homeland Security	Refer to Homeland Security	Refer to Homeland Security
\$ 7,362,945,000 15.6% 5th	\$ 8,355,365,000 13.5% 5th	9,968,790,000 19.3% 5th		5 10,560,497,000 5.9% 5th	\$ 10,393,124,000 -1.6% 5th	\$ 9,689,187,000 -6.8% 5th
NA - -	NA - -	\$ 168,027,000 - 4th	\$	5 270,326,000 60.9% 4th	\$ 1,612,709,000 496.6% 1st	\$ 1,653,372,000 2.5% 2nd
\$ 1,117,104,000 0.0% 9th	\$ 1,324,002,000 18.5% 9th	\$ 1,441,227,000 8.9% 9th	\$	5 1,404,958,000 -2.5% 9th	\$ 1,036,959,000 -26.2% 9th	\$ 1,499,207,000 44.6% 9th
\$ 7,659,000 1.3% 4th	\$ 9,586,000 25.2% 5th	\$ 11,535,000 20.3% 6th	\$	3.5% 5th	NA - -	NA - -
\$ 21,731,000 6.0% 28th	\$ 28,356,000 30.5% 23rd	\$ 28,891,000 1.9% 25th	\$	29,473,000 2.0% 26th	\$ 30,580,000 3.8% 24th	\$ 28,080,000 -8.2% 30th
\$ 273,674,000 42.5% 3rd	\$ 278,818,000 1.9% 4th	\$ 238,375,000 -14.5% 3rd	\$	5 252,978,000 6.1% 3rd	\$ 146,508,000 -42.1% 9th	\$ 195,626,000 33.5% 7th

Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006													
Federal Department / Agency		1996		1997		1998		1999		2000			
Labor													
Total Expenditures	\$	288,756,000	\$	216,366,000	\$	259,853,000	\$	265,255,000	\$	182,334,000			
% Change From Prior Year		-		-25.1%		20.1%		2.1%		-31.3%			
FL's Ranking Among the States		6th		6th		6th		6th		7th			
National Foundation on the Arts and the													
Humanities													
Total Expenditures	\$	897,000	\$	779,000	\$	549,000	\$	773,000	\$	524,000			
% Change From Prior Year	•	-	Ť	-13.2%	ľ	-29.5%	,	40.8%		-32.2%			
FL's Ranking Among the States		12th		7th		17th		4th		33rd			
Neighborhood Reinvestment		•		-				•					
Corporation													
Total Expenditures	\$	624,000	\$	808,000	\$	1,029,000	\$	1,982,000	\$	1,596,000			
% Change From Prior Year	,	-		29.5%	ľ	27.4%	,	92.6%	•	-19.5%			
FL's Ranking Among the States		6th		11th		9th		9th		8th			
Social Security Administration:				•									
Supplemental Security Income													
Total Expenditures	\$	915,000	\$	1,914,000	\$	2,840,000	\$	3,014,000	\$	2,191,000			
% Change From Prior Year	•	-	Ť	109.2%	ľ	48.4%	,	6.1%		-27.3%			
FL's Ranking Among the States		10th		9th		5th		2nd		6th			
State Justice Institute				-									
Total Expenditures	\$	134,000	\$	86,000	\$	74,000	\$	38,000	\$	85,000			
% Change From Prior Year	•	-	Ť	-35.8%	ľ	-14.0%	,	-48.6%		123.7%			
FL's Ranking Among the States		13th		11th		16th		27th		14th			
Transportation													
Total Expenditures	\$	835,953,000	\$	980,515,000	\$	933,196,000	\$	1,085,345,000	\$	1,415,456,000			
% Change From Prior Year		· · ·	Ů	17.3%		-4.8%	·	16.3%		30.4%			
FL's Ranking Among the States		9th		8th		8th		5th		4th			
Treasury													
Total Expenditures	\$	6,361,000	\$	10,419,000	\$	20,796,000	\$	42,454,000	\$	10,658,000			
% Change From Prior Year		-		63.8%		99.6%		104.1%		-74.9%			
FL's Ranking Among the States		2nd		1st		2nd		1st		4th			
Veterans Affairs													
Total Expenditures	\$	1,711,000	\$	9,202,000	\$	9,908,000	\$	12,291,000	\$	13,824,000			
% Change From Prior Year		-		437.8%		7.7%		24.1%		12.5%			
FL's Ranking Among the States		39th		10th		11th		11th		10th			
All Federal Depts. / Agencies													
Total Expenditures	\$ 8	3,442,417,000	\$ 8	8,504,474,000	\$	9,753,762,000	\$	10,810,960,000	\$	11,675,656,000			
% Change From Prior Year		-		0.7%	ı	14.7%		10.8%		8.0%			
FL's Ranking Among the States		7th		6th		6th		5th		5th			
t / talliang / anong the otates	I			J.11		<b>J</b> (11		<b>J</b> (1)		Jui Jui			

Note: Florida's rankings among the states in total grant expenditures were calculated by the LCIR staff.

- U.S. Bureau of the Census. Federal Expenditures by State for Fiscal Year 1996. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1997.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. Federal Expenditures by State for Fiscal Year 1997. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1998.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 1998. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1999.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 1999. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 2000.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2000. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 2001.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2001. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 2002. U.S. Bureau of the Census. Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2002. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 2003.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2003. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 2004.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2004. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 2006.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2005. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 2007.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2006. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 2008.

	2224			Г		rs 1996 to 2006	2006			
	2001		2002		2003		2004	2005		2006
\$	260,008,000 42.6% 7th	\$	285,281,000 9.7% 7th	\$	245,011,000 -14.1% 10th	\$	282,798,000 15.4% 7th	\$ 325,503,000 15.1% 8th	\$	304,746,000 -6.4% 10th
\$	784,000 49.6% 7th	\$	1,098,000 40.1% 3rd	\$	710,000 -35.3% 20th	\$	8 827,000 16.5% 8th	\$ 12,953,000 1466.3% 6th	\$	11,009,000 -15.0% 6th
\$	1,793,000 12.3% 9th	\$	2,031,000 13.3% 9th	\$	2,433,000 19.8% 6th	\$	5 2,242,000 -7.9% 8th	\$ 2,104,000 -6.2% 8th	\$	2,056,000 -2.3% 8th
\$	1,704,000 -22.2% 7th	\$	2,172,000 27.5% 7th	\$	1,253,000 -42.3% 9th	\$	5 1,600,000 27.7% 5th	\$ 1,762,000 10.1% 2nd	\$	2,282,000 29.5% 5th
\$	36,000 -57.6% 19th	\$	31,000 -13.9% 24th	\$	2,000 -93.5% 26th	\$	2,000 0.0% 33rd	\$ 90,000 4400.0% 6th	\$	7,000 -92.2% 21st
\$	1,837,463,000 29.8% 5th	\$	1,810,837,000 -1.4% 4th	\$	2,030,052,000 12.1% 4th	\$	3 1,981,531,000 -2.4% 4th	\$ 2,174,939,000 9.8% 4th	\$	2,363,114,000 8.7% 4th
\$	11,052,000 3.7% 2nd	\$	15,927,000 44.1% 1st	\$	278,106,000 1646.1% 4th	\$	276,390,000 -0.6% 4th	\$ 6,132,000 -97.8% 5th	\$	10,558,000 72.2% 2nd
\$	5,687,000 -58.9% 27th	\$	20,024,000 252.1% 6th	\$	8,958,000 -55.3% 27th	\$	11,495,000 28.3% 23rd	\$ 14,424,000 25.5% 19th	\$	13,959,000 -3.2% 16th
\$ 13	3,304,398,000 13.9% 5th	\$ ^	15,044,391,000 13.1% 5th	\$ 1	17,256,091,000 14.7% 4th	٠.	\$ 18,174,467,000 5.3% 4th	\$ 19,045,720,000 4.8% 4th	\$	19,114,782,000 0.4% 4th

Federal Department / Agency	,	1996		1997		1998		1999		2000		2001		2002		2003		2004		2005		2006
Agriculture																						
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	50.54	\$	54.14	\$	55.63	\$	57.57	\$	51.80	\$	52.67	\$	58.67	\$	57.16	\$	58.43	\$	59.16	\$	59.80
FL's Ranking Among the States	,	35th	ľ	36th	,	36th		34th		38th	,	38th		36th	Ť	37th	Ť	40th	,	39th	,	42nd
Appalachian Regional Commission																						
Per Capita Expenditures		NA		NA		NA		NA		NA		NA		NA		NA		NA	<\$	0.01	<\$	0.01
FL's Ranking Among the States		-		_		-		-		_		-		-		_		-	,	19th	·	18th
Commerce																						
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	2.68	\$	2.33	\$	1.91	\$	1.91	\$	1.82	\$	2.25	\$	2.77	\$	1.42	\$	2.01	\$	1.46	\$	1.31
FL's Ranking Among the States	,	26th		34th	,	36th		32nd		36th	Ť	32nd	1	28th	Ť	45th	Ť	33rd	,	42nd	Ť	32nd
Corporation for National and Community																						
Service																						
Per Capita Expenditures		NA		NA		NA		NA	\$	1.00	\$	0.68	\$	0.25	\$	0.17	\$	0.10	\$	0.11	\$	0.36
FL's Ranking Among the States		-		-		-		-	Ψ	40th	Ι Ψ	49th	Ψ.	28th	Ψ	28th	<b>–</b>	32nd	Ψ	30th	_	28th
Corporation for Public Broadcasting														20				02.10		00		20
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	0.19	\$	0.73	\$	0.68	\$	0.65	\$	0.74	\$	0.83	\$	0.88	\$	0.75	\$	0.77	\$	0.75	\$	0.59
FL's Ranking Among the States	Ψ.	44th	Ψ.	31st	Ψ	33rd	Ψ	34th	Ψ	33rd	Ι Ψ	29th	Ψ.	30th	Ψ	39th	<b>–</b>	38th	Ψ	38th	_	27th
Defense				0.00		00.4		0		00.4								00		00		
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	0.14	\$	0.51	\$	0.23	\$	0.01	\$	0.01	\$	0.40	\$	0.09	\$	0.02	<\$	0.01	\$	0.83	\$	0.63
FL's Ranking Among the States	Ψ.	44th	Ψ.	23rd	Ψ	33rd	Ψ	45th	Ψ	39th	Ι Ψ	16th	_	35th	Ψ	40th	٠.	47th	Ψ	27th	_	34th
Education				2010		0014		1001		00111		1001		OOUI		10111		17.411		27.01		Olui
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	46.57	\$	50.56	\$	72.01	\$	67.57	\$	72.22	\$	73.13	\$	92.94	\$	96.73	\$	104.37	\$	111.73	\$	113.96
FL's Ranking Among the States	Ψ	40th	Ψ	48th	Ψ	35th	Ψ	45th	Ψ	49th	Ψ	41st	Ψ	45th	Ι Ψ	32nd	Ψ	34th	Ψ	31st	Ψ	35th
Election Assistance Commission		1001		10111		00111		10111		10111		1100		10111		OZIIG		Otar		0100		00111
Per Capita Expenditures		NA		NA		NA		NA		NA		NA		NA	\$	1.53	\$	2.73	\$	4.79		NA
FL's Ranking Among the States		-		-		-		-		-		-		-	Ι Ψ	37th	Ψ	39th	Ψ	17th		-
Energy																0		00				
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	0.14	\$	0.34	\$	0.35	\$	0.17	\$	0.12	\$	0.19	\$	0.13	\$	0.64	\$	0.71	\$	0.65	\$	0.63
FL's Ranking Among the States	Ψ	50th	Ψ	17th	Ψ	32nd	Ψ	48th	Ψ	49th	Ψ	49th	Ψ	48th	Ι Ψ	49th	Ψ	50th	Ψ	47th	Ψ	49th
Environmental Protection Agency		00111		.,,		OZIIG		10111		10111		10111		10111		10111		00111				10111
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	5.39	\$	5.30	\$	4.81	\$	6.30	\$	5.52	\$	6.67	\$	7.72	\$	7.94	\$	8.38	\$	5.47	\$	7.71
FL's Ranking Among the States	Ψ	49th	Ψ	50th	Ψ	49th	Ψ	48th	Ψ	50th	Ψ	49th	Ψ	47th	Ι Ψ	46th	Ψ	48th	Ψ	48th	Ψ	47th
Equal Employment Opportunity		10111		00111		1041		10111		00111		10111		.,,,,,		10111		10111		1041		
Commission																						
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	0.07	\$	0.07	\$	0.08	\$	0.06	\$	0.07	\$	0.08	\$	0.07	\$	0.07	\$	0.09	\$	0.09	\$	0.07
FL's Ranking Among the States	Ψ	33rd	Ψ	36th	Ψ	35th	Ψ	41st	Ψ	28th	Ψ	34th	Ψ	35th	Ψ	37th	Ψ	31st	Ψ	32nd	Ψ	37th
Federal Emergency Management Agency		Joiu		3011		33111		7131		2011		J-111		55011		Refer to		Refer to		Refer to		Refer to
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	9.57	\$	6.82	\$	8.88	\$	11.18	\$	13.19	\$	9.62	\$	10.63	∟ ا	Homeland	l ⊾	lomeland	ш	lomeland	١,	Homeland
FL's Ranking Among the States	Ψ	11th	Ψ	26th	Ψ	14th	Ψ	9th	Ψ	5th	Ψ	14th	Ψ	10:05		Security		Security		Security		Security
Health and Human Services		1101		2011		1701		311		501		1701		1001		Jecunty		Cocurity		Cecurity		Security
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	330.93	\$	309.08	\$	348.47	\$	385.49	\$	398.60	\$	449.06	\$	499.93	\$	585.74	\$	607.43	\$	584.93	\$	536.57
FL's Ranking Among the States	Ψ	45th	Ψ	47th	Ψ	46th	Ψ	365.49 46th	Ψ	46th	Ψ	449.00 46th	Ψ	499.93 46th	Ψ	42nd	Ψ	45th	Ψ	46th	Ψ	46th
Homeland Security	1	+5111	<del>                                     </del>	<b>+</b> / u1		+0111		+0111		+0111	<del>                                     </del>	+0111		+0111	-	+ZIIU	-	+3111		+0111	<del>                                     </del>	+0111
Per Capita Expenditures		NA		NA		NA		NA		NA		NA		NA	\$	9.87	\$	15.55	\$	90.76	\$	91.56
FL's Ranking Among the States		- 11/1		- 11/7		11/7		- 11/7		11/7		11/7		- 11/7	Ψ	9.67 12th	Ψ	38th	Ψ	2nd	Ψ	91.56 5th
1 L 3 INDINING AIRDING THE StateS	<u> </u>			-												12(11		3011		ZIIU		วเท

					-	Jerai Fi			_		_		<u> </u>									
Federal Department / Agency	1	996	1	997		1998		1999	- 1	2000		2001		2002		2003		2004		2005		2006
Housing and Urban Development																						
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	52.01	\$	55.22	\$	65.25	\$	69.79	\$	69.89	\$	68.13	\$	79.22	\$	84.68	\$	80.81	\$	58.36	\$	83.02
FL's Ranking Among the States		50th		43rd		43rd		44th		45th		47th		45th		43rd		46th		42nd		44th
Institute of Museum and Library Services																						
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	0.02	\$	0.01	\$	0.58	\$	0.45	\$	0.47	\$	0.47	\$	0.57	\$	0.68	\$	0.69		NA		NA
FL's Ranking Among the States		36th		38th		34th		50th		49th		48th		44th		39th		41st		-		-
Interior																						
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	0.99	\$	1.69	\$	1.30	\$	1.26	\$	1.28	\$	1.33	\$	1.70	\$	1.70	\$	1.70	\$	1.72	\$	1.56
FL's Ranking Among the States		47th		46th		46th		48th		47th		48th		46th		47th		47th		48th		49th
Justice																						
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	8.19	\$	10.31	\$	11.40	\$	19.64	\$	12.01	\$	16.69	\$	16.68	\$	14.01	\$	14.55	\$	8.25	\$	10.83
FL's Ranking Among the States		12th		12th		17th		15th		27th		13th		18th		26th		31st		25th		29th
Labor																						
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	20.05	\$	14.77	\$	17.42	\$	17.55	\$	11.41	\$	15.86	\$	17.07	\$	14.40	\$	16.27	\$	18.32	\$	16.88
FL's Ranking Among the States		40th		42nd		48th		50th		50th		50th		50th		49th		50th		50th		50th
National Foundation on the Arts and the																						
Humanities																						
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	0.06	\$	0.05	\$	0.04	\$	0.05	\$	0.03	\$	0.05	\$	0.07	\$	0.04	\$	0.05	\$	0.73	\$	0.61
FL's Ranking Among the States	·	45th		43rd	ľ	48th		44th		49th		47th	'	41st	·	46th	ľ	49th		48th		47th
Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation																						
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	0.04	\$	0.06	\$	0.07	\$	0.13	\$	0.10	\$	0.11	\$	0.12	\$	0.14	\$	0.13	\$	0.12	\$	0.11
FL's Ranking Among the States	·	25th	i i	36th	ľ	31st		33rd		29th		33rd	'	37th	ľ	31st	ľ	37th	ļ <sup>*</sup>	39th		43rd
Social Security Administration:																						
Supplemental Security Income																						
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	0.06	\$	0.13	\$	0.19	\$	0.20	\$	0.14	\$	0.10	\$	0.13	\$	0.07	\$	0.09	\$	0.10	\$	0.13
FL's Ranking Among the States	·	39th	i i	25th	ľ	17th		15th		22nd		23rd	'	26th	ľ	28th	ľ	23rd	ļ <sup>*</sup>	19th		20th
State Justice Institute																						
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	0.01	\$	0.01	\$	0.01	\$	0.01	\$	0.01	<\$	0.01	<\$	0.01	<\$	0.01	<\$	0.01	\$	0.01	<\$	0.01
FL's Ranking Among the States		26th		25th		32nd		40th		29th		31st		35th		35th		36th		18th		37th
Transportation																						
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	58.05	\$	66.91	\$	62.56	\$	71.82	\$	88.56	\$	112.06	\$	108.35	\$	119.28	\$	113.98	\$	122.41	\$	130.87
FL's Ranking Among the States		49th		50th		50th		50th		48th		40th		43rd		35th		43rd		39th		40th
Treasury																						
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	0.44	\$	0.71	\$	1.39	\$	2.81	\$	0.67	\$	0.67	\$	0.95	\$	16.34	\$	15.90	\$	0.35	\$	0.58
FL's Ranking Among the States		2nd		1st		2nd		1st		5th		3rd		3rd		44th		46th		10th		1st
Veterans Affairs																						
Per Capita Expenditures	\$	0.12	\$	0.63	\$	0.66	\$	0.81	\$	0.86	\$	0.35	\$	1.20	\$	0.53	\$	0.66	\$	0.81	\$	0.77
FL's Ranking Among the States		45th		36th		36th	·	35th		33rd	*	46th		34th	•	46th		48th	l .	49th	Ċ	39th
All Federal Depts. / Agencies																·		·		·		·
Per Capita Expenditures	\$ 5	86.22	\$ 5	80.35	\$	653.91	¢ .	715.42	\$	730.53	\$	811.42	\$	900.15	<b>\$</b> 1	,013.93	<b>¢</b> 1	,045.38	<b>\$1</b>	,071.90	<b>¢</b> 1	,058.55
	Ψ	48th	Ψυ	49th	Ψ	48th	Ψ	48th	Ψ	48th	Ψ	47th	Ψ	47th	ψ.	,013.93 45th	Ψ'	,043.36 47th	Ψ'	•	ΨΙ	•
FL's Ranking Among the States		40tn		49(1)		40tN		40(1)		40tN		4/th		4/th		45th		4/th		43rd		47th

## Per Capita Federal Grant Expenditures to Florida's State and Local Governments by Federal Agency Federal Fiscal Years 1996 through 2006

Federal Department / Agency 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006

Note: Calculations of Florida's per capita expenditures and rankings among the states were prepared by the LCIR staff.

- U.S. Bureau of the Census. Federal Expenditures by State for Fiscal Year 1996. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1997.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. Federal Expenditures by State for Fiscal Year 1997. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1998.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 1998. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1999.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 1999. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 2000.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2000. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 2001.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2001. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 2002.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2002. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 2003.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2003. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 2004. U.S. Bureau of the Census. Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2004. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 2006.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2005. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 2007.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. Federal Aid to States for Fiscal Year 2006. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 2008.

## **Part Four:**

## Florida's Per Capita Federal Grant Expenditures by Agency as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditures

### A. Introduction

The purpose of this part is to illustrate how Florida's per capita federal grant expenditures by agency compare to the national per capita expenditures as well as those of the other states. This part analyzes changes in states' per capita expenditures, relative to national per capita expenditures, during fiscal years 1996 through 2006.

## **B. Data Sources**

The figures reported in this part were calculated by the LCIR staff from data published in the U.S. Bureau of the Census publications: *Federal Expenditures to States* (FES) for fiscal years 1996 through 1997 and its successor *Federal Aid to States* (FAS) for fiscal years 1998 through 2006.

## C. States' Per Capita Federal Grant Expenditures by Agency

In analyzing the federal grants data, the LCIR staff calculated per capita expenditures for each state, as well as the per capita expenditures of the fifty states collectively, by federal agency for each of the fiscal years 1996 through 2006. The per capita expenditures of the fifty states is hereafter referred to as the national per capita expenditures.

Each state's per capita expenditures, as a percentage of the national per capita expenditures, are calculated. The per capita expenditures of individual states are either less than, equal to, or greater than the national per capita expenditures. If a state's percentage value in a given year is less than 100 percent, then that state had a per capita expenditure less than the national per capita expenditure. If a state's percentage value is greater than 100 percent, then that state's per capita expenditure exceeded the national per capita expenditure.

Utilizing the data published in the relevant FES and FAS reports, the following tables summarize states' per capita federal grant expenditures as a percentage of national per capita expenditures.

**Table 4-1**: Florida Summary (page 59)

**Table 4-2**: U.S. Department of Agriculture (pages 60-61)

**Table 4-3**: U.S. Department of Commerce (pages 62-63)

**Table 4-4**: Corporation for National and Community Service (pages 64-65)

**Table 4-5**: Corporation for Public Broadcasting (pages 66-67)

**Table 4-6**: U.S. Department of Defense (pages 68-69)

**Table 4-7**: U.S. Department of Education (pages 70-71)

**Table 4-8**: Election Assistance Commission (pages 72-73)

```
Table 4-9: U.S. Department of Energy (pages 74-75)
```

**Table 4-10**: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (pages 76-77)

 Table 4-11: Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (pages 78-79)

**Table 4-12**: Federal Emergency Management Agency (pages 80-81)

**Table 4-13**: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (pages 82-83)

**Table 4-14**: U.S. Department of Homeland Security (pages 84-85)

**Table 4-15**: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (pages 86-87)

**Table 4-16**: Institute for Museum and Library Services (pages 88-89)

**Table 4-17**: U.S. Department of Interior (pages 90-91)

Table 4-18: U.S. Department of Justice (pages 92-93)

**Table 4-19**: U.S. Department of Labor (pages 94-95)

**Table 4-20**: National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities (pages 96-97)

**Table 4-21**: Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation (pages 98-99)

Table 4-22: Social Security Administration—Supplemental Security Income (pages 100-101)

**Table 4-23**: State Justice Institute (pages 102-103)

**Table 4-24**: U.S. Department of Transportation (pages 104-105)

**Table 4-25**: U.S. Department of the Treasury (pages 106-107)

**Table 4-26**: U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (pages 108-109)

## **D.** Conclusion

Part Three of this report discussed several shortcomings in using a per capita measure to evaluate federal grant expenditures to states, and those shortcomings apply here as well. The inherent assumption when comparing states' per capita expenditures is that federal grants are distributed solely on the basis of total population, which is not the case for all individual grant programs. Formula or project grants can have various target populations. Therefore, Florida's per capita measurements of certain federal grants receipts, while low compared to other states may not reflect the fact that the amounts are adequately serving their target populations. Since grant funding formulas may incorporate variables other than states' total populations, the use of a per capita measure for comparative purposes may not always be appropriate.

However, in spite of these caveats, these analyses may provide some rough measure of how well Florida fares, relative to all other states, in the receipt of grant funding by agency after controlling for population differences among the states. The analyses demonstrate that Florida's per capita expenditures have been below the national per capita expenditures for the majority of federal agencies, in particular those agencies that provide the majority of grant funding to states. Given the large number of federal grant programs (i.e., 1,222 currently), additional research into these programs would need to be conducted in order to access the extent to which Florida's receipt of funding from individual grant programs could be increased in the future.

Table 4-1

## Florida's Per Capita Federal Grant Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditures by Agency

Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

Federal Agency	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006
All Grants	71%	70%	72%	73%	72%	74%	74%	78%	77%	81%	78%
Agriculture	88%	86%	87%	92%	81%	83%	86%	83%	82%	80%	79%
Commerce	94%	68%	71%	70%	62%	74%	84%	45%	66%	50%	66%
Corporation for National and Community Service			lo grant exp	enditures p	orior to 2003	3		34%	26%	21%	70%
Corporation for Public Broadcasting	38%	78%	73%	73%	72%	78%	73%	61%	56%	56%	116%
Defense	15%	73%	36%	2%	3%	91%	14%	3%	0%	79%	47%
Education	83%	78%	94%	83%	79%	84%	84%	98%	100%	100%	97%
Election Assistance Commission		N	lo grant exp	enditures p	orior to 2003	3		70%	61%	154%	0%
Energy	17%	109%	65%	26%	17%	20%	16%	30%	26%	34%	28%
Environmental Protection Agency	46%	48%	45%	55%	44%	49%	61%	58%	59%	49%	56%
Equal Employment Opportunity Commission	76%	73%	71%	55%	78%	79%	71%	71%	93%	91%	72%
Federal Emergency Management Agency	182%	37%	162%	163%	187%	112%	96%	Ref	fer to Home	land Secur	ity
Health and Human Services	69%	70%	69%	71%	71%	71%	71%	78%	77%	73%	71%
Homeland Security		Refer to	Federal Em	ergency M	anagement	Agency		65%	87%	344%	289%
Housing and Urban Development	63%	67%	68%	65%	63%	61%	64%	65%	61%	63%	62%
Institute for Museum and Library Services	55%	26%	102%	83%	84%	91%	79%	86%	84%	No data	No data
Interior	16%	17%	15%	14%	13%	12%	16%	15%	14%	13%	10%
Justice	113%	107%	99%	112%	98%	127%	106%	100%	97%	63%	57%
Labor	83%	76%	72%	68%	64%	62%	62%	56%	58%	67%	56%
National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities	47%	53%	38%	49%	30%	44%	60%	36%	40%	73%	71%
Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation	61%	46%	52%	56%	59%	52%	49%	60%	50%	47%	44%
Social Security Administration - SSI	56%	85%	112%	106%	80%	75%	77%	70%	87%	111%	105%
State Justice Institute	65%	49%	21%	10%	26%	13%	12%	2%	2%	78%	6%
Transportation	66%	68%	63%	69%	80%	89%	84%	91%	85%	88%	86%
Treasury	327%	848%	398%	518%	174%	230%	394%	96%	94%	136%	264%
Veterans Affairs	11%	59%	53%	52%	68%	21%	71%	24%	30%	34%	40%

Note: The percentages listed in this table were calculated by the Committee staff based on data published in the sources referenced below.

Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

"State Population Estimates" annual estimates, Population Division, U.S. Bureau of the Census.

## Table 4-2

## **U.S. Department of Agriculture Grants:**

## States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 57.41	\$ 62.80	\$ 63.88	\$ 62.42	\$ 64.02	\$ 63.87	\$ 68.14	\$ 69.28	\$ 71.22	\$ 74.19	\$ 76.00
Alabama	119%	121%	117%	118%	118%	118%	119%	121%	119%	113%	118%
Alaska	195%	178%	332%	179%	231%	198%	267%	235%	248%	293%	274%
Arizona	109%	105%	108%	108%	106%	102%	106%	102%	112%	102%	100%
Arkansas	140%	140%	138%	138%	139%	129%	124%	129%	134%	136%	138%
California	113%	114%	110%	106%	107%	111%	111%	112%	113%	109%	108%
Colorado	74%	82%	71%	72%	68%	63%	68%	74%	70%	64%	69%
Connecticut	66%	64%	64%	66%	68%	68%	67%	64%	64%	63%	64%
Delaware	112%	121%	111%	108%	112%	104%	95%	101%	105%	107%	101%
Florida	88%	86%	87%	92%	81%	83%	86%	83%	82%	80%	79%
Georgia	113%	113%	116%	118%	106%	113%	111%	115%	115%	113%	115%
Hawaii	111%	121%	120%	133%	118%	117%	118%	127%	142%	113%	106%
Idaho	127%	128%	121%	116%	121%	108%	121%	115%	112%	126%	119%
Illinois	80%	80%	83%	85%	86%	86%	90%	88%	85%	88%	85%
Indiana	75%	77%	73%	74%	76%	79%	79%	76%	82%	76%	83%
lowa	95%	96%	97%	92%	95%	97%	98%	98%	99%	98%	101%
Kansas	102%	102%	93%	95%	96%	105%	95%	96%	102%	99%	110%
Kentucky	123%	120%	118%	119%	121%	119%	120%	119%	119%	122%	121%
Louisiana	162%	163%	155%	158%	155%	152%	148%	145%	155%	156%	146%
Maine	107%	109%	109%	110%	116%	97%	92%	93%	116%	96%	95%
Maryland	68%	70%	68%	75%	75%		76%	71%	69%	70%	70%
Massachusetts	69%	71%	70%	67%	69%	68%	71%	65%	64%	63%	70%
Michigan	85%	108%	105%	110%	109%	96%	86%	81%	85%	82%	84%
Minnesota	104%	102%	94%	97%	94%	97%	96%	97%	98%	96%	95%
Mississippi	183%	177%	178%	175%	203%	180%	179%	180%	174%	195%	176%
Missouri	93%	89%	98%	92%	95%	92%	96%	97%	93%	96%	92%
Montana	144%	147%	151%	136%	145%	153%	170%	160%	152%	151%	156%
Nebraska	118%	112%	114%	105%	118%	119%	109%	112%	112%	117%	115%
Nevada	67%	64%	65%	69%	62%		61%	63%	68%	67%	67%
New Hampshire	66%	72%	68%	61%	68%		68%	60%	68%	60%	60%
New Jersey	63%	67%	67%	65%	64%		67%	67%	70%	67%	70%
New Mexico	173%	160%	160%	169%	156%		160%	161%	168%	164%	153%
New York	97%	98%	109%	103%	105%		104%	106%	104%	106%	105%
North Carolina	96%	100%	103%	103%	102%	102%	102%	106%	108%	103%	106%

Table 4-2

#### **U.S. Department of Agriculture Grants:**

## States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

Federal FY	1996	199	7	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 57.41	\$ 62.80	0	\$ 63.88	\$ 62.42	\$ 64.02	\$ 63.87	\$ 68.14	\$ 69.28	\$ 71.22	\$ 74.19	\$ 76.00
North Dakota	177%	163°	%	157%	158%	158%	156%	150%	158%	179%	184%	169%
Ohio	83%	79°	%	75%	74%	72%	78%	81%	79%	86%	88%	85%
Oklahoma	136%	1319	%	132%	131%	139%	134%	139%	139%	142%	147%	146%
Oregon	158%	151°	%	152%	156%	189%	147%	173%	164%	162%	162%	158%
Pennsylvania	77%	76°	%	77%	78%	72%	78%	76%	78%	79%	80%	79%
Rhode Island	77%	779	%	78%	86%	82%	85%	85%	82%	85%	79%	85%
South Carolina	118%	115°	%	113%	110%	113%	121%	111%	115%	108%	109%	108%
South Dakota	181%	166°	%	168%	165%	173%	168%	166%	157%	168%	156%	157%
Tennessee	99%	104°	%	100%	101%	104%	106%	108%	102%	107%	103%	105%
Texas	115%	109°	%	109%	114%	114%	115%	114%	122%	123%	119%	122%
Utah	118%	116°	%	110%	116%	114%	101%	100%	101%	99%	101%	91%
Vermont	135%	149°	%	130%	130%	133%	125%	142%	138%	143%	146%	149%
Virginia	77%	719	%	74%	71%	73%	71%	69%	55%	12%	72%	71%
Washington	97%	96°	%	93%	99%	103%	94%	98%	101%	97%	94%	94%
West Virginia	127%	134 <sup>o</sup>	%	135%	125%	138%	129%	128%	127%	126%	126%	131%
Wisconsin	84%	78°	%	78%	79%	81%	78%	77%	83%	81%	79%	83%
Wyoming	127%	129°	%	123%	125%	126%	122%	129%	120%	119%	105%	113%

Note: The percentages listed in this table were calculated by the Committee staff based on data published in the sources referenced below.

#### Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

#### **U.S. Department of Commerce Grants:**

## States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

						1990 10 2					
	1996		1998		2000		2002	2003		2005	
	\$ 2.84	\$ 3.43	\$ 2.69	\$ 2.71	\$ 2.90	\$ 3.06	\$ 3.28	\$ 3.14	\$ 3.05	\$ 2.92	\$ 1.99
Alabama	51%	64%	74%	54%	70%	98%	95%	165%	124%	141%	187%
Alaska	1172%	1641%	1555%	1506%	2234%	2988%	3216%	2793%	3042%	3509%	3690%
Arizona	43%	33%	32%	72%	36%	34%	26%	40%	28%	57%	20%
Arkansas	117%	86%	105%	59%	93%			89%	149%	34%	88%
California	96%	102%	91%	93%	80%			63%			71%
Colorado	307%		466%	403%	409%	331%	350%	83%	89%	99%	81%
Connecticut	86%	72%	142%	68%	61%		91%	92%		149%	93%
Delaware	235%	379%	240%	266%	211%	193%	204%	79%	91%	225%	202%
Florida	94%	68%	71%	70%	62%	74%	84%	45%	66%	50%	66%
Georgia	74%	68%	86%	76%	56%	39%	44%	60%	49%	56%	45%
Hawaii	517%	516%	456%	596%	500%	510%	573%	567%	694%	769%	304%
Idaho	278%	172%	203%	119%	160%	238%	186%	246%	218%	196%	169%
Illinois	43%	42%	59%	39%	32%	41%	30%	36%	41%	39%	30%
Indiana	45%	55%	62%	47%	56%	33%	39%	43%	26%	19%	29%
lowa	271%	85%	44%	38%	39%	49%	35%	37%	57%	68%	78%
Kansas	67%	59%	79%	64%	63%	63%	61%	73%	28%	38%	27%
Kentucky	110%	53%	77%	168%	149%	140%	179%	106%	118%	117%	91%
Louisiana	141%	103%	155%	134%	163%	126%	111%	192%	244%	152%	277%
Maine	333%	546%	330%	340%	338%	287%	340%	334%	286%	226%	308%
Maryland	86%	131%	105%	119%	107%	106%	108%	101%	149%	127%	65%
Massachusetts	163%	199%	191%	132%	129%	137%	139%	92%	58%	78%	107%
Michigan	66%	74%	74%	68%	45%	64%	47%	70%	66%	72%	38%
Minnesota	88%	73%	71%	73%	80%			90%		65%	67%
Mississippi	124%	130%	230%	132%	177%	222%	278%	369%		274%	277%
Missouri	172%	129%	106%	60%	47%	30%	31%	49%	42%	29%	50%
Montana	66%	161%	332%	129%	477%	141%	134%	175%	234%	169%	46%
Nebraska	100%	47%	44%	30%	37%	49%	48%	110%	101%	65%	58%
Nevada	61%	58%	51%	158%	93%			59%	90%		10%
New Hampshire	539%	352%	188%	470%	742%			229%	70%	197%	439%
New Jersey	69%	72%	64%	73%	86%			33%		74%	158%
New Mexico	128%	102%	96%	152%	216%	136%	91%	231%	175%	154%	118%
New York	47%	55%	63%	49%	63%	52%	61%	52%	41%	38%	40%
North Carolina	93%	113%	85%	74%	87%	115%	82%	147%	117%	109%	74%

Table 4-3

#### **U.S. Department of Commerce Grants:**

## States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
	\$ 2.84	\$ 3.43	\$ 2.69	\$ 2.71	\$ 2.90	\$ 3.06	\$ 3.28	\$ 3.14	\$ 3.05	\$ 2.92	\$ 1.99
North Dakota	351%	224%	640%	368%	458%	255%	258%	254%	139%	195%	177%
Ohio	24%	27%	30%	25%	42%	36%	45%	48%	40%	59%	50%
Oklahoma	115%	94%	100%	105%	126%	127%	130%	162%	126%	106%	54%
Oregon	304%	389%	358%	390%	338%	337%	355%	450%	355%	346%	410%
Pennsylvania	43%	28%	47%	44%	31%	29%	50%	55%	73%	71%	45%
Rhode Island	426%	426%	336%	328%	200%	206%	249%	330%	285%	269%	178%
South Carolina	217%	188%	159%	223%	230%	317%	355%	238%	473%	415%	192%
South Dakota	330%	164%	197%	380%	224%	165%	96%	222%	105%	109%	135%
Tennessee	32%	42%	25%	72%	55%	61%	64%	62%	52%	52%	70%
Texas	45%	44%	42%	65%	68%	47%	50%	55%	57%	56%	64%
Utah	44%	36%	27%	41%	107%	80%	61%	62%	46%	69%	32%
Vermont	50%	60%	41%	26%	54%	26%	150%	171%	119%	50%	54%
Virginia	82%	69%	80%	90%	80%	95%	76%	73%	91%	81%	122%
Washington	216%	219%	195%	246%	195%	237%	228%	371%	316%	355%	387%
West Virginia	128%	150%	159%	198%	157%	164%	131%	187%	157%	283%	253%
Wisconsin	79%	81%	81%	68%	72%	63%	83%	76%	123%	120%	150%
Wyoming	33%	55%	145%	38%	43%	69%	16%	59%	30%	64%	97%

Note: The percentages listed in this table were calculated by the Committee staff based on data published in the sources referenced below.

#### Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

### Corporation for National and Community Service Grants:

## States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

Fodovol EV	4000	400-			cai fears			0000	0004	0005	0000
Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003			
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.38	\$ 0.52	\$ 0.51
Alabama	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9%	31%		
Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	588%	434%		
Arizona	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	122%	154%		
Arkansas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	230%	248%		
California	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	186%	151%		
Colorado	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	166%	182%		
Connecticut	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	149%	152%		
Delaware	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	418%	423%	381%	386%
Florida		No	grant exp	enditures	prior to 2	003		34%	26%	21%	70%
Georgia	-	-	-	-	-	-	ı	24%	27%	15%	20%
Hawaii	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	122%	135%	172%	195%
Idaho	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	337%	307%	229%	276%
Illinois	-	-	-	-	-	-	ı	13%	22%	4%	13%
Indiana	-	-	-	-	-	-	ı	10%	6%	1%	
lowa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14%	19%	13%	14%
Kansas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	227%	264%	174%	176%
Kentucky	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	208%	198%	147%	157%
Louisiana	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0%		
Maine	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	336%	405%		
Maryland	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	182%	502%		
Massachusetts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0%	0%	66%
Michigan	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	140%	101%		
Minnesota	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	1%		
Mississippi	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	478%	488%	543%	443%
Missouri	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0%		
Montana	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	641%	749%		717%
Nebraska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0%		
Nevada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9%	13%		
New Hampshire	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0%		
New Jersey	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	113%	61%		56%
New Mexico	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	405%	205%		128%
New York	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	219%	187%		224%
North Carolina	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13%	17%	10%	15%

## Corporation for National and Community Service Grants: States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.38	\$ 0.52	\$ 0.51
North Dakota	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	150%	266%	248%	295%
Ohio	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	138%	163%	113%	121%
Oklahoma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24%	31%	99%	22%
Oregon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16%	21%	13%	1%
Pennsylvania	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13%	32%	15%	12%
Rhode Island	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	59%	114%	68%	89%
South Carolina	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0%	0%	28%
South Dakota	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30%	21%	18%	18%
Tennessee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	134%	135%	140%	120%
Texas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2%	6%	4%	4%
Utah	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	223%	135%	142%	155%
Vermont	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	337%	429%	395%	324%
Virginia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0%	0%	23%
Washington	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	47%	36%	24%	38%
West Virginia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16%	18%	14%	15%
Wisconsin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	206%	234%	216%	216%
Wyoming	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0%	0%	82%

Note: The percentages listed in this table were calculated by the Committee staff based on data published in the sources referenced below.

#### Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

# Table 4-5 Corporation for Public Broadcasting Grants: States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

					cai icais						
Federal FY	1996		1998	1999			2002	2003			2006
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.93	\$ 0.93	\$ 0.89	\$ 1.02	\$ 1.08	\$ 1.20	\$ 1.23	\$ 1.36	\$ 1.34	\$ 0.51
Alabama	47%	54%	45%	48%	46%	49%	46%	46%		42%	122%
Alaska	1107%	768%	704%	727%	832%	1093%	762%	811%		998%	432%
Arizona	62%	55%	66%	60%	64%	101%	58%	70%	53%	51%	132%
Arkansas	23%	47%	43%	46%	53%	53%	50%	50%		46%	123%
California	63%	70%	64%	92%	106%	83%	95%	82%		86%	54%
Colorado	86%	105%	67%	73%	96%	70%		71%		75%	16%
Connecticut	60%	72%	85%	61%	69%		57%	53%		52%	7%
Delaware	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Florida	38%	78%	73%	73%	72%	78%	73%	61%	56%	56%	116%
Georgia	31%	56%	52%	53%	46%	48%	48%	51%	44%	44%	113%
Hawaii	207%	187%	89%	223%	177%	157%	142%	138%	127%	127%	0%
Idaho	47%	131%	87%	126%	96%	83%	112%	81%		119%	234%
Illinois	48%	73%	79%	76%	76%	70%	68%	72%	72%	73%	99%
Indiana	42%	90%	83%	89%	93%	90%	81%	81%	78%	79%	120%
lowa	121%	85%	75%	89%	91%	97%	89%	95%		98%	252%
Kansas	71%	88%	75%	82%	85%	90%	81%	84%	77%	78%	140%
Kentucky	74%	94%	85%	88%	79%	95%	81%	86%		83%	102%
Louisiana	41%	66%	58%	65%	59%	63%	60%	58%		57%	78%
Maine	73%	152%	111%	116%	103%	108%	100%	98%		93%	13%
Maryland	140%	67%	84%	87%	100%	76%	84%	65%		68%	126%
Massachusetts	170%	280%	184%	195%	205%	184%	234%	151%		163%	16%
Michigan	95%	70%	59%	64%	68%	67%	61%	62%	63%	64%	145%
Minnesota	555%	170%	194%	149%	170%	210%	150%	169%		199%	65%
Mississippi	49%	54%	51%	57%	66%	59%	56%	54%		54%	148%
Missouri	51%	67%	72%	77%	72%	73%	67%	68%	64%	64%	194%
Montana	184%	83%	95%	89%	139%	106%	90%	130%		89%	276%
Nebraska	370%	296%	260%	263%	127%	287%	235%	247%		172%	337%
Nevada	69%	95%	98%	115%	231%	105%	82%	104%		73%	130%
New Hampshire	42%	99%	85%	92%	94%		101%	85%		111%	207%
New Jersey	27%	38%	27%	27%	29%			31%		30%	78%
New Mexico	76%	133%	138%	130%	141%	139%	129%	130%		135%	400%
New York	114%	124%	118%	110%	109%	137%	140%	132%		146%	55%
North Carolina	47%	47%	262%	48%	467%	460%	556%	675%	714%	710%	118%

Table 4-5

#### **Corporation for Public Broadcasting Grants:**

## States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

Federal FY	199	6 199 <sup>.</sup>	7 1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.93	\$ 0.93	\$ 0.89	\$ 1.02	\$ 1.08	\$ 1.20	\$ 1.23	\$ 1.36	\$ 1.34	\$ 0.51
North Dakota	2139	6 216%	6 185%	202%	160%	178%	148%	175%	140%	142%	0%
Ohio	77%	6 88%	<sup>6</sup> 74%	95%	86%	79%	76%	73%	72%	72%	88%
Oklahoma	379	64%	50%	52%	57%	57%	52%	55%	55%	55%	145%
Oregon	175%	6 829	86%	114%	87%	103%	91%	97%	99%	98%	81%
Pennsylvania	519	6 819	6 77%	80%	72%	73%	66%	69%	70%	70%	68%
Rhode Island	59%	65%	52%	60%	61%	60%	52%	53%	48%	49%	0%
South Carolina	399	6 156%	105%	103%	84%	94%	68%	69%	58%	58%	143%
South Dakota	1019	6 226%	159%	137%	146%	142%	122%	158%	136%	137%	465%
Tennessee	489	6 76%	66%	143%	132%	73%	65%	65%	63%	63%	50%
Texas	29%	6 49%	47%	56%	47%	51%	49%	45%	43%	43%	61%
Utah	889	6 1449	151%	185%	150%	177%	160%	178%	169%	166%	352%
Vermont	90%	6 155%	191%	172%	751%	862%	173%	177%	203%	205%	0%
Virginia	9079	6 550%	633%	563%	15%	18%	126%	135%	85%	85%	47%
Washington	519	6 88%	86%	84%	89%	77%	92%	78%	79%	78%	143%
West Virginia	419	6 100%	72%	72%	62%	396%	57%	59%	55%	55%	207%
Wisconsin	719	6 91%	88%	92%	103%	20%	103%	107%	92%	93%	175%
Wyoming	839	6 1169	128%	141%	137%	144%	129%	139%	122%	122%	399%

Note: The percentages listed in this table were calculated by the Committee staff based on data published in the sources referenced below.

#### Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

#### **U.S. Department of Defense Grants:**

## States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 0.92	\$ 0.70	\$ 0.63	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.44	\$ 0.64	\$ 0.65	\$ 0.91	\$ 1.06	\$ 1.33
Alabama	54%	118%	227%	84%	2%	9%	19%	225%	114%	144%	228%
Alaska	0%	45%	2%	1%	257%	0%	833%	1%	223%	509%	395%
Arizona	43%	21%	129%	102%	458%	90%	5%	0%	325%	26%	41%
Arkansas	154%	24%	25%	95%	258%	43%	26%	28%	113%	241%	819%
California	17%	13%	66%	58%	2%	8%	1%	47%	44%	79%	79%
Colorado	78%	7%	160%	110%	186%	24%	156%	117%	2%	230%	457%
Connecticut	8%	223%	800%	216%	21%	48%	1%		0%		64%
Delaware	3%	4%	2%	147%	14%	1%	-8%	520%	306%	11%	0%
Florida	15%	73%	36%	2%	3%	91%	14%	3%	0%	79%	47%
Georgia	37%	12%	18%	24%	35%	31%	20%	23%	17%	15%	59%
Hawaii	54%	69%	41%	356%	968%	1514%	1145%	440%	1243%	932%	166%
Idaho	118%	29%	12%	428%	200%	2%	0%	533%	232%	11%	3%
Illinois	19%	7%	8%	22%	17%	149%	59%	13%	26%	23%	59%
Indiana	186%	431%	198%	108%	187%	206%	169%	222%	80%	168%	42%
Iowa	410%	68%	108%	243%	95%	30%	50%	98%	101%	84%	80%
Kansas	325%	335%	98%	94%	85%	22%	46%	54%	267%	371%	77%
Kentucky	133%	483%	247%	97%	241%	329%	36%	32%	85%	87%	131%
Louisiana	74%	66%	15%	105%	32%	1%	1%	64%	183%	207%	168%
Maine	108%	19%	622%	68%	0%	32%	167%	844%	121%	863%	336%
Maryland	45%	112%	159%	117%	107%	4%	1%	1%	70%		11%
Massachusetts	51%	36%	1%	5%	3%	2%	284%	37%	1%	72%	65%
Michigan	97%	18%	0%	60%	89%	0%	6%	93%	233%	189%	248%
Minnesota	92%	190%	244%	10%	52%	107%	224%	86%	1%		0%
Mississippi	1017%	1125%	561%	400%	455%	1216%	703%	870%	811%	298%	101%
Missouri	185%	102%	49%	50%	126%	64%	125%	118%	110%		69%
Montana	536%	2317%	555%	1620%	3632%	1903%	1573%	812%	387%		888%
Nebraska	414%	161%	48%	20%	31%	23%	15%	87%	322%		180%
Nevada	274%	734%	131%	3%	0%	327%	285%	208%	28%		241%
New Hampshire	0%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%					243%
New Jersey	13%	42%	52%	30%	3%	28%	130%	21%	2%		0%
New Mexico	54%	192%	458%	141%	343%	41%					0%
New York	2%	12%	1%	1%	0%	24%	34%	109%	14%		70%
North Carolina	36%	39%	2%	1%	0%	63%	157%	0%	3%	187%	65%

Table 4-6

#### **U.S. Department of Defense Grants:**

## States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 0.92	\$ 0.70	\$ 0.63	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.44	\$ 0.64	\$ 0.65	\$ 0.91	\$ 1.06	\$ 1.33
North Dakota	402%	420%	44%	223%	2243%	464%	1790%	597%	57%	597%	661%
Ohio	46%	41%	96%	22%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	43%	144%
Oklahoma	309%	145%	144%	70%	541%	263%	387%	244%	110%	30%	11%
Oregon	483%	272%	214%	49%	70%	491%	265%	123%	111%	179%	59%
Pennsylvania	47%	33%	138%	371%	9%	19%	14%	68%	22%	53%	130%
Rhode Island	332%	11%	158%	28%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
South Carolina	319%	353%	475%	353%	117%	7%	313%	174%	49%	3%	2%
South Dakota	888%	590%	765%	807%	421%	43%	634%	1579%	1997%	463%	16%
Tennessee	249%	300%	195%	243%	23%	20%	134%	177%	94%	32%	68%
Texas	48%	17%	18%	22%	31%	15%	7%	58%	74%	11%	3%
Utah	154%	84%	13%	502%	21%	12%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Vermont	287%	2%	191%	1244%	1416%	764%	1400%	172%	4%	631%	2618%
Virginia	16%	1%	38%	32%	14%	63%	19%	4%	192%	190%	186%
Washington	29%	24%	14%	1%	3%	593%	448%	79%	35%	35%	68%
West Virginia	852%	343%	9%	374%	1726%	1338%	606%	1050%	2261%	846%	107%
Wisconsin	257%	16%	5%	90%	6%	17%	193%	136%	114%	80%	13%
Wyoming	244%	592%	2589%	4441%	4239%	442%	0%	-45%	0%	0%	0%

Note: The percentages listed in this table were calculated by the Committee staff based on data published in the sources referenced below.

#### Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

#### **U.S. Department of Education Grants:**

## States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 55.96	\$ 65.08	\$ 76.70	\$ 81.45	\$ 91.14	\$ 86.75	\$ 110.81	\$ 98.23	\$ 104.25	\$ 112.00	\$ 117.05
Alabama	118%	123%	113%	109%	123%	107%	106%	106%	111%	116%	118%
Alaska	362%	330%	318%	427%	397%	413%	385%	222%	215%	208%	186%
Arizona	128%	118%	146%	117%	123%	121%	121%	127%	124%	118%	122%
Arkansas	113%	118%	112%	108%	108%	109%	112%	120%	122%	113%	122%
California	99%	93%	106%	93%	100%	97%	102%	124%	118%	135%	116%
Colorado	80%	84%	72%	79%	82%	76%	81%	95%	98%	95%	91%
Connecticut	80%	78%	66%	87%	88%	83%	88%	95%	97%	94%	92%
Delaware	122%	125%	112%	110%	116%	117%	110%	131%	138%	139%	134%
Florida	83%	78%	94%	83%	79%	84%	84%	98%	100%	100%	97%
Georgia	93%	88%	92%	95%	80%	95%	94%	10%	10%	9%	8%
Hawaii	110%	139%	131%	158%	146%	120%	141%	144%	177%	161%	153%
Idaho	108%	96%	58%	99%	108%	107%	101%	109%	111%	113%	105%
Illinois	99%	97%	100%	94%	93%	88%	94%	112%	115%	104%	104%
Indiana	85%	86%	85%	85%	87%	83%	82%	84%	84%	81%	83%
Iowa	76%	86%	73%	94%	94%	91%	90%	107%	115%	109%	108%
Kansas	103%	107%	115%	104%	107%	116%	114%	47%	46%	44%	40%
Kentucky	119%	124%	124%	120%	114%	109%	107%		130%	34%	31%
Louisiana	139%	139%	149%	131%	129%	121%	115%	9%	9%	7%	9%
Maine	137%	112%	119%	113%	115%	114%	109%	131%	136%	122%	114%
Maryland	83%	71%	89%	83%	84%	81%		98%	100%	95%	99%
Massachusetts	90%	104%	68%	110%	94%	89%	96%	122%	108%	114%	116%
Michigan	109%	105%	113%	104%	101%	104%	103%	117%	113%	109%	103%
Minnesota	77%	87%	95%	84%	86%	84%	84%	20%	20%	19%	86%
Mississippi	145%	149%	153%	139%	133%	137%	127%	142%	138%	142%	226%
Missouri	52%	103%	104%	110%	92%	99%	90%	16%	16%	16%	15%
Montana	191%	185%	194%	184%	205%	190%	185%	208%	215%	196%	187%
Nebraska	100%	103%	116%	117%	100%	103%	105%	118%	118%	123%	118%
Nevada	69%	60%	48%	59%	59%	59%		70%	83%	77%	78%
New Hampshire	80%	78%	84%	81%	82%	77%			106%		98%
New Jersey	85%	86%	89%	87%	83%	78%	85%		103%	100%	97%
New Mexico	191%	185%	168%	224%	230%	215%	227%	194%	217%	191%	173%
New York	100%	111%	58%	107%	101%	126%	116%	137%	135%	149%	136%
North Carolina	88%	94%	96%	86%	88%	85%	87%	99%	105%	105%	102%

Table 4-7

#### **U.S. Department of Education Grants:**

## States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

Federal FY	199	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 55.96	\$ 65.08	\$ 76.70	\$ 81.45	\$ 91.14	\$ 86.75	\$ 110.81	\$ 98.23	\$ 104.25	\$ 112.00	\$ 117.05
North Dakota	1729	6 184%	147%	176%	200%	200%	187%	214%	215%	210%	203%
Ohio	102%	6 91%	100%	86%	88%	84%	86%	9%	7%	7%	6%
Oklahoma	128%	6 116%	102%	112%	129%	130%	131%	149%	147%	150%	144%
Oregon	105%	6 104%	106%	100%	94%	95%	97%	103%	117%	108%	122%
Pennsylvania	96%	6 88%	100%	88%	103%	92%	87%	102%	90%	96%	95%
Rhode Island	1119	6 114%	91%	128%	104%	99%	103%	19%	15%	15%	13%
South Carolina	110%	6 101%	111%	87%	101%	92%	104%	27%	24%	21%	115%
South Dakota	233%	6 179%	211%	223%	199%	202%	194%	94%	88%	97%	79%
Tennessee	99%	6 96%	105%	95%	93%	97%	84%	96%	108%	109%	98%
Texas	104%	6 107%	123%	110%	106%	109%	105%	124%	123%	125%	132%
Utah	1219	6 95%	107%	90%	101%	96%	104%	107%	103%	96%	105%
Vermont	156%	6 143%	154%	156%	145%	142%	138%	196%	167%	192%	184%
Virginia	81%	6 79%	44%	82%	85%	82%	87%	94%	98%	93%	100%
Washington	82%	6 94%	101%	85%	95%	95%	96%	88%	96%	89%	89%
West Virginia	137%	6 137%	71%	131%	139%	132%	118%	122%	121%	127%	138%
Wisconsin	97%	6 101%	102%	100%	96%	93%	90%	115%	117%	110%	102%
Wyoming	173%	6 173%	116%	204%	196%	180%	174%	211%	225%	229%	222%

Note: The percentages listed in this table were calculated by the Committee staff based on data published in the sources referenced below.

#### Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

#### **Election Assistance Commission Grants:**

## States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	•	•	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 2.20	\$ 4.50	\$ 3.11	\$ 0.20
Alabama	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	51%	176%	0%	0%
Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	350%	0%	562%	0%
Arizona	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	57%	0%	219%	0%
Arkansas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	103%	174%	0%	0%
California	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	108%	59%	151%	0%
Colorado	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	70%	167%	0%	0%
Connecticut	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	65%	176%	0%	0%
Delaware	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	278%	111%	0%	4469%
Florida		No	grant exp	enditures	prior to 2	003		70%	61%	154%	0%
Georgia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	66%	161%	0%	0%
Hawaii	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	181%	0%	105%	2980%
Idaho	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	166%	185%	0%	0%
Illinois	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	161%	0%	248%	0%
Indiana	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	116%	173%	0%	0%
Iowa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	77%	179%	0%	0%
Kansas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	83%	62%	161%	0%
Kentucky	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	57%	176%	0%	0%
Louisiana	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	124%	173%	0%	0%
Maine	-	-	-	ı	-	-	-	174%	70%	182%	0%
Maryland	-	-	-	ı	-	-	-	60%	170%	0%	
Massachusetts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	57%	181%	0%	0%
Michigan	-	-	-	ı	-	-	-	71%	62%	47%	1820%
Minnesota	-	-	-	ı	-	-	-	48%	171%	0%	0%
Mississippi	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	86%	172%	0%	0%
Missouri	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	138%	173%	0%	0%
Montana	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	248%	99%	0%	4025%
Nebraska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	131%	63%	161%	0%
Nevada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	101%	55%	138%	0%
New Hampshire	-	-	-	ı	-	-	-	177%	198%	0%	0%
New Jersey	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	89%	62%	161%	0%
New Mexico	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	121%	167%	0%	
New York	-	-	-		-	-	-	157%	0%	255%	0%
North Carolina	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	47%	170%	0%	0%

#### **Election Assistance Commission Grants:**

## States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 2.20	\$ 4.50	\$ 3.11	\$ 0.20
North Dakota	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	359%	145%	377%	0%
Ohio	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	163%	176%	0%	0%
Oklahoma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	65%	0%	251%	0%
Oregon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	77%	62%	158%	0%
Pennsylvania	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	126%	180%	0%	0%
Rhode Island	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	211%	85%	223%	0%
South Carolina	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	75%	171%	0%	0%
South Dakota	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	297%	0%	481%	0%
Tennessee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	66%	62%	160%	0%
Texas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	48%	57%	145%	0%
Utah	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	171%	0%	213%	0%
Vermont	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	367%	415%	0%	0%
Virginia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	72%	61%	157%	0%
Washington	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	96%	169%	0%	0%
West Virginia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	134%	187%	0%	0%
Wisconsin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	58%	174%	0%	0%
Wyoming	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	454%	509%	0%	0%

Note: The percentages listed in this table were calculated by the Committee staff based on data published in the sources referenced below.

#### Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

#### **U.S. Department of Energy Grants:**

## States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

			F(	ederai Fis	cai rears	1996 to 2					
Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 0.79	\$ 0.31	\$ 0.54	\$ 0.65	\$ 0.73	\$ 0.95	\$ 0.82	\$ 2.18	\$ 2.71	\$ 1.92	\$ 2.26
Alabama	68%	63%	88%	88%	95%	84%	73%	64%	45%	56%	42%
Alaska	178%	220%	333%	282%	1233%	1411%	1159%	212%	534%	1024%	386%
Arizona	31%	7%	50%	38%	66%	40%	31%	72%	79%	31%	35%
Arkansas	124%	32%	50%	69%	20%	46%	100%	33%	28%	47%	47%
California	35%	23%	23%	34%	25%	20%	52%	30%	60%	33%	22%
Colorado	151%	1112%	741%	622%	214%	588%	145%	115%	174%	61%	92%
Connecticut	81%	15%	7%	57%	97%		126%	161%	123%	63%	45%
Delaware	150%	174%	71%	86%	331%	207%	282%	155%	223%	68%	45%
Florida	17%	109%	65%	26%	17%	20%	16%	30%	26%	34%	28%
Georgia	42%	121%	20%	247%	136%	53%	36%	68%	51%	57%	37%
Hawaii	37%	4%	69%	320%	387%	314%	369%	69%	87%	56%	96%
Idaho	120%	217%	351%	610%	423%	1019%	460%	197%	280%	270%	365%
Illinois	105%	8%	34%	28%	14%	98%	31%	110%	97%	104%	68%
Indiana	147%	11%	2%	114%	139%	89%	88%	117%	76%	31%	78%
Iowa	150%	194%	104%	39%	246%	22%	48%	152%	131%	68%	96%
Kansas	113%	78%	162%	161%	68%	98%	29%	80%	67%	105%	75%
Kentucky	145%	115%	104%	95%	29%	99%	19%	76%	58%	102%	89%
Louisiana	48%	174%	114%	65%	47%	35%	73%	30%	27%	60%	39%
Maine	240%	98%	42%	47%	243%	221%	287%	220%	106%	169%	141%
Maryland	86%	16%	112%	51%	43%	31%	70%	35%	73%	53%	37%
Massachusetts	122%	33%	85%	240%	51%		153%	207%	145%	96%	104%
Michigan	158%	106%	197%	123%	159%		159%	154%	110%	109%	113%
Minnesota	241%	194%	48%	50%	140%	39%	15%	149%	169%	116%	102%
Mississippi	106%	66%	80%	104%	125%		64%	54%	69%	82%	49%
Missouri	136%	-1%	14%	91%	124%		123%	93%	64%	84%	75%
Montana	530%	266%	379%	343%	367%		337%	197%	256%	278%	273%
Nebraska	180%		223%	742%	125%		216%	100%	87%	112%	69%
Nevada	1066%	2108%	2917%	627%	1888%		1731%	854%	622%	692%	1332%
New Hampshire	118%		129%	77%	192%			106%	100%	64%	112%
New Jersey	81%		75%	61%	71%			209%	72%	46%	37%
New Mexico	121%	733%	301%	189%	422%	203%	593%	874%	1052%	1129%	448%
New York	135%	21%	6%	23%	95%		37%	78%	177%	161%	245%
North Carolina	64%	13%	27%	22%	35%	21%	13%	45%	42%	54%	46%

Table 4-9

#### **U.S. Department of Energy Grants:**

## States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 0.79	\$ 0.31	\$ 0.54	\$ 0.65	\$ 0.73	\$ 0.95	\$ 0.82	\$ 2.18	\$ 2.71	\$ 1.92	\$ 2.26
North Dakota	380%	397%	535%	450%	108%	170%	473%	223%	219%	589%	922%
Ohio	118%	97%	74%	30%	75%	65%	47%	119%	93%	129%	114%
Oklahoma	64%	103%	132%	93%	118%	82%	119%	51%	56%	59%	50%
Oregon	105%	2%	38%	131%	86%	173%	217%	104%	406%	163%	83%
Pennsylvania	119%	40%	60%	112%	19%	106%	33%	139%	82%	115%	102%
Rhode Island	159%	73%	59%	107%	141%	95%	197%	87%	31%	90%	59%
South Carolina	41%	899%	125%	144%	133%	273%	96%	97%	100%	143%	455%
South Dakota	302%	0%	382%	190%	80%	261%	702%	188%	177%	181%	132%
Tennessee	79%	167%	152%	68%	167%	110%	190%	96%	63%	112%	68%
Texas	27%	13%	23%	43%	33%	30%	42%	39%	49%	51%	41%
Utah	133%	86%	426%	489%	290%	199%	202%	142%	135%	177%	94%
Vermont	365%	-2%	108%	218%	326%	260%	280%	157%	140%	151%	105%
Virginia	64%	36%	109%	84%	73%	64%	73%	52%	35%	68%	58%
Washington	108%	24%	50%	124%	132%	159%	306%	193%	192%	152%	163%
West Virginia	64%	13%	15%	181%	189%	114%	158%	119%	99%	390%	297%
Wisconsin	181%	47%	167%	157%	87%	48%	25%	92%	31%	97%	105%
Wyoming	229%	118%	275%	315%	165%	283%	300%	162%	138%	731%	238%

Note: The percentages listed in this table were calculated by the Committee staff based on data published in the sources referenced below.

#### Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

# Table 4-10 Environmental Protection Agency Grants: States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

				euerai i is	cai i cais	1990 10 2					
Federal FY	1996		1998	1999	2000			2003			2006
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 11.67	\$ 10.94	\$ 10.70	\$ 11.46	\$ 12.53	\$ 13.58	\$ 12.62	\$ 13.73	\$ 14.25	\$ 11.21	\$ 13.85
Alabama	48%	71%	73%	60%	89%	85%		59%		104%	104%
Alaska	335%	310%	669%	714%	1124%	726%		872%		747%	749%
Arizona	60%	58%	96%	101%	71%	101%	67%	53%	90%	70%	72%
Arkansas	69%	84%	62%	44%	51%	54%		89%		100%	88%
California	105%	77%	83%	69%	49%	70%	57%	52%	55%	63%	64%
Colorado	61%	63%	83%	116%	126%	95%	96%	86%	90%	83%	79%
Connecticut	76%	91%	87%	78%	73%			100%		82%	113%
Delaware	234%	256%	188%	153%	172%	177%	210%	156%	275%	261%	235%
Florida	46%	48%	45%	55%	44%	49%	61%	58%	59%	49%	56%
Georgia	41%	56%	57%	63%	53%	58%	43%	44%	69%	62%	54%
Hawaii	127%	140%	106%	141%	143%	58%	220%	92%	71%	96%	166%
Idaho	163%	140%	107%	186%	168%	187%	235%	176%	202%	239%	247%
Illinois	70%	100%	62%	91%	89%	89%	89%	111%	91%	46%	54%
Indiana	75%	81%	89%	91%	206%	221%	111%	55%	101%	35%	32%
lowa	118%	139%	120%	107%	123%	118%	170%	216%	163%	213%	197%
Kansas	98%	111%	119%	132%	147%	103%	87%	110%	117%	118%	124%
Kentucky	104%	107%	79%	81%	52%	59%	96%	91%	84%	90%	81%
Louisiana	94%	85%	150%	112%	114%	85%	87%	70%	115%	85%	85%
Maine	128%	154%	248%	227%	180%	158%	224%	257%	190%	211%	210%
Maryland	140%	114%	141%	165%	125%	139%	155%	117%	122%	109%	109%
Massachusetts	304%	362%	237%	206%	176%	168%	116%	165%	128%	137%	126%
Michigan	134%	144%	153%	145%	161%	101%	104%	112%	106%	107%	111%
Minnesota	71%	94%	70%	125%	178%			162%		111%	100%
Mississippi	92%	88%	93%	90%	96%	84%		120%		79%	96%
Missouri	116%	93%	97%	143%	84%	116%	121%	169%	128%	117%	109%
Montana	160%	179%	239%	275%	280%	265%	365%	435%	306%	317%	322%
Nebraska	137%	124%	121%	123%	139%	153%	162%	89%	165%	134%	130%
Nevada	68%	66%	68%	76%	121%	113%	90%	119%	87%	184%	169%
New Hampshire	79%	122%	231%	262%	248%			225%		200%	193%
New Jersey	104%	96%	90%	62%	76%	74%	115%	115%	124%	165%	149%
New Mexico	97%	151%	124%	117%	140%	142%	205%	237%	159%	147%	152%
New York	153%	167%	144%	52%	145%	156%	114%	103%	119%	127%	109%
North Carolina	69%	74%	64%	70%	74%	72%	99%	69%	76%	97%	100%

**Table 4-10** 

#### **Environmental Protection Agency Grants:**

## States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

Federal FY	1996	19	97	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 11.67	\$ 10.	94	\$ 10.70	\$ 11.46	\$ 12.53	\$ 13.58	\$ 12.62	\$ 13.73	\$ 14.25	\$ 11.21	\$ 13.85
North Dakota	177%	19	0%	272%	397%	217%	345%	402%	512%	299%	362%	402%
Ohio	122%	6	2%	86%	108%	100%	114%	93%	78%	102%	120%	114%
Oklahoma	90%	10	3%	123%	137%	90%	123%	123%	121%	117%	116%	134%
Oregon	60%	7	6%	133%	238%	136%	83%	127%	116%	128%	130%	124%
Pennsylvania	85%	7:	3%	93%	86%	87%	88%	104%	91%	89%	85%	86%
Rhode Island	144%	15	9%	192%	175%	177%	179%	226%	272%	219%	251%	224%
South Carolina	64%	7	1%	41%	97%	108%	97%	71%	77%	78%	98%	94%
South Dakota	176%	29	7%	242%	275%	245%	200%	220%	353%	331%	366%	360%
Tennessee	62%	7	9%	72%	61%	56%	46%	51%	61%	81%	95%	94%
Texas	62%	5	5%	61%	72%	63%	63%	67%	70%	81%	62%	87%
Utah	257%	21	3%	117%	101%	93%	133%	130%	101%	82%	100%	99%
Vermont	193%	18	4%	244%	408%	390%	228%	330%	309%	295%	333%	359%
Virginia	80%	8	0%	96%	88%	62%	112%	111%	88%	86%	93%	92%
Washington	94%	9:	3%	125%	112%	78%	81%	128%	125%	97%	139%	125%
West Virginia	170%	18	8%	232%	279%	330%	315%	198%	254%	179%	255%	235%
Wisconsin	84%	11:	3%	79%	150%	84%	69%	108%	180%	157%	107%	101%
Wyoming	255%	39	6%	327%	247%	224%	216%	349%	440%	358%	283%	332%

Note: The percentages listed in this table were calculated by the Committee staff based on data published in the sources referenced below.

#### Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

# Table 4-11 Equal Employment Opportunity Commission Grants: States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.09
Alabama	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	
Alaska	333%	292%	299%	508%	379%	289%	158%	281%		234%	247%
Arizona	99%	98%	75%	107%	119%	64%	74%	73%	62%	69%	81%
Arkansas	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	
California	98%	85%	85%	124%	95%	65%	83%	82%		41%	
Colorado	111%	123%	160%	151%	55%	506%	92%	130%		63%	
Connecticut	165%	87%	156%	110%	179%	151%	225%	174%	217%	224%	272%
Delaware	117%	148%	57%	80%	169%	290%	149%	115%	327%	1428%	
Florida	76%	73%	71%	55%	78%	79%	71%	71%	93%	91%	72%
Georgia	20%	16%	13%	13%	12%	16%	20%	21%	21%	14%	30%
Hawaii	103%	81%	162%	170%	182%	84%	111%	110%	0%	115%	169%
Idaho	224%	216%	201%	205%	153%	178%	266%	241%	220%	165%	247%
Illinois	104%	126%	112%	153%	162%	104%	173%	174%	123%	64%	122%
Indiana	86%	63%	42%	55%	53%	87%	81%	80%	69%	95%	80%
Iowa	144%	251%	206%	267%	115%	202%	560%	560%	286%	229%	240%
Kansas	157%	158%	163%	147%	77%	155%	110%	110%	130%	114%	141%
Kentucky	56%	63%	65%	76%	49%	53%	12%	37%	38%	49%	43%
Louisiana	7%	7%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%		10%	
Maine	181%	236%	217%	342%	235%	893%	188%	187%	164%	193%	132%
Maryland	167%	133%	146%	94%	127%	159%	112%	111%		167%	97%
Massachusetts	185%	174%	183%	205%	321%	23%	198%	198%		220%	
Michigan	101%	174%	129%	126%	21%	40%	57%	57%		92%	
Minnesota	63%	78%	118%	122%	76%	94%	296%	299%		100%	
Mississippi	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	
Missouri	56%	108%	141%	138%	72%	106%	116%	116%		70%	
Montana	186%	344%	362%	259%	297%	248%	252%	246%		291%	
Nebraska	254%	341%	270%	295%	443%	116%	357%	517%		314%	404%
Nevada	407%	330%	277%	377%	325%	395%	297%	288%		540%	
New Hampshire	52%	107%	93%	82%	34%	65%	65%	79%		75%	
New Jersey	63%	81%	66%	67%	92%	60%	29%	29%	103%	58%	
New Mexico	92%	133%	67%	115%	117%	154%	146%	145%		96%	
New York	107%	64%	140%	83%	68%	87%	108%	105%		108%	130%
North Carolina	23%	28%	5%	7%	23%	23%	16%	16%		7%	
North Dakota	3801%	3750%	2752%	232%	232%	192%	223%	223%	284%	230%	201%
Ohio	0%	0%	0%	189%	178%	190%	150%	150%		224%	
Oklahoma	120%	119%	100%	107%	70%	119%	106%	109%		114%	
Oregon	213%	124%	173%	172%	109%	125%	161%	159%	149%	130%	144%

## Equal Employment Opportunity Commission Grants: States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

Federal FY	10	996	1997	1998	1	999	•	2000		2001	2002	2003	2004		2005	2006
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.		09	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.11		.11		0.09		0.11	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.10		0.10	\$ 0.09
Pennsylvania	10	5%	128%	115%	7	70%	2	13%	1	175%	116%	116%	171%	2	240%	98%
Rhode Island	13	0%	153%	125%	6	66%	(	63%	1	194%	98%	97%	176%	1	87%	191%
South Carolina	15	2%	98%	132%	13	35%	1	63%	1	169%	138%	137%	168%	2	202%	208%
South Dakota	24	4%	288%	218%	23	34%	1	83%	2	254%	256%	255%	174%	2	210%	255%
Tennessee	7	0%	46%	60%	4	12%		46%		40%	57%	58%	76%		33%	49%
Texas	3	8%	61%	43%	3	36%		49%		47%	27%	27%	21%		45%	37%
Utah	9	9%	175%	87%	11	14%	1:	53%	1	121%	125%	124%	136%	1	45%	92%
Vermont	5	7%	59%	107%	11	10%	(	62%		81%	106%	100%	97%		99%	117%
Virginia	6	2%	59%	37%	2	27%		14%		38%	23%	27%	24%		28%	90%
Washington	14	6%	148%	120%	12	20%		70%	1	136%	114%	107%	89%	1	12%	94%
West Virginia	5	2%	75%	108%	Ç	97%	1	01%		88%	68%	68%	177%	1	40%	103%
Wisconsin	19	8%	80%	232%	8	35%	2	37%	1	176%	183%	126%	228%		44%	305%
Wyoming	14	6%	198%	173%	21	10%	2	73%	2	271%	67%	137%	225%	1	94%	125%

Note: The percentages listed in this table were calculated by the Committee staff based on data published in the sources referenced below.

#### Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

# Table 4-12 Federal Emergency Management Agency Grants: States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

				euerai Fis	cai i cai s	1990 10 2	.000				
Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 5.24	\$ 18.62	\$ 5.49	\$ 6.85	\$ 7.01	\$ 8.58	\$ 11.09	-	-	-	-
Alabama	231%	55%	175%	210%	184%	132%	54%	-	-	-	-
Alaska	366%	157%	284%	94%	130%	69%	92%	-	-	-	-
Arizona	29%	44%	75%	35%	46%	13%	23%	-	-	-	-
Arkansas	96%	79%	59%	91%	40%	759%	70%	-	-	-	-
California	261%	339%	138%	241%	220%	168%	140%	-	-	-	-
Colorado	27%	24%	32%	30%	38%	38%	41%	-	-	-	-
Connecticut	10%	21%	13%	14%	16%	8%	8%	-	-	-	-
Delaware	123%	3%	106%	60%	72%	60%	30%	-	-	-	-
Florida	182%	37%	162%	163%	187%	112%	96%	Refe	er to Home	eland Secu	urity
Georgia	108%	198%	181%	103%	123%	47%	32%	-	-	-	_
Hawaii	322%	116%	266%	61%	53%	82%	31%	-	-	-	-
Idaho	186%	205%	195%	60%	53%	32%	20%	-	-	-	-
Illinois	51%	52%	58%	53%	29%	27%	11%	-	-	-	-
Indiana	30%	22%	49%	45%	11%	18%	14%	-	-	-	-
Iowa	106%	36%	110%	107%	119%	57%	45%	-	-	-	-
Kansas	73%	8%	68%	112%	54%	48%	146%	-	-	-	-
Kentucky	81%	180%	199%	53%	59%	39%	46%	-	-	-	-
Louisiana	97%	22%	69%	130%	60%	157%	44%	-	-	-	-
Maine	54%	61%	449%	226%	111%	67%	34%	-	-	-	-
Maryland	81%	9%	26%	16%	29%	37%	16%	-	-	-	-
Massachusetts	60%	47%	39%	27%	29%	34%	27%	-	-	-	-
Michigan	11%	19%	72%	39%	21%	82%	7%	-	-	-	-
Minnesota	36%	336%	520%	219%	133%	109%		-	-	-	-
Mississippi	40%	12%	26%	151%	95%			-	-	-	-
Missouri	97%	16%	41%	42%	30%	16%	96%	-	-	-	-
Montana	70%	29%	121%	32%				-	-	-	-
Nebraska	151%	-57%	354%	117%				-	-	-	-
Nevada	20%	132%	47%	39%				-	-	-	-
New Hampshire	42%	40%	103%	119%				-	-	-	-
New Jersey	75%	18%	12%	9%				-	-	-	-
New Mexico	33%	13%	29%	21%					-	-	-
New York	46%	42%	56%	50%				-	-	-	-
North Carolina	65%	298%	120%	137%	509%	341%	133%	-	-	-	-

#### **Federal Emergency Management Agency Grants:**

## States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

Federal FY	19	96	1997	1998	19	99	2000	200	)1	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 5.	24	\$ 18.62	\$ 5.49	\$ 6.8	35	\$ 7.01	\$ 8.5	8	\$ 11.09	-	-	-	-
North Dakota	35	5%	2415%	2088%	200	1%	1536%	1236	%	532%	-	-	-	-
Ohio	2	2%	47%	43%	32	2%	19%	14	%	9%	-	-	-	-
Oklahoma	4	2%	7%	20%	188	3%	66%	468	%	315%	-	-	-	-
Oregon	15	7%	97%	133%	90	)%	79%	48	%	37%	-	-	-	-
Pennsylvania	12	4%	17%	37%	20	)%	37%	19	%	12%	-	-	-	-
Rhode Island	7	7%	23%	20%	(	3%	29%	37	%	16%	-	-	-	-
South Carolina	5	7%	24%	26%	23	3%	111%	38	%	16%	-	-	-	-
South Dakota	42	6%	757%	454%	250	)%	123%	162	%	85%	-	-	-	-
Tennessee	2	2%	21%	83%	103	3%	82%	35	%	28%	-	-	-	-
Texas	2	3%	11%	10%	82	2%	35%	130	%	76%	-	-	-	-
Utah	6	2%	17%	73%	55	5%	39%	51	%	32%	-	-	-	-
Vermont	18	8%	62%	362%	254	1%	119%	97	%	56%	-	-	-	
Virginia	7	4%	35%	33%	39	9%	61%	34	%	34%	-	-	-	-
Washington	18	6%	148%	274%	84	1%	92%	40	%	37%	-	-	-	-
West Virginia	28	2%	130%	143%	114	1%	79%	130	%	248%	-	-	-	-
Wisconsin	3	6%	40%	36%	53	3%	36%	39	%	47%	-	-	-	-
Wyoming	4	6%	11%	50%	52	2%	53%	78	%	85%	-	-	-	-

Note: The percentages listed in this table were calculated by the Committee staff based on data published in the sources referenced below.

#### Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

## U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Grants: States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 476.83	\$ 443.12	\$ 505.74	\$ 539.57	\$ 559.47	\$ 630.44	\$ 701.89	\$ 753.65	\$ 793.04	\$ 803.82	\$ 752.80
Alabama	89%	94%	91%	94%	95%	96%	93%	98%	95%	95%	100%
Alaska	114%	129%	127%	147%	256%	265%	173%	170%	181%	182%	177%
Arizona	80%	75%	78%	80%	78%	81%	91%	101%	104%	110%	111%
Arkansas	100%	111%	104%	104%	99%	104%	110%	110%	111%	119%	123%
California	101%	92%	99%	102%	98%	99%	95%	100%	96%	97%	96%
Colorado	65%	70%	63%	62%	63%	61%	62%	62%	60%	60%	62%
Connecticut	124%	111%	120%	123%	115%	109%	106%	97%	104%	100%	103%
Delaware	88%	92%	82%	91%	87%	90%	86%	86%	86%	92%	96%
Florida	69%	70%	69%	71%	71%	71%	71%	78%	77%	73%	71%
Georgia	88%	85%	78%	78%	83%	86%	89%	86%	84%	79%	80%
Hawaii	98%	99%	87%	82%	80%	75%	79%	77%	89%	84%	96%
Idaho	68%	74%	72%	77%	81%	83%	83%	80%	88%	85%	87%
Illinois	87%	92%	84%	85%	86%	81%	80%	78%	82%	80%	77%
Indiana	77%	72%	74%	79%	84%	88%	83%	79%	84%	81%	89%
Iowa	79%	83%	84%	85%	90%	83%	101%	86%	88%	90%	94%
Kansas	68%	71%	72%	78%	82%	85%	83%	77%	77%	80%	76%
Kentucky	109%	119%	117%	119%	120%	117%	120%	114%	114%	114%	118%
Louisiana	147%	142%	127%	125%	121%	133%	139%	125%	132%	133%	140%
Maine	139%	151%	150%	141%	155%	147%	140%	154%	163%	169%	152%
Maryland	80%	98%	83%	83%	112%	99%	79%	84%	82%	80%	85%
Massachusetts	118%	110%	127%	122%	122%	115%	123%	115%	121%	122%	124%
Michigan	96%	94%	97%	103%	97%	98%	97%	92%	92%	92%	84%
Minnesota	97%	103%	95%	93%	90%	93%	96%	94%	99%	95%	98%
Mississippi	124%	122%	125%	121%	121%	135%	139%	139%	144%	139%	159%
Missouri	94%	96%	97%	102%	104%	110%	108%	105%	109%	110%	111%
Montana	98%	93%	92%	88%	106%	112%	102%	98%	100%	100%	104%
Nebraska	80%	86%	85%	95%	96%	94%	95%	88%	92%	88%	92%
Nevada	52%	47%	46%	47%	44%	44%	48%	52%	48%	51%	50%
New Hampshire	90%	88%	80%	84%	85%	79%	76%	74%	78%	79%	75%
New Jersey	96%	98%	93%	87%	92%	89%	100%	88%	84%	85%	91%
New Mexico	121%	130%	117%	120%	126%	135%	134%	142%	150%	149%	149%
New York	195%	193%	205%	187%	183%	180%	184%	191%	184%	188%	185%
North Carolina	98%	113%	98%	102%	100%	101%	96%	96%	101%	106%	105%

**Table 4-13** 

## U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Grants: States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 476.83	\$ 443.12	\$ 505.74	\$ 539.57	\$ 559.47	\$ 630.44	\$ 701.89	\$ 753.65	\$ 793.04	\$ 803.82	\$ 752.80
North Dakota	102%	105%	105%	106%	121%	111%	108%	103%	104%	102%	103%
Ohio	101%	96%	97%	95%	99%	100%	103%	103%	107%	103%	109%
Oklahoma	80%	81%	82%	88%	95%	104%	96%	95%	92%	96%	100%
Oregon	89%	85%	91%	101%	96%	104%	90%	91%	85%	89%	88%
Pennsylvania	104%	111%	103%	115%	109%	106%	109%	108%	113%	118%	114%
Rhode Island	136%	149%	142%	147%	147%	138%	137%	141%	150%	145%	144%
South Carolina	103%	105%	104%	104%	106%	106%	104%	103%	105%	102%	101%
South Dakota	91%	86%	94%	95%	98%	101%	101%	97%	94%	98%	97%
Tennessee	107%	110%	115%	115%	117%	121%	114%	119%	125%	124%	106%
Texas	83%	84%	83%	81%	77%	74%	78%	79%	76%	76%	80%
Utah	70%	66%	68%	70%	68%	69%	65%	67%	72%	70%	69%
Vermont	121%	113%	125%	138%	136%	142%	140%	140%	145%	143%	145%
Virginia	51%	54%	52%	55%	55%	56%	59%	53%	54%	54%	57%
Washington	92%	92%	93%	90%	94%	91%	94%	89%	86%	86%	80%
West Virginia	135%	137%	139%	129%	134%	138%	133%	141%	138%	142%	141%
Wisconsin	89%	88%	94%	88%	91%	99%	99%	99%	91%	90%	84%
Wyoming	71%	80%	76%	75%	76%	86%	79%	87%	92%	89%	76%

Note: The percentages listed in this table were calculated by the Committee staff based on data published in the sources referenced below.

#### Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

#### **U.S. Department of Homeland Security Grants:**

## States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	\$ 15.17	\$ 17.89	\$ 26.36	\$ 31.68
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	•		•
Alabama	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	76%	103%	249%	129%
Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	54%	259%	161%	187%
Arizona	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13%	60%	32%	19%
Arkansas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	88%	155%	90%	85%
California	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	99%	145%	78%	50%
Colorado	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23%	70%	100%	27%
Connecticut	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19%	91%	37%	27%
Delaware	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33%	190%	92%	174%
Florida	R	Refer to Fe	ederal Em	ergency M	<i>l</i> lanageme	ent Agenc	:y	65%	87%	344%	289%
Georgia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19%	59%	42%	23%
Hawaii	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22%	114%	95%	38%
Idaho	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12%	103%	56%	31%
Illinois	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	56%	38%	28%
Indiana	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16%	69%	52%	18%
lowa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28%	87%	56%	23%
Kansas	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	36%	89%	94%	620%
Kentucky	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	55%	125%	60%	35%
Louisiana	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	227%	114%	333%	1406%
Maine	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	27%	139%	88%	53%
Maryland	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26%	105%	46%	28%
Massachusetts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28%	101%	74%	34%
Michigan	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8%	57%	31%	24%
Minnesota	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	48%	86%	38%	19%
Mississippi	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	59%	92%	139%	952%
Missouri	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	43%	90%	40%	34%
Montana	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24%	215%	158%	43%
Nebraska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28%	116%	76%	57%
Nevada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8%	107%	72%	410%
New Hampshire	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	38%	126%	69%	79%
New Jersey	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30%	89%	33%	21%
New Mexico	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	38%	157%	58%	16%
New York	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	840%	111%	278%	50%
North Carolina	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	92%	117%	59%	35%

#### **U.S. Department of Homeland Security Grants:**

## States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 15.17	\$ 17.89	\$ 26.36	\$ 31.68
North Dakota	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	160%	316%	941%	172%
Ohio	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19%	67%	56%	23%
Oklahoma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	65%	97%	61%	48%
Oregon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	57%	121%	76%	38%
Pennsylvania	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13%	67%	60%	25%
Rhode Island	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	29%	126%	87%	33%
South Carolina	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17%	80%	39%	29%
South Dakota	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	59%	183%	103%	115%
Tennessee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16%	98%	47%	33%
Texas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	69%	76%	57%	166%
Utah	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20%	99%	64%	72%
Vermont	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	32%	214%	120%	73%
Virginia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15%	188%	53%	26%
Washington	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	34%	101%	62%	31%
West Virginia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	77%	195%	121%	53%
Wisconsin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17%	64%	38%	19%
Wyoming	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	81%	227%	130%	52%

Note: The percentages listed in this table were calculated by the Committee staff based on data published in the sources referenced below.

#### Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

## U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Grants: States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 82.35	\$ 82.16	\$ 95.96	\$ 107.55	\$ 110.59	\$ 111.46	\$ 123.44	\$ 129.76	\$ 132.02	\$ 92.04	\$ 134.89
Alabama	87%	101%	100%	90%	87%	92%	92%	88%	89%	105%	88%
Alaska	141%	274%	234%	266%	298%	271%	220%	251%	185%	318%	197%
Arizona	66%	77%	68%	63%	59%	72%	73%	69%	63%	64%	56%
Arkansas	94%	78%	73%	69%	72%	71%	71%	74%	81%	73%	66%
California	96%	92%	98%	96%	92%	93%	97%	97%	99%	82%	93%
Colorado	93%	69%	71%	73%	91%	80%	75%	81%	73%	62%	75%
Connecticut	116%	141%	142%	146%	140%	146%	140%	147%	146%	146%	162%
Delaware	73%	95%	100%	100%	101%	109%	104%	99%	103%	108%	99%
Florida	63%	67%	68%	65%	63%	61%	64%	65%	61%	63%	62%
Georgia	95%	87%	83%	90%	94%	81%	84%	81%	78%	82%	90%
Hawaii	120%	129%	138%	123%	132%	105%	93%	112%	100%	100%	108%
Idaho	93%	45%	53%	52%	55%	45%	45%	50%	48%	49%	39%
Illinois	146%	134%	128%	130%	124%	128%	130%	122%	130%	142%	133%
Indiana	68%	65%	69%	70%	73%	73%	71%	71%	72%	69%	70%
Iowa	88%	61%	55%	58%	62%	69%	59%	64%	63%	61%	65%
Kansas	79%	55%	50%	49%	55%	58%	56%	62%	62%	61%	60%
Kentucky	90%	90%	90%	89%	88%	108%	97%	91%	96%	101%	88%
Louisiana	102%	92%	96%	96%	95%	101%	103%	98%	96%	104%	104%
Maine	194%	109%	118%	115%	138%	124%	112%	121%	118%	132%	136%
Maryland	107%	116%	104%	113%	116%	105%	104%	108%	114%	125%	129%
Massachusetts	194%	174%	178%	190%	187%	204%	190%	216%	221%	218%	227%
Michigan	65%	71%	71%	77%	78%	76%	73%	73%	72%	81%	74%
Minnesota	83%	88%	92%	91%	94%	95%	92%	95%	94%	103%	94%
Mississippi	102%	80%	84%	81%	85%	82%	81%	82%	82%	88%	85%
Missouri	109%	88%	79%	80%	80%	98%	84%	97%	94%	79%	78%
Montana	90%	113%	106%	100%	121%	111%	96%	92%	101%	111%	97%
Nebraska	88%	81%	72%	66%	70%	74%	70%	71%	71%	73%	66%
Nevada	89%	83%	70%	62%	65%	63%	70%	64%	58%	53%	57%
New Hampshire	129%	80%	89%	88%	86%	92%	87%	99%	95%		104%
New Jersey	117%	137%	135%	141%	146%	145%	130%	134%	132%	134%	151%
New Mexico	87%	92%	97%	86%	87%	79%	78%	79%	76%	70%	72%
New York	173%	211%	210%	207%	193%	198%	220%	217%	213%	209%	217%
North Carolina	68%	70%	76%	71%	80%	76%	74%	73%	74%	76%	72%

**Table 4-15** 

## U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Grants: States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 82.35	\$ 82.16	\$ 95.96	\$ 107.55	\$ 110.59	\$ 111.46	\$ 123.44	\$ 129.76	\$ 132.02	\$ 92.04	\$ 134.89
North Dakota	139%	131%	223%	197%	181%	115%	109%	108%	132%	110%	105%
Ohio	88%	103%	99%	97%	105%	105%	104%	105%	106%	111%	105%
Oklahoma	91%	102%	96%	103%	104%	102%	106%	102%	101%	99%	88%
Oregon	88%	79%	79%	81%	85%	82%	83%	76%	79%	73%	71%
Pennsylvania	124%	124%	127%	124%	124%	121%	119%	112%	117%	126%	111%
Rhode Island	233%	192%	193%	197%	215%	190%	183%	211%	187%	207%	241%
South Carolina	79%	68%	68%	70%	69%	70%	69%	68%	69%	75%	69%
South Dakota	129%	149%	160%	150%	157%	118%	115%	125%	123%	143%	115%
Tennessee	84%	85%	85%	84%	79%	84%	88%	81%	78%	92%	81%
Texas	72%	65%	68%	70%	71%	68%	64%	63%	65%	60%	67%
Utah	63%	41%	40%	45%	55%	45%	47%	43%	52%	41%	41%
Vermont	133%	88%	90%	106%	113%	128%	122%	129%	111%	105%	140%
Virginia	73%	75%	68%	73%	73%	72%	77%	71%	75%	84%	88%
Washington	70%	76%	79%	75%	77%	72%	82%	86%	86%	84%	77%
West Virginia	114%	86%	85%	91%	95%	110%	105%	94%	90%	121%	87%
Wisconsin	76%	74%	68%	71%	73%	78%	69%	72%	72%	75%	72%
Wyoming	120%	63%	64%	68%	88%	62%	59%	61%	57%	58%	56%

Note: The percentages listed in this table were calculated by the Committee staff based on data published in the sources referenced below.

#### Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

## Institute for Museum and Library Services Grants: States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure

Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006												
Federal FY	1996	1997	1998				2002	2003		2005	2006	
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.57	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.56	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.73	\$ 0.79	\$ 0.81	No data	No data	
Alabama	69%	207%	108%	111%	116%	104%	107%	115%		No data	No data	
Alaska	267%	588%	204%	272%	290%	193%	337%	313%	282%	No data	No data	
Arizona	123%	207%	103%	114%	106%	99%	80%	88%	68%	No data	No data	
Arkansas	278%	120%	128%	115%	111%	113%	87%	76%	83%	No data	No data	
California	111%	87%	88%	89%	87%	90%	91%	81%	75%	No data	No data	
Colorado	158%	297%	113%	101%	100%	102%	92%	94%	93%	No data	No data	
Connecticut	4%	0%	95%	100%	93%	108%	132%	92%	127%	No data	No data	
Delaware	392%	164%	199%	168%	155%	172%	155%	121%	148%	No data	No data	
Florida	55%	26%	102%	83%	84%	91%	79%	86%	84%	No data	No data	
Georgia	61%	23%	99%	94%	122%	95%	72%	66%	77%	No data	No data	
Hawaii	109%	135%	171%	158%	161%	143%	229%	186%	226%	No data	No data	
Idaho	7%	24%	153%	265%	152%	138%	176%	134%	156%	No data	No data	
Illinois	20%	42%	94%	97%	91%	94%	99%	118%	114%	No data	No data	
Indiana	82%	58%	135%	96%	98%	100%	77%	68%	125%	No data	No data	
Iowa	151%	45%	115%	143%	139%	112%	122%	228%	193%	No data	No data	
Kansas	462%	517%	118%	139%	113%	114%	106%	76%	97%	No data	No data	
Kentucky	121%	115%	117%	130%	104%	105%	85%	75%	104%	No data	No data	
Louisiana	123%	37%	96%	102%	108%	104%	99%	89%	92%	No data	No data	
Maine	376%	129%	175%	157%	142%	141%	264%	192%	181%	No data	No data	
Maryland	169%	84%	96%	97%	107%	100%	97%	79%	73%	No data	No data	
Massachusetts	6%	7%	86%	92%	88%	99%	124%	162%	158%	No data	No data	
Michigan	116%	140%	91%	90%	106%	96%	87%	76%	84%	No data	No data	
Minnesota	82%	118%	100%	92%	88%		101%	93%	93%	No data	No data	
Mississippi	33%	45%	111%	102%	96%		142%	104%	97%	No data	No data	
Missouri	0%	4%	108%	100%	90%	101%	110%	138%	94%	No data	No data	
Montana	305%	537%	209%	195%	231%	163%	198%	157%		No data	No data	
Nebraska	386%	256%	152%	140%	209%		113%	101%		No data	No data	
Nevada	11%	12%	121%	108%	98%		99%	126%	87%	No data	No data	
New Hampshire	60%	0%	146%	131%	218%		132%	146%	129%	No data	No data	
New Jersey	2%	1%	100%	88%	83%		83%	103%	90%	No data	No data	
New Mexico	383%	465%	141%	134%	142%		154%	126%		No data	No data	
New York	74%	60%		90%	86%			121%		No data	No data	
North Carolina	262%	223%	122%	102%	96%	96%	172%	87%	86%	No data	No data	

**Table 4-16** 

#### **Institute for Museum and Library Services Grants:**

## States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.57	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.56	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.73	\$ 0.79	\$ 0.81	No data	No data
North Dakota	36%	0%	169%	178%	173%	195%	314%	197%	162%	No data	No data
Ohio	22%	14%	98%	86%	87%	95%	78%	109%	101%	No data	No data
Oklahoma	172%	227%	114%	107%	100%	108%	145%	118%	133%	No data	No data
Oregon	86%	131%	94%	101%	159%	107%	84%	109%	81%	No data	No data
Pennsylvania	120%	70%	101%	91%	87%	95%	77%	133%	122%	No data	No data
Rhode Island	157%	516%	146%	152%	170%	151%	534%	100%	153%	No data	No data
South Carolina	95%	150%	128%	114%	112%	105%	81%	94%	72%	No data	No data
South Dakota	883%	1190%	304%	224%	195%	177%	312%	117%	164%	No data	No data
Tennessee	13%	27%	94%	98%	89%	100%	74%	82%	128%	No data	No data
Texas	33%	93%	21%	84%	86%	90%	73%	81%	83%	No data	No data
Utah	302%	183%	120%	115%	118%	117%	159%	109%	98%	No data	No data
Vermont	0%	19%	226%	187%	451%	197%	175%	152%	181%	No data	No data
Virginia	88%	83%	109%	98%	93%	97%	90%	78%	90%	No data	No data
Washington	172%	206%	122%	102%	88%	99%	103%	90%	94%	No data	No data
West Virginia	0%	0%	145%	114%	113%	127%	111%	86%	92%	No data	No data
Wisconsin	191%	188%	107%	113%	102%	101%	85%	90%	89%	No data	No data
Wyoming	384%	316%	232%	231%	198%	224%	543%	204%	243%	No data	No data

Note: The percentages listed in this table were calculated by the Committee staff based on data published in the sources referenced below.

#### Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

#### **U.S. Department of Interior Grants:**

## States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 6.34	\$ 10.04	\$ 8.79	\$ 8.97	\$ 9.69	\$ 11.24	\$ 10.30	\$ 11.63	\$ 12.24	\$ 12.99	\$ 15.26
Alabama	47%	38%	82%	77%	73%	73%	58%	59%	64%	59%	59%
Alaska	1094%	1965%	2587%	2350%	3307%	2458%	2435%	2569%	1985%	2271%	1637%
Arizona	188%	587%	373%	578%	353%	372%	466%	343%	294%	142%	118%
Arkansas	74%	49%	54%	56%	49%	50%	63%	61%	59%	72%	60%
California	40%	36%	53%	59%	59%	51%	57%	52%	38%	27%	33%
Colorado	252%	255%	235%	234%	207%	250%	180%	219%	250%	234%	339%
Connecticut	26%	18%	23%	22%	21%		27%	15%	13%	28%	19%
Delaware	91%	58%	68%	63%	65%	42%	78%	53%	64%	53%	38%
Florida	16%	17%	15%	14%	13%	12%	16%	15%	14%	13%	10%
Georgia	30%	18%	11%	18%	19%	13%	16%	17%	14%	15%	14%
Hawaii	43%	44%	48%	51%	53%	36%	54%	60%	154%	136%	57%
Idaho	316%	276%	289%	257%	250%	265%	279%	258%	242%	198%	184%
Illinois	22%	24%	17%	17%	21%	16%	22%	17%	15%	16%	15%
Indiana	47%	31%	23%	22%	40%	20%	28%	29%	21%	25%	24%
Iowa	46%	38%	32%	45%	29%	30%	39%	31%	32%	35%	36%
Kansas	90%	59%	51%	58%	60%	44%	57%	60%	52%	51%	48%
Kentucky	73%	91%	117%	104%	109%	73%	112%	86%	89%	84%	54%
Louisiana	105%	37%	92%	72%	89%	107%	59%	85%	99%	79%	70%
Maine	79%	142%	143%	118%	126%	103%	163%	115%	130%	87%	92%
Maryland	27%	26%	20%	21%	18%		30%	18%	20%	15%	12%
Massachusetts	15%	12%	12%	14%	12%	10%	15%	11%	20%	16%	18%
Michigan	40%	41%	36%	40%	41%	38%	39%	37%	38%	37%	34%
Minnesota	104%	112%	119%	88%	129%	86%	106%	85%	81%	73%	66%
Mississippi	138%	121%	86%	65%	57%	49%	52%	49%	60%	48%	41%
Missouri	55%	107%	49%	37%	37%	26%	31%	27%	31%	27%	33%
Montana	1112%	1091%	1126%	978%	960%		1196%	1121%	1188%	904%	830%
Nebraska	69%	87%	87%	92%	100%		96%	92%	97%	76%	63%
Nevada	222%	206%	244%	291%	244%	225%	248%	316%	377%	783%	662%
New Hampshire	49%	36%	45%	48%	43%			48%	37%	49%	40%
New Jersey	11%	8%	8%	12%	8%		9%	8%	7%	9%	9%
New Mexico	1681%	1804%	1769%	1651%	1780%		1550%	1845%	2029%	1971%	2152%
New York	7%	8%	11%	11%	6%		7%	13%	7%	8%	11%
North Carolina	43%	31%	24%	19%	18%	19%	26%	26%	21%	17%	17%

**Table 4-17** 

#### **U.S. Department of Interior Grants:**

## States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 6.34	\$ 10.04	\$ 8.79	\$ 8.97	\$ 9.69	\$ 11.24	\$ 10.30	\$ 11.63	\$ 12.24	\$ 12.99	\$ 15.26
North Dakota	1130%	1094%	837%	868%	824%	763%	816%	906%	830%	623%	590%
Ohio	24%	21%	19%	18%	21%	16%	17%	20%	28%	20%	20%
Oklahoma	109%	198%	241%	190%	224%	225%	221%	173%	161%	137%	123%
Oregon	523%	357%	311%	298%	272%	75%	382%	347%	320%	326%	287%
Pennsylvania	73%	50%	52%	43%	42%	33%	29%	37%	40%	40%	37%
Rhode Island	71%	61%	67%	67%	49%	51%	67%	59%	49%	41%	39%
South Carolina	30%	23%	27%	30%	24%	17%	19%	19%	23%	26%	17%
South Dakota	1596%	1659%	1204%	1712%	1196%	1145%	1498%	1467%	1344%	651%	770%
Tennessee	38%	16%	25%	24%	26%	22%	27%	28%	25%	31%	25%
Texas	27%	22%	31%	30%	32%	31%	23%	22%	27%	21%	19%
Utah	433%	290%	342%	325%	328%	450%	348%	429%	375%	388%	582%
Vermont	108%	87%	91%	78%	71%	63%	89%	81%	86%	87%	64%
Virginia	48%	36%	35%	37%	34%	28%	30%	31%	29%	31%	25%
Washington	161%	211%	193%	168%	172%	200%	183%	160%	154%	128%	106%
West Virginia	311%	251%	238%	237%	254%	192%	229%	213%	235%	208%	181%
Wisconsin	89%	85%	93%	69%	86%	73%	80%	69%	65%	42%	41%
Wyoming	7843%	5926%	6867%	6541%	7561%	8864%	7937%	8905%	10006%	14119%	14587%

Note: The percentages listed in this table were calculated by the Committee staff based on data published in the sources referenced below.

#### Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

## U.S. Department of Justice Grants: States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure

#### Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

Tederal Fiscal Tedrs 1990 to 2000											
Federal FY	1996		1998				2002	2003			
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 7.21	\$ 9.61	\$ 11.57	\$ 17.54	\$ 12.23	\$ 13.12	\$ 15.71	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.95	\$ 13.09	\$ 19.16
Alabama	104%	80%	80%	72%	75%	66%	64%	87%		84%	23%
Alaska	128%	131%	177%	207%	276%	240%	221%	302%		1562%	225%
Arizona	173%	141%	136%	125%	94%	99%	119%	100%		52%	52%
Arkansas	102%	77%	86%	72%	93%	74%	72%	85%		40%	23%
California	101%	193%	172%	168%	102%	138%	158%	100%		47%	59%
Colorado	87%	85%	87%	94%	105%	110%	98%	97%		377%	35%
Connecticut	79%	56%	51%	84%	65%		87%	88%		149%	284%
Delaware	149%	141%	196%	138%	163%	147%	92%	112%	99%	48%	105%
Florida	113%	107%	99%	112%	98%	127%	106%	100%	97%	63%	57%
Georgia	90%	84%	77%	80%	109%	125%	119%	141%	135%	97%	29%
Hawaii	68%	73%	78%	96%	90%	93%	90%	93%	102%	101%	248%
Idaho	98%	85%	81%	84%	88%	87%	108%	96%	103%	41%	26%
Illinois	109%	87%	99%	89%	82%	91%	85%	81%	66%	35%	31%
Indiana	77%	67%	55%	53%	44%	68%	50%	89%	72%	21%	112%
lowa	75%	72%	79%	72%	83%	84%	81%	88%	82%	167%	35%
Kansas	70%	61%	104%	70%	79%	73%	80%	108%	101%	40%	81%
Kentucky	77%	65%	68%	65%	82%	85%	77%	104%		109%	53%
Louisiana	96%	74%	93%	84%	99%	85%	75%	89%	79%	44%	95%
Maine	74%	62%	88%	87%	130%	88%	104%	111%	100%	85%	252%
Maryland	83%	99%	85%	89%	112%	88%	95%	165%		630%	84%
Massachusetts	121%	91%	103%	116%	93%	96%		102%		60%	36%
Michigan	87%	57%	75%	81%	106%	74%	60%	80%	71%	126%	157%
Minnesota	79%	59%	70%	70%	80%	74%	85%	78%		49%	37%
Mississippi	99%	65%	81%	114%	86%	71%	55%	112%		40%	169%
Missouri	142%	98%	101%	76%	86%	62%	70%	89%	80%	36%	56%
Montana	101%	107%	154%	85%	154%	176%	163%	262%	226%	2539%	1071%
Nebraska	95%	88%	76%	94%	128%	87%	83%	113%	121%	140%	49%
Nevada	72%	79%	127%	95%	140%	144%	140%	125%		112%	225%
New Hampshire	76%	77%	98%	91%	119%	91%	146%	223%	155%	357%	449%
New Jersey	131%	77%	81%	97%	82%	64%	65%	89%	95%	41%	39%
New Mexico	158%	125%	126%	112%	108%	138%	160%	213%	219%	179%	61%
New York	123%	147%	133%	128%	202%	146%	151%	113%	147%	67%	56%
North Carolina	71%	69%	86%	90%	95%	84%	70%	86%	69%	33%	38%

**Table 4-18** 

#### **U.S. Department of Justice Grants:**

## States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 7.21	\$ 9.61	\$ 11.57	\$ 17.54	\$ 12.23	\$ 13.12	\$ 15.71	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.95	\$ 13.09	\$ 19.16
North Dakota	176%	109%	170%	156%	138%	155%	115%	164%	190%	197%	82%
Ohio	92%	63%	72%	66%	72%	64%	56%	60%	71%	31%	29%
Oklahoma	90%	65%	83%	80%	92%	76%	87%	107%	90%	54%	84%
Oregon	75%	95%	87%	115%	107%	108%	82%	94%	93%	49%	63%
Pennsylvania	64%	63%	63%	56%	60%	60%	59%	76%	65%	31%	79%
Rhode Island	108%	110%	96%	120%	104%	95%	140%	118%	133%	204%	137%
South Carolina	105%	77%	104%	91%	102%	102%	81%	108%	116%	47%	52%
South Dakota	142%	121%	95%	95%	151%	178%	193%	310%	343%	132%	553%
Tennessee	111%	80%	86%	83%	86%	73%	70%	86%	80%	125%	35%
Texas	95%	99%	85%	94%	74%	92%	90%	71%	70%	50%	342%
Utah	134%	91%	97%	117%	155%	89%	150%	89%	101%	25%	46%
Vermont	95%	119%	141%	317%	246%	176%	204%	236%	218%	128%	90%
Virginia	97%	71%	71%	63%	108%	102%	101%	164%	149%	116%	137%
Washington	109%	94%	107%	98%	105%	102%	106%	98%	106%	56%	222%
West Virginia	94%	80%	89%	93%	162%	137%	119%	170%	132%	34%	53%
Wisconsin	81%	62%	76%	68%	63%	75%	77%	80%	82%	39%	72%
Wyoming	81%	110%	27%	140%	231%	158%	180%	195%	145%	5690%	515%

Note: The percentages listed in this table were calculated by the Committee staff based on data published in the sources referenced below.

#### Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

#### **U.S. Department of Labor Grants:**

## States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 24.18	\$ 19.50	\$ 24.35	\$ 25.71	\$ 17.78	\$ 25.46	\$ 27.47	\$ 25.77	\$ 28.12	\$ 27.22	\$ 29.98
Alabama	86%	92%	89%	87%	103%	108%	106%	107%	108%	101%	81%
Alaska	303%	230%	310%	290%	352%	396%	325%	397%	307%	296%	283%
Arizona	91%	100%	95%	92%	81%	101%	93%	82%	86%	77%	70%
Arkansas	89%	98%	89%	99%	110%	127%	92%	102%	94%	96%	90%
California	144%	155%	139%	140%	135%	130%	125%	123%	118%	105%	101%
Colorado	87%	82%	78%	73%	81%	75%	76%	76%	80%	82%	76%
Connecticut	135%	122%	119%	126%	115%	106%	109%	108%	107%		104%
Delaware	101%	87%	111%	117%	126%	107%	106%	97%	94%	109%	92%
Florida	83%	76%	72%	68%	64%	62%	62%	56%	58%	67%	56%
Georgia	71%	66%	68%	71%	95%	71%	71%	70%	69%	69%	61%
Hawaii	119%	139%	157%	163%	171%	179%	154%	158%	135%	124%	125%
Idaho	119%	119%	126%	127%	135%	129%	136%	113%	153%	124%	90%
Illinois	101%	93%	99%	101%	102%	98%	112%	107%	107%		105%
Indiana	67%	61%	79%	74%	67%		74%	62%	74%		75%
Iowa	72%	79%	87%	90%	73%	75%	75%	76%			85%
Kansas	67%	65%	69%	76%	77%	78%	72%	79%	73%		71%
Kentucky	82%	93%	94%	91%	95%		93%	99%	109%		96%
Louisiana	95%	97%	105%	113%	94%		97%	104%	99%		363%
Maine	124%	138%	132%	138%	110%	126%	144%	125%	163%	147%	116%
Maryland	88%	75%	102%	97%	100%		102%	135%	121%		110%
Massachusetts	109%	103%	95%	95%	92%	79%	98%	94%	94%		101%
Michigan	102%	99%	83%	87%	108%	98%	111%	107%			130%
Minnesota	83%	82%	77%	83%	81%		110%	87%	87%		78%
Mississippi	92%	93%	91%	90%	105%	103%	134%	109%	107%	114%	252%
Missouri	88%	77%	76%	78%	81%	79%	81%	75%	77%	92%	78%
Montana	124%	119%	135%	160%	175%		142%	119%	156%		110%
Nebraska	67%	53%	83%	83%	78%		82%	55%	68%		71%
Nevada	115%	109%	119%	118%	108%			83%			70%
New Hampshire	91%	98%	87%	92%	84%			84%			71%
New Jersey	109%	111%	115%	113%	102%	103%	96%	71%	83%		90%
New Mexico	102%	114%	125%	126%	142%		134%	132%			89%
New York	106%	104%	111%	104%	110%		103%	113%			92%
North Carolina	71%	72%	79%	82%	71%	83%	94%	89%	114%	122%	127%

**Table 4-19** 

#### **U.S. Department of Labor Grants:**

## States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

Federal FY	199	6 1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 24.18	\$ 19.50	\$ 24.35	\$ 25.71	\$ 17.78	\$ 25.46	\$ 27.47	\$ 25.77	\$ 28.12	\$ 27.22	\$ 29.98
North Dakota	140%	6 139%	160%	160%	266%	157%	155%	114%	138%	139%	120%
Ohio	88%	6 82%	87%	85%	87%	94%	89%	97%	81%	106%	95%
Oklahoma	92%	6 97%	96%	99%	74%	82%	71%	80%	80%	79%	71%
Oregon	116%	6 125%	128%	124%	146%	163%	160%	137%	139%	148%	153%
Pennsylvania	106%	6 114%	107%	108%	98%	105%	110%	109%	107%	117%	107%
Rhode Island	154%	6 173%	143%	135%	122%	138%	116%	118%	100%	121%	121%
South Carolina	90%	6 98%	86%	88%	93%	87%	86%	84%	92%	101%	101%
South Dakota	104%	6 87%	115%	117%	137%	162%	127%	149%	157%	133%	122%
Tennessee	65%	73%	78%	73%	95%	93%	74%	90%	98%	83%	86%
Texas	84%	84%	87%	84%	80%	89%	84%	82%	86%	82%	87%
Utah	102%	6 93%	84%	113%	108%	91%	89%	90%	82%	108%	82%
Vermont	134%	6 142%	150%	162%	127%	155%	105%	147%	145%	111%	116%
Virginia	74%	6 72%	78%	78%	73%	73%	67%	135%	117%	85%	110%
Washington	129%	6 135%	132%	139%	129%	129%	141%	157%	151%	138%	122%
West Virginia	1449	6 134%	133%	141%	136%	162%	156%	129%	106%	134%	110%
Wisconsin	85%	6 78%	82%	79%	92%	94%	111%	104%	133%	120%	109%
Wyoming	160%	6 130%	144%	157%	205%	165%	156%	148%	142%	149%	165%

Note: The percentages listed in this table were calculated by the Committee staff based on data published in the sources referenced below.

#### Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

## National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Grants: States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.12	\$ 1.00	\$ 0.86
Alabama	129%	137%	110%	119%	168%	124%	121%	131%	129%	86%	94%
Alaska	720%	776%	752%	886%	527%	716%	618%	982%	755%	533%	364%
Arizona	178%	125%	129%	150%	120%	102%	136%	103%	120%	103%	120%
Arkansas	180%	163%	188%	182%	170%	147%	156%	315%	155%	83%	102%
California	38%	39%	43%	46%	39%	32%	33%	26%	45%	73%	70%
Colorado	73%	119%	110%	132%	125%	107%	115%	145%	180%	97%	117%
Connecticut	125%	107%	192%	17%	62%	376%	7%	321%	36%	130%	104%
Delaware	1132%	510%	566%	417%	742%	569%	771%	395%	688%	205%	235%
Florida	47%	53%	38%	49%	30%	44%	60%	36%	40%	73%	71%
Georgia	87%	69%	68%	11%	131%	59%	47%	50%	49%	76%	71%
Hawaii	399%	421%	537%	537%	353%	407%	372%	401%	381%	261%	223%
Idaho	393%	336%	371%	493%	348%	398%	357%	426%	390%	178%	159%
Illinois	57%	45%	52%	54%	53%	53%	50%	50%	45%	113%	105%
Indiana	90%	81%	82%	87%	73%	106%	112%	101%	93%	74%	84%
Iowa	215%	135%	186%	192%	202%	202%	154%	171%	195%	174%	149%
Kansas	208%	254%	187%	169%	102%	179%	166%	121%	233%	111%	145%
Kentucky	114%	134%	146%	131%	127%	136%	135%	157%	140%	81%	91%
Louisiana	111%	92%	115%	136%	127%	124%	175%	113%	149%	102%	106%
Maine	269%	342%	293%	357%	446%	371%	456%	360%	519%	182%	213%
Maryland	87%	128%	57%	114%	100%	129%	83%	92%	93%	87%	103%
Massachusetts	89%	106%	61%	101%	85%	88%	109%	102%	104%	136%	131%
Michigan	2%	115%	22%	106%	62%	62%	105%	64%	50%	88%	91%
Minnesota	80%	114%	111%	70%	135%	103%	117%	110%	118%	95%	107%
Mississippi	160%	191%	134%	248%	148%	269%	227%	216%	166%	88%	103%
Missouri	112%	124%	109%	90%	108%	93%	79%	74%	89%	115%	112%
Montana	566%	338%	411%	719%	413%	742%	533%	638%	503%	241%	311%
Nebraska	364%	237%	283%	202%	408%	344%	312%	324%	347%		177%
Nevada	297%	257%	255%	288%	234%	242%	242%	253%	175%		143%
New Hampshire	369%	327%	512%	356%	437%	313%	374%	405%	363%	165%	158%
New Jersey	86%	103%	65%	52%	107%	19%	103%	77%	47%		83%
New Mexico	285%	292%	230%	258%	249%	233%	42%	385%	205%	103%	196%
New York	39%	36%	30%	24%	61%	51%	29%	30%	42%	110%	103%
North Carolina	96%	53%	91%	90%	82%	86%	99%	74%	99%	92%	103%

**Table 4-20** 

# National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Grants: States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

Federal FY	1990	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.12	\$ 1.00	\$ 0.86
North Dakota	563%	618%	691%	731%	777%	772%	750%	800%	794%	267%	224%
Ohio	719	32%	81%	78%	33%	66%	82%	47%	51%	89%	84%
Oklahoma	131%	174%	134%	201%	136%	93%	138%	156%	139%	172%	104%
Oregon	183%	41%	274%	166%	135%	155%	129%	70%	140%	104%	91%
Pennsylvania	34%	74%	52%	59%	49%	47%	51%	36%	74%	109%	111%
Rhode Island	453%	153%	478%	588%	0%	907%	470%	402%	513%	204%	196%
South Carolina	2179	239%	122%	105%	174%	126%	142%	197%	195%	86%	118%
South Dakota	292%	1010%	583%	451%	665%	539%	781%	921%	722%	230%	266%
Tennessee	138%	81%	121%	36%	90%	67%	160%	88%	55%	154%	88%
Texas	43%	42%	47%	18%	54%	14%	45%	30%	52%	67%	66%
Utah	2019	225%	137%	294%	237%	244%	217%	214%	224%	110%	136%
Vermont	602%	900%	662%	683%	192%	748%	804%	767%	141%	306%	385%
Virginia	59%	51%	139%	78%	71%	93%	15%	105%	82%	93%	90%
Washington	110%	99%	102%	87%	85%	85%	88%	110%	91%	94%	90%
West Virginia	225%	214%	244%	276%	242%	242%	251%	302%	270%	128%	134%
Wisconsin	79%	109%	105%	119%	103%	87%	109%	87%	107%	102%	107%
Wyoming	1053%	717%	880%	1429%	1443%	1076%	754%	833%	1016%	247%	515%

Note: The percentages listed in this table were calculated by the Committee staff based on data published in the sources referenced below.

#### Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

# Table 4-21 Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation Grants: States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

					cai i cai s						
Federal FY	1996		1998				2002	2003			
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.26
Alabama	38%	67%	29%	31%	49%	26%	14%	13%		17%	
Alaska	746%	395%	551%	816%	431%	346%	393%	269%		331%	
Arizona	98%	94%	66%	98%	55%	44%	46%	67%	55%	65%	
Arkansas	0%	28%	62%	81%	101%	77%	77%	97%	49%	62%	
California	256%	207%	220%	176%	196%	178%	160%	168%	196%	170%	
Colorado	95%	118%	173%	220%	275%	289%		138%	189%	207%	151%
Connecticut	197%	334%	162%	246%	192%	109%	147%	141%	133%	147%	103%
Delaware	0%	0%	0%	28%	42%	43%	23%	15%	307%	313%	324%
Florida	61%	46%	52%	56%	59%	52%	49%	60%	50%	47%	44%
Georgia	60%	28%	18%	17%	26%	31%	33%	41%	59%	109%	103%
Hawaii	0%	79%	34%	119%	73%	140%	105%	42%	76%	96%	113%
Idaho	146%	365%	341%	431%	453%	236%	239%	538%	156%	116%	140%
Illinois	63%	59%	69%	62%	76%	71%	53%	30%	54%	37%	44%
Indiana	71%	47%	46%	75%	50%	54%	85%	60%	70%	71%	62%
lowa	46%	58%	92%	145%	123%	92%	51%	38%	49%	51%	89%
Kansas	11%	85%	88%	42%	54%	87%	101%	81%	97%	104%	100%
Kentucky	13%	73%	11%	46%	26%	37%	74%	46%	33%	47%	99%
Louisiana	67%	39%	57%	83%	19%	11%	53%	43%	9%	27%	141%
Maine	0%	0%	42%	9%	47%	31%	19%	44%	67%	51%	143%
Maryland	348%	435%	345%	208%	167%	130%	123%	144%	98%	86%	118%
Massachusetts	243%	146%	201%	157%	251%	187%	273%	202%	270%	270%	209%
Michigan	82%	78%	91%	92%	40%	36%	28%	20%	16%	15%	25%
Minnesota	164%	82%	117%	81%	75%	52%	72%	79%	79%	123%	157%
Mississippi	8%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	13%	13%	32%	44%	175%
Missouri	117%	97%	70%	58%	71%	51%	77%	53%	80%	39%	82%
Montana	139%	181%	331%	367%	603%	218%	336%	153%	151%	203%	155%
Nebraska	42%	118%	51%	41%	11%	39%	23%	52%	68%	45%	84%
Nevada	25%	10%	36%	39%	45%	37%	22%	28%	39%	53%	22%
New Hampshire	116%	149%	204%	278%	315%	350%	260%	531%	336%	407%	393%
New Jersey	10%	13%	23%	20%	22%	25%	25%	14%	29%	28%	21%
New Mexico	331%	235%	270%	276%	199%	256%	186%	177%	174%	116%	197%
New York	115%	122%	111%	123%	143%	183%	153%	165%	150%	174%	150%
North Carolina	15%	22%	43%	52%	32%	52%	71%	103%	73%	64%	58%

**Table 4-21** 

## **Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation Grants:**

# States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.26
North Dakota	0%	0%	0%	0%	61%	167%	108%	314%	115%	193%	174%
Ohio	52%	75%	74%	99%	86%	95%	121%	144%	131%	149%	179%
Oklahoma	74%	50%	92%	86%	124%	174%	131%	121%	102%	99%	77%
Oregon	55%	70%	45%	36%	45%	59%	94%	79%	115%	136%	146%
Pennsylvania	35%	69%	42%	61%	50%	53%	30%	25%	28%	36%	29%
Rhode Island	166%	48%	223%	147%	269%	295%	292%	451%	265%	357%	470%
South Carolina	8%	19%	0%	0%	30%	30%	6%	0%	0%	0%	16%
South Dakota	25%	238%	126%	139%	122%	173%	141%	152%	107%	133%	173%
Tennessee	12%	23%	62%	126%	111%	116%	172%	108%	97%	78%	74%
Texas	58%	69%	68%	61%	82%	84%	65%	85%	77%	63%	54%
Utah	92%	124%	104%	187%	73%	137%	94%	70%	56%	57%	68%
Vermont	475%	878%	1160%	736%	770%	1583%	915%	1229%	1294%	1334%	951%
Virginia	42%	58%	47%	52%	59%	55%	116%	101%	127%	97%	75%
Washington	27%	12%	13%	10%	7%	27%	54%	44%	47%	59%	51%
West Virginia	121%	208%	206%	140%	29%	81%	215%	182%	110%	120%	118%
Wisconsin	102%	114%	71%	147%	63%	81%	81%	109%	68%	73%	100%
Wyoming	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	49%	199%	202%

Note: The percentages listed in this table were calculated by the Committee staff based on data published in the sources referenced below.

#### Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

# Social Security Administration - Supplemental Security Income Grants: States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.12
Alabama	129%	104%	60%	141%	164%	105%	136%	91%	162%	123%	109%
Alaska	265%	33%	295%	72%	170%	239%	35%	254%	147%	291%	56%
Arizona	67%	85%	45%	144%	108%	93%	71%	45%	34%	79%	63%
Arkansas	27%	53%	77%	85%	41%	67%	23%	44%	40%	67%	52%
California	53%	27%	104%	191%	116%	141%	130%	134%	158%	158%	135%
Colorado	21%	60%	37%	26%	23%	16%	9%	54%	32%	107%	33%
Connecticut	54%	105%	85%	85%	97%	49%	80%	85%	66%	52%	170%
Delaware	60%	47%	21%	78%	81%	123%	84%	76%	109%	187%	122%
Florida	56%	85%	112%	106%	80%	75%	77%	70%	87%	111%	105%
Georgia	18%	78%	33%	69%	0%	0%	224%	156%	63%	109%	98%
Hawaii	3%	24%	6%	1%	0%	12%	17%	22%	17%	75%	27%
Idaho	108%	87%	137%	127%	57%	106%	96%	58%	52%	73%	33%
Illinois	183%	174%	129%	89%	76%	117%	88%	119%	79%	124%	47%
Indiana	112%	136%	92%	112%	72%	29%	76%	41%	9%	23%	3%
Iowa	40%	62%	34%	122%	16%	74%	72%	116%	110%	124%	178%
Kansas	116%	105%	93%	58%	14%	23%	118%	162%	90%	177%	96%
Kentucky	148%	246%	128%	175%	183%	118%	203%	212%	152%	229%	229%
Louisiana	78%	150%	172%	105%	111%	84%	30%	167%	111%	111%	207%
Maine	131%	106%	312%	139%	74%	229%	177%	107%	207%	238%	349%
Maryland	117%	121%	48%	81%	111%	101%	112%	161%	84%	210%	110%
Massachusetts	101%	93%	147%	85%	79%	49%	62%	71%	151%	39%	146%
Michigan	117%	149%	17%	153%	70%	43%	82%	166%	103%	97%	85%
Minnesota	210%	76%	108%	83%	99%	128%	65%	103%	118%	101%	145%
Mississippi	126%	137%	150%	158%	121%	54%	80%	46%	70%	161%	76%
Missouri	100%	89%	47%	55%	76%	116%	80%	40%	140%	77%	116%
Montana	77%	73%	131%	114%	171%	52%	62%	113%	56%	153%	98%
Nebraska	47%	0%	65%	38%	29%	31%	56%	42%	19%	0%	19%
Nevada	60%	106%	92%	88%	30%	97%	48%	52%	26%	20%	113%
New Hampshire	86%	45%	83%	60%	6%	19%	30%	10%	31%		0%
New Jersey	61%	50%	12%	37%	32%	24%	52%	42%	64%	15%	27%
New Mexico	156%	113%	81%	70%	50%	62%	154%	107%	181%		82%
New York	150%	110%	59%	34%	177%	111%	90%	65%	169%	71%	103%
North Carolina	89%	178%	255%	85%	112%	97%	157%	113%	60%	36%	62%

**Table 4-22** 

# Social Security Administration - Supplemental Security Income Grants: States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.12
North Dakota	21%	2%	0%	49%	6%	41%	0%	1%	61%	123%	143%
Ohio	162%	198%	127%	141%	360%	396%	234%	193%	202%	167%	185%
Oklahoma	64%	73%	38%	102%	61%	56%	126%	114%	59%	64%	39%
Oregon	72%	52%	87%	33%	18%	28%	26%	7%	7%	53%	6%
Pennsylvania	111%	150%	195%	93%	110%	213%	173%	179%	149%	105%	122%
Rhode Island	50%	71%	87%	0%	83%	28%	66%	36%	21%	10%	104%
South Carolina	101%	72%	108%	91%	63%	73%	49%	134%	91%	175%	105%
South Dakota	166%	174%	98%	90%	230%	85%	179%	243%	100%	142%	127%
Tennessee	174%	265%	232%	63%	75%	97%	83%	60%	53%	69%	34%
Texas	109%	87%	99%	75%	65%	69%	58%	66%	59%	71%	97%
Utah	68%	23%	49%	35%	73%	51%	11%	76%	97%	13%	84%
Vermont	240%	119%	142%	213%	78%	78%	230%	321%	239%	213%	306%
Virginia	108%	52%	116%	102%	81%	75%	48%	65%	40%	85%	83%
Washington	59%	49%	45%	108%	93%	42%	94%	32%	68%	76%	78%
West Virginia	185%	31%	43%	47%	52%	78%	159%	105%	56%	18%	64%
Wisconsin	101%	55%	113%	43%	124%	35%	53%	18%	16%	27%	55%
Wyoming	37%	20%	37%	68%	12%	73%	56%	66%	130%	24%	35%

Note: The percentages listed in this table were calculated by the Committee staff based on data published in the sources referenced below.

#### Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

# Table 4-23 State Justice Institute Grants:

Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01
Alabama	87%	123%	4%	34%	26%	26%	13%	33%	8%	7%	0%
Alaska	255%	1009%	231%	321%	151%	232%	499%	23%	971%	673%	660%
Arizona	176%	210%	225%	173%	395%	38%	46%	40%	18%	26%	10%
Arkansas	8%	3%	0%	2%	19%	2%	0%	11%	0%	6%	0%
California	53%	80%	32%	43%	20%	68%	55%	1%	19%	25%	28%
Colorado	598%	740%	347%	166%	273%	453%	301%	86%	690%	109%	60%
Connecticut	0%	46%	69%	116%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Delaware	77%	11%	185%	1422%	273%	23%	156%	0%	21%	146%	19%
Florida	65%	49%	21%	10%	26%	13%	12%	2%	2%	78%	6%
Georgia	136%	59%	30%	7%	81%	13%	1%	3%	41%	19%	17%
Hawaii	41%	63%	14%	14%	214%	449%	5%	155%	109%	0%	0%
Idaho	18%	7%	11%	106%	415%	551%	108%	55%	582%	323%	11%
Illinois	29%	10%	262%	302%	291%	58%	53%	53%	12%	61%	358%
Indiana	12%	8%	1%	1%	6%	13%	63%	2%	17%	7%	13%
Iowa	350%	93%	30%	12%	17%	8%	13%	5%	29%	150%	38%
Kansas	30%	0%	39%	69%	87%	2%	5%	6%	38%	17%	151%
Kentucky	72%	113%	0%	19%	28%	116%	63%	4%	83%	0%	0%
Louisiana	286%	76%	38%	2%	15%	1%	1%	0%	0%	3%	4%
Maine	226%	1107%	290%	83%	129%	114%	234%	69%	0%	268%	24%
Maryland	457%	267%	2%	40%	307%	150%	169%	174%	208%	399%	630%
Massachusetts	85%	1%	28%	48%	22%	75%	26%	35%	3%	2%	0%
Michigan	153%	307%	90%	189%	166%	296%	223%	322%	347%	452%	452%
Minnesota	20%	16%	2%	3%	14%	16%	67%	18%	132%	12%	12%
Mississippi	8%	9%	58%	48%	5%	34%	97%	234%	0%	11%	11%
Missouri	75%	2%	40%	43%	50%	7%	1%	3%	0%	3%	8%
Montana	24%	28%	34%	122%	0%	0%	0%	131%	0%	247%	68%
Nebraska	132%	15%	0%	42%	61%	43%	248%	0%	0%	44%	0%
Nevada	466%	124%	1232%	1169%	635%	764%	519%	7%	562%	159%	160%
New Hampshire	568%	785%	47%	179%	189%	636%	168%	0%	80%	177%	390%
New Jersey	11%	10%	12%	20%	16%	12%	1%	45%	38%	18%	4%
New Mexico	136%	361%	699%	935%	567%	508%	945%	753%	181%		148%
New York	120%	114%	71%	95%	56%	33%	49%	131%	96%	215%	152%
North Carolina	65%	23%	60%	8%	1%	19%	15%	0%	0%	30%	40%

**Table 4-23** 

### **State Justice Institute Grants:**

# States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01
North Dakota	22%	0%	210%	124%	179%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	25%
Ohio	68%	31%	11%	22%	22%	4%	1%	0%	24%	12%	8%
Oklahoma	2%	0%	1%	1%	12%	11%	5%	0%	0%	9%	0%
Oregon	296%	146%	8%	20%	3%	23%	43%	8%	0%	8%	43%
Pennsylvania	17%	17%	9%	9%	17%	9%	19%	1%	31%	12%	18%
Rhode Island	7%	17%	13%	63%	19%	0%	236%	251%	304%	315%	30%
South Carolina	295%	50%	52%	63%	1%	6%	5%	0%	8%	14%	11%
South Dakota	0%	114%	118%	0%	86%	73%	66%	255%	157%	0%	0%
Tennessee	182%	369%	260%	144%	418%	222%	268%	1359%	841%	540%	326%
Texas	11%	25%	8%	10%	6%	17%	10%	2%	1%	40%	42%
Utah	52%	0%	222%	119%	98%	8%	90%	0%	29%	62%	31%
Vermont	1113%	621%	796%	411%	262%	399%	245%	582%	722%	124%	232%
Virginia	144%	268%	1132%	920%	813%	1206%	1503%	1010%	598%	773%	717%
Washington	24%	84%	127%	42%	65%	134%	24%	2%	133%	20%	13%
West Virginia	12%	27%	2%	50%	61%	14%	7%	572%	419%	59%	186%
Wisconsin	54%	19%	27%	17%	2%	9%	25%	0%	100%	0%	0%
Wyoming	15%	17%	9%	336%	152%	0%	88%	0%	0%	181%	0%

Note: The percentages listed in this table were calculated by the Committee staff based on data published in the sources referenced below.

#### Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

## **U.S. Department of Transportation Grants:**

Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 88.43	\$ 98.54	\$ 98.59	\$ 104.30	\$ 110.60	\$ 125.68	\$ 129.26	\$ 130.51	\$ 134.26	\$ 139.86	\$ 151.42
Alabama	88%	79%	80%	96%	118%	136%	145%	118%	101%	113%	136%
Alaska	579%	643%	518%	528%	605%	592%	611%	759%	647%	677%	606%
Arizona	77%	90%	87%	113%	101%	89%	91%	83%	88%	80%	83%
Arkansas	113%	127%	132%	111%	107%	94%	136%	142%	124%	124%	121%
California	86%	93%	87%	87%	86%	86%	88%	94%	79%	76%	82%
Colorado	108%	72%	97%	111%	89%	93%	92%	86%	109%	94%	101%
Connecticut	134%	139%	122%	121%	124%	117%	132%	106%	115%	86%	105%
Delaware	139%	130%	150%	153%	153%	136%	126%	119%	91%	106%	99%
Florida	66%	68%	63%	69%	80%	89%	84%	91%	85%	88%	86%
Georgia	86%	85%	79%	98%	100%	96%	95%	85%	85%	81%	80%
Hawaii	196%	191%	149%	97%	98%	156%	110%	94%	95%	121%	117%
Idaho	132%	146%	124%	143%	139%	146%	151%	155%	158%	138%	136%
Illinois	99%	91%	85%	79%	91%	87%	81%	83%	63%	90%	92%
Indiana	81%	88%	88%	94%	99%	96%	81%	91%	87%	85%	82%
Iowa	113%	99%	109%	110%	108%	102%	107%	110%	100%	96%	98%
Kansas	110%	82%	86%	128%	111%	81%	114%	113%	113%	98%	86%
Kentucky	83%	92%	100%	98%	134%	117%	116%	120%	99%	101%	109%
Louisiana	89%	79%	80%	92%	99%	15%	93%	110%	104%	100%	129%
Maine	95%	106%	111%	124%	129%	112%	111%	125%	122%	113%	108%
Maryland	105%	109%	98%	83%	90%	93%	103%	94%	84%	109%	121%
Massachusetts	256%	212%	194%	126%	88%	90%	81%	88%	99%	80%	75%
Michigan	69%	78%	79%	86%	90%	86%	78%	72%	75%	83%	81%
Minnesota	80%	79%	84%	88%	99%	100%	92%	84%	98%	87%	93%
Mississippi	93%	79%	78%	105%	93%	93%	124%	124%	119%	114%	209%
Missouri	90%	105%	98%	105%	136%	120%	118%	119%	120%	113%	106%
Montana	253%	217%	245%	302%	310%	265%	291%	55%	305%	238%	91%
Nebraska	115%	117%	96%	116%	116%	95%	104%	113%	127%	119%	114%
Nevada	93%	135%	108%	135%	94%	100%	83%	98%	102%	84%	109%
New Hampshire	93%	103%	98%	111%	103%	101%	118%	110%	82%	95%	99%
New Jersey	130%	129%	106%	104%	117%	114%	94%	87%	116%	113%	104%
New Mexico	136%	132%	117%	146%	145%		139%	127%	130%	114%	98%
New York	122%	116%	137%	105%	99%	105%	88%	88%	101%	111%	106%
North Carolina	54%	72%	103%	101%	94%	97%	101%	90%	97%	94%	81%

**Table 4-24** 

## **U.S. Department of Transportation Grants:**

## States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

Federal FY		1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 8	38.43	\$ 98.54	\$ 98.59	\$ 104.30	\$ 110.60	\$ 125.68	\$ 129.26	\$ 130.51	\$ 134.26	\$ 139.86	\$ 151.42
North Dakota	•	186%	247%	291%	285%	298%	267%	298%	257%	286%	303%	281%
Ohio		86%	82%	88%	71%	77%	79%	79%	79%	82%	86%	95%
Oklahoma		82%	91%	89%	87%	97%	85%	96%	108%	118%	112%	112%
Oregon	,	146%	165%	166%	127%	95%	109%	131%	135%	139%	109%	93%
Pennsylvania		99%	108%	100%	91%	94%	122%	109%	113%	109%	106%	121%
Rhode Island	,	173%	127%	165%	175%	146%	164%	160%	125%	128%	162%	183%
South Carolina		84%	76%	75%	85%	90%	84%	91%	94%	131%	132%	130%
South Dakota	2	233%	237%	257%	238%	241%	263%	245%	253%	220%	246%	231%
Tennessee		92%	85%	100%	100%	93%	82%	82%	88%	95%	89%	93%
Texas		89%	79%	76%	95%	98%	91%	97%	107%	109%	115%	100%
Utah	,	105%	111%	120%	142%	134%	119%	107%	94%	101%	104%	95%
Vermont	,	171%	173%	228%	320%	200%	220%	183%	154%	174%	163%	190%
Virginia		75%	78%	86%	92%	81%	103%	110%	88%	83%	62%	66%
Washington		85%	109%	101%	105%	107%	104%	99%	107%	108%	121%	90%
West Virginia	,	162%	145%	178%	166%	182%	212%	187%	196%	177%	196%	183%
Wisconsin		78%	76%	88%	92%	47%	96%	97%	96%	93%	92%	83%
Wyoming	- (	321%	307%	302%	410%	373%	315%	406%	430%	403%	423%	297%

Note: The percentages listed in this table were calculated by the Committee staff based on data published in the sources referenced below.

#### Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

## **U.S. Department of Treasury Grants:**

					cai i cai s						
Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000		2002	2003	2004		
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.54	\$ 0.38	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.24	\$ 17	\$ 17	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.22
Alabama	22%	12%	20%	7%	16%		27%	100%	99%		1%
Alaska	60%	0%	25%	11%	13%		31%	227%	226%		93%
Arizona	131%	101%	155%	102%	130%	170%	90%	95%	93%		24%
Arkansas	3%	0%	1%	11%	4%		20%	98%	98%		0%
California	102%	30%	71%	87%	149%	79%	67%	96%	96%		30%
Colorado	26%	0%	17%	26%	21%		25%	95%	94%		8%
Connecticut	49%	0%	11%	9%	60%	35%	20%	98%	98%		50%
Delaware	0%	0%	106%	55%	38%	15%	14%	180%	179%	5%	2%
Florida	327%	848%	398%	518%	174%	230%	394%	96%	94%	136%	264%
Georgia	11%	43%	40%	11%	26%	35%	169%	95%	93%	47%	96%
Hawaii	0%	0%	131%	50%	108%	87%	32%	117%	118%	63%	212%
Idaho	9%	2%	7%	27%	14%	28%	28%	108%	106%	207%	16%
Illinois	105%	2%	58%	125%	112%	121%	59%	99%	100%	38%	108%
Indiana	12%	9%	40%	8%	22%	27%	29%	98%	99%	57%	27%
Iowa	0%	0%	16%	8%	7%	0%	1%	100%	100%	27%	19%
Kansas	5%	0%	17%	5%	8%	19%	25%	99%	99%	10%	2%
Kentucky	17%	0%	13%	26%	9%		49%	98%	99%		27%
Louisiana	21%	11%	28%	28%	31%	21%	421%	99%	100%	17%	149%
Maine	84%	34%	1%	67%	7%	2%	0%	113%	113%		26%
Maryland	128%	0%	91%	93%	174%	77%	75%	99%	97%		143%
Massachusetts	0%	0%	63%	24%	15%	43%	92%	100%	101%		22%
Michigan	26%	0%	30%	10%	60%	63%	144%	99%	100%	50%	122%
Minnesota	7%	1%	1%	7%	4%		9%	97%	97%		39%
Mississippi	95%	0%	8%	15%	9%	55%	43%	99%	99%	62%	101%
Missouri	3%	0%	20%	68%	24%	56%	29%	98%	98%	7%	22%
Montana	26%	5%	468%	302%	292%	10%	19%	161%	160%	48%	91%
Nebraska	28%	0%	105%	62%	36%	58%	30%	101%	100%	15%	9%
Nevada	0%	0%	22%	51%	22%	48%	24%	90%	87%	18%	0%
New Hampshire	0%	5%	3%	2%	1%	48%	56%	114%	114%	0%	19%
New Jersey	179%	379%	109%	96%	189%	91%	52%	99%	100%	139%	129%
New Mexico	49%	1%	69%	78%	124%	62%	80%	98%	97%	30%	7%
New York	474%	351%	349%	313%	396%	420%	238%	102%	102%	325%	228%
North Carolina	73%	37%	121%	65%	39%	32%	107%	96%	96%	191%	136%

**Table 4-25** 

## **U.S. Department of Treasury Grants:**

# States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

Federal FY	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.54	\$ 0.38	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.24	\$ 17	\$ 17	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.22
North Dakota	12%	0%	6%	9%	36%	273%	492%	232%	233%	1%	2%
Ohio	0%	0%	12%	31%	25%	53%	19%	99%	101%	25%	10%
Oklahoma	5%	0%	44%	4%	5%	4%	3%	98%	99%	17%	6%
Oregon	42%	29%	13%	55%	51%	89%	127%	98%	97%	99%	65%
Pennsylvania	11%	1%	78%	41%	26%	70%	25%	99%	100%	26%	120%
Rhode Island	0%	0%	0%	3%	12%	227%	30%	137%	138%	219%	65%
South Carolina	0%	42%	24%	10%	13%	18%	22%	98%	98%	97%	19%
South Dakota	74%	0%	0%	24%	3%	4%	9%	192%	193%	6%	6%
Tennessee	62%	0%	42%	56%	70%	199%	123%	98%	98%	33%	167%
Texas	146%	82%	191%	144%	144%	69%	67%	96%	96%	197%	225%
Utah	59%	35%	15%	27%	29%	24%	30%	95%	93%	16%	55%
Vermont	53%	0%	31%	43%	95%	33%	178%	237%	240%	61%	26%
Virginia	5%	15%	22%	30%	60%	109%	59%	97%	96%	202%	174%
Washington	21%	103%	15%	13%	21%	67%	76%	97%	96%	37%	56%
West Virginia	1%	1%	32%	107%	4%	44%	5%	100%	101%	82%	27%
Wisconsin	33%	0%	32%	20%	55%	139%	108%	98%	99%	8%	10%
Wyoming	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	7%	189%	293%	294%	53%	26%

Note: The percentages listed in this table were calculated by the Committee staff based on data published in the sources referenced below.

#### Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

## **U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs Grants:**

				caciai i is							
Federal FY	1996	1997	1998				2002	2003			2006
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 1.13	\$ 1.07	\$ 1.25	\$ 1.55	\$ 1.26	\$ 1.65	\$ 1.69	\$ 2.23	\$ 2.20	\$ 2.38	\$ 1.94
Alabama	104%	115%	98%	84%	106%		91%	84%	133%	94%	108%
Alaska	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	15%	0%		0%
Arizona	5%	35%	29%	39%	51%		38%	38%	46%	39%	32%
Arkansas	29%	43%	36%	30%	34%	161%	27%	25%	39%	96%	29%
California	68%	98%	83%	27%	33%	24%	28%	59%	59%	41%	37%
Colorado	84%	114%	96%	143%	103%		95%	119%	86%	80%	99%
Connecticut	140%	147%	125%	95%	121%		111%	83%	101%	86%	464%
Delaware	490%	530%	468%	340%	445%	334%	538%	2%	205%	972%	1%
Florida	11%	59%	53%	52%	68%	21%	71%	24%	30%	34%	40%
Georgia	83%	107%	89%	72%	145%	76%	74%	60%	58%	64%	67%
Hawaii	166%	0%	0%	0%	9%	0%	0%	0%	722%	690%	0%
Idaho	149%	184%	154%	125%	149%	121%	106%	370%	357%	163%	207%
Illinois	34%	56%	48%	46%	62%	40%	77%	67%	89%	83%	83%
Indiana	103%	63%	53%	51%	66%	81%	48%	49%	48%	39%	34%
Iowa	466%	505%	431%	388%	566%	188%	25%	211%	208%	179%	224%
Kansas	41%	61%	375%	298%	62%	62%	90%	186%	178%	69%	78%
Kentucky	86%	113%	96%	376%	298%	208%	156%	119%	121%	122%	174%
Louisiana	49%	70%	50%	77%	105%	74%	216%	73%	307%	368%	156%
Maine	232%	351%	304%	261%	659%	278%	527%	623%	260%	274%	366%
Maryland	0%	140%	4%	46%	68%	136%	46%	40%	35%	48%	56%
Massachusetts	64%	144%	92%	112%	162%	106%	133%	236%	181%	189%	141%
Michigan	94%	94%	80%	80%	116%	90%	101%	77%	77%	74%	101%
Minnesota	585%	537%	130%	99%	140%	111%	154%	132%	123%	113%	265%
Mississippi	57%	90%	77%	152%	216%	167%	165%	183%	214%	194%	202%
Missouri	163%	166%	394%	126%	194%	294%	556%	172%	233%	228%	256%
Montana	177%	219%	187%	52%	367%	193%	244%	201%	152%	157%	188%
Nebraska	344%	362%	813%	674%	422%	1361%	1074%	268%	308%	567%	277%
Nevada	0%	6%	645%	559%	18%	0%	23%	119%	102%	167%	153%
New Hampshire	425%	164%	139%	122%	210%	132%	593%	133%	173%	182%	168%
New Jersey	389%	89%	71%	62%	96%	72%	108%	259%	80%	109%	129%
New Mexico	99%	133%	113%	80%	114%	83%	100%	85%	74%	76%	87%
New York	49%	57%	97%	148%	60%	50%	53%	49%	55%	55%	175%
North Carolina	84%	0%	0%	0%	17%	36%	19%	21%	23%	23%	31%

**Table 4-26** 

## **U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs Grants:**

# States' Per Capita Expenditures as a Percentage of the National Per Capita Expenditure Federal Fiscal Years 1996 to 2006

Federal FY	199	6 199	7 1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
U.S. Per Cap. Exp.	\$ 1.13	\$ 1.07	\$ 1.25	\$ 1.55	\$ 1.26	\$ 1.65	\$ 1.69	\$ 2.23	\$ 2.20	\$ 2.38	\$ 1.94
North Dakota	929	6 1769	6 151%	204%	330%	262%	273%	235%	113%	301%	284%
Ohio	43%	649	6 44%	44%	68%	56%	113%	54%	63%	62%	81%
Oklahoma	4829	6 4239	6 471%	575%	476%	1042%	447%	369%	341%	360%	410%
Oregon	2569	6 09	6 0%	33%	42%	38%	36%	31%	37%	45%	41%
Pennsylvania	809	6 1019	6 86%	154%	125%	163%	124%	90%	112%	114%	108%
Rhode Island	2969	6 3239	6 310%	262%	358%	259%	342%	300%	216%	208%	257%
South Carolina	95%	6 1059	6 89%	77%	107%	74%	80%	270%	72%	66%	66%
South Dakota	2729	6 2719	6 231%	186%	260%	200%	201%	111%	188%	74%	85%
Tennessee	189	6 50%	6 41%	63%	87%	69%	76%	42%	162%	141%	37%
Texas	0%	6 09	6 57%	98%	0%	3%	19%	58%	67%	59%	50%
Utah	230%	6 539	6 45%	14%	84%	67%	68%	45%	61%	54%	48%
Vermont	3359	6 3639	6 359%	225%	287%	222%	235%	214%	233%	198%	245%
Virginia	56%	6 389	6 32%	28%	39%	30%	29%	69%	122%	116%	32%
Washington	949	6 1129	6 94%	86%	109%	89%	93%	301%	86%	88%	94%
West Virginia	199	6 229	6 19%	21%	36%	32%	38%	432%	40%	35%	33%
Wisconsin	1289	6 1229	6 92%	136%	211%	152%	124%	187%	297%	282%	133%
Wyoming	1799	6 2299	6 195%	95%	283%	221%	230%	175%	89%	109%	93%

Note: The percentages listed in this table were calculated by the Committee staff based on data published in the sources referenced below.

#### Data Sources:

"Federal Aid to States" annual reports. U.S. Bureau of the Census.

## **Appendix:**

Websites of Federal Agencies & Departments

U.S. Department of Agriculturewww.usda.gov
Appalachian Regional Commission www.arc.gov
U.S. Department of Commerce www.commerce.gov
Corporation for Public Broadcastingwww.cpb.org
Corporation for National and Community Servicewww.cns.gov
U.S. Department of Defensewww.defenselink.mil
U.S. Department of Educationwww.ed.gov
Election Assistance Commission www.eac.gov
U.S. Department of Energy www.energy.gov
Environmental Protection Agencywww.epa.gov
Equal Employment Opportunity Commissionwww.eeoc.gov
U.S. Department of Health and Human Serviceswww.hhs.gov
U.S. Department of Homeland Securitywww.dhs.gov Federal Emergency Management Agencywww.fema.gov
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development www.hud.gov
Institute for Museum and Library Serviceswww.imls.gov
U.S. Department of Interiorwww.doi.gov
U.S. Department of Justicewww.usdoj.gov
U.S. Department of Laborwww.dol.gov
National Foundation on the Arts and Humanities National Endowment for the Arts

Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation	www.nw.org
Social Security Administration	www.ssa.gov
State Justice Institute	www.sji.gov
Tennessee Valley Authority	www.tva.gov
U.S. Department of Transportation	www.dot.gov
U.S. Department of Treasury	www.ustreas.gov
U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs	www.va.gov